



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Cinthia Rosemblit,
CONICET Institute for Biomedical
Research (BIOMED), Argentina

*CORRESPONDENCE

Jue Wang
✉ wangjue200011@njmu.edu.cn
Xiaoming Zha
✉ njzhaxm@njmu.edu.cn

†These authors have contributed
equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Cancer Endocrinology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 27 November 2022
ACCEPTED 06 December 2022
PUBLISHED 19 December 2022

CITATION

Shi W, Huang X, Wang Y, Wan X, He J,
Xu Y, Zhang W, Chen R, Xu L, Zha X
and Wang J (2022) Corrigendum: A
novel nomogram containing efficacy
indicators to predict axillary pathologic
complete response after neoadjuvant
systemic therapy in breast cancer.
Front. Endocrinol. 13:1109390.
doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1109390

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Shi, Huang, Wang, Wan, He, Xu,
Zhang, Chen, Xu, Zha and Wang. This is
an open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Corrigendum: A novel nomogram containing efficacy indicators to predict axillary pathologic complete response after neoadjuvant systemic therapy in breast cancer

Wenjie Shi^{1†}, Xiaofeng Huang^{1†}, Ye Wang¹, Xinyu Wan¹,
Jinzi He¹, Yinggang Xu¹, Weiwei Zhang¹, Rui Chen¹, Lu Xu²,
Xiaoming Zha^{1*} and Jue Wang^{1*}

¹Department of Breast Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, ²Department of Clinical Nutrition, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

KEYWORDS

breast cancer, neoadjuvant systemic therapy, efficacy indicators, pathologic complete response, nomogram

A Corrigendum on

A novel nomogram containing efficacy indicators to predict axillary pathologic complete response after neoadjuvant systemic therapy in breast cancer

by Shi W, Huang X, Wang Y, Wan X, He J, Xu Y, Zhang W, Chen R, Xu L, Zha X and Wang J (2022). *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:1042394. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1042394

In the published article, there was an error in the legend for **Figure 5** as published. The legend was incorrectly recorded as: “The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.795 (95% CI: 0.747–0.843) in the training set, 0.809 (95% CI: 0.738–0.880) in the validation set, and 0.705 (95% CI: 0.651–0.759) in the predictive model only including baseline indicators.”

The corrected legend appears below:

“The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.792 (95% CI: 0.744–0.839) in the training set, 0.785 (95% CI: 0.709–0.862) in the validation set, and 0.697 (95% CI: 0.652–0.742) in the predictive model only including baseline indicators.”




FIGURE 5

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.792 (95% CI: 0.744–0.839) in the training set, 0.785 (95% CI: 0.709–0.862) in the validation set, and 0.697 (95% CI: 0.652–0.742) in the predictive model only including baseline indicators.

In the published article, there was also an error in which the incorrect values were written. A correction has been made to **Abstract, Results**. This sentence previously stated:

“The nomogram had an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.795 (95% CI: 0.747–0.843)”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“The nomogram had an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.792 (95% CI: 0.744–0.839)”

The authors apologize for these errors and state they this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.