Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Philipp Maximov, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Marion Lapierre, INSERM U1194 Institut de Recherche en Cancérologie de Montpellier (IRCM), France Jyothi S. Prabhu, St. John's Research Institute, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Anna T. Riegel Mariege01@georgetown.edu

RECEIVED 29 June 2023 ACCEPTED 14 August 2023 PUBLISHED 30 August 2023

CITATION

Kiliti AJ, Sharif GM, Martin MB, Wellstein A and Riegel AT (2023) AIB1/SRC-3/NCOA3 function in estrogen receptor alpha positive breast cancer. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1250218. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1250218

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Kiliti, Sharif, Martin, Wellstein and Riegel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

AIB1/SRC-3/NCOA3 function in estrogen receptor alpha positive breast cancer

Amber J. Kiliti^{1,2}, Ghada M. Sharif¹, Mary Beth Martin^{1,2}, Anton Wellstein¹ and Anna T. Riegel^{1*}

¹Department of Oncology, Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, United States, ²Department of Biochemistry, Molecular and Cellular Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, United States

The estrogen receptor alpha (ER α) is a steroid receptor that is pivotal in the initiation and progression of most breast cancers. ER α regulates gene transcription through recruitment of essential coregulators, including the steroid receptor coactivator AIB1 (Amplified in Breast Cancer 1). AIB1 itself is an oncogene that is overexpressed in a subset of breast cancers and is known to play a role in tumor progression and resistance to endocrine therapy through multiple mechanisms. Here we review the normal and pathological functions of AIB1 in regard to its ER α -dependent and ER α -independent actions, as well as its genomic conservation and protein evolution. We also outline the efforts to target AIB1 in the treatment of breast cancer.

KEYWORDS

AIB1, SRC3, Ncoa3, estrogen receptor, breast cancer, endocrine therapy, transcriptional regulation

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common newly diagnosed cancer in women as of 2022 and one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths (reviewed in 1). Breast cancer can be classified into main subtypes based on hormone receptor and human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2) status (reviewed in 2). Hormone receptor positive BC expresses the estrogen receptor (ER) and/or the progesterone receptor (PR) which are targets for endocrine therapy. The majority of diagnosed BC cases are ER positive (3). These ER positive cancers are treated with endocrine therapies that quench estrogen production or target the ER directly but resistance is a common occurrence which leads to relapse and disease progression (reviewed in 4).

Steroid nuclear receptors, including the ER, are transcription factors (TFs) that regulate the expression of target genes when bound by their ligand. Steroid receptor coactivators are proteins that bind to steroid nuclear receptors and potentiate their transcriptional activity. AIB1/SRC-3/NCOA3 (Steroid Receptor Coactivator 3/Nuclear Receptor Coactivator 3) is a potent coactivator of the ER and plays a major role in normal physiology and human disease (reviewed in 5). It has been shown to be a key molecule involved in breast cancer malignant progression and in resistance to endocrine therapy. Therefore, understanding AIB1 function in BC is of value and targeting AIB1 in human BC is of clinical interest.

Here we summarize current knowledge on the structure, evolution and biological effects of AIB1 in the normal mammary gland and in ER positive and negative BC development and progression, providing insights into the rationale for targeting AIB1 in endocrine therapy resistant cancer.

Estrogen receptor

The ER is a nuclear receptor that is activated by its steroid ligand 17- β estradiol (E2). Many of the actions of estrogens in the breast are mediated by two isoforms of the estrogen receptor, ERa and ER β . The mitogenic actions of the hormone are mediated by ER α while the antimitogenic actions are mediated by ER β (reviewed in 6). ER α belongs to the superfamily of nuclear receptors (7) and, similar to other receptors, ERa is divided into regions A through F (Figure 1) (8). The N-terminal A/B region contains the transactivation function-1 (AF-1) domain. It is involved in protein-protein interactions and plays an important role in ligand dependent and independent activation of the receptor. Region C is the DNA binding domain (DBD) and consists of two zinc finger motifs connected by a short flexible amino acid linker. The hinge region, region D, plays a role in dimerization of the receptor. Region E is the hormone, or ligand, binding domain (LBD) and contains the ligand binding pocket and the transactivation function-2 (AF-2) domain. Region E is responsible for ligand dependent activation of the receptor and binds coactivators and corepressors. In the absence of hormone, ERa is associated with heat shock proteins, including hsp90 and hsp70, and immunophilins that maintain the receptor in high affinity ligand binding and render the receptor inactive by inhibiting DNA binding, dimerization, and cofactor binding (9, 10). Upon hormone binding, ERa is phosphorylated on serines in the A/ B region that increases the activity of the AF-1 domain (11, 12) and a conformational change occurs in the LBD that results in the dissociation of heat shock proteins and the formation of the AF-2 domain (13). The ER then binds to estrogen-responsive elements (EREs) within the genome to regulate the expression of target genes.

The ER can also indirectly interact with DNA through tethering to other TFs.

The ER α (*ESR1* gene) isoform stimulates proliferation and survival of breast tissue and has unequivocally been established as a driver of breast cancer carcinogenesis. More than 75% of all newly diagnosed BCs are classified as ER+ (3). Inhibiting ER signaling with anti-estrogen therapy has greatly improved the survival of patients with ER+ BC, however, some tumors display intrinsic resistance with no initial response and still many others develop acquired resistance (reviewed in 4). Hence, overcoming resistance remains a major challenge in treating ER+ BC.

The function of ER β (*ESR2* gene) in breast cancer progression is largely unknown. However, a recent study has probed over 3000 primary breast tumors for RNA levels of *ESR2* and found that, although its overall expression is low, *ESR2* is associated with better overall survival (14). The domain organization of ER β is similar to ER α and there is a high degree of amino acid sequence identity (Figure 1) (15). AIB1 can function as a coactivator of both ER isoforms (16), likely because there is conservation of the AF-2 domain where coactivators and corepressors bind.

p160 family of coactivators

Competition experiments involving steroid nuclear receptors such as the ER revealed transcriptional interference, suggesting that transcriptional activation was facilitated by limiting factors (17). In 1995, Oñate et al. discovered the Steroid Receptor Coactivator 1 (SRC-1) from a yeast two-hybrid screen as a protein that interacted with the ligand-binding domain of the human PR (18). SRC-1 was shown to enhance receptor-mediated transactivation of the PR as well as augmenting receptor-mediated transcription of several other steroid receptors including the ER and the glucocorticoid receptor. Shortly thereafter, SRC-2/GRIP-1/TIF2 was discovered (19-21). The AIB1 (Amplified in Breast Cancer 1) (nuclear coactivator 3, NCOA3) gene was cloned in parallel by several laboratories and therefore has many names, including SRC-3, ACTR (activator of thyroid and retinoic acid receptor), TRAM-1 (thyroid receptor activator molecule 1), RAC-3 (RAR-associated coactivator 3), and p/CIP (p300/CBP cointegrator associated protein) (22-27).



Frontiers in Endocrinology

The three homologous SRC family coactivators are ubiquitously expressed and share 50-55% sequence similarity. The resulting proteins are approximately 160 kDa (reviewed in 28). They have several conserved domains and therefore function similarly to activate transcription. The most conserved domain is the aminoterminus bHLH-PAS (basic helix loop helix- Per Arnt Sims) domain (29). The SRC coactivators also contain a central nuclear receptor domain (NRD) that is comprised of three alpha-helical LXXLL (where L is leucine and X is any amino acid) motifs which are essential for their interactions with nuclear receptors (30, 31). The sequences flanking these motifs are important for nuclear receptor selectivity. Finally, there are two activation domains (AD1 and AD2) that recruit co-coactivators at the carboxylterminus of the proteins (reviewed in 28). Many factors are known to interact with these domains (reviewed in 5).

Coregulators serve to bridge nuclear receptors to the transcription machinery and in general do not bind directly to DNA. They also modify chromatin and recruit secondary coactivators or corepressors to modulate transcription (reviewed in 32). SRC-1 and AIB1 have their own weak acetyltransferase activity in their C-terminal activation domains (24, 33). However, recruitment of CBP/p300 through the CID (CBP/p300 interaction domain) within the AD1 domain provides strong histone acetylase activity. Notably, although SRC proteins were initially discovered to activate transcription of nuclear receptors, they can also activate transcription of other TFs including NF- κ B (nuclear factor kappa B), AP-1 (activator protein-1) and STAT6 (34–36).

Transcriptional function of AIB1

AIB1 has been known to augment the transcriptional activity of the ER α in a ligand-dependent manner (22, 37). In fact, mass spectrometry revealed that AIB1 was the most enriched protein to immunoprecipitate with ER α after E2 treatment (38). Coactivator protein complexes are recruited to ligand-bound ER α once the nuclear receptor binds to EREs and SRC proteins have been shown to be among the earliest recruited factors (39). AIB1 facilitates transcription in part by recruiting chromatin-remodeling histone acetyltransferases such as p300 that relax chromatin from histones, leading to a permissive chromatin state (24) (Figure 2). The methyltransferase CARM1 (coactivator-associated arginine methyltransferase 1) can also be recruited following AIB1 and p300 which leads to reorganization of the complex, histone methylation and enhanced target gene transcription (40). Furthermore, AIB1 can regulate protein levels of ER α by recruiting the ubiquitin-proteosome machinery and, consequently, ER α levels are stabilized when AIB1 levels are decreased (41).

Enhancers are regulatory DNA sequences that can act in cis over long distances to stimulate gene transcription (42, 43). TFs bind to enhancer elements and, when in close proximity to their associated promoters, activate transcription (reviewed in 44, 45). Enhancers are brought close to their promoters through chromatin looping (46). The use of the novel looping assay developed by Panigrahi et al. to probe enhancer-promoter contacts in vitro revealed that AIB1 is a critical factor in looping that supports chromatin interactions at the ER α -regulated *GREB1* gene (47). The enhancer and promoter of GREB1 in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells is held in a ready state through contacts with AIB1 while in an E2-depleted environment. AIB1 is bound to intronic sequences located downstream of the GREB1 transcription start site. Upon E2 treatment, ligand-bound ER α is recruited to the gene loci and there is rapid reorganization that leads to productive transcription. Intriguingly, in this model, AIB1 is in direct contact with DNA and these binding sites were found to be necessary for gene transcription by ERa. This discovery indicates that AIB1 facilitates ERa-regulated gene transcription by modulating dynamic chromatin interactions. AIB1 is also known to interact directly with the pioneer factor FOXA1 or with TFs whose binding



to DNA is facilitated by FOXA1 (48). Ligand activated ER α then competes with FOXA1 for the limited amounts of AIB1 found in the cell and this loss of AIB1 at FOXA1 loci leads to the early down-regulation of genes following E2 treatment. This data helps explain how AIB1 can have broad impacts on gene transcription and supports a model of physiological squelching.

The gene for AIB1, NCOA3, is subject to alternative splicing. One such alternative splicing event produces an N-terminally truncated form of the full-length protein known as AIB1Δ4. AIB1 Δ 4 is generated by skipping of exon 4 (Figure 3A). This splice event leads to an in-frame stop codon in exon 5 and the usage of an alternative start codon in exon 7 (49, 50). The final protein product is 223 amino acids shorter from the N-terminus and is missing the bHLH-PAS domain (Figure 3B). Given the exclusion of this domain, AIB1Δ4 could interact with a distinct set of molecules or lose the ability to recruit other coregulators; for example, the putative tumor suppressor ANCO1/ANKRD11 that is recruited to gene loci by binding to the full length AIB1 is unable to bind to AIB1 Δ 4 (51). The transcription induced by the ER and PR is significantly increased with AIB1Δ4 compared to full-length AIB1 and AIB1 Δ 4 is associated with twice as much CBP/p300 (50). The AIB1 Δ 4 truncated protein is found at significantly higher levels in tumor samples compared to normal tissue and studies have shown that AIB1 Δ 4 increases the metastatic capabilities of BC cells (49, 52). For example, analysis of breast and pancreatic cancer cell lines showed a 2- to 4-fold higher abundance of AIB1Δ4 mRNA in metastatic lines compared to their less metastatic counterparts (50). In addition, samples from patients with high grade ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) had higher levels of AIB1Δ4 mRNA than lower grade samples (52). CRISPR engineering of human breast epithelial cells to only express the AIB1 Δ 4 isoform uncovered an "enabler" effect through cell-cell crosstalk with isogenic fulllength AIB1 expressing cells. Interestingly, *in vivo* cancer cell invasion and metastasis was enhanced when cells expressing fulllength AIB1 were mixed with a subpopulation of AIB1 Δ 4 expressing cells, demonstrating AIB1 Δ 4's novel role in breast cancer progression (52, 53).

Post-translational modifications and signaling pathways that regulate AIB1 function

AIB1 is phosphorylated at several serine and threonine residues that impact its activity and protein binding partners (reviewed in 54). Six phosphorylation sites were shown to be required for coactivation of the ER and AR (55). In addition, tyrosine phosphorylation by c-Abl (v-Abl Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1) tyrosine kinase at position Y1357 of AIB1 has been demonstrated to modify AIB1's interaction with CARM1, p300 and ERa (56). Phosphorylation by Cdk1 impacts the subcellular distribution of AIB1 during mitosis (57) and phosphorylation at serine 857 by metabolic enzyme 6phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase 4 (PFKFB4) enhanced AIB1 transcriptional activity (58). Other prominent molecules including ERK, JNK, p38, IKK and PKA have all been shown to phosphorylate AIB1 leading to signal convergence and the ability of AIB1 to respond to the dynamic conditions of the cell (55, 59). Sumoylation of AIB1 was shown to diminish the transcriptional activity of AIB1 and E2 treatment led to a



decrease in AIB1 sumoylation (60). Consistent with this finding, phosphatases PDXP, PP1 and PP2A are negative regulators of AIB1 transcriptional coregulatory activity (61). Furthermore, phosphorylation, methylation and ubiquitination events control AIB1 protein stability and turnover (62–64).

Genomic and protein conservation of AIB1 across species

Vertebrate models have greatly enhanced our understanding of the physiological and pathological functions of AIB1 in mammary cancer. This extrapolation is possible in part because human and mouse AIB1 have high levels of genomic and protein conservation. Human *NCOA3* consists of 23 exons separated by introns of varying lengths. The largest of these introns is over 81 kb (intron 1-2), while the smallest is just 102 bp (intron 15-16). Exon lengths are more homogeneous. With the exception of exon 23, which contains almost exclusively 3' UTR, human *NCOA3* exon lengths range from 79 bp (exon 2) to 872 bp (exon 12). To review the conservation of the genomic architecture of *NCOA3*, alignment of four divergent species to the genomic reference sequence of human *NCOA3* using the global-alignment program mVISTA (65, 66) was done (Figure 4). The peaks and valleys graph indicates the percent conservation for mouse, chicken, zebrafish and fruit fly respectively across the human *NCOA3* sequence. Human exonic sequences are well conserved in mouse, chicken and zebrafish, particularly after exon 3, which harbors the start codon for human, mouse, chicken and fruit fly. There are several regions in the mouse, and to a lesser extent in the other species, that have high levels of conservation throughout their intronic sequences. Additionally, the 3' UTR is highly conserved between human and mouse (exon 23).

AIB1 protein conservation was examined by assembling a phylogenetic tree containing 16 species (Figure 5). Human AIB1 was most similar to orthologous NCOA3 found in the chimpanzee and other mammals and most divergent from zebrafish and fruit fly orthologs. A distance heatmap in Figure 5C depicts the cophenetic distances between all mammals analyzed for easy comparison. This value represents the number of substitutions per site and can be



Genomic architecture of the NCOA3 gene. mVISTA global alignment of mouse, chicken, zebrafish and fruit fly orthologs to human NCOA3. X-axis human sequence position. Y-axis percent conservation. Pink regions correspond to conserved non-coding sequences (CNS), purple regions indicate exons and light blue regions indicate untranslated regions (UTRs). LINE, long interspersed nuclear elements; LTR, long terminal repeat; SINE, short interspersed nuclear elements; *human exon containing translation start codon.



interpreted as the amount of genetic change that has occurred during separate evolution of the species compared. The cophenetic distance between human and mouse proteins was 0.158. TATA-Box Binding Protein (TBP) evolutionary conservation was used to compare to a highly conserved protein (67–70) in the same 16 species and had a cophenetic distance of 0.115 between human and mouse, less than a 1.5-fold difference relative to AIB1/NCOA3, indicating the high amount of AIB1/NCOA3 conservation over evolutionary time.

Function of AIB1 in normal mammary physiology and pathology

Several studies have demonstrated AIB1's pleiotropic effects in normal physiology. These processes include cell proliferation, survival and metabolism, along with vasoprotection, female reproductive function and puberty (71, 72). Although earlier studies suggested overlapping functions between the three SRC proteins, essential and non-redundant roles for AIB1 were established through the use of knockout mice. AIB1 null mice displayed delayed puberty, slowed mammary gland growth, reproductive malfunction and dwarfism due to alterations in the IGF-1 (insulin-like growth factor 1) signaling pathway (71, 73).

AIB1 was shown to control energy homeostasis through PPAR γ coactivator-1 α (PGC-1 α) signaling (74). AIB1 regulates the expression of the PGC-1 α acetyltransferase GCN5 which leads to PGC-1 α acetylation and inhibition of its activity. AIB1 null mice displayed increased mitochondrial function and energy expenditure. AIB1 itself is also regulated by a metabolic enzyme. PFKFB4 activates AIB1 through serine 857 phosphorylation (58). Once phosphorylated, AIB1 has increased coactivator interaction with the transcription factor ATF4. This leads to upregulation in the expression of enzyme transketolase (TKT) which directs glucose flux towards the pentose phosphate pathway and purine synthesis. Additionally, AIB1 can form a complex with steroid receptors and PELP1 (proline, glutamic acid, leucine-rich protein 1) in the

cytoplasm of a cells (75). This interaction leads to upregulation of HIF-activated metabolic target genes *PFKFB3* and *PFKFB4* which affects mitochondrial respiration and glycolysis.

AIB1 also supports the maintenance of embryonic stem cell pluripotency through regulation of essential pluripotency genes such as Klf4, Tbx3 and Dax-1 (76). Additionally, AIB1 plays a role in immunity which is in part due to its interaction with the inflammatory regulator NF- κ B (reviewed in 77). A recent study by Han et al. demonstrated that cell-specific knockout of AIB1 in regulatory T (Treg) cells led to eradication of mouse mammary cancer E0771 cells *in vivo* (78). Mechanistically, AIB1 KO Tregs generated antitumor immunity by enhanced tumor infiltration of effector T cells and natural killer cells while also blocking the immune suppressive function of WT Tregs.

AIB1 has most notably been studied for its role as an oncogene, where it is known to be amplified and/or overexpressed in a variety of cancers including breast and pancreas (23, 37, 79, 80). *NCOA3* is amplified in 5% to 10% of human breast cancers and mRNA is found overexpressed in approximately 30% to 60% of breast cancer cases (23, 79, 81). AIB1 has been shown to promote cancer development through both hormone-dependent and hormoneindependent pathways (37, 59, 82, 83). AIB1 overexpression is associated with worse disease-free survival (84) and AIB1 transgenic mice presented with abnormal mammary gland development and mammary adenocarcinomas (82). These mice also displayed high frequency of other tumors such as pituitary and uterus. On the contrary, loss of the oncogene reduced tumor incidence in several BC mouse models (85, 86).

AIB1 advances breast cancer progression though pro-metastatic mechanisms. AIB1 knockout mice containing the mouse mammary tumor virus-polyomavirus middle T (PyMT) transgene had significantly less lung metastasis compared to WT mice (87). Tumors from AIB1 KO mice maintained epithelial markers such as E-cadherin and had lower expression of matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP-2) and MMP-9. Mechanistically, AIB1 acted as a coactivator for PEA3 and formed a complex on MMP-2 and MMP-9 promoters to enhance their expression. AIB1 also

10.3389/fendo.2023.1250218

supported epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in MCF7 cells, as knockdown of AIB1 upregulated protein and mRNA expression of the epithelial marker E-cadherin and downregulated protein and mRNA expression of the mesenchymal marker Snail (88). The downregulation of E-cadherin (*CDH1* gene) has been shown to be dependent on the interaction of AIB1 with MTA2 and the formation of a repressive complex (89). AIB1 and MTA2 colocalized to the CDH1 promoter in aromatase inhibitorresistant LetR cells generated from parental MCF7 cells. Knockdown of either AIB1 or MTA2 in these cells caused an increase in *CDH1* expression. This study demonstrated a novel molecular mechanistic link between AIB1 and *CDH1*.

The AIB1 Δ 4 isoform has also been implicated in breast cancer metastasis. AIB1 Δ 4 can act as an adapter protein to bridge the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and focal adhesion kinase (FAK) proteins consequent to EGF stimulation (90). This interaction then potentiates cell migration. In addition, AIB1 Δ 4 expression has recently been shown to enhance invasiveness of breast cancer cells through cell-cell crosstalk (52, 53). A minor subset of breast cancer cells that express AIB1 Δ 4 *in vivo* can enable bulk tumor cells to metastasize through alteration in signaling pathways that are activated *via* direct cell-cell contact.

AIB1 in the regulation of estrogendependent effects on breast cancer development and progression

Estrogen drives the proliferation of mammary epithelial and breast cancer cells. Cell cycle advancement is controlled by the CDK (cyclin-dependent kinase) family of serine/threonine kinases and activation of their regulatory cyclins (reviewed in 91). Cyclin D-CDK4/6 activity triggers progression through the G1 restriction point by phosphorylating and inactivating RB (retinoblastoma protein), leading to transcription of cell cycle-progression genes through E2F family transcription factors. The cyclin D1/CDK4/6/ RB/E2F1 pathway is often activated in ER positive BC through ERa binding to the cyclin D1 promoter and upregulating its expression. Importantly, AIB1 has been shown to enhance E2-induced expression of cyclin D1 (92, 93). AIB1 is also required for the E2mediated expression of E2F1 through the recruitment of the methyltransferase CARM1 (94). Beyond its role in regulating cyclin D1 and E2F1 expression, AIB1 has also been shown to be essential for estrogen-dependent growth of MCF-7 cells by enhancing the ability of estrogen to inhibit apoptosis (37). Overexpression of AIB1 or AIB1 Δ 4, along with ER α , led to abnormal growth responses in epithelial and stromal cells in vivo and more rapid formation of early stage BC (95). These responses were greater with the AIB1Δ4 isoform compared to fulllength AIB1.

Estrogen also increases expression levels of the PR and the PR modulates ER α action in BC (reviewed in 96). PR response genes can be used as a readout of ER activity. The PR has been shown to increase mammary epithelial cell proliferation through both cyclin D1 -dependent and -independent mechanisms (reviewed in 96). The PR

agonist progesterone induces proliferation in PR+ cells in a cellautonomous manner and also in a paracrine manner through receptor activator of nuclear factor-KB (RANK) and RANK ligand (RANKL) signaling (97). Ligand-bound PR stabilizes RANKL mRNA, leading to elevated protein levels. RANKL then activates NF-KB signaling in neighboring cells through its receptor RANK. The risk of BC increases by 16% when levels of progesterone are raised in postmenopausal women (98). Notably, siRNA knockdown of AIB1 led to a decrease in E2-induced PR expression. Mechanistically, AIB1 binds to the ER at the promoter region of the PR gene to facilitate its transcription (93). Furthermore, AIB1, and especially AIB1\Delta4, are strong coactivators of the PR itself (49) with AIB1 being the main coactivator for PR in breast tissue (99). Treatment of human breast cancer cells with the PR agonist medroxyprogesterone acetate led to an enhancement in the interaction between AIB1 and PR and a recruitment to cyclin D1 and Myc promoters (100). Tyrosine phosphorylation of AIB1 at Y1357 has been shown to regulate AIB1's interaction with several transcription factors, including the PR and ER α (55). Interestingly, treatment of breast cancer cells with E2 induces phosphorylation at this site.

Several studies have probed the association between AIB1 and PR expression levels with somewhat conflicting results (79, 81, 95, 101–104). Bautista et al. analyzed 1157 breast tumors and observed AIB1 gene amplification in 4.8% (79). AIB1 levels were correlated with larger tumor size and ER α and PR positivity. On the other hand, Bouras et al. examined 93 breast carcinomas and discovered a lack of association between AIB1 and ER α or PR expression (81). Still further, overexpression of the AIB1 Δ 4 splice isoform was shown to enhance PR expression *in vivo* while full-length AIB1 overexpression levels, which is not determined in most studies, could help explain in part the differences in the earlier findings.

The androgen receptor (AR) is a nuclear receptor that is activated by its ligand testosterone or 5- α -dihydrotestosterone. AIB1 interacts with AR through its first and third LXXLL motif and has been shown to be the favored coactivator for ligandactivated AR (105). Interestingly, several AR mutations have increased binding with AIB1 compared to WT AR. AR has an established function in the progression of prostate cancer and there is evidence that it also plays a role in breast tumor development and progression. A recent study found that there is competition between AR and ER α for interaction with AIB1 in BC cell lines (106). Consequently, there is a reduction in E2-induced cyclin D1 protein, mRNA and gene promoter activity when ligand-activated AR sequesters AIB1. These effects are negated when AIB1 is overexpressed, demonstrating how overexpression or amplification of AIB1 in ER+ BC supports E2-induced tumor cell proliferation in the presence of competing nuclear receptors.

AIB1's involvement in endocrine therapy resistance

Aromatase inhibitors, selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) and selective estrogen receptor downregulators (SERDs)

are all endocrine therapy approaches used in the adjuvant and metastatic setting to decrease estrogen signaling in ER+ BC (reviewed in 4). Both SERMs and SERDs hinder interactions between the ER and coactivators including AIB1 but through different mechanisms. Similar to other nuclear receptors (13, 107-112), the LBD of ER α contains 11 alpha helices (H1, H3-H12) folded into a three layered antiparallel α -helical sandwich. The central core layer contains three α -helices, H5/6, H9, and H10, sandwiched between two additional layers of helices composed of H1-4, H7, H8, and H11. The central core of the LBD is flanked by H12 (111, 112). SERMs function by blocking coactivator recruitment through binding to the LBD and relocating H12 into the coactivator-binding cleft (reviewed in 113, 114). SERDs, on the other hand, preclude the formation of the coactivator docking site by creating a disordered structure for H12. The different conformations of the LBD induced by the various ligands then leads to differential recruitment of coregulators (reviewed in 115, 116). This differential coregulator recruitment was shown in vitro by using multiple synthesized triphenylethylene (TPE) derivatives (117). AIB1 was recruited to the GREB1 proximal ERE enhancer site at different levels when cells were exposed to the various TPEs.

SERMs are termed selective because they can have estrogenic effects depending on the cellular context. Whether a drug acts as an agonist or an antagonist is determined in part by balance of coactivator or corepressor recruitment to the ER in a particular cell type (118). For example, overexpression of SRC-1 in HeLa cells led to an increase in

ER activity in the presence of tamoxifen while overexpression of the corepressor SMRT (silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptors) reduced tamoxifen-mediated action. Similar conclusions were drawn from a study looking at coregulator recruitment to ER α in breast (T47D) and endometrial (ECC1) carcinoma cells after exposure to tamoxifen or E2 (119). Coactivators were recruited to genes that were upregulated following treatment while genes that were downregulated were associated with corepressors. Overexpression of either a coactivator or corepressor in these cell lines dictated transcriptional response of ER α -regulated genes, highlighting that the expression level of coregulators determines whether a SERM acts as an agonist or antagonist.

A common mechanism of endocrine therapy resistance involves ligand-independent ER reactivation. This process can happen through several avenues, including altered interactions with coregulators (Figure 6A). It has been shown that AIB1 mRNA and protein levels are significantly elevated in normal and malignant tissue after exposure to tamoxifen, even at low dose (120). Conversely, E2 treatment represses AIB1 mRNA and protein expression in MCF-7 cells (121). Knockdown of AIB1 in invasive ductal carcinoma BT474 cells restored tamoxifen antitumor effects, demonstrating AIB1's role in treatment resistance (122). When analyzing patients with breast cancer who received tamoxifen, those who had high levels of AIB1 protein or mRNA had a worse diseasefree survival and higher incidence of tumor recurrence than those who had lower levels of AIB1 (101, 123).



FIGURE 6

AlB1 contributes to endocrine therapy resistance. (A) AlB1 amplification or overexpression can outcompete corepressors (CoR) for binding to SERMbound ER α , leading to gene expression. (B) Mutations in the LBD of ER α can interact with AlB1 in a ligand-independent manner, leading to gene expression. (C) AlB1 is involved in several ER α -independent signaling pathways that lead to increased cell survival, proliferation, cell-cycle progression, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and metabolic plasticity. Another path to endocrine therapy resistance is through ER α activating mutations. Mutations often occur in the ER LBD and lead to ligand-independent receptor activity after aromatase inhibitor treatment (reviewed in 124). These mutant ER α proteins are held in an agonist confirmation and their constitutive activity is associated with their ability to interact with coregulators such as AIB1 (125, 126) (Figure 6B). Indeed, all three SRC proteins had greater recruitment to EREs when bound by LBD ER α mutants compared to WT apo ER α (127). Missense mutations Y537S and D538G occur in the loop between helix 11-12. The NRD of AIB1 was shown to be able to bind to each of these mutant confirmations without the need for ligand binding (126).

In addition to ERa-dependent mechanisms, AIB1 can lead to endocrine therapy resistance through activation of other signaling pathways (Figure 6C). The HER2 (also known as ERBB2) receptor controls cell growth and division and can be overexpressed in BC (reviewed in 128). A study looking at 316 patients with axillary nodepositive BC revealed a correlation between high AIB1 expression levels and a worse disease-free survival, especially in patients who had both AIB1 and HER2 overexpression (101). Similarly, Kirkegaard et al. found that AIB1 expression levels are a predictor of relapse following tamoxifen treatment in HER2-expressing BC (102). Knockdown of AIB1 in HER2-amplified cells reestablished tamoxifen's inhibition on cell proliferation (122). Similarly, silencing AIB1 in a tamoxifenresistant MCF-7 cell line revealed reduced cell growth through the HER2 signaling pathway and a restoration of tamoxifen sensitivity (129). Mechanistically, AIB1 has been shown to compete with PAX2 (paired box 2 gene product) for binding to the HER2 cis-regulatory element in the presence of tamoxifen (130). PAX2 binding leads to gene repression whereas AIB1 binding results in an increase in HER2 transcription. Gene amplification or overexpression of AIB1 therefore outcompetes binding of PAX2 and leads to a reversal in the antiproliferative effects of tamoxifen. Additionally, expression of the AIB1∆4 isoform in breast cancer cells fails to recruit ANCO1 to the E2 regulatory site found in the HER2 gene (51). ANCO1 recruitment by full-length AIB1 leads to E2-regulated repression of HER2 gene transcription while AIB1∆4 reverses this repression. These findings delineate the cross-talk between HER2 and ERa signaling that is orchestrated through AIB1.

Ets proteins are downstream effectors of HER2 signaling and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)-dependent TFs. Primary BC cells and breast cell lines treated with growth factors showed recruitment of SRC proteins, including AIB1, to the Ets-DNA complex (131). Additionally, there was a positive association between SRC and Ets protein expression and disease recurrence. AIB1 was also shown to be required to promote E2-independent cell proliferation through interaction with the cell cycle regulator E2F1 (132). AIB1 overexpression in T47D cells that were made quiescent by tamoxifen or pure anti-estrogen treatment restored their proliferation, even in the presence of continuous anti-estrogens, through E2F1-responsive genes that are associated with proliferation. Interestingly, the interaction between AIB1 and E2F1 happens through the N-terminus of AIB1 (132) which is absent in the AIB1 Δ 4 isoform.

More recently, the histone acetyltransferase GCN5 (general control non-derepressible 5) was shown to induce tamoxifen

resistance by upregulating the expression of AIB1 leading to a reduction in the stability of the tumor suppressor p53 in MCF-7 cells (133). This AIB1-dependent p53 degradation may be happening through AIB1 up-regulation of TRAF4 (tumor necrosis factor receptor associated-factor 4). TRAF4 protein competes with p53 for binding to the deubiquitinase HAUSP (134). A decrease in p53 deubiquitination results in degradation and a reduction in stress-induced cell apoptosis. These results suggest that AIB1 overexpression may be particularly important in tumors with wild-type p53. Further, AIB1 may increase anti-hormone therapy resistance and enhance breast cancer stem cell activity by promoting metabolic plasticity through interaction with PELP1 (75) and contribute to BC metastasis under these therapy resistant conditions by inhibiting *CDH1* (E-cadherin) (89).

Targeting AIB1 in breast cancer

The transcriptional activity of ER α is regulated by its liganddependent conformation which was demonstrated by discovering peptides that interacted with either E2- or tamoxifen- activated ER α (135). The utility of this finding was evident for treatment of tamoxifen resistant breast cancers by being able to target sites outside of the ligand-binding pocket through creating coactivator mimics. Pyrimidine-based coactivator binding inhibitors later demonstrated the feasibility of targeting the coactivator binding pocket of ER α (136). The hope was that interfering with nuclear receptor-coactivator interactions through targeting the receptor-LXXLL interaction would inhibit the transcriptional activity of ER α (30). However, as outlined above, overexpression of coactivators including AIB1 often occurs in human breast cancer and can lead to ERα-independent cell growth. Therefore, small molecule inhibitors (SMIs) that can directly interfere with coactivator activity holds more therapeutic promise. The cardiac glycoside bufalin was identified in 2014 as an inhibitor of both AIB1 and SRC-1 (137). Bufalin was able to reduce tumor growth in mouse xenograft models of BC by degrading AIB1. Nevertheless, due to bufalin's known cardiotoxicity, new AIB1 SMIs were sought. The SRC-3 inhibitor-2 (SI-2) was identified in 2016 and caused BC cell death with low nanomolar IC50 values (138). Although SI-2 functions as a potent AIB1 inhibitor, its short half-life in vivo restricts its use as a practical therapeutic agent. To circumvent this issue, fluorine atoms were recently introduced to the SI-2 core structure (139). These SI-2 analogs have a significantly prolonged plasma half-life and minimal toxicity while remaining effective at inhibiting progression of breast cancer lung metastasis. Beyond inhibiting SRCs to treat cancer, a SRC small molecule stimulator (MCB-613) was identified that led to cancer cell death through over-activation of SRC transcriptional activity, leading to endoplasmic reticulum stress and high levels of reactive oxygen species (140).

AIB1 ER α -independent activities

The receptor tyrosine kinase EGFR is activated by EGF and regulates cell proliferation and survival of breast cancer, often

dimerizing with HER2. Knockdown of AIB1 levels in breast, lung and pancreatic cancer cell lines diminished growth response to EGF (141). Germline KO of AIB1 prevents growth of HER2 dependent cancer in transgenic models (51, 86). There was also reduced tyrosine phosphorylation of EGFR as well as decreased EGFdependent phosphorylation of HER2. This data suggests that AIB1 can act as an oncogene in part through controlling EGFR and HER2 activity. Further, AIB1 Δ 4 was shown to act as an adaptor that links EGFR to FAK (90). This interaction promotes EGFinduced phosphorylation of FAK and c-Src which leads to increased cell migration.

AIB1 is also known to regulate cell response to IGF-1 signaling. IGF-1 functions through binding to the IGF-1 transmembrane receptor. Once bound by its ligand, IGF-1 receptor elicits a response that leads to cell proliferation, tissue differentiation and protection from apoptosis through intracellular signaling pathways (reviewed in 142). Knockdown of AIB1 in MCF-7 cells increased IGF-1-dependent anoikis and thus impacted anchorageindependent growth (143). When AIB1 was overexpressed in transgenic mice, there was an increase in mammary IGF-1 mRNA and serum protein levels as well as activation of IGF-1 receptor downstream signaling molecules (82). On the contrary, knockout of AIB1 in mice resulted in partial resistance to IGF-1 without changing the expression of estrogen- or progesteroneresponsive genes (85). An alteration in IGF-1-regulated gene set expression in AIB1 KO mice was responsible for the stunted growth and short stature phenotype (73). These data demonstrate that AIB1 is required for IGF-1-dependent signaling which is independent of its role in ER signaling. In fact, targeting the IGF-1 downstream Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway has been shown to revert the premalignant hyperplastic mammary phenotype seen in an AIB1 transgenic mouse model (144).

AIB1 is known to act as a coactivator for several transcription factors other than the ER. Besides E2F and Ets interactions described above, AIB1 increases the expression of AP-1 (activator protein 1), TEADs and NF-KB (nuclear factor kappa B) dependent target genes, among others (reviewed in 145). Briefly, AIB1 coactivation of AP-1 promoted transcription of matrix metalloproteinases and increased invasiveness of human breast cancer cells (146). AIB1 interacts with the TEAD family of transcription factors through its N-terminal bHLH-PAS domain (147). AIB1, along with YAP (yes-associated protein 1), form a complex with TEAD that can lead to transcriptional activation or repression through AIB1-dependent recruitment of ANCO1 (148). Additionally, AIB1 is a known coactivator of NF-KB and is involved in NF- κ B-mediated gene expression (34). These data demonstrate the wide range of functions AIB1 has through its large protein interaction network. Importantly, these ERa-independent protein interactions can promote the progression of triple-negative BC (TNBC) which is characterized by the lack of expression of ER, PR, and HER-2. Indeed, high levels of expression of AIB1 are associated with poor prognosis in TNBC patients (149). Additionally, a subset of TNBC is driven by the AR (150). AIB1 is a coactivator of the AR (105) and therefore targeting AIB1 in AR positive TNBC could be of potential clinical interest, especially given that there are currently no molecular targets for this subtype.

Conclusions

ER α has been studied extensively for its role in human breast cancer development, maintenance and progression. Inhibiting the production of E2 or targeting ER α itself (collectively termed endocrine therapy) is a common strategy in treating patients with ER+ BC but treatment resistance is prevalent and patients often experience disease progression. Therefore, there is a need to highlight molecules that interact with and influence ER α signaling to broaden our understanding of the biology of ER+ BC and help decipher and anticipate mechanisms of endocrine therapy resistance. AIB1 is a known potent transcriptional coactivator of ER α that functions through direct contact with the nuclear receptor leading to recruitment of additional coregulators such as p300 and activation of gene transcription. AIB1 influences ER α action by modulating chromatin dynamics.

AIB1 is a highly conserved protein which points to its essential functions throughout evolution. However, AIB1 can act as an oncogene in breast and other cancers through both hormonedependent and hormone-independent mechanisms and is found amplified or overexpressed in a subset of patients. AIB1 supports tumor cell proliferation in part through enhancing ERa-dependent gene transcription, such as cyclin D1 and the PR. It has been implicated in endocrine therapy resistance through multiple mechanisms. Amplification or overexpression of AIB1 can lead to it outcompeting with other coregulators for interaction with $ER\alpha$ even when ERa is bound to SERMs, causing transcriptional activation of ERa-regulated genes. Additionally, AIB1 is known to bind to mutant ERQ in a ligand-independent manner which leads to target gene activation. Finally, AIB1 can lead to endocrine therapy resistance through ERa-independent mechanisms by influencing other signaling pathways that are important in cancer.

AIB1 expression levels can be used as a biomarker in BC (84, 151, 152). High levels of AIB1 are correlated with a more aggressive tumor-phenotype and elevated expression levels after tamoxifen treatment may indicated therapy resistance. To further support these conclusions, AIB1 mRNA expression levels were examined in patients with BC through publically available datasets (kmplot.com). High expression of AIB1 was correlated with a significantly shorter recurrence-free survival (RFS) in both patients with all BC subtypes and also in those with ER+ BC that were untreated (Figures 7A, B). Intriguingly, patients with all subtypes of BC or those with ER+ BC receiving treatment but maintaining high levels of AIB1 showed a significantly shorter RFS compared to those patients who had lower levels of AIB1 expression (Figures 7C, D). These data point to AIB1 as a potential target to overcome endocrine therapy resistance. A recent study developed a new method of monitoring AIB1 levels in cells through the use of fluorescent-labelled aptamer-functionalized nanomotors (153). Future studies will determine if this method works for detection in tissues.



cutoff expression.

In conclusion, AIB1 has several $ER\alpha$ –dependent and – independent actions that make it an important molecule in normal human physiology and pathology. Continued effort to define the function of AIB1 in different cellular contexts will provide a framework for understanding its myriad roles in diseases such as breast cancer and help expose tumor cells that are vulnerable to AIB1 inhibition.

Author contributions

AK wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by NIH grants R01CA205632 (PI: ATR) and R21CA226542 (PI: ATR) and NIH training grants

T32CA009686 and F30CA250307. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or NCI.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2022. CA A Cancer J Clin (2022) 72(1):7–33. doi: 10.3322/caac.21708

 Waks AG, Winer EP. Breast cancer treatment: A review. JAMA (2019) 321(3):288. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.19323

3. DeSantis CE, Ma J, Gaudet MM, Newman LA, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, et al. Breast cancer statistics, 2019. CA A Cancer J Clin (2019) 69(6):438–51. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21583

4. Hanker AB, Sudhan DR, Arteaga CL. Overcoming endocrine resistance in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* (2020) 37(4):496–513. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.009

5. York B, O'Malley BW. Steroid receptor coactivator (SRC) family: masters of systems biology. J Biol Chem (2010) 285(50):38743–50. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R110.193367

6. Pettersson K, Gustafsson JÅ. Role of estrogen receptor beta in estrogen action Annu Rev Physiol (2001) 63(1):165–92 doi: 10.1146/annurev.physiol.63.1.165

7. Yamamoto KR. Steroid receptor regulated transcription of specific genes and gene networks. *Annu Rev Genet* (1985) 19(1):209-52. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.ge.19.120185.001233

8. Kumar V, Green S, Stack G, Berry M, Jin JR, Chambon P. Functional domains of the human estrogen receptor. *Cell* (1987) 51(6):941–51. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(87) 90581-2

9. Pratt WB, Galigniana MD, Harrell JM, DeFranco DB. Role of hsp90 and the hsp90-binding immunophilins in signalling protein movement. *Cell Signal* (2004) 16 (8):857–72. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2004.02.004

10. Cheung J, Smith DF. Molecular chaperone interactions with steroid receptors: an update. *Mol Endocrinol* (2000) 14(7):939–46. doi: 10.1210/mend.14.7.0489

11. Ali S, Metzger D, Bornert JM, Chambon P. Modulation of transcriptional activation by ligand-dependent phosphorylation of the human oestrogen receptor A/ B region. *EMBO J* (1993) 12(3):1153–60. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1993.tb05756.x

12. Le Goff P, Montano MM, Schodin DJ, Katzenellenbogen BS. Phosphorylation of the human estrogen receptor. Identification of hormone-regulated sites and examination of their influence on transcriptional activity. *J Biol Chem* (1994) 269 (6):4458–66. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(17)41801-1

13. Brzozowski AM, Pike ACW, Dauter Z, Hubbard RE, Bonn T, Engström O, et al. Molecular basis of agonism and antagonism in the oestrogen receptor. *Nature* (1997) 389(6652):753–8. doi: 10.1038/39645

14. Dalal H, Dahlgren M, Gladchuk S, Brueffer C, Gruvberger-Saal SK, Saal LH. Clinical associations of ESR2 (estrogen receptor beta) expression across thousands of primary breast tumors. *Sci Rep* (2022) 12(1):4696. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-08210-3

15. Ozyurt R, Ozpolat B. Molecular mechanisms of anti-estrogen therapy resistance and novel targeted therapies. *Cancers* (2022) 14(21):5206. doi: 10.3390/cancers14215206

16. Reiter R, Oh AS, Wellstein A, Riegel AT. Impact of the nuclear receptor coactivator AIB1 isoform AIB1-Δ3 on estrogenic ligands with different intrinsic activity. *Oncogene* (2004) 23(2):403-9. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207202

17. Meyer ME, Gronemeyer H, Turcotte B, Bocquel MT, Tasset D, Chambon P. Steroid hormone receptors compete for factors that mediate their enhancer function. *Cell* (1989) 57(3):433–42. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(89)90918-5

18. Oñate SA, Tsai SY, Tsai MJ, O'Malley BW. Sequence and characterization of a coactivator for the steroid hormone receptor superfamily. *Science* (1995) 270 (5240):1354–7. doi: 10.1126/science.270.5240.1354

19. Hong H, Kohli K, Trivedi A, Johnson DL, Stallcup MR. GRIP1, a novel mouse protein that serves as a transcriptional coactivator in yeast for the hormone binding domains of steroid receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1996) 93(10):4948–52. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.10.4948

20. Voegel JJ, Heine MJ, Zechel C, Chambon P, Gronemeyer H. TIF2, a 160 kDa transcriptional mediator for the ligand-dependent activation function AF-2 of nuclear receptors. *EMBO J* (1996) 15(14):3667–75. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1996.tb00736.x

21. Hong H, Kohli K, Garabedian MJ, Stallcup MR. GRIP1, a transcriptional coactivator for the AF-2 transactivation domain of steroid, thyroid, retinoid, and vitamin D receptors. *Mol Cell Biol* (1997) 17(5):2735–44. doi: 10.1128/MCB.17.5.2735

22. Suen CS, Berrodin TJ, Mastroeni R, Cheskis BJ, Lyttle CR, Frail DE. A transcriptional coactivator, steroid receptor coactivator-3, selectively augments steroid receptor transcriptional activity. *J Biol Chem* (1998) 273(42):27645–53. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.42.27645

23. Anzick SL, Kononen J, Walker RL, Azorsa DO, Tanner MM, Guan XY, et al. AIB1, a steroid receptor coactivator amplified in breast and ovarian cancer. *Science* (1997) 277(5328):965–8. doi: 10.1126/science.277.5328.965

24. Chen H, Lin RJ, Schiltz RL, Chakravarti D, Nash A, Nagy L, et al. Nuclear receptor coactivator ACTR is a novel histone acetyltransferase and forms a multimeric activation complex with P/CAF and CBP/p300. *Cell* (1997) 90(3):569–80. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80516-4

25. Takeshita A, Cardona GR, Koibuchi N, Suen CS, Chin WW. TRAM-1, A novel 160-kDa thyroid hormone receptor activator molecule, exhibits distinct properties

from steroid receptor coactivator-1. J Biol Chem (1997) 272(44):27629-34. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.44.27629

26. Li H, Gomes PJ, Chen JD. RAC3, a steroid/nuclear receptor-associated coactivator that is related to SRC-1 and TIF2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1997) 94 (16):8479–84. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.16.8479

27. Torchia J, Rose DW, Inostroza J, Kamei Y, Westin S, Glass CK, et al. The transcriptional co-activator p/CIP binds CBP and mediates nuclear-receptor function. *Nature* (1997) 387(6634):677–84. doi: 10.1038/42652

28. Kim JH, Stallcup MR. p160 Coactivators: Critical Mediators of Transcriptional Activation by Nuclear Receptors. In: *Nuclear Receptor Coregulators and Human Diseases*. WORLD SCIENTIFIC (2008). p. 135-62. Available at: http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/9789812819178 0002.

29. Lodrini M, Münz T, Coudevylle N, Griesinger C, Becker S, Pfitzner E. p160/SRC/ NCoA coactivators form complexes *via* specific interaction of their PAS-B domain with the CID/AD1 domain. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2008) 36(6):1847–60. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkn029

30. Chang Cy, Norris JD, Grøn H, Paige LA, Hamilton PT, Kenan DJ, et al. Dissection of the LXXLL nuclear receptor-coactivator interaction motif using combinatorial peptide libraries: discovery of peptide antagonists of estrogen receptors alpha and beta. *Mol Cell Biol* (1999) 19(12):8226-39. doi: 10.1128/MCB.19.12.8226

31. Coulthard VH, Matsuda S, Heery DM. An extended LXXLL motif sequence determines the nuclear receptor binding specificity of TRAP220. *J Biol Chem* (2003) 278(13):10942–51. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M212950200

32. Millard CJ, Watson PJ, Fairall L, Schwabe JWR. An evolving understanding of nuclear receptor coregulator proteins. *J Mol Endocrinol* (2013) 51(3):T23–36. doi: 10.1530/JME-13-0227

33. Spencer TE, Jenster G, Burcin MM, Allis CD, Zhou J, Mizzen CA, et al. Steroid receptor coactivator-1 is a histone acetyltransferase. *Nature* (1997) 389(6647):194–8. doi: 10.1038/38304

34. Werbajh S, Nojek I, Lanz R, Costas MA. RAC-3 is a NF- κB coactivator. FEBS Lett (2000) 485(2-3):195–9. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(00)02223-7

35. Yan J, Yu CT, Ozen M, Ittmann M, Tsai SY, Tsai MJ. Steroid receptor coactivator-3 and activator protein-1 coordinately regulate the transcription of components of the insulin-like growth factor/AKT signaling pathway. *Cancer Res* (2006) 66(22):11039–46. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2442

36. Litterst CM, Pfitzner E. Transcriptional activation by STAT6 requires the direct interaction with NCoA-1. J Biol Chem (2001) 276(49):45713–21. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M108132200

37. List HJ, Lauritsen KJ, Reiter R, Powers C, Wellstein A, Riegel AT. Ribozyme targeting demonstrates that the nuclear receptor coactivator AIB1 is a rate-limiting factor for estrogen-dependent growth of human MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *J Biol Chem* (2001) 276(26):23763–8. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M102397200

38. Lanz RB, Bulynko Y, Malovannaya A, Labhart P, Wang L, Li W, et al. Global characterization of transcriptional impact of the SRC-3 coregulator. *Mol Endocrinol* (2010) 24(4):859–72. doi: 10.1210/me.2009-0499

39. Métivier R, Penot G, Hübner MR, Reid G, Brand H, Kos M, et al. Estrogen receptor-alpha directs ordered, cyclical, and combinatorial recruitment of cofactors on a natural target promoter. *Cell* (2003) 115(6):751–63. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(03) 00934-6

40. Yi P, Wang Z, Feng Q, Chou CK, Pintilie GD, Shen H, et al. Structural and functional impacts of ER coactivator sequential recruitment. *Mol Cell* (2017) 67 (5):733–743.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.07.026

41. Shao W, Keeton EK, McDonnell DP, Brown M. Coactivator AIB1 links estrogen receptor transcriptional activity and stability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2004) 101 (32):11599–604. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0402997101

42. Banerji J, Rusconi S, Schaffner W. Expression of a β -globin gene is enhanced by remote SV40 DNA sequences. *Cell* (1981) 27(2):299–308. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(81) 90413-X

43. Heuchel R, Matthis P, Schaffner W. Two closely spaced promoters are equally activated by a remote enhancer: evidence against a scanning model for enhancer action. *Nucl Acids Res* (1989) 17(22):8931–47. doi: 10.1093/nar/17.22.8931

44. Blackwood EM, Kadonaga JT. Going the distance: A current view of enhancer action. *Science* (1998) 281(5373):60–3. doi: 10.1126/science.281.5373.60

45. Marsman J, Horsfield JA. Long distance relationships: Enhancer-promoter communication and dynamic gene transcription. *Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) - Gene Regul Mechanisms* (2012) 1819(11–12):1217–27. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2012.10.008

46. Jin F, Li Y, Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Ye Z, Lee AY, et al. A high-resolution map of the three-dimensional chromatin interactome in human cells. *Nature* (2013) 503 (7475):290–4. doi: 10.1038/nature12644

47. Panigrahi AK, Foulds CE, Lanz RB, Hamilton RA, Yi P, Lonard DM, et al. SRC-3 coactivator governs dynamic estrogen-induced chromatin looping interactions during transcription. *Mol Cell* (2018) 70(4):679–694.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.04.014

48. He HH, Meyer CA, Chen MW, Jordan VC, Brown M, Liu XS. Differential DNase I hypersensitivity reveals factor-dependent chromatin dynamics. *Genome Res* (2012) 22 (6):1015–25. doi: 10.1101/gr.133280.111

49. Reiter R, Wellstein A, Riegel AT. An isoform of the coactivator AIB1 that increases hormone and growth factor sensitivity is overexpressed in breast cancer. *J Biol Chem* (2001) 276(43):39736–41. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M104744200

50. Chien CD, Kirilyuk A, Li JV, Zhang W, Lahusen T, Schmidt MO, et al. Role of the nuclear receptor coactivator AIB1-Δ4 splice variant in the control of gene transcription. J Biol Chem (2011) 286(30):26813–27. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.216200

51. Garee JP, Chien CD, Li JV, Wellstein A, Riegel AT. Regulation of HER2 oncogene transcription by a multifunctional coactivator/corepressor complex. *Mol Endocrinol* (2014) 28(6):846–59. doi: 10.1210/me.2013-1379

52. Sharif GM, Campbell MJ, Nasir A, Sengupta S, Graham GT, Kushner MH, et al. An AIB1 isoform alters enhancer access and enables progression of early-stage triplenegative breast cancer. *Cancer Res* (2021) 81(16):4230–41. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-3625

53. Kiliti AJ, Sharif GM, Wellstein A, Riegel AT. Subpopulations of AIB1 isoformexpressing breast cancer cells enable invasion and metastasis. *Oncotarget*.

54. Lahusen T, Henke RT, Kagan BL, Wellstein A, Riegel AT. The role and regulation of the nuclear receptor co-activator AIB1 in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2009) 116(2):225–37. doi: 10.1007/s10549-009-0405-2

55. Wu RC, Qin J, Yi P, Wong J, Tsai SY, Tsai MJ, et al. Selective phosphorylations of the SRC-3/AIB1 coactivator integrate genomic reponses to multiple cellular signaling pathways. *Mol Cell* (2004) 15(6):937–49. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2004.08.019

56. Oh AS, Lahusen JT, Chien CD, Fereshteh MP, Zhang X, Dakshanamurthy S, et al. Tyrosine phosphorylation of the nuclear receptor coactivator AIB1/SRC-3 is enhanced by abl kinase and is required for its activity in cancer cells. *Mol Cell Biol* (2008) 28(21):6580–93. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00118-08

57. Ferrero M, Ferragud J, Orlando L, Valero L, Sánchez del Pino M, Farràs R, et al. Phosphorylation of AIB1 at mitosis is regulated by CDK1/CYCLIN B. *PloS One* (2011) 6(12):e28602. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0028602

58. Dasgupta S, Rajapakshe K, Zhu B, Nikolai BC, Yi P, Putluri N, et al. Metabolic enzyme PFKFB4 activates transcriptional coactivator SRC-3 to drive breast cancer. *Nature* (2018) 556(7700):249–54. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0018-1

59. Shrestha A, Bruckmueller H, Kildalsen H, Kaur G, Gaestel M, Wetting HL, et al. Phosphorylation of steroid receptor coactivator-3 (SRC-3) at serine 857 is regulated by the p38MAPK-MK2 axis and affects NF-κB-mediated transcription. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10 (1):11388. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68219-4

60. Wu H, Sun L, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Shi B, Li R, et al. Coordinated regulation of AIB1 transcriptional activity by sumoylation and phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* (2006) 281 (31):21848–56. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M603772200

61. Li C, Liang YY, Feng XH, Tsai SY, Tsai MJ, O'Malley BW. Essential phosphatases and a phospho-degron are critical for regulation of SRC-3/AIB1 coactivator function and turnover. *Mol Cell* (2008) 31(6):835–49. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.07.019

62. Ferrero M, Avivar Á, García-Macías MC, de Mora JF. Phosphoinositide 3kinase/AKT signaling can promote AIB1 stability independently of GSK3 phosphorylation. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68(13):5450-9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6433

63. Naeem H, Cheng D, Zhao Q, Underhill C, Tini M, Bedford MT, et al. The activity and stability of the transcriptional coactivator p/CIP/SRC-3 are regulated by CARM1-dependent methylation. *Mol Cell Biol* (2007) 27(1):120–34. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00815-06

64. Wu RC, Feng Q, Lonard DM, O'Malley BW. SRC-3 coactivator functional lifetime is regulated by a phospho-dependent ubiquitin time clock. *Cell* (2007) 129 (6):1125-40. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.04.039

65. Frazer KA, Pachter L, Poliakov A, Rubin EM, Dubchak I. VISTA: computational tools for comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2004) 32:W273–279. doi: 10.1093/ nar/gkh458

66. Mayor C, Brudno M, Schwartz JR, Poliakov A, Rubin EM, Frazer KA, et al. VISTA: visualizing global DNA sequence alignments of arbitrary length. *Bioinformatics* (2000) 16(11):1046–7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/16.11.1046

67. Cormack BP, Strubin M, Stargell LA, Struhl K. Conserved and nonconserved functions of the yeast and human TATA-binding proteins. *Genes Dev* (1994) 8 (11):1335–43. doi: 10.1101/gad.8.11.1335

68. Buratowski S, Hahn S, Sharp PA, Guarente L. Function of a yeast TATA element-binding protein in a mamMalian transcription system. *Nature* (1988) 334 (6177):37-42. doi: 10.1038/334037a0

69. Cavallini B, Huet J, Plassat JL, Sentenac A, Egly JM, Chambon P. A yeast activity can substitute for the HeLa cell TATA box factor. *Nature* (1988) 334(6177):77–80. doi: 10.1038/334077a0

70. Flanagan PM, Kelleher RJ, Feaver WJ, Lue NF, LaPointe JW, Kornberg RD. Resolution of factors required for the initiation of transcription by yeast RNA polymerase II. *J Biol Chem* (1990) 265(19):11105–7. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19) 38563-1

71. Xu J, Liao L, Ning G, Yoshida-Komiya H, Deng C, O'Malley BW. The steroid receptor coactivator SRC-3 (p/CIP/RAC3/AIB1/ACTR/TRAM-1) is required for normal growth, puberty, female reproductive function, and mammary gland

development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2000) 97(12):6379-84. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.120166297

72. Stashi E, York B, O'Malley BW. Steroid receptor coactivators: servants and masters for control of systems metabolism. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* (2014) 25(7):337–47. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2014.05.004

73. Wang Z, Rose DW, Hermanson O, Liu F, Herman T, Wu W, et al. Regulation of somatic growth by the p160 coactivator p/CIP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2000) 97 (25):13549–54. doi: 10.1073/pnas.260463097

74. Coste A, Louet JF, Lagouge M, Lerin C, Antal MC, Meziane H, et al. The genetic ablation of SRC-3 protects against obesity and improves insulin sensitivity by reducing the acetylation of PGC-1α. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2008) 105(44):17187–92. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0808207105

75. Truong TH, Benner EA, Hagen KM, Temiz NA, Kerkvliet CP, Wang Y, et al. PELP1/SRC-3-dependent regulation of metabolic PFKFB kinases drives therapy resistant ER+ breast cancer. *Oncogene* (2021) 40(25):4384–97. doi: 10.1038/s41388-021-01871-w

76. Chitilian JM, Thillainadesan G, Manias JL, Chang WY, Walker E, Isovic M, et al. Critical components of the pluripotency network are targets for the p300/CBP interacting protein (p/CIP) in embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells* (2014) 32(1):204–15. doi: 10.1002/stem.1564

77. Gilad Y, Lonard DM, O'Malley BW. Steroid receptor coactivators - their role in immunity. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:1079011. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1079011

78. Han SJ, Jain P, Gilad Y, Xia Y, Sung N, Park MJ, et al. Steroid receptor coactivator 3 is a key modulator of regulatory T cell-mediated tumor evasion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2023) 120(23):e2221707120. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2221707120

79. Bautista S, Vallès H, Walker RL, Anzick S, Zeillinger R, Meltzer P, et al. In breast cancer, amplification of the steroid receptor coactivator gene AIB1 is correlated with estrogen and progesterone receptor positivity. *Clin Cancer Res* (1998) 4(12):2925–9.

80. Henke RT, Haddad BR, Kim SE, Rone JD, Mani A, Jessup JM, et al. Overexpression of the nuclear receptor coactivator *AIB1* (*SRC-3*) during progression of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* (2004) 10(18):6134–42. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0561

81. Bouras T, Southey MC, Venter DJ. Overexpression of the steroid receptor coactivator AIB1 in breast cancer correlates with the absence of estrogen and progesterone receptors and positivity for p53 and HER2/neu. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61 (3):903–7.

82. Torres-Arzayus MI, de Mora JF, Yuan J, Vazquez F, Bronson R, Rue M, et al. High tumor incidence and activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway in transgenic mice define AIB1 as an oncogene. *Cancer Cell* (2004) 6(3):263–74. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2004.06.027

83. Torres-Arzayus MI, Zhao J, Bronson R, Brown M. Estrogen-dependent and estrogen-independent mechanisms contribute to AIB1-mediated tumor formation. *Cancer Res* (2010) 70(10):4102–11. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-4080

84. Burandt E, Jens G, Holst F, Jänicke F, Müller V, Quaas A, et al. Prognostic relevance of AIB1 (NCoA3) amplification and overexpression in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2013) 137(3):745–53. doi: 10.1007/s10549-013-2406-4

85. Kuang SQ, Liao L, Zhang H, Lee AV, O'Malley BW, Xu J. AIB1/SRC-3 Deficiency Affects Insulin-Like Growth Factor I Signaling Pathway and Suppresses *v* -Ha- *ras* -induced Breast Cancer Initiation and Progression in Mice. *Cancer Res* (2004) 64(5):1875–85. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-3745

86. Fereshteh MP, Tilli MT, Kim SE, Xu J, O'Malley BW, Wellstein A, et al. The nuclear receptor coactivator amplified in breast cancer-1 is required for Neu (ErbB2/ HER2) activation, signaling, and mammary tumorigenesis in mice. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68(10):3697–706. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6702

87. Qin L, Liao L, Redmond A, Young L, Yuan Y, Chen H, et al. The AIB1 oncogene promotes breast cancer metastasis by activation of PEA3-mediated matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2) and MMP9 expression. *Mol Cell Biol* (2008) 28 (19):5937–50. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00579-08

88. Rohira AD, Yan F, Wang L, Wang J, Zhou S, Lu A, et al. Targeting SRC coactivators blocks the tumor-initiating capacity of cancer stem-like cells. *Cancer Res* (2017) 77(16):4293–304. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2982

89. Varešlija D, Ward E, Purcell SP, Cosgrove NS, Cocchiglia S, O'Halloran PJ, et al. Comparative analysis of the AIB1 interactome in breast cancer reveals MTA2 as a repressive partner which silences E-Cadherin to promote EMT and associates with a pro-metastatic phenotype. *Oncogene* (2021) 40(7):1318–31. doi: 10.1038/s41388-020-01606-3

90. Long W, Yi P, Amazit L, LaMarca HL, Ashcroft F, Kumar R, et al. SRC- $3\Delta 4$ mediates the interaction of EGFR with FAK to promote cell migration. *Mol Cell* (2010) 37(3):321–32. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.01.004

91. Williams GH, Stoeber K. The cell cycle and cancer. J Pathol (2012) 226(2):352-64. doi: 10.1002/path.3022

92. Planas-Silva MD, Shang Y, Donaher JL, Brown M, Weinberg RA. AIB1 enhances estrogen-dependent induction of cyclin D1 expression. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61(10):3858–62.

93. Karmakar S, Gao T, Pace MC, Oesterreich S, Smith CL. Cooperative activation of cyclin D1 and progesterone receptor gene expression by the SRC-3 coactivator and SMRT corepressor. *Mol Endocrinol* (2010) 24(6):1187–202. doi: 10.1210/me.2009-0480

94. Frietze S, Lupien M, Silver PA, Brown M. CARM1 regulates estrogen-stimulated breast cancer growth through up-regulation of *E2F1*. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68(1):301–6. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-1983

95. Nakles RE, Shiffert MT, Díaz-Cruz ES, Cabrera MC, Alotaiby M, Miermont AM, et al. Altered AIB1 or AIB1 Δ 3 expression impacts ER α Effects on mammary gland stromal and epithelial content. *Mol Endocrinol* (2011) 25(4):549–63. doi: 10.1210/me.2010-0114

96. Li Z, Wei H, Li S, Wu P, Mao X. The role of progesterone receptors in breast cancer. Drug Des Devel Ther (2022) 16:305–14. doi: 10.2147/DDDT.S336643

97. Beleut M, Rajaram RD, Caikovski M, Ayyanan A, Germano D, Choi Y, et al. Two distinct mechanisms underlie progesterone-induced proliferation in the mammary gland. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2010) 107(7):2989–94. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0915148107

98. Trabert B, Bauer DC, Buist DSM, Cauley JA, Falk RT, Geczik AM, et al. Association of circulating progesterone with breast cancer risk among postmenopausal women. *JAMA Netw Open* (2020) 3(4):e203645. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3645

99. Han SJ, DeMayo FJ, Xu J, Tsai SY, Tsai MJ, O'Malley BW. Steroid receptor coactivator (SRC)-1 and SRC-3 differentially modulate tissue-specific activation functions of the progesterone receptor. *Mol Endocrinol* (2006) 20(1):45–55. doi: 10.1210/me.2005-0310

100. Wargon V, Riggio M, Giulianelli S, Sequeira GR, Rojas P, May M, et al. Progestin and antiprogestin responsiveness in breast cancer is driven by the PRA/PRB ratio via AIB1 or SMRT recruitment to the CCND 1 and MYC promoters: Antiprogestins in breast cancer. Int J Cancer (2015) 136(11):2680–92. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29304

101. Osborne CK, Bardou V, Hopp TA, Chamness GC, Hilsenbeck SG, Fuqua SAW, et al. Role of the estrogen receptor coactivator AIB1 (SRC-3) and HER-2/neu in tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. *JNCI J Natl Cancer Institute* (2003) 95(5):353–61. doi: 10.1093/jnci/95.5.353

102. Kirkegaard T, McGlynn LM, Campbell FM, Müller S, Tovey SM, Dunne B, et al. Amplified in breast cancer 1 in human epidermal growth factor receptor–positive tumors of tamoxifen-treated breast cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* (2007) 13(5):1405–11. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-1933

103. Dihge L, Bendahl PO, Grabau D, Isola J, Lövgren K, Rydén L, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and the estrogen receptor modulator amplified in breast cancer (AIB1) for predicting clinical outcome after adjuvant tamoxifen in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2008) 109(2):255–62. doi: 10.1007/s10549-007-9645-1

104. Alkner S, Bendahl PO, Ehinger A, Lövgren K, Rydén L, Fernö M. Prior adjuvant tamoxifen treatment in breast cancer is linked to increased AIB1 and HER2 expression in metachronous contralateral breast cancer. *PloS One* (2016) 11(3):e0150977. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0150977

105. Zhou XE, Suino-Powell KM, Li J, He Y, MacKeigan JP, Melcher K, et al. Identification of SRC3/AIB1 as a preferred coactivator for hormone-activated androgen receptor. *J Biol Chem* (2010) 285(12):9161–71. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.085779

106. De Amicis F, Chiodo C, Morelli C, Casaburi I, Marsico S, Bruno R, et al. AIB1 sequestration by androgen receptor inhibits estrogen-dependent cyclin D1 expression in breast cancer cells. *BMC Cancer* (2019) 19(1):1038. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-6262-4

107. Tanenbaum DM, Wang Y, Williams SP, Sigler PB. Crystallographic comparison of the estrogen and progesterone receptor's ligand binding domains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1998) 95(11):5998–6003. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.11.5998

108. Wurtz JM, Bourguet W, Renaud JP, Vivat V, Chambon P, Moras D, et al. A canonical structure for the ligand-binding domain of nuclear receptors. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* (1996) 3(1):87–94. doi: 10.1038/nsb0196-87

109. Renaud JP, Rochel N, Ruff M, Vivat V, Chambon P, Gronemeyer H, et al. Crystal structure of the RAR- γ ligand-binding domain bound to all-trans retinoic acid. *Nature* (1995) 378(6558):681–9. doi: 10.1038/378681a0

110. Bourguet W, Ruff M, Chambon P, Gronemeyer H, Moras D. Crystal structure of the ligand-binding domain of the human nuclear receptor RXR-α. *Nature* (1995) 375(6530):377–82. doi: 10.1038/375377a0

111. Wagner RL, Apriletti JW, McGrath ME, West BL, Baxter JD, Fletterick RJ. A structural role for hormone in the thyroid hormone receptor. *Nature* (1995) 378 (6558):690-7. doi: 10.1038/378690a0

112. Shiau AK, Barstad D, Loria PM, Cheng L, Kushner PJ, Agard DA, et al. The structural basis of estrogen receptor/coactivator recognition and the antagonism of this interaction by tamoxifen. *Cell* (1998) 95(7):927–37. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00) 81717-1

113. Légaré S, Basik M. Minireview: the link between ERα Corepressors and histone deacetylases in tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. *Mol Endocrinol* (2016) 30(9):965–76. doi: 10.1210/me.2016-1072

114. Nettles KW, Greene GL. Ligand control of coregulator recruitment to nuclear receptors. *Annu Rev Physiol* (2005) 67(1):309-33. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.physiol.66.032802.154710

115. Abderrahman B, Jordan VC. A Novel Strategy to Improve Women's Health: Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators. In: Zhang X, editor. *Estrogen Receptor and Breast Cancer*. Cham: Springer International Publishing (2019). p. 189–213. Available at: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-99350-8_8.

116. Green KA, Carroll JS. Oestrogen-receptor-mediated transcription and the influence of co-factors and chromatin state. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2007) 7(9):713–22. doi: 10.1038/nrc2211

117. Maximov PY, Abderrahman B, Hawsawi YM, Chen Y, Foulds CE, Jain A, et al. The structure-function relationship of angular estrogens and estrogen receptor alpha to initiate estrogen-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells. *Mol Pharmacol* (2020) 98 (1):24–37. doi: 10.1124/mol.120.119776

118. Smith CL, Nawaz Z, O'Malley BW. Coactivator and corepressor regulation of the agonist/antagonist activity of the mixed antiestrogen, 4-hydroxytamoxifen. *Mol Endocrinol* (1997) 11(6):657–66. doi: 10.1210/mend.11.6.0009

119. ROmano A, Adriaens M, Kuenen S, Delvoux B, Dunselman G, Evelo C, et al. Identification of novel ER- α target genes in breast cancer cells: Gene- and cell-selective co-regulator recruitment at target promoters determines the response to 17 β -estradiol and tamoxifen. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* (2010) 314(1):90–100. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2009.08.008

120. Haugan Moi LL, Hauglid Flågeng M, Gandini S, Guerrieri-Gonzaga A, Bonanni B, Lazzeroni M, et al. Effect of low-dose tamoxifen on steroid receptor coactivator 3/ amplified in breast cancer 1 in normal and malignant human breast tissue. *Clin Cancer Res* (2010) 16(7):2176–86. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1859

121. Lauritsen KJ, List HJ, Reiter R, Wellstein A, Riegel AT. A role for TGF- β in estrogen and retinoid mediated regulation of the nuclear receptor coactivator AIB1 in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Oncogene* (2002) 21(47):7147–55. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205943

122. Su Q, Hu S, Gao H, Ma R, Yang Q, Pan Z, et al. Role of AIB1 for tamoxifen resistance in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer cells. *Oncology* (2008) 75(3-4):159–68. doi: 10.1159/000159267

123. Tabarestani S, Ghaderian SMH, Rezvani H, Mirfakhraie R. Expression profiling of breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen: prognostic or predictive significance. *Med Oncol* (2014) 31(4):896. doi: 10.1007/s12032-014-0896-5

124. Jeselsohn R, De Angelis C, Brown M, Schiff R. The evolving role of the estrogen receptor mutations in endocrine therapy-resistant breast cancer. *Curr Oncol Rep* (2017) 19(5):35. doi: 10.1007/s11912-017-0591-8

125. Jeselsohn R, Buchwalter G, De Angelis C, Brown M, Schiff R. ESR1 mutations a mechanism for acquired endocrine resistance in breast cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2015) 12(10):573–83. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.117

126. Toy W, Weir H, Razavi P, Lawson M, Goeppert AU, Mazzola AM, et al. Activating ESR1 mutations differentially affect the efficacy of ER antagonists. *Cancer Discov* (2017) 7(3):277–87. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-1523

127. Gates LA, Gu G, Chen Y, Rohira AD, Lei JT, Hamilton RA, et al. Proteomic profiling identifies key coactivators utilized by mutant ER α proteins as potential new therapeutic targets. *Oncogene* (2018) 37(33):4581–98. doi: 10.1038/s41388-018-0284-2

128. Swain SM, Shastry M, Hamilton E. Targeting HER2-positive breast cancer: advances and future directions. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* (2023) 22(2):101–26. doi: 10.1038/ s41573-022-00579-0

129. Zhao W, Zhang Q, Kang X, Jin S, Lou C. AIB1 is required for the acquisition of epithelial growth factor receptor-mediated tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2009) 380(3):699–704. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.01.155

130. Hurtado A, Holmes KA, Geistlinger TR, Hutcheson IR, Nicholson RI, Brown M, et al. Regulation of ERBB2 by oestrogen receptor–PAX2 determines response to tamoxifen. *Nature* (2008) 456(7222):663–6. doi: 10.1038/nature07483

131. Myers E, Hill ADK, Kelly G, McDermott EW, O'Higgins NJ, Buggy Y, et al. Associations and interactions between Ets-1 and Ets-2 and coregulatory proteins, SRC-1, AIB1, and NCoR in breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2005) 11(6):2111–22. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-1192

132. Louie MC, Zou JX, Rabinovich A, Chen HW. ACTR/AIB1 functions as an E2F1 coactivator to promote breast cancer cell proliferation and antiestrogen resistance. *Mol Cell Biol* (2004) 24(12):5157-71. doi: 10.1128/MCB.24.12.5157-5171.2004

133. Oh JH, Lee JY, Kim KH, Kim CY, Jeong DS, Cho Y, et al. Elevated GCN5 expression confers tamoxifen resistance by upregulating AIB1 expression in ERpositive breast cancer. *Cancer Lett* (2020) 495:145–55. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2020.09.017

134. Yi P, Xia W, Wu RC, Lonard DM, Hung MC, O'Malley BW. SRC-3 coactivator regulates cell resistance to cytotoxic stress *via* TRAF4-mediated p53 destabilization. *Genes Dev* (2013) 27(3):274–87. doi: 10.1101/gad.203760.112

135. Norris JD, Paige LA, Christensen DJ, Chang CY, Huacani MR, Fan D, et al. Peptide antagonists of the human estrogen receptor. *Science* (1999) 285(5428):744–6. doi: 10.1126/science.285.5428.744

136. Rodriguez AL, Tamrazi A, Collins ML, Katzenellenbogen JA. Design, synthesis, and in vitro biological evaluation of small molecule inhibitors of estrogen receptor α Coactivator binding. *J Med Chem* (2004) 47(3):600–11. doi: 10.1021/jm030404c

137. Wang Y, Lonard DM, Yu Y, Chow DC, Palzkill TG, Wang J, et al. Bufalin is a potent small-molecule inhibitor of the steroid receptor coactivators SRC-3 and SRC-1. *Cancer Res* (2014) 74(5):1506–17. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2939

138. Song X, Chen J, Zhao M, Zhang C, Yu Y, Lonard DM, et al. Development of potent small-molecule inhibitors to drug the undruggable steroid receptor coactivator-3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2016) 113(18):4970–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1604274113

139. Qin L, Chen J, Lu D, Jain P, Yu Y, Cardenas D, et al. Development of improved SRC-3 inhibitors as breast cancer therapeutic agents. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* (2021) 28(10):657–70. doi: 10.1530/ERC-20-0402

140. Wang L, Yu Y, Chow DC, Yan F, Hsu CC, Stossi F, et al. Characterization of a steroid receptor coactivator small molecule stimulator that overstimulates cancer cells and leads to cell stress and death. *Cancer Cell* (2015) 28(2):240–52. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2015.07.005

141. Lahusen T, Fereshteh M, Oh A, Wellstein A, Riegel AT. Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine phosphorylation and signaling controlled by a nuclear receptor coactivator, amplified in breast cancer 1. *Cancer Res* (2007) 67(15):7256–65. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-1013

142. Laviola L, Natalicchio A, Giorgino F. The IGF-I signaling pathway. *CPD* (2007) 13(7):663–9. doi: 10.2174/138161207780249146

143. Oh A, List HJ, Reiter R, Mani A, Zhang Y, Gehan E, et al. The nuclear receptor coactivator AIB1 mediates insulin-like growth factor I-induced phenotypic changes in human breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* (2004) 64(22):8299–308. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0354

144. Torres-Arzayus MI, Yuan J, DellaGatta JL, Lane H, Kung AL, Brown M. Targeting the *AIB1* oncogene through mamMalian target of rapamycin inhibition in the mammary gland. *Cancer Res* (2006) 66(23):11381–8. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2316

145. Kushner MH, Riegel AT, Sharif GM. The AIB1/NCOA3/SRC-3 Oncogene. In: Erkekoglu P, editor. *Oncogenes and Carcinogenesis*. IntechOpen (2019). Available at: https://www.intechopen.com/books/oncogenes-and-carcinogenesis/the-aib1-ncoa3-src-3-oncogene.

146. Li LB, Louie MC, Chen HW, Zou JX. Proto-oncogene ACTR/AIB1 promotes cancer cell invasion by up-regulating specific matrix metalloproteinase expression. *Cancer Lett* (2008) 261(1):64–73. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2007.11.013

147. Liu X, Li H, Rajurkar M, Li Q, Cotton JL, Ou J, et al. Tead and AP1 coordinate transcription and motility. *Cell Rep* (2016) 14(5):1169-80. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.12.104

148. Kushner MH, Ory V, Graham GT, Sharif GM, Kietzman WB, Thevissen S, et al. Loss of ANCO1 repression at AIB1/YAP targets drives breast cancer progression. *EMBO Rep* (2020) 21(1):e48741. doi: 10.15252/embr.201948741

149. Song X, Zhang C, Zhao M, Chen H, Liu X, Chen J, et al. Steroid receptor coactivator-3 (SRC-3/AIB1) as a novel therapeutic target in triple negative breast cancer and its inhibition with a phospho-bufalin prodrug. *PloS One* (2015) 10(10): e0140011. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140011

150. Collins LC, Cole KS, Marotti JD, Hu R, Schnitt SJ, Tamimi RM. Androgen receptor expression in breast cancer in relation to molecular phenotype: results from the Nurses' Health Study. *Modern Pathol* (2011) 24(7):924–31. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2011.54

151. Alkner S, Jensen MB, Rasmussen BB, Bendahl PO, Fernö M, Rydén L, et al. Prognostic and predictive importance of the estrogen receptor coactivator AIB1 in a randomized trial comparing adjuvant letrozole and tamoxifen therapy in postmenopausal breast cancer: the Danish cohort of BIG 1-98. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2017) 166(2):481–90. doi: 10.1007/s10549-017-4416-0

152. Narbe U, Sjöström M, Forsare C, Bendahl PO, Alkner S, Leeb-Lundberg LMF, et al. The estrogen receptor coactivator AIB1 is a new putative prognostic biomarker in ER-positive/HER2-negative invasive lobular carcinoma of the breast. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2019) 175(2):305–16. doi: 10.1007/s10549-019-05138-7

153. Beltrán-Gastélum M, Esteban-Fernández de Ávila B, Gong H, Venugopalan PL, Hianik T, Wang J, et al. Rapid detection of AIB1 in breast cancer cells based on aptamer-functionalized nanomotors. *Chemphyschem* (2019) 20(23):3177–80. doi: 10.1002/cphc.201900844