#### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tom Kelsey, University of St Andrews, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Bei Shi, China Medical University, China Hanxiao Chen, Sichuan University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yihong Guo 13613863710@163.com Qingling Yang qingling531@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 05 October 2023 ACCEPTED 03 April 2024 PUBLISHED 26 April 2024

#### CITATION

Chen S, Zhou Z, Zhou Z, Liu Y, Sun S, Huang K, Yang Q and Guo Y (2024) Non-targeted metabolomics revealed novel links between serum metabolites and primary ovarian insufficiency: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1307944. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1307944

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Zhou, Zhou, Liu, Sun, Huang, Yang and Guo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Non-targeted metabolomics revealed novel links between serum metabolites and primary ovarian insufficiency: a Mendelian randomization study

Shuang Chen<sup>1†</sup>, Zhaokai Zhou<sup>2†</sup>, Zihan Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Yu Liu<sup>1</sup>, Shihao Sun<sup>3</sup>, Kai Huang<sup>1</sup>, Qingling Yang<sup>1\*</sup> and Yihong Guo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center of Reproductive Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Breast Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China

**Background:** Primary ovarian insufficiency (POI) is a common clinical endocrine disorder with a high heterogeneity in both endocrine hormones and etiological phenotypes. However, the etiology of POI remains unclear. Herein, we unraveled the causality of genetically determined metabolites (GDMs) on POI through Mendelian randomization (MR) study with the overarching goal of disclosing underlying mechanisms.

**Methods:** Genetic links with 486 metabolites were retrieved from GWAS data of 7824 European participants as exposures, while GWAS data concerning POI were utilized as the outcome. Via MR analysis, we selected inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method for primary analysis and several additional MR methods (MR-Egger, weighted median, and MR-PRESSO) for sensitivity analyses. MR-Egger intercept and Cochran's Q statistical analysis were conducted to assess potential heterogeneity and pleiotropy. In addition, genetic variations in the key target metabolite were scrutinized further. We conducted replication, meta-analysis, and linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) to reinforce our findings. The MR Steiger test and reverse MR analysis were utilized to assess the robustness of genetic directionality. Furthermore, to deeply explore causality, we performed colocalization analysis and metabolic pathway analysis.

**Results:** Via IVW methods, our study identified 33 metabolites that might exert a causal effect on POI development. X-11437 showed a robustly significant relationship with POI in four MR analysis methods ( $P_{\rm IVW}$ =0.0119;  $P_{\rm weighted-median}$  =0.0145;  $P_{\rm MR-Egger}$  =0.0499;  $P_{\rm MR-PRESSO}$  =0.0248). Among the identified metabolites, N-acetylalanine emerged as the most significant in the primary MR analysis using IVW method, reinforcing its pivotal status as a serum biomarker indicative of an elevated POI risk with the most notable P-value ( $P_{\rm IVW}$ =0.0007;  $P_{\rm MR-PRESSO}$  =0.0022). Multiple analyses were implemented to further demonstrate the reliability and stability of our deduction of causality. Reverse MR analysis did not provide evidence for the causal effects of POI on 33 metabolites. Colocalization analysis revealed that some causal associations between metabolites and POI might be driven by shared genetic variants.

**Conclusion:** By incorporating genomics with metabolomics, this study sought to offer a comprehensive analysis in causal impact of serum metabolome phenotypes on risks of POI with implications for underlying mechanisms, disease screening and prevention.

#### KEYWORDS

causal effects, genetically determined metabolites, mendelian randomization, primary ovarian insufficiency, colocalization analysis

## 1 Introduction

Primary ovarian insufficiency (POI) is an important cause of ovarian hormone deficiency and infertility in women, and as a subclass of ovarian dysfunction, its etiology lies within the ovaries. Patients with POI suffer from irregular menstrual cycles, with abnormally high levels of gonadotropins and low levels of estrogen (1, 2). Spontaneous POI occurs in around 1% of women by age 40 and in an estimated 0.1% of women by age 30. Approximately 5% of women experience early menopause by age 45 (3). Although spontaneous ovulation occurs frequently, spontaneous pregnancy is possible in only 5% of patients, with the majority of patients with POI suffering lifelong fertility loss (4). As a consequence, POI has received worldwide attention due to its adverse effects and patients' strong desire to fertilize, in particular among young women. In addition to causing infertility, POI is also associated with a multitude of health risks owing to chronic estrogen deficiency, including decreased bone density and increased risk of fracture, psychological impacts like anxiety, potentially early cognitive decline, etc., which poses serious implications for women's health (1). Frustratingly, due to the highly heterogeneous and multi-aetiologic nature of POI, little progress has been made so far in characterizing the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying POI, with the biological mechanisms in 90% of cases still unclear (5). Therefore, the etiology and underlying biological processes of POI still require in-depth exploration.

Metabolites are widely distributed in human cells, tissues as well as fluids, with changes in their concentrations providing early evidence for pathological diagnosis (6, 7). Along with rapid advances in the field of metabolomics, a more systematic comprehension of an individual's metabolic status has been achieved with genome-wide association study (GWAS) extending to metabolic phenotypes. Genetically determined metabolites (GDMs) profiles were yielded accordingly, serving as the nexus between genetic variations and environmental triggers of diseases (8-11). Notably, traditional targeted metabolomics methodology only specializes in metabolites within a confined number of pre-defined metabolic pathways; whereas the combination of GWAS with untargeted metabolomics facilitates an in-depth study to offer a novel frontier in exploitation of the disease causation (12). The emerging integration of serum metabolomics and modern genomics technologies delivers prospective insights into the genetic and metabolic mechanisms behind complex diseases (9). It is well known

that multiple factors, including metabolism, might activate the pathogenesis of POI (13). Accumulated non-targeted metabolomics studies recently disclosed that impairments such as cognitive decline, anxiety, and decreased bone mineral density caused by estrogen deficiency in POI patients are causally linked with serum GDMs, strongly implicating that GDMs may shed light on the exploration of the pathogenesis (14–16). Thus, the metabolomic investigation of etiology for POI might lead to new preventive, therapeutic and management tools with great potential and clinical value.

Mendelian randomization (MR) serves as a distinctive genetic epidemiology research strategy, aiming at evaluating the causality of exposure to risk factors on disease-specific clinical outcomes (17). One of the critical basic principles in MR analysis is the utilization of instrumental variables (IVs), so that causal relationships hypothesized by studies are not solely derived from exposure factors (18). Acting as IVs, genetic variants reliably correlate with exposures and directly contribute to outcome events via the exposures (19). MR analytical methodology can provide robust and unbiased estimations of how genotypes are determined at conception (20). Upon this approach, researchers can more precisely and thoroughly probe the potential causal effects of multiple factors on disease, and target potentially vital genetic variants and metabolic pathways, laying cornerstones for future therapeutic strategies in clinical settings (21). MR analysis presents a robust alternative to address biases arising from unmeasured confounders, reverse causation, and measurement errors (22). It offers a complementary approach to randomized controlled trials (23, 24). For MR to be effectively executed, three core principles must be adhered to: firstly, genetic variants should exhibit a strong association with the exposure of interest; secondly, these variants should be independent of any confounders that could influence both the exposure and the outcome; thirdly, the genetic instruments should influence the outcome exclusively through their effect on the exposure (25, 26). Among these, the second and third assumptions, known together as the absence of horizontal pleiotropy, can be tested statistically (27). As a result, research on MR applied to GWAS data has grown in popularity over the past few decades (28, 29).

Due to the existing knowledge gap regarding the causal links between blood metabolites and POI, additional research in this field is imperative. Herein, our study employs non-targeted metabolomics to deduce causal associations between serum GDM levels and POI. Employing MR analysis on GWAS summary data, we conducted a comprehensive exploration of the causal effects of 486 blood metabolites on POI. Additionally, our research extends to colocalization and metabolic pathway analyses to uncover the underlying biological mechanisms. Our multifaceted studies not only seek to reveal the metabolic etiology associated with POI but also aim to provide profound insights into the biological processes involved, potentially paving the way for novel therapeutic interventions and prevention strategies.

## 2 Methods

## 2.1 Study design

A thorough two-sample MR study was employed to probe into the causal links of 486 metabolites on POI. Figure 1A elucidates the study design alongside three indispensable MR assumptions: (1) genetic instruments are linked to the exposures, (2) genetic variants are unrelated to any confounding factors, and (3) genetic instruments only influence outcomes via risk variables (30). The graphical representation of our study design is depicted in Figure 1B.

## 2.2 Genetically determined serum metabolites

This study draws on the results of a robust analysis conducted by Shin et al. whose research offers the most comprehensive and detailed analysis of human metabolites to date, covering GWAS of 486 metabolites (11). A total of 7824 participants from two European cohorts contributed these data, and over 2.1 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were involved in the study. Performing a pivotal role in metabolomics GWAS data, these SNPs deepen our in-depth knowledge and mastery of the relationship between human genetic variations and serum metabolites. As shown in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database, 309 of the 486 GDMs are already known and have been categorized into eight bio-chemical classes (amino acids, peptides, lipids, cofactors and vitamins, carbohydrates, energy, nucleotides, and exotic organisms), while the remaining 177 GDMs have not yet been well characterized. GWAS data for GDMs can be retrieved from Metabolomics GWAS server (https://metabolomics.helmholtz-muenchen.de/gwas/).

## 2.3 Selection of instrumental variables

To ascertain the accuracy and effectiveness of MR analyses, a rigorous selection procedure of IVs was conducted for 486 metabolites. Initially, selected SNPs significantly correlated with particular metabolites were chosen with a linkage threshold set at locus-wide significance threshold (P <1 × 10-5), which could guarantee IVs accounted for the majority of variance in metabolites. Furthermore, in order to ensure IVs independent of each other, a linkage disequilibrium threshold of  $r^2 < 0.01$  and a window of 500 kb were taken into account. In addition, we excluded



#### FIGURE 1

Study design overview. (A) Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses depend on three core assumptions. Assumption 1, genetic instruments are strongly associated with the exposures of interest; Assumption 2, genetic instruments are independent of confounding factors; Assumption 3, genetic instruments are not associated with outcome and affect outcome only via exposures. (B) Outline of the study design. IVW, inverse variance weighted; LD, linkage disequilibrium; MR-PRESSO, MR-Pleiotropy RESidual sum and outlier; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; LDSC, linkage disequilibrium score.

specific palindromic SNPs so as to avoid biased results due to IVs selected inappropriately. F-statistic ( $\beta^2$ exposure/SE<sup>2</sup>exposure) was further calculated to estimate the strength of IVs (31), and F-statistic >10 is deemed a strongly efficient selection criterion (32). Such selection criteria not only conformed to the recommendations from previous studies, but also assured the robustness and accuracy of IVs utilized in this study.

## 2.4 GWAS summary dataset for POI

GWAS summary statistics regarding POI risk were available from the FinnGen Consortium regarding 254 Finnish adult female cases and 118,228 controls, which utilized genetic data from the Finnish Biobank in combination with health records from the Finnish Health Registries. The diagnosis of POI was established according to the International Classification of Diseases-10 (ICD-10), which classifies Primary ovarian failure under code E28.3, a subcategory of Ovarian dysfunction (E28). This classification included cases with decreased estrogen levels, Premature menopause NOS (Not Otherwise Specified), and Resistant ovary syndrome, and explicitly excludes menopausal and female climacteric states (coded as N95.1), pure gonadal dysgenesis (coded as Q99.1), and Turner syndrome (coded as Q96.-). These stringent criteria ensured that the POI diagnoses used in our genetic analysis are precise and clinically validated. Given that GWAS information pertaining to POI was publicly available summary data, no additional ethical approvals were required in relation to their utilization. Data above can be publicly accessible on MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/).

# 2.5 Estimation of causal effects via MR analysis

To probe the causal association between GDMs and POI, the two-sample MR analysis was adopted in this study with a wide spectrum of methods. As the primary approach, inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method delivered consistent estimates of causal effects with all genetic variants treated as valid instrumental variables. To assure the robustness of our findings, further sensitivity tests were carried out to assess the MR estimates of latent metabolites by employing MR-Egger, weighted median, as well as MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) (18).

Assessments of diversity and sensitivity were implemented to ensure consistency and reliability within our results. A variety of methodologies were applied to validate the assumption of independence, comprising MR-Egger intercept, MR-PRESSO method, etc. (33). To evaluate the presence of horizontal pleiotropy and potential bias caused by invalid IVs, MR-Egger intercept analysis was computed (34). MR-PRESSO Global test was used to provide further assessment of horizontal pleiotropy. s Q-test was employed to determine heterogeneity among SNPs, which may be attributed to horizontal pleiotropy or other biases (35). The 'leave-one-out' (LOO) approach demonstrated the reliability and robustness of outcomes by discarding each SNP in turn and then conducting MR analyses (34). In this way, LOO intuitively visualized whether a single SNP was driving the primary causality.

Only when the following criteria were satisfied, a strong causal relationship between GDMs and POI could be recognized in this study: 1) IVW method showed a significant difference with P < 0.05; 2) consistent estimates were identified via the other three MR methods; 3) Cochran's Q test, MR-Egger intercept and MR-PRESSO Global test were not significant with P > 0.05; 4) The MR-Steiger directionality test indicated TRUE. 5) MR estimates were not seriously disturbed by a single SNP in LOO analysis.

## 2.6 Replication and meta-analysis

To thoroughly assess the robustness of candidate metabolites identified based on the criteria outlined previously, replication analyses were conducted using alternative metabolite databases to validate the reproducibility of our findings. A comprehensive search and collection of positive metabolites were performed across databases including IEU OpenGWAS project (https:// gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/) and GWAS Catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ gwas/). All collected data on matching metabolites underwent replication analysis primarily utilizing IVW method. Notably, our replication efforts also incorporated the most comprehensive GWAS study to date, which included genome-wide association analyses of 1,091 blood metabolites and 309 metabolite ratios across a cohort of 8,299 individuals (36). Subsequently, the findings from the replication analysis were integrated into a meta-analysis. A random effects model was employed in cases of significant heterogeneity ( $I^2 > 50\%$ , H > 1.5, P < 0.05); otherwise, a fixed effects model was utilized.

# 2.7 Evaluation of genetic correlation and directionality

The accuracy of causal effect estimates in MR analysis may be compromised due to genetic associations between the exposure and the outcome of interest (37). Even after removing several SNPs associated with POI during the selection of IVs, combinations of SNPs not significantly associated with the POI may still influence its genetic predisposition to POI. To address this, we applied Linkage Disequilibrium Score (LDSC) regression, which utilizes chi-squared statistics to evaluate the genetic coinheritance of two traits, thereby assessing the genetic correlation between the identified metabolites and POI.

Moreover, to address potential biases from reverse causation, we conducted the Steiger test to more accurately determine the relationship direction between GDMs and POI (38). As a further step to validate the genetic direction, a reverse MR study was also carried out on GDMs previously identified through forward MR analysis as exerting a causal relationship with POI. This reverse analysis was performed via the same methodological framework as the forward MR, ensuring consistency in our approach.

## 2.8 Colocalization analysis

To further ascertain whether the associations of the identified GDMs between POI were driven by a locus within a genomic region, we conducted colocalization analysis via *coloc* R package. Coloc employs a Bayesian framework to generate posterior probabilities for 5 mutually exclusive hypotheses concerning the sharing of causal variants between two phenotypes. These hypotheses included H0 (neither trait has a genetic association in the region), H1 (only trait 1 has a genetic association in the region), H2 (only trait 2 has a genetic association in the region), H3 (both traits are associated, but with different causal variants), and H4 (both traits are associated and share a single causal variant) (39). H4/(H3+H4) represents the probability of colocalization conditional on the presence of a causal variant for the outcome (40).

## 2.9 Metabolic pathway analysis

To uncover the biological mechanisms through which blood metabolites influence POI causally, we conducted a detailed metabolic pathway analysis using MetaboAnalyst 6.0 (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca/). Utilizing the functional enrichment analysis module on MetaboAnalyst 6.0, we honed in on identifying key metabolite pathways, drawing from the comprehensive dataset available in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. Adhering to strict significance thresholds (P <0.05), our analysis provided a thorough and reliable examination of the metabolites associated with the POI, offering insightful revelations about its metabolic underpinnings and potential pathways of pathogenesis.

## 2.10 Statistical analysis

All MR analyses were carried out in R (4.2.0) software. Packages such as *MendelianRandomization*, *MR-PRESSO*, *coloc*, and *forestplot*, were employed in this study. Collectively, the array of methods and tests presented a systematic and rigorous framework to assess causal effects between GDMs and POI. If the estimated causal effect *P* value for GDMs was less than 0.05, GDMs were considered statistically significantly associated with POI and defined as latent metabolites as suggestive risk predictors for POI. A two-tailed P < 0.05 was deemed statistically significant in all tests of sensitivity analysis. For the analysis of genetic correlation, the LDSC software was employed (41). Additionally, the online tool LocusZoom was utilized to display the results of the colocalization analysis (42).

## **3** Results

## 3.1 Strength of genetic instrumentals for 486 GDMs

With GWAS summary statistics, our study exploited twosample MR analysis to uncover the underlying causal effects between GDMs and POI. A total of 9552 SNPs were enrolled in the study on the basis of rigorous screening criteria. SNPs for IVs corresponding to the 486 metabolites in serum ranged from 2 to 481, accounting for 0.24% to 70.82% of variances in corresponding GDMs. Of note, the minimum F-statistic for the strength of the IVs employed in this study was 17.41. The F-statistics corresponding to all SNPs were above 10, indicating that all IVs associated with the 486 GDMs were sufficient to fulfill the requirements to achieve a potent MR analysis.

### 3.2 Causality of serum metabolites on POI

Through IVW approach, 14 known GDMs and 19 unknown metabolites that significantly correlate with POI were identified (Figure 2). The 14 known GDMs under focused attention contained 4 amino acids, 1 cofactor and vitamin, 3 lipids, 1 peptide, and 5 xenobiotics. Notably, among these well-known metabolites, Nacetylalanine was detected to be the most prominently linked to POI ( $P_{IVW}$  =0.0007). With 23 SNPs serving as proxy predictors, increased levels of N-acetylalanine were strongly tied to a significantly increased risk of POI (logarithmic value of odds ratio [ln OR]: 10.56; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 4.48-16.63). Similar to N-acetylalanine, the other 15 metabolites associated with an elevated risk of POI were also determined, including threonine (In OR: 3.99; 95% CI: 0.41-7.58; P<sub>IVW</sub> =0.0290), X-11593-O-methylascorbate (In OR: 3.99; 95% CI: 1.41-5.9; P<sub>IVW</sub> =0.0014), pyroglutamylglycine (ln OR: 2.53; 95% CI: 0.47-4.58; P<sub>IVW</sub> =0.0255), glycerol 2-phosphate (ln OR: 2.41; 95% CI: 0.46-4.36; P<sub>IVW</sub> =0.0157), homostachydrine (ln OR: 2.54; 95% CI: 0.32-4.77; P<sub>IVW</sub> =0.0248), etc. In contrast, 17 other metabolites were characterized to be related to a reduced risk of POI, comprising indolepropionate (In OR: -1.9; 95% CI: -3.54- -0.26; P<sub>IVW</sub> =0.0235), dodecanedioate (ln OR: -2.96; 95% CI: -5.43- -0.49; P<sub>IVW</sub> =0.0188), salicyluric glucuronide (ln OR: –0.44; 95% CI: –0.84- –0.05;  $P_{\rm IVW}$  =0.0261), etc. Altogether, with genetic variations as a proxy, a total of 33 GDMs with potential pathogenic effects on POI were defined on the basis of IVW method.

## 3.3 Sensitivity analysis

Even though the IVW approach is very effective for deducing causal relationships between exposure and outcome in complicated diseases, it is susceptible to the effects of weak instrumental biases. Thus, sensitivity analysis was further implemented to minimize these biases. Table 1 shows the results of the sensitivity analysis for evaluating the stability and robustness of the metabolites identified by IVW. When sensitivity tests were employed, only 1 unknown metabolite, X-11437, was unearthed from 33 GDMs with a strong causal association with POI ( $P_{\text{weighted-median}} = 0.0145$ ;  $P_{\text{MR-Egger}} = 0.0499$ ;  $P_{\text{MR-PRESSO}} = 0.0248$ ). Besides IVW method, consistent causality estimations that serum X-11437 increased the risk of POI could also be derived from these three MR methods, involving MR-Egger, weighted median, and MR-PRESSO (Table 1).

Furthermore, with the criteria less stringent, we inferred that all but 6 GDMs (indolepropionate, 3-(3-hydroxyphenyl) propionate, FIGURE 2 Mendelian

| Amino acid   | GDMs   | SNPs | 5 IN OR (95%CI)    | Lower Risk | Higher Risk    | Pvalue |
|--|--|------|--------------------|------------|----------------|--------|
| threenine       20       3.99(0.417.56)       0.00         Indelepropionate       12       -0.67(-1.73,-0.02)       0.00         3-(3-Hydroxypheryl)propionate       10       -0.87(-1.73,-0.02)       0.00         Cofactors and vitamins       0.00       0.00       0.00         X-11593O-methylascorbate       50       3.66(1.415.9)       0.00         Lipid       0.02       0.01       0.01         dodecanedicate       7       -2.66(-5.43,-0.49)       0.01         chiro-inositiol       13       -0.62(-1.19,-0.05)       0.03         15-metrylpalmitate (isobar with 2-methylpalmitate)       14       -3.81(-7.21,-0.61)       0.02         Peptide  | Amino acid   |      |                    |            |                |        |
| N-acetylalanine       23       10.56(4.48, 16.63)       0.00         indelepropionate       17       -19(-3.54, -0.26)       0.02         3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)propionate       10       -0.87(-1.73, -0.02)       0.00         Cofactors and vitamins       50       3.66(1.41, 5.9)       0.00         Lipid       0.00       0.01       0.00         chicators and vitamins       50       3.66(1.41, 5.9)       0.01         chicators and vitamins       0.01       0.00       0.00         chicators and vitamins       0.01       0.00       0.01         chicators and vitamins       0.02       0.01       0.00         chicators and vitamins       0.01       0.02       0.01         chicators and vitamins       0.02       0.01       0.02         pyroglutamylghycine       4       2.53(0.47,4.58)       0.01       0.02         kenotoictos       0.02       0.01       0.02       0.01       0.02         homostachydrine       6       2.54(0.32,4.77)       0.02       0.02       0.02         homostachydrine       6       2.54(0.32,4.77)       0.02       0.02       0.02       0.02         X-05697       13       -4.44(-0.84,-0.05) <t< td=""><td>threonine</td><td>20</td><td>3.99(0.41,7.58)</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0.0290</td></t<>  | threonine  | 20   | 3.99(0.41,7.58)    | -          |                | 0.0290 |
| Indelepropionate       17       -1.9(-3.54,-0.26)       0.02         Ga(3-bydyoxphenylprophenylpropionate       10       -0.87(-1.73,-0.02)       0.04         Cofactors and vitamins       50       3.66(14.15.9)       0.00         X-115930-methylpachtascorbate       50       3.66(14.15.9)       0.00         Lipid  | N-acetylalanine                                    | 23   | 10.56(4.48,16.63)  |            |                | 0.0007 |
| 3-(3-hydraxypherylipropionate       10       -0.87(-1.73,-0.02)       .0.44         Coffactors and vitamins       50       3.66(1.41.5.9)       .0.00         Lipid       .0.00       .0.00         dodecanedicate       7       -2.96(-5.43,-0.49)       .0.01         chirosital       .0.24       .0.02         Peptide       .0.02       .0.02         Proglutanylglycine       4       2.53(0.47,4.58)       .0.01         Xenobicics       .0.02       .0.02         saccharin       10       0.33(0.11,1.75)       .0.02         glycerol 2-phosphate       3.2.41(0.46,4.36)       .0.01         nomostachydrine       26       -0.36(-0.67,-0.04)       .0.02         salcyluric glucuronide       12       -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)       .0.02         Unkown       .0.02       .0.02       .0.02         X-04495       11       -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)       .0.02         X-05807       13       -4.44(-0.84,-0.5,-0.5)       .0.02         X-11412       47       2.67(0.07, 2.63)       .0.01         X-1142       47       2.67(0.07, 1.38)       .0.01         X-11470       15       0.91(0.2, 1.52)       .0.02         X-11405   | indolepropionate                                   | 17   | -1.9(-3.54,-0.26)  |            |                | 0.0235 |
| Cofactors and vitamins       50       3.66(1.41.5.9)       0.00         Lipid  | 3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)propionate                      | 10   | -0.87(-1.73,-0.02) | +          |                | 0.0454 |
| X-11939O-methylascorbate       50       3.66(1.415.9)       0.00         Lipid       Godecanedicate       7       -2.96(-5.43,-0.49)       0.01         chiro-insistic       13       -0.62(-1.19,-0.05)       0.03         15-methylpalmitate (isobar with 2-methylpalmitate)       14       -3.91(-7.21,-0.61)       0.02         Peptide       2       2.3(0.47,4.58)       0.02         Preptide       2       2.41(0.46,4.36)       0.01         N=(2-phosphate       3.2.41(0.46,4.36)       0.02         Unkown       6       2.54(0.32,4.77)       0.02         salcharin       10       0.39(0.11,1.75)       0.02         Unkown       6       2.54(0.32,4.77)       0.02         value       12       -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)       0.02         Unkown       12       -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)       0.02         X-04495       11       -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)       0.02         X-10510       21       3.53(0.72,6.35)       0.02         X-11412       47       2.67(0.07,5.27)       0.04         X-11470       15       0.91(0.21,62)       0.02         X-11402       13       0.96(0.03,1.9)       +       0.02         X-11402   | Cofactors and vitamins                             |      |                    |            |                |        |
| Lipid  | X-11593O-methylascorbate                           | 50   | 3.66(1.41,5.9)     |            | <b>—</b>       | 0.0014 |
| dodecanedicate     7     -2.96(5-54)-0.49)     0.01       chiro-inositol     3     -0.62(-1.19,-0.05)     0.03       15-matriylpalmitate (isobar with 2-methylpalmitate)     14     -3.91(-7.21,-0.61)     0.02       Peptide     2     2.53(0.47,4.59)     0.01       Yenglutanylghycine     4     2.53(0.47,4.59)     0.02       Saccharin     0     0.93(0.11,1.75)   | Lipid  |      |                    |            |                |        |
| chira-inositol     13     -0.62(-1.19,-0.05)     •     0.03       Peptide     23.91(-7.21,-0.61)     0.00       Peptide     4     2.53(0.47,4.58)     0.01       Saccharin     10     0.33(0.11,1.75)     0.02       Yenobiotics     0.01     0.02       saccharin     10     0.33(0.11,1.75)     0.02       N=02-phosphate     22.24(10.46,36)     0.01       N=02-phosphate     22.24(10.46,36)     0.02       homostachydrine     6     2.54(0.32,4.77)     0.02       saleyluric glucuronide     12     -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)     0.02       V=04495     11     -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)     0.02       X=05907     13     -4.44(-8.05,-0.84)     0.01       X=04495     11     -3.76(-7.01,-0.527)     0.02       X=05907     13     2.35(0.23,5.44)     0.01       X=01510     21     3.53(0.72,6.35)     0.01       X=11412     47     2.67(0.07,5.27)     0.02       X=11805     10     0.72(0.07,1.38)     0.04       X=1206     11     -4.04(-7.19,-0.89)     0.01       X=12266     9     1.76(0.25,3.27)     0.02       X=12266     11     -2.47(-0.03)     0.04       X=12704     10     -1.25   | dodecanedioate                                     | 7    | -2.96(-5.43,-0.49) |            |                | 0.0188 |
| 15-methylpalmitate (isobar with 2-methylpalmitate)       14       -3.91(-7.21,-0.61)       0.02         Peptide<br>pyroglutanylglycine       4       2.53(0.47,4.58)       0.01         Xenobiotics       0.01         saccharin       10       0.33(0.11,1.75)       0.02         pyroglutanylglycine       2       2.41(0.46,4.36)       0.01         N-(2-furoylglycine       26       -0.36(-0.67,-0.04)       0.02         homostachydrine       6       2.54(0.32,4.77)       0.02         salcyluric glucuronide       12       -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)       0.02         X-0495       11       -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)       0.02         X-05907       13       -4.44(-8.05,-0.64)       0.01         X-09706       20       -3.5(-6.5,-0.5)       0.02         X-01510       21       3.53(0.72,6.35)       0.02         X-11427       47       2.67(0.07,5.27)       0.04         X-11437       15       0.91(0.2,1.52)       0.02         X-11437       15       0.91(0.2,1.52)       0.02         X-11426       10       0.72(0.07,1.38)       0.02         X-11470       15       -3.33(-6.2,2.0,43)       0.02         X-12266       11       -4.04(-7,  | chiro-inositol                                     | 13   | -0.62(-1.19,-0.05) | +          |                | 0.0334 |
| Peptide         0.01           pyroglutanylgivcine         4         2.53(0.47,4.58)         0.01           saccharin         10         0.93(0.11,1.75)         0.02           saccharin         2.2.41(0.46,4.36)         0.01           homostachyldrine         2.6         -0.36(-0.67,-0.04)         0.02           salcyluric glucuronide         12         -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)         0.02           Unkown         0.02         0.02         0.02           X~05495         11         -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)         0.02           V~04495         11         -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)         0.02           X~05907         13         -4.44(-8.05,-0.84)         0.01           X~02249         13         2.83(0.23,5.44)         0.03           X~11412         47         2.67(0.07,5.27)         0.04           X~11470         15         0.94(0.21,82)         0.01           X~11470         15         0.94(0.21,82)         0.01           X~1206         11         -4.04(-7.19,-0.89)         0.02           X~12266         11         -4.04(-7.19,-0.38)         0.04           X~12266         11         -4.04(-7.19,-0.38)         0.04           X~12266         <   | 15-methylpalmitate (isobar with 2-methylpalmitate) | 14   | -3.91(-7.21,-0.61) |            |                | 0.0203 |
| pyrogularanylgycine         4         253(0.47,458)         0.01           Xenobiotids         0.01           Saccharin         10         0.93(0.11,1.75)         0.02           glycerol 2-phosphate         32         2.41(0.46,4.36)         0.01           N-(2-turcy)lgvicine         26         0.36(-0.67,-0.04)         0.02           bmostachydrine         6         2.54(0.32,4.77)         0.02           salicyluric glucuronide         12         -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)         0.02           Linkown         0.01         0.02         0.02           X-05907         13         -4.44(+0.85,-0.64)         0.01           X-059707         13         -4.44(+0.85,-0.64)         0.02           X-10510         21         3.53(0.72,6.35)         0.02           X-11412         47         2.67(0.75,27)         0.04           X-11427         15         0.91(0.2,162)         +         0.01           X-11437         15         0.91(0.2,162)         +         0.02           X-11470         15         -3.33(-6.2,2-0.43)         +         0.03           X-11470         15         -3.33(-6.2,2-0.43)         +         0.03           X-11206         10 <td>Peptide</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>  | Peptide  |      |                    |            |                |        |
| Kennoliotics         0         0.93(0,11,1.75)         0.02           saccharin         10         0.93(0,11,1.75)         0.02           glycerol 2-phosphate         32         2.41(0.46.4.36)         0.01           N=(2-throyliglycine         26         -0.36(-0.67,-0.04)         0.02           salicyluric glucuronide         12         -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)         0.02           Unkown         0.02         0.02         0.02           V=05907         13         -4.44(-8.05,-0.84)         0.01           X=05907         13         -5.6(-5, -0.5)         0.02           X=05907         13         -5.6(-5, -0.5)         0.02           X=010510         21         -3.53(-6.2, -0.43)         0.01           X=11412         47         2.67(0.07, 5.27)         0.04           X=11470         15         0.91(0, 2.1, 62)         0.02           X=11470         15         0.94(0, 0.2, 1.62)         0.02           X=11206         10         0.72(0, 07, 1.38)         0.04           X=12206         11         -4.04(-7.19, -0.89)         0.01           X=12266         11         -4.04(-7.19, -0.38)         0.04           X=122704         10         -1.25(-2.4  | pyroglutamylglycine                                | 4    | 2.53(0.47,4.58)    |            |                | 0.0158 |
| saccharin         10         0.93(0.11,1.75)         +         0.02           glycerol 2-phosphate         32         2.41(0.46.4.36)         0.01           number of the state of | Xenobiotics  |      |                    |            |                |        |
| glycorol 2-phosphate     32     2.41(0.46.4.36)     0.01       N-(2-furoyl)glycine     26     -0.36(-0.67,-0.04)     0.02       homostachydyine     6     2.54(0.32.4.77)     0.02       salicyluric glucuronide     12     -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)     0.02       V-04495     11     -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)     0.02       X-05907     13     -4.44(-8.05,-0.6.1)     0.02       X-05907     13     -4.44(-8.05,-0.6.1)     0.02       X-02249     13     2.38(0.23,5.4.4)     0.01       X-11412     47     2.67(0.07,5.27)     0.04       X-11437     15     0.91(0.2,1.62)     0.02       X-11470     15     -3.33(-6.22, -0.43)     0.02       X-11206     11     -4.04(-7.19, -0.89)     0.01       X-12266     19     -0.6(0.2)     0.02       X-12266     19     -1.25(-2.4.7, -0.03)     0.04       X-12266     11     -4.04(-7.19, -0.89)     0.01       X-12266     19     -1.25(-2.4.7, -0.03)     0.04       X-12766     11     -2.49(-2.4.7, -   | saccharin  | 10   | 0.93(0.11,1.75)    |            | +              | 0.0255 |
| N-2-furophighycine     26     -0.36(-0.67,-0.04)     0.02       homostachydrine     6     2.54(0.32.4.77)     0.02       aalcylure glucuronide     12     -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)     0.02       V-04495     11     -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)     0.02       ×-05307     13     -4.44(-8.05,-0.84)     0.01       ×-05495     21     3.53(0.72.6.35)     0.02       ×-10510     21     3.53(0.72.6.35)     0.01       ×-02249     13     2.83(0.23.5.44)     0.03       ×-11412     47     2.67(0.07.5.27)     0.02       ×-11470     15     0.91(0.21.62)     0.01       ×-11470     15     0.91(0.21.62)     0.01       ×-11470     15     0.91(0.21.62)     0.01       ×-11470     15     0.91(0.21.62)     0.02       ×-11470     15     0.91(0.21.62)     0.02       ×-114805     10     0.72(0.07.1.38)     0.04       ×-12266     11     -4.04(-7.19,-0.89)     0.01       ×-12266     11     -2.47(-0.03)     0.04       ×-12764     10     -1.25(-2.47,-0.03)     0.04       ×-12766     3     12.57(0.63.24.51)     0.03       ×-12766     11     -2.49(-5.15,-0.08)     0.04       ×-1276   | glycerol 2-phosphate                               | 32   | 2.41(0.46,4.36)    |            |                | 0.0157 |
| homostachydrine         6         2.54(0.32,4.77)         0.02           salleyluro glucuronide         12         -0.44(-0.84,-0.05)         0.02           Unkown  | N-(2-furoyl)glycine                                | 26   | -0.36(-0.67,-0.04) | •          |                | 0.0259 |
| salicyulur glucuronide 12 -0.44(-0.84-0.05)  Unkown X-04495 11 -3.76(-7.01,-0.55)  | homostachydrine                                    | 6    | 2.54(0.32,4.77)    | -          |                | 0.0248 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $   | salicyluric glucuronide                            | 12   | -0.44(-0.84,-0.05) | •          |                | 0.0261 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | Unkown   |      |                    |            |                |        |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $   | X-04495  | 11   | -3.78(-7.01,-0.55) |            |                | 0.0217 |
| X-09706     20     -3.5(-6.5,-0.5)     0.02       X-10510     21     3.53(0.72.6.35)     0.01       X-02249     13     2.63(0.23.5.44)     0.03       X-11412     47     2.67(0.07.5.27)     0.04       X-11437     15     0.91(0.2,16.2)     +     0.01       X-11447     15     0.91(0.2,16.2)     +     0.01       X-11470     15     -3.33(-6.22,-0.43)     +     0.02       X-11805     10     0.72(0.07,1.38)     +     0.03       X-12093     13     0.96(0.03,1.9)     +     0.03       X-12266     11     -4.04(-7.19,-0.89)     -     0.02       X-12524     31     4.69(0.45,8.92)     0.03       X-12766     11     -2.49(-2.47,-0.03)     0.04       X-12766     11     -2.49(-3.6,-0.2)     0.04       X-12864     16     -2.62(-5.15,-0.08)     0.04       X-128619     32     -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.96(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       Y-14662     19     -0.96(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       Y-14662     19     -0.96(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       Y-14662     19     -0.96(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       Y-14662     19  | X-05907  | 13   | -4.44(-8.05,-0.84) | <b></b>    |                | 0.0156 |
| X-10510     21     3.53(0.72,6.35)     0.01       X-02249     13     2.83(0.23,5.44)     0.03       X-11412     47     2.67(0.07,5.27)     0.04       X-11470     15     0.91(0.2,1.62)     0.01       X-11470     15     0.93(0.2,1.62)     0.01       X-11470     15     0.91(0.2,1.62)     0.02       X-11470     15     0.33(-6.22, -0.43)     0.02       X-12053     10     0.72(0.07, 1.38)     0.04       X-12206     11     -4.04(-7.19, -0.89)     0.01       X-12236     9     1.76(0.25, 3.27)     0.02       X-12524     31     4.69(0.45, 8.92)     0.03       X-12766     11     -2.49(-4.96, -0.02)     0.04       X-12844     16     -2.62(-5.15, -0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -3.6(-3.1, -0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89, -0.08)     0.03       -10   | X-09706  | 20   | -3.5(-6.5,-0.5)    |            |                | 0.0222 |
| X-02249     13     2.83(0.23,5.44)     0.03       X-11412     47     2.67(0.07,5.27)     0.04       X-11437     15     0.91(0.2,1.62)     +     0.01       X-11470     15     -3.33(-6.22,-0.43)     +     0.03       X-12093     13     0.96(0.03,1.9)     +     0.04       X-12206     11     -4.04(-7.19,-0.89)     +     0.04       X-12236     9     1.76(0.25,327)     0.04       X-12264     31     4.69(0.45,8.82)     0.03       X-12704     10     -1.25(-2.47,-0.03)     -       X-12766     11     -2.49(-3.6,51)     -       X-12766     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     -       X-12844     16     -2.62(-5.15,-0.08)     0.04       X-1286     11     -2.49(-4.36,-0.08)     0.04       X-12844     16     -2.62(-5.15,-0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       Y     -10     -5     10     15       Z     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       X     -10     -5     10     15       Z     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     -  | X-10510  | 21   | 3.53(0.72,6.35)    |            | <b>—</b>       | 0.0138 |
| X-11412     47     2.67(007,527)     0.04       X-11437     15     0.91(0,21,62)     0.01       X-11470     15     -3.33(-6,22,-0.43)     0.02       X-11805     10     0.72(0,07,1,38)     0.03       X-12093     13     0.96(0,03,19)     0.04       X-12266     11     -4.04(-7,19,-0.89)     0.01       X-12266     9     1.76(0,25,327)     0.02       X-12264     31     4.69(0,45,82)     0.03       X-12704     10     -1.257(0,63,24,51)     0.03       X-12766     11     -2.49(-4,96,-0.02)     0.04       X-12864     16     -2.62(-5,15,-0.08)     0.04       X-12864     16     -2.62(-5,15,-0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8,31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       Image: Colored  | X-02249  | 13   | 2.83(0.23,5.44)    | -          |                | 0.0330 |
| X-11437     15     0.91(0.2.162)     +     0.01       X-11470     15     -3.3(-6.22,-0.43)     0.02       X-11805     10     0.72(0.07,1.38)     0.02       X-12093     13     0.96(0.03,1.9)     0.04       X-12206     11     -4.04(-7.19,-0.89)     0.01       X-12206     11     -4.04(-7.19,-0.827)     0.02       X-12206     11     -2.5(-2.87,-0.3)     0.01       X-12206     11     -2.6(-2.8,-2.7)     0.02       X-12264     31     4.69(0.45,8.92)     0.03       X-12776     3     12.57(0.63,24.51)     0.03       X-12786     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-12844     16     -2.62(-5.15,-0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     5     10     15     20     25        10     -5  | X-11412  | 47   | 2.67(0.07,5.27)    | -          | <b></b>        | 0.0444 |
| X-11470     15     -3.33(-6.22,-0.43)     0.02       X-11805     10     0.72(0.07,1.38)     0.03       X-12093     13     0.96(0.03,1.9)     0.04       X-12206     11     -4.04(-7,19,-0.89)     0.01       X-12266     9     1.76(0.25,3.27)     0.02       X-12524     31     4.69(0.45,8.92)     0.03       X-12766     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.03       X-12786     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-12844     16     -2.82(-5.15,-0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       Effects of GDMs on POI     5     10     15     20     25   | X-11437  | 15   | 0.91(0.2.1.62)     | -          | ⊷              | 0.0119 |
| X-11805     10     0.72(0.07,1.38)     0.03       X-12083     13     0.96(0.03,1.9)     0.04       X-12206     11     -4.04(-7,19,-0.89)     0.01       X-12226     11     -4.04(-7,19,-0.89)     0.01       X-12226     31     4.68(0.45,8.92)     0.03       X-12776     3     12.57(0.63,24,51)     0.03       X-12776     3     12.57(0.63,24,51)     0.03       X-12766     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-12786     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-12814     16     -2.62(-5,15,-0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       -10     -5     0     15     20     25       Effects of GDMs on POI  | X-11470  | 15   | -3.33(-6.22,-0.43) |            |                | 0.0245 |
| X-12093     13     0.96(0.03,1.9)     0.04       X-12206     11     -4.04(-7.19,-0.89)     0.01       X-12236     9     1.76(0.25,327)     0.02       X-1224     31     4.69(0.45,8.92)     0.03       X-12704     10     -1.25(-2.47,-0.03)     0.04       X-12766     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-1286     19     -0.96(-1.80,-0.08)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.80,-0.08)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.80,-0.08)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.80,-0.08)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.80,-0.08)     5     10     15     20     25       Effects of GDMs on POI     Effects of GDMs on POI     0.04     0.03     0.04   | X-11805  | 10   | 0.72(0.07.1.38)    | -          | F              | 0.0300 |
| X-12206     11 - 4.04(-7.19-0.89)     0.01       X-12236     9 1.76(0.25.327)     0.02       X-12524     31 4.69(0.45.8)2)     0.03       X-12704     10 -1.25(-2.470.03)     0.04       X-12766     31 1.257(0.63.245.1)     0.03       X-12766     11 - 2.49(-4.96-0.02)     0.04       X-12844     16 - 2.62(-5.150.08)     0.04       X-13619     32 - 4.36(-3.310.4)     0.03       X-14662     19 - 0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       Effects of GDMs on POI     Effects of GDMs on POI   | X-12093  | 13   | 0.96(0.03.1.9)     |            | ←              | 0.0427 |
| X-12236     9     1.76(0.25.3.27)     0.02       X-12524     31     4.69(0.45.8.92)     0.03       X-12704     10     -1.25(-2.47, -0.3)     0.04       X-12776     3     12.57(0.63.24.51)     0.03       X-12786     11     -2.49(-4.96, -0.02)     0.04       X-12844     16     -2.62(-5.15, -0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8.31, -0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89, -0.08)     0.03       Fifters of GDMs on POI     Effects of GDMs on POI  | X-12206  | 11   | -4.04(-7.19,-0.89) |            |                | 0.0120 |
| X-12524     31     4.69(0.45,8.92)     0.03       X-12704     10     -1.25(-2.47,-0.03)     0.04       X-12776     3     1.257(0.63,24.51)     0.03       X-12786     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-1286     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-12619     32     -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       -10     -5     0     5     10     15     20     25       Effects of GDMs on POI     Effects of GDMs on POI     -10     -5     -10     -5     -10     -5  | X-12236  | 9    | 1.76(0.25.3.27)    | _          |                | 0,0225 |
| X-12704     10     -1.25(-2.47,-0.03)     0.04       X-12776     3     12.57(0.63,24.51)     0.03       X-12786     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-12844     16     -2.62(-5.15,-0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       -10     -5     0     5     10       I5     20     25     Effects of GDMs on POI   | X-12524  | 31   | 4.69(0.45.8.92)    |            |                | 0.0300 |
| X-12776     3     12.57(0.63.24.51)     0.03       X-12786     11     -2.49(-4.96,-0.02)     0.04       X-12844     16     -2.62(-5.15,-0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)     0.03       -10     -5     0     5     10     15     20     25       Effects of GDMs on POI  | X-12704  | 10   | -1.25(-2.470.03)   |            |                | 0.0442 |
| X-12786     11 -2.49(-4.96, -0.02)     0.04       X-12844     16 -2.62(-5.15, -0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32 -4.36(-8.31, -0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19 -0.98(-1.89, -0.08)     0.03       -10     -5     0     15     20     25       Effects of GDMs on POI  | X-12776  | 3    | 12.57(0.63.24.51)  |            |                | 0.0390 |
| X-12844     16     -2.62(-5.15, -0.08)     0.04       X-13619     32     -4.36(-8.31, -0.4)     0.03       X-14662     19     -0.98(-1.89, -0.08)     0.03       -10     -5     0     15     20       Effects of GDMs on POI     Effects of GDMs on POI  | X-12786  | 11   | -2.49(-4.960.02)   |            |                | 0.0482 |
| X-13619         32         -4.36(-8.31,-0.4)         0.03           X-14662         19         -0.98(-1.89,-0.08)         0.03           -10         -5         0         5         10         15         20         25           Effects of GDMs on POI         Effects of GDMs on POI         Control         Contro         Control         Control <t< td=""><td>X-12844</td><td>16</td><td>-2.62(-5.150.08)</td><td></td><td></td><td>0,0429</td></t<>  | X-12844  | 16   | -2.62(-5.150.08)   |            |                | 0,0429 |
| X - 14662         19         -0.98(-1.89, -0.08)         -10         -5         0         15         20         25           -10         -5         0         5         10         15         20         25           Effects of GDMs on POI   | X-13619  | 32   | -4.36(-8.310.4)    |            |                | 0,0308 |
| -10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 25<br>Effects of GDMs on POI   | X-14662  | 19   | -0.98(-1.890.08)   | -          |                | 0.0333 |
| -10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 25<br>Effects of GDMs on POI   |  |      |                    |            | 1 1. 1.        |        |
| Effects of GDMs on POI   |  |      | -1                 | u -5 0     | 5 10 15        | 20 ZD  |
|  |  |      |                    | Effects    | of GDMs on POI |        |
|  |  |      |                    |            |                |        |
|  |  |      |                    |            |                |        |

chiro -inositol, homostachydrine, X-12236, X-12776, and X-12844) of the 33 metabolites identified by IVW passed at least one additional sensitivity test (Table 1). Intriguingly, given that the MR-Egger and weighted median methods relied on potentially incorrect assumptions, N-acetylalanine, the most prominent known compound we identified through IVW, still demonstrated a strong correlation on the risk of POI in the MR -PRESSO test ( $P_{MR-PRESSO} = 0.0022$ ), implicating that this known GDM deserves more in-depth studies (Table 1, Figure 3A). The LOO approach demonstrated the absence of any potential outliers in IVs, which implied that no leading SNPs would severely affect the results after elimination, and further confirmed the credibility of our MR results against this critically important metabolite (Figure 3B).

A series of sensitivity analyses were subsequently conducted in this study to further evaluate the robustness of IVW results. For 33 serum metabolites excavated by IVW, Cochran's Q-test showed no heterogeneity among the IVs (Table 2). The results of MR-Egger regression intercept and MR-PRESSO global test demonstrated that our MR analyses were not subjected to any potential effect of horizontal pleiotropy (P > 0.05) (Table 3).

# 3.4 Genetic variants for determining the causality of the association

Latent genetic variants were further delved into, which might cast a decisive role in identifying causal relationship between GDMs and POI. N-acetylalanine, a critical serum metabolite revealed by our study, was spotlighted. SNPs that exert an essential effect in the causal relationship between N-acetylalanine and POI were deeply investigated. Among the 23 SNPs constituting the IVs for N-acetylalanine, rs11144136 exhibited the most significant correlation signal with the largest association coefficient ( $\beta = 0.0097$ ; standard error = 0.018; P = 4.82E-08, Table 4). Intriguingly, its strong effect on POI was also captured by our study ( $\beta = 0.3626$ ; standard error = 0.1407; P = 0.099). Similarly, rs2019107 ( $\beta = -0.2666$ ; standard error =0.0915; P = 0.0036) and rs11606364 ( $\beta = 0.4646$ ; standard error =0.1951; P = 0.0173) were also significantly linked to POI. These SNPs may shed light on the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms of POI, as well as offer valuable insights into the identification of diagnostic and therapeutic targets.

## 3.5 Replication and meta-analysis

To bolster the robustness of our findings, we expanded our MR analysis by incorporating additional GWAS datasets for the positive metabolites identified in above study. For the 33 candidate metabolites under investigation, GWAS data from alternative sources were successfully retrieved for 8 of them. A meta-analysis was subsequently performed on the MR results from all available data sources for each metabolite (Supplementary Figures S1–S8). As anticipated, multiple candidate metabolites exhibited similar trends in causal associations with POI across different GWAS data sources, akin to the patterns observed using data from Shin et al., although the causal effects were not significant, likely due to substantial differences in sample size. Notably, the meta-analysis result further validated the protective effect of salicyluric glucuronide on POI (Supplementary Figure S1), indicating that a higher genetic

#### TABLE 1 Sensitivity analysis of causal associations between metabolites and POI.

| 65.VI  | MR-Eg                | ger     | Weighted I         | median  | MR-PRESSO               |         |
|--|----------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| GDMs   | lnOR (95% CI)        | P-value | lnOR (95% CI)      | P-value | lnOR (95% CI)           | P-value |
| Amino acid   |                      |         |                    |         |                         |         |
| threonine  | 8.15(-5.54,21.84)    | 0.2585  | 3.04(-1.64,7.71)   | 0.2150  | 3.99 (2.07, 5.92)       | 0.0007  |
| N-acetylalanine  | 31.44(-75.27,138.16) | 0.5698  | 7.35(-1.08,15.77)  | 0.0875  | 10.56 (4.58, 16.53)     | 0.0022  |
| indolepropionate   | -2.03(-5.36,1.3)     | 0.2505  | -2.04(-4.24,0.16)  | 0.0695  | -1.9 ( -3.24 , -0.55 )  | 0.0140  |
| 3–(3<br>–hydroxyphenyl)propionate                        | -0.84(-3.1,1.42)     | 0.4888  | -0.73(-1.88,0.42)  | 0.2138  | -0.87 ( -1.66 , -0.09 ) | 0.0574  |
| Cofactors and vitamins                                   |                      |         |                    |         |                         |         |
| X-11593-<br>-O-methylascorbate                           | 3.14(-0.92,7.2)      | 0.1364  | 2.86(-0.69,6.4)    | 0.1142  | 3.66 ( NA , NA )        | 0.0010  |
| Lipid  |                      |         | -                  |         | -                       |         |
| dodecanedioate   | 2.15(-7.17,11.47)    | 0.6696  | -2.71(-5.89,0.48)  | 0.0964  | -2.96 ( -4.59 , -1.33 ) | 0.0120  |
| chiro-inositol   | 0.47(-0.78,1.72)     | 0.4735  | -0.2(-1,0.59)      | 0.6194  | -0.62 ( -1.19 , -0.05 ) | 0.0548  |
| 15–methylpalmitate<br>(isobar with<br>2–methylpalmitate) | -5.86(-12.5,0.78)    | 0.1091  | -3.8(-8.34,0.74)   | 0.1010  | -3.91 ( -7.03 , -0.79 ) | 0.0289  |
| Peptide  | I                    |         |                    |         | 1                       |         |
| pyroglutamylglycine                                      | -1.25(-7.36,4.85)    | 0.7266  | 3.06(0.49,5.63)    | 0.0198  | 2.53 ( 0.47 , 4.58 )    | 0.0948  |
| Xenobiotics  | I                    |         |                    |         | I                       |         |
| saccharin  | 1.55(0,3.1)          | 0.0858  | 1.3(0.26,2.33)     | 0.0144  | 0.93 ( 0.46 , 1.4 )     | 0.0038  |
| glycerol 2-phosphate                                     | 2.9(-0.61,6.41)      | 0.1162  | 3.15(-0.07,6.38)   | 0.0549  | 2.41 ( 0.46 , 4.36 )    | 0.0217  |
| N-(2-furoyl)glycine                                      | -0.4(-0.89,0.1)      | 0.1275  | -0.25(-0.72,0.21)  | 0.2829  | -0.36 ( -0.62 , -0.09 ) | 0.0145  |
| homostachydrine  | -3.75(-10.46,2.97)   | 0.3355  | 2.62(-0.48,5.71)   | 0.0977  | 2.54 ( 0.46 , 4.63 )    | 0.0622  |
| salicyluric glucuronide                                  | -0.52(-1.21,0.17)    | 0.1723  | -0.26(-0.81,0.29)  | 0.3539  | -0.44 ( -0.79 , -0.1 )  | 0.0270  |
| Unknown  |                      |         |                    |         |                         |         |
| X-04495  | -2.46(-10.45,5.54)   | 0.5623  | -2.25(-6.09,1.6)   | 0.2522  | -3.78 ( -7.01 , -0.55 ) | 0.0446  |
| X-05907  | -4.53(-12.8,3.74)    | 0.3061  | -4.58(-9.56,0.4)   | 0.0712  | -4.44 ( -7.94 , -0.95 ) | 0.0284  |
| X-09706  | -5.1(-12.32,2.11)    | 0.1827  | -2.38(-6.6,1.83)   | 0.2682  | -3.5 ( -6.5 , -0.5 )    | 0.0338  |
| X-10510  | 3.23(-3.17,9.64)     | 0.3351  | 4.34(0.26,8.42)    | 0.0373  | 3.53 ( 1.17 , 5.9 )     | 0.0083  |
| X-02249  | 7.75(-0.9,16.41)     | 0.1067  | 2.89(-0.63,6.4)    | 0.1078  | 2.83 ( 0.35 , 5.32 )    | 0.0448  |
| X-11412  | 5.37(-1.11,11.85)    | 0.1110  | 1.44(-2.58,5.46)   | 0.4833  | 2.67 ( 0.29 , 5.05 )    | 0.0331  |
| X-11437  | 1.15(0.11,2.2)       | 0.0499  | 1.07(0.21,1.93)    | 0.0145  | 0.91 ( 0.2 , 1.62 )     | 0.0248  |
| X-11470  | -2.67(-9.76,4.43)    | 0.4743  | -3.07(-6.63,0.5)   | 0.0921  | -3.33 ( -6.22 , -0.43 ) | 0.0411  |
| X-11805  | 0.75(-0.17,1.67)     | 0.1475  | 0.63(-0.25,1.51)   | 0.1612  | 0.72 ( 0.33 , 1.12 )    | 0.0056  |
| X-12093  | 0.42(-1.27,2.11)     | 0.6349  | 0.67(-0.57,1.9)    | 0.2896  | 0.96 ( 0.19 , 1.74 )    | 0.0308  |
| X-12206  | -14.39(-25.26,-3.53) | 0.0289  | -3.63(-7.87,0.61)  | 0.0935  | -4.04 ( -7.19 , -0.89 ) | 0.0308  |
| X-12236  | 1.67(-2.63,5.96)     | 0.4714  | 1.1(-0.99,3.19)    | 0.3023  | 1.76 ( 0.25 , 3.27 )    | 0.0520  |
| X-12524  | 2.69(-6.8,12.19)     | 0.5826  | 3.57(-2.77,9.91)   | 0.2697  | 4.69 ( 0.48 , 8.9 )     | 0.0370  |
| X-12704  | -2.22(-5.88,1.44)    | 0.2684  | -1.62(-3.21,-0.02) | 0.0476  | -1.25 ( -2.24 , -0.26 ) | 0.0355  |
| X-12776  | 6.87(-11.12,24.86)   | 0.5909  | 11.35(-2.85,25.56) | 0.1171  | NA                      | NA      |

#### TABLE 1 Continued

|         | MR-Eg              | ger     | Weighted r         | median  | MR-PRESSO               |         |
|---------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| GDMs    | lnOR (95% CI)      | P-value | lnOR (95% CI)      | P-value | lnOR (95% CI)           | P-value |
| Unknown |                    |         |                    |         |                         |         |
| X-12786 | -4.2(-8.69,0.3)    | 0.1005  | -3.06(-6.08,-0.03) | 0.0477  | -2.49 ( -4.96 , -0.02 ) | 0.0764  |
| X-12844 | -3.11(-12.19,5.97) | 0.5129  | -1.33(-4.93,2.26)  | 0.4676  | -2.62 ( -5.07 , -0.16 ) | 0.0540  |
| X-13619 | -4.81(-17.08,7.47) | 0.4486  | -4.46(-10.37,1.45) | 0.1387  | -4.36 ( -7.97 , -0.74 ) | 0.0246  |
| X-14662 | -0.99(-2.54,0.56)  | 0.2262  | -1.01(-2.3,0.27)   | 0.1222  | -0.98 ( -1.89 , -0.08 ) | 0.0474  |

Values in bold represent statistically significant data with P < 0.05.

predisposition for salicyluric glucuronide (OR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.32– 0.77; P = 0.002) might reduce susceptibility to POI.

# 3.6 Evaluation of genetic correlation and directionality

LDSC analysis was applied to examine the genetic correlation between POI and candidate metabolites. Most metabolites, except for X-13619 (rg=-1.163, P=0.035) and X-11593—O-methylascorbate (rg=0.703, P=0.038), showed no significant genetic correlation with POI, suggesting that MR estimates for these metabolites are unlikely to be confounded by shared genetic factors (Supplementary Table S1). However, due to limitations such as low heritability and small sample sizes, several metabolites were not amenable to this analysis. Moreover, MR Steiger directionality tests were performed for these metabolites and interpreted the direction from these GDMs to POI as robust, proving that the inferred causal directions between our exposures and outcome are "True" (Table 5). Results of the reverse MR analysis also demonstrated no definitive evidence of causality between POI phenotype and candidate GDMs within the IVW model (Supplementary Table S2), which was further corroborated by three additional MR approaches (Supplementary Table S3). Cochran's Q test, MR-Egger, and MR-PRESSO analyses showed no substantial heterogeneity or horizontal pleiotropy in reverse MR study (Supplementary Tables S4, S5).

# 3.7 Results of genetic colocalization analysis

Colocalization analysis, serving as a crucial supplemental analysis to support the validity of IV assumptions in MR analyses, was performed for the 33 candidate metabolites. Causal associations between multiple metabolites and POI were revealed to be driven by shared genetic variants within gene loci (Supplementary Table S6). For instance, the MR effect of N-acetylalanine, one of the most significant compounds identified in this study, on POI was driven by the shared lead SNP rs11143900 located on chromosome 9p21.2-PIP5K1B (Supplementary Figure S9).



FIGURE 3

Sensitivity analysis of genetic associations of N–acetylalanine on POI. **(A)** Scatter plot of potential effects of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on N–acetylalanine vs. POI, with the slope of each line corresponding to the estimated MR effect per method. **(B)** Leave-one-out analysis for the impact of individual SNPs on the association between N–acetylalanine and POI risk. By leaving out exactly one SNP, it depicts how each SNP influences the overall estimate.

### TABLE 2 Results of heterogeneity by the Cochran's Q test.

| CD11   | Cochran's Q te | est via IVW |        | Cochran's Q test via MR-Egger |      |        |  |
|--|----------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|------|--------|--|
| GDMS   | Q              | Q_df        | Q_pval | Q                             | Q_df | Q_pval |  |
| Amino acid   |                |             |        |                               |      |        |  |
| threonine  | 5.463          | 19          | 0.999  | 5.083                         | 18   | 0.999  |  |
| N-acetylalanine  | 21.257         | 22          | 0.505  | 21.108                        | 21   | 0.452  |  |
| indolepropionate   | 10.782         | 16          | 0.823  | 10.774                        | 15   | 0.768  |  |
| 3–(3<br>–hydroxyphenyl)propionate                        | 7.592          | 9           | 0.576  | 7.591                         | 8    | 0.474  |  |
| Cofactors and vitamins                                   |                |             |        |                               |      |        |  |
| X–11593––O–methylascorbate                               | 41.030         | 49          | 0.784  | 40.941                        | 48   | 0.755  |  |
| Lipid  |                |             |        |                               |      |        |  |
| dodecanedioate   | 2.623          | 6           | 0.854  | 1.380                         | 5    | 0.927  |  |
| chiro-inositol   | 14.161         | 12          | 0.291  | 10.576                        | 11   | 0.479  |  |
| 15–methylpalmitate<br>(isobar with<br>2–methylpalmitate) | 11.613         | 13          | 0.560  | 11.173                        | 12   | 0.514  |  |
| Peptide  |                |             |        |                               |      |        |  |
| pyroglutamylglycine                                      | 3.310          | 3           | 0.346  | 1.668                         | 2    | 0.434  |  |
| Xenobiotics  |                |             |        |                               |      |        |  |
| saccharin  | 2.996          | 9           | 0.964  | 2.147                         | 8    | 0.976  |  |
| glycerol 2-phosphate                                     | 34.143         | 31          | 0.319  | 34.019                        | 30   | 0.280  |  |
| N-(2-furoyl)glycine                                      | 17.974         | 25          | 0.843  | 17.925                        | 24   | 0.807  |  |
| homostachydrine  | 4.399          | 5           | 0.493  | 0.612                         | 4    | 0.962  |  |
| salicyluric glucuronide                                  | 8.382          | 11          | 0.679  | 8.317                         | 10   | 0.598  |  |
| Unknown  |                |             |        |                               |      |        |  |
| X-04495  | 12.968         | 10          | 0.225  | 12.786                        | 9    | 0.173  |  |
| X-05907  | 11.301         | 12          | 0.503  | 11.300                        | 11   | 0.418  |  |
| X-09706  | 20.924         | 19          | 0.341  | 20.659                        | 18   | 0.297  |  |
| X-10510  | 14.116         | 20          | 0.825  | 14.106                        | 19   | 0.777  |  |
| X-02249  | 10.871         | 12          | 0.540  | 9.505                         | 11   | 0.575  |  |
| X-11412  | 38.511         | 46          | 0.776  | 37.712                        | 45   | 0.771  |  |
| X-11437  | 20.001         | 14          | 0.130  | 19.404                        | 13   | 0.111  |  |
| X-11470  | 20.488         | 14          | 0.115  | 20.425                        | 13   | 0.085  |  |
| X-11805  | 3.240          | 9           | 0.954  | 3.232                         | 8    | 0.919  |  |
| X-12093  | 8.241          | 12          | 0.766  | 7.669                         | 11   | 0.743  |  |
| X-12206  | 11.241         | 10          | 0.339  | 7.471                         | 9    | 0.588  |  |
| X-12236  | 8.285          | 8           | 0.406  | 8.282                         | 7    | 0.308  |  |
| X-12524  | 29.667         | 30          | 0.483  | 29.451                        | 29   | 0.442  |  |
| X-12704  | 5.968          | 9           | 0.743  | 5.664                         | 8    | 0.685  |  |
| X-12776  | 0.912          | 2           | 0.634  | 0.223                         | 1    | 0.637  |  |
| X-12786  | 15.065         | 10          | 0.130  | 13.836                        | 9    | 0.128  |  |

### TABLE 2 Continued

| GDMs    | Cochran's Q te | est via IVW |        | Cochran's Q test via MR-Egger |      |        |  |
|---------|----------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|------|--------|--|
|         | Q              | Q_df        | Q_pval | Q                             | Q_df | Q_pval |  |
| Unknown |                |             |        |                               |      |        |  |
| X-12844 | 14.068         | 15          | 0.520  | 14.056                        | 14   | 0.446  |  |
| X-13619 | 25.914         | 31          | 0.725  | 25.908                        | 30   | 0.680  |  |
| X-14662 | 21.975         | 18          | 0.233  | 21.975                        | 17   | 0.186  |  |

TABLE 3 Results of horizontal pleiotropy by the MR-Egger intercept test and MR-PRESSO global test.

| CDMc   | MR-Egger intercer | ot test |         | MR-PRESSO global test |         |  |
|--|-------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|--|
| GDMS   | Intercept         | SE      | P-value | Rss obs               | P-value |  |
| Amino acid   |                   |         |         |                       |         |  |
| threonine  | -0.061            | 0.098   | 0.545   | 6.05                  | 1.000   |  |
| N-acetylalanine  | -0.170            | 0.442   | 0.705   | 23.31                 | 0.546   |  |
| indolepropionate   | 0.005             | 0.050   | 0.929   | 12.38                 | 0.811   |  |
| 3–(3<br>–hydroxyphenyl)propionate                        | -0.003            | 0.094   | 0.975   | 8.92                  | 0.605   |  |
| Cofactors and vitamins                                   |                   |         |         |                       |         |  |
| X–11593–<br>–O–methylascorbate                           | 0.008             | 0.026   | 0.766   | 42.43                 | 0.832   |  |
| Lipid  |                   |         |         |                       |         |  |
| dodecanedioate   | -0.173            | 0.155   | 0.315   | 3.34                  | 0.889   |  |
| chiro-inositol   | -0.135            | 0.071   | 0.085   | 17.03                 | 0.324   |  |
| 15–methylpalmitate<br>(isobar with<br>2–methylpalmitate) | 0.041             | 0.062   | 0.519   | 12.87                 | 0.624   |  |
| Peptide  |                   |         |         |                       |         |  |
| pyroglutamylglycine                                      | 0.231             | 0.180   | 0.328   | 4.75                  | 0.485   |  |
| Xenobiotics  |                   |         |         |                       |         |  |
| saccharin  | -0.075            | 0.082   | 0.384   | 3.65                  | 0.972   |  |
| glycerol 2-phosphate                                     | -0.011            | 0.034   | 0.743   | 37.02                 | 0.315   |  |
| N-(2-furoyl)glycine                                      | 0.010             | 0.046   | 0.827   | 21.14                 | 0.821   |  |
| homostachydrine  | 0.294             | 0.151   | 0.124   | 6.69                  | 0.507   |  |
| salicyluric glucuronide                                  | 0.021             | 0.083   | 0.804   | 9.84                  | 0.722   |  |
| Unknown  |                   |         |         |                       |         |  |
| X-04495  | -0.038            | 0.106   | 0.729   | 15.28                 | 0.277   |  |
| X-05907  | 0.002             | 0.075   | 0.983   | 12.37                 | 0.591   |  |
| X-09706  | 0.032             | 0.067   | 0.637   | 23.23                 | 0.397   |  |
| X-10510  | 0.006             | 0.061   | 0.920   | 15.70                 | 0.838   |  |
| X-02249  | -0.119            | 0.102   | 0.267   | 12.86                 | 0.563   |  |
| X-11412  | -0.033            | 0.037   | 0.376   | 40.29                 | 0.774   |  |

#### TABLE 3 Continued

|         | MR-Egger intercer | ot test | MR-PRESSO global test |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| GDMs    | Intercept         | SE      | P-value               | Rss obs | P-value |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | Unknown           |         |                       |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-11437 | -0.039            | 0.062   | 0.538                 | 21.45   | 0.213   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-11470 | -0.019            | 0.094   | 0.844                 | 22.48   | 0.161   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-11805 | -0.005            | 0.060   | 0.933                 | 4.44    | 0.949   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-12093 | 0.045             | 0.060   | 0.465                 | 9.17    | 0.797   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-12206 | 0.230             | 0.119   | 0.084                 | 14.04   | 0.357   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-12236 | 0.005             | 0.111   | 0.965                 | 10.37   | 0.464   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-12524 | 0.026             | 0.056   | 0.649                 | 31.70   | 0.510   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-12704 | 0.058             | 0.106   | 0.596                 | 7.13    | 0.777   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-12776 | 0.131             | 0.158   | 0.559                 | NA      | NA      |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-12786 | 0.066             | 0.073   | 0.395                 | 17.77   | 0.186   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-12844 | 0.011             | 0.096   | 0.913                 | 16.11   | 0.540   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-13619 | 0.005             | 0.060   | 0.940                 | 27.38   | 0.747   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-14662 | 0.001             | 0.050   | 0.987                 | 23.81   | 0.306   |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 4 Genetic predictors of N-acetylalanine and their association with POI.

| CNID       |     |    |    | N-acetylalanine |         |        |           | P      | JI      |        |         |
|------------|-----|----|----|-----------------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| SNP        | CHR | EA | KA | EAF             | Beta    | SE     | P value   | EAF    | Beta    | SE     | P value |
| rs11144136 | 9   | Т  | G  | 0.1375          | 0.0097  | 0.0018 | 4.862E-08 | 0.1111 | 0.3626  | 0.1407 | 0.0099  |
| rs10125203 | 9   | С  | G  | 0.8698          | 0.009   | 0.0018 | 4.109E-07 | 0.8481 | -0.0132 | 0.1248 | 0.9156  |
| rs266742   | 3   | Т  | G  | 0.7307          | 0.0082  | 0.0016 | 5.676E-07 | 0.7156 | 0.1562  | 0.0997 | 0.1174  |
| rs1554074  | 12  | А  | G  | 0.1925          | 0.0086  | 0.0017 | 6.392E-07 | 0.1666 | 0.0389  | 0.1193 | 0.7442  |
| rs6690829  | 1   | Т  | С  | 0.24            | -0.008  | 0.0016 | 1.242E-06 | 0.2599 | -0.0233 | 0.1016 | 0.8183  |
| rs11045425 | 12  | А  | G  | 0.1266          | 0.0086  | 0.0018 | 1.832E-06 | 0.1189 | -0.0302 | 0.1394 | 0.8287  |
| rs6462723  | 7   | А  | G  | 0.121           | -0.0085 | 0.0018 | 1.921E-06 | 0.1023 | -0.1744 | 0.1471 | 0.2360  |
| rs9663814  | 10  | А  | G  | 0.6175          | 0.0076  | 0.0016 | 1.952E-06 | 0.6392 | 0.0999  | 0.093  | 0.2826  |
| rs2596194  | 15  | А  | Т  | 0.1255          | -0.0083 | 0.0018 | 2.586E-06 | 0.0903 | -0.0501 | 0.1562 | 0.7482  |
| rs7178551  | 15  | Т  | С  | 0.1545          | 0.0081  | 0.0017 | 2.664E-06 | 0.1213 | 0.0377  | 0.1365 | 0.7822  |
| rs10988352 | 9   | Т  | G  | 0.1244          | 0.0084  | 0.0018 | 3.086E-06 | 0.1473 | -0.0422 | 0.127  | 0.7398  |
| rs2486925  | 1   | Т  | G  | 0.1462          | -0.0082 | 0.0018 | 3.467E-06 | 0.1172 | 0.0856  | 0.1387 | 0.5372  |
| rs10090064 | 8   | Т  | С  | 0.8026          | 0.0077  | 0.0017 | 5.931E-06 | 0.7717 | 0.0624  | 0.1066 | 0.5583  |
| rs513209   | 11  | А  | С  | 0.8824          | -0.0082 | 0.0018 | 6.602E-06 | 0.829  | -0.0899 | 0.1192 | 0.4506  |
| rs2019107  | 19  | Т  | С  | 0.6257          | -0.0078 | 0.0017 | 6.655E-06 | 0.594  | -0.2666 | 0.0915 | 0.0036  |
| rs6019504  | 20  | А  | G  | 0.8586          | 0.008   | 0.0018 | 6.689E-06 | 0.8234 | 0.2158  | 0.1182 | 0.0679  |
| rs2993308  | 13  | А  | G  | 0.338           | 0.0075  | 0.0017 | 6.833E-06 | 0.2931 | 0.0984  | 0.0982 | 0.3160  |
| rs10850426 | 12  | Т  | G  | 0.1199          | 0.0081  | 0.0018 | 6.845E-06 | 0.0712 | 0.0427  | 0.1761 | 0.8082  |

#### TABLE 4 Continued

|            |     |   | DA | N–acetylalanine |        |        |           | POI     |         |        |         |
|------------|-----|---|----|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| SNP        | Спк |   | KA | EAF             | Beta   | SE     | P value   | EAF     | Beta    | SE     | P value |
| rs7250750  | 19  | Т | G  | 0.8583          | 0.0081 | 0.0018 | 7.029E-06 | 0.8116  | -0.0674 | 0.1146 | 0.5564  |
| rs732426   | 17  | А | G  | 0.8218          | 0.0077 | 0.0017 | 7.541E-06 | 0.7889  | -0.0378 | 0.1104 | 0.7319  |
| rs10757735 | 9   | А | С  | 0.132           | -0.008 | 0.0018 | 7.911E-06 | 0.1226  | -0.0569 | 0.136  | 0.6756  |
| rs662760   | 6   | А | С  | 0.8723          | 0.0079 | 0.0018 | 8.042E-06 | 0.896   | 0.0651  | 0.1443 | 0.6519  |
| rs11606364 | 11  | Т | С  | 0.1149          | 0.0084 | 0.0019 | 9.266E-06 | 0.05562 | 0.4646  | 0.1951 | 0.0173  |

Values in bold represent statistically significant data with P < 0.05.

#### TABLE 5 Estimation of the Steiger direction from GDMs to POI.

| GDMs   | SNP_r2.exposure | SNP_r2.outcome | Direction | Steiger P-value |
|--|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Amino acid   |                 |                |           |                 |
| threonine  | 0.0838          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 5.46E-97        |
| N-acetylalanine  | 0.0694          | 0.0003         | TRUE      | 1.78E-97        |
| indolepropionate   | 0.0933          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 1.85E-117       |
| 3–(3<br>–hydroxyphenyl)propionate                        | 0.3135          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 1.43E-77        |
| Cofactors and vitamins                                   |                 |                |           |                 |
| X–11593–<br>–O–methylascorbate                           | 0.2847          | 0.0004         | TRUE      | 0               |
| Lipid  |                 |                |           |                 |
| dodecanedioate   | 0.0860          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 7.28E-70        |
| chiro–inositol   | 0.2601          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 3.05E-125       |
| 15–methylpalmitate<br>(isobar with<br>2–methylpalmitate) | 0.0729          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 4.60E-85        |
| Peptide  |                 |                |           |                 |
| pyroglutamylglycine                                      | 0.0793          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 1.37E-21        |
| Xenobiotics  |                 |                |           |                 |
| saccharin  | 0.1681          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 3.68E-78        |
| glycerol 2-phosphate                                     | 0.1795          | 0.0003         | TRUE      | 8.31E-199       |
| N-(2-furoyl)glycine                                      | 1.2440          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | NA              |
| homostachydrine  | 0.0811          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 6.74E-29        |
| salicyluric glucuronide                                  | 0.5540          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 6.16E-115       |
| Unknown  |                 |                |           |                 |
| X-04495  | 0.0536          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 2.57E-64        |
| X-05907  | 0.0703          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 8.74E-86        |
| X-09706  | 0.0858          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 5.48E-111       |
| X-10510  | 0.1001          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 1.27E-140       |
| X-02249  | 0.0462          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 1.88E-65        |
| X-11412  | 0.1838          | 0.0004         | TRUE      | 5.70E-251       |

| GDMs    | SNP_r2.exposure | SNP_r2.outcome | Direction | Steiger P-value |
|---------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Unknown |                 |                |           |                 |
| X-11437 | 0.0980          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 5.80E-102       |
| X-11470 | 0.0694          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 8.25E-89        |
| X-11805 | 0.0920          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 2.17E-51        |
| X-12093 | 0.3136          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 1.92E-173       |
| X-12206 | 0.0870          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 5.02E-50        |
| X-12236 | 0.1242          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 9.77E-50        |
| X-12524 | 0.1322          | 0.0003         | TRUE      | 3.83E-180       |
| X-12704 | 0.1463          | 0.0001         | TRUE      | 8.91E-53        |
| X-12776 | 0.0289          | 0.0000         | TRUE      | 1.57E-22        |
| X-12786 | 0.0618          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 9.79E-66        |
| X-12844 | 0.0763          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 2.88E-102       |
| X-13619 | 0.1332          | 0.0003         | TRUE      | 6.72E-183       |
| X-14662 | 0.1555          | 0.0002         | TRUE      | 3.21E-121       |

#### TABLE 5 Continued

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; CHR, chromosome; EA, effect allele; RA, reference allele; EAF, effect allele frequency.

### 3.8 Metabolic pathway analysis

Based on multiple known metabolites with established causal connections to POI revealed in our study, we pinpointed two metabolic pathways potentially implicated in the mechanism underlying POI pathogenesis (Supplementary Table S7). The biosynthesis of valine, leucine, and isoleucine (P = 0.0052), alongside the metabolism of glycine, serine, and threonine (P = 0.0214), emerge as potential biological pathways involved in the development of POI. Further research focusing on these pathways is anticipated to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of POI more deeply.

## 4 Discussion

An unbiased detection of causal associations between GDMs and POI was implemented by employing two-sample MR analysis. Using genetic variants as proxies, causal associations of 33 serum metabolites and POI were uncovered via IVW approach. Association between genetically determined higher levels of X-11437 and increased risk of POI development was consistently validated across four MR analysis methods. Besides, our study revealed 26 metabolites exhibiting significant signals in most of the vital MR methodologies, and the known metabolite Nacetylalanine was disclosed as a crucial serum metabolite linked to an increased risk of POI. Moreover, potential genetic variants responsible for causality were further screened. By replication and meta-analyses with additional metabolite GWAS datasets, Steiger tests, and reverse analyses, the robustness of our findings were significantly bolstered. The assessment of genetic correlation between metabolites and POI through LDSC rendered the MR estimates more credible. Colocalization analysis provided strong evidence for causal connections between these metabolites and POI from the perspective of shared genetic variants. Two specific metabolic pathways involved in the pathogenesis of POI were also revealed. Our study underscored the complex genetic underpinnings of POI and suggested potential biological mechanisms for its pathology.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first large-scale MR analysis incorporating metabolomics and genomics to unravel the physiopathological mechanisms of POI. GWAS data were utilized to investigate the causality between serum GDMs and POI at the genetic level. By focusing on certain metabolites, our study might yield useful recommendations for the prevention, treatment, and management of POI. This study has bridged a gap in the relevant field with research that offers novel insights into the role of interactions between genetic and metabolic factors in the pathogenesis of POI.

On the basis of a panel of 23 genetic scores with different degrees of specificity for N-acetylalanine, the genetic correlation between elevated levels of N-acetylalanine and increased risk of POI was demonstrated in this study. Previous metabolite profiling studies have indicated the presence of N-acetylalanine in human amniotic fluid, which is of great potential significance for the diagnosis of maternal or fetal disease (43). Nevertheless, the link between N-acetylalanine and other reproductive disorders, such as POI, has never received sufficient clinical attention. Given that this study has unveiled, for the first time, that N-acetylalanine was the most influential known metabolite in the causal correlation with POI, it is imperative to conduct future large-scale clinical data collection and in-depth correlative studies to further support the potential role of N-acetylalanine in the diagnosis or treatment of POI.

Our study also pinpointed a handful of other known metabolites that are possibly implicated in POI, including chiroinositol, pyroglutamylglycine, saccharin, etc. Chiro-inositol is a carbocyclic sugar polyalcohol and comprises several isomers such as D-chiro-inositol (44). With high levels negatively affecting the quality of oocytes and blastocysts (45), D-chiro-inositol performs an instrumental role in the maintenance of normal ovarian function and state of health, making strict regulation of its abundance a necessity (46). Possible deleterious effects of D-chiro-inositol on ovarian tissue revealed by prior studies are in line with the causality between this GDM and POI unearthed in our study (47). Pyroglutamylglycine, a dipeptide composed of glycine and 5-oxo-L-proline, was reported to be a risk factor for the development of pancreatic cancer in a large prospective survey (48), whereas the association between pyroglutamylglycine and other diseases remained unclear. This study was the first to propose this causative link between pyroglutamylglycine and POI, laying foundation for subsequent insightful studies on this metabolite and POI pathogenesis. Saccharin and its salts are artificial nonnutritive sweeteners. Accumulated studies have documented that saccharin has detrimental impacts on reproductive indices in senescent mice (49), induces sister chromatid exchanges in hamster and human cells, and functions as a weak carcinogen to cause cytogenetic alterations (50). Sodium saccharin has also formerly been disclosed to exert an unfavorable biological influence on ovarian estrus in rats, with an increasing percentage of abnormal cycles and a growing number of ovarian cysts (51). As a further complement to the previous findings, our study shed the first light on the causal effect between saccharin and POI, supporting the harmful effects of saccharin on ovarian function.

In addition, it is worthy of attracting sufficient focus that this study has uncovered several interesting GDMs categorized as 'unknown', including X-11437 which exhibited robustly significant causal association with POI. Despite the fact that their chemical properties have not been clearly ascertained to date, causal associations between these metabolites and POI were revealed. We enrolled these "unknown" metabolites in our study as they still hold the attention of other researchers, expecting that these GDMs will gain wider attention in the future to yield valuable information.

Genetic variants associated with variation in the crucial target metabolite N-acetylalanine were also further drilled down. One of the N-acetylalanine IVs, SNP rs11045425, is situated within gene SLCO1C1. It has been reported that thyroid dysfunction is implicated in POI, and SLCO1C1 can encode extremely pivotal transporter proteins of thyroid hormones, which are essential for ovarian function (52, 53). Apart from that, rs6690829, another IV for N-acetylalanine, lies on gene KIF26B, whose up-regulation correlates with cancer cell function in ovarian cancer (54). Although further evidence for the correlation of KIF26B with POI is lacking, associations discovered in this study might offer new clues for understanding the potential molecular mechanisms of POI. Similarly, rs10757735 is located on LINGO2, and studies have probed that LINGO2 is a critical gene related to the development of embryos and oocytes (55, 56), indicating LINGO2 might perform an influential role in the pathogenesis of POI. It is noteworthy that another instrumental variable for N-acetylalanine, rs732426, is located in *MYH13*. No link has been previously established between MYH13 and POI, but the Human Protein Atlas database (https://www.proteinatlas.org/) reports enrichment of *MYH13* in oocytes at the single-cell level, suggesting that *MYH13* may function in oocyte depletion in the ovary. These connections disclosed in this study serve to bring a completely novel perspective to the further excavation of pathogenic mechanisms for POI.

Moreover, the colocalization analysis revealed that Nacetylalanine shared a locus with POI, rs11143900, located on chromosome 9p21.2 within PIP5K1B. PIP5K1B has been reported to potentially function coordinately and/or redundantly in the maintenance of sperm number and morphology during spermatogenesis (57). The lack of research on PIP5K1B in ovarian-related fields warrants further attention in future studies.

However, this study has several limitations. First, our research identified metabolites causally associated with POI based on a robust MR approach, but the findings require to be further validated against experimental data. Second, the strength of IVs relies on the sample size of GWAS, therefore a larger amount of data should be gathered to improve the accuracy of generated GDMs. Third, GWAS analysis of serum metabolites utilized in this study was based on a European population, so it is inconclusive whether the results can be expanded to individuals of non-European ancestry owing to genetic differences between races.

## **5** Conclusion

By integrating comprehensive approaches including replication, meta-analysis, LDSC genetic correlation, colocalization, and metabolic pathway analysis, our MR study delivered an unconfounded estimation of the causality between multiple GDMs and POI. N-acetylalanine stands out as a key pathogenic metabolite linked to POI, with novel metabolites like X-11437 also showing strong associations. The exploration into genetic variations related to these GDMs enriched our understanding. This work not only underscored the significance of merging genomics and metabolomics to uncover disease mechanisms but also highlighted potential targets for POI treatment and prevention.

## Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: Data of POI can be publicly accessible on MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/). GWAS data for GDMs can be retrieved from Metabolomics GWAS server (https://metabolomics.helmholtz-muenchen.de/gwas/), and GWAS catalog database (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/home).

## Author contributions

SC: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZhZ: Data curation, Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. ZiZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. YL: Visualization, Writing – review & editing. SS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KH: Software, Writing – review & editing. QY: Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YG: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was funded by the 2022 Annual Zhongyuan Talent Plan (Talent Cultivation Series).

## Acknowledgments

We want to acknowledge all contributors for sharing the data involved in this study. We want to acknowledge all the participants and investigators of the FinnGen study, the researchers and participants of the original GWAS.

## References

1. De Vos M, Devroey P, Fauser BC. Primary ovarian insufficiency. Lancet. (2010) 376:911-21. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60355-8

2. Sullivan SD, Sarrel PM, Nelson LM. Hormone replacement therapy in young women with primary ovarian insufficiency and early menopause. *Fertil Steril.* (2016) 106:1588–99. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.09.046

3. Coulam CB, Adamson SC, Annegers JF. Incidence of premature ovarian failure. *Obstet Gynecol.* (1986) 67:604–6.

4. Devenutto L, Quintana R, Quintana T. *In vitro* activation of ovarian cortex and autologous transplantation: A novel approach to primary ovarian insufficiency and diminished ovarian reserve. *Hum Reprod Open.* (2020) 2020:hoaa046. doi: 10.1093/ hropen/hoaa046

 Nelson LM. Clinical practice. Primary ovarian insufficiency. N Engl J Med. (2009) 360:606–14. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp0808697

6. Assfalg M, Bertini I, Colangiuli D, LuChinat C, Schäfer H, Schütz B, et al. Evidence of different metabolic phenotypes in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2008) 105:1420–4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0705685105

7. Quinones MP, Kaddurah-Daouk R. Metabolomics tools for identifying biomarkers for neuropsychiatric diseases. *Neurobiol Dis.* (2009) 35:165-76. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2009.02.019

 Gieger C, Geistlinger L, Altmaier E, Hrabé De Angelis M, Kronenberg F, Meitinger T, et al. Genetics meets metabolomics: a genome-wide association study of metabolite profiles in human serum. *PloS Genet.* (2008) 4:e1000282. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000282

9. Suhre K, Shin SY, Petersen AK, Mohney RP, Meredith D, Wägele B, et al. Human metabolic individuality in biomedical and pharmaceutical research. *Nature.* (2011) 477:54–60. doi: 10.1038/nature10354

10. Kettunen J, Tukiainen T, Sarin AP, Ortega-Alonso A, Tikkanen E, Lyytikäinen LP, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple loci influencing human serum metabolite levels. *Nat Genet.* (2012) 44:269–76. doi: 10.1038/ng.1073

11. Shin SY, Fauman EB, Petersen AK, Krumsiek J, Santos R, Huang J, et al. An atlas of genetic influences on human blood metabolites. *Nat Genet.* (2014) 46:543–50. doi: 10.1038/ ng.2982

12. Naz S, Vallejo M, García A, Barbas C. Method validation strategies involved in non-targeted metabolomics. *J Chromatogr A*. (2014) 1353:99–105. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2014.04.071

13. Rossetti R, Ferrari I, Bonomi M, Persani L. Genetics of primary ovarian insufficiency. *Clin Genet.* (2017) 91:183–98. doi: 10.1111/cge.12921

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1307944/ full#supplementary-material

14. Huang SY, Yang YX, Zhang YR, Kuo K, Li HQ, Shen XN, et al. Investigating causal relations between circulating metabolites and alzheimer's disease: A mendelian randomization study. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2022) 87:463–77. doi: 10.3233/JAD-220050

15. Xiao G, He Q, Liu L, Zhang T, Zhou M, Li X, et al. Causality of genetically determined metabolites on anxiety disorders: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *J Transl Med.* (2022) 20:475. doi: 10.1186/s12967-022-03691-2

16. Chen S, He W. Metabolome-wide mendelian randomization assessing the causal relationship between blood metabolites and bone mineral density. *Calcif Tissue Int.* (2023) 112:543–62. doi: 10.1007/s00223-023-01069-0

17. Choi KW, Chen CY, Stein MB, Klimentidis YC, Wang MJ, Koenen KC, et al. Assessment of bidirectional relationships between physical activity and depression among adults: A 2-sample mendelian randomization study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2019) 76:399–408. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4175

18. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2013) 37:658–65. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21758

19. Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. *Hum Mol Genet*. (2014) 23:R89–98. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddu328

20. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife.* (2018) 7:e34408. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34408

21. Minelli C, Thompson JR, Tobin MD, Abrams KR. An integrated approach to the meta-analysis of genetic association studies using Mendelian randomization. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2004) 160:445–52. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwh228

22. Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat Med.* (2008) 27:1133–63. doi: 10.1002/sim.3034

23. Zuccolo L, Holmes MV. Commentary: Mendelian randomization-inspired causal inference in the absence of genetic data. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2017) 46:962–5. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw327

24. Bowden J, Holmes MV. Meta-analysis and Mendelian randomization: A review. Res Synth Methods. (2019) 10:486–96. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1346

25. Scosyrev E. Identification of causal effects using instrumental variables in randomized trials with stochastic compliance. *Biom J.* (2013) 55:97–113. doi: 10.1002/bimj.201200104

26. Boef AG, Dekkers OM, Le Cessie S. Mendelian randomization studies: a review of the approaches used and the quality of reporting. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:496–511. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv071

27. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian randomization. Jama. (2017) 318:1925–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.17219

28. White J, Swerdlow DI, Preiss D, Fairhurst-Hunter Z, Keating BJ, Asselbergs FW, et al. Association of lipid fractions with risks for coronary artery disease and diabetes. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2016) 1:692–9. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2016.1884

29. Haycock PC, Burgess S, Nounu A, Zheng J, Okoli GN, Bowden J, et al. Association between telomere length and risk of cancer and non-neoplastic diseases: A mendelian randomization study. *JAMA Oncol.* (2017) 3:636–51. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.2316

30. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *Bmj.* (2018) 362:k601. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k601

31. Pierce BL, Ahsan H, Vanderweele TJ. Power and instrument strength requirements for Mendelian randomization studies using multiple genetic variants. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2011) 40:740–52. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyq151

32. Brion MJ, Shakhbazov K, Visscher PM. Calculating statistical power in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2013) 42:1497–501. doi: 10.1093/ ije/dyt179

33. Verbanck M, Chen C-Y, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7

34. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

35. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080

36. Chen Y, Lu T, Pettersson-Kymmer U, Stewart ID, Butler-Laporte G, Nakanishi T, et al. Genomic atlas of the plasma metabolome prioritizes metabolites implicated in human diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2023) 55:44–53. doi: 10.1038/s41588-022-01270-1

37. O'connor LJ, Price AL. Distinguishing genetic correlation from causation across 52 diseases and complex traits. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:1728–34. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0255-0

38. Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. *PloS Genet.* (2017) 13: e1007081. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007081

39. Venkateswaran S, Prince J, Cutler DJ, Marigorta UM, Okou DT, Prahalad S, et al. Enhanced contribution of HLA in pediatric onset ulcerative colitis. *Inflammation Bowel Dis.* (2018) 24:829–38. doi: 10.1093/ibd/izx084

40. Zhao SS, Yiu ZZN, Barton A, Bowes J. Association of lipid-lowering drugs with risk of psoriasis: A mendelian randomization study. *JAMA Dermatol.* (2023) 159:275–80. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2022.6051

41. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh PR, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet.* (2015) 47:1236–41. doi: 10.1038/ng.3406

42. Pruim RJ, Welch RP, Sanna S, Teslovich TM, Chines PS, Gliedt TP, et al. LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. *Bioinformatics*. (2010) 26:2336–7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq419

43. Graça G, Duarte IF, Goodfellow B,J, Carreira IM, Couceiro AB, Domingues Mdo R, et al. Metabolite profiling of human amniotic fluid by hyphenated nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Anal Chem.* (2008) 80:6085–92. doi: 10.1021/ac800907f

44. Kiani AK, Paolacci S, Calogero AE, Cannarella R, Di Renzo GC, Gerli S, et al. From Myo-inositol to D-chiro-inositol molecular pathways. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2021) 25:2390–402. doi: 10.26355/eurrev\_202103\_25279

45. Ravanos K, Monastra G, Pavlidou T, Goudakou M, Prapas N. Can high levels of D-chiro-inositol in follicular fluid exert detrimental effects on blastocyst quality? *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2017) 21:5491–8. doi: 10.26355/eurrev\_201712\_13940

46. Dinicola S, Unfer V, Facchinetti F, Soulage CO, Greene ND, Bizzarri M, et al. Inositols: from established knowledge to novel approaches. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22 (19):10575. doi: 10.3390/ijms221910575

47. Bevilacqua A, Bizzarri M. Physiological role and clinical utility of inositols in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* (2016) 37:129–39. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2016.03.007

48. Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Derkach A, Moore S, Weinstein SJ, Albanes D, Sampson J. Associations between metabolites and pancreatic cancer risk in a large prospective epidemiological study. *Gut.* (2020) 69:2008–15. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319811

49. Ngekure MXK, Jiang J, Enayatullah H, Ennab W, Mustafa S, Rodeni S, et al. Sweet taste receptor agonists alter ovarian functions and ovarian cycles in aged mice. *Reprod Biol.* (2019) 19:230–6. doi: 10.1016/j.repbio.2019.07.007

50. Wolff S, Rodin B. Saccharin-induced sister chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster and human cells. *Science*. (1978) 200:543–5. doi: 10.1126/science.644315

51. Jiang J, Qi L, Wei Q, Shi F. Effects of daily exposure to saccharin sodium and rebaudioside A on the ovarian cycle and steroidogenesis in rats. *Reprod Toxicol.* (2018) 76:35–45. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.12.006

52. Rodríguez-Castelán J, Méndez-Tepepa M, Carrillo-Portillo Y, Anaya-Hernández A, Rodríguez-Antolín J, Zambrano E, et al. Hypothyroidism reduces the size of ovarian follicles and promotes hypertrophy of periovarian fat with infiltration of macrophages in adult rabbits. *BioMed Res Int.* (2017) 2017:3795950. doi: 10.1155/2017/3795950

53. Luis E, Fernández Y, Alvarado M, Juárez-Santacruz L, García-Nieto E, Anaya-Hernández A. Differential expression and immunoreactivity of thyroid hormone transporters MCT8 and OATP1C1 in rat ovary. *Acta Histochem*. (2019) 121:151440. doi: 10.1016/j.acthis.2019.151440

54. Yang X, Zhang L, Xie L. Upregulation of KIF26B, cell migration and proliferation of human ovarian cancer cell lines *in vitro*, and patient outcomes from human bioinformatic analysis. *Med Sci Monit.* (2018) 24:3863–72. doi: 10.12659/MSM.907889

55. Haines BP, Rigby PW. Expression of the Lingo/LERN gene family during mouse embryogenesis. *Gene Expr Patterns*. (2008) 8:79–86. doi: 10.1016/j.modgep.2007.10.003

56. Li Y, Liu H, Yu Q, Liu H, Huang T, Zhao S, et al. Growth hormone promotes *in vitro* maturation of human oocytes. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2019) 10:485. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00485

57. Hasegawa H, Noguchi J, Yamashita M, Okada R, Sugimoto R, Furuya M, et al. Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase is indispensable for mouse spermatogenesis. *Biol Reprod.* (2012) 136:131-12. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.110.089896