Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY David Stanley, United States Department of Agriculture, United States

REVIEWED BY Ardavan Farhadi, Hainan University, China Roman Franěk, University of South Bohemia, Czechia Duverney Chaverra-Rodriguez, National University of Colombia, Colombia

*CORRESPONDENCE Fuhua Li fhli@qdio.ac.cn Shihao Li lishihao@qdio.ac.cn

RECEIVED 25 July 2023 ACCEPTED 31 October 2023 PUBLISHED 21 November 2023

CITATION

Miao M, Li S, Yuan J, Liu P, Fang X, Zhang C, Zhang X and Li F (2023) CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated gene mutation of EcIAG leads to sex reversal in the male ridgetail white prawn Exopalaemon carinicauda. Front. Endocrinol. 14:1266641. [doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1266641](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1266641)

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Miao, Li, Yuan, Liu, Fang, Zhang, Zhang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[CRISPR/Cas9-mediated](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1266641/full) [gene mutation of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1266641/full) EcIAG [leads to sex reversal in the](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1266641/full) [male ridgetail white prawn](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1266641/full) [Exopalaemon carinicauda](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1266641/full)

Miao Miao^{1,2}, Shihao Li^{1,3*}, Jianbo Yuan^{1,3}, Peipei Liu^{1,2}, Xiaochen Fang^{1,2}, Chengsong Zhang^{1,3}, Xiaojun Zhang^{1,3} and Fuhua Li^{1,3,4*}

1 CAS and Shandong Province Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China, 2University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, ³Center for Ocean Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China, 4The Innovation of Seed Design, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China

In the culture of crustaceans, most species show sexual dimorphism. Monosex culture is an effective approach to achieve high yield and economic value, especially for decapods of high value. Previous studies have developed some sex control strategies such as manual segregation, manipulation of male androgenic gland and knockdown of the male sexual differentiation switch gene encoding insulin-like androgenic gland hormone (IAG) in decapods. However, these methods could not generate hereditable changes. Genetic manipulation to achieve sex reversal individuals is absent up to now. In the present study, the gene encoding IAG (EcIAG) was identified in the ridgetail white prawn Exopalaemon carinicauda. Sequence analysis showed that EcIAG encoded conserved amino acid structure like IAGs in other decapod species. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing technology was used to knock out EcIAG. Two sgRNAs targeting the second exon of EcIAG were designed and microinjected into the prawn zygotes or the embryos at the first cleavage with commercial Cas9 protein. EcIAG in three genetic males was knocked out in both chromosome sets, which successfully generated sex reversal and phenotypic female characters. The results suggest that CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing technology is an effective way to develop sex manipulation technology and contribute to monosex aquaculture in crustaceans.

KEYWORDS

insulin-like androgenic gland hormone, Exopalaemon carinicauda, CRISPR/Cas9, sex reversal, gene editing

1 Introduction

Crustaceans are important economic species in aquaculture, which usually exhibit sexual dimorphism [\(1](#page-9-0), [2](#page-9-0)). Sexual dimorphism leads to different morphological, physiological and behavioral characteristics between males and females [\(3,](#page-9-0) [4\)](#page-9-0), which exhibit sex differences in their biology and economic value ([5,](#page-9-0) [6\)](#page-9-0). Some species showed that males have larger body length and body weight than females [\(7](#page-9-0)), while in some other species, females shows faster growth rates and larger body size compared with males [\(8\)](#page-9-0). Monosex culture is not only beneficial to increase the yield, but also has ecological significance to avoid the invasive risk of cultured species [\(1,](#page-9-0) [9](#page-9-0)). Allmale cultures of Macrobrachium rosenbergii is much more profitable than mixed and the all-female cultures ([10\)](#page-9-0). The risk of leakage into natural waters is reduced when exotic species of only one sex are introduced because they cannot reproduce ([11](#page-9-0)).

The methods of obtaining monosex populations are usually manual segregation and sex manipulation [\(11\)](#page-9-0). However, manual segregation is a labor-intensive and cumbersome method [\(10\)](#page-9-0). Sex manipulation is an effective and beneficial technique in obtaining monosex populations ([11](#page-9-0)). Understanding the mechanism of sex determination and differentiation is the key to sex manipulation.

The sex determination and sexual differentiation in crustaceans were regulated by multiple genes [\(12\)](#page-9-0). Numerous sex-related genes have been identified in crustaceans, such as insulin-like androgenic gland hormone (IAG), crustacean female sex hormone (CFSH), Wnt4, Dmrt gene family, Sox gene family, Fem-1, etc ([13\)](#page-9-0). IAG and CFSH are two important hormones involved in sexual differentiation. CFSH plays an essential role in controlling the female differentiation, while the sexual differentiation of males is regulated by IAG secreted by the androgenic gland (AG) ([14\)](#page-9-0). AG is a unique organ involved in the differentiation of the male gonad and the maintenance of secondary sex characteristics ([15](#page-9-0)–[17\)](#page-9-0). IAG is regarded as an "IAG-switch" of the X-organ-sinus-gland (XO-SG) androgenic gland (AG)-testis regulatory axis in male crustacean ([18\)](#page-10-0). IAG expression could induce masculinization, while AG ablation or IAG suppression leads to feminization. IAG belongs to the insulin-like peptide superfamily, whose structure is highly conserved in crustaceans ([19](#page-10-0)). So far, the IAG gene has been identified in dozens of decapod crustaceans, including prawns, shrimp, crayfish, lobsters, and crabs ([20](#page-10-0)).

Extensive research on sex determination and differentiation has provided theoretical support and targets for sex manipulation. At present, sex manipulation in decapod crustaceans is performed mainly through AG manipulation and the suppression of sexrelated genes by RNAi. The neo-females of Macrobrachium rosenbergii were acquired by AG ablation, the neo-males were acquired by AG implantation [\(7](#page-9-0), [21\)](#page-10-0). Silencing of MrIAG and MroDmrt11E also induced complete and functional sex reversal of M. rosenbergii [\(22](#page-10-0), [23](#page-10-0)). In the Australian red-claw crayfish, implantation of AG led to the appearance of masculine behavior ([24](#page-10-0)). However, no inheritable germplasm materials were obtained for sex control in crustaceans till present.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing technology enables direct modification of genes at the genome level [\(25](#page-10-0)). It has been applied to gene function studies in many crustaceans. In Daphnia

magna, the CRISPR/Cas9 system induced heritable mutations into the eyeless gene [\(26\)](#page-10-0). In Exopalaemon carinicauda, the functions of chitinase 4 (EcChi4), two homologs of Paired box protein 6 (Pax6) and a DILP7/relaxin-type insulin-like peptide (EcILP) were verified by the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing technology ([27](#page-10-0)–[29](#page-10-0)). In addition, the CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing technology have also been successfully used in Neocaridina heteropoda, Eriocheir sinensis, and Macrobrachium rosenbergii ([30](#page-10-0), [31](#page-10-0)). Therefore, sex manipulation by editing the IAG gene directly on the genome could be an efficient way to generate inheritable monosex populations. However, there are still some defects in the application of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing technology in crustaceans, such as low editing efficiency, lack of biallelic mutants and adult mutants ([32](#page-10-0), [33](#page-10-0)).

The ridgetail white shrimp, E. carinicauda, is a kind of crustacean widely distributed in the coasts of eastern China and western Korea [\(34\)](#page-10-0). As an economic prawn species, it has many advantages, such as rapid growth, good reproduction capability and strong ability to adapt to environment ([35](#page-10-0)). This species shows apparent sexual dimorphism ([36](#page-10-0)). Its breeding cycle is about 60 days. Although the number of eggs is relatively small, the size of the egg is moderate, which is easy to operate by gene editing microscopy ([37](#page-10-0), [38\)](#page-10-0). In this study, the EcIAG was identified from E. carinicauda. EcIAG was knocked out by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology and the sex reversal neo-female individuals with phenotypic female characters were obtained. This data provided a possibility for suppressing male sexual differentiation at the genome level and applying gene editing technology for monosex breeding in crustaceans.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Breeding and hatching of E. carinicauda

The ridgetail white prawn used in genome editing were raised on a farm in Huanghua City, Hebei Province. The sexually mature female and male prawns were selected artificially and cultivated in a tank containing 100 liters of aerated sea water at 26 ± 1 °C. After spawning, the embryos of E. carinicauda were collected from the abdomen of gravid female prawns into petri dishes containing filtering seawater. The number of nuclei was observed under a stereomicroscope, and the zygotes or the embryos at the first cleavage were selected for microinjection. Then, the selected embryos were stored at 12 °C before microinjection to retard the speed of development.

The ridgetail white prawns used for cloning the full-length cDNA of EcIAG were cultivated in our laboratory, which were from a full-sib family with the ridgetail white prawns on the farm.

2.2 Screening of EcIAG in E. carinicauda

Based on the genome and transcriptome data of E. carinicauda in our laboratory ([37](#page-10-0)), the EcIAG was searched by gene functional annotation and description. Furthermore, the nucleotide sequences of IAG and its deduced amino acid sequences were compared to the sequences of known IAGs from other different crustaceans using the BLAST algorithm [\(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi\)](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to identify potential $EcIAG$ sequence with an E-value cutoff of $1e^{-5}$. The open reading frame (ORF) of candidate EcIAG sequence was predicted using ORF Finder ([https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/) orffi[nder/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/)).

2.3 Cloning of the full-length cDNA of EcIAG

Total RNA was extracted from the AG of E. carinicauda using RNAiso plus reagent (Takara, Kyoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The purity and concentration of RNA were qualified by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Then, total RNA (1 µg) was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the PrimeScript[™] RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan) to remove the genomic DNA. Specific primers used to amplify the EcIAG cDNA were designed by Primer-BLAST [\(https://](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) [\(Table 1](#page-3-0)). The full-length cDNA of EcIAG was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using PrimeSTAR® GXL DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan). Then, the product of PCR was ligated into the pMD19-T vector (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan) and transformed into trans5 α competent cells (TransGen Biotec, Beijing, China). The monoclones were selected and sequenced at Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The sequenced ORF was mapped the genomic data to identify the exons and introns using the NCBI BLAST.

2.4 Sequence characterization of EcIAG

To know the evolution of EcIAG, multiple sequences alignment and neighbor-joining (NJ) phylogenetic analysis with 1000 bootstrap replicates were conducted by MEGA version 7.0 ([39\)](#page-10-0).The amino acid sequences of IAG homologs from other crustaceans were downloaded from the NCBI database. The similarity of EcIAG from different species was acquired from NCBI BLASTP alignments. And the ExPASy (Expert Protein Analysis System, <https://www.expasy.org>) was used to analyze the deduced amino acid sequence.

2.5 sgRNA design and synthesis

The single-stranded guide RNA (sgRNA) target sequences of EcIAG were designed by the online tool CRISPRdirect [\(40\)](#page-10-0). The sgRNA was synthesized according to the protocol reported from our laboratory [\(27](#page-10-0)) using the Thermo Scientific TranscriptAid T7 High Yield Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). After

synthesis, the sgRNA was purified by phenol-chloroform extraction. The concentration and quality were assessed by Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, respectively.

2.6 Microinjection of E. carinicauda embryos

The embryos at the zygote stage or at the first cleavage were microinjected according to previous reported technique [\(29\)](#page-10-0). Two sgRNAs and Cas9 protein (GenScript, Nanjing, China) were incubated at room temperature for 10 min to form Cas9 ribonucleoproteins (RNPs). Then, the RNPs were injected into the zygotes or the embryos at the first cleavage. The concentration of sgRNA and Cas9 protein were both 1000 ng/µl. Each fertilized egg was injected with approximately 0.5 nl RNPs complex. The microinjection was performed using standardized Femtotip II sterile microcapillaries (Eppendorf, Germany), FemtoJet 4i-Injector microinjector (Eppendorf, Germany) and stereomicroscope MMO4 micromanipulator (Narishige, Japan). After injection, every 500 embryos were hatched in a large petri dish with filtering seawater on a shaker at 100 rpm at room temperature. The filtering seawater was changed three times a day. When the fertilized embryos hatched, the mysis larvae of E. carinicauda were fed with anemia larvae. When the mysis larvae developed into juvenile prawns, they were fed with clams.

2.7 Evaluation on the gene editing efficiency

Five days after microinjection, a total of 344 embryos after blastocyst stage were randomly selected for gene editing efficiency evaluation, of which 229 embryos were microinjected at the zygote stage and 115 were microinjected at the first cleavage. Using the micro extraction method, we acquired the genomic DNA. Briefly, each embryo was cleaved at 95 °C for 10 minutes using the MightyPrep reagent for DNA (Takara, Kyoto, Japan). Subsequently, the lysate was centrifuged at 12000rpm for 10 minutes and the supernatant was absorbed as a template. Then, the target sequence (295bp) flanking the sgRNA target site was amplified by PCR using the specific primers ([Table 1\)](#page-3-0) with Golden polymerase (Tiangen, Beijing). Fragment amplified from wild type (WT) embryos was used as control. The PCR program was set as follows: 94 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 98 °C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were first detected by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, and then sent to Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) for Sanger sequencing. Editing efficiency was evaluated by sequence alignment and chromatograms detecting using MEGA version 7.0 and Chromas software ([https://](https://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas/) [technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas/\)](https://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas/).

TABLE 1 Primers used in this paper.

2.8 Detection on CRISPR/Cas9 generated mutants of EcIAG

When the juvenile developed to adult, one leg of the adult was cut for gene editing detection. The PCR amplification, agarose gel electrophoresis, sequencing, sequence alignment and sequencing chromatogram detecting were all performed as described above. Then, the PCR products of mutants were cloned into pMD-19T vector (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan) and transformed into the Tans5 α chemically competent cell (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China). The positive clones were sequenced and performed sequence alignment to determine the specific type of mutation. The sex phenotype of the mutant was detected manually under the Nikon 80i microscope according to whether they have petasma between the fifth pair of walking legs. The genetic sex of the mutant was identified by a DNA marker for genetic sex identification of E. carinicauda. Briefly, the DNA marker amplification primers (EcSDF/EcSDR) were used to amplify the DNA of the mutant by PCR using the Golden polymerase (Tiangen, Beijing). The PCR program was set as follows: 94 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; 72 °C for 10 min. Subsequently, the PCR product was sent to Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) for sanger sequencing. The chromatograms of SNPs were detected using Chromas software ([https://technelysium.com.au/wp/](https://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas/) [chromas/\)](https://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas/). Then, the evaluation of mutants was conducted by comparing phenotypic sex and genetic sex. The DNA markers and primers used for genetic sex determination are derived from the patent "A DNA marker for Genetic sex determination of E. carinicauda and its application" with the patent application number 202310392890.4.

3 Results

3.1 Identification and characterization of EcIAG in E. carinicauda

By screening the genomic and transcriptomic data, a gene annotated as IAG (named EcIAG) was identified in E. carinicauda. The ORF of EcIAG is 579 bp and encodes 192 amino acids (NCBI accession: OR663996). The predicted molecular weight of EcIAG protein is 21.5 kDa, and the PI value is 7.43. The linear structure of EcIAG protein exhibits a similar structure to IAGs from other species, including a signal peptide at the N-terminal, followed by B chain, C peptide and A chain. Moreover, the amino acid sequence contains six conserved cysteines and two cleavage sites, KRRR and RRLR [\(Figures 1A,](#page-4-0) B). The two and four cysteines in B and A chains, respectively, was predicted to form two interchain and one intrachain disulfide bonds, which are important for the tertiary structure of mature peptide ([Figure 1B](#page-4-0)). The EcIAG protein had the highest similarity with its homologue from Palaemon pacificus (64.41%), followed by Palaemon paucidens (57.23%), M. rosenbergii (50.29%), Macrobrachium nipponense (49.71%), Macrobrachium vollenhovenii (48.28%), Macrobrachium lar (45.86%) and Pandalus platyceros (40.54%) ([Table 2\)](#page-5-0). The sequences with high amino acid sequence similarity to EcIAG were all derived from Palaemonidae, except for Pandalus platyceros. IAGs from decapod species have highly conserved characteristics, including B chain, A chain, six conserved cysteine residues and two cleavage sites ([Figure 1B](#page-4-0)). Phylogenetic tree analysis indicated that EcIAG was clustered with Palaemonidae homologs and separated from other IAG members of decapod crustaceans ([Figure 1C](#page-4-0)), consistent with the sequence alignment results.

3.2 Location of sgRNA

Mapping the ORF sequence to the genomic sequence indicated that EcIAG contained five exons and four introns ([Figure 1D\)](#page-4-0). Both sgRNA fragments target the second exon of EcIAG, which encodes part of signal peptide and the B chain ([Figure 1D](#page-4-0)). The simultaneous operation of two sgRNA fragments would lead to a large deletion of the nucleotide sequence, which contains two conserved cysteine residues that form two interstrand disulfide bonds of the mature IAG peptide [\(Figure 1A](#page-4-0)).

3.3 The mutation rate and type of the CRISPR/Cas9 system

The editing efficiency of Cas9 RNP was assessed in embryos after blastula stage. The sequencing data showed that the genome of E. carinicauda had been successfully edited in some embryos. The types of mutations could be divided into heterozygous mutation and homozygous mutation. The chromatogram of heterozygous mutant (HetM) showed multiple peaks at the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) site, while the wild did not show ([Figure 2B\)](#page-6-0). However, in the homozygous mutant (HomM), there was no multiple peaks in the sequencing map, but the electrophoretic band and the sequence length were significantly shortened ([Figures 2A,](#page-6-0) B). A total of 229 embryos injected at zygote stage were detected, of which 129 were amplified and sequenced successfully, and 29 were mutated, with an editing efficiency of 22.48%. Besides, a total of 115 embryos injected at the first cleavage,

The cleavage sites were marked with green shading. The two sgRNAs targeted site were marked with gray shading. (B) Multiple sequence alignment of EcIAG and IAG proteins from other crustaceans. The signal peptide, B chain, C peptide and A chain were marked. Six conserved cysteine residues were marked with gray shading, and three putative disulfide bridges were shown by red lines. The cleavage sites were marked with red arrows. Asterisks indicated fully conserved residues. The IAG sequences from E. carinicauda (EcIAG in this paper), Palaemon pacificus (PpIAG, BAJ84109.1), Palaemon pauciden (PpIAG, BAJ84108.1), Macrobrachium rosenbergii (MrIAG, ACJ38227.1), Macrobrachium nipponense (MnIAG, AGB56976.1), Macrobrachium vollenhovenii (MvIAG, AHZ34725.1), Macrobrachium lar (MlIAG, BAJ78349.1), Pandalus platyceros (PpIAG, ASM94212.1) were used for alignment. (C) Phylogenic relationships of IAG homologs in decapod crustaceans. The phylogenic tree was constructed via MEGA7.0 using neighbor-joining (NJ) approach with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The IAG sequences used in this study were from Macrobrachium vollenhovenii (AHZ34725.1), Macrobrachium rosenbergii (ACJ38227.1), Macrobrachium nipponense (AHA33389.1), Macrobrachium lar (BAJ78349.1), Palaemon paucidens (BAJ84108.1), Palaemon pacificus (BAJ84109.1), Pandalus platyceros (ASM94212.1), Chaceon quinquedens (ASA45642.1), Scylla paramamosain (AIF30295.1), Callinectes sapidus (AEI72263.1), Procambarus clarkia (ALX72789.1), Procambarus fallax (ASM94213.1), Portunus pelagicus (ADK46885.1), Cherax destructor (ACD91988.1), Jasus edwardsii (AIM55892.1), Sagmariasus verreauxi (AHY99679.1), Penaeus japonicus (BAK20460.1), Litopenaeus vannamei (AIR09497.1), Penaeus chinensis (AFU60546.1), Penaeus monodon (ADA67878.1) and E. carinicauda (EcIAG in this paper). (D)The putative linear structure of EcIAG mRNA and secondary structure of EcIAG protein. Length of exon are indicated with number. The mRNA and amino acid sequences were one-to-one correspondences and were marked with number of nucleic acids and amino acids. Two sgRNAs sequences were shown with base. The protospacer adjacent motif sites were marked with PAM.

of which 86 were amplified and sequenced successfully, and 12 were mutated, with an editing efficiency of 13.95% ([Table 3\)](#page-6-0).

3.4 Phenotypic changes in E. carinicauda after gene editing

After microinjection, a total of 40 larvae hatched, and 20 prawns grew to adults. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing technology induced mutations in eight individuals, of which five were heterozygous mutants and three were homozygous mutants. The five heterozygous mutants occurred both deletion and insertion mutations, leading to premature termination of translation. The three homozygous mutants all had large deletions in nucleotide sequences, leading to large deletions in amino acid sequences and premature termination of translation ([Figures 2C,](#page-6-0) D). In addition to assessing editing effects, we also checked the genetic and phenotypic and genetic sex of mutants [\(Figures 3A,](#page-7-0) B, [Table 3\)](#page-6-0). Among the five

TABLE 2 The information of IAG protein used in multiple sequence alignment.

Species	GenBank No.	Description	Similarity
Palaemon pacificus	BAJ84109.1	insulin-like androgenic gland factor	64.41%
Palaemon paucidens	BAJ84108.1	insulin-like androgenic gland factor	57.23%
Macrobrachium rosenbergii	ACJ38227.1	insulin-like androgenic gland specific factor	50.29%
Macrobrachium nipponense	AGB56976.1	insulin-like androgenic gland factor	49.71%
Macrobrachium vollenhovenii	AHZ34725.1	insulin-like androgenic gland hormone	48.28%
Macrobrachium lar	BAJ78349.1	insulin-like androgenic gland factor	45.86%
Pandalus platyceros	ASM94212.1	insulin-like androgenic gland hormone	40.54%

heterozygous mutants, the genetic sex of three was female and that of the other two was male, and the genetic sex was consistent with the sex phenotype ([Figures 3A,](#page-7-0) B, [Table 4](#page-8-0)). Three homozygous mutants with a genetic male sex lost their male characteristics and exhibited female characteristics [\(Figures 3A](#page-7-0)–C, [Table 4](#page-8-0)). As development progresses, the ovary of HomM1 also developed normally. After crossing with a wild male, HomM1 could produces oocytes. And, the embryos developed normally and hatched [\(Figure 3C](#page-7-0)). Gene editing evaluation on the first filial generation (F1) showed that the EcIAG mutation could passed on to the offspring [\(Figure 4](#page-8-0)).These results indicated that sex reversal could achieve by knocking out EcIAG, and the mutation induced by CRISPR/Cas9 was hereditary.

4 Discussions

In the present study, the male sexual differentiation gene IAG was obtained from E. carinicauda. Using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing technology, EcIAG was knocked out and homozygous mutants with biallelic mutations were acquired, achieving the sex reversal of E. carinicauda from males to neo-females. The study verified the role of EcIAG in the sexual differentiation of male E. carinicauda and acquired sex reversal in crustaceans for the first time using CRISPR/Cas9 system [\(11](#page-9-0)). The gene structure and function of IAGs are highly conserved in crustaceans [\(19](#page-10-0), [29](#page-10-0)), so it might be possible to use CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene editing technology to knock out IAG genes in other crustaceans and lead to sex reversal, which has importantly practical value in the monosex culture of crustaceans.

Compared with existing methods of obtaining monosex populations, such as manual segregation, AG manipulation or silencing sexual differentiation related genes, CRISPR/Cas9-

mediated gene editing technology has many advantages. It is efficient and labor-saving method, which does not need to consider the decision-making point of sexual differentiation or intervention time, and could obtain inheritable mutations ([1](#page-9-0), [20\)](#page-10-0). In M. rosenbergii, although the fully functional sex reversal from males to females could be acquired by AG ablation or RNA interference, they were limited by the developmental stage of the prawn, and the mutation could not be inherited [\(7](#page-9-0), [22\)](#page-10-0). In our study, the mutation of EcIAG induced by gene editing could be passed on to offspring. We could expand the population of mutants by breeding, and create all-male or all-female populations by crossbreeding. For example, the genetic sex determination system of E. carinicauda was ZZ/ZW system, and the F1 generation would be all heterozygous male mutants $(ZZ^{IAG+/-})$ [\(Figure 5A](#page-8-0)). This is the most efficient way to create a monosex male population without the need for constant mating. Moreover, if one homozygous female mutant (ZWIAG-/-) obtained through the gene editing system crosses with a wild male $(ZZ^{IAG+/+})$, we could acquire neo-females (ZZ^{IAG-/-}) by free mating in F1 generation ([Figure 5B\)](#page-8-0).

Microinjection is the main workhorse to deliver CRISPR/Cas9 reagents for gene editing. The survival rate and gene editing efficiency of injected embryos and are two mainly limiting factors for the application of this technique in crustaceans ([41](#page-10-0)). In previous studies, the efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing technology in crustaceans was generally around 10% and usually led to heterozygous mutants ([29](#page-10-0), [32,](#page-10-0) [42\)](#page-10-0). In the present study, the gene editing efficiency in embryos of E. carinicauda reached 22.48% and the homozygous EcIAG mutants was acquired. The increasement of gene editing efficiency might be partially attributed to the injection of two sgRNA fragments, which has been reported to improve gene editing efficiency ([26,](#page-10-0) [30](#page-10-0)). In addition, two sgRNAs could function not only in the zygotes but also in the embryo after its first cleavage. This may be related to the meroblastic cleavage of E. carinicauda zygotes in the early cleavage stage ([43\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, the using of Cas9 nuclease protein might also contribute to the high gene editing efficiency, since it is delivered in functional form, and it also has less toxicity compared with plasmid and mRNA ([44](#page-10-0), [45](#page-10-0)). And, the use of higher concentrations of sgRNA and Cas9 nuclease protein than those used in previous studies might also be the reason for the high efficiency of gene editing [\(28,](#page-10-0) [29,](#page-10-0) [46\)](#page-10-0). However, although the editing efficiency has improved significantly, the survival rate of embryos is still low. We still need to optimize injection techniques to further improve the survival rate of embryos. With the development of technology, some alternative deliver approaches have been developed in other species, such as ReMOT Control, electroporation, nanoparticle delivery, etc [\(41,](#page-10-0) [47](#page-10-0), [48\)](#page-10-0). By using novel CRISPR/Cas9 reagents delivery methods, we might be able to create more efficient CRISPR/ Cas9 gene editing system.

The in-depth study on the sexual differentiation of the IAG gene provides a basis for the use of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology to achieve sex manipulation in crustaceans. In addition to IAG,

FIGURE 2

Detection of the EcIAG mutations of adult prawns. (A) Agarose electrophoresis analysis of wild-type (WT) and mutant (HetM and HomM) PCR products. The electrophoresis bands of HomM were shorter compared with WT and HetM. (B) The representative sequencing chromatograms of heterozygous and homozygous mutants. The top sequence represents the wild-type (WT) sequences. The protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sites were indicated by green shading and the two sgRNAs targeted site were indicated by blue and red shading. (C) A large deletion occurred in the nucleotide sequence between the two sgRNA target sites. (D) Changes of nucleotide led the deletion of amino acid residues (HomM1 and HomM3) and the premature termination of translation (HetM1-5 and HomM2). The heterozygous mutant was indicated with HetM, the homozygous mutant was indicated with HomM.

mutant with phenotypic male sex was marked with black arrow. (B) The sequencing chromatograms of sex DNA markers of mutants. For the two adjacent SNP markers marked with gray shading, male individuals were both T/T-T/T genotypes, and female individuals were both C/T-C/T genotypes. The males are indicated with "♂", the females are indicated with "♀". (C) The ovary development, oocytes production and embryonic development (8 cells stage, blastula stage, nauplius stage and anterior stage) of HomM1 mutant. The ovary was indicated with black arrow.

several genes homologous to Sxl, Tra-2, Dmrt and Dsx, which are involved in the insect sexual differentiation cascade, have been identified from many crustaceans ([49](#page-10-0)–[53\)](#page-10-0). These genes share high sequence similarity with their homologs in insects and their expression profiles have been characterized in crustaceans. However, few genes have been functionally studied as deeply as

the IAG gene. Therefore, the detailed roles of these genes in sex determination and differentiation remain largely unknown in crustaceans. With the mature application of gene editing technology in crustaceans, the function of these genes will be illustrated and new targets for sex manipulation will also be developed.

TABLE 4 Phenotypic and genetic features of E. carinicauda mutants.

Mutants	Phenotype sex	Genetic sex
HetM1	Female	Female
HetM2	Male	Male
HetM3	Female	Female
HetM4	Female	Female
HetM ₅	Male	Male
HomM1	Female	Male
HomM2	Female	Male
HomM3	Female	Male

5 Conclusion

In the present study, the function of EcIAG in male sexual differentiation was characterized and the sex reversal in E. carinicauda was obtained after knocking out EcIAG using the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing technology. Knockout of the target gene could be achieved by microinjection at both the zygote stage and the embryo at the first cleavage. Homozygous mutation of EcIAG in both chromosome sets directly led to the sex reversal of male individuals into neo-females. The present study provides not only a new method for sex manipulation and monosex population breeding, but also important experimental materials for studying the mechanism of sexual differentiation in crustaceans.

FIGURE 4

The representative sequencing chromatograms of HomM1 F1 generation. The top sequence represents the wild-type (WT) sequences. The protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sites were indicated by green shading and the two sgRNAs targeted site were indicated by blue and red shading.

Potential crossing scheme of creating monosex population. (A) Neo-female with genotype ZZIAG-/- crossed with wild male. (B) Female with genotype ZWIAG-/- crossed with wild male and acquired neo-females in F2 generation. F0 represented the mutants and wild types. F1 represented the offspring of EcIAG knockout mutants crossed with wild types. F2 represented the offspring of F1 inbreeding.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/supplementary material.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because this study used shrimp and experimental animals, which is not endangered invertebrates. In addition, there is no genetically modified organism used in the study. According to the national regulation (Fisheries Law of the People's Republic of China), no permission is required to collect the animals and no formal ethics approval is required for this study.

Author contributions

MM: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. SL: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. JY: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. PL: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. XF: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. CZ: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. XZ: Writing – review & editing. FL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Ventura T, Sagi A. The insulin-like androgenic gland hormone in crustaceans: from a single gene silencing to a wide array of sexual manipulationbased biotechnologies. Biotechnol Adv (2012) 30(6):1543–50. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioteChadv.2012.04.008) [j.bioteChadv.2012.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioteChadv.2012.04.008)

2. Levy T, Rosen O, Eilam B, Azulay D, Aflalo ED, Manor R, et al. A single injection of hypertrophied androgenic gland cells produces all-female aquaculture. Mar Biotechnol (NY) (2016) 18(5):554–63. doi: [10.1007/s10126-016-9717-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-016-9717-5)

3. Shi X, Lu J, Wu Q, Waiho K, Aweya JJ, Fazhan H, et al. Comparative analysis of growth performance between female and male mud crab Scylla paramamosain crablets: Evidences from a four-month successive growth experiment. Aquaculture (2019) 505:351–62. doi: [10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.02.062](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.02.062)

4. Hansford SW, Hewitt D. Growth and nutrient digestibility by male and female Penaeus monodon: evidence of sexual dimorphism. Aquaculture (1994) 125(1-2):147– 54. doi: [10.1016/0044-8486\(94\)90291-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(94)90291-7)

5. Chang E, O'Connor JD. The Biology of Crustacea. New York: Academic Press (1983).

6. Liu Y, Hui M, Cui Z, Luo D, Song C, Li Y, et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals sex-biased gene expression in juvenile chinese mitten crab eriocheir sinensis. PloS One (2015) 10(7):e0133068. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0133068](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133068)

7. Aflalo ED, Hoang TTT, Nguyen VH, Lam Q, Nguyen DM, Trinh QS, et al. A novel two-step procedure for mass production of all-male populations of the giant freshwater prawn Macrobrachium rosenbergii. Aquaculture (2006) 256(1):468–78. doi: [10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.01.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.01.035)

8. Ma HY, Ma CY, Ma LB, Xu Z, Feng NN, Qiao ZG. Correlation of growth-related traits and their effects on body weight of the mud crab (Scylla paramamosain). Genet Mol research: GMR (2013) 12(4):4127–36. doi: [10.4238/2013.October.1.3](https://doi.org/10.4238/2013.October.1.3)

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was financially supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFD2401400), the earmarked fund for CARS-48 and the Taishan Scholars Program.

Acknowledgments

Thanks for the data service provided by the Oceanographic Data Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CASODC).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Beardmore JA, Mair GC, Lewis RI. Monosex male production in finfish as exemplified by tilapia: applications, problems, and prospects. Aquaculture (2001) 197 (1):283–301. doi: [10.1016/S0044-8486\(01\)00590-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00590-7)

10. Nair CM, Salin KR, Raju MS, Sebastian M. Economic analysis of monosex culture of giant freshwater prawn (Macrobrachium rosenbergii De Man): a case study. Aquaculture Res (2006) 37(9):949–54. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2109.2006.01521.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2006.01521.x)

11. Ventura T. Monosex in aquaculture. Results Problems Cell Differentiation (2018) 95:91–101. doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-92486-1_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92486-1_6)

12. Farhadi A. Editorial: Sex determination and developmental mechanism of crustaceans and shellfish, volume II. Front Endocrinol (2023) 14:1155209. doi: [10.3389/fendo.2023.1155209](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1155209)

13. Farhadi A, Fang S, Zhang Y, Cui W, Fang H, Ikhwanuddin M, et al. The significant sex-biased expression pattern of Sp-Wnt4 provides novel insights into the ovarian development of mud crab (Scylla Paramamosain). Int J Biol Macromolecules (2021) 183:490–501. doi: [10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.04.186](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.04.186)

14. Kotaka S, Ohira T. cDNA cloning and in situ localization of a crustacean female sex hormone-like molecule in the kuruma prawn Marsupenaeus japonicus. Fisheries Sci (2017) 84(1):53–60. doi: [10.1007/s12562-017-1152-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-017-1152-7)

15. Charniaux-Cotton H. [Discovery in, an amphipod crustacean (Orchestia gammarella) of an endocrine gland responsible for the differentiation of primary and secondary male sex characteristics]. Comptes rendus hebdomadaire l'Academie Des Sci (1954) 239(13):780–2.

16. Charniaux-Cotton H. Androgenic gland of crustaceans. Gen Comp Endocrinol (1962) 1:241–7. doi: [10.1016/0016-6480\(62\)90095-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(62)90095-3)

17. Sagi A, Khalaila I. The crustacean androgen: A hormone in an isopod and androgenic activity in decapods. Am Zoologist (2001) 41(3):477–84. doi: [10.1093/icb/41.3.477](https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/41.3.477)

18. Levy T, Tamone SL, Manor R, Aflalo ED, Sklarz MY, Chalifa-Caspi V, et al. The IAG-switch and further transcriptomic insights into sexual differentiation of a protandric shrimp. Front Mar Sci (2020) 7:587454. doi: [10.3389/fmars.2020.587454](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.587454)

19. Farhadi A, Cui W, Zheng H, Li S, Zhang Y, Ikhwanuddin M, et al. The regulatory mechanism of sexual development in decapod crustaceans. Front Mar Sci (2021) 8:679687. doi: [10.3389/fmars.2021.679687](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.679687)

20. Levy T, Sagi A. The "IAG-switch"-A key controlling element in decapod crustacean sex differentiation. Front Endocrinol (2020) 11:651. doi: [10.3389/fendo.2020.00651](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2020.00651)

21. Malecha SR, Nevin PA, Ha P, Barck LE, Lamadrid-Rose Y, Masuno S, et al. Sexratios and sex-determination in progeny from crosses of surgically sex-reversed freshwater prawns, Macrobrachium rosenbergii. Aquaculture (1992) 105(3):201–18. doi: [10.1016/0044-8486\(92\)90087-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(92)90087-2)

22. Ventura T, Manor R, Aflalo ED, Weil S, Rosen O, Sagi A. Timing sexual differentiation: full functional sex reversal achieved through silencing of a single insulin-like gene in the prawn, macrobrachium rosenbergii. Biol Reprod (2012) 86 (3):90. doi: [10.1095/biolreprod.111.097261](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.111.097261)

23. Xu HJ, Chen YL, Wang YM, Luo JY, Li JW, Shen SQ, et al. Full functional sex reversal achieved through silencing of mroDmrt11E gene in macrobrachium rosenbergii: production of all-male monosex freshwater prawn. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) (2021) 12:772498. doi: [10.3389/fendo.2021.772498](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021.772498)

24. Karplus I, Sagi A, Khalaila I, Barki A. The influence of androgenic gland implantation on the agonistic behavior of female crayfish (Cherax quadricarinatus) in interactions with males. *Behaviour* (2003) 140(5):649–63. doi: [10.1163/156853903322149487](https://doi.org/10.1163/156853903322149487)

25. Ma Y, Zhang L, Huang X. Genome modification by CRISPR/cas9. FEBS J (2014) 281(23):5186–93. doi: [10.1111/febs.13110](https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.13110)

26. Nakanishi T, Kato Y, Matsuura T, Watanabe H. CRISPR/Cas-mediated targeted mutagenesis in Daphnia magna. PloS One (2014) 9(5):e98363. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098363) [journal.pone.0098363](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098363)

27. Gui T, Zhang J, Song F, Sun Y, Xie S, Yu K, et al. CRISPR/cas9-mediated genome editing and mutagenesis of ecChi4 in Exopalaemon carinicauda. G3-Genes Genomes Genet (2016) 6(11):3757–64. doi: [10.1534/g3.116.034082](https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.034082)

28. Gao Y, Zhang X, Zhang X, Yuan J, Li F. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutation reveals Pax6 is essential for development of the compound eye in Decapoda Exopalaemon carinicauda. Dev Biol (2020) 465(2):157–67. doi: [10.1016/j.ydbio.2020.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2020.07.001)

29. Gao Y, Zhang X, Yuan J, Zhang C, Li S, Li F. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutation on an insulin-like peptide encoding gene affects the growth of the ridgetail white prawn Exopalaemon carinicauda. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) (2022) 13:986491. doi: [10.3389/fendo.2022.986491](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.986491)

30. Li R, Meng Q, Qi J, Hu L, Huang J, Zhang Y, et al. Microinjection-based CRISPR/ Cas9 mutagenesis in the decapoda crustaceans Neocaridina heteropoda and Eriocheir sinensis. J Exp Biol (2022) 225(6):jeb243702. doi: [10.1242/jeb.243702](https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.243702)

31. Molcho J, Manor R, Shamsian M, Sudarshan G, Ofir R, Parker D, et al. On genome editing in embryos and cells of the freshwater prawn Macrobrachium rosenbergii. Aquaculture (2022) 558):738391. doi: [10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738391](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738391)

32. Sun Y, Yan C, Liu M, Liu Y, Wang W, Cheng W, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of one carotenoid isomerooxygenase gene (EcNinaB-X1) from Exopalaemon carinicauda. Fish Shellfish Immunol (2020) 97:421–31. doi: [10.1016/j.fsi.2019.12.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2019.12.037)

33. Mohamad Ishak NS, Nong QD, Matsuura T, Kato Y, Watanabe H. Co-option of the bZIP transcription factor Vrille as the activator of Doublesex1 in environmental sex determination of the crustacean Daphnia magna. PloS Genet (2017) 13(11):e1006953. doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1006953](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006953)

34. Xu W, Xie J, Hui S, Li C. Hematodinium infections in cultured ridgetail white rawns, Exopalaemon carinicauda, in eastern China. Aquaculture (2010) 300(1-4):25– 31. doi: [10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.12.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.12.024)

35. Li J, Han J, Chen P, Chang Z, He Y, Liu P, et al. Cloning of a heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) gene and expression analysis in the ridgetail white prawn Exopalaemon carinicauda. Fish Shellfish Immunol (2012) 32(6):1191–7. doi: [10.1016/j.fsi.2012.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2012.03.008)

36. Lv J, Lu X, Ti X, Liu P, Li J, Li J. QTL mapping and marker identification for sex determination in the ridgetail white prawn, *Exopalaemon carinicauda*. Genomics (2020) 112(6):5240–7. doi: [10.1016/j.ygeno.2020.09.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2020.09.037)

37. Yuan J, Gao Y, Zhang X, Wei J, Liu C, Li F, et al. Genome Sequences of Marine Shrimp Exopalaemon carinicauda Holthuis Provide Insights into Genome Size Evolution of Caridea. Mar Drugs (2017) 15(7):213. doi: [10.3390/md15070213](https://doi.org/10.3390/md15070213)

38. Liang JP, Zhang WL, Li H, Li JT, Li J. Abundances of vitellogenin and heat shock protein 90 during ovarian and embryonic development of Exopalaemon carinicauda. Anim Reprod Sci (2020) 223:106633. doi: [10.1016/j.anireprosci.2020.106633](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2020.106633)

39. Sudhir K, Glen S, Koichiro T. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol (2016) 33(7):1870. doi: [10.1093/molbev/](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054) [msw054](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054)

40. Naito Y, Hino K, Bono H, Ui-Tei K. CRISPRdirect: software for designing CRISPR/Cas guide RNA with reduced off-target sites. Bioinformatics (2015) 31 (7):1120–3. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu743](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu743)

41. Xu S, Pham TP, Neupane S. Delivery methods for CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing in crustaceans. Mar Life Sci Technol (2020) 2(1):1–5. doi: [10.1007/s42995-019-00011-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-019-00011-4)

42. Zhang J, Song F, Sun Y, Yu K, Xiang J. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of EcMIH shortens metamorphosis time from mysis larva to postlarva of Exopalaemon carinicauda. Fish Shellfish Immunol (2018) 77:244–51. doi: [10.1016/j.fsi.2018.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2018.04.002)

43. Wang XE. Early embryonic development on Exopalaemon carinicauda(holthuis) and relation of its incubation with temperature and salinity. J Fisheries China (1989) 13 (1):59–64. doi: [10.1007/BF02006184](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02006184)

44. Horodecka K, Düchler M. CRISPR/cas9: principle, applications, and delivery through extracellular vesicles. Int J Mol Sci (2021) 22(11):6072. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22116072) [ijms22116072](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22116072)

45. Prasad K, George A, Ravi NS, Mohankumar KM. CRISPR/Cas based gene editing: marking a new era in medical science. Mol Biol Rep (2021) 48(5):4879–95. doi: [10.1007/s11033-021-06479-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-021-06479-7)

46. Hiruta C, Kakui K, Tollefsen KE, Iguchi T. Targeted gene disruption by use of CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes in the water flea Daphnia pulex. Genes to Cells (2018) 23(6):494–502. doi: [10.1111/gtc.12589](https://doi.org/10.1111/gtc.12589)

47. Wei T, Cheng Q, Min Y-L, Olson EN, Siegwart DJ. Systemic nanoparticle delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoproteins for effective tissue specific genome editing. Nat Commun (2020) 11(1):3232. doi: [10.1038/s41467-020-17029-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17029-3)

48. Chaverra-Rodriguez D, Macias VM, Hughes GL, Pujhari S, Suzuki Y, Peterson DR, et al. Targeted delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein into arthropod ovaries for heritable germline gene editing. Nat Commun (2018) 9(1):3008. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05425-9) [s41467-018-05425-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05425-9)

49. Shen H, Hu Y, Zhou X. Sex-lethal gene of the Chinese mitten crab Eriocheir sinensis: cDNA cloning, induction by eyestalk ablation, and expression of two splice variants in males and females. Dev Genes Evol (2014) 224(2):97-105. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-014-0467-y) [s00427-014-0467-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-014-0467-y)

50. Zhang YP, Qiao H, Zhang WY, Sun SM, Jiang SF, Gong YS, et al. Molecular cloning and expression analysis of two sex-lethal homolog genes during development in the oriental river prawn, Macrobrachium nipponense. Genet Mol Res (2013) 12 (4):4698–711. doi: [10.4238/2013.October.18.8](https://doi.org/10.4238/2013.October.18.8)

51. Li S, Li F, Wen R, Xiang J. Identification and characterization of the sexdeterminer transformer-2 homologue in Chinese shrimp, Fenneropenaeus chinensis. Sex Dev (2012) 6(5):267–78. doi: [10.1159/000341377](https://doi.org/10.1159/000341377)

52. Amterat Abu Abayed F, Manor R, Aflalo ED, Sagi A. Screening for Dmrt genes from embryo to mature Macrobrachium rosenbergii prawns. Gen Comp Endocrinol (2019) 282:113205. doi: [10.1016/j.ygcen.2019.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2019.06.009)

53. Zhang W, Lv J, Lan W, Gao B, Liu P. Discovery of sex-determining genes in portunus trituberculatus: A comparison of male and female transcriptomes during early developmental stages. Front Mar Sci (2022) 8:811052(811052). doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.811052) [fmars.2021.811052](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.811052)