#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Adriana Migliorini, University Health Network, Canada

#### REVIEWED BY

Amanda Oakie, University Health Network (UHN), Canada Yasaman Aghazadeh, Montreal Clinical Research Institute (IRCM), Canada

\*CORRESPONDENCE Daniel T. Doherty daniel.doherty@ postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

RECEIVED 29 June 2023 ACCEPTED 07 August 2023 PUBLISHED 29 August 2023

#### CITATION

Doherty DT, Khambalia HA, van Dellen D, Jennings RE and Piper Hanley K (2023) Unlocking the post-transplant microenvironment for successful islet function and survival. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1250126. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1250126

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Doherty, Khambalia, van Dellen, Jennings and Piper Hanley. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Unlocking the post-transplant microenvironment for successful islet function and survival

Daniel T. Doherty<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Hussein A. Khambalia<sup>1,2</sup>, David van Dellen<sup>1,2</sup>, Rachel E. Jennings<sup>1,3</sup> and Karen Piper Hanley<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Biology, Medicine & Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Department of Renal & Pancreatic Transplantation, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom

Islet transplantation (IT) offers the potential to restore euglycemia for patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). Despite improvements in islet isolation techniques and immunosuppressive regimes, outcomes remain suboptimal with UK five-year graft survivals (5YGS) of 55% and most patients still requiring exogenous insulin after multiple islet infusions. Native islets have a significant non-endocrine component with dense extra-cellular matrix (ECM), important for islet development, cell survival and function. Collagenase isolation necessarily disrupts this complex islet microenvironment, leaving islets devoid of a supporting framework and increasing vulnerability of transplanted islets. Following portal venous transplantation, a liver injury response is potentially induced, which typically results in inflammation and ECM deposition from liver specific myofibroblasts. The impact of this response may have important impact on islet survival and function. A fibroblast response and ECM deposition at the kidney capsule and eye chamber alongside other implantation sites have been shown to be beneficial for survival and function. Investigating the implantation site microenvironment and the interactions of transplanted islets with ECM proteins may reveal therapeutic interventions to improve IT and stem-cell derived beta-cell therapy.

#### KEYWORDS

islet transplantation, extra-cellular matrix (ECM), tissue response, beta-cell replacement, T1DM (type 1 diabetes mellitus)

# Type 1 diabetes mellitus and beta-cell replacement

A patient with T1DM administers 65,000 injections and checks their blood glucose levels 80,000 times during their lifetime (1). T1DM results from autoimmune destruction of beta-cells in the pancreatic Islets of Langerhans, preventing insulin production. T1DM affects approximately 400,000 people in the UK, 29,000 of whom are children with a

predicted rise to 600,000 and 48,000 respectively in 2035 (2). Worldwide this figure is approximately 37 million (3). Microvascular and macrovascular complications are characteristic of T1DM manifested clinically with retinopathy, end stage renal failure, stroke and cardiovascular disease the hallmarks (4–6).

Beta-cell replacement strategies seek to restore insulin production capabilities thereby reducing exogenous insulin requirements. Pancreas transplant (PT) outcomes have steadily improved (7), but devastating complications with associated morbidity and mortality (8-10). Transplantation of pancreatic islets to reinstate pancreatic endocrine function without the complications produced by exocrine components and free of vascular and enteric anastomoses poses an attractive alternative. Infusion of isolated islets into the hepatic parenchyma via the portal vein offers this potential (11). However, islet supply is limited and multiple transplants are needed due to initial betacell loss, progressive functional decline to augment graft longevity (12). This approach was revolutionised using a steroid free immunosuppression regime and increased delivery of islet mass in 2000, termed the Edmonton Protocol, demonstrating the first reported insulin independence (11). Islet transplantation (IT) remains the most commonly clinically available cellular therapy for T1DM, although stemcell derived islets (SCI) strategies are emerging (Table 1). These obviate the limitations of donor supply and have the potential to become a considered treatment for patients with T1DM (17, 19). Exciting progress has been made through clinical trials. VC-02 has assessed endocrine progenitor (PEC-01) cells in combination with an encapsulation device with positive serum C-peptides in some recipients (17, 18). Early results from VX-880, have demonstrated insulin independence after SCI portal venous infusion in a small number of patients (20, 21) and VCTX210 which uses CRISPR mediated gene editing to reduce immunogenicity is ongoing (22-24). Challenges remain, including concerns for off target growth, which has not been seen in these studies and the optimal differentiation strategy.

# Characteristics & outcomes of islet transplantation

IT is employed to reduce the burden of T1DM and is indicated in the UK for patients with T1DM suffering from episodes of hypoglycaemic unawareness or extremely labile glucose control (5). In the UK, the aim of IT is to improve glycaemic control with consequent reduction in disease burden, rather than achieving insulin independence. Between 2011 and 2020, approximately 30 ITs were performed annually in the UK. The majority (approximately 90%) from donors after brain death. UK islet graft survival is comparable to simultaneous kidney and pancreas transplant at 1 year, but reduced at 5 years (5YGS: 81% vs. 55% respectively); however, direct comparison is challenging due to differences in definitions of PT and IT failure (25).

For UK clinical use, islet preparations must achieve a minimum vield of 250,000 Islet Equivalents (IEQ), with purity of over 50% endocrine tissue, determined by dithizone (DTZ) staining and 70% viability. In addition, for a first transplant in the UK, the yield must be sufficient to achieved 5000 IEQ/Kg for the designated recipient or 10000 IEQ/Kg if to be used for a supplementary islet transplant (26). UK recipients will usually receive repeat transplantation within 6 months to target a total islet mass of 10,000 Islet Equivalents (IEQ)/kg following initial transplantation which has been demonstrated to have improved graft function and 5 year survival (27). This supports findings in small animal models that have demonstrated improved islet allograft survival following a second transplant compared to primary transplantation in mice (28). Parameters of purity, yield and viability for quality control vary internationally with some suggesting 20-30% purity is sufficient and 80% is viability required (12, 29).

Purity in islet preparations is considered a marker of successful islet isolation and predictive of graft success. However, there is evidence to suggest that long term functionality is not determined by endocrine content alone. It has been shown that long term glycaemic control is superior in recipients with islet infusions with <50% purity compared to >50% with similar islet viability and total

TABLE 1	Therapeutic	options	for type	1	diabetes	mellitus.

Insulin Delivery	Treatment	Challenges	Notable Studies
European Invitio	Subcutaneous Injection	Tolerance/adherence Challenging with complex control Hypoglycaemia	Banting et al 1922 (13)
Exogenous insuin	Automated Devices	Device tolerance Hypoglycaemia Variable availability/cost implications	Pickup & Sutton 2008 (14)
	Pancreas Transplant	Finite resource Major surgery	Gruessner & Gruessner 2022 (15)
Beta-Cell Replacement	Islet Cell Transplant	Finite resource Functional decline	Shapiro et al 2000 (11) Marfil-Garza et al 2022 (16)
	Stem-Cell Derived Islets	Off-target growth Limited data Differentiation optimisation	Shapiro et al 2021 (17) Ramzy et al 2021 (18)

islet mass (30). In these recipients, higher post-transplant levels of Ca 19-9 and glucagon activity were associated with improved metabolic profile at 5 years after islet transplant suggesting that other endocrine and exocrine pancreatic components may be important, rather than beta-cell mass alone (31–33). In addition, the supplementation of islet preparations with mesenchymal stromal cells can improve islet survival during the isolation process through reduction in islet stress as well as supporting islet survival and functionality post-transplant in rodents (34–36).

Despite the promise of IT, graft longevity remains elusive. Analysis of outcomes of a Swiss-French islet network demonstrated that insulin independence persisted in only 1 of 21 patients at 10 years follow-up, whilst 73% remained free of severe hypoglycaemic episodes (37). Another single centre study of 10 year outcomes revealed 78% graft function at 10 years, although quality of glycaemic control reduced over time (38). Twenty-year follow up in a cohort of 255 islet recipients from the University of Alberta demonstrated median graft survival of 5.9 years with 71% achieving insulin independence, which gradually reduced to 8% after 20 years (16).

The great progress in IT has only accentuated the lack of donor islets and the need to understand why islet loss continues posttransplantation. The mechanism for islet loss cannot be solely attributed to ongoing autoimmunity or allogeneity as loss follows transplantation for other forms of pancreatic disease and in syngeneic models of islet transplantation (39-42). Loss of islet mass is multifactorial, and aetiology varies along the transplantation pathway. Initially there is a large reduction in viable islet mass, with evidence of loss of 50% of the transplanted islet mass (43, 44). Early islet loss is mediated by a combination of mechanical and immunological factors as well as loss driven by ischaemia and islet hypoxia (45). Here, we will discuss the importance of the islet microenvironment, describing the native islet structure, how this niche is destroyed by islet isolation and how islet transplantation triggers implantation tissue injury responses which shapes the post-transplant microenvironment. Examination of this concept will reveal improvements in engraftment of both islet allografts and SCI.

### Islet architecture

#### Non-endocrine cells

Non-endocrine cell types are important to islet function; predominantly endothelial cells which are a component of the network of intra-islet microvasculature which is five times denser than the exocrine pancreas alongside dendritic cells and nerve fibres (46). In human islets endocrine cell types are close to vasculature, in a non-patterned distribution along the vessel course. Each islet receives arterial supply from up to five arterioles which form a glomerulus-like network of capillaries within which endocrine cells are sited, closely located to vessels, with a double basement membrane (BM) between beta-cells and capillary endothelial cells, both of which heavily express lutheran glycoprotein (47, 48). Polarity of beta-cells related to vessels is exhibited on electron microscopy of rat islets, demonstrating how cell-matrix interactions with this BM can influence morphology (49). Islet capillaries demonstrate a thinner endothelium with significantly higher levels of fenestration, with these characteristics highly delineated at the islet-exocrine interface (50).

Native islet ECM producing cells are not well characterised, but evidence is emerging for the role of islet stellate cells (ISCs). Similar, but distinct from pancreatic stellate cell population, which are implicated in pancreatic fibrosis and exocrine disease, they possess a quiescent and activated state in which they are thought responsible for excessive ECM deposition and implicated in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) (51, 52). However, when isolated ISCs are co-cultured with mouse islets, improved insulin production is seen (53). Others have described a pericyte cell type, with similar properties, capable of activation into a myofibroblast subtype. Such cells have actions on insulin production through control of microvasculature and directly and have also been demonstrated to produce ECM components (54, 55). The proposition that overactivity of these tissue resident fibroblasts impairs islet function in T2DM may draw comparison with the posttransplant microenvironment.

#### Native islet extra-cellular matrix

The extra-cellular matrix (ECM) is a complex environment, composed of multiple proteins, glycoproteins and proteoglycans. These networks, which are found across tissue types, can bind with cell surface receptors to influence cell differentiation, survival and function. This can be achieved via integrin receptors and through mechano-sensing of transmitted loads through frequency and intensity of cell-ECM interactions. The cytoplasmic tails of integrin receptors bind with the intra-cellular actin cytoskeleton and activate pathways involved with cell survival and function and allow bi-directional communication with the local micro-environment (56).

The importance of the islet ECM has led to the investigation of the impact of predominant BM components on beta-cell activity. Integrin signalling is important for the development of beta-cells, survival, and functional state. The composition of ECM and integrin expression changes through development and adulthood but in both mice and human developing islets, knock outs of integrin types can lead to reduced islet development and functionality (57, 58). Antibody blockade of integrin  $\alpha_1$  and integrin  $\beta_1$  subunits reduce cell adhesion and migration of foetal and adult human islets *in vitro* (59). Culture of foetal islets with collagen IV also promotes insulin function compared to other ECM components and this action is reversed by integrin  $\alpha_1\beta_1$  antibody blockade (59).

Laminins and glycoproteins including fibronectin (FN) are also thought to impact survival and function. FN is a key component of developing islets and activates integrin  $\alpha_v\beta_1$ . Blockade with 270 RGD-peptide analogue in immunodeficient mice after renal subcapsular transplant of human foetal pancreas fragments, results in aberrant islet development with loss of conventional structure and a reduction in insulin positive cells (60). Whilst the

ability of proteoglycans to bind and regulate availability of growth factors such as fibroblast growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) and connective tissue growth factor has important implications for beta-cells (61). For example, reduction in expression of VEGF-A in mouse beta-cells causes impaired insulin production with reduction in fenestrations of intra-islet vasculature and reduces revascularisation at 1 month after these cells are transplanted under the kidney capsule of immunodeficient mice (62). FGFs activate the ERK1/2 pathway to increase insulin mRNA (63). Of note, the contextual influence of islet ECM is demonstrated as this effect is modulated by integrin binding to laminin which causes a reduction in FGF receptor expression (64). Appraisal of native islet architecture warrants consideration in comparison to the post-isolation and early-engraftment islet state to appreciate the impact of the cell-cell and cell-matrix posttransplant microenvironment. Developing this area of knowledge specific to islet transplantation will open avenues to improve isolation, culture and engraftment in transplantation to promote survival and function (65).

# Islet isolation disrupts the microenvironment

#### Revascularisation

During islet isolation, native vascularisation and surrounding matrix is destroyed. Significant collagen destruction (60-80%) is required to facilitate successful islet isolation (66). Consequently, islets are distributed into the portal venous system devoid of this supporting framework, increasing their vulnerability to apoptosis and necrosis whilst reliant on diffusion (67). Due to reliance on diffusion and despite placement into the highly vascularised liver site, this is insufficient to sustain islet populations. Cells within the central core of isolated islets demonstrate progressive reduction in viability when cultured in vitro, leading to cell death (68). Interestingly, smaller islets demonstrated improved responses to glucose stimuli in vitro and increased islet index (IEQ/islet number) positively correlates with stimulated C-peptide 3 months following simultaneous islet-kidney transplant (69). Hypoxia is a significant cause of islet failure in emerging encapsulation techniques and devices with smaller pore diameter are not favoured due to the prevention of revascularisation (70).

To achieve function after IT, revascularisation is a necessary requirement for successful islet engraftment. New intra-islet vasculature is derived from both recipient and donor endothelium (71, 72). Angiogenesis begins early after IT, likely from hepatic arterial tree (73) between day 1 and three and completes at approximately day 14 (74) with a following medium to long term period of vascular and extra-cellular matrix remodeling (67). In response to hypoxia, cultured and transplanted islets express VEGF, before an increase in VEGF receptor expression (Flk-1/KDR & Flt-1) between day 3-5 with a similar later rise in VE-Cadherin; these signs of revascularisation are reduced in diabetic small animal models compared to normoglycaemic controls, suggesting that a hyperglycaemic environment is unfavourable for islet survival (75).

Morphological analysis of autopsy specimens in long term functioning islet transplants (>10 years) with positive serum Cpeptide has revealed extensive capillary networks positively staining for CD31, with comparable vascular density, size of vessel and distribution to those seen on histological analysis of biopsy tissue from native pancreas (76). Elsewhere, regardless of implantation site, post-transplant intra-islet vascular density is reduced compared to the native pancreas with oxygen tensions, compared to the highly arterialized supply of the native pancreas (77). The mechanisms of revascularisation and neo-angiogenesis may alter between transplant sites.

#### Transplanted islets & extra-cellular matrix

To achieve successful islet isolation, destruction of the supporting framework of extra-cellular matrix vasculature is required (Figure 1) (66). Collagenase digestion non-selectively targets ECM components which breakdown peripheral pancreatic and intra-islet matrix which is associated with cell death (78). It is logical that reinstating the cell-matrix interactions following IT would be beneficial for islet survival and function.

Native pancreatic ECM is composed of both interstitial matrix and basement membrane, which is predominantly laminin, fibronectin alongside collagen IV and V (79). Islet isolation with collagenase targets both the interstitial matrix and BM and both are shown to be lost immediately after isolation of human and canine islets (79-81). Loss of the islet BM in native islets of non-obese diabetic mice has been correlated with increased macrophage infiltration and development of insulinitis, suggesting that these initially BM devoid islets post-transplant have increased vulnerability (82). Integrin expression is also reduced progressively during periods of islet culture (78), but this reduction is diminished when islets are cultured with fibronectin or collagen. Furthermore, the addition of fibronectin and collagen significantly reduced apoptosis during islet culture demonstrating the benefits of maintaining islet-ECM interactions (79, 80, 83). Insulin expression reduces in intensity during culture of human islets parallel to simultaneous reduction in integrin expression (79). Recently, a human decellularized pancreatic ECM model has been used to culture isolated human islets. This method has resulted in improvement of in vitro insulin dynamics, with superior glucose stimulated insulin secretion and reduced apoptosis in extended culture. Transcriptomic analysis demonstrated increased expression of regulatory pathways associated with cell adhesion, ECM organisation and responses to external stimuli when compared to culture in suspension (84).

Avenues to improve engraftment include increasing selectivity of collagenase digestion, promoting neovascularisation through manipulation of islets, co-culture with ECM components, nonendocrine cells and promoting islet-ECM interaction posttransplant should be investigated to improve cellular therapies (65, 85).



# Hepatic injury response & islet transplantation

# Islet loss after portal venous transplantation

The liver has many metabolic, digestive, and endocrine functions with complex micro-anatomical arrangement. The hepatic parenchyma receives dual blood supply from the portal venous system and hepatic arterial tree. Histologically, structures are arranged surrounding portal triads of portal venous sinusoids, arterial capillaries and bile duct branches with corresponding central veins which form the vascular outflow tract.

Following IT via the portal vein in man and primate models, islets will be spread amongst the liver with the majority sited within the venous lumen or closely related to it whilst only a minority become embedded within hepatic parenchyma (40, 76). Whereas, in small animal models, islets are most often seen within hepatic parenchyma, possibly due to endothelial breakdown as islets occlude the lumen in comparatively smaller vessels (76).

Intra-portal IT initiates the instant blood mediated immune response and triggers a response from the hepatic parenchyma (45). Embolic islets within the portal system result in areas of ischaemia inducing macroscopic changes in perfusion of the hepatic parenchyma in rodents and elevated hepatic enzymes in man (45). Hepatic stellate cells (HSC) located within the space of Disse in their quiescent state are responsible for ECM turnover and maintenance of the hepatic parenchymal environment (86). In response to injury, they undergo transformation into a myofibroblast that secretes tissue damaging ECM proteins (Figure 2). In chronic liver disease, such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, activation of HSCs is responsible for the pathological deposition of excessive matrix proteins associated with fibrosis, and the loss of conventional tissue architecture and function. Moreover, HSC activity is correlated with progressive liver disease and severity (87-89).

HSC activation in progressive fibrosis is detrimental to tissue function, their presence and matrix deposition surrounding intraportally transplanted islets may influence islet survival and function. This local microfibrosis may interfere with diffusion of insulin, increasing distances to capillary perfusion and paracrine activity and after islet isolation, which destroys native islet ECM, this post-transplant matrix deposition may result in abnormal matrix-cell signalling, which may contribute to impaired beta-cell function and survival. Of note, streptozotocin induced hyerglycaemic mice fed a high fat diet to induce steatohepatitis, have significantly lower rates of euglycaemia after intra-portal IT with significantly higher non-fasting capillary glucose readings compared to controls (90).

It may be that in some scenarios, HSC induced matrix deposition helps to restore the islet-ECM signalling necessary for function. The nature of this consequent post-transplant matrix deposition needs to be investigated, as when isolated HSCs are co-transplanted with islets under the mouse kidney capsule, improved glycaemic control is demonstrated through increased duration of graft function and superior islet dynamics assessed with intra-peritoneal glucose tolerance testing. Histological analysis reveals HSCs are sited surrounding islet clusters in this setting, which was also associated with increased islet insulin expression on immunofluorescent staining compared to solitary islet transplant controls (91). In mice, this pattern of fibrosis surrounding islet grafts has been associated with long term functioning portal venous grafts at 200 days post-transplant, whereas, fibrosis detecting through Masson' trichrome staining within islet grafts has been seen in graft failure; a pattern which can be reduced with pretreatment with nicotinamide (92). HSCs have also been demonstrated to have a positive immune tolerance role after subcapsular renal transplantation, which may improve islet survival from immune mediated cell death (93, 94).

Transplanted islets may also influence their microenvironment through paracrine activity. Local hepatic histological changes have been noted in an early cohort of IT recipients and focal peri-portal steatosis



has been demonstrated by magnetic resonance imaging and on histology (40, 95). Presence of focal steatosis was associated with higher requirements of exogenous insulin. Interestingly, amongst the insulin independent recipients in this cohort, those with hepatic steatosis also had higher serum C-peptide levels, suggesting that better functioning grafts produced more steatosis through paracrine action. In one case, steatosis resolved after graft failure, supporting a paracrine insulin mechanism (95). Of note, markers of HSC activation, including expression of aSMA, fibronectin and a1 procollagen are increased when isolated rat HSCs are treated after 3-4 passages in vitro with a combination of insulin and 15 mM glucose for 24 hours (96). In addition, insulin and IGF-1 have been shown to increase HSC proliferation in vitro; culture with IGF-1 also promoted collagen-1 accumulation in media (97). This demonstrates the validity of investigating how the two-way relationship between transplanted islets and the hepatic parenchyma influences this posttransplant microenvironment.

# Tissue response and alternative implantation sites

Alternative sites for IT away from the hostile hepatic parenchyma have been studied, with few being used outside of clinical trials with portal venous infusion continuing to be the imperfect gold standard (39, 98). Investigators have sought locations with high vascularity such as the spleen and the immune privileged thymus or testis to reduce allograft rejection as well as alternative non-invasive implantation sites such as subcutaneous fat and abdominal wall musculature. Non-invasive sites, which allow retrievability, are of interest with the emergence of encapsulation and cellular scaffolds which could be used in combination with islets of stem cell derived beta-cells (98). The local tissue response and microenvironment is likely to be as important in this setting as in the hepatic parenchyma.

The complexity of the relationship between the local tissue response and transplanted islets persists away from the hepatic parenchyma. When human and mouse islets are infused into the anterior eye chamber of syngeneic or immunodeficient mice, the islet BM which is destroyed during isolation is progressively reconstituted (99). Islets are encapsulated through a population of host fibroblasts which express vimentin, a marker of mesenchymal origin, pericyte marker PDGFR $\beta$  and PDGFR $\alpha$ , the fibroblast marker, but not alpha-smooth muscle actin, expression of which is a characteristic of myofibroblasts (99).

Subcutaneous tissue responses have been recruited against foreign bodies to create a collagen reaction surrounding an angiogram catheter, upon which islets can be placed. Islets can then be placed into the void created when the device is retrieved. This technique improves glycaemic control and graft survival in mouse syngeneic and allogeneic transplant models and when human islets are transplanted into an immunodeficient mouse model. Islet grafts are surrounded by a collagen capsule with evidence of neovascularisation within the graft (100). This provides further evidence of the supportive role of recipient fibroblast response and matrix deposition (100-102). Of note, the composition of this surrounding matrix deposition has not been characterised. Supplementation of ECM products to promote engraftment has also been trialled with success (103). Implantation of murine, porcine and human islets into the subcutaneous space of immunodeficient mice in combination with an islet viability matrix of collagen-1, L-glutamine, foetal bovine serum, sodium bicarbonate and medium 199 has shown improvements in both capillary glucose readings and human Cpeptide production alongside maintenance of islet architecture on immunohistochemistry of explanted grafts (103).

More recently, immature pancreatic endocrine progenitors within an encapsulation device have been sited within the subcutaneous space with some success. Retrieved grafts have stained positive for insulin producing cells and recipients have had detectable levels of serum C-peptide. Histological analysis of explanted devices has demonstrated an influx of fibroblasts stained for alpha-smooth muscle actin, although quantitative analysis of this infiltrate relative to function has not been established; in areas of dense fibroblast infiltrate, there was minimal engraftment (17, 18). The role of this infiltrate warrants evaluation. The consequent matrix deposition may impair cell activity and progenitor differentiation to mature insulin producing cells.

### Summary

IT has the potential to provide insulin independence and reduction in mortality and morbidity induced by T1DM. But despite improvements in islet isolation techniques and immunosuppressive regimes, outcomes remain suboptimal. To improve duration and quality of glycaemic control after IT, it is important to examine the post-transplant microenvironment to understand the two-way relationship between transplanted islet cells and the hepatic parenchyma via paracrine and direct cell-tocell and cell-ECM signalling. This will allow targeted improvements in islet isolation techniques and shed light on mechanisms for manipulation of the implantation microenvironment. This is an important area for improvements in both IT and stem-cell derived beta-cell therapies, for the benefit of patients living with DM.

#### Author contributions

The article concept was produced by DTD, HAK, DvD, REJ, and KPH. The initial version was produced by DTD. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### References

1. Juvenial Diabetes Research Foundation. *Facts and figures about type 1 diabetes - JDRF, the type 1 diabetes charity*. Available at: https://jdrf.org.uk/information-support/about-type-1-diabetes/facts-and-figures/.

2. Hex N, Bartlett C, Wright D, Taylor M, Varley D. Estimating the current and future costs of Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes in the UK, including direct health costs and indirect societal and productivity costs. *Diabetes Med* (2012) 29(7):855–62. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2012.03698.x

3. You WP, Henneberg M. Type 1 diabetes prevalence increasing globally and regionally: the role of natural selection and life expectancy at birth. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* (2016) 4(1):e000161. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2015-000161

4. Dal Canto E, Ceriello A, Rydén L, Ferrini M, Hansen TB, Schnell O, et al. Diabetes as a cardiovascular risk factor: An overview of global trends of macro and micro vascular complications. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* (2019) 26(2\_suppl):25–32. doi: 10.1177/2047487319878371

5. Reid L, Baxter F, Forbes S. Effects of islet transplantation on microvascular and macrovascular complications in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Med* (2021) 38(7):e14570. doi: 10.1111/dme.14570

6. Nathan DM. The diabetes control and complications trial/epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications study at 30 years: overview. *Diabetes Care* (2014) 37(1):9–16. doi: 10.2337/dc13-2112

 NHSBT. ANNUAL REPORT ON PANCREAS AND ISLET TRANSPLANTATION 2019/2020. (2020) United Kingdom:NHS Blood and Transplant on the organisation's website.

8. Liong SY, Dixon RE, Chalmers N, Tavakoli A, Augustine T, O'Shea S. Complications following pancreatic transplantations: Imaging features. *Abdom Imaging*. (2011) 36(2):206–14. doi: 10.1007/s00261-010-9632-6

9. Dillman JR, Elsayes KM, Bude RO, Platt JF, Francis IR. Imaging of pancreas transplants: Postoperative findings with clinical correlation. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* (2009) 33(4):609–17. doi: 10.1097/RCT.0b013e3181966988

10. França M, Certo M, Martins L, Varzim P, Teixeira M, Henriques AC, et al. Imaging of pancreas transplantation and its complications. *Insights Imaging*. (2010) 1 (5–6):329–38. doi: 10.1007/s13244-010-0041-8

11. Shapiro AMJ, Lakey JRT, Ryan EA, Korbutt GS, Toth E, Warnock GL, et al. Islet transplantation in seven patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus using a glucocorticoid-free immunosuppressive regimen. *N Engl J Med* (2000) 343(4):230–8. doi: 10.1056/ NEJM200007273430401

12. Shapiro AMJ. Islet transplantation in type 1 diabetes: ongoing challenges, refined procedures, and long-term outcome. *Rev Diabetes Stud RDS*. (2012) 9(4):385. doi: 10.1900/RDS.2012.9.385

### Funding

DTD is funded by the Medical Research Council and Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation as a Clinical Research Training Fellow (MR/X00211X/1).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Banting FG, Best CH, Collip JB, Campbell WR, Fletcher AA. Pancreatic extracts in the treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Can Med Assoc J* (1922) 12(3):141–6.

14. Pickup JC, Sutton AJD, Korutla L, May CL, Wang W, Griffith NN, et al. Severe hypoglycaemia and glycaemic control in Type 1 diabetes: meta-analysis of multiple daily insulin injections compared with continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion. (2008). doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2008.02486.x

15. Gruessner AC, Gruessnern RWG. The 2022 international pancreas transplant registry report—a review. *Transplantation Proceedings* (2022) 54(7:1918-43. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2022.03.059

 Marfil-Garza BA, Imes S, Verhoeff K, Hefler J, Lam A, Dajani K, et al. Pancreatic islet transplantation in type 1 diabetes: 20-year experience from a single-centre cohort in Canada. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2022) 10(7):519–32. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587 (22)00114-0

17. Shapiro AMJ, Thompson D, Donner TW, Bellin MD, Hsueh W, Pettus J, et al. Insulin expression and C-peptide in type 1 diabetes subjects implanted with stem cellderived pancreatic endoderm cells in an encapsulation device. *Cell Rep Med* (2021) 2:100466. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100466

18. Ramzy A, Thompson DM, Ward-Hartstonge KA, Ivison S, Cook L, Garcia RV, et al. Implanted pluripotent stem-cell-derived pancreatic endoderm cells secrete glucose-responsive C-peptide in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Cell Stem Cell* (2021) 28(12):2047–2061.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2021.10.003

19. Verhoeff K, Henschke SJ, Marfil-Garza BA, Dadheech N, Shapiro AMJ. Inducible pluripotent stem cells as a potential cure for diabetes. *Cells* (2021) 10 (2):278. doi: 10.3390/cells10020278

20. JDRF. Vertex provides an update on the VX-880 clinical trial (2022). Available at: https://www.jdrf.org/blog/2022/05/03/vertex/.

21. Markmann JF, Naji A, Rickels MR, Alba M, Marigowda G, Ross L, et al. 259-OR: stem cell-derived, fully differentiated islet cells for type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* (2022) 71 (Supplement\_1):259-OR. doi: 10.2337/db22-259-OR

22. Dolgin E. Diabetes cell therapies take evasive action. Nat Biotechnol (2022) 40 (3):291–5. doi: 10.1038/s41587-022-01246-w

23. CRISPR Therapeutics AG. An open-label, first-in-human study evaluating the safety and tolerability of VCTX210A combination product in subjects with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1D) (2023). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05210530.

24. Shapiro AMJ, Verhoeff K. A spectacular year for islet and stem cell transplantation. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* (2023) 19(2):68-9. doi: 10.1038/s41574-022-00790-4

25. NHS England. Annual report on pancreas and islet transplantation. *Natl Health Serv Blood Transpl.* (2022) 2022(September):1–82.

26. British Transplant Society. *UK guidelines of pancreas and islet transplantation* (2019). Available at: www.bts.org.uk.

27. Forbes S, Flatt AJ, Bennett D, Crookston R, Pimkova M, Birtles L, et al. The impact of islet mass, number of transplants, and time between transplants on graft function in a national islet transplant program. *Am J Transplant.* (2022) 22(1):154–64. doi: 10.1111/ajt.16785

28. Liljebäck H, Quach M, Carlsson PO, Lau J. Fewer islets survive from a first transplant than a second transplant: evaluation of repeated intraportal islet transplantation in mice. *Cell Transplant.* (2019) 28(11):1455. doi: 10.1177/0963689719866685

29. Nano Clissi R, Melzi G, Calori P, Maffi B, Antonioli S, Marzorati L, et al. Islet isolation for allotransplantation: variables associated with successful islet yield and graft function. *Diabetologia* (2005) 48:906–12. doi: 10.1007/s00125-005-1725-3

30. Benomar K, Chetboun M, Espiard S, Jannin A, Le Mapihan K, Gmyr V, et al. Purity of islet preparations and 5-year metabolic outcome of allogenic islet transplantation. *Am J Transplant.* (2018) 18(4):945–51. doi: 10.1111/ajt.14514

31. Zhou Q, Brown J, Kanarek A, Rajagopal J, Melton DA. In vivo reprogramming of adult pancreatic exocrine cells to  $\beta$ -cells. Nat (2008) 455(7213):627–32. doi: 10.1038/ nature07314

32. Courtney M, Gjernes E, Druelle N, Ravaud C, Vieira A, Ben-Othman N, et al. The inactivation of arx in pancreatic  $\alpha$ -cells triggers their neogenesis and conversion into functional  $\beta$ -like cells. *PloS Genet* (2013) 9(10):e1003934. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003934

33. Friedman-Mazursky O, Elkon R, Efrat S. Redifferentiation of expanded human islet  $\beta$  cells by inhibition of ARX. *Sci Rep* (2016) 6(1):1–11. doi: 10.1038/srep20698

34. Ito T, Itakura S, Todorov I, Rawson J, Asari S, Shintaku J, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell and islet co-transplantation promotes graft revascularization and function. *Transplantation* (2010) 89(12):1438–45. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3181db09c4

35. Solari MG, Srinivasan S, Boumaza I, Unadkat J, Harb G, Garcia-Ocana A, et al. Marginal mass islet transplantation with autologous mesenchymal stem cells promotes long-term islet allograft survival and sustained normoglycemia. *J Autoimmun* (2009) 32 (2):116–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2009.01.003

36. Hubber EL, Rackham CL, Jones PM. Protecting islet functional viability using mesenchymal stromal cells. *Stem Cells Transl Med* (2021) 10(5):674. doi: 10.1002/sctm.20-0466

37. Lablanche S, Borot S, Wojtusciszyn A, Skaare K, Penfornis A, Malvezzi P, et al. Ten-year outcomes of islet transplantation in patients with type 1 diabetes: Data from the Swiss-French GRAGIL network. *Am J Transplant.* (2021) 21(11):3725–33. doi: 10.1111/ajt.16637

38. Vantyghem MC, Chetboun M, Gmyr V, Jannin A, Espiard S, Le Mapihan K, et al. Ten-year outcome of islet alone or islet after kidney transplantation in type 1 diabetes: A prospective parallel-arm cohort study. *Diabetes Care* (2019) 42(11):2042–9. doi: 10.2337/dc19-0401

39. McCall M, James Shapiro AM. Update on islet transplantation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* (2012) 2(7):a007823. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a007823

40. Toso C, Isse K, Demetris AJ, Dinyari P, Koh A, Imes S, et al. Histologic graft assessment after clinical islet transplantation(2009). Available at: http://links.lww.com/TP/A112.

41. Smith RN, Kent SC, Nagle J, Selig M, Iafrate AJ, Najafian N, et al. Pathology of an islet transplant 2 years after transplantation: Evidence for a nonimmunological loss. *Transplantation* (2008) 86(1):54–62. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e318173a5da

42. Alejandro R, Cutfield RG, Shienvold FL, Polonsky KS, Noel J, Olson L, et al. Natural history of intrahepatic canine islet cell autografts. *J Clin Invest.* (1986) 78 (5):1339–48. doi: 10.1172/JCI112720

43. Eich T, Eriksson O, Lundgren T. Visualization of early engraftment in clinical islet transplantation by positron-emission tomography. *New England Journal of Medicine* (2007) 356(26):2754–5. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc070201

44. Eriksson O, Eich T, Sundin A, Tibell A, Tufveson G, Andersson H, et al. Positron emission tomography in clinical islet transplantation. *Am J Transplant.* (2009) 9(12):2816–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02844.x

45. Delaune V, Berney T, Lacotte S, Toso C. Intraportal islet transplantation: the impact of the liver microenvironment. *Transpl Int* (2017) 30(3):227–38. doi: 10.1111/tri.12919

46. El-Gohary Y, Tulachan S, Branca M, Sims-Lucas S, Guo P, Prasadan K, et al. Whole-mount imaging demonstrates hypervascularity of the pancreatic ducts and other pancreatic structures. *Anat Rec.* (2012) 295(3):465–73. doi: 10.1002/ar.22420

47. Otonkoski T, Banerjee M, Korsgren O, Thornell LE, Virtanen I. Unique basement membrane structure of human pancreatic islets: implications for  $\beta$ -cell growth and differentiation. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2008) 10(SUPPL. 4):119–27. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2008.00955.x

48. Virtanen I, Banerjee M, Palgi J, Korsgren O, Lukinius A, Thornell LE, et al. Blood vessels of human islets of Langerhans are surrounded by a double basement membrane. *Diabetologia* (2008) 51(7):1181–91. doi: 10.1007/s00125-008-0997-9

49. Bonner-Weir S. Morphological evidence for pancreatic polarity of  $\beta$ -cell within islets of langerhans. *Diabetes* (1988) 37(5):616–21. doi: 10.2337/diab.37.5.616

50. Henderson JR, Moss MC. A MORPHOMETRIC STUDY OF THE ENDOCRINE AND EXOCRINE CAPILLARIES OF THE PANCREAS. Q J Exp Physiol (1985) 70(3):347-56. doi: 10.1113/expphysiol.1985.sp002920

51. Zha M, Xu W, Jones PM, Sun Z. Isolation and characterization of human islet stellate cells. *Exp Cell Res* (2016) 341(1):61–6. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2015.11.002

52. Zhou Y, Li W, Zhou J, Chen J, Wang X, Cai M, et al. Lipotoxicity reduces  $\beta$  cell survival through islet stellate cell activation regulated by lipid metabolism-related molecules. *Exp Cell Res* (2019) 380(1):1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2019.04.012

53. Xu W, Jones PM, Geng H, Li R, Liu X, Li Y, et al. Islet stellate cells regulate insulin secretion via wnt5a in min6 cells. *Int J Endocrinol* (2020) 2020:e4708132. doi: 10.1155/2020/4708132

54. Sakhneny L, Epshtein A, Landsman L. Pericytes contribute to the islet basement membranes to promote beta-cell gene expression. *Sci Rep* (2021) 11(1):2378. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-81774-8

55. Mateus Gonçalves L, Pereira E, Werneck de Castro JP, Bernal-Mizrachi E, Almaça J. Islet pericytes convert into profibrotic myofibroblasts in a mouse model of islet vascular fibrosis. *Diabetologia* (2020) 63(8):1564–75. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05168-7

56. Kanchanawong P, Calderwood DA. Organization, dynamics and mechanoregulation of integrin-mediated cell-ECM adhesions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2022) 24:142–161. doi: 10.1038/s41580-022-00531-5

57. Li Z, Tremmel DM, Ma F, Yu Q, Ma M, Delafield DG, et al. Proteome-wide and matrisome-specific alterations during human pancreas development and maturation. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21261-w

58. Ma F, Tremmel DM, Li Z, Lietz CB, Sackett SD, Odorico JS, et al. In depth quantification of extracellular matrix proteins from human pancreas. *J Proteome Res* (2019) 18(8):3156–65. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00241

59. Kaido T, Yebra M, Cirulli V, Montgomery AM. Regulation of human  $\beta$ -cell adhesion, motility, and insulin secretion by collagen IV and its receptor  $\alpha 1\beta 1^*$ . J Biol Chem (2004) 279(51):53762–9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M411202200

60. Cirulli V, Beattie GM, Klier G, Ellisman M, Ricordi C, Quaranta V, et al. Expression and function of  $\alpha\nu\beta3$  and  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  integrins in the developing pancreas: roles in the adhesion and migration of putative endocrine progenitor cells. *J Cell Biol* (2000) 150(6):1445–60. doi: 10.1083/jcb.150.6.1445

61. Tixi W, Maldonado M, Chang YT, Parveen N, Chiu A, Hart R, et al. Coordination between ECM and cell-cell adhesion regulates the development of islet aggregation, architecture, and functional maturation. *bioRxiv* (2022). doi: 10.1101/2022.04.27.489466

62. Brissova M, Shostak A, Shiota M, Wiebe PO, Poffenberger G, Kantz J, et al. Pancreatic islet production of vascular endothelial growth factor-A is essential for islet vascularization, revascularization, and function. *Diabetes* (2006) 55(11):2974–85. doi: 10.2337/db06-0690

63. Wente W, Efanov AM, Brenner M, Kharitonenkov A, Köster A, Sandusky GE, et al. Fibroblast growth factor-21 improves pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell function and survival by activation of extracellular signal–regulated kinase 1/2 and akt signaling pathways. *Diabetes* (2006) 55(9):2470–8. doi: 10.2337/db05-1435

64. Kilkenny DM, Rocheleau JV. Fibroblast growth factor receptor-1 signaling in pancreatic islet  $\beta$ -cells is modulated by the extracellular matrix. *Mol Endocrinol* (2008) 22(1):196–205. doi: 10.1210/me.2007-0241

65. Stendahl JC, Kaufman DB, Stupp SI. Extracellular matrix in pancreatic islets: relevance to scaffold design and transplantation. *Cell Transplant.* (2009) 18(1):1. doi: 10.3727/096368909788237195

66. Meier RPH, Meyer J, Muller YD, Szot GL, Bédat B, Andres A, et al. Pancreas collagen digestion during islet of Langerhans isolation—a prospective study. *Transpl Int* (2020) 33(11):1516–28. doi: 10.1111/tri.13725

67. Gibly RF, Graham JG, Luo X, Lowe WL, Hering BJ, Shea LD. Advancing islet transplantation: from engraftment to the immune response. *Diabetologia* (2011) 54 (10):2494. doi: 10.1007/s00125-011-2243-0

68. Vasir B, Aiello LP, Yoon KH, Quickel RR, Bonner-Weir S, Weir GC. Hypoxia induces vascular endothelial growth factor gene and protein expression in cultured rat islet cells. *Diabetes* (1998) 47(12):1894–903. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.47.12.1894

69. Lehmann R, Zuellig RA, Kugelmeier P, Baenninger PB, Moritz W, Perren A, et al. Superiority of small islets in human islet transplantation. *Diabetes* (2007) 56 (3):594–603. doi: 10.2337/db06-0779

70. Krishnan R, Alexander M, Robles L, Foster CE, Lakey JRT. Islet and stem cell encapsulation for clinical transplantation. *Rev Diabetes Stud RDS*. (2014) 11(1):84. doi: 10.1900/RDS.2014.11.84

71. Schneider K, Hammes HP, Deutsch U, Brandhorst D, Morgenstern E, Bretzel RG, et al. Endothelial cells from donor and host origin contribute to re-vascularization in islet transplantation. *Diabetes* (2000) 49(5):A52–2. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.53.5.1318

72. Nyqvist D, Köhler M, Wahlstedt H, Berggren PO. Donor islet endothelial cells participate in formation of functional vessels within pancreatic islet grafts. *Diabetes* (2005) 54(8):2287–93. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.54.8.2287

73. Andersson A, Korsgren O, Jansson L. Intraportally transplanted pancreatic islets revascularized from hepatic arterial system. *Diabetes* (1989) 38(SUPPL. 1):192–5. doi: 10.2337/diab.38.1.S192

74. Brissova M, Fowler M, Wiebe P, Shostak A, Shiota M, Radhika A, et al. Intraislet endothelial cells contribute to revascularization of transplanted pancreatic islets. *Diabetes* (2004) 53(5):1318–25. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.53.5.1318

75. Vasir B, Jonas J, Steil GM, Hollister-Lock J, Hasenkamp W, Sharma A, et al. Gene expression of vegf and its receptors flk-1/kdr and flt-. Transplantation. doi: 10.1097/00007890-200104150-00018

76. Molnár C, Essand M, Wennberg L, Berne C, Larsson E, Tufveson G, et al. Islet engraftment and revascularization in clinical and experimental transplantation. *Cell Transplant.* (2013) 22(2):243–51. doi: 10.3727/096368912X640637

77. Carlsson PO, Palm F. Oxygen tension in isolated transplanted rat islets and in islets of rat whole-pancreas transplants. *Transpl Int Off J Eur Soc Organ Transplant*. (2002) 15(11):581–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-2277.2002.tb00112.x

78. Rosenberg L, Wang R, Paraskevas S, Maysinger D. Structural and functional changes resulting from islet isolation lead to islet cell death. *Surgery* (1999) 126(2):393–8. doi: 10.1016/S0039-6060(99)70183-2

79. Wang RN, Paraskevas S, Rosenberg L. Characterization of integrin expression in islets isolated from hamster, canine, porcine, and human pancreas. *J Histochem Cytochem* (1999) 47(4):499–506. doi: 10.1177/002215549904700408

80. Wang RN, Rosenberg L. Maintenance of beta-cell function and survival following islet isolation requires re-establishment of the islet-matrix relationship. *J Endocrinol* (1999) 163(2):181–90. doi: 10.1677/joe.0.1630181

81. Cross SE, Vaughan RH, Willcox AJ, McBride AJ, Abraham AA, Han B, et al. Key matrix proteins within the pancreatic islet basement membrane are differentially digested during human islet isolation. *Am J Transplant.* (2017) 17(2):451–61. doi: 10.1111/ajt.13975

82. Korpos É, Kadri N, Kappelhoff R, Wegner J, Overall CM, Weber E, et al. The peri-islet basement membrane, a barrier to infiltrating leukocytes in type 1 diabetes in mouse and human. *Diabetes* (2013) 62(2):531–42. doi: 10.2337/db12-0432

83. Llacua LA, Hoek A, de Haan BJ, de Vos P. Collagen type VI interaction improves human islet survival in immunoisolating microcapsules for treatment of diabetes. *Islets* (2018) 10(2):60–8. doi: 10.1080/19382014.2017.1420449

84. Tremmel DM, Sackett SD, Feeney AK, Mitchell SA, Schaid MD, Polyak E, et al. A human pancreatic ECM hydrogel optimized for 3-D modeling of the islet microenvironment. *Sci Rep* (2022) 12(1):1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-11085-z

85. Llacua LA, Faas MM, de Vos P. Extracellular matrix molecules and their potential contribution to the function of transplanted pancreatic islets. *Diabetologia* (2018) 61(6):1261. doi: 10.1007/s00125-017-4524-8

86. Baxter MA, Rowe C, Alder J, Harrison S, Hanley KP, Park BK, et al. Generating hepatic cell lineages from pluripotent stem cells for drug toxicity screening. *Stem Cell Res* (2010) 5(1):4–22. doi: 10.1016/j.scr.2010.02.002

87. Wu J, Zern MA. Hepatic stellate cells: a target for the treatment of liver fibrosis. J Gastroenterol (2000) 35(9):665–72. doi: 10.1007/s005350070045

88. Athwal VS, Pritchett J, Martin K, Llewellyn J, Scott J, Harvey E, et al. SOX9 regulated matrix proteins are increased in patients serum and correlate with severity of liver fibrosis. *Sci Rep* (2018) 8(1):17905. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36037-4

89. Athwal VS, Pritchett J, Llewellyn J, Martin K, Camacho E, Raza SM, et al. SOX9 predicts progression toward cirrhosis in patients while its loss protects against liver fibrosis. *EMBO Mol Med* (2017) 9(12):1696–710. doi: 10.15252/emmm.201707860

90. Desai CS, Khan KM, Ma X, Li H, Wang J, Fan L, et al. Effect of liver histopathology on islet cell engraftment in the model mimicking autologous islet cell transplantation. *Islets* (2017) 9(6):140. doi: 10.1080/19382014.2017.1356558

91. Zhang ZY, Zhou ZQ, Song KB, Kim SC, Zhou GW. Hepatic stellate cells induce immunotolerance of islet allografts. *Transplant Proc* (2014) 46(5):1594–600. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2014.03.009

92. Jung DY, Park JB, Joo SY, Joh JW, Kwon CH, Kwon GY, et al. Effect of nicotinamide on early graft failure following intraportal islet transplantation. *Exp Mol Med* (2009) 41(11):782–92. doi: 10.3858/emm.2009.41.11.084

93. Yang HR, Hsieh CC, Wang L, Fung JJ, Lu L, Qian S. A critical role of TRAIL expressed on cotransplanted hepatic stellate cells in prevention of islet allograft rejection. *Microsurgery* (2010) 30(4):332–7. doi: 10.1002/micr.20697

94. Yang HR, Chou HS, Gu X, Wang L, Brown KE, Fung JJ, et al. Mechanistic insights into immunomodulation by hepatic stellate cells in mice: A critical role of interferon- $\gamma$  signaling. *Hepatology* (2009) 50(6):1981–91. doi: 10.1002/hep.23202

95. Bhargava R, Senior PA, Ackerman TE, Ryan EA, Paty BW, Lakey JRT, et al. Prevalence of hepatic steatosis after islet transplantation and its relation to graft function. *Diabetes* (2004) 53(5):1311–7. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.53.5.1311

96. Zhang F, Zhang Z, Kong D, Zhang X, Chen L, Zhu X, et al. Tetramethylpyrazine reduces glucose and insulin-induced activation of hepatic stellate cells by inhibiting insulin receptor-mediated PI3K/AKT and ERK pathways. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* (2014) 382(1):197–204. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2013.09.020

97. Svegliati-Baroni G, Ridolfi F, Di Sario A, Casini A, Marucci L, Gaggiotti G, et al. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1 stimulate proliferation and type I collagen accumulation by human hepatic stellate cells: Differential effects on signal transduction pathways. *Hepatology* (1999) 29(6):1743–51. doi: 10.1002/hep.510290632

98. Merani S, Toso C, Emamaullee J, Shapiro AMJ. Optimal implantation site for pancreatic islet transplantation. Br J Surg (2008) 95(12):1449-61. doi: 10.1002/bjs.6391

99. Nilsson J, Fardoos R, Hansen L, Lövkvist H, Pietras K, Holmberg D, et al. Recruited fibroblasts reconstitute the peri-islet membrane: a longitudinal imaging study of human islet grafting and revascularisation. *Diabetologia* (2020) 63(1):137–48. doi: 10.1007/s00125-019-05018-1

100. Pepper AR, Gala-Lopez B, Pawlick R, Merani S, Kin T, Shapiro AMJ. A prevascularized subcutaneous device-less site for islet and cellular transplantation. *Nat Biotechnol* (2015) 33(5):518–23. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3211

101. Pepper AR, Pawlick R, Bruni A, Gala-Lopez B, Wink J, Rafiei Y, et al. Harnessing the foreign body reaction in marginal mass device-less subcutaneous islet transplantation in mice. *Transplantation* (2016) 100(7):1474–9. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000001162

102. Pepper AR, Bruni A, Pawlick R, Wink J, Rafiei Y, Gala-Lopez B, et al. Engraftment site and effectiveness of the pan-caspase inhibitor F573 to improve engraftment in mouse and human islet transplantation in mice. *Transplantation* (2017) 101(10):2321–9. doi: 10.1097/TP.00000000001638

103. Yu M, Agarwal D, Korutla L, May CL, Wang W, Griffith NN, et al. Islet transplantation in the subcutaneous space achieves long-term euglycaemia in preclinical models of type 1 diabetes. *Nat Metab* (2020) 2(10):1013–20. doi: 10.1038/ s42255-020-0269-7

09