#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Fazul Nabi, Lasbela University of Agriculture, Pakistan

#### REVIEWED BY Zain Ul Aabdin, Ziauddin University, Pakistan Sarfaraz Fazlani, China Agricultural University, China Muhammad Asif Arain, Northwest A&F University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Guobo Quan Waltq20020109@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 28 March 2023 ACCEPTED 02 May 2023 PUBLISHED 09 August 2023

#### CITATION

Liang J, Ali S, Lv C, Yang H, Zhao X, Ni X, Li C, Danzeng B, Wang Y and Quan G (2023) Dietary protein levels modulate the gut microbiome composition through fecal samples derived from lactating ewes. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1194425. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1194425

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liang, Ali, Lv, Yang, Zhao, Ni, Li, Danzeng, Wang and Quan. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Dietary protein levels modulate the gut microbiome composition through fecal samples derived from lactating ewes

Jiachong Liang<sup>1†</sup>, Sikandar Ali<sup>1,2†</sup>, Chunrong Lv<sup>1</sup>, Hongyuan Yang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoqi Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Xiaojun Ni<sup>1</sup>, Chunyan Li<sup>1</sup>, Baiji Danzeng<sup>1</sup>, Yajing Wang<sup>3</sup> and Guobo Quan<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Small Ruminant Department, Yunnan Animal Science and Veterinary Institute, Kunming, Yunnan, China, <sup>2</sup>Zhejiang Vegamax Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, <sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, Beijing Engineering Technology Research Center of Raw Milk Quality and Safety Control, College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China

In ruminants, the digestion and utilization of dietary proteins are closely linked to the bacterial populations that are present in the gastrointestinal tract. In the present study, 16S rDNA sequencing, together with a metagenomic strategy was used to characterize the fecal bacteria of ewes in the early lactation stage after feeding with three levels of dietary proteins 8.58%, 10.34%, and 13.93%, in three different groups (H\_1), (H\_m) and (H\_h), respectively. A total of 376,278,516 clean data-points were obtained by metagenomic sequencing. Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes were the dominant phyla, regardless of the dietary protein levels. In the H\_h group, the phyla Proteobacteria, Caldiserica, and Candidatus\_Cryosericota were less abundant than those in the H\_I group. In contrast, Lentisphaerae, Chlamydiae, and Planctomycetes were significantly more abundant in the H\_h group. Some genera, such as Prevotella, Roseburia, and Firmicutes\_unclassified, were less abundant in the  $H_h$  group than those in the  $H_l$  group. In contrast, Ruminococcus, Ruminococcaceae\_noname, Anaerotruncus, Thermotalae, Lentisphaerae\_noname, and Paraprevotella were enriched in the H\_h group. The acquired microbial genes were mainly clustered into biological processes; molecular functions; cytosol; cellular components; cytoplasm; structural constituents of ribosomes; plasma membranes; translation; and catalytic activities. 205987 genes were significantly enriched in the H\_h group. In contrast, 108129 genes were more abundant in the H\_I group. Our findings reveal that dynamic changes in fecal bacteria and their genes are strongly influenced by the levels of dietary proteins. We discovered that differentially expressed genes mainly regulate metabolic activity and KEGG demonstrated the primary involvement of these genes in the metabolism of carbohydrates, amino acids, nucleotides, and vitamins. Additionally, genes responsible for metabolism were more abundant in the H\_h group. Investigating fecal bacterial characteristics may help researchers develop a dietary formula for lactating ewes to optimize the growth and health of ewes and lambs.

#### KEYWORDS

sheep, lactation, dietary protein, gut microbiome, fecal microbiome, metagenomics

## 1 Introduction

Breeders and researchers are exploring better ways to improve the productive traits (milk, meat and wool production) of sheep breeds through different strategies, but the sheep breed is a critical factor influencing productive traits (1). However, daily diets, including their sources and components, also affect sheep growth and health (1, 2). Generally, sheep graze on pastures growing different types of plants such as grasses, clovers, and weeds. During certain harsh periods of the year, sheep moved to temporary indoor rearing and were mostly fed with small amounts of concentrates and hay (1, 3). Currently, the feeding system of sheep is changing significantly and gradually moving to an indoor-rearing mode (2). Therefore, determining the effects of nutrition on sheepproduction during indoor rearing has become critical (2, 3).

Proteins are important nutrients for ruminants and play important roles in metabolic activities (4). Many tissues and organs are involved in the digestion of dietary proteins (5). Generally, a large proportion of dietary proteins is degraded by ruminal bacteria to small peptides and NH<sub>3</sub>, which are further utilized by bacteria to synthesize bacterially derived proteins (5). These proteins are further digested into amino acids and small peptides and are absorbed by the small intestine (5, 6). These digested products enter the mesenteric and portal veins. After they enter the liver, through synthesizing and degrading activities, these components are utilized through the surrounding tissues and organs (4, 6). The use of dietary proteins is closely associated with gastrointestinal bacterial communities. The composition and diversity of gastrointestinal bacteria greatly influence the health status (7) and productivity of host animals (8, 9).

Ruminants depend on a diverse and complex population of microbial organisms in their gastrointestinal tracts to convert their food into usable forms of nutrients (4). In ruminants, the gut, particularly the rumen, acts as the primary fermentation chamber for the microbial community (7, 8). Symbiotic bacterial communities are crucial for host production and health in many ways, such as balancing immune responses, utilizing nutrients, and regulating physiological activities (7). In sheep, a previous study analyzed the composition and diversity of bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract, including the rumen, reticulum, omasum, abomasum, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, cecum, colon, and rectum (10). However, problems related to animal use in research exist, particularly when collecting rumen fluid from ruminants (11, 12). In addition, studies have shown a potential relationship between fecal bacterial communities and rumen bacteria.

Fecal samples are usually collected and used to assess the ruminant gastrointestinal microbiota (13). According to a report by Shanks et al., fecal bacteria play crucial roles in animal health and productivity, as well as in food safety, pathogenicity, and also in methods of fecal-pollution-detection (14). Several studies on fecal bacteria have been conducted in sheep (10, 13) and cattle (14, 15). Although previous investigations have confirmed the functional roles of dietary proteins in the growth and development of sheep (2, 3, 5), there are no reports on the impact of dietary proteins on fecal bacteria in the first 90 days of lactating after the parturition. In this study, we aimed to characterize the fecal bacterial community of *Yunnan semi-fine wool sheep* at the lactating stage, using 16S rDNA sequencing together with metagenomic

approaches, based on growth data obtained after feeding with various levels of dietary proteins. Investigating fecal bacterial diversity in lactating ewes may be useful for developing a dietary formulation for optimizing the growth and health of ewes and lambs.

## 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Ethics statement

In the present study, all experiments trials were approved by the ethical committee of the Yunnan Animal Science and Veterinary Institute (201911004). Moreover, all authors strictly followed the approved protocols and guidelines by the State Science and Technology Commission of the People's Republic of China, 1988 and the Standing Committee of Yunnan Provincial People's Congress 2007.10).

### 2.2 Collection of fecal samples

The feeding experiment was conducted at the Yunnan Animal Science and Veterinary Institute farm, Kunming City, People's Republic of China (26°22'N; 103°40'E). Eighteen ewes with similar body weight (approximately 50 kg) and age (2 years) were used in this study. The details of the feed with different dietary protein levels and formulations are presented in Table 1, which were slightly modified based on a previous study (16). According to the levels of proteins used (8.58%, 10.34%, and 13.93%), 18 ewes were equally distributed into three groups H\_I group, H\_m group, and H\_h groups. Each ewe was housed separately and provided with dietary feed from the 135th day of pregnancy till 90<sup>th</sup> day after parturition. All ewes were fed twice daily and had access to water at libitum. Fresh fecal samples were collected from the terminal rectum ninety days after parturition to avoid contamination (17). Approximately 10 g of fecal sample was loaded into a 10 mL sterile freezing tube (BIOFIL, China) and immediately frozen in a liquid nitrogen tank. Finally, the tubes were stored at -80°C for the subsequent experiments.

### 2.3 DNA extraction

Total DNA was extracted from fecal samples using the E.Z.N.A. <sup>®</sup>Stool DNA Kit (D4015, Omega, Inc., USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Nuclear-free water was used as the blank. The total DNA was eluted using 50  $\mu$ L of the Elution buffer, and finally stored at -80°C.

# 2.4 PCR amplification and 16S rDNA sequencing

The V3-V4 region of the prokaryotic (bacterial and archaeal) small-subunit (16S) rRNA gene was amplified using primers 341F (5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') and 805R (5'-GACTACHVG GGTATCTAATCC-3'). The 5' ends of the primers were tagged with

Corn raw material	H_I	H_m	H_h	
corn	28.2	26	19.05	
soybean meal	5.40	8.65	18.60	
corn starch	8.65	7.60	4.70	
calcium carbonate	0.55	0.60	0.65	
calcium hydrogen phosphate	0.55	0.50	0.35	
salt	0.30	0.30	0.30	
baking soda	0.35	0.35	0.35	
premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	
corn silage	40.00	35.00	34.00	
bean powder	2.00	10.00	11.00	
wheat straw	13.00	10.00	10.00	
total	100	100	100	
fine to coarse ratio	45:55	45:55	45:55	
Nutrient content				
metabolizable energy	9.45	9.47	9.47	
protein	8.58	10.34	13.93	
neutral detergent fiber (NDF)	32.17	32.01	32.52	
acid detergent fiber (ADF)	17.24	17.71	18.44	
calcium	0.69	0.71	0.71	
phosphorus	0.38	0.39	0.39	

TABLE 1 The diet components and nutritional levels of ewes (air-dry basis).

specific barcodes per sample and sequenced using universal primers. PCR amplification was performed in a total volume of 25 µL reaction mixture containing 25 ng of template DNA, 12.5 µL PCR Premix, 2.5 µL of each primer, and PCR-grade water to adjust the total volume. The PCR settings to amplify the prokaryotic 16S fragments consisted of an initial denaturation at 98°C for 30 s, 32 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 10 s, annealing at 54°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 45 s, and then final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were further evaluated using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Throughout the DNA extraction process, ultrapure water was used as the negative control to exclude the possibility of false-positive PCR results. The PCR products were purified using AMPure XT beads (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Danvers, MA, USA) and quantified using a Qubit (Invitrogen, USA). Amplicon pools were prepared for sequencing. The size and quantity of the amplicon library were assessed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, USA) and Library Quantification Kit for Illumina (Kapa Biosciences, Woburn, MA, USA), respectively. Libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq PE250 platform.

### 2.5 Metagenomic sequencing

The experimental procedure used for metagenomic sequencing was based on previous studies (18, 19) with minor modifications. After sample clustering analysis, three samples with higher similarity were selected from each treatment. So, a total of 9 samples were used for the metagenomic sequencing. A DNA library was constructed using the TruSeq Nano DNA LT Library Preparation Kit (FC-121-4001). DNA was fragmented using dsDNA fragmentase (NEB, M0348S) by incubation at 37°C for 30 min. Library construction was initiated using the fragmented cDNA. Blunt-end DNA fragments were generated using a combination of fill-in reactions and exonuclease activity, and size selection was performed using sample purification beads. An Abase was then added to the blunt ends of each strand to prepare them for ligation with indexed adapters. Each adapter contained a T-base overhang to ligate the adapter to A-tailed fragmented DNA. These adapters contained the full complement of the sequencing primer hybridization sites for single-, paired-end, and indexed reads. The single- or dual-index adapters were ligated to the fragments, and the ligated products were amplified with PCR using the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, 8 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 15 s, annealing at 60°C for 15 s, extension at 72°C for 30 s, and then final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Paired-end 2×150 bp sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (LC Sciences), based on the recommended protocol provided by the manufacturer.

## 2.6 Bioinformatic analysis

Raw sequencing reads were processed to obtain valid reads for further analyses. Sequencing adapters were removed from the sequencing reads using Cutadapt v1.9 (20). The low-quality reads were then trimmed using fqtrim v0.94 using a sliding-window algorithm, and the remaining reads were aligned to the host genome using bowtie2 (21) to remove host contamination. Once qualityfiltered reads were acquired, they were de novo assembled to construct a metagenome for each sample, aided by IDBA-UD (22). All coding regions (CDS) of the metagenomic contigs were predicted using MetaGeneMark v3.26. The acquired CDS sequences of all samples were clustered using CD-HIT v4.6.1 (23) to obtain unigenes. The abundance of unigenes in each sample was estimated by TPM in accordance with the number of aligned reads using bowtie2 v2.2.0 (21). The lowest common ancestor taxonomy of the unigenes was obtained by alignment against the NCBI NR database using DIAMOND v 0.7.12 (24). Functional annotations of the acquired unigenes, including Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), were performed. Based on the taxonomic and functional annotations of the obtained unigenes, along with their abundance profiles, differential analysis was performed at each taxonomic, functional, or gene level using Fisher's exact test (non-replicated groups) or the Kruskal-Wallis test (replicated groups).

## **3** Results

### 3.1 Data acquisition

A total of 376,278,516 high-quality data points (clean data) were generated from the metagenomic sequencing of nine fecal samples.

The acquisition rate of clean data was higher than 97%. Sequences longer than 500 nucleotides were used in the present study. The coding regions (CDS) of the assembled contigs were predicted using MetaGeneMark and contigs shorter than 100 nt were removed. CD-HIT was used to generate a nonredundant set and to output a cluster file (identity=95%, coverage=90%). The counts of each unigene were calculated using bowtie2 by mapping the reads of each sample onto the unigenes. The abundance of the obtained unigenes was estimated using TPM. In the present study, the total number of acquired unigenes was 1,417,351. Among these unigenes, the numbers of unigenes containing the start or stop codons were 359,225 (25.34%) and 263,828 (18.61%), respectively. The number of unigenes with the start and stop codons was 599,224 (42.28%). The remaining 195,074 (13.76%) unigenes did not have the start or stop codon. The total length of all acquired unigenes was 1009.34 Mbp, with an average length of 712 bp. A Venn diagram reflects the similarities among the three treatment groups (Figure 1). There were 918960 genes simultaneously present in both the H\_h and H\_I groups, and 256023 and 161698 genes were exclusively present in the H\_h and H\_I groups, respectively. In addition, all genes in the H\_h group were present in the H\_m group. 242368 genes were found to only be present in the H\_m group. All genes in the H\_I

group were present in the H\_m group. 336693 genes were exclusively found in the H\_m group.

#### 3.2 Annotation of bacterial species

Based on the classification system of microbial species in the NCBI nr\_meta database, the results of this study were revealed by Super Kingdom, Phylum, Class, Order, Family, Genus, and Species using the Lowest Common Ancestor algorithm. Detailed information associated with bacterial abundance at the phylum and genus levels is included in Supplementary Tables S1-S4. The most abundant 20 classifications were selected to produce stacked bar charts from Super Kingdom to Species in each sample. The results related to phylum and genus abundances are shown in Figure 2. Figure 2A shows the phylum abundance of each sample used, and Figure 2B shows the phylum comparison between the three treatments. Among the 146 identified phyla, the most abundant were Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Fibrobacteres, as shown in Figures 3A, B. However, approximately 50% of the acquired sequences (Bacteria\_unclassified) could not be classified into any known phyla. Figure 2C shows the genus abundance of each sample used, and



the H\_m group; the green circle represented the H\_h group

04



Figure 2D shows the genus comparison among the three treatments in this study. After analysis, 2758 genera were identified. Among these genera, *Clostridium, Bacteroides, Prevotella, Firmicutes\_noname, Ruminococcus, Clostridiales\_noname*, and *Fibrobacter* were dominant (Figures 2C, D). However, approximately 20% of sequences (*Bacteria\_unclassified*) could not be classified into any known genus.

### 3.3 Bacterial diversity analysis

Indices, including Chao1, Observed species, and shannon, were used to analyze microbial species diversity in fecal samples collected from the three treatments. These data are presented in Table 2. The number of observed species in the H\_m group was significantly higher than that in the H\_I group (P<0.01). However, no dissimilarity was found between the H\_h and the H\_m or H\_I groups (P>0.05). Similarly, the chao1 value in the H\_m group was significantly higher than that in the H\_I group (P < 0.01). However, no difference was observed between the H\_h and the H\_m or H\_I groups (P>0.05). In terms of the Shannon value, as shown in Table 2, the Shannon value in H m group was significantly less than that in H h group (P < 0.05). However, the Shannon index value in the H\_I group did not differ from those in the other two groups (P>0.05). Based on the bacterial abundance presented in each sample, the Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine the differences in abundance at the phylum and genus levels. The results related to the different bacteria at the phylum level are shown in Figure 4. The abundance of Proteobacteria, Caldiserica, and Candidatus\_Cryosericota in the H\_h group was lower than that in the H\_I group (Figure 4A). In contrast, phyla, such as Lentisphaerae, Chloroflexi, Chlamydiae, Planctomycetes, and Kiritimatiellaeota, dominated in the H\_h group. The results of comparison between the H\_m and H\_h groups are shown in Figure 4B. Compared to the H\_m group, these phyla, including Firmicutes, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetes, Chlamydiae, and

Synergistetes, were significantly enriched in the H\_h group. However, other phyla, such as Fibrobacteres, Elusimicrobia, Caldiserica, Candidate\_division\_Zixibacteria, Candidatus\_Daviesbacteria, Candidatus\_Schekmanbacteria, and Candidatus\_Zambryskibacteria, were more abundant in the H\_m group. As shown in Figure 4C, Fibrobacteres, Elusimicrobia, Lentisphaerae, Planctomycetes, Candidatus\_Omnitrophica, Chlorobi, and Kiritimatiellaeota were dominant in the H\_m group compared to those in the H\_I group. Firmicutes, Tenericutes, Chlamydiae, and Caldiserica were enriched in the H\_I group. At the genus level, the results are shown in Figure 3A; the abundance levels of these genera in the H\_h group, such as Prevotella, Roseburia, Clostridiales\_unclassified, Campylobacter, Firmicutes\_unclassified, and Phascolarctobacterium, were lower than those in the H\_I group. However, Ruminococcus, Ruminococcaceae noname, Anaerotruncus, Thermotalae, Lentisphaerae\_noname and Paraprevotella were significantly more abundant in the H\_h group. A comparison of the H\_h and H\_m groups is presented in Figure 3B. Clostridium, Firmicutes\_noname, Ruminococcus, Eubacterium, Faecalibacterium, Bacteroidales\_noname, and Anaerotruncus were more abundant in the H\_h group than in the H\_m group. However, Prevotella, Fibrobacter, Campylobacter, Prevotellaceae\_nonname, and Azospirillum were dominant in the H\_m group. In addition, as shown in Figure 3C. Fibrobacter, Alloprevotella, Elusimicrobium, Victivallis, Lentisphaerae, and Fusobacterium were less abundant in H\_I than in H\_m. Clostridium, Firmicutes, Lachnospiraceae\_noname, Roseburia, Clostridiales\_unclassified, Blautia, Mycoplasma, and Hungatella were enriched in the H\_I group.

### 3.4 Functional annotation

GO (http://www.geneontology.org) is a major bioinformatics initiative used to unify the representation of genes and gene product attributes across all species. As shown in Figure 5A, most of the



the H\_h group and the H\_m group, and between the H\_I group and the H\_m group, respectively.

identified unigenes were clustered in biological process (GO:0008150), molecular function (GO:0003674), cytosol (GO:0005829), cellular component (GO:0005575), cytoplasm (GO:0005737), structural constituent of ribosome (GO:0003735), plasma membrane (GO:0005886), translation (GO:0006412), and

catalytic activity (GO:0003824). Most of the unigenes were enriched in molecular functions and biological processes, as shown in Figure 5B. In addition, as shown in Supplementary Figure S1, the abundance of genes involved in molecular functions, biological processes, and cellular components was significantly higher in the

Treatment groups	observed_species	Shannon	chao1
H_I	$10338 \pm 181^{\rm b}$	8.19 ± 0.16	$10856.38 \pm 109.28^{b}$
H_m	$13275 \pm 1529^{a}$	$8.09 \pm 1.46^{a}$	$14008.64 \pm 1723.11^{a}$
H_h	12120 ± 555	$8.41\pm0.44^{\rm b}$	12545.57 ± 432.40

TABLE 2 The values related to the observed\_species, Shannon, and chao 1 index.

Values in the same row with different superscripts (a and b) indicate significant differences among the groups (P <0.05).

H\_h group than in the H\_I group. In addition, as shown in Supplementary Figure S2, a similar pattern of change was observed between the H\_h and H\_m groups. However, no significant differences were observed between the H\_m and H\_I groups. The KEGG annotation of the unigenes was performed. As shown in Figure 6, unigenes were found to be primarily involved in organism systems, metabolism, human diseases, genetic information processing, environmental information processing, and cellular processes. Among the unigenes, 101452, 67958, 53373, 42249, and 31016 may play roles in carbohydrate, amino acid, nucleotide, cofactor, vitamin, and energy metabolism. Since this study showed that high-protein diets benefited the growth of lactating ewes compared to low-protein diets, the KEGG functional differences between the H\_h and H\_I groups were analyzed. In the H\_h group, the unigenes involved in carbohydrate metabolism, amino acid metabolism, nucleotide metabolism, energy metabolism, metabolism of other amino acids, and biosynthesis of other secondary metabolites were more abundant than those in the H\_I group (Figure 7). Furthermore, an interesting phenomenon was that in comparison with the H\_I group, more genes in the H\_h group were found to be potentially associated with infectious disease.

# 3.5 Gene expression differences and enrichment analysis

DEGs were the most valuable results of metagenomic sequencing. These results represent the differential expression of genes among different treatments or samples. In general, the default threshold of genes with a significant difference is |log2 (fold)\_ change) $|\geq 1$  and P value less than 0.05. Compared to those in the H\_I group, 193672 and 205987 unigenes were upregulated in the H\_m and H\_h groups, respectively (Figure 8A). 52026 and 108129 genes were separately enriched in the H\_I group. 90599 genes were more abundant in the H\_h group than in the H\_m group, and 141184 genes were enriched in the H\_m group. Functional enrichment analysis of DEGs was performed. The most abundant 20 genes (Figure 8B) were selected to produce a heatmap illustrating the clustering pattern of DEGs between the H\_h and H\_I groups. We observed that the degree of enrichment of these genes was greater in the H\_h group than in the H\_I group. The GO functional classification of DEGs between the H\_h and H\_I groups is shown in Figure 9A. In terms of biological processes, the DEGs were primarily involved in transport, translation, and carbohydrate metabolic processes. The identified DEGs were mainly present in the cytosol and cytoplasm. Regarding molecular functions, most DEGs were involved in ATP binding, protein binding, and catalytic activity. The scatter plot of KEGG enrichment (Figure 9B) demonstrated that the DEGs were mainly responsible for metabolic activities, such as alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism; arginine biosynthesis; citrate cycle; nitrogen metabolism; pyruvate metabolism; starch and sucrose metabolism; glycolysis; and methane metabolism.

## 4 Discussion

The lactating stage is critical for ewes and their lambs, as ewes require essential nutrition to support themselves and their lamb's survival and health at this stage. The addition of proteins to daily diets improves the metabolic activity of ruminants (2, 3, 5) In the present study, we analyzed the effects of dietary proteins on the bacterial characteristics in fecal samples using 16S rDNA sequencing and metagenomic approaches. Our findings demonstrate that dynamic changes in fecal bacteria and their genes are strongly influenced by the levels of dietary proteins. The utilization of dietary proteins by ruminants is closely associated with the involvement of gastrointestinal bacteria. Previous studies have primarily focused on rumen-derived bacteria (4–8, 10, 11). However, some researchers have switched their attention to fecal bacteria because of the potential relationship between fecal and rumen bacteria (13–15, 17).

In the present study, the richness of genes in the H\_m group was the highest. All genes present in the H\_h and H\_I groups were included in the H\_m group. Notably, the level of dietary proteins in the H\_m group represented a regular protein level in the ewes' daily diet during the lactation stage. However, it may lead to a reduction in the types of acquired genes in the feces. Therefore, the maintenance of normal dietary protein levels benefits the richness of fecal bacterial communities. In addition, there are 918960 genes were simultaneously present in all three treatments, demonstrating that these genes are highly conserved and essential for the metabolic activities of ewes. They may also play important roles in ruminant gut microbial ecology. A total of 146 phyla were identified in this study. *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* were the dominant phyla in all fecal samples, regardless of the dietary protein levels.

Our findings are consistent with other reports on sheep (10, 17), cattle (7, 14, 15, 25), pigs (26–29), goats (30), and humans (31, 32). *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* have been found to constitute the majority of the gut-derived phylotypes in mammalian species (33–36), suggesting that *Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes* play critical roles in the microbial ecology of ruminant guts. Our study further demonstrated that *Firmicutes* was the most abundant phylum,



with an abundance of 20%-30% out of the total bacteria obtained. The dominance of *Firmicutes* further supports the conclusions of previous studies on sheep and cattle (14, 17, 35, 37). However, according to the report of Tanca et al., *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* 

account for 80% of total bacteria obtained in sheep feces (17). In this study, the ratio of *Firmicutes* to *Bacteroidetes* was approximately 40%. In addition, according to a report by Kim et al., the ratio of *Firmicutes* was over 50% in bovine fecal samples (15), and greatly



higher than the ratio of *Firmicutes* in ewes' feces in this study. In contrast, the most abundant phylum detected in goat rumen was *Bacteroides*, not *Firmicutes*, which accounted for an average 42.11% of the total bacterial community (**30**). Furthermore, the bacterial characteristics of sheep feces differ from those of pig and chicken feces. In a previous study, *Bacteroidetes* was predominant in pig fecal samples at their different growth stages, with a mean relative abundance ranging from 42.0%–51.9%, followed by *Firmicutes* (**29**). In chickens, *Proteobacteria* (**38**.9%) were dominant, followed by *Firmicutes* (**36**.4%), *Bacteroidetes* (15.8%), and *Tenericutes* (**8**.9%) (**38**).

The differences between the abovementioned studies may be due to specific factors, such as animal species, growth stage, sampling position, and dietary components used. Based on this study, as the third most abundant phylum, the richness of *Proteobacteria* in the H\_h group (2.03%) was slightly lower than those in the H\_m group (2.77%) or the H\_I group (3.15%). *Proteobacteria* includes a wide variety of pathogenic bacteria (30). Therefore, increasing the levels of dietary proteins may improve the capability of disease resistance in lactating sheep. In pigs, Zhao et al. found that Proteobacteria showed a significant decline along with age (39). As neonatal pigs are more susceptible to diseases, there may be an inverse relationship between the abundance of Proteobacteria and disease resistance. Another piece of evidence is the use of antimicrobial peptides in goat daily diets (30). Stackebrandt et al. found that Proteobacteria were less abundant in groups supplemented with AMPs (antimicrobial peptides) (30). In chickens infected with multidrug-resistant (MDR) Escherichia coli, the most abundant phylum in the feces was Proteobacteria (38). These studies further demonstrate that the abundance of Proteobacteria may be negatively associated with disease sensitivity. In this study, the abundance of Bacteroidetes in the H\_h group was slightly lower than that in the H\_m and H\_I groups, which agrees with a previous report showing that high-producing cows have a lower abundance of Bacteroidetes (40). However, in contrast to a previous study on cows, Planctomycetes, Synergistetes, and Chloroflexi were significantly enriched in the H\_h group in this study. However, the roles of these phyla require further investigations. At the genus level, 2758 genera were identified. Among these genera, Clostridium, Bacteroides, Prevotella,



The KEGG pathway classification of the acquired genes. The X-axel represented the percentage of genes. The left Y-axis represented the involved KEGG pathways (KEGGLevel2). The right Y-axis represented the KEGGLevel1 functional classification. The digit on each column was the number of involved genes.

*Firmicutes\_noname, Ruminococcus, Clostridiales\_noname*, and *Fibrobacter* were the most dominant. Approximately 20% sequences (*Bacteria\_unclassified*) could not be classified into any known genus.

Here, we found that *Prevotella* was not the most dominant genus in sheep fecal samples, which is different from the genus-level characteristics in goat rumen (41). As a permanent resident of the bacterial community in the mature rumen, *Prevotella* is assumed to comprise a large part of the rumen microbial genetic and metabolic diversity. According to the report of Ren et al., at the genus level, *Prevotella* dominated the assignable sequences, on average accounting for 29.21% of the total bacteria (30), which may correlate with the fact that most of digestive activities of fibers are conducted in rumen. However, in the present study, a lower abundance of *Prevotella* was observed in the feces of ewes fed a high-protein diet than in the H\_I and H\_m groups, demonstrating the negative effects of a high-protein diet on the abundance of *Prevotella*. In another study, the growth of calves, the abundance of *Prevotella* gradually increased with calf growth. Compared to that in newborn calves, *Prevotella* showed an almost 500-fold increase in the adult rumen (42, 43). Also, *Prevotella* is widely associated with plant polysaccharide digestion and are enriched in plant polysaccharides (44). According to a report by Jami and Mizrahi, three ruminal species of the pivotal *Prevotella* genus account for up to 70% of the rumen bacterial population (43). These species can utilize starches, non-cellulosic polysaccharides, and simple sugars as energy sources, and succinate is the major fermentation endproduct (41). In addition, in newborn calves (1- and 3-day old), the main genus in their rumen was *Bacteroides*, whereas in the older age groups, the main ruminal genus was almost exclusively





Prevotella (25). Newborn calves are primarily dependent on milk, which is rich in proteins (42, 43). However, along with their growth, the ratio of plant-derived components in their daily diets gradually increased. Prevotella seems to be positively associated with plantderived diets. In addition, a similar compositional alteration in the Prevotella genus was reported in a previous study that compared the gut microbiota of children from Europe and rural Africa (45). In that study, the genus Prevotella dominated the Bacteroidetes phylum, accounting for 53% of the total gut bacteria in African children. In contrast, Bacteroides was the primary genus found in European children. Moreover, a much higher ratio of the Bacteroidetes phylum was observed in African children, along with a lower abundance of Firmicutes (45). These differences may have been caused by the dietary composition ingested by these children. The African diet is mainly composed of plant fiber, whereas the European diet is rich in animal protein, sugar, starch, and fat (but low in plant fiber) (45).

Similarly, *Prevotella* was not the main genus in fecal samples from neonatal pigs from days 3 to 7. However, *Prevotella* became dominant between days 14 and 35 (29). All these studies demonstrate that *Prevotella* plays an essential role in the mammals' growth and metabolic activities. *Prevotella* produces acetate, which can be further transformed into butyrate with the aid of some butyrate-producing bacteria (44, 46). Approximately 50% of butyrate-producing bacteria can produce butyrate from acetate (47). These metabolic and biosynthetic activities may further improve intestinal barrier function and reduce inflammation in the mammalian gut (48, 49).

When the sheep were fed a high-protein diet, another genus that showed an evident increase in fecal samples was *Ruminococcus*. *Ruminococcus* generally comprises cellulolytic bacteria that are commonly present in the adult rumen (50). However, at the genus level, the rumen fluid of high-production cows was significantly depleted of *Ruminococcus* (39). In general, the low-production cows were fatter than the high-production cows. Thus, *Ruminococcus* has been suggested to be negatively associated with milk production (51), implying a positive relationship between *Ruminococcus* and cow meat production. Another study assessed the effects of probiotics on gut microbiota and their association with childhood obesity (52). The results revealed an abundant increase in the genus *Ruminococcus* in overweight participants after intervention with probiotics compared to normal-weight participants (52).

In the present study, Fibrobacter was more abundant in the H\_h and H\_m groups than in the H\_I group, implying a relationship between Fibrobacter and the growth of ewes. Fibrobacter is a cellulose-degrading microbes (53, 54). The fermentation products of Fibrobacter are acetate, propionate, and succinate (30). Acetate, propionate, and butyrate were the major volatile fatty acids, accounting for 95% of the total volatile matter content in rumen (55). Volatile fatty acids, as the end-products of fermentation by rumen microbiome, provide 70%-80% of the calorific requirements for ruminants (56). Therefore, the improved growth performance of ewes fed high-protein diets may be due to an increase in volatile fatty acids (30). Wang et al. reported that ruminal infusion of soybean peptide increased the concentrations of ammonia, propionate, and volatile fatty acids, and improved nutrient digestion and ruminal fermentation in Luxi Yellow cattle (57). Another study indicated that feeding a higher-fiber diet increases the abundance of Fibrobacter (43). In combination with the results of the present study, we further confirmed that increasing dietary protein levels benefits the digestion and usage of plant-derived fibers. Fibrobacter may play an important role in this process.

Currently, metagenomic technology is used to observe the relationship between fecal bacterial composition and clinical diseases in humans (58). Studies on other mammals have mainly focused on pig (59, 60) and cattle (61). However, studies on sheep are limited. In a previous study, 2097 gene families were identified in sheep feces, and the sheep fecal microbiota was found to be primarily involved in catabolism (17). The fecal microbiota metagenome was rich in genes associated with the membrane transport of molecules (ABC transporter, ATPase, permease, and SecA), DNA replication and repair (helicase, DNA polymerase, topoisomerase, and MutS), transcription (RNA polymerase), translation (tRNA synthetases and translation factors), and



The enrichment analysis of GO function of differentially expressed genes. (A) represented that the genes were classified into biological processes, cellular components and molecular function. The bar represents the number of differentially expressed genes. (B) represented that the scatter plot of differentially expressed gene GO enrichment. The *P* value was calculated using the Fisher's exact test. The X-axis represents the rich factor, and the Y-axis means the KEGG pathway. Each bubble refers to the number of unigenes. Rich factor means the number of differentially expressed genes located in the KEGG.

protein folding (chaperones), along with a few genes encoding metabolic enzymes (17). In goats, metagenomic technology has been used to investigate carbohydrate-active enzymes in the intestinal tract, revealing a low abundance of enzymes that target xylan and cellulose. Therefore, it may be concluded that plant cell-wall digestion does not occur in the intestinal tract and may mainly occur in the rumen (18).

Most of the bacterial genes obtained in the present study were clustered in biological processes, molecular functions, cytosol, cellular components, cytoplasm, structural constituents of ribosomes, plasma membranes, translation, and catalytic activity. In the H\_h group, genes involved in molecular function, biological processes, and cellular components were more abundant than those in the H\_I group, implying that increasing dietary protein levels maintained the physiological functions of gut bacteria better. Subsequently, in accordance with the KEGG results, the acquired genes were found to be primarily involved in organism systems, metabolism, human diseases, genetic information processing, environmental information processing, and cellular processes. Furthermore, several genes may influence the metabolic activities of carbohydrates, amino acids, nucleotides, and vitamins. The bacteria present in sheep feces are widely involved in the digestion and use of nutritional components, which is in agreement with a report by Tanca et al. In their study, several genes in sheep feces encoded metabolic enzymes (17). In this study, the abundance of genes involved in carbohydrate, amino acid, nucleotide, energy, and other amino acid metabolism was significantly higher in the H\_h group than in the H\_I group.

One of the main objectives of this study was to observe the differences in bacterial gene expression between these treatments. DEGs can also act as potential markers to evaluate productive traits in ruminants. As shown in Figure 8, when fed a high-protein diet, 205987 microbial genes were upregulated in sheep feces, and 108129 genes were enriched in ewes fed a low-protein diet. The heatmap results further demonstrated a completely different clustering pattern of DEGs between the H\_h and H\_I groups, confirming that the levels of dietary proteins greatly influenced bacterial gene characteristics in sheep feces. GO annotation indicated the roles of these DEGs in transport, translation, carbohydrate metabolic processes, ATP binding, protein binding, and catalytic activity. Additionally, the KEGG results indicated that these DEGs were mainly involved in metabolic activities such as amino acid metabolism, arginine biosynthesis, citrate cycle, nitrogen metabolism, pyruvate metabolism, starch and sucrose metabolism, glycolysis, and methane metabolism. Differing from the results of a study on pig (60), in this study, the ratio of the DEGs functioning in carbohydrate metabolic activity in sheep fecal metagenome was less than 2%. On the contrary, carbohydrate metabolism is the most abundant subsystem, representing 13% of pig fecal metagenome (60).

In conclusion, as an important nutritional resource, dietary proteins and their metabolism are closely associated with gut bacteria. However, further studies on the characteristics of the gut bacterial communities at different growth stages are required. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first attempt to assess the fecal bacterial characteristics of sheep during early lactation. Based on this study, we conclude that increasing dietary protein levels can enhance the weight gain of lactating ewes by modulating fecal bacterial composition. Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes were identified as the dominant phyla in the fecal samples, regardless of the protein levels used. 314116 DEGs were identified between the H\_h and H\_I group using metagenomic sequencing. These genes are primarily involved in metabolic activities. Notably, this study is preliminary and requires further in-depth analysis of the obtained data. Nevertheless, this study provides an opportunity to understand the fecal bacterial characteristics of lactating ewes, which may be helpful in developing a suitable dietary formula for optimizing the health of ewes and their lambs.

# Data availability statement

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the NCBI repository. The accessions for 16S rDNA included SAMN25981821, SAMN25981822, SAMN25981823, SAMN25981824, SAMN25981825, SAMN25981826, SAMN 25981827, SAMN25981828, SAMN25981829, SAMN25981830, SAMN25981831, SAMN25981832, SAMN25981833, SAMN 25981834, SAMN25981835, SAMN25981836, SAMN25981837, SAMN25981838. The accessions for the metagenomic analysis included SAMN25981067, SAMN25981068, SAMN25981069, SAMN25981070, SAMN25981071, SAMN25981072, SAMN25981073, SAMN25981074, SAMN25981075.

## **Ethics statement**

In the present study, all experiments trials were approved by the ethical committee of the Yunnan Animal Science and Veterinary Institute (201911004). Moreover, all authors strictly followed the approved protocols and guidelines by the State Science and Technology Commission of the People's Republic of China, 1988 and the Standing Committee of Yunnan Provincial People's Congress 2007.10). Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

# Author contributions

JL and CLv: Sampling, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft. HY and BD: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation. XZ and XN: Animal management. SA, CLi, and YW: Revision, Writing review and editing GQ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Investigation, Supervision, Visualization, Writing - review and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This study was supported by the Earmarked Fund for China Agriculture Research System (Grant No. CARS-39-08).

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Hangzhou Lianchuan Biotechnology Co., Ltd, because the 16S rDNA and metagenomic sequencing were performed in their laboratory. Hangzhou Lianchuan Biotechnology Co. Ltd was not involved in the study design, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

# Conflict of interest

Author SA is employed by the company Zhejiang Vegamax Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer SF declared a shared affiliation with the author YW to the handling editor at the time of review.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

### References

1. Gebreselassie G, Berihulay H, Jiang L, Ma Y. Review on genomic regions and candidate genes associated with economically important production and reproduction traits in sheep (ovies aries). *Anim (Basel)* (2019) 10:33. doi: 10.3390/ani10010033

2. Martins AA, Härter CJ, Venturini RS, Motta JH, Teixeira WS, Macari S, et al. Energy and protein requirements for maintenance of texel lambs. *Animal* (2019) 13:1865–73. doi: 10.1017/S1751731119000259

3. Pereira ES, Lima FWR, Marcondes MI, Rodrigues JPP, Campos ACN, Silva LP, et al. Energy and protein requirements of Santa ines lambs, a breed of hair sheep. *Animal* (2017) 11:2165–74. doi: 10.1017/S1751731117001185

4. Firkins JL, Yu Z, Morrison M. Ruminal nitrogen metabolism: perspectives for integration of microbiology and nutrition for dairy. *J Dairy Sci* (2007) 90(Suppl 1):E1–16. doi: 10.3168/jds.2006-518

 Shen J, Chen Y, Moraes LE, Yu Z, Zhu W. Effects of dietary protein sources and nisin on rumen fermentation, nutrient digestion, plasma metabolites, nitrogen utilization, and growth performance in growing lambs. J Anim Sci (2018) 96:1929– 38. doi: 10.1093/jas/sky086

6. Koenig KM, Newbold CJ, McIntosh FM, Rode LM. Effects of protozoa on bacterial nitrogen recycling in the rumen. J Anim Sci (2000) 78:2431-45. doi: 10.2527/2000.7892431x

 Fomenky BE, Do DN, Talbot G, Chiquette J, Bissonnette N, Chouinard YP, et al. Direct-fed microbial supplementation influences the bacteria community composition of the gastrointestinal tract of pre- and post-weaned calves. *Sci Rep* (2018) 8:14147. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-32375-5

8. Celi P, Cowieson AJ, Fru-Nji F, Steinert RE, Kluenter AM, Verlhac V. Gastrointestinal functionality in animal nutrition and health: new opportunities for sustainable animal production. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* (2017) 234:88–100. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2017.09.012

9. Uyeno Y, Shigemori S, Shimosato T. Effect of probiotics/prebiotics on cattle health and productivity. *Microbes Environ* (2015) 30:126-32. doi: 10.1264/jsme2.ME14176

10. Wang J, Fan H, Han Y, Zhao J, Zhou Z. Characterization of the microbial communities along the gastrointestinal tract of sheep by 454 pyrosequencing analysis. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* (2017) 30:100–10. doi: 10.5713/ajas.16.0166

11. Goto M, Ito C, Yahaya MS, Wakai Y, Asano S, YUKARI Oka Y, et al. Characteristics of microbial fermentation and potential digestibility of fiber in the hindgut of dugongs (Dugong dugon). *Mar Fresh Behav Physiol* (2004) 37:99–107. doi: 10.1080/1023624042000199926

12. Schmidt DA, Kerley MS, Dempsey JL, Porton IJ, Porter JH, Griffin ME, et al. Fiber digestibility by the orangutan (Pongo abelii): *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *J Zoo Wildl Med* (2005) 36:571–80. doi: 10.1638/04-103.1

13. Neumann LM, Dehority BA. An investigation of the relationship between fecal and rumen bacterial concentrations in sheep. *Zoo Biol* (2008) 27:100–8. doi: 10.1002/zoo.20166

14. Shanks OC, Kelty CA, Archibeque S, Jenkins M, Newton RJ, McLellan SL, et al. Community structures of fecal bacteria in cattle from different animal feeding operations. *Appl Environ Microbiol* (2011) 77:2992–3001. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02988-10

15. Kim M, Kim J, Kuehn LA, Bono JL, Berry ED, Kalchayanand N, et al. Investigation of bacterial diversity in the feces of cattle fed different diets. *J Anim Sci* (2014) 92:683–94. doi: 10.2527/jas.2013-6841

16. Ni X, Wang S, Ouyang Y, Zhao X, Li Y, Xue B, et al. Effect of dietary protein levels on enery metabolism of yunnan semi-fine wool sheep during the growth period. *Chin J Anim Sci* (2022) 58:190–5. doi: 10.19556/j.0258-7033.20210716-03

17. Tanca A, Fraumene C, Manghina V, Palomba A, Abbondio M, Deligios M, et al. Diversity and functions of the sheep faecal microbiota: a multi-omic characterization. *Microb Biotechnol* (2017) 10:541–54. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.12462

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1194425/full#supplementary-material

18. Al-Masaudi S, El Kaoutari A, Drula E, Redwan EM, Lombard V, Henrissat B. A metagenomics investigation of carbohydrate-active enzymes along the goat and camel intestinal tract. *Int Microbiol* (2019) 22:429–35. doi: 10.1007/s10123-019-00068-2

19. Al-Masaudi S, El Kaoutari A, Drula E, Al-Mehdar H, Redwan EM, Lombard V, et al. A metagenomics investigation of carbohydrate-active enzymes along the gastrointestinal tract of Saudi sheep. *Front Microbiol* (2017) 8:666. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00666

20. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet J* (2011) 17(1). doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200

21. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with bowtie 2. Nat Methods (2012) 9:357-9. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923

22. Peng Y, Leung HC, Yiu SM, Chin FY. IDBA-UD: a *de novo* assembler for singlecell and metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. *Bioinformatics* (2012) 28:1420–8. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts174

23. Li W, Godzik A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* (2006) 22:1658–9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158

24. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson HD. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat Methods (2015) 12:59-60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176

25. Jami E, Israel A, Kotser A, Mizrahi I. Exploring the bovine rumen bacterial community from birth to adulthood. *ISME J* (2013) 7:1069–79. doi: 10.1038/ ismej.2013.2

26. Bian G, Ma S, Zhu Z, Su Y, Zoetendal EG, Mackie R, et al. Age, introduction of solid feed and weaning are more important determinants of gut bacterial succession in piglets than breed and nursing mother as revealed by a reciprocal cross-fostering model. *Environ Microbiol* (2016) 18:1566–77. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13272

27. Hu J, Nie Y, Chen J, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Fan Q, et al. Gradual changes of gut microbiota in weaned miniature piglets. *Front Microbiol* (2016) 7:1727. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01727

28. Chen L, Xu Y, Chen X, Fang C, Zhao L, Chen F. The maturing development of gut microbiota in commercial piglets during the weaning transition. *Front Microbiol* (2017) 8:1688. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01688

29. Li N, Huang S, Jiang L, Wang W, Li T, Zuo B, et al. Differences in the gut microbiota establishment and metabolome characteristics between low- and normalbirth-weight piglets during early-life. *Front Microbiol* (2018) 9:1798. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2018.01798

30. Ren Z, Yao R, Liu Q, Deng Y, Shen L, Deng H, et al. Effects of antibacterial peptides on rumen fermentation function and rumen microorganisms in goats. *PloS One* (2019) 14:e0221815. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0221815

31. Backhed F, Roswall J, Peng Y, Feng Q, Jia H, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Dynamics and stabilization of the human gut microbiome during the first year of life. *Cell Host Microbe* (2015) 17:690–703. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.004

 Kostic AD, Gevers D, Siljander H, Vatanen T, Hyötyläinen T, Hämäläinen AM, et al. The dynamics of the human infant gut microbiome in development and in progression toward type 1 diabetes. *Cell Host Microbe* (2015) 17:260–73. doi: 10.1016/ j.chom.2015.01.001

33. Brulc JM, Antonopoulos DA, Miller ME, Wilson MK, Yannarell AC, Dinsdale EA, et al. Gene-centric metagenomics of the fiber-adherent bovine rumen microbiome reveals forage specific glycoside hydrolases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2009) 106:1948–53. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0806191105

34. Dowd SE, Callaway TR, Wolcott RD, Sun Y, McKeehan T, Hagevoort RG, et al. Evaluation of the bacterial diversity in the feces of cattle using 16S rDNA bacterial tagencoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP). *BMC Microbiol* (2008) 8:125. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-8-125 35. Durso LM, Harhay GP, Smith TP, Bono JL, Desantis TZ, Harhay DM, et al. Animal-to-animal variation in fecal microbial diversity among beef cattle. *Appl Environ Microbiol* (2010) 76:4858–62. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00207-10

36. Ley RE, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Turnbaugh PJ, Ramey RR, Bircher JS, et al. Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. *Science* (2008) 320:1647–51. doi: 10.1126/science.1155725

37. Rice WC, Galyean ML, Cox SB, Dowd SE, Cole NA. Influence of wet distillers grains diets on beef cattle fecal bacterial community structure. *BMC Microbiol* (2012) 12:25. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-25

38. Afridi OK, Ali J, Chang JH. Next-generation sequencing based gut resistome profiling of broiler chickens infected with multidrug-resistant escherichia coli. *Anim* (*Basel*) (2020) 10:2350. doi: 10.3390/ani10122350

39. Zhao W, Wang Y, Liu S, Huang J, Zhai Z, He C, et al. The dynamic distribution of porcine microbiota across different ages and gastrointestinal tract segments. *PloS One* (2015) 10:e0117441. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117441

40. Mu Y, Lin X, Wang Z, Hou Q, Wang Y, Hu Z. High-production dairy cattle exhibit different rumen and fecal bacterial community and rumen metabolite profile than low-production cattle. *MicrobiologyOpen* (2019) 8:e00673. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.673

41. Purushe J, Fouts DE, Morrison M, White BA, Mackie RI, et al. Comparative genome analysis of prevotella ruminicola and prevotella bryantii: insights into their environmental niche. *Microbial Ecol* (2010) 60:721–9. doi: 10.1007/s00248-010-9692-8

42. Stevenson DM, Weimer PJ. Dominance of prevotella and low abundance of classical ruminal bacterial species in the bovine rumen revealed by relative quantification real-time PCR. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* (2007) 75:165–74. doi: 10.1007/s00253-006-0802-v

43. Jami E, Mizrahi I. Composition and similarity of bovine rumen microbiota across individual animals. *PloS One* (2017) 7:e33306. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0033306

44. Ivarsson E, Roos S, Liu HY, Lindberg JE. Fermentable non-starch polysaccharides increases the abundance of bacteroides-Prevotella-Porphyromonas in ileal microbial community of growing pigs. *Animal* (2014) 8:1777–87. doi: 10.1017/S1751731114001827

45. De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M, Ramazzotti M, Poullet JB, Massart S, et al. Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2010) 107:14691–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1005963107

46. Benus RF, van der Werf TS, Welling GW, Judd PA, Taylor MA, Harmsen HJ, et al. Association between faecalibacterium prausnitzii and dietary fibre in colonic fermentation in healthy human subjects. *Br J Nutr* (2010) 104:693–700. doi: 10.1017/S0007114510001030

47. Barcenilla A, Pryde SE, Martin JC, Duncan SH, Stewart CS, Henderson C, et al. Phylogenetic relationships of butyrate-producing bacteria from the human gut. *Appl Environ Microbiol* (2000) 66:1654–61. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.4.1654-1661.2000 48. Liu H, Wang J, He T, Becker S, Zhang G, Li D, et al. Butyrate: a double-edged sword for health? *Adv Nutr (Bethesda Md.)* (2018) 9:21–9. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmx009

49. Turroni S, Brigidi P, Cavalli A, Candela M. Microbiota-host transgenomic metabolism, bioactive molecules from the inside. *J Med Chem* (2018) 61:47–61. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00244

50. Flint HJ, Bayer EA. Plant cell wall breakdown by anaerobic microorganisms from the mammalian digestive tract. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* (2008) 1125:280–8. doi: 10.1196/annals.1419.022

51. Jami E, White BA, Mizrahi I. Potential role of the bovine rumen microbiome in modulating milk composition and feed efficiency. *PloS One* (2014) 9:e85423. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085423

52. Joseph N, Clayton JB, Hoops SL, Linhardt CA, Mohd Hashim A, Mohd Yusof BN, et al. Alteration of the gut microbiome in normal and overweight school children from selangor with *lactobacillus* fermented milk administration. *Evolution Bioinf Online* (2020) 16:1176934320965943. doi: 10.1177/1176934320965943

53. Zhu H, Paradis FW, Krell PJ, Phillips JP, Forsberg CW. Enzymatic specificities and modes of action of the two catalytic domains of the XynC xylanase from fibrobacter succinogenes \$85. *J Bacteriol* (1994) 176:3885–94. doi: 10.1128/jb.176.13.3885-3894.1994

54. Christel BM, Yves R, Evelyne F. Fiber-degrading systems of different strains of the genus fibrobacter. *Appl Environ Microbiol* (2004) 70:2172–9. doi: 10.1128/ AEM.70.4.2172-2179.2004

55. Sutton JD, Dhanoa MS, Morant SV, France J, Napper DJ, Schuller E. Rates of production of acetate, propionate, and butyrate in the rumen of lactating dairy cows given normal and low-roughage diets. *J Dairy Sci* (2003) 86:3620–33. doi: 10.3168/ jds.S0022-0302(03)73968-X

56. Branine ME, Galyean ML. Influence of grain and monensin supplementation on ruminal fermentation, intake, digesta kinetics and incidence and severity of frothy bloat in steers grazing winter wheat pastures. *J Anim Sci* (1990) 68:1139–50. doi: 10.2527/1990.6841139x

57. Wang WJ, Yang WR, Wang Y, Song EL, Liu XM, Wan FC. Effects of soybean small peptides on rumen fermentation and on intestinal and total tract digestion of luxi yellow cattle. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* (2013) 26:72–81. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2012.12277

58. Yu J, Feng Q, Wong SH, Zhang D, Liang QY, Qin Y, et al. Metagenomic analysis of faecal microbiome as a tool towards targeted non-invasive biomarkers for colorectal cancer. *Gut* (2017) 66:70–8. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309800

59. Wang C, Li P, Yan Q, Chen L, Li T, Zhang W, et al. Characterization of the pig gut microbiome and antibiotic resistome in industrialized feedlots in China. *mSystems* (2019) 4:e00206–19. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00206-19

60. Lamendella R, Domingo JW, Ghosh S, Martinson J, Oerther DB. Comparative fecal metagenomics unveils unique functional capacity of the swine gut. *BMC Microbiol* (2011) 11:103. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-11-103

61. Liu J, Taft DH, Maldonado-Gomez MX, Johnson D, Treiber ML, Lemay DG, et al. The fecal resistome of dairy cattle is associated with diet during nursing. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10:4406. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12111-x