Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Roberto Scicali, University of Catania, Italy

REVIEWED BY Arianna Toscano, University Hospital of Policlinico G. Martino, Italy Francesco Di Giacomo Barbagallo, University of Catania, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Ming Liu Mingliu@tmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 03 August 2023 ACCEPTED 25 September 2023 PUBLISHED 17 November 2023

CITATION

Ma L, Du Y, Ma C and Liu M (2023) Association of HMGCR inhibition with rheumatoid arthritis: a Mendelian randomization and colocalization study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1272167. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1272167

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ma, Du, Ma and Liu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association of HMGCR inhibition with rheumatoid arthritis: a Mendelian randomization and colocalization study

Li Ma^{1,2†}, Yufei Du^{1†}, Chao Ma³ and Ming Liu ^{1*}

¹Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, China, ²Department of General Practice, Heze Municiple Hospital, Heze, Shandong, China, ³Department of Urology, Heze Municiple Hospital, Heze, Shandong, China

Objective: The objective of this study was to investigate the association between hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR) inhibition and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) using drug-target Mendelian randomization (MR) and genetic colocalization analyses.

Methods: Two sets of genetic instruments were employed to proxy HMGCR inhibitors: expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) of target genes from the eQTLGen Consortium and genetic variants associated with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels with HMGCR locus from open genome-wide association studies (GWAS). Positive control analyses were conducted on type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease, and multiple sensitivity analyses were performed.

Results: Genetically proxied expression of eQTL was associated with a lower risk of RA (OR=0.996, 95% CI = 0.992 - 0.999, p= 0.032). Similarly, hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR)-mediated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol was negatively associated with risk of RA (OR=0.995, 95% CI = 0.991 - 0.998, p= 0.007) in the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method. Colocalization analysis suggested a 74.6% posterior probability of sharing a causal variant within the SNPs locus (PH4 = 74.6%). A causal relationship also existed between HMGCR-mediated LDL and RA risk factors. The results were also confirmed by multiple sensitivity analyses. The results in positive control were consistent with the previous study.

Conclusion: Our study suggested that HMGCR inhibition was associated with an increased risk of RA while also highlighting an increased risk of current smoking and obesity. These findings contribute to a growing body of evidence regarding the adverse effects of HMGCR inhibition on RA risk, calling for further research on alternative approaches using HMGCR inhibitors in RA management.

KEYWORDS

HMG-CoA reductase, rheumatoid arthritis, statin, Mendelian randomization, genetic colocalization

1 Introduction

Hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR) inhibitors are a widely prescribed class of lipid-lowering drugs. These medications have been shown to significantly reduce mortality by up to 30% in patients diagnosed with coronary artery disease. The current HMGCR inhibitors used in clinical practice belong to the class of statin medications (1, 2). In addition to their lipid-lowering effects, statins possess potent antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties (3). Experimental studies have suggested that statins may exert influence on immune responses and cellular apoptosis, regulate cytokine production, and modulate endothelial function (4, 5). These effects have sparked interest in understanding the potential role of statins in the management of rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

RA is a chronic autoimmune disease characterized by joint inflammation, pain, and swelling (6). The prevalence of RA has been rising since 1990 (7). It has a considerable impact on both the individual and society (8), including work disability, decline in physical function, and quality of life (9). Despite extensive research, the exact etiology of RA remains elusive.

Observational studies have explored the association between statin use and the risk of developing RA. However, the findings have been inconsistent and controversial. Some studies have suggested a reduced risk of RA associated with long-term statin use or highintensity statin treatment (10), while others have reported no significant association (11). Conversely, certain studies have hinted at an increased risk of RA among individuals using statins (12). However, limitations such as confounding factors, reverse causality, and measurement biases in observational studies necessitate further investigation using more robust study designs.

Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis represents a powerful method to provide causal evidence for drug-target associations (13). Drug-target MR used genetic instruments mimicking the effect of the drug (14) to explore the pleiotropic outcomes and investigate the potential adverse effects (15). By leveraging genetic variants as instrumental variables, MR analysis can circumvent many of the limitations of observational studies, such as confounding factors and reverse causality, because genes are randomly assigned to the individual at birth (16). In the context of assessing the relationship between statin use and rheumatoid arthritis risk, employing a drugtarget MR design could provide crucial insights into the potential causal nature of this association.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the association between HMGCR inhibition and the risk of developing RA using drug-target MR analysis and genetic colocalization techniques. Due to the primary targeting of HMGC reductase by statin medications, we specifically focused on statins. These results contribute to the existing literature on the association between lipid-lowing drug use and RA risk. Such insight is particularly relevant given the widespread use of statins in clinical practice for lipidlowering purposes.

Our study design allows us to overcome the inherent limitations of traditional observational studies and provide more reliable evidence regarding the potential role of statins in RA risk.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design

Since the data in our study was based on a public database, no ethics approval was needed. The study frame chart is presented in Figure 1.

2.2 Selection of genetic instruments

We employed two sets of instrumental variables in our study. First, we obtained expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) data for



the target genes from the eQTLGen Consortium (https:// www.eqtlgen.org/). Among these, only cis-eQTL single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that showed significant association with the expression of HMGCR in blood ($p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$, minor allele frequency [MAF] > 1%) were selected. Additionally, traditional drug target MR analysis was conducted to validate our study. We identified SNPs located within a 100-kb region surrounding the HMGCR gene that were associated with low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels. This was based on predefined criteria ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, $r^2 < 0.3$, MAF > 1%). The summary data of LDL were obtained from the open GWAS, which included 173,082 participants. In summary, seven SNPs were selected for proxying HMGCR inhibitors.

2.3 Positive control

To verify the reliability of the selected eQTLs, we performed a positive control study. As statins primarily act to lower LDL cholesterol levels, we utilized LDL cholesterol levels as a positive control in our study. For traditional MR, we used type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease as the positive control outcomes. The effect of statins on type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease had already been established in previous studies (17).

2.4 GWAS summary data for RA

We obtained the summary data of RA from the Open GWAS database (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/), which included 462,933 participants (5,201 cases and 457,732 controls). Multiple environmental and lifestyle have been reported to have an association with the development of RA, such as cigarette smoking (18), moderate alcohol intake (19), and obesity (19). Summary data of the RA risk factors were obtained from the Open GWAS database. The data included in our study were based on the European population. Details of all GWASs included in our study are represented in Table 1.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Summary-data-based MR (SMR) method was applied to explore the effect of the expression of drug target genes and outcome (20). The HMGCR cis-eQTL was used as an instrument to investigate the association between genetically proxied HMGCR expression and RA. For traditional MR, three different methods of MR (random-effect inverse variance weighted (IVW), MR Egger, and weighted median) were performed to analyze the effect. The IVW was used as the main method. In addition, the association between genetically proxied statins with RA risk factors was evaluated. SNPs included in the traditional MR analysis were selected for colocalization analysis.

Sensitivity analysis was necessary in MR studies. For the SMR analysis, the HEIDI test of p < 0.01 indicates that the association is probably due to linkage (21). For the traditional MR, Cochran Q from the IVW and MR-Egger methods were used to assess potential

TABLE 1	Details	of t	he	GWASs	included	in	the	drug-target	Mendelian
randomiz	ation.								

Phenotype	Detailed information of GWAS data Consortium	Sample size
eQTL of HMGCR	eQTLGen Consortium	31,684 Participants
LDL	Global Lipids Genetics Consortium	173,082 Participants
Type 2 Diabetes	NA	61,714 cases and 1,178 controls
Coronary heart disease	CARDIoGRAMplusC4D	60,801 cases and 123,504 controls
Current smoking	MRC-IEU	424,960 participants
Past smoking	MRC-IEU	32,735 participants
Current alcohol	NA	336,919 cases and 23,807 controls
Obesity class 1	GIANT	32,858 cases and 65,839 controls
Obesity class 2	GIANT	9,899 cases and 62,657 controls
Obesity class 3	GIANT	2,896 cases and 47,468 controls

LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

horizontal pleiotropy. The MR-Egger intercept was an indicator for directional pleiotropy (22). All results were displayed as OR and 95% confidence interval (CI), and p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Analyses were implemented by the package smr-1.3.1, TwoSampleMR (version 4.2.3), and R package Coloc (version 5.2.2).

3 Results

3.1 The main drug target results

The F statistics of genetic instruments ranged from 50.9 to 372.1 in this study (Supplementary Table S1), indicating that our results are unlikely to be affected by weak instruments (23). We selected the most significant cis-eQTL SNP (rs6453133) to proxy for the HMGCR gene. The SMR analysis found a causal relationship between the expression of the HMGCR gene in blood and the risk of RA (OR=0.996, 95% CI =0.992–0.999, p= 0.032). The results indicated that HMGCR inhibitors might increase the risk of RA. For the positive control analysis, the cis-eQTL was associated with LDL cholesterol levels (OR=1.483, 95% CI =0.992–0.999, p= 0.032), indicating the liability of the genetic instrument.

For the traditional drug target MR analysis, the IVW showed a causal relationship between HMGCR-mediated LDL cholesterol and risk of RA (OR=0.995, 95% CI =0.991-0.998, p= 0.007), a similar result was observed in the weighted median method (OR=0.996, 95% CI =0.991-0.999, p= 0.044), further supporting a possible higher risk of HMGCR inhibitors on RA. Positive control analysis demonstrated that LDL cholesterol mediated by gene

HMGCR was significantly associated with a high risk of coronary heart disease (OR=1.442, 95% CI =0.992–0.999, p= 2.18e–06). However, it was negatively associated with the risk of type 2 diabetes (OR=0.981, 95% CI =0.973–0.988, p= 1.930e-07), which was consistent with a previous study (24). The results from positive control analysis showed the liability of genetic instruments.

3.2 The association between HMGCRmediated LDL and RA risk factors

Three methods (IVW, MR Egger, and weighted median) were applied to evaluate the association between HMGCR-mediated LDL and several RA risk factors. The results of the IVW method are as follows. Current tobacco smoking (OR=0.971; 95% CI, 0.947 to -0.995; p = 0.020), past tobacco smoking (OR=1.041; 95% CI, 0.995–1.089; p = 0.083), current alcohol drinking (OR=0.991; 95% CI, 0.981–1.000; p = 0.066), obesity class 1 (defined as BMI \ge 30 kg/m²; OR=0.650; 95% CI, 0.485–0.871; p = 0.004), obesity class 2 (defined as BMI \ge 35 kg/m²; OR=0.519; 95% CI, 0.325–0.828; p = 0.006), obesity class 3 (defined as BMI \ge 40 kg/m²; OR=0.383; 95% CI, 0.208–0.703; p = 0.002) (Figure 2). The results showed that HMGCR inhibition was associated with an increased incidence of current smoking and obesity.

3.3 Colocalization analysis

We conducted SNP-level colocalization analysis to evaluate evidence of a shared causal variant between the HMGCR gene and RA by the coloc. Each configuration yielding posterior probabilities in the colocalization analyses includes five hypotheses: H0, no association with either trait; H1, association with trait 1, not with trait 2; H2, association with trait 2, not with trait 1; H3, association with trait 1 and trait 2, two independent SNPs; and H4, association with trait 1 and trait 2, one shared SNP (25). A posterior probability for H4 (PP.H4) of at least 50% suggests likely to colocalize, and a PP.H4 of at least 80% suggests highly likely to colocalize (26). The 0.5 < PH4 < 0.8 was defined as medium colocalization indication (27). We performed Bayesian colocalization analyses to prioritize SNPs located \pm 500 kb from

Outcome	OR(95%)CI					Р	value
current tobacco	0.971(0.947-0.9	95)				H	0.02
past tobacco	1.041(0.995-1.0	89)				⊷⊶	0.083
alcohol	0.991(0.981-1.0	00)				•	0.066
obesity 1	0.650(0.485-0.8	71)					0.004
obesity 2	0.519(0.325-0.8	28)	—	•			0.006
obesity 3	0.383(0.208-0.7	03) -	•		•		0.002
	0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1	1.2

Causal effect of HMGCR mediated LDL on RA risk factors in the IVW method. Forest plots showing the range of OR values for different serological indicators. The vertical lines on either side of the point represent the 95% confidence interval. current: current tobacco smoking; past: past tobacco smoking; alcohol: current alcohol drinking; OR, odds ratio; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval.

the HMGCR gene and the risk of RA. Colocalization analysis suggested that SNPs associated with LDL and RA had a 74.6% posterior probability of sharing a causal variant within the HMGCR locus (PH1 = 25%, PH2 = 0.00%, PH3 = 0.32%, and PH4 = 74.6%).

3.4 Sensitivity analysis

For SMR analysis, the HEIDI test suggested that the association between the expression of HMGCR and the risk of RA was not due to a linkage (p=0.650). Cochran Q-test indicated no evidence of heterogeneity in IVW and MR-Egger methods (p=0.738, p=0.786, respectively). No evidence of pleiotropy was found based on the MR-Egger intercept (p=0.541). Furthermore, a leave-one-out sensitivity test was performed, indicating that the result was not biased by a single SNP (Figure 3).

4 Discussion

In this study, we conducted a drug-target Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis to assess the association between HMGCR inhibition and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). By leveraging genetic instruments, we aimed to overcome the limitations of observational studies and provide more reliable evidence regarding the potential effects of HMGCR inhibitors on RA development. Our findings suggest a potential link between HMGCR inhibition and the risk of RA. The MR analysis using genetically proxied HMGCR inhibitors exposure showed a statistically increased risk of developing RA. The results were consistent in three methods, indicating the accuracy of our study. We also performed different sensitivity analyses to confirm the reliability of our results. Multiple sensitivity analyses showed no pleiotropy and heterogeneity. Leave-one-out sensitivity test was also applied, and the result demonstrated that the causal association might not be biased by a single SNP. Additionally, colocalization analysis provided further support for the presence of a shared causal



Leave-one-out analysis of the HMGCR mediated LDL choies and RA.

variant within the loci associated with HMGCR inhibitors and RA, strengthening the plausibility of a causal relationship.

Several studies investigating lipid metabolism in RA patients have yielded inconsistent results. A retrospective study reported lower levels of total cholesterol (TC) and LDL cholesterol before the RA incidence (28). In contrast, some studies have found increased total cholesterol levels in RA patients (29). Lipid metabolism with the treatment of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) and biological therapies was complex. Biological therapies demonstrated an atheroprotective effect via maintaining the level of reverse cholesterol transport (RCT) proteins or anti-atherogenic lipid apoA1 (30, 31), while different anti-rheumatic disease medications have varying effects on lipid metabolism. Studies have indicated that biological disease-modifying drugs have a protective effect against atherosclerosis, while corticosteroids have a proatherogenic effect (32). Karpouzas et al. propose that the use of statin medications is associated with a long-term reduction in cardiovascular risk in patients with RA who have higher levels of inflammation. However, there is currently a lack of research on the risk of developing RA in relation to statin use (33).

The mechanisms underlying the observed association between HMGCR inhibition and RA risk remain unclear. Studies have suggested that statins possess immunomodulatory properties and may impact immune responses, leading to dysregulation and potentially triggering autoimmune processes (34, 35). In addition, statins strongly sensitized the cells to apoptotic agents (36). Therefore, statin use may play a role in the development of RA through the chain of immunogenic reactions (37, 38). Among environmental factors, smoking has been the strongest risk factor for the development of rheumatoid arthritis (39). Our research findings demonstrate an association between HMGCR inhibitors and an increased prevalence of current smoking, suggesting that they may potentially influence the risk of developing RA through affecting current smoking or other related factors. However, further investigation is required to elucidate the precise molecular mechanisms involved in this association.

It is noteworthy that patients with RA are at an increased risk of cardiovascular events compared to the general population (40), and cardiovascular (CV) events are the leading cause of death in patients with RA(10%–30% of deaths) (41). Statins are commonly prescribed to manage cardiovascular risk factors in these individuals. Our study identified an increased risk of RA associated with statin use. It is important to consider the well-established cardiovascular benefits of statins. The decision to initiate or continue statin therapy should be carefully weighed, taking into account both the potential risks and the cardiovascular protective effects.

Our study has several strengths, including the utilization of two sets of genetic instruments to proxy drug exposure, the incorporation of colocalization analysis, the inclusion of positive control analyses, and the performance of multiple sensitivity analyses. These measures ensure the robustness and validity of our results.

However, some limitations should also be acknowledged. Generalizability may be limited as our study included predominantly individuals of European ancestry. Additionally, it is true that drug-target MR studies may not fully capture the real effects of drugs, as they cannot account for confounding factors such as drug dose and metabolism, mechanism of action, individual differences, and duration of drug exposure. Thus, the activity of HMGCR may not fully represent the complete range of effects caused by statin medications. While HMGCR activity is a significant factor in the mechanism of action for statins, it does not capture all the complexities and nuances associated with statin therapy, such as potential off-target effects, individual variations in response, and diverse molecular pathways affected by statins. Therefore, to understand the comprehensive effects of statins, it is essential to consider multiple factors beyond just HMGCR activity (21). Further research, including clinical trials and experimental studies, is necessary to address these limitations and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the potential adverse effects of HMGCR inhibition on RA risk.

In conclusion, our drug-target MR analysis suggests an increased risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis associated with HMGCR inhibition, the target enzyme of statins. Although the results cannot reflect the real effects of drugs, they may hint at evidence in evaluating the long-term effects of drugs. Our study provides robust evidence of a potential adverse effect of HMGCR inhibition on RA susceptibility. These findings have important implications for the safe use of statins, particularly in individuals at high risk of RA.

Further investigation is warranted to elucidate the underlying mechanisms and determine the clinical implications of these findings. The evidence presented here calls for a cautious approach towards the re-purposing or re-targeting of HMGCR inhibitors and highlights the need for careful consideration of personalized treatment strategies in individuals at risk of both RA and cardiovascular diseases.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

ML: Writing – review & editing. LM: Writing – original draft. YD: Writing – original draft. CM: Writing – original draft.

Funding

The authors declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2022YFE0131400.

Acknowledgments

We also sincerely appreciate the great work of all the GWAS consortiums and authors for making the summary statistics publicly available.

References

1. Horwich TB, MacLellan WR, Fonarow GC. Statin therapy is associated with improved survival in ischemic and non-ischemic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* (2004) 43(4):642–48. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2003.07.049

 Alehagen U, Benson L, Edner M, Dahlstrom U, Lund LH. Association between use of statins and outcomes in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: prospective propensity score matched cohort study of 21 864 patients in the Swedish Heart Failure Registry. *Circ Heart Fail* (2015) 8(2):252–60. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.114.001730

3. Piechota-Polanczyk A, Jozkowicz A, Nowak W, Eilenberg W, Neumayer C, Malinski T, et al. The abdominal aortic aneurysm and intraluminal thrombus: current concepts of development and treatment. *Front Cardiovasc Med* (2015) 2:19. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2015.00019

4. Al-Husein BA, Dawah B, Bani-Hani S, Al BS, Al-Sawalmeh KM, Ayoub NM. Immunomodulatory effect of statins on Regulatory T Lymphocytes in human colorectal cancer is determined by the stage of disease. *Oncotarget* (2018) 9(87):35752–61. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.26293

5. Weitz-Schmidt G, Welzenbach K, Brinkmann V, Kamata T, Kallen J, Bruns C, et al. Statins selectively inhibit leukocyte function antigen-1 by binding to a novel regulatory integrin site. *Nat Med* (2001) 7(6):687–92. doi: 10.1038/89058

6. Conforti A, Di Cola I, Pavlych V, Ruscitti P, Berardicurti O, Ursini F, et al. Beyond the joints, the extra-articular manifestations in rheumatoid arthritis. *Autoimmun Rev* (2021) 20(2):102735. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102735

7. Radu AF, Bungau SG. Management of rheumatoid arthritis: an overview. *Cells* (2021) 10(11). doi: 10.3390/cells10112857

8. Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Carmona L, Wolfe F, Vos T, et al. The global burden of rheumatoid arthritis: estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2014) 73(7):1316–22. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204627

9. Sokka T, Kautiainen H, Pincus T, Verstappen SM, Aggarwal A, Alten R, et al. Work disability remains a major problem in rheumatoid arthritis in the 2000s: data from 32 countries in the QUEST-RA study. *Arthritis Res Ther* (2010) 12(2):R42. doi: 10.1186/ar2951

10. Tascilar K, Dell'Aniello S, Hudson M, Suissa S. Statins and risk of rheumatoid arthritis: A nested case-control study. *Arthritis Rheumatol* (2016) 68(11):2603–11. doi: 10.1002/art.39774

11. Jick SS, Choi H, Li L, McInnes IB, Sattar N. Hyperlipidaemia, statin use and the risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2009) 68(4):546–51. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.091967

12. de Jong H, Cohen TJ, Lalmohamed A, de Vries F, Vandebriel RJ, van Loveren H, et al. Pattern of risks of rheumatoid arthritis among patients using statins: A cohort study with the clinical practice research datalink. *PloS One* (2018) 13(2):e193297. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0193297

13. Nguyen PA, Born DA, Deaton AM, Nioi P, Ward LD. Phenotypes associated with genes encoding drug targets are predictive of clinical trial side effects. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10(1):1579. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09407-3

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1272167/ full#supplementary-material

14. Carss KJ, Deaton AM, Del RA, Diogo D, Fielden M, Kulkarni DA, et al. Using human genetics to improve safety assessment of therapeutics. *Nat Rev Drug Discovery* (2023) 22(2):145–62. doi: 10.1038/s41573-022-00561-w

15. Diogo D, Tian C, Franklin CS, Alanne-Kinnunen M, March M, Spencer C, et al. Phenome-wide association studies across large population cohorts support drug target validation. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9(1):4285. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06540-3

16. Smith GD, Ebrahim S. Mendelian randomization: prospects, potentials, and limitations. Int J Epidemiol (2004) 33(1):30–42. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyh132

17. Ference BA, Majeed F, Penumetcha R, Flack JM, Brook RD. Effect of naturally random allocation to lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol on the risk of coronary heart disease mediated by polymorphisms in NPC1L1, HMGCR, or both: a 2 x 2 factorial Mendelian randomization study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* (2015) 65(15):1552–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.02.020

18. Sugiyama D, Nishimura K, Tamaki K, Tsuji G, Nakazawa T, Morinobu A, et al. Impact of smoking as a risk factor for developing rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2010) 69(1):70–81. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.096487

19. Karlson EW, Deane K. Environmental and gene-environment interactions and risk of rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* (2012) 38(2):405–26. doi: 10.1016/j.rdc.2012.04.002

20. Zhu Z, Zhang F, Hu H, Bakshi A, Robinson MR, Powell JE, et al. Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. *Nat Genet* (2016) 48(5):481–87. doi: 10.1038/ng.3538

21. Chauquet S, Zhu Z, O'Donovan MC, Walters J, Wray NR, Shah S. Association of antihypertensive drug target genes with psychiatric disorders: A mendelian randomization study. *JAMA Psychiatry* (2021) 78(6):623–31. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.0005

22. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol* (2017) 32(5):377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

23. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Improving bias and coverage in instrumental variable analysis with weak instruments for continuous and binary outcomes. *Stat Med* (2012) 31(15):1582–600. doi: 10.1002/sim.4498

24. Lotta LA, Sharp SJ, Burgess S, Perry J, Stewart ID, Willems SM, et al. Association between low-density lipoprotein cholesterol-lowering genetic variants and risk of type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis. *Jama* (2016) 316(13):1383–91. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.14568

25. Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, SChadt EE, Franke L, Hingorani AD, Wallace C, et al. Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. *PloS Genet* (2014) 10(5):e1004383. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004383

26. Zhou S, Butler-Laporte G, Nakanishi T, Morrison DR, Afilalo J, Afilalo M, et al. A Neanderthal OAS1 isoform protects individuals of European ancestry against

COVID-19 susceptibility and severity. Nat Med (2021) 27(4):659-67. doi: 10.1038/ s41591-021-01281-1

27. Chen J, Xu F, Ruan X, Sun J, Zhang Y, Zhang H, et al. Therapeutic targets for inflammatory bowel disease: proteome-wide Mendelian randomization and colocalization analyses. *Ebiomedicine* (2023) 89:104494. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104494

28. Myasoedova E, Crowson CS, Kremers HM, Fitz-Gibbon PD, Therneau TM, Gabriel SE. Total cholesterol and LDL levels decrease before rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2010) 69(7):1310–14. doi: 10.1136/ard.2009.122374

29. Salem HR, Zahran ES. Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 in rheumatoid arthritis patients: Relation to disease activity, oxidative stress, and systemic inflammation. *Saudi Med J* (2021) 42(6):620–28. doi: 10.15537/smj.2021.42.6.20200753

30. Voloshyna I, Seshadri S, Anwar K, Littlefield MJ, Belilos E, Carsons SE, et al. Infliximab reverses suppression of cholesterol efflux proteins by TNF-alpha: a possible mechanism for modulation of atherogenesis. *BioMed Res Int* (2014) 2014:312647. doi: 10.1155/2014/312647

31. Ajeganova S, Fiskesund R, de Faire U, Hafstrom I, Frostegard J. Effect of biological therapy on levels of atheroprotective antibodies against phosphorylcholine and apolipoproteins in rheumatoid arthritis - a one year study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* (2011) 29(6):942–50.

32. Karpouzas GA, Papotti B, Ormseth SR, Palumbo M, Hernandez E, Adorni MP, et al. Inflammation and immunomodulatory therapies influence the relationship between ATP-binding cassette A1 membrane transporter-mediated cholesterol efflux capacity and coronary atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Transl Autoimmun* (2023) 7:100209. doi: 10.1016/j.jtauto.2023.100209

33. Karpouzas GA, Ormseth SR, Hernandez E, Budoff MJ. The impact of statins on coronary atherosclerosis progression and long-term cardiovascular disease risk in

rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol (Oxford) (2022) 61(5):1857-66. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keab642

34. Zhao N, Dong Q, Qian C, Li S, Wu QF, Ding D, et al. Lovastatin blocks Kv1.3 channel in human T cells: a new mechanism to explain its immunomodulatory properties. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:17381. doi: 10.1038/srep17381

35. Kanda H, Yokota K, Kohno C, Sawada T, Sato K, Yamaguchi M, et al. Effects of low-dosage simvastatin on rheumatoid arthritis through reduction of Th1/Th2 and CD4/CD8 ratios. *Mod Rheumatol* (2007) 17(5):364–68. doi: 10.1007/s10165-007-0589-4

36. Chapman-Shimshoni D, Yuklea M, Radnay J, Shapiro H, Lishner M. Simvastatin induces apoptosis of B-CLL cells by activation of mitochondrial caspase 9. *Exp Hematol* (2003) 31(9):779–83. doi: 10.1016/s0301-472x(03)00192-9

37. Alipour A, van Oostrom AJ, Izraeljan A, Verseyden C, Collins JM, Frayn KN, et al. Leukocyte activation by triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* (2008) 28(4):792–97. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.107.159749

38. van Oostrom AJ, Rabelink TJ, Verseyden C, Sijmonsma TP, Plokker HW, De Jaegere PP, et al. Activation of leukocytes by postprandial lipemia in healthy volunteers. *Atherosclerosis* (2004) 177(1):175–82. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2004.07.004

39. Scherer HU, Haupl T, Burmester GR. The etiology of rheumatoid arthritis. J Autoimmun (2020) 110:102400. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2019.102400

40. Fragoulis GE, Panayotidis I, Nikiphorou E. Cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis and mechanistic links: from pathophysiology to treatment. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* (2020) 18(5):431–46. doi: 10.2174/1570161117666190619143842

41. England BR, Sayles H, Michaud K, Caplan L, Davis LA, Cannon GW, et al. Cause-specific mortality in male US veterans with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* (2016) 68(1):36–45. doi: 10.1002/acr.22642