Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lixin Guo, Peking University, China

REVIEWED BY

Marianna Flora Tomasello, National Research Council (CNR), Italy Rafael De La Torre, Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM), Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaobo Peng Xiaobopeng92@hust.edu.cn

RECEIVED 28 March 2023 ACCEPTED 05 July 2023 PUBLISHED 19 July 2023

CITATION

Xu Z, Chen J, Wang P, Li L, Hu S, Liu H, Huang Y, Mo X, Yan H, Shan Z, Wang D, Xu J, Liu L and Peng X (2023) The role of peripheral β -amyloid in insulin resistance, insulin secretion, and prediabetes: *in vitro* and population-based studies. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1195658. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1195658

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Xu, Chen, Wang, Li, Hu, Liu, Huang, Mo, Yan, Shan, Wang, Xu, Liu and Peng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The role of peripheral β -amyloid in insulin resistance, insulin secretion, and prediabetes: *in vitro* and populationbased studies

Zihui Xu^{1,2}, Juan Chen^{1,2}, Pei Wang^{1,2}, Linyan Li^{1,2}, Shan Hu^{1,2}, Hongjie Liu^{1,2}, Yue Huang^{1,2}, Xiaoxing Mo^{1,2}, Hong Yan², Zhilei Shan^{1,2}, Di Wang³, Jian Xu⁴, Liegang Liu^{1,2} and Xiaobo Peng^{1,2*}

¹Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Hubei Key Laboratory of Food Nutrition and Safety, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science & Technology, Wuhan, China, ²Ministry of Education Key Lab of Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science & Technology, Wuhan, China, ³Xiangyang Key Laboratory of Public Health and Epidemic Prevention Materials Research, Xiangyang Public Inspection and Testing Center, Xiangyang, China, ⁴Department of Elderly Health Management, Shenzhen Center for Chronic Disease Control, Shenzhen, China

Background: Previous experimental studies have shown that mice overexpressing amyloid precursor protein, in which β -amyloid (A β) is overproduced, exhibit peripheral insulin resistance, pancreatic impairment, and hyperglycemia. We aimed to explore the effects of A β on insulin action and insulin secretion *in vitro* and the association of plasma A β with prediabetes in human.

Methods: We examined the effects of A β 40 and A β 42 on insulin-inhibited glucose production in HepG2 cells, insulin-promoted glucose uptake in C2C12 myotubes, and insulin secretion in INS-1 cells. Furthermore, we conducted a case-control study (N = 1142) and a nested case-control study (N = 300) within the prospective Tongji-Ezhou cohort. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) for prediabetes were estimated by using conditional logistic regression analyses.

Results: In the *in vitro* studies, A β 40 and A β 42 dose-dependently attenuated insulin-inhibited glucose production in HepG2 cells, insulin-promoted glucose uptake in C2C12 myotubes, and basal and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in INS-1 cells. In the case-control study, plasma A β 40 (adjusted OR: 2.00; 95% CI: 1.34, 3.01) and A β 42 (adjusted OR: 1.94; 95% CI: 1.33, 2.83) were positively associated with prediabetes risk when comparing the extreme quartiles. In the nested case-control study, compared to the lowest quartile, the highest quartile

of plasma A β 40 and A β 42 were associated with 3.51-fold (95% CI: 1.61, 7.62) and 2.75-fold (95% CI: 1.21, 6.22) greater odds of prediabetes, respectively.

Conclusion: Elevated plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels were associated with increased risk of prediabetes in human subjects, which may be through impairing insulin sensitivity in hepatocytes and myotubes and insulin secretion in pancreatic β -cells.

KEYWORDS

peripheral β -amyloid, *in vitro* study, insulin resistance, insulin secretion, prediabetes, population-based study

1 Introduction

β-amyloid (Aβ), mainly including Aβ40 and Aβ42, is a natural product from enzymatic proteolysis of amyloid precursor protein (APP) and has been found to be deposited in the brains of Alzheimer's disease patients (1). Notably, Aβ is widely generated in the brain as well as various peripheral tissues (2–5). Accumulating evidence indicates that peripheral Aβ involves in regulating metabolism, especially glucose and insulin metabolism. Previous animal studies have demonstrated that mice overexpressing APP, in which Aβ is overproduced, exhibit peripheral insulin resistance and pancreatic impairment (6, 7). In addition, Aβ deposition has been found in human peripheral tissues taking part in glucose regulation, including skeletal muscle, liver, and pancreas (8–11). However, the effects of Aβ40 and Aβ42 on these peripheral tissues remain unclear.

Prediabetes, defined as an intermediate condition between normoglycemia and diabetes, is mainly manifested as peripheral insulin resistance and β -cell dysfunction (12). There is increasing number of individuals with prediabetes worldwide, with approximated 470 million people suffering from prediabetes by 2030 (13). Prediabetes individuals have been reported to exert greater risk of type 2 diabetes (T2D), cardiovascular disease (CVD), and mortality later in life (14, 15). Previous animal studies found that APP transgenic mice with high plasma A β concentrations displayed a prediabetes phenotype (16, 17). Yet, few epidemiological studies have explored the relationship between plasm A β and prediabetes.

Therefore, we examined the effects of A β 40 and A β 42 on insulin sensitivity in hepatocytes and myotubes as well as insulin secretion in pancreatic β -cells via *in vitro* studies. Furthermore, we evaluated the associations between plasma A β 40 and A β 42 and prediabetes in two independent populations, including a case-control study and a nested case-control study within the prospective Tongji-Ezhou cohort.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Cell culture and A β treatment

HepG2 (human hepatoma) cell line was purchased from ATCC (Rockville, MD, USA); C2C12 (murine myoblast) and INS-1 (rat insulinoma) cell lines were purchased from the Type Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). All reagents were purchased from Gibco (Grand Island, NY, USA) unless otherwise mentioned. We cultured HepG2 cells in minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% (vol./vol.) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% (vol./vol.) non-essential amino acids, 1 mmol/l sodium pyruvate, and 1% (vol./vol.) penicillin-streptomycin. We maintained C2C12 cells in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (vol./vol.) FBS and 1% (vol./ vol.) penicillin-streptomycin, and differentiated the cells into myotubes in the medium supplemented with 2% (vol./vol.) horse serum for 4 days when they reached confluence. We cultured INS-1 cells in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% (vol./vol.) FBS, 10 mmol/l HEPES, 1 mmol/l sodium pyruvate, 50 µmol/l 2mercaptoethanol (Genom, Hangzhou, China), and 1% (vol./vol.) penicillin-streptomycin. All cells were cultivated in a humidified condition (37 °C, 5% CO2).

Synthetic Aβ40, Aβ42, Aβ40-1, and Aβ42-1 (Chinapeptides, Shanghai, China) were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide at 5 mmol/l and then diluted with phosphate buffered saline at 400 μ mol/l. They were further incubated at 37 °C, 220 rev/min for 48 h before use. Considering the deposition of Aβ in human peripheral tissues (8–11), Aβ concentrations in peripheral tissues may greatly exceed that in plasma. We treated cells with different doses (0, 2, 10, and 20 μ mol/l) of Aβ40 or Aβ42 according to previous studies (17–20). In addition, Aβ40-1 and Aβ42-1 were used as control peptides with reverse sequence, and cells were treated with 20 μ mol/l Aβ40-1 or Aβ42-1.

2.2 Cell viability assay

Cell viability of various cell lines was detected by CCK-8 (Dojindo, Kyushu Island, Japan). HepG2 cells, C2C12 myotubes, and INS-1 cells in 96-well plates were treated with different doses (0, 2, 10, and 20 μ mol/l) of Aβ40 or Aβ42 for different periods of time (12, 24, and 48 h). Next, we added 10 μ l CCK-8 reagent per well and measured optical density values by a microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) at 450 nm.

2.3 Glucose production assay

We performed glucose production assay according to the method described previously (21). We seeded HepG2 cells in 12well plate and treated the cells with different doses of A β for 48 h. After treatment, we further incubated the cells with the medium for glucose production (glucose-free DMEM containing 2 mmol/l sodium pyruvate and 20 mmol/l sodium lactate) without or with 100 nmol/l insulin. After 3 h, the glucose level of supernatant was measure by a fluorescent glucose assay kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and normalized to total protein amount.

2.4 Glucose uptake assay

Glucose uptake in C2C12 myotubes was determined as previously described (22). C2C12 myotubes in 12-well plate were treated with different doses of A β for 48 h. Next, C2C12 myotubes were glucose starved in glucose-free DMEM for 1 h and further incubated in phenol red-free DMEM supplemented without or with 100 nmol/l insulin for 2 h. Subsequently, we detected the glucose level of supernatant with the use of glucose assay kit (Invitrogen). Glucose intake was calculated by subtracting the remaining medium glucose from the fresh medium glucose and further normalized to the total protein amount.

2.5 Insulin secretion assay

We conducted insulin secretion assay according to the method described previously (23). We seeded INS-1 cells in 12-well plate and treated the cells with different doses of A β for 24 h. After treatment, the cells were glucose starved in the glucose-free RPMI 1640 media for 2 h and then incubated in the glucose-free RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 3 mmol/l or 15 mmol/l glucose for 2 h. After 2 h, the insulin level of supernatant was determined with a rat insulin assay kit (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden), and total protein amount was quantified to normalize insulin values.

2.6 Study design and population

The initial case-control study included 1142 participants (571 newly diagnosed prediabetes cases and 571 healthy controls) in

Wuhan, China. We recruited cases from patients for the first time diagnosed as prediabetes in Tongji Hospital from 2012 to 2015. Concurrently, healthy controls were recruited from a general population receiving a regular medical examination in Tongji Hospital and matched 1:1 to cases on basis of age (\pm 3 years) and gender. In this study, we included participants who were aged \geq 30 and \leq 80 years, body mass index (BMI) <40 kg/m2, no previous diagnosis of prediabetes or diabetes, and no use of antihyperlipidemic medication. Additionally, patients diagnosed as any other clinically systemic illness, infectious disease, chronic inflammatory illness, or acute disease were excluded.

To clarify the prospective association of plasma $A\beta$ concentration with risk of prediabetes, we further conducted a nested case-control study within the Tongji-Ezhou cohort, a prospective cohort of 5533 participants from Echeng Stell, including 3101 retired employees and 2432 in-service employees. The cohort enrollment period was 2013-2015, and the first followup survey was completed by mid-2020. We conducted this study within the sub cohort of retired employees because the incidence rate of prediabetes was low in young in-service employees. At the first follow-up, 119 retired employees were diagnosed as new-onset prediabetes cases by detecting fasting plasma glucose (FPG). According to the same inclusion criteria as the case-control study, we excluded 2 new-onset prediabetes cases with age >80 years. We also excluded 17 new-onset prediabetes cases without adequate blood samples. Two control participants were matched to each case on basis of age (± 3 years) and gender from retired employees without prediabetes or diabetes. Eventually, 100 cases and 200 ageand gender-matched controls were included for analysis.

These two population-based studies were approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College and conducted by complying with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was provided by the participants included in the study.

2.7 Assessment of prediabetes

In the case-control study, the definition of prediabetes was in accordance with the 1999 WHO criteria (24): FPG \geq 6.1 and <7.0 mmol/l or 2-h oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) \geq 7.8 and <11.1 mmol/l. In the Tongji cohort, new-onset prediabetes was diagnosed when FPG was \geq 6.1 and <7.0 mmol/l.

2.8 Assessment of covariates

Sociodemographic characteristics of all participants, including age, gender, family history of diabetes, lifestyle habits (alcohol drinking, smoking, and physical activity), and history of diseases (CVD and hypertension) were obtained from a standardized questionnaire. The definition of physical activity was regular exercise for more than 60min/week in the past 6 months. The trained staff measured weight (kg) and height (m) and calculated BMI by dividing weight by the square of height. We determined fasting plasma insulin (FPI), FPG, total cholesterol, triacylglycerols, HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C), and LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) using fasting plasma samples as previously described (25). 2-h OGTT plasma samples were collected from subjects who were enrolled from Tongji Hospital and used to determine 2-h post-glucose load values. We calculated the index of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and β -cell function (HOMA- β) according to the following equations: HOMA-IR = FPI (pmol/l) × FPG (mmol/l) ÷ 156.3; HOMA- β = 2.88 × FPI (pmol/l) ÷ [FPG (mmol/l) - 3.5]. The triglyceride-glucose (TyG) index was calculated as: ln [FPG (mg/dl) × fasting TG (mg/dl) ÷ 2].

2.9 Measurement of plasma A β levels

We simultaneously determined A β 40 and A β 42 levels in fasting plasma with the use of validated assay kits (Meso Scale Discovery, Rockville, USA). Both the within- and between-assay coefficients of variation of plasma A β 40 and A β 42 were <10%. Plasma A β levels of the included participants were above the limit of detection (A β 40: 20.0 ng/l; A β 42: 2.5 ng/l). The assays were performed by investigators who were blind to prediabetes status.

2.10 Statistical analysis

For the *in vitro* studies, all data are expressed as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM) of three independent experiments. We assessed the differences among groups using one-way analysis of variance and further conducted multiple comparisons by least significant difference test.

For the population-based studies, characteristics of prediabetes cases and control subjects were compared using t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, or χ^2 test. We further evaluated the correlation between plasma $A\beta$ and the variables of interest with Spearman correlation test. ORs and 95% CIs for prediabetes were estimated by conditional logistic regression analyses, in which plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 levels were classified into quartiles according the distribution in control group or as continuous variables presented as an increment by 30 ng/l (AB40) or 5 ng/l (AB42). Adjustments were made for several potential confounders, including age (years), gender (female or male), BMI (<18.5, 18.5-23.9, 24-27.9, or ≥28 kg/m2), family history of diabetes (yes or no), alcohol drinking habit (current, former, or never), smoking habit (current, former, or never), physical activity (yes or no), CVD (yes or no), and hypertension (yes or no). We conducted the linear trend test by assigning each quartile with the median of plasma AB40 or AB42 and using it as a continuous variable. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted to estimate the prediction of plasma AB levels on prediabetes. We compared area under the curves (AUCs) of model 1 including traditional risk factors (age, gender, BMI, family history of diabetes, alcohol drinking habit, smoking habit, physical activity, CVD, hypertension, FPG, and FPI) and model 2 with plasma Aβ40 and A β 42 levels further added in.

All data analyses were conducted with the use of SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and R 4.2.2 (The R Foundation, http://

www.r-project.org). All presented p values are 2-tailed and considered as significance at level of 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 In vitro studies

Cells were treated with different doses of A β 40 and A β 42, and viability was detected at 12, 24, and 48 h. Exposure to 20 µmol/l A β 40 and A β 42 induced a significant decrease in cell viability for all cell lines (Supplemental Figures 1–3). The viability of HepG2 cells and C2C12 myotubes were still above 80% after exposure to 20 µmol/l A β 40 and A β 42 for 48 h. In INS-1 cells treated with 20 µmol/l A β 40 and A β 42 for 48 h, cell viability decreased to 67% and 77%, respectively. To maintain high cell viability (>80%), INS-1 cells were treated with various doses of A β 40 and A β 42 for 24 h in insulin secretion assay. To rule out the effects of cytotoxicity of A β on subsequent experiments, the amounts of glucose production, glucose uptake, and insulin secretion were normalized to total protein amount of the remaining cells in the culture plate.

As expected, insulin significantly inhibited the glucose production in HepG2 cells and promoted the glucose uptake in C2C12 myotubes (p < 0.001) (Figures 1A-D). A β 40 and A β 42 dosedependently attenuated the inhibitory effect of insulin on the glucose production in HepG2 cells (Figures 1A, B). The promotional effect of insulin on the glucose uptake in C2C12 myotubes gradually decline with increasing dose of A β 40 and A β 42 (Figures 1C, D). In addition, A β 40 and A β 42 dosedependently suppressed the basal and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in INS-1 cells (Figures 1E, F). Conversely, neither A β 40-1 nor A β 42-1 affected the insulin sensitivity in HepG2 cells and C2C12 myotubes and insulin secretion in INS-1 cells (Figures 1A-F).

3.2 Case-control study

General characteristics of the 1142 participants (571 newlydiagnosed prediabetes cases and 571 matched controls) are described in Table 1. Prediabetes cases showed higher BMI, higher levels of FPI, FPG, triglyceride, LDL-C, HOMA-IR and TyG index as well as lower levels of HDL-C and HOMA- β compared with control subjects. A higher proportion of prediabetes cases had a family history of diabetes, CVD, and hypertension. Additionally, prediabetes cases exhibited higher plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels compared to control subjects.

Plasma A β 40 and A β 42 were significantly relevant to several parameters of glucose metabolism among healthy participants (Supplemental Table 1). After adjustment for age, gender, BMI, family history of diabetes, alcohol drinking habit, smoking habit, physical activity, CVD, and hypertension, plasma A β 40 was significantly related to FPG (r = 0.220), FPI (r = 0.128), HOMA-IR (r = 0.175), and TyG index (r = 0.204). Plasma A β 42 was also significantly correlated with FPG (r = 0.145), HOMA-IR (r = 0.114), and TyG index (r = 0.106) after these adjustments.



Table 2 presents odds of newly-diagnosed prediabetes associated with plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels. In multivariateadjusted analyses, the ORs of prediabetes were 2.00 (95% CI: 1.34, 3.01) for plasma A β 40 and 1.94 (95% CI: 1.33, 2.83) for plasma A β 42 when comparing the extreme quartiles. The adjusted ORs for prediabetes were 1.19 (95% CI: 1.03, 1.38) for every 30 ng/l higher plasma A β 40 and 1.41 (95% CI: 1.20, 1.65) for every 5 ng/l higher plasma A β 42.

3.3 Nested case-control study

The baseline characteristics of all subjects, including 100 incident prediabetes cases and 200 matched controls, are described in Table 3. Individuals who subsequently developed prediabetes had higher FPG, FPI, triglyceride, HOMA-IR, TyG index, and plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels compared to control subjects.

Table 4 presents odds of incident prediabetes associated with plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels. In multivariable analyses, compared

to the lowest quartile, the highest quartile of plasma A β 40 and A β 42 were associated with 3.51-fold (95% CI: 1.61, 7.62) and 2.75-fold (95% CI: 1.21, 6.22) greater odds of prediabetes, respectively. Every 30 ng/l of plasma A β 40 and every 5 ng/l of plasma A β 42 were associated with 56% (95% CI: 24%, 96%) and 60% (95% CI: 17%, 119%) increased odds of prediabetes, respectively.

The AUC for prediction of incident prediabetes was 0.69 (95% CI: 0.62, 0.75) by Model 1, including age, gender, BMI, family history of diabetes, alcohol drinking habit, smoking habit, physical activity, CVD, hypertension, FPG, and FPI (Figure 2). The AUC was markedly improved to 0.74 (95% CI: 0.69, 0.80; p = 0.018 for the comparison) when plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 were added to the model (Model 2).

4 Discussion

In the *in vitro* studies, $A\beta40$ and $A\beta42$ dose-dependently attenuated insulin-inhibited glucose production in HepG2 cells

Characteristics	Prediabetes (n = 571)	Control (<i>n</i> = 571)	р
Age (years)	51.46 (11.18)	51.87 (11.08)	0.525
Gender (male), n (%)	376 (65.85)	376 (65.85)	1.000
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.85 (3.30)	23.63 (3.10)	< 0.001
Family history of diabetes, <i>n</i> (%)	78 (13.66)	42 (7.36)	< 0.001
Current drinker, n (%)	172 (30.12)	177 (30.40)	0.748
Current smoker, n (%)	176 (30.82)	206 (36.08)	0.060
Regular physical activity, n (%)	214 (37.48)	233 (40.81)	0.249
CVD, n (%)	55 (9.63)	32 (5.60)	0.010
Hypertension, n (%)	178 (31.17)	115 (20.14)	< 0.001
FPG (mmol/l)	6.33 (6.14–6.61)	5.49 (5.18-5.78)	< 0.001
FPI (pmol/l)	64.91 (46.18–92.33)	50.57 (34.76-72.99)	< 0.001
HOMA-IR	2.63 (1.86–3.67)	1.75 (1.21–2.58)	< 0.001
ΗΟΜΑ-β	67.27 (46.97–101.05)	77.36 (52.12–110.44)	0.011
Triglyceride (mmol/l)	1.49 (1.00–2.39)	1.29 (0.91–1.70)	< 0.001
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.76 (4.12–5.46)	4.62 (4.10-5.19)	0.054
LDL-C (mmol/l)	2.57 (1.85–3.25)	2.44 (1.78-3.03)	0.014
HDL-C (mmol/l)	1.29 (1.03–1.51)	1.34 (1.19–1.51)	< 0.001
TyG index	8.93 (8.53–9.37)	8.63 (8.26-8.91)	< 0.001
Aβ40 (ng/l)	134.09 (118.72–153.59)	127.68 (114.58-145.10)	
Aβ42 (ng/l)	13.25 (10.78–16.58)	12.27 (10.19–14.92)	< 0.001

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the newly diagnosed prediabetes cases and matched controls in the case-control study.

Values are means (standard deviations) for parametrically distributed data, medians (interquartile ranges) for nonparametrically distributed data, or n (%) for categorical data.

and insulin-promoted glucose uptake in C2C12 myotubes. Meanwhile, A β 40 and A β 42 dose-dependently inhibited the basal and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in INS-1 cells. In the crosssectional and prospective population-based studies, we consistently found that elevated plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels were associated with higher odds of prediabetes. Additionally, plasma A β 40 and A β 42 significantly improved the predictive value for prediabetes.

It has been reported that APP transgenic mice with high plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 concentrations exhibited peripheral insulin resistance (17, 26). Peripheral insulin resistance in the mice was ameliorated by the active immunity and passive immunity for $A\beta$ (26, 27). Similarly, mice deficient in BACE1, a hydrolase for APP, showed decreased AB production and improved insulin resistance when fed a high-fat diet (28). These experimental studies suggest A β as a negative regulator of peripheral insulin sensitivity. The current study found positive relevance of plasma AB40 and AB42 to HOMA-IR and TyG index in healthy subjects. Meanwhile, we observed that both A β 40 and A β 42 attenuated insulin action on hepatocytes and myotubes. Our findings indicate that AB may promote peripheral insulin resistance in human by directly impairing insulin signaling in liver and skeletal muscle. Supporting our findings, Zhang and colleagues discovered that Aß could impair hepatic insulin signaling via activating Janus kinase 2 (17, 27). In addition, A β has also been reported to impair neuronal insulin signaling through competing for insulin binding to the insulin receptor (29), removing insulin receptors from neuronal surface (30, 31) and activating c-Jun N-terminal kinase to trigger insulin receptor substrate-1 inhibition (32, 33). But whether these mechanisms are involved in A β -induced insulin resistance in peripheral tissues needs to be further explored.

Previous study, using gene regulation network analyses, identified APP as a top candidate gene for the regulation of insulin secretion from pancreatic islets (34). Meanwhile, the study found that knockout of APP in mice enhanced insulin secretion from pancreatic islets through an unclear mechanism. Our in vitro study revealed the negative effect of AB on insulin secretion, suggesting APP-derived A β may mediate the role of APP in pancreatic islets. Supporting this hypothesis, mice overexpressing APP exhibited A β accumulation in the pancreatic islets and decreased insulin levels in A β -positive regions (7). Additionally, $A\beta$ deposition has been detected in the pancreas of subjects with T2D, leading us to speculate that peripheral A β might be a biomarker for β -cell dysfunction in human (8, 9). However, we did not find significant correlations between plasma AB40 and A β 42 and HOMA- β among controls. This may be explained by the normal β -cell function in healthy controls, and we found significant and negative association of plasma A β 40 with HOMA- β when combining both cases and controls (data not shown). Further

Variable	Quartiles of plasma A eta concentrations				p for trend	Continuous ^b
	Q1 (lowest)	Q2	Q3	Q4 (highest)		
Plasma Aβ40						
Range (ng/l)	<114.52	114.52-<127.69	127.69-<145.13	≥145.13		
Median (ng/l)	106.30	121.14	134.54	164.86		
Case/control, n	105/143	115/143	159/143	192/142		
Model ^a						
Crude	1.00 (ref.)	1.13 (0.78, 1.62)	1.55 (1.10, 2.19)	2.07 (1.43, 2.99)	<0.001	1.21 (1.06, 1.37)
Model 1	1.00 (ref.)	1.13 (0.77, 1.66)	1.57 (1.09, 2.24)	2.10 (1.43, 3.09)	<0.001	1.22 (1.06, 1.40)
Model 2	1.00 (ref.)	1.10 (0.74, 1.63)	1.53 (1.05, 2.24)	2.00 (1.34, 3.01)	<0.001	1.19 (1.03, 1.38)
Plasma Aβ42						
Range (ng/l)	<10.19	10.19-<12.28	12.28-<14.95	≥14.95		
Median (ng/l)	8.70	11.28	13.44	17.69		
Case/control, n	116/143	109/142	140/144	206/142		
Model ^a						
Crude	1.00 (ref.)	0.96 (0.68, 1.36)	1.25 (0.89, 1.76)	1.91 (1.35, 2.69)	<0.001	1.41 (1.22, 1.63)
Model 1	1.00 (ref.)	1.01 (0.70, 1.46)	1.37 (0.96, 1.97)	2.00 (1.40, 2.87)	<0.001	1.42 (1.22, 1.65)
Model 2	1.00 (ref.)	0.93 (0.64, 1.37)	1.26 (0.86, 1.85)	1.94 (1.33, 2.83)	<0.001	1.41 (1.20, 1.65)

TABLE 2 ORs (95% CIs) for newly diagnosed prediabetes associated with plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 concentrations in the case-control study.

^aModel 1 was adjusted for age, gender, and BMI. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for family history of diabetes, alcohol drinking habit, smoking habit, physical activity, CVD, and hypertension. ^bORs (95% CIs) of newly diagnosed prediabetes associated with each 30 ng/l increase in plasma Aβ40 and each 5 ng/l increase in plasma Aβ42. Q, quartile; ref., reference.

epidemiological researches are needed to evaluate the forecast value of plasma A β for β -cell dysfunction.

Prediabetes is a metabolic intermediate state between normoglycemia and T2D, with 5-10% of prediabetic people progressing to T2D each year (15). Prediabetes is considered as a critical stage in preventing or delaying the onset of T2D due to its reversibility (15), therefore, it is important to understand the underlying mechanisms of prediabetes and to develop corresponding human biomarkers. This study, for the first time, reveals that plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 are positively associated with prediabetes in human subjects. Similarly, our previous report demonstrated that higher plasma AB40 and AB42 levels were associated with increased risk of T2D (35). Previous case-control study also observed higher serum Aβ-autoantibody concentration, a biomarker reflecting A β level, in subjects with T2D (36). Yet, another case-control study reported that T2D cases exhibited lower plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels than the controls (37). A plausible explanation for the inconsistency might be that the aforementioned study included cases with using hypoglycemic agents (37), which have been reported to affect plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels (38–40). Therefore, the use of hypoglycemic agents should be considered when plasma $A\beta$ is used as a biomarker for prediabetes and T2D.

Our study is the first that has combined *in vitro* studies and population-based studies to systematically explore the role of peripheral $A\beta$ in insulin resistance, insulin secretion, and prediabetes. However, our study also has several limitations. Firstly, we were unable to determine the causality between plasma AB and prediabetes due to the observational nature of populationbased studies. Secondly, the findings from population-based studies might be affected by residual confounding of other unmeasured factors, although we controlled for multiple risk factors of prediabetes. Thirdly, new-onset prediabetes was diagnosed only based on FPG in the Tongji-Ezhou cohort, and subjects who developed T2D might be misdiagnosed as prediabetes. Taken together with our previous study (35), plasm A β levels were not significantly different between prediabetes and T2D individuals. Hence, the positive association between plasma AB and new-onset prediabetes could persist if misdiagnosed subjects were excluded. Fourthly, glycated hemoglobin, an important parameter for glucose homeostasis, was not determined in our population-based studies. Fifthly, cognitive function was not evaluated in our populationbased studies. Considering the positive associations of prediabetes and T2D with cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease (41, 42), further studies are needed to explore the role of plasma A β in linking these conditions.

In conclusion, elevated plasma A β 40 and A β 42 levels were associated with increased risk of prediabetes in human subjects, which may be through impairing insulin sensitivity in hepatocytes and myotubes and insulin secretion in pancreatic β -cells. Plasma TABLE 3 Baseline characteristic of the incident prediabetes cases and matched controls in the nested case-control study.

Characteristics	Prediabetes ($n = 100$)	Control (<i>n</i> = 200)	р
Age (years)	62.40 (5.94)	62.39 (5.80)	0.983
Gender (male), n (%)	63 (63.00)	126 (63.00)	1.000
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.11 (2.93)	23.59 (2.76)	0.128
Family history of diabetes, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (7.00)	8 (4.00)	0.261
Current drinker, n (%)	31 (31.00)	59 (29.50)	0.789
Current smoker, n (%)	25 (25.00)	66 (33.00)	0.155
Regular physical activity, n (%)	57 (57.00)	106 (53.00)	0.512
Hypertension, n (%)	31 (31.00)	54 (27.00)	0.469
CVD, n (%)	10 (10.00)	20 (10.00)	1.000
FPG (mmol/l)	5.54 (5.15–5.78)	5.31 (4.99–5.62)	0.003
FPI (pmol/l)	56.95 (40.42-80.16)	48.75 (33.70-67.64)	0.022
HOMA-IR	2.05 (1.37–2.78)	1.69 (1.09–2.37)	0.008
нома-β	87.17 (62.23-121.00)	81.33 (56.84–115.78)	0.416
Triglyceride (mmol/l)	1.40 (0.98–1.84)	1.15 (0.82–1.58)	0.004
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.72 (4.30-5.23)	4.85 (4.10-5.40)	0.912
LDL-C (mmol/l)	2.79 (2.38–3.44)	2.86 (2.33–3.37)	0.849
HDL-C (mmol/l)	1.37 (1.16–1.61)	1.38 (1.19–1.60)	0.611
TyG index	8.70 (8.35-8.96)	8.46 (8.13-8.85)	0.001
Αβ40 (ng/l)	143.98 (124.22–174.89)	130.36 (113.03–150.65)	< 0.001
Αβ42 (ng/l)	13.96 (12.12–17.31)	12.42 (10.81–14.88)	< 0.001

Values are means (standard deviations) for parametrically distributed data, medians (interquartile ranges) for nonparametrically distributed data, or n (%) for categorical data.

TABLE 4 ORs (95% CIs) for incident prediabetes associated with plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 concentrations in the nested case-control study.

Variable	Quartiles of plasma Aβ concentrations			<i>p</i> for trend	Continuous ^b	
	Q1 (lowest)	Q2	Q3	Q4 (highest)		
Plasma Aβ40						
Range (ng/l)	<113.02	113.02-<130.37	130.37-<150.68	≥150.68		
Median (ng/l)	101.06	121.63	140.96	175.97		
Case/control, n	13/50	20/50	23/50	44/50		
Modela						
Crude	1.00 (ref.)	1.51 (0.67, 3.38)	1.78 (0.78, 4.03)	3.25 (1.56, 6.79)	0.001	1.50 (1.21, 1.87)
Model 1	1.00 (ref.)	1.47 (0.65, 3.33)	1.71 (0.75, 3.94)	3.37 (1.60, 7.08)	<0.001	1.53 (1.23, 1.92)
Model 2	1.00 (ref.)	1.49 (0.64, 3.49)	1.92 (0.81, 4.54)	3.51 (1.61, 7.62)	0.001	1.56 (1.24, 1.96)
Plasma Aβ42						
Range (ng/l)	<10.82	10.82-<12.42	12.42-<14.91	≥14.91		
Median (ng/l)	9.24	11.71	13.49	17.77		
Case/control, n	14/50	16/50	34/50	36/50		
Modela						
Crude	1.00 (ref.)	1.24 (0.53, 2.93)	2.74 (1.25, 6.01)	2.85 (1.32, 6.15)	0.003	1.58 (1.17, 2.12)
Model 1	1.00 (ref.)	1.19 (0.49, 2.86)	2.73 (1.24, 6.00)	2.84 (1.30, 6.21)	0.003	1.57 (1.16, 2.13)
Model 2	1.00 (ref.)	1.17 (0.47, 2.90)	2.61 (1.16, 5.90)	2.75 (1.21, 6.22)	0.006	1.60 (1.17, 2.19)

^aModel 1 was adjusted for age, gender, and BMI. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for family history of diabetes, alcohol drinking habit, smoking habit, physical activity, CVD, and hypertension. ^bORs (95% CIs) of incident prediabetes associated with each 30 ng/l increase in plasma Aβ40 and each 5 ng/l increase in plasma Aβ42. Q, quartile; ref., reference.



ROC curves and corresponding AUCs for prediction of incident prediabetes by models without or with plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 in the nested casecontrol study. Model 1 included age, gender, BMI, family history of diabetes, alcohol drinking habit, smoking habit, physical activity, CVD, hypertension, FPG, and FPI; Model 2 included Model 1 plus plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42.

 $A\beta$ could be used as a predictor for prediabetes, and reducing plasma $A\beta$ level may be a novel therapy for prediabetes. The molecular mechanisms of $A\beta$ affecting peripheral insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion need to be further clarified.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

ZX, LGL, and XP designed the study. ZX, JC, PW, LYL, SH, HL, YH, XM, HY, ZS, DW, JX, and XP contributed to the acquisition,

analysis, or interpretation of data. XP wrote the drafter of the paper. ZX, JC, PW, LYL, SH, HL, YH, XM, HY, ZS, DW, JX, and LGL contributed to reviewing and revising the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Major International (Regional) Joint Research Project (grant number 81820108027), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant number 2020YFC2006302), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 82273631, 82103830), and the Angel Nutrition Research Fund (grant number AF2019003).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all of the participants in these two population-based studies.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Hampel H, Hardy J, Blennow K, Chen C, Perry G, Kim SH, et al. The amyloid-β Pathway in alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry* (2021) 26(10):5481–503. doi: 10.1038/ s41380-021-01249-0

2. Lee YH, Tharp WG, Maple RL, Nair S, Permana PA, Pratley RE. Amyloid precursor protein expression is upregulated in adipocytes in obesity. *Obes (Silver Spring)* (2008) 16(7):1493–500. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.267

3. Evin G, Zhu A, Holsinger RM, Masters CL, Li QX. Proteolytic processing of the alzheimer's disease amyloid precursor protein in brain and platelets. *J Neurosci Res* (2003) 74(3):386–92. doi: 10.1002/jnr.10745

4. Kuo YM, Kokjohn TA, Watson MD, Woods AS, Cotter RJ, Sue LI, et al. Elevated abeta42 in skeletal muscle of alzheimer disease patients suggests peripheral alterations of abetapp metabolism. *Am J Pathol* (2000) 156(3):797–805. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9440 (10)64947-4

5. Citron M, Vigo-Pelfrey C, Teplow DB, Miller C, Schenk D, Johnston J, et al. Excessive production of amyloid beta-protein by peripheral cells of symptomatic and presymptomatic patients carrying the swedish familial alzheimer disease mutation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (1994) 91(25):11993–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.91.25.11993

6. Hendrickx JO, De Moudt S, Calus E, Martinet W, Guns PDF, Roth L, et al. Serum corticosterone and insulin resistance as early biomarkers in the happ23 overexpressing mouse model of alzheimer's disease. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(13):6656. doi: 10.3390/ ijms22136656

7. Vandal M, White PJ, Chevrier G, Tremblay C, St-Amour I, Planel E, et al. Agedependent impairment of glucose tolerance in the 3xtg-ad mouse model of alzheimer's disease. *FASEB J* (2015) 29(10):4273-84. doi: 10.1096/fj.14-268482

8. Martinez-Valbuena I, Valenti-Azcarate R, Amat-Villegas I, Riverol M, Marcilla I, de Andrea CE, et al. Amylin as a potential link between type 2 diabetes and alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol* (2019) 86(4):539–51. doi: 10.1002/ana.25570

9. Miklossy J, Qing H, Radenovic A, Kis A, Vileno B, Laszlo F, et al. Beta amyloid and hyperphosphorylated tau deposits in the pancreas in type 2 diabetes. *Neurobiol Aging* (2010) 31(9):1503–15. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2008.08.019

10. Vattemi G, Engel WK, McFerrin J, Pastorino L, Buxbaum JD, Askanas V. Bace1 and bace2 in pathologic and normal human muscle. *Exp Neurol* (2003) 179(2):150–8. doi: 10.1016/s0014-4886(02)00025-0

11. Roher AE, Esh CL, Kokjohn TA, Castano EM, Van Vickle GD, Kalback WM, et al. Amyloid beta peptides in human plasma and tissues and their significance for alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* (2009) 5(1):18–29. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2008.10.004

12. Ferrannini E, Gastaldelli A, Iozzo P. Pathophysiology of prediabetes. *Med Clin North Am* (2011) 95(2):327–39vii–viii. doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2010.11.005

13. Cai X, Zhang Y, Li M, Wu JH, Mai L, Li J, et al. Association between prediabetes and risk of all cause mortality and cardiovascular disease: updated meta-analysis. *Bmj* (2020) 370:m2297. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2297

14. Huang Y, Cai X, Mai W, Li M, Hu Y. Association between prediabetes and risk of cardiovascular disease and all cause mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj* (2016) 355:i5953. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i5953

15. Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimäki M. Prediabetes: A high-risk state for diabetes development. *Lancet* (2012) 379(9833):2279–90. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(12)60283-9

16. Macklin L, Griffith CM, Cai Y, Rose GM, Yan XX, Patrylo PR. Glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity are impaired in app/ps1 transgenic mice prior to amyloid plaque pathogenesis and cognitive decline. *Exp Gerontol* (2017) 88:9–18. doi: 10.1016/ j.exger.2016.12.019

17. Zhang Y, Zhou B, Zhang F, Wu J, Hu Y, Liu Y, et al. Amyloid-beta induces hepatic insulin resistance by activating jak2/stat3/socs-1 signaling pathway. *Diabetes* (2012) 61(6):1434–43. doi: 10.2337/db11-0499

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fendo.2023.1195658/full#supplementary-material

18. Chonpathompikunlert P, Yoshitomi T, Han J, Isoda H, Nagasaki Y. The use of nitroxide radical-containing nanoparticles coupled with piperine to protect neuroblastoma sh-sy5y cells from A β -induced oxidative stress. *Biomaterials* (2011) 32(33):8605–12. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.07.024

19. Zhang Y, Ranta F, Tang C, Shumilina E, Mahmud H, Föller M, et al. Sphingomyelinase dependent apoptosis following treatment of pancreatic beta-cells with amyloid peptides abeta(1-42) or iapp. *Apoptosis* (2009) 14(7):878–89. doi: 10.1007/s10495-009-0364-4

20. Baron P, Galimberti D, Meda L, Prat E, Scarpini E, Conti G, et al. Synergistic effect of beta-amyloid protein and interferon gamma on nitric oxide production by C2c12 muscle cells. *Brain* (2000) 123(Pt 2):374–9. doi: 10.1093/brain/123.2.374

21. Yang YM, Seo SY, Kim TH, Kim SG. Decrease of microrna-122 causes hepatic insulin resistance by inducing protein tyrosine phosphatase 1b, which is reversed by licorice flavonoid. *Hepatology* (2012) 56(6):2209–20. doi: 10.1002/hep.25912

22. Wang X, Feng Z, Wang X, Yang L, Han S, Cao K, et al. O-glcnacase deficiency suppresses skeletal myogenesis and insulin sensitivity in mice through the modulation of mitochondrial homeostasis. *Diabetologia* (2016) 59(6):1287–96. doi: 10.1007/s00125-016-3919-2

23. Haywood NJ, Cordell PA, Tang KY, Makova N, Yuldasheva NY, Imrie H, et al. Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 could improve glucose regulation and insulin sensitivity through its rgd domain. *Diabetes* (2017) 66(2):287–99. doi: 10.2337/ db16-0997

24. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a who consultation. *Diabetes Med* (1998) 15(7):539–53. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-9136(199807)15:7<539::aid-dia668>3.0.co;2-s

25. Song F, Jia W, Yao Y, Hu Y, Lei L, Lin J, et al. Oxidative stress, antioxidant status and DNA damage in patients with impaired glucose regulation and newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. *Clin Sci* (2007) 112(12):599–606. doi: 10.1042/cs20060323

26. Wijesekara N, Ahrens R, Sabale M, Wu L, Ha K, Verdile G, et al. Amyloid-beta and islet amyloid pathologies link alzheimer disease and type 2 diabetes in a transgenic model. *FASEB J* (2017) 31(12):5409–18. doi: 10.1096/fj.201700431R

27. Zhang Y, Zhou B, Deng B, Zhang F, Wu J, Wang Y, et al. Amyloid-beta induces hepatic insulin resistance in vivo v*ia* jak2. *Diabetes* (2013) 62(4):1159–66. doi: 10.2337/db12-0670

28. Meakin PJ, Harper AJ, Hamilton DL, Gallagher J, McNeilly AD, Burgess LA, et al. Reduction in bace1 decreases body weight, protects against diet-induced obesity and enhances insulin sensitivity in mice. *Biochem J* (2012) 441(1):285–96. doi: 10.1042/bj20110512

29. Xie L, Helmerhorst E, Taddei K, Plewright B, Van Bronswijk W, Martins R. Alzheimer's beta-amyloid peptides compete for insulin binding to the insulin receptor. *J Neurosci* (2002) 22(10):Rc221. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-10-j0001.2002

30. De Felice FG, Vieira MN, Bomfim TR, Decker H, Velasco PT, Lambert MP, et al. Protection of synapses against alzheimer's-linked toxins: insulin signaling prevents the pathogenic binding of abeta oligomers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2009) 106(6):1971–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0809158106

31. Zhao WQ, De Felice FG, Fernandez S, Chen H, Lambert MP, Quon MJ, et al. Amyloid beta oligomers induce impairment of neuronal insulin receptors. *FASEB J* (2008) 22(1):246–60. doi: 10.1096/fj.06-7703com

32. Lourenco MV, Clarke JR, Frozza RL, Bomfim TR, Forny-Germano L, Batista AF, et al. Tnf-alpha mediates pkr-dependent memory impairment and brain irs-1 inhibition induced by alzheimer's beta-amyloid oligomers in mice and monkeys. *Cell Metab* (2013) 18(6):831–43. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2013.11.002

33. Bomfim TR, Forny-Germano L, Sathler LB, Brito-Moreira J, Houzel JC, Decker H, et al. An anti-diabetes agent protects the mouse brain from defective insulin

signaling caused by alzheimer's disease- associated abeta oligomers. J Clin Invest (2012) 122(4):1339–53. doi: 10.1172/jci57256

34. Tu Z, Keller MP, Zhang C, Rabaglia ME, Greenawalt DM, Yang X, et al. Integrative analysis of a cross-loci regulation network identifies app as a gene regulating insulin secretion from pancreatic islets. *PloS Genet* (2012) 8(12):e1003107. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003107

35. Peng X, Xu Z, Mo X, Guo Q, Yin J, Xu M, et al. Association of plasma β -amyloid 40 and 42 concentration with type 2 diabetes among chinese adults. *Diabetologia* (2020) 63(5):954–63. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05102-x

36. Kim I, Lee J, Hong HJ, Jung ES, Ku YH, Jeong IK, et al. A Relationship between Alzheimer's Disease and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus through the Measurement of Serum Amyloid-Beta Autoantibodies. *J Alzheimers Dis* (2010) 19(4):1371–6. doi: 10.3233/jad-2010-1332

37. Peters KE, Davis WA, Taddei K, Martins RN, Masters CL, Davis TM, et al. Plasma amyloid-beta peptides in type 2 diabetes: A matched case-control ctudy. J Alzheimers Dis (2017) 56(3):1127–33. doi: 10.3233/jad-161050

38. Stanley M, Macauley SL, Caesar EE, Koscal LJ, Moritz W, Robinson GO, et al. The effects of peripheral and central high insulin on brain insulin signaling and

amyloid-beta in young and old app/ps1 mice. J Neurosci (2016) 36(46):11704-15. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.2119-16.2016

39. Swaminathan SK, Ahlschwede KM, Sarma V, Curran GL, Omtri RS, Decklever T, et al. Insulin differentially affects the distribution kinetics of amyloid beta 40 and 42 in plasma and brain. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* (2017) 38(5):904–18. doi: 10.1177/0271678X17709709

40. Tamaki C, Ohtsuki S, Terasaki T. Insulin facilitates the hepatic clearance of plasma amyloid beta-peptide (1-40) by intracellular translocation of low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (Lrp-1) to the plasma membrane in hepatocytes. *Mol Pharmacol* (2007) 72(4):850–5. doi: 10.1124/mol.107.036913

41. Xue M, Xu W, Ou YN, Cao XP, Tan MS, Tan L, et al. Diabetes mellitus and risks of cognitive impairment and dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 144 prospective studies. *Ageing Res Rev* (2019) 55:100944. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2019.100944

42. Mallorquí-Bagué N, Lozano-Madrid M, Toledo E, Corella D, Salas-Salvadó J, Cuenca-Royo A, et al. Type 2 diabetes and cognitive impairment in an older population with overweight or obesity and metabolic syndrome: baseline cross-sectional analysis of the predimed-plus study. *Sci Rep* (2018) 8(1):16128. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-33843-8