# Corrigendum: A novel nomogram containing efficacy indicators to predict axillary pathologic complete response after neoadjuvant systemic therapy in breast cancer

Wenjie Shi[1†], Xiaofeng Huang[1†], Ye Wang[1], Xinyu Wan[1], Jinzhi He[1], Yinggang Xu[1], Weiwei Zhang[1], Rui Chen[1], Lu Xu[2], Xiaoming Zha[1*] and Jue Wang[1*]

[1]Department of Breast Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, [2]Department of Clinical Nutrition, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

A Corrigendum on

A novel nomogram containing efficacy indicators to predict axillary pathologic complete response after neoadjuvant systemic therapy in breast cancer

by Shi W, Huang X, Wang Y, Wan X, He J, Xu Y, Zhang W, Chen R, Xu L, Zha X and Wang J (2022). *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:1042394. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1042394

In the published article, there was an error in the legend for Figure 5 as published. The legend was incorrectly recorded as: "The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.795 (95% CI: 0.747–0.843) in the training set, 0.809 (95% CI: 0.738–0.880) in the validation set, and 0.705 (95% CI: 0.651–0.759) in the predictive model only including baseline indicators."

The corrected legend appears below:

"The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.792 (95% CI: 0.744–0.839) in the training set, 0.785 (95% CI: 0.709–0.862) in the validation set, and 0.697 (95% CI: 0.652–0.742) in the predictive model only including baseline indicators."

**FIGURE 5**
The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.792 (95% CI: 0.744−0.839) in the training set, 0.785 (95% CI: 0.709−0.862) in the validation set, and 0.697 (95% CI: 0.652−0.742) in the predictive model only including baseline indicators.

In the published article, there was also an error in which the incorrect values were written. A correction has been made to **Abstract**, *Results*. This sentence previously stated:

"The nomogram had an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.795 (95% CI: 0.747–0.843)"

The corrected sentence appears below:

"The nomogram had an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.792 (95% CI: 0.744–0.839)"

The authors apologize for these errors and state they this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.