Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Christopher Gerner, University of Vienna, Austria

REVIEWED BY Ahmed Uosef, Houston Methodist Hospital, United States Li Yin, University of Virginia, United States Ben Li, University of Toronto, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Songping Xie Songping0428@126.com Changzheng Chen Whuchenchzh@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 30 May 2023 ACCEPTED 20 November 2023 PUBLISHED 11 December 2023

CITATION

Fan Q, Meng Y, Nie Z, Xie S and Chen C (2023) Sex hormone-binding globulin exerts sex-related causal effects on lower extremity varicose veins: evidence from gender-stratified Mendelian randomization. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1230955. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1230955

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Fan, Meng, Nie, Xie and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Sex hormone-binding globulin exerts sex-related causal effects on lower extremity varicose veins: evidence from gender-stratified Mendelian randomization

Qinglu Fan^{1†}, Yang Meng^{2†}, Zhihao Nie¹, Songping Xie^{1*} and Changzheng Chen^{2*}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, ²Department of Ophthalmology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

Background: The association between serum sex hormones and lower extremity varicose veins has been reported in observational studies. However, it is unclear whether the association reflects a causal relationship. Besides, serum sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) has been rarely studied in lower extremity varicose veins. Here, we aim to investigate the association between serum levels of SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol and the risk of lower extremity varicose veins using Mendelian randomization (MR).

Methods: We obtained genome-wide association study summary statistics for serum SHBG levels with 369,002 European participants, serum testosterone levels with 424,907 European participants, serum estradiol levels with 361,194 European participants, and lower extremity varicose veins with 207,055 European participants. First, a univariable MR was performed to identify the causality from SHBG and sex hormone levels to lower extremity varicose veins with several sensitivity analyses being performed. Then, a multivariable MR (MVMR) was performed to further assess whether the causal effects were independent. Finally, we performed a gender-stratified MR to understand the role of genders on lower extremity varicose veins.

Results: Genetically predicted higher serum SHBG levels significantly increased the risk of lower extremity varicose veins in the univariable MR analysis (OR=1.39; 95% CI: 1.13-1.70; P= 1.58×10^{-3}). Sensitivity analyses and MVMR (OR=1.50; 95% CI:1.13-1.99; P= 5.61×10^{-3}) verified the robustness of the causal relationships. Gender-stratified MR revealed that higher serum SHBG levels were associated with lower extremity varicose veins in both sexes. However, the OR of serum SHBG levels on lower extremity varicose veins risk in females (OR=1.51; 95% CI:1.23-1.87; P= 1.00×10^{-4}) was greater than in males (OR=1.26; 95% CI:1.04-1.54; P= 1.86×10^{-2}).

Conclusions: Serum SHBG levels are positively related to lower extremity varicose veins risk in both sexes, especially in females. This may partly explain the higher prevalence of varicose vines among females.

KEYWORDS

sex hormone, sex hormone-binding globulin, sex difference, lower extremity varicose veins, gender-stratified Mendelian randomization

1 Introduction

Lower extremity varicose veins are engorged and dilated veins that happen when incompetent or damaged valves cause bidirectional or reverse blood flow in the deep veins, perforator veins, and great and/or small saphenous veins (1, 2). As a major kind of chronic venous disease, lower extremity varicose veins are very common, affecting approximately 23% of the US adult population (3). Currently, 22 million women and 11 million men aged 40-80 years in the US are suffering from this condition (3, 4). The presence of lower extremity varicose veins not only impairs patients' quality of life, but also increases the risk of venous complications, such as deep vein thrombosis, thrombophlebitis, skin changes, and chronic venous ulceration (4, 5). Moreover, the high prevalence of lower extremity varicose veins places a heavy burden on the healthcare system, mostly due to advanced cases with complications (6). Thus, it remains important to identify potential risk factors to facilitate early diagnosis and timely intervention for lower extremity varicose veins.

Sex hormones, including androgens and estrogens, play a vital role in the normal growth and development of the body. Traditional observational studies have suggested that serum sex hormone levels are linked to a variety of diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis (7), atherosclerosis (8), stroke (9), chronic kidney disease (10), Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's diseases (11), and lower extremity varicose veins (12, 13). Specifically, Ciardullo et al. found that high endogenous estradiol levels are associated with increased venous distensibility and clinical evidence of lower extremity varicose veins in menopausal women (14). Moreover, it has been noticed that elevated serum estradiol/free testosterone ratio is not only associated with lower extremity varicose veins in males (15), but a predictor for recurrent lower extremity varicose veins in those who underwent surgical treatment (16).

Nevertheless, limited attention has been paid to the association between serum sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), a circulating glycoprotein functioning as a transporter of sex hormones, and lower extremity varicose veins (17). Moreover, traditional studies, due to their inherent defects, have limited ability to preclude reverse causality and confounding factors, both of which may hinder causal inference between SHBG and lower extremity varicose veins (18).

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a research method for causal inference based on the random assortment of genetic variants during meiosis (the law of independent assortment) (19). MR utilizes exposure-related genetic variations as instrumental variables (IVs) to assess whether the association between the exposure and outcome is consistent with a causal effect (19). Since genetic variants are randomly assigned at conception prior to disease onset, MR analysis could efficiently identify causal determinants of a certain outcome and exclude reverse causality and confounding factors (20).

In this study, we conducted an MR analysis using large-scale genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary data to investigate the relationships between the serum levels of SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol (as exposures) and the risk of lower extremity varicose veins (as outcome).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study design

Since this study used previously collected and publicly available GWAS data, no additional ethical approval was required. The overview of the study design is presented in Figure 1.

2.2 Data source

Summary data for serum levels of SHBG (females and males) and testosterone (females and males) were obtained from the published GWAS dataset including over 400,000 European participants with the genotyping chip, age at baseline, and first ten genetically derived principal components as covariates (21). Specifically, 369,002 European participants were included in serum SHBG levels and 424,907 European participants in serum testosterone levels. For SHBG, body mass index was used as a complementary covariate which has been previously demonstrated to increase statistical power by reducing trait variance (21). Detailed information about participants in SHBG and testosterone was shown in Supplementary Table S1. In addition, summary data for serum estradiol levels (females and males) was obtained from the

Abbreviations: SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin; GWAS, genome-wide association study; MR, mendelian randomization; IVs, instrumental variables; MVMR, multivariable mendelian randomization; SNPs, single-nucleotide polymorphisms; MR-PRESSO, MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier; FE/RE-IVW, random effects/fixed effects inverse variance weighted; WM, weighted median; OR, odds ratios CI, confidence intervals.



FIGURE 1

The overview of the study design. **(A)** Flow chart of the univariable MR, MVMR, and gender-stratified MR. First of all, we performed a univariable MR analysis to explore whether genetically predicted serum levels of SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol (exposures) were associated with the risk of lower extremity varicose veins (outcome). Then, for exposures that survived the univariable MR, we conducted a multivariable MR analysis to estimate the independent causal effect of these exposures on lower extremity varicose veins. Finally, for exposures that survived the above screening criteria, we further perform a gender-stratified MR analysis to understand the roles of genders on lower extremity varicose veins using gender-stratified Sdatasets. **(B)** The schematic chart of the three basic principles of MR: (I) IVs are associated with the exposure; (II) IVs are independent of potential confounders; (III) IVs are associated with the outcome through the studied exposure only. SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin; MR, Mendelian randomization; IVs, instrumental variables.

IEU OPEN GWAS project (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/; ID ukb-d-30800_raw) with 361,194 European participants. Detailed information about participants in estradiol was shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Summary data for lower extremity varicose veins (females and males) was obtained from the latest published GWAS article including

207,055 participants of European ancestry (22). The cases were diagnosed with the international classification of diseases, tenth revision (ICD-10) code I83 or ICD-8/9 code 454. The data was adjusted for covariates including age, genotyping batch, ten principal components of ancestry, and the kinship matrix. Detailed information about participants in estradiol was shown in Supplementary Table S1.

2.3 Selection of instrumental variables

Only single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that met the following screening criteria were selected as IVs: 1). SNPs with genome-wide significance threshold $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ were considered to be associated with exposures, thus seen as potential IVs; 2). SNPs were eliminated according to linkage disequilibrium (threshold r^2 =0.001, KB = 10000); 3). only SNPs with the F statistic ≥10 (F statistic = $\beta_{exposure}^2/2$ SE_{exposure}^2) were included, indicating no strong evidence of weak instrument bias (23, 24); 4). all palindromic SNPs were dropped; 5). the MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) test was applied to detect potential horizontal pleiotropy and to eliminate the effects of pleiotropy by removing outlier SNPs (25).

2.4 MR analyses

We performed three MR analytical methods, including the random effects/fixed effects inverse variance weighted (RE/FE-IVW), weighted median (WM), and MR-Egger regression, to reveal the causal effect of exposures containing multiple IVs on lower extremity varicose veins. The Wald ratio method was used for the MR analysis of exposures containing only one IV. IVW was used as the main method whereas the MR-Egger and WM methods were used as supplements (26–28). IVW is calculated by regressing the coefficient from an outcome regression on the IV on that from an exposure regression on the variant and weighting each estimate by the inverse variance of the association between the instrument and the outcome (29). WM can provide consistent estimates when at least 50% of the weighted variances are from valid IVs (30). The MR-Egger regression method allows pleiotropy to present in more than 50% of IVs (29).

To verify the robustness of the identified causal associations, we carried out a series of sensitivity analyses, including Cochran's Q test, the MR-Egger intercept test, and the leave-one-out analysis. The Cochran's Q test was performed to estimate the heterogeneity among IVs associated with each exposure. The FE-IVW and RE-IVW were used when P > 0.05 and P < 0.05, respectively, to provide a more conservative but robust MR estimate (31). The MR-Egger intercept test was performed to detect the presence of horizontal pleiotropy (29). The leave-one-out analysis was performed to determine whether the significant results were driven by any single SNP (32).

In order to avoid the confounders among the serum SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol levels as much as possible, we conducted a multivariable MR (MVMR) analysis adjusted for each other. Finally, given that gender difference has been widely reported in lower extremity varicose veins, we further performed a gender-stratified MR analysis for significant associations that survived the above screening criteria, aiming to avoid potential sexual bias.

About statistical power, according to the methods described in lately published article by Hu et al. (33), the statistical power was calculated with an online tool (https://shiny.cnsgenomics.com/mRnd/) (34). The primary factors of statistical power are the

sample size of the outcome and the proportion of variance in the exposure variable explained by the genetic instrument.

2.5 Statistics

First of all, we performed a univariable MR analysis to explore whether genetically predicted serum levels of SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol (exposures) were associated with the risk of lower extremity varicose veins (outcome). Then, for exposures that survived the univariable MR, we conducted a MVMR analysis to estimate the independent causal effect of these exposures on lower extremity varicose veins. Finally, for exposures that survived the above screening criteria, we further perform a gender-stratified MR analysis to understand the roles of genders on lower extremity varicose veins using gender-stratified GWAS datasets. Meanwhile, the MR study has to fulfill three assumptions: (I) IVs are associated with the exposure; (II) IVs are independent of potential confounders; (III) IVs are associated with the outcome through the studied exposure only (35).

All MR analyses were performed using the packages "TwoSampleMR" (version 0.5.6) and "MRPRESSO" (version 1.0) in R statistical software (version 4.2.3). The results of MR analyses were presented as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) to quantify the association between exposures (serum SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol levels) and risk of lower extremity varicose veins. Due to multiple testing between exposures and lower extremity varicose veins, the MR analysis results to verify the causal effect were only considered statistically significant when Bonferroni corrected P < 0.017 (0.05/3) in the univariable MR and MVMR analyses while the threshold was set at P <0.05 in the gender-stratified MR analysis.

3 Results

3.1 Univariable MR analysis

In total, 492 SNPs were identified as IVs for serum SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol levels. Specifically, 356 SNPs were identified for SHBG levels, 141 SNPs for testosterone levels, and 1 SNP for estradiol levels, respectively. The F statistics of IVs ranged between 21.77 and 2,836.74, indicating no evidence of weak instrument bias. Detailed information on these IVs is listed in Supplementary Table S2.

The univariable MR results from serum SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol levels to lower extremity varicose veins are listed in Figure 2. Among the tested exposures, the MR estimates from the RE-IVW method indicated that higher serum SHBG levels significantly increased the risk of lower extremity varicose veins (OR=1.39; 95% CI: 1.13-1.70; P= 1.58×10^{-3}), and directions of the MR-Egger and WM methods were consistent with that of the IVW method. No evidence of causal associations between genetic liability for serum testosterone/estradiol levels and the risk of lower

extremity varicose veins were shown. The scatter plots of IVs were shown in Figure S1. Meanwhile, the statistical power of serum SHBG levels, testosterone and estradiol were 1.00, 0.83 and 0.05, respectively.

The results of the Cochran's Q test are shown in Supplementary Table S3. Heterogeneity was observed between the genetic IVs for SHBG and testosterone levels, thus the RE-IVW was used. Moreover, MR-Egger intercepts did not detect any pleiotropy, indicating no evidence of potential horizontal pleiotropy (all intercepts P > 0.05) (Supplementary Table S3). The leave-one-out analysis, as shown in Supplementary Figure 2, showed no marked difference in the causal effect of SHBG levels on lower extremity varicose veins, indicating that the significant results were not driven by any single SNP. No results for Cochran's Q test and MR-Egger regression of estradiol levels were obtained due to insufficient IVs.

3.2 MVMR analysis

According to the results in the univariable MR analysis, serum SHBG levels seemed to be associated with lower extremity varicose veins. Nevertheless, since SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol were three interrelated substances, we further performed an MVMR analysis to avoid the confounders between serum SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol levels and to evaluate independent effects of the serum SHBG levels on lower extremity varicose veins. The results of the MVMR analysis are shown in Figure 3. In the MVMR analysis, higher serum SHBG levels remained causally related to a higher risk of lower extremity varicose veins. The OR of SHBG levels was 1.50 (95% CI:1.13-1.99; $P=5.61\times10^{-3}$), indicating that there was an independent causal effect of SHBG levels on lower extremity varicose veins. Moreover, the results of the remaining exposures (serum testosterone and estradiol levels) in the MVMR analysis were consistent with the previous univariable MR analysis.

3.3 Gender-stratified MR analysis

To further explore the causal-effect difference of genders on lower extremity varicose veins, a gender-stratified MR analysis was performed. In total, 411 SNPs were identified as IVs for genderstratified serum SHBG levels, among which 236 SNPs were identified for SHBG in females, and 198 SNPs for males. The F statistics of IVs ranged between 20.62 and 1652.18, indicating no evidence of weak instrument bias. Detailed information on these IVs is listed in Supplementary Table S4.

The MR results from gender-stratified serum SHBG levels to gender-stratified lower extremity varicose veins risk are listed in Figure 4. Gender-stratified MR showed that genetically predicted higher serum SHBG levels were associated with lower extremity varicose veins in both females and males, and the OR of serum SHBG levels on lower extremity varicose veins risk in females $(OR_{female}=1.51; 95\% CI: 1.23-1.87; P=1.00\times 10^{-4})$ was greater than in males (OR_{male}=1.26; 95% CI: 1.04-1.54; P=1.86×10⁻²). The scatter plots of IVs were shown in Figure S3. Moreover, the results from the Cochran's Q and MR-Egger intercept tests are also shown in Figure 4. Heterogeneity was observed between the genetic IVs for SHBG levels in both females and males, for which the RE-IVW method was used. MR-Egger intercepts did not detect any pleiotropy, indicating no evidence of potential horizontal pleiotropy (both intercepts P > 0.05). Similar to the results of univariable MR analysis, the leave-one-out analysis showed that the significant results were not driven by any single SNP (Supplementary Figure 4). Meanwhile, results of the statistical power were 1.00 for both sexes.

4 Discussion

A number of previous observational studies have suggested a relationship between serum sex hormone levels and the risk of lower extremity varicose veins (14-16). However, observational studies are prone to biases such as reverse causality and unmeasured confounding (36). Randomized controlled trials may avoid some of these defects but are much too costly and timeconsuming, and there may be no appropriate intervention to verify certain hypotheses in some cases (36). Hence, there is no randomized controlled study focused on the relationship between serum sex hormone levels and lower extremity varicose veins yet. Moreover, less attention has been paid to the association between serum SHBG and lower extremity varicose veins. As a result, it remained unclear whether there existing associations between the serum levels of SHBG and sex hormones and the risk of lower extremity varicose veins. In our study, the combination of the univariable MR, MVMR, and gender-stratified MR provided a novel solution.

Outcome N.IVs Method OR(95%CI) Statistical power Exposure MR Egger 1.12 (0.79 to 1.58) 5.24×10⁻¹ Varicose veins SHBG 356 1.00 wм 1.28 (0.98 to 1.68) 7.19×10 RE-IVW 1.39 (1.13 to 1.70) 1.58×10-3* MR Egger 0.94 (0.61 to 1.43) 7.66×10-1 0.83 Varicose veins Testosterone 141 wм 1.24 (0.91 to 1.69) 1.80×10⁻¹ RE-IVW 1.14 (0.89 to 1.48) 3.03×10-Varicose veins Estradiol Wald ratio 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.95×10⁻¹ 0.05 0.6 17 FIGURE 2 Results of the univariable MR analysis. MR, Mendelian randomization; SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin; N. IVs, the number of IVs; WM, weighted median; RE-IVW, random-effects inverse variance weighted. *: P of statistical significance (<0.017) after Bonferroni correction.

Outcome	Exposure	N.IVs		OR(95%CI)	Р
Varicose veins	SHBG	408		- 1.50 (1.13 to 1.99)	5.61×10 ^{-3*}
Varicose veins	Testosterone	408	⊢	0.87 (0.58 to 1.31)	7.19×10 ⁻²
Varicose veins	Estradiol	408	•	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	1.91×10 ⁻¹
			0.5 1	2	

FIGURE 3

Results of the MVMR analysis. MVMR, multivariable Mendelian randomization; SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin; N. IVs, the number of IVs. *: P of statistical significance (<0.017) after Bonferroni correction.



We first explored the possible associations between serum SHBG and sex hormones levels and lower extremity varicose veins using univariable MR analysis. We found that increased serum SHBG levels were genetically associated with an increased risk of lower extremity varicose veins (OR=1.39; 95% CI: 1.13-1.70; $P=1.58\times10^{-3}$). Then, considering the interrelated nature between SHBG, testosterone and estradiol, we further performed an MVMR analysis to estimate the independent causal effect of serum SHBG levels on lower extremity varicose veins. Results showed that the causal effect of serum SHBG levels on lower extremity varicose veins risk was retained (OR=1.50; 95% CI:1.13-1.99; P=5.61×10⁻³), indicating that serum SHBG levels had an independent causal effect on lower extremity varicose veins risk. Lastly, we performed a gender-stratified MR analysis to investigate if there was a gender difference in the causal effect between serum SHBG levels and lower extremity varicose veins risk. Analysis showed that serum SHBG levels were associated with lower extremity varicose veins risk in both sexes. Notably, women's risk for varicose veins increased to a greater extent than men's when faced with increased serum SHBG levels. Specifically, for 1-standard deviation increase in serum SHBG levels, the risk of lower extremity varicose veins increases 51% and 26% in females and males, respectively.

By combining evidences from the univariable MR analysis, MVMR analysis and the gender-stratified MR analysis, we believe that, compared to individuals with normal SHBG levels, people with higher serum SHBG levels are more likely to suffer from lower extremity varicose veins. Notably, although serum SHBG levels were associated with lower extremity varicose veins risk in both sexes, the association seemed to be stronger in females. Besides, it has been widely proved that the SHBG level of middle-aged and elderly women is higher than that of men of the same age, and the data for SHBG and lower extremity varicose veins that we included were also from participants in this age group (37–39). Therefore, it can be further inferred that the stronger association between SHBG and lower extremity varicose veins in females may partly explain the fact that veins are more prevalent among females (3, 4). There are some reasons that might help to understand the causal relationship. Firstly, some studies have found that elevated serum SHBG levels are associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular disease (40), ischemic stroke, and heart failure in men (41) and a higher risk of venous thromboembolism in women (42). Besides, increased serum SHBG levels were associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality in dysglycemic women (43). Particularly, elevated serum SHBG levels have also been found to be associated with an increased risk of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage risk only in women, not in men (44). These studies indicate that serum SHBG may be harmful to the vascular system, especially in women, with the specific mechanism remaining to be further studied though. Moreover, there is a positive relationship between high serum SHBG levels and increased risk of the frailty phenotype (45, 46). And some components of the frailty phenotype, such as low physical activity and slowness, have been shown to be associated with the risk of lower extremity varicose veins (1, 47-49).

Our findings showed a positive association of serum SHBG levels with the risk of lower extremity varicose veins in both sexes, especially in females, and extended the limited evidence concerning the role of serum SHBG levels in lower extremity varicose veins. Still, several limitations should be taken into account. First, while our sensitivity analyses incorporating the MR-Egger intercept test failed to find evidence of horizontal pleiotropy, it is still possible that vertical pleiotropy may be present (50). Second, while the causal relationship between serum SHBG levels and lower extremity varicose veins was revealed, the underlying mechanism is still equivocal and further research is needed. Finally, as this study was performed on participants of European descent, the results may not necessarily be generalized to other ethnic groups. Finally, since

women's SHBG levels vary before and after menopause, further exploration is needed to see if this causal association is different between postmenopausal and premenopausal women.

5 Conclusions

In summary, this is the first MR study to reveal the associations between serum SHBG levels and the risk of lower extremity varicose veins at gender-stratified level. We find that serum SHBG levels are positively related with lower extremity varicose veins risk in both sexes, especially in females. This may partly explain the higher prevalence of varicose veins among females.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

QF, YM, SX, and CC designed the study. QF, YM, and ZN analyzed and interpreted the data. QF and YM were major contributors in writing the manuscript. SX and CC reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

1. Atkins E, Mughal NA, Place F, Coughlin PA. Varicose veins in primary care. *Bmj* (2020) 370:m2509. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2509

2. Wilmanns C, Cooper A, Wockner L, Katsandris S, Glaser N, Meyer A, et al. Morphology and progression in primary varicose vein disorder due to 677C>T and 1298A>C variants of MTHFR. *EBioMedicine* (2015) 2(2):158–64. doi: 10.1016/ j.ebiom.2015.01.006

3. Hamdan A. Management of varicose veins and venous insufficiency. Jama (2012) 308(24):2612–21. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.111352

4. Tiwari A, Lester W, Tang TY. Varicose veins and deep venous thrombosis. Jama (2018) 320(5):509-10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.7319

5. Li R, Chen Z, Gui L, Wu Z, Miao Y, Gao Q, et al. Varicose veins and risk of venous thromboembolic diseases: A two-sample-based mendelian randomization study. *Front Cardiovasc Med* (2022) 9:849027. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2022.849027

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 82272212, and the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province of China, grant number 2021CFB317.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the IEU Open GWAS database for providing the data. We also want to acknowledge the participants and investigators of the FinnGen study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023. 1230955/full#supplementary-material

6. McGuckin M, Waterman R, Brooks J, Cherry G, Porten L, Hurley S, et al. Validation of venous leg ulcer guidelines in the United States and United Kingdom. *Am J Surg* (2002) 183(2):132–7. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9610(01)00856-x

7. Denman AM. Sex hormones, autoimmune diseases, and immune responses. *Bmj* (1991) 303(6793):2–3. doi: 10.1136/bmj.303.6793.2

8. Morselli E, Santos RS, Criollo A, Nelson MD, Palmer BF, Clegg DJ. The effects of oestrogens and their receptors on cardiometabolic health. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* (2017) 13 (6):352–64. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2017.12

9. Glisic M, Mujaj B, Rueda-Ochoa OL, Asllanaj E, Laven JSE, Kavousi M, et al. Associations of endogenous estradiol and testosterone levels with plaque composition and risk of stroke in subjects with carotid atherosclerosis. *Circ Res* (2018) 122(1):97–105. doi: 10.1161/circresaha.117.311681

10. Neugarten J, Golestaneh L. Influence of sex on the progression of chronic kidney disease. *Mayo Clin Proc* (2019) 94(7):1339–56. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.12.024

11. Vegeto E, Villa A, Della Torre S, Crippa V, Rusmini P, Cristofani R, et al. The role of sex and sex hormones in neurodegenerative diseases. *Endocr Rev* (2020) 41 (2):273–319. doi: 10.1210/endrev/bnz005

12. García-Honduvilla N, Asúnsolo Á, Ortega MA, Sainz F, Leal J, Lopez-Hervas P, et al. Increase and redistribution of sex hormone receptors in premenopausal women are associated with varicose vein remodelling. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* (2018) 2018:3974026. doi: 10.1155/2018/3974026

13. Raetz J, Wilson M, Collins K. Varicose veins: diagnosis and treatment. Am Fam Physician. (2019) 99(11):682-8.

14. Ciardullo AV, Panico S, Bellati C, Rubba P, Rinaldi S, Iannuzzi A, et al. High endogenous estradiol is associated with increased venous distensibility and clinical evidence of varicose veins in menopausal women. *J Vasc Surg* (2000) 32(3):544–9. doi: 10.1067/mva.2000.107768

15. Özcan S, Odabasi D, Kurt T, Gür AK, Kunt A, Balaharoglu Y, et al. Impact of elevated serum estradiol/free testosterone ratio on male varicose veins in a prospective study. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* (2015) 127(19-20):764–9. doi: 10.1007/s00508-014-0652-z

16. Özcan S, Tezcan O, Kurt T, Türköne H, Karahan O, Çalişkan AT, et al. Serum estradiol/free testosterone ratio can be important predictor for varicose vein recurrence in men. *Int Angiol.* (2015) 34(6):576–81.

17. Raps M, Helmerhorst F, Fleischer K, Thomassen S, Rosendaal F, Rosing J, et al. Sex hormone-binding globulin as a marker for the thrombotic risk of hormonal contraceptives. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2012) 10(6):992–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04720.x

18. Sekula P, Del Greco MF, Pattaro C, Kottgen A. Mendelian randomization as an approach to assess causality using observational data. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2016) 27 (11):3253–65. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016010098

19. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Multivariable Mendelian randomization: the use of pleiotropic genetic variants to estimate causal effects. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2015) 181 (4):251–60. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwu283

20. Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. *Hum Mol Genet* (2014) 23(R1):R89–98. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddu328

21. Ruth KS, Day FR, Tyrrell J, Thompson DJ, Wood AR, Mahajan A, et al. Using human genetics to understand the disease impacts of testosterone in men and women. *Nat Med* (2020) 26(2):252–8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0751-5

22. Helkkula P, Hassan S, Saarentaus E, Vartiainen E, Ruotsalainen S, Leinonen JT, et al. Genome-wide association study of varicose veins identifies a protective missense variant in GJD3 enriched in the Finnish population. *Commun Biol* (2023) 6(1):71. doi: 10.1038/s42003-022-04285-w

23. Chen L, Yang H, Li H, He C, Yang L, Lv G. Insights into modifiable risk factors of cholelithiasis: A Mendelian randomization study. *Hepatology* (2022) 75(4):785–96. doi: 10.1002/hep.32183

24. Deng Z, Wang H, Huang K, Li Y, Ran Y, Chen Y, et al. Association between vascular risk factors and idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus: a Mendelian randomization study. *J Neurol* (2023) 270(5):2724–33. doi: 10.1007/s00415-023-11604-6

25. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Publisher Correction: Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet* (2018) 50(8):1196. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0164-2

26. Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Davey Smith G, Sheehan NA, Thompson JR. Assessing the suitability of summary data for two-sample Mendelian randomization analyses using MR-Egger regression: the role of the I2 statistic. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2016) 45(6):1961–74. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw220

27. Meng Y, Tan Z, Liu C, Dong W, Chen C. Association between inflammatory bowel disease and iridocyclitis: A mendelian randomization study. *J Clin Med* (2023) 12 (4):1282. doi: 10.3390/jcm12041282

28. Yuan S, Wang L, Sun J, Yu L, Zhou X, Yang J, et al. Genetically predicted sex hormone levels and health outcomes: phenome-wide Mendelian randomization investigation. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2022) 51(6):1931-42. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyac036

29. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44(2):512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080

30. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40(4):304–14. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21965

31. Hemani G, Bowden J, Davey Smith G. Evaluating the potential role of pleiotropy in Mendelian randomization studies. *Hum Mol Genet* (2018) 27(R2):R195–r208. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddy163

32. Chen X, Hong X, Gao W, Luo S, Cai J, Liu G, et al. Causal relationship between physical activity, leisure sedentary behaviors and COVID-19 risk: a Mendelian randomization study. *J Transl Med* (2022) 20(1):216. doi: 10.1186/s12967-022-03407-6

33. Hu G, Song C, Yang Y, Wang W, Wang A, Huang M, et al. Causal relationship between circulating lipid traits and periodontitis: univariable and multivariable Mendelian randomization. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2023) 14:1214232. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1214232

34. Brion MJ, Shakhbazov K, Visscher PM. Calculating statistical power in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2013) 42(5):1497-501. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyt179

35. Boef AG, Dekkers OM, le Cessie S. Mendelian randomization studies: a review of the approaches used and the quality of reporting. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44(2):496–511. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv071

36. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian randomization. Jama (2017) 318 (19):1925-6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.17219

37. Aribas E, Roeters van Lennep JE, De Rijke YB, Laven JSE, Ikram MA, Peeters RP, et al. Sex steroids and sex steroid-binding globulin levels amongst middle-aged and elderly men and women from general population. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2022) 52(12): e13866. doi: 10.1111/eci.13866

38. Kische H, Gross S, Wallaschofski H, Völzke H, Dörr M, Nauck M, et al. Serum androgen concentrations and subclinical measures of cardiovascular disease in men and women. *Atherosclerosis* (2016) 247:193-200. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2016.02.020

39. Kische H, Ewert R, Fietze I, Gross S, Wallaschofski H, Völzke H, et al. Sex hormones and sleep in men and women from the general population: A cross-sectional observational study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2016) 101(11):3968–77. doi: 10.1210/ jc.2016-1832

40. Gyawali P, Martin SA, Heilbronn LK, Vincent AD, Jenkins AJ, Januszewski AS, et al. Higher serum sex hormone-binding globulin levels are associated with incident cardiovascular disease in men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2019) 104(12):6301–15. doi: 10.1210/jc.2019-01317

41. Yeap BB, Marriott RJ, Antonio L, Raj S, Dwivedi G, Reid CM, et al. Associations of serum testosterone and sex hormone-binding globulin with incident cardiovascular events in middle-aged to older men. *Ann Intern Med* (2022) 175(2):159–70. doi: 10.7326/m21-0551

42. Scheres LJJ, van Hylckama Vlieg A, Ballieux B, Fauser B, Rosendaal FR, Middeldorp S, et al. Endogenous sex hormones and risk of venous thromboembolism in young women. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2019) 17(8):1297-304. doi: 10.1111/jth.14474

43. Wang A, Gerstein HC, Lee SF, Hess S, Paré G, Rydén L, et al. Testosterone and sex hormone-binding globulin in dysglycemic women at high cardiovascular risk: A report from the Outcome Reduction with an Initial Glargine Intervention trial. *Diabetes* Vasc Dis Res (2021) 18(2):14791641211002475. doi: 10.1177/14791641211002475

44. Molenberg R, Thio CHL, Aalbers MW, Uyttenboogaart M, Larsson SC, Bakker MK, et al. Sex hormones and risk of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: A mendelian randomization study. *Stroke* (2022) 53(9):2870–5. doi: 10.1161/strokeaha.121.038035

45. Mohr BA, Bhasin S, Kupelian V, Araujo AB, O'Donnell AB, McKinlay JB. Testosterone, sex hormone-binding globulin, and frailty in older men. *J Am Geriatr Soc* (2007) 55(4):548–55. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01121.x

46. Kaufman JM, Lapauw B, Mahmoud A, T'Sjoen G, Huhtaniemi IT. Aging and the male reproductive system. *Endocr Rev* (2019) 40(4):906–72. doi: 10.1210/er.2018-00178

47. Heller JA, Evans NS. Varicose veins. *Vasc Med* (2015) 20(1):88–90. doi: 10.1177/ 1358863x14566224

48. Qiu Y, Team V, Osadnik CR, Weller CD. Barriers and enablers to physical activity in people with venous leg ulcers: A systematic review of qualitative studies. *Int J Nurs Stud* (2022) 135:104329. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2022.104329

49. Bergan JJ, Schmid-Schönbein GW, Smith PD, Nicolaides AN, Boisseau MR, Eklof B. Chronic venous disease. *N Engl J Med* (2006) 355(5):488–98. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMra055289

50. Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Davey Smith G, Sheehan N, Thompson J. A framework for the investigation of pleiotropy in two-sample summary data Mendelian randomization. *Stat Med* (2017) 36(11):1783–802. doi: 10.1002/sim.7221