Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Claus Yding Andersen, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

REVIEWED BY

Erik Hrabovszky, Institute of Experimental Medicine (MTA), Hungary Vito Salvador Hernandez, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

*CORRESPONDENCE Gergely Kovács Sergely.kovacs@aok.pte.hu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Reproduction, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 13 July 2022 ACCEPTED 12 December 2022 PUBLISHED 04 January 2023

CITATION

Kövesdi E, Udvarácz I, Kecskés A, Szőcs S, Farkas S, Faludi P, Jánosi TZ, Ábrahám IM and Kovács G (2023) 17βestradiol does not have a direct effect on the function of striatal cholinergic interneurons in adult mice *in vitro*. *Front.* Endocrinol. 13:993552. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.993552

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Kövesdi, Udvarácz, Kecskés, Szőcs, Farkas, Faludi, Jánosi, Ábrahám and Kovács. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

17β -estradiol does not have a direct effect on the function of striatal cholinergic interneurons in adult mice *in vitro*

Erzsébet Kövesdi^{1,2}, Ildikó Udvarácz^{1,2}, Angéla Kecskés^{2,3}, Szilárd Szőcs^{1,3}, Szidónia Farkas^{1,2}, Péter Faludi^{1,2}, Tibor Z. Jánosi^{1,2}, István M. Ábrahám^{1,2} and Gergely Kovács^{1,2*}

¹Institute of Physiology, Medical School, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary, ²Centre for Neuroscience, Szentágothai Research Centre, Pécs, Hungary, ³Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy, Medical School, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

The striatum is an essential component of the basal ganglia that is involved in motor control, action selection and motor learning. The pathophysiological changes of the striatum are present in several neurological and psychiatric disorder including Parkinson's and Huntington's diseases. The striatal cholinergic neurons are the main regulators of striatal microcircuitry. It has been demonstrated that estrogen exerts various effects on neuronal functions in dopaminergic and medium spiny neurons (MSN), however little is known about how the activity of cholinergic interneurons are influenced by estrogens. In this study we examined the acute effect of 17β -estradiol on the function of striatal cholinergic neurons in adult mice in vitro. We also tested the effect of estrus cycle and sex on the spontaneous activity of cholinergic interneurons in the striatum. Our RNAscope experiments showed that $ER\alpha$, $ER\beta$, and GPER1 receptor mRNAs are expressed in some striatal cholinergic neurons at a very low level. In cell-attached patch clamp experiments, we found that a high dose of 17 β -estradiol (100 nM) affected the spontaneous firing rate of these neurons only in old males. Our findings did not demonstrate any acute effect of a low concentration of 17β -estradiol (100 pM) or show any association of estrus cycle or sex with the activity of striatal cholinergic neurons. Although estrogen did not induce changes in the intrinsic properties of neurons, indirect effects via modulation of the synaptic inputs of striatal cholinergic interneurons cannot be excluded.

KEYWORDS

17β-estradiol, cholinergic, striatum, RNAscope, estrogen receptor

1 Introduction

Basal ganglia are a group of deep subcortical nuclei in the brain that are essential for motor learning, formation of procedural memory and motor control. The striatum is the major input nucleus of the basal ganglia and is composed of two regions, the dorsal and the ventral striatum. In primates, the caudate nucleus and the putamen form the dorsal striatum, which corresponds to the dorsomedial (DMS) and dorsolateral (DLS) striatum in rodents, respectively. The DMS and the DLS receive inputs from different areas of the cortex, namely, afferents from the prefrontal and the associative cortex reach the DMS, whereas information from the sensorimotor area conveyed to the DLS (1, 2). Based on histochemical identification, the dorsal striatum is composed of two main compartments known as patches (striosomes) and matrix (3). The dorsal striatum is mostly involved in motor learning, action selection, execution and termination. The ventral striatum is composed of the nucleus accumbens and the olfactory tubercle, and is mainly engaged in goal-directed movement and rewardrelated behavior (2, 4).

Most of the striatal neurons are GABAergic medium spiny neurons (MSN) also known as spiny projection neurons (SPN). They form the sole output of the striatum (direct and indirect pathways). The remaining ~5% of the striatal neurons consist of different classes of aspiny interneurons including parvalbuminpositive, fast-spiking neurons, somatostatin-positive lowthreshold spiking neurons, calretinin-positive neurons, and cholinergic interneurons (5).

Cholinergic neurons form a specific population of neurons in the brain that synthesize and release acetylcholine (ACh) as a neurotransmitter. Using specific markers for the intracellular metabolism of acetylcholine such as choline acetyl-transferase (CHAT), acetylcholine esterase (AChE), vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VAChT), or high-affinity choline transporter 1 (ChT1), cholinergic neurons were identified and localized in several discrete brain regions including the striatum (6). Although only ~1% of the striatal neurons are cholinergic interneurons, the highest levels of cholinergic markers are found in the striatum. Despite the fact that the somata of cholinergic interneurons are mostly located in the flanking region of the extrastriosomal matrix compartment of the striatum, cholinergic interneurons regulate and modulate the function of almost all striatal neurons in both striatosomes and the matrix compartment, innervating them with very extensive and massive axonal arborizations (3, 7). The identification of striatal cholinergic neurons (ChINs) is easy, based on the expression of the aforementioned specific neurochemical markers, their distinct morphological appearance (giant aspiny neurons with large (15-50 µm) soma), and unique electrophysiological parameters such as a relatively depolarized resting membrane potential, Ih current, prominent

afterhyperpolarization and wide action potential (8). In addition, striatal ChINs act as autonomous pacemakers. Several studies suggest that in vitro, spontaneously firing ChINs most probably correspond to tonically active neurons (TANs) identified by in vivo recordings in the putamen (9). The ChINs express several receptors for different neurotransmitters as they play a central role in the striatal circuitry. They receive significant input from midbrain dopaminergic neurons (D2/D5 receptors), and other striatal ChINs (nAChR and mAChR). They also have extensive glutamatergic innervation from both the cortex and several thalamic nuclei (ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors) and a variety of GABAergic inputs (GABA_A receptors) (10, 11). On the other hand, besides modulating the activity of GABAergic and glutamatergic striatal afferents, striatal ChINs exert direct postsynaptic effects on MSN activity, which are the main output of the striatum, via primarily M1 subtypes of mAChRs (7).

In the nervous system estrogens play a role in sexual differentiation, synaptic plasticity, neuronal differentiation, and neuroprotection. Estrogens also modulate several striatal functions (12–14).

The cellular effects of estrogens are mediated by three different G protein-coupled receptors, namely ER α , ER β , and G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER1 or GPR30). These receptors could reside in the nucleus (ER α and ER β) or have an extranuclear localization. Although using *in situ* hybridization, a few groups (15, 16) detected no expression of ER α and ER β mRNA in the striatum, the majority of previous studies showed that the expression of estrogen receptors in the rodent dorsal striatum was sparse and was weak to moderate even in positive cells (17–22). In addition, recent findings demonstrate that ER α and ER β expression are high in mouse pups and decreases with time resulting in low or very low expression in adults (23, 24). Finally, ER α and GPER1 were detected in a small proportion of cholinergic interneurons using electron microscopy (17).

Striatal behavior as assessed using locomotor tests showed large differences between male and female animals under resting conditions or after psychostimulant administration (see (13) for review).

Estrogens have a wide variety of genomic and non-genomic effects on the dopaminergic system and MSN neurons in the striatum (see (12–14, 25) for reviews). Striatal cholinergic neurons have a pivotal role in the modulation and function of striatal microcircuitry interacting DA and MSN neurons among many others (10).

Although there is an extensive literature about the effect of estrogens on dopaminergic neurons there is not much information available about estrogens and striatal cholinergic neurons. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine how 17β -estradiol and sex affect the spontaneous activity of cholinergic interneurons *in vitro* in the murine dorsal striatum.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Animals

All animals (35 transgenic and 6 wild type C57Bl/6) were bred and kept in the temperature- and humidity-controlled animal facility of the Szentágothai Research Center under a 12-hour light/12-hour dark light cycle. The animals used in the experiments were fed with a standard chow and had access to water ad libitum. All experiments were performed on adult mice older than 3 months in accordance with the regulations of the European Community Council Directive and the Animal Welfare Committee of the University of Pécs. To generate ChAT-Cre-tdTomato transgenic mice ChAT-IRES-Cre knock in mice (B6,129S6-*Chat*^{tm2(cre)Lowl}/J) and the reporter mouse line B6,129S6-*Gt*(*ROSA*)26Sor^{tm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze}/J were crossed.

2.2 Tissue fixation and slice preparation

Animals anaesthetized with 0.3-0.35 ml of 2.5% Avertin were transcardially perfusion-fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) following perfusion with 0.9% physiological saline solution. Brains were removed and postfixed in 4% PFA overnight. Thereafter, samples were cryoprotected by incubating them in TBS (50 mM Tris, 150 mm NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 30% sucrose at +4°C for 8 hours. Next day, 50 μ m sagittal sections kept on dry ice were cut for immunofluorescence staining using a sliding microtome (Leica SM2010 R), and the obtained slices were stored in anti-freeze solution (40 mM Na₂HPO₄, 6 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20% (v/v) glycerin, and 30% (v/v) ethylene glycol at – 20°C until further processing. For RNAscope experiments, 30 μ m coronal sections (Bregma +0.14 to +0.4 mm) were prepared from 3-3 male and female wild type C57Bl/6 animals as described above. In some cases, 50 μ M sagittal slices were used.

2.3 Immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry (IHC)

For immunofluorescence staining the cryoprotected slices were washed three times in TBS. Next, tissue permeabilization and blocking of non-specific antibody binding was performed by incubating the slices in 10% horse serum and 0.2% Triton X-100 containing TBS solution at room temperature for 2 hours followed by three washes in TBS. Thereafter, the slices were incubated with goat anti-CHAT (antibody registry number: AB 90650) or goat anti-parvalbumin primary antibody (antibody registry number: AB 2650496) at 1:1000 dilution in blocking solution (10% horse serum and 0.05% Triton X-100 containing TBS) at +4°C for 72 hours. Following three washes in TBS, slices were incubated in blocking solution containing donkey anti-goat secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa647 fluorophore (antibody registry number: AB 2340437) at room temperature for two hours. After three consecutive washes in TBS, nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 at 1:10000 dilution at room temperature for 5 minutes. Following the final three washes in TBS slices were mounted on microscope slides and covered with Prolong GOLD mounting medium.

We also performed NiDAB immunohistochemical staining for cholinergic neurons in some experiments. Here, following three consecutive 10-minute washes with TBS, the endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating the slices with 1% H₂O₂ in 10% methanol at room temperature for 15 minutes. The permeabilization, the blocking and the incubation step with goat anti-CHAT antibody (antibody registry number: AB 90650) was performed as described above. Thereafter, three consecutive washes with TBS were followed by incubation with biotinylated donkey anti-goat secondary antibody (antibody registry number: AB 2340397) diluted at 1:200 in blocking solution at room temperature for 2 hours. To detect the bound secondary antibodies, slices were incubated with avidin/ peroxidase complex (Vectastain Elite ABC HRP kit, PK-6100, Vector Laboratories) diluted in blocking solution after three consecutive washes. Finally, NiDAB in 0.1 M acetate buffer was applied to cover the slices and the samples were developed until the desired color reaction could be observed by monitoring it with a brightfield microscope. Termination of development was achieved by rinsing the slides with Tris buffer. After drying the slices on slides, samples were dehydrated with an ascending concentration series of ethanol washes and mounted using DPX mounting medium.

IHC-stained and fluorescence slices were imaged with a Mantra Quantitative pathology workstation, or a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal laser scanning microscope system (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with violet-diode (405 nm), multiline argon (457–517 nm), and solid-state (543, 561 nm and 633 nm) lasers, respectively. Images were taken with a 20x (N.A. 0.75) objective using ZEN 2.3 imaging software. Post-acquisition image processing was performed in Fiji software.

2.4 RNAscope and confocal laser scanning microscopy

In 30 μ m thick, paraformaldehyde-fixed coronal brain sections mRNA transcripts of *estrogen receptors* (ER α , Er β , and GPER1), and *choline acyltransferase* (CHAT), were visualized with a multiplex fluorescence RNAscope *in situ* hybridization assay (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Newark, CA) (see Table 1). Following three consecutive washes in TBS freefloating sections were mounted on Superfrost Plus Gold adhesion slides (Thermo Scientific, 630-1324, VWR). The labeling of the selected transcripts was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Amplification and detection steps for the selected estrogen receptor and CHAT were

	Male			Female		
	Total CHAT neurons	ER+ CHAT neurons	% CHAT neurons expressing ER	Total CHAT neurons	ER+ CHAT neurons	% CHAT neurons expressing ER
ERα	286	28	8.71	167	37	21.85
ERβ	231	119	51.52	156	59	37.82
GPER1	184	26	14.13	153	11	7.19
Striatel chalinerric neurons ware counted in fluorescently labeled RNA scone slices for each extranen recentor time obtained from 3 male and 3 female mice. The percentage of the						

TABLE 1 Expression of estrogen receptor mRNAs in striatal cholinergic interneurons.

Striatal cholinergic neurons were counted in fluorescently labeled RNAscope slices for each estrogen receptor type obtained from 3 male and 3 female mice. The percentage of the estrogen receptor expressing CHAT+ cells were calculated by dividing the number of ER+ cholinergic neurons with the total number of cholinergic neurons in one slice.

carried out sequentially. To ensure the specific staining of estrogen receptors transcripts, labeling of these mRNAs was performed before labeling CHAT mRNA. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342, and stained sections were mounted with ProLong Diamond Antifade mountant. After 24 hours curing in the mounting medium, slices were sealed with nail polisher. 3-plex negative control probes for mouse tissue were used on two slices each time RNAscope labeling was performed.

Sections were imaged using a Nikon C2+ confocal laser scanning imaging system in less than one week later. During each imaging session a fluorescence, stitched, large overview image of the whole slice was taken first using a 10x objective (N.A. 0.45). Next, using high magnification objectives (60x or 100x, N.A. 1.4) 12-bit fluorescent images (512 x 512 pixels) were taken at a Nyquist sampling rate. Because the abundance of transcripts for estrogen receptors are low in the striatum, and somata of striatal cholinergic neurons are large, z-scans were carried out for the entire somata of individual cholinergic interneurons with 1 µm interslice distance, and a pinhole size less than one Airy unit. The laser power and the gain of the photomultiplier tube for each channel were set during imaging slices labeled with the 3-plex negative probes. All images were taken using the same imaging parameters during one imaging session. The localization of each imaged striatal cholinergic interneuron was saved on a superimposed, fluorescent overview image.

The image analysis of the obtained z-stacks was performed in Fiji software using the 3D object counter plug-in. The optimal size and intensity thresholds were selected analyzing slides labeled with negative control probes. The expression of estrogen receptors in striatal cholinergic interneurons were scored based on ACD scoring criteria: Score 0 (no expression): 0/cell, Score 1: 1-3 dots/cell, Score 2: 4-9 dots/cell.

2.5 Preparation of acute brain slices

ChAT-Cre-tdTomato transgenic mice under deep isoflurane anesthesia were decapitated, and the brain was removed from the skull. 300 μ m thick, sagittal brain slices

were cut with a vibratome (Leica VT1200s) in an ice-cold NMDG-ACSF solution composed of (in mM) 92 N-methyl-Dglucamine, 2.5 KCl, 30 NaHCO3, 20 HEPES, 25 glucose, 2 thiourea, 5 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 0.5 CaCl₂·2H₂O, and 10 MgSO₄·7H₂O. Upon finishing the cutting procedure, the slices were transferred into a pre-warmed (32°C) recovery vessel filled with NMDG-ACSF bubbled with 5% CO2:95% O2 gas mixture for 5-10 minutes. Finally, the slices were transferred into a long-term holding chamber filled with HEPES-ACSF solution consisting of (in mM): 92 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 30 NaHCO3, 20 HEPES, 25 glucose, 2 thiourea, 5 Naascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 2 CaCl₂·2H₂O, and 2 MgSO₄·7H₂O. The HEPES-ACSF holding solution was continuously bubbled with a gas mixture of 5% CO_2 :95% O_2 and kept at room temperature. Slices were kept in holding solution for an additional one hour to recover. The pH of all solutions was adjusted to 7.4.

2.6 Electrophysiology

Electrophysiological experiments were performed on a Nikon Eclipse FN-1 upright microscope. Cells were visualized with infrared differential interference contrast (DIC) optics using a Nikon 40x NIR Apo N2 water dipping objective (N.A. 0.8). Cholinergic neurons expressing tdTomato fluorescent proteins were illuminated with an epifluorescence excitation light source (CoolLED pE-300). Fluorescence signals were detected with an Andor Zyla 5.5 sCMOS camera.

Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries with filament (O.D. 1.5 mm, I.D: 1.1 mm) using a Narishige vertical pipette puller. Pipette resistance was between 3-7 M Ω .

In all experiments acute brain slices were constantly superfused with standard artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) composed of (in mM): 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 24 NaHCO3, 5 HEPES, 12.5 glucose, 2 CaCl₂·2H₂O, and 2 MgSO₄·7H₂O with a pH adjusted to 7.4 and bubbled with a 95% O₂/5% CO₂ gas mixture. Experiments were carried out at 32°C. All drugs were applied into the bath solution *via* superfusion at least for 5 minutes. 17β-estradiol was dissolved in absolute ethanol to obtain 10 mM stock solution. 17β-estradiol stock solution was diluted 1:100000 to reach 100 nM concentration, and further diluted 1:1000 to obtain 100 pM concentration.

In loose patch or cell-attached patch experiments patch pipettes were filled with the standard ACSF. The liquid junction potential was around zero because the composition of the solutions in the bath and the pipette was the same. Measurements were mostly carried out in current clamp mode using 0 mA holding current. In some tight cell-attached experiment recordings were made in voltage clamp mode using a command potential resulting in zero current passing across the patch. Under these conditions the spontaneous firing pattern is not affected (26). Signals were low pass filtered with 4kHz Bessel filter and digitized at 50 kHz (Digidata 1550B, Molecular Devices).

Offline data analysis was carried out using Clampfit 10.7 software (Molecular Devices). The average frequency of the neuronal action potential firing and the local variation of the interspike intervals over 5 minutes periods were. The local variation (27) was defined as:

$$L_{\nu} = \frac{3}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{I_i - I_{i+1}}{I_i + I_{i+1}} \right)^2$$

As compared to the coefficient of variation, the local variation is a better firing metric, because it is insensitive to firing rate fluctuations and represents the instantaneous variability of interspike intervals more closely (28).

In cell-attached experiments with a pipette-cell seal resistance over 1 G Ω , the resting membrane potential could be recorded in current-clamp mode (26, 29).

2.7 Determination of estrous cycles by vaginal smear

Vaginal smears were taken from female mice by application of 100 μ l of physiological saline solution into the vagina followed by aspiration of the flushed fluids. Samples were immediately placed and smeared on glass microscope slides and allowed to dry at room temperature. Dried smears were stained with methylene blue solution for 1 min and washed in tap water. The estrus state was determined using a light microscope with 10x objective (30).

2.8 Statistical analysis

For data analysis and graph generation Microsoft Excel 2018 and GraphPad Prism 8 software were used. Data are represented in figures either as sample median \pm range or as individual data points. The normal distribution of the sample data was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The obtained average firing rate and the local variation data of control and estrogen-treated groups were compared with Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank t-test. The comparison of non-paired experimental data was tested with Kolgomorov-Smirnov test (comparing two groups) or nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test (comparing several groups). The sample size was based on reports in related literature and was not predetermined by calculation.

3 Results

3.1 Expression pattern of tdTomato fluorescent protein in the dorsal striatum of ChAT-Cre-tdTomato animals

First, we tested how many cells have ectopic expression tdTomato fluorescence protein in the dorsal striatum. The immunohistochemical staining for CHAT protein showed the well-known morphological characteristics of the giant, aspiny cholinergic interneurons (Figure 1A). As depicted in Figure 1B, the fluorescence image of the immunohistochemical staining revealed that only a negligible fraction of the tdTomato-positive cells was CHAT-negative. The only cell found to be noncholinergic is marked with an arrow in Figure 1B. The morphology and expression pattern of the fluorescent, tdTomato-expressing cells in the fluorescent image of the dorsal striatum clearly resemble striatal cholinergic interneuron cells (Supplementary 1). Furthermore, immunofluorescent labeling of the CHAT protein showed almost complete colocalization whereas absolutely no colocalization was observed between parvalbumin- and CHAT-positive neurons (Figure 2). Our data showed that 97.72% of the tdTomato-expressing cells were cholinergic interneurons (927 of 939 cells n = 5 animals).

3.2 Expression of ER α , ER β , and GPER1 mRNA in the cholinergic interneurons of the dorsal striatum

Using RNAscope *in situ* hybridization we found that many cholinergic neurons express no detectable ER α mRNA (Figure 3) in either sex. A smaller fraction of cholinergic interneurons (8.71% in males, and 21.85% in females), showed weak ER α positivity (Table 1). We have to note that there were some non-cholinergic cells that expressed a moderate amount of ER α mRNA (Figure 3). Weak ER β mRNA expression was detected in 51.52% and 37.82% of cholinergic interneurons in males and females, respectively (Figure 4 and Table 1). The plasma membrane estrogen receptor (GPER1) mRNA was found in small amounts in some cholinergic interneurons in both sexes (Figure 5 and Table 1).



FIGURE 1

Cholinergic neurons in the striatum. Representative images of DAB immunohistochemistry for CHAT in the striatum from adult female ChAT-Cre-tdTomato transgenic mouse (Panel **A**, brightfield image, and Panel **(B)**, fluorescence image). In panel **B** the white cell represents non-cholinergic but tdTomato-positive cells (white arrow), whereas cholinergic, CHAT-positive interneurons are black in both panels. 10x magnification, scale bar represents 100μ m.



CHAT and parvalbumin (PV) staining in the striatum from a ChAT-Cre-tdTomato transgenic adult female mouse. Representative images show CHAT- and PV-positive cells in green in a sagittal section of the striatum in Panels (A, B) respectively. TdTomato-expressing cells are presented in red. CHAT+ cells are marked with filled circles in Panel (C), while open green circles represent PV+ cells in Panel (D). TdTomato-expressing cells are marked with open red circles in both Panels (C, D). 20x magnification, scale bar presents 100 μ m.



FIGURE 3

Estrogen receptor alpha mRNA expression in striatal cholinergic neurons. Overview image of the right side of a coronal section obtained from an adult male mouse brain is presented in Panel (A) (10x). Green fluorescence labeling show cholinergic cells expressing CHAT mRNA (Panel A). White and green circles mark ER α -negative and ER α -positive striatal cholinergic neurons, respectively. Non-cholinergic cells with strong ER α positivity are highlighted with red circles. Cells expressing ER α at high level in the lateral septum are depicted in Panel (B) (60x). A representative ER α -positive striatal cholinergic neuron is shown in Panel (C) (blue: nuclei, green: CHAT mRNA, red: ER α mRNA, 60x). In Panel (D), one noncholinergic cell with abundant expression of ER α mRNA is presented (60x). CPu, caudate-putamen; aca, anterior limb of anterior commissure; MS, medial septum; HDB, horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca; Tu, olfactory tubercle; LV, lateral ventricle.



Estrogen receptor beta mRNA expression in striatal cholinergic neurons. CHAT mRNA-positive cells showing green fluorescence labeling in the right side of a coronal section are depicted in Panel (A) (10x). In the dorsal striatum white and green circles mark ER β -negative and ER β -positive cholinergic interneurons, respectively. Cells with high ER β mRNA expression in the medial preoptic area are presented in Panel (B) Representative confocal image shows the expression of ER β mRNA in 2 cholinergic interneurons in the dorsal striatum (blue: nuclei, green: CHAT mRNA, red: ER α mRNA, 60x) (Panel C). CPu, caudate-putamen; aca, anterior limb of anterior commissure; acp, posterior limb of anterior commissure; HDB, horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca; LV, lateral ventricle.



3.3 Effect of sex and age on spontaneous firing of cholinergic interneurons in the dorsal striatum in male and female mice

Dorsal striatal cholinergic interneurons are pacemaker cells that are able to fire spontaneously in the absence of any synaptic input (Figure 6A). The rate and variation of the spontaneous firing of these interneurons were measured between 10 and 15 minutes after a seal was established in order not to confound the results due to mechanical disturbance of seal formation. Nearly half of the patched neurons showed spontaneous activity at higher than 0.1% Hz frequency in both sexes (97/215 in males, 94/191 in females, respectively).We found no difference in the number of spontaneous active cells between sexes in old animals (age > 15 month), as 54.39% (31/57) of the patched striatal cholinergic neurons were active in females, and 54.10% (33/61) in males. The resting membrane potential measured in cells monitored in cell-attached mode (seal resistance is greater than 1 G Ω) in 61, 58, 12 and 10 neurons obtained from adult male and female, as well as old male and female animals, respectively. No significant difference was found among the different groups (-68.31 mV \pm 4.90 in adult males vs -64.72 mV \pm 5.63 in adult females, -63.05 mV \pm 2.68 in old males vs -61.84 mV \pm 8.26 in old females) (Figure 6B). We also did not observe any difference in frequency between sexes or detect any effect of age (1.26 Hz \pm 1.09 in adult males vs 1.11 Hz \pm 1.00 in adult females, p = 0.6754, 1.34 Hz \pm 1.33 in old males vs 1.42 \pm 1.04 in old females, p = 0.9984) (Figure 6C). In addition, local variation in spontaneous firing was not affected by either sex or age (0.43 \pm 0.27 in adult males vs 0.44 ± 0.24 in adult females, p = 0.957, 0.382 ± 029 in

old males vs 0.38 ± 0.20 in old females, p = 0.958) (Figure 6D). In female mice, both frequency and local variation were unaffected by the phase of estrous cycle (Figures 7A, B).

3.4 Rapid effect of 17β -estradiol on spontaneous firing activity of cholinergic interneurons

To test the rapid effect of 17β -estradiol superfused into bath, we measured the frequency and the local variation of the spontaneous firing of striatal cholinergic neurons over the first 5 minutes after the administration of 17β -estradiol. 17β estradiol at 100 pM concentration did not affect neither frequency nor the local variation of spontaneous firing in any of the examined groups (Figures 8A, 9A). In addition, 100 nM 17β -estradiol had no effect on local variation in adult or old females (Figures 8B, 9B). Interestingly, when we compared the adult (younger than 15 month) with the old (older than 15 month) male animals, 100 nM 17β -estradiol significantly lowered the local variation only in the old animals (from 0.235 \pm 0.118 to 0.178 \pm 0.085, n = 8, p = 0.0184) but not in the adult animals (from 0.316 \pm 0.210 to 0.252 \pm 0.157, n = 15, p = 0.1326).

4 Discussion

Sex and gonadal hormones can influence many neural functions to a large extent in different brain regions. Estrogens evoke two kinds of effect that are different in many ways. The



rapid effects can be evoked in seconds or minutes, and they do not activate the transcription of any target genes. The underlying mechanisms are non-genomic and mediated by extranuclear, mostly membrane-bound estrogen receptors such as ER α and GPER1. Various intracellular signaling pathways including phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathway, mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK)/extracellular regulated kinase (ERK) pathway, protein kinase A, and protein kinase C pathways are involved in rapid, non-genomic effects (31). Because estrogens are synthesized also in the brain and modulate many neuronal and glial cellular functions *via* nongenomic effects, they are considered as neurosteroids (32). In contrast, the genomic effects develop in hours to days, but they are long lasting because changes in gene transcription and protein synthesis are involved. The genomic effects of estrogens are mediated by the nuclear estrogen receptors ER α and ER β . Estrogens form a complex with estrogen receptors and that complex binds to the estrogen response element (ERE) in



of spontaneous activity in cholinergic interneurons in different phases of estrous cycle are presented in Panels (A, B), respectively.



the promoter region of the target genes resulting in the modulation of the transcriptional activity.

It is well documented that there are large sex-related differences in nigrostriatal and mesolimbic dopaminergic pathways (12, 13, 25). Ligand binding studies of striatal D1 and D2 dopaminergic receptors that indicate changes in expression and/or binding affinity showed clear sex differences in rodents (12, 13, 33). Studies performed on ovariectomized female rats showed that ovariectomy decreased both D1 and D2

ligand binding, which was prevented by administration of 17β estradiol (33–37). Administration of 17β -estradiol increased the binding to striatal D1 receptors in male mice after 6 days, but not at earlier timepoints after the treatment (38, 39). In contrast, binding to D2 receptors were decreased in both male and female mice after 24 hours (38). In non-human primates, D2 receptor availability was reported to be higher in the luteal phase as compared to the follicular phase, and the number of D1-D2 heteromeric complex expressing neurons and the density of D1-



5 minutes on spontaneous activity of cholinergic interneurons are shown in Panels (A, B), respectively. *p< 0.05.

10

D2 complexes were higher in females (40, 41). Besides the changes in dopamine receptor function by estrogens in the striatum, dopamine turnover is also greatly affected by estradiol. The expression of the dopamine transporter (DAT) was lower in males than females and the level of DAT was dependent on estrous cycle phase and greatly reduced by ovariectomy (40, 42–45).

Estrous cycle phases were also clearly associated with the level of extracellular dopamine concentrations in the striatum (highest in proestrus lowest in metestrus/diestrus). In addition, 17 β -estradiol rapidly enhanced K⁺- or amphetamine-induced dopamine release in the striatum suggesting underlying non-genomic mechanisms (see (12, 25) for reviews).

Dopaminergic input has a large effect on striatal cholinergic neurons. The predominant, D2-mediated, inhibitory effect is achieved by modulating the I_h current and enhancing the slow inactivation of voltage-gated Na⁺ channels. The synaptic input is reduced by inhibition of high-voltage-activated Ca²⁺channels. Dopamine enhances ACh release from striatal cholinergic neurons by promoting the opening of non-selective cation channels and the closure of K⁺ channels (see (7, 10) for reviews).

A rapid decrease in L-type calcium current and cAMP responsive-element-binding protein (CREB) phosphorylation induced by 17 β -estradiol *via* estrogen receptor alpha (ER α), estrogen receptor beta (ER β) and mGluR was demonstrated in striatal MSN (46, 47).

Therefore, the main goal of the present study was to examine the rapid effect of 17β-estradiol and the influence of sex on the spontaneous activity of striatal cholinergic interneurons. First, we examined the expression of estrogen receptors on cholinergic interneurons in the dorsal striatum. Because of the lack of specific antibodies, we performed RNAscope in situ hybridization to detect estrogen receptor mRNA in CHATpositive cells. Our data showed that subpopulations of cholinergic interneurons express at least one of the estrogen receptors at low levels. In addition, we found sex differences in estrogen receptor-positive populations of cholinergic interneurons. Here, we also observed some non-cholinergic cells that strongly express ERa mRNA. These data are in accordance with previously published data demonstrating the expression of estrogen receptors at low level in the dorsal striatum (17, 20-22). In addition, using electron microscopy, Almey et al. reported that ERa and GPER1 protein labeling is associated with axons and terminals of striatal cholinergic neurons (17). Furthermore, GABAergic medium spiny neurons, which innervate cholinergic interneurons, also express estrogen receptors (18). These data suggest that either directly or indirectly through MSN afferents, estrogens could modulate the activity of cholinergic interneurons.

To test this hypothesis we measured two parameters, namely frequency and local variation of spontaneous activity of cholinergic interneurons. We found that none of these parameters were affected by sex or the phase of the estrous cycle *in vitro* under resting conditions. There was also no difference in resting membrane potential between males and females. These data suggest that the locally produced endogenous estrogens do not have any influence on basal pacemaker activity of cholinergic interneurons. However, the mRNA expression of CHAT, the enzyme that synthesizes acetylcholine, fluctuates during the course of the estrous cycle in different regions of rat basal forebrain including the striatum (48). It was also reported that CHAT mRNA significantly increased in response to OVX (48). Although mRNA abundance might not correlate with the protein abundance, the basal acetylcholine release can be different between sexes and can be dependent on estrogen levels at the same spontaneous firing rate.

We also investigated whether 17β -estradiol can alter the spontaneous activity of cholinergic interneurons in a rapid, non-genomic way. We used 17B-estradiol at two different concentrations, namely 100 pM and 100 nM, that was used before in neuronal patch-clamp studies to investigate the rapid, non-genomic effect of 17β-estradiol on neuronal activity (49-52). Administration of 100 pM, the so called "physiological concentration" of 17β-estradiol, did not influence the frequency or the local variation of basal firing of cholinergic neurons in either sex. In addition, a large "pharmacological 100 nM dose" of 17β-estradiol did not induce any changes in females in 5 minutes. However, we found that while in adult animals there was only a tendency for a decrease in the local variation of spontaneous firing activity of ChINs induced by 100 nM 17 β -estradiol, in old animals it was clearly demonstratable. It should be noted that the physiological concentration of endogenous 17β-estradiol in the brain is still not known, so the physiological and the pharmacological concentrations refers to blood levels.

The information encoded in neuronal firing can occur in two ways: in the rate (rate coding) or in the temporal distribution (temporal coding) of spiking activity (53). The rapid effect of large dose of 17β-estradiol on the variation of spiking activity in males suggest that estrogen can rapidly modulate the striatal output via MSN activity by altering the regulatory function of cholinergic interneurons. The interpretation of this finding in the context of locomotor responses in rodents needs further investigation. Nevertheless, our data are consistent with blocking the production of endogenous estrogens, as aromatase inhibition did not alter the firing pattern discharge, the current-voltage relationship parameter, or the EPSC amplitude of cholinergic interneurons in male rats (54). On the other hand, long-term potentiation (LTP) induced by a high-frequency stimulation protocol was completely prevented by aromatase inhibition which was restored by the dopamine receptor 1 (D1R) agonist SKF-82958 (54). In addition, the increase in striatal acetylcholine level induced by the dopamine agonist apomorphine was significantly attenuated by moxestrol, a potent estrogen (55). These data suggest that cholinergic activity can be modulated by 17β -estradiol indirectly *via* dopaminergic afferents under certain circumstances.

In summary, we found that sex has no effect on basal activity of striatal cholinergic neurons, while a rapid, non-genomic effect of 17 β -estradiol at a pharmacological dose was observed on firing variability only in old males. Our data suggest that underlying mechanisms of sex differences in striatal behavior does not include differences in basal intrinsic electrophysiological properties of striatal cholinergic neurons. However, the possibility that E2 regulates ChINs indirectly *via* acting on its afferent cannot be excluded.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the Local Animal Care Committee of the University of Pécs (BAI/35/51-141/2016 University of Pécs, Hungary).

Author contributions

EK: design of the study, writing, data analysis. IU: perfusion, sectioning, immunohistochemistry, RNAscope *in situ* hybridization. AK: RNAscope *in situ* hybridization, validation, data analysis. SS: bright-field imaging, image analysis, data analysis and interpretation. SF: data analysis and interpretation. PF: RNAscope *in situ* hybridization, bright-field imaging, confocal imaging, data analysis and interpretation. TJ: data analysis. IÁ: conceptualization, funding acquisition. GK: design and supervision of the study, writing, critical reading, editing, and revising the manuscript. All authors were involved in the critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Research Grant of Medical School, University of Pécs (KA-2020-11), the project TKP2021-EGA-16 that has been implemented with the support provided from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the TKP2021-EGA funding scheme, the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA; 112807), the Hungarian Brain Research Program (KTIA_NAP_13-2014-0001, 20017-1.2.1-NKP-2017-00002), and the European Union and was co-financed by the European Social Fund under the following grants: EFOP-3.6.1.-16-2016-00004 (Comprehensive Development for Implementing Smart Specialization Strategies at the University of Pécs), EFOP 3.6.2-16-2017-00008 (The Role of Neuro-inflammation in Neurodegeneration: From Molecules to Clinics).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Catherine M Fuller (University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL, USA) for careful editing the manuscript for English language, grammar, and overall style. The research was performed in collaboration with the Nano-Bio-Imaging core facility and the animal facility at the Szentágothai Research Centre of the University of Pécs.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fendo.2022.993552/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY 1

TdTomato-expressing cells in a sagittal section of the striatum from adult ChAT-Cre-tdTomato mouse. Red fluorescent cells representing striatal cholinergic interneurons are shown in Panel **A**. Nuclear counterstaining and merged image are presented in Panels **B** and **C**, respectively. 10x magnification, scale bar presents 100 μ m.

References

1. Lanciego JL, Luquin N, Obeso JA. Functional neuroanatomy of the basal ganglia. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* (2012) 2:1-21. doi: 10.1101/ cshperspect.a009621

2. Cataldi S, Stanley AT, Miniaci MC, Sulzer D. Interpreting the role of the striatum during multiple phases of motor learning. *FEBS J* (2022) 289:2263–81. doi: 10.1111/febs.15908

3. Brimblecombe KR, Cragg SJ. The striosome and matrix compartments of the striatum: A path through the labyrinth from neurochemistry toward function. ACS Chem Neurosci (2017) 8:235–42. doi: 10.1021/acschemneuro.6b00333

4. Florio TM, Scarnati E, Rosa I, Di Censo D, Ranieri B, Cimini A, et al. The basal ganglia: More than just a switching device. *CNS Neurosci Ther* (2018) 24:677–84. doi: 10.1111/cns.12987

5. Kreitzer AC. Physiology and pharmacology of striatal neurons. Annu Rev Neurosci (2009) 32:127–47. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.051508.135422

6. Oda Y, Nakanishi I. The distribution of cholinergic neurons in the human central nervous system. *Histol Histopathol* (2000) 15:825–34. doi: 10.14760/HH-15.825

7. Bonsi P, Cuomo D, Martella G, Madeo G, Schirinzi T, Puglisi F, et al. Centrality of striatal cholinergic transmission in basal ganglia function. *Front Neuroanat* (2011) 5:6. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2011.00006

8. Gonzales KK, Smith Y. Cholinergic interneurons in the dorsal and ventral striatum: Anatomical and functional considerations in normal and diseased conditions. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* (2015) 1349:1–45. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12762

9. Apicella P. The role of the intrinsic cholinergic system of the striatum: What have we learned from TAN recordings in behaving animals? *Neuroscience* (2017) 360:81–94. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.07.060

10. Lim SAO, Kang UJ, McGehee DS. Striatal cholinergic interneuron regulation and circuit effects. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* (2014) 6:22. doi: 10.3389/fnsyn.2014.00022

11. Tanimura A, Pancani T, Lim SAO, Tubert C, Melendez AE, Shen W, et al. Striatal cholinergic interneurons and parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurosci* (2018) 47:1148–58. doi: 10.1111/ejn.13638

12. Yoest KE, Quigley JA, Becker JB. Rapid effects of ovarian hormones in dorsal striatum and nucleus accumbens. *Horm Behav* (2018) 104:119–29. doi: 10.1016/ j.yhbeh.2018.04.002

13. Meitzen J, Meisel RL, Mermelstein PG. Sex differences and the effects of estradiol on striatal function. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* (2018) 23:42–8. doi: 10.1016/ j.cobeha.2018.03.007

14. Krentzel AA, Meitzen J. Biological sex, estradiol and striatal medium spiny neuron physiology: A mini-review. *Front Cell Neurosci* (2018) 12:492. doi: 10.3389/ fncel.2018.00492

15. Kanaya M, Higo S, Ozawa H. Neurochemical characterization of neurons expressing estrogen receptor β in the hypothalamic nuclei of rats using *in situ* hybridization and immunofluorescence. *Int J Mol Sci* (2020) 21. doi: 10.3390/ ijms21010115

16. Shughrue PJ, Lane MV, Merchenthaler I. (1997) 525:507–25. @ of Estrogen Receptor- $_$ and - $_{\rm NL}$ mRNA.

17. Almey A, Filardo EJ, Milner TA, Brake WG. Estrogen receptors are found in glia and at extranuclear neuronal sites in the dorsal striatum of female rats: Evidence for cholinergic but not dopaminergic colocalization. *Endocrinology* (2012) 153:5373–83. doi: 10.1210/en.2012-1458

18. Almey A, Milner TA, Brake WG. Estrogen receptor α and G-protein coupled estrogen receptor 1 are localized to GABAergic neurons in the dorsal striatum. *Neurosci Lett* (2016) 622:118–23. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2016.04.023

19. Enterría-Morales D, López-López I, López-Barneo J, De Tassigny XDA. Striatal GDNF production is independent to circulating estradiol level despite panneuronal activation in the female mouse. *PloS One* (2016) 11:1–17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0164391

20. Küppers E, Beyer C. Expression of estrogen receptor- α and β mRNA in the developing and adult mouse striatum. *Neurosci Lett* (1999) 276:95–8. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3940(99)00815-0

21. Mitra SW, Hoskin E, Yudkovitz J, Pear L, Wilkinson HA, Hayashi S, et al. Immunolocalization of estrogen receptor β in the mouse brain: Comparison with estrogen receptor α . *Endocrinology* (2003) 144:2055–67. doi: 10.1210/en.2002-221069

22. Ghiglieri V, Napolitano F, Pelosi B, Schepisi C, Migliarini S, Di Maio A, et al. Rhes influences striatal cAMP/PKA-dependent signaling and synaptic plasticity in a gender-sensitive fashion. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:1–17. doi: 10.1038/srep10933

23. Krentzel AA, Willett JA, Johnson AG, Meitzen J. Estrogen receptor alpha, G-protein coupled estrogen receptor 1, and aromatase: Developmental, sex, and

region-specific differences across the rat caudate-putamen, nucleus accumbens core and shell. J Comp Neurol (2021) 529:786-801. doi: 10.1002/cne.24978

24. Drzewiecki CM, Sellinger EP, Juraska JM. Impact of pubertal onset on region-specific Esr2 expression. J Neuroendocrinol (2021) 33. doi: 10.1111/jne.13029

25. Zachry JE, Nolan SO, Brady LJ, Kelly SJ, Siciliano CA, Calipari ES. Sex differences in dopamine release regulation in the striatum. *Neuropsychopharmacol Off Publ Am Coll Neuropsychopharmacol* (2021) 46:491–9. doi: 10.1038/s41386-020-00915-1

26. Perkins KL. Cell-attached voltage-clamp and current-clamp recording and stimulation techniques in brain slices. *J Neurosci Methods* (2006) 154:1–18. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2006.02.010

27. Shinomoto S, Shima K, Tanji J. Differences in spiking patterns among cortical neurons. *Neural Comput* (2003) 15:2823-42. doi: 10.1162/089976603322518759

28. Shinomoto S, Kim H, Shimokawa T, Matsuno N, Funahashi S, Shima K, et al. Relating neuronal firing patterns to functional differentiation of cerebral cortex. *PloS Comput Biol* (2009) 5. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000433

29. Mason MJ, Simpson AK, Mahaut-Smith MP, Robinson HPC. The interpretation of current-clamp recordings in the cell-attached patch-clamp configuration. *Biophys J* (2005) 88:739–50. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.104.049866

30. Caligioni CS. Assessing reproductive status/stages in mice. *Curr Protoc Neurosci* (2009) Appendix 4:Appendix 4I. doi: 10.1002/0471142301.nsa04is48

31. Lai YJ, Yu D, Zhang JH, Chen GJ. Cooperation of genomic and rapid nongenomic actions of estrogens in synaptic plasticity. *Mol Neurobiol* (2017) 54:4113–26. doi: 10.1007/s12035-016-9979-y

32. Reddy DS. Neurosteroids. endogenous role in the human brain and therapeutic potentials. *Prog Brain Res* (2010) 186:113-37. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53630-3.00008-7

33. Lévesque D, Gagnon S, Di Paolo T. Striatal D1 dopamine receptor density fluctuates during the rat estrous cycle. *Neurosci Lett* (1989) 98:345–50. doi: 10.1016/0304-3940(89)90426-6

34. Lévesque D, Di Paolo T. Effect of the rat estrous cycle at ovariectomy on striatal d-1 dopamine receptors. *Brain Res Bull* (1990) 24:281–4. doi: 10.1016/0361-9230(90)90216-m

35. Tonnaer JADM, Leinders T, van Delft AML. Ovariectomy and subchronic estradiol-17 β administration decrease dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in rat striatum. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (1989) 14:469–76. doi: 10.1016/0306-4530 (89)90046-2

36. Le Saux M, Morissette M, Di Paolo T. ERβ mediates the estradiol increase of D2 receptors in rat striatum and nucleus accumbens. *Neuropharmacology* (2006) 50:451–7. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2005.10.004

37. Landry M, Lévesque D, Di Paolo T. Estrogenic properties of raloxifene, but not tamoxifen, on D2 and D3 dopamine receptors in the rat forebrain. *Neuroendocrinology* (2002) 76:214–22. doi: 10.1159/000065951

38. Ferretti C, Blengio M, Vigna I, Ghi P, Genazzani E. Effects of estradiol on the ontogenesis of striatal dopamine D1 and D2 receptor sites in male and female rats. *Brain Res* (1992) 571:212–7. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(92)90657-U

39. Hruska RE, Nowak MW. Estrogen treatment increases the density of D1 dopamine receptors in the rat striatum. *Brain Res* (1988) 442:349–50. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(88)91523-5

40. Hasbi A, Nguyen T, Rahal H, Manduca JD, Miksys S, Tyndale RF, et al. Sex difference in dopamine D1-D2 receptor complex expression and signaling affects depression-and anxiety-like behaviors. *Biol Sex Differ* (2020) 11:7–10. doi: 10.1186/s13293-020-00285-9

41. Czoty PW, Riddick NV, Gage HD, Sandridge M, Nader SH, Garg S, et al. Effect of menstrual cycle phase on dopamine D2 receptor availability in female cynomolgus monkeys. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2009) 34:548–54. doi: 10.1038/ npp.2008.3

42. Bossé R, Rivest R, Di Paolo T. Ovariectomy and estradiol treatment affect the dopamine transporter and its gene expression in the rat brain. *Mol Brain Res* (1997) 46:343–6. doi: 10.1016/S0169-328X(97)00082-X

43. Chavez C, Hollaus M, Scarr E, Pavey G, Gogos A, van den Buuse M. The effect of estrogen on dopamine and serotonin receptor and transporter levels in the brain: An autoradiography study. *Brain Res* (2010) 1321:51–9. doi: 10.1016/ j.brainres.2009.12.093

44. Dluzen DE, McDermott JL. Sex differences in dopamine- and vesicular monoamine-transporter functions: Implications for methamphetamine use and neurotoxicity. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* (2008) 1139:140–50. doi: 10.1196/annals.1432.010

45. Morissette M, Di Paolo T. Sex and estrous cycle variations of rat striatal dopamine uptake sites. *Neuroendocrinology* (1993) 58:16–22. doi: 10.1159/000126507

46. Grove-Strawser D, Boulware MI, Mermelstein PG. Membrane estrogen receptors activate the metabotropic glutamate receptors mGluR5 and mGluR3 to bidirectionally regulate CREB phosphorylation in female rat striatal neurons. *Neuroscience* (2010) 170:1045–55. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.08.012

47. Mermelstein PG, Becker JB, Surmeier DJ. Estradiol reduces calcium currents in rat neostriatal neurons *via* a membrane receptor. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* (1996) 16:595–604. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-02-00595.1996

48. Gibbs RB. Fluctuations in relative levels of choline acetyltransferase mRNA in different regions of the rat basal forebrain across the estrous cycle: Effects of estrogen and progesterone. *J Neurosci* (1996) 16:1049–55. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.16-03-01049.1996

49. Wartenberg P, Farkas I, Csillag V, Colledge WH, Hrabovszky E, Boehm U. Sexually dimorphic neurosteroid synthesis regulates neuronal activity in the murine brain. *J Neurosci* (2021) 41:9177–91. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0885-21.2021

50. Herbison AE. Rapid actions of oestrogen on gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons; from fantasy to physiology? *J Physiol* (2009) 587:5025-30. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2009.179838

51. Romanò N, Lee K, Ábrahám IM, Jasoni CL, Herbison AE. Nonclassical estrogen modulation of presynaptic GABA terminals modulates calcium dynamics in gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons. *Endocrinology* (2008) 149:5335–44. doi: 10.1210/en.2008-0424

52. Chu Z, Andrade J, Shupnik MA, Moenter SM. Differential regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone neuron activity and membrane properties by acutely applied estradiol: Dependence on dose and estrogen receptor subtype. *J Neurosci* (2009) 29:5616–27. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0352-09.2009

53. Kuebler ES, Thivierge J-P. Spiking variability: Theory, measures and implementation in matlab. *Quant Methods Psychol* (2014) 10:131-42. doi: 10.20982/tqmp.10.2.p131

54. Tozzi A, de Iure A, Tantucci M, Durante V, Quiroga-Varela A, Giampà C, et al. Endogenous 17 β -estradiol is required for activity-dependent long-term potentiation in the striatum: Interaction with the dopaminergic system. *Front Cell Neurosci* (2015) 9:192. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2015.00192

55. Euvrard C, Labrie F, Boissier JR. Effect of estrogen on changes in the activity of striatal cholinergic neurons induced by DA drugs. *Brain Res* (1979) 169:215–20. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(79)90392-5