Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pranav Kumar Prabhakar, Lovely Professional University, India

REVIEWED BY Akhilesh Shakya, Texas Tech University, United States Jamal Ahmad, Aligarh Muslim University, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Alok Raghav alokalig@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Clinical Diabetes, a section of the journal

Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 04 September 2022 ACCEPTED 28 September 2022 PUBLISHED 27 October 2022

CITATION

Raghav A, Singh M, Jeong G-B, Giri R, Agarwal S and Kala S (2022) New horizons of biomaterials in treatment of nerve damage in diabetes mellitus: A translational prospective review. *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:1036220. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1036220

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Raghav, Singh, Jeong, Giri, Agarwal and Kala. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

New horizons of biomaterials in treatment of nerve damage in diabetes mellitus: A translational prospective review

Alok Raghav^{1*}, Manish Singh^{1,2}, Goo-Bo Jeong³, Richa Giri^{1,4}, Saurabh Agarwal^{1,4} and Sanjay Kala⁵

¹Multidisciplinary Research Unit, Department of Health Research, Ganesh Shankar Vidyarthi Memorial (GSVM) Medical College, Kanpur, India, ²Department of Neurosurgery, Ganesh Shankar Vidyarthi Memorial (GSVM) Medical College, Kanpur, India, ³Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, College of Medicine, Gachon University, Incheon, South Korea, ⁴Kamlapat Singhania (KPS) Institute of Medicine, Ganesh Shankar Vidyarthi Memorial (GSVM) Medical College, Kanpur, India, ⁵Department of Surgery, Ganesh Shankar Vidyarthi Memorial (GSVM) Medical College, Kanpur, India

Background: Peripheral nerve injury is a serious concern that leads to loss of neuronal communication that impairs the quality of life and, in adverse conditions, causes permanent disability. The limited availability of autografts with associated demerits shifts the paradigm of researchers to use biomaterials as an alternative treatment approach to recover nerve damage.

Purpose: The purpose of this study is to explore the role of biomaterials in translational treatment approaches in diabetic neuropathy.

Study design: The present study is a prospective review study.

Methods: Published literature on the role of biomaterials in therapeutics was searched for.

Results: Biomaterials can be implemented with desired characteristics to overcome the problem of nerve regeneration. Biomaterials can be further exploited in the treatment of nerve damage especially associated with PDN. These can be modified, customized, and engineered as scaffolds with the potential of mimicking the extracellular matrix of nerve tissue along with axonal regeneration. Due to their beneficial biological deeds, they can expedite tissue repair and serve as carriers of cellular and pharmacological treatments. Therefore, the emerging research area of biomaterials-mediated treatment of nerve damage provides opportunities to explore them as translational biomedical treatment approaches.

Conclusions: Pre-clinical and clinical trials in this direction are needed to establish the effective role of several biomaterials in the treatment of other human diseases.

KEYWORDS

biomaterials, nerve damage, diabetes, diabetic neuropathy, cryogels, stem cells

Introduction

Peripheral neuropathy (PN) is a highly complex and prevalent disease that involves the plexus of nerve tissues. In epidemiological studies from various regions in India, the overall prevalence of PN varied from 5 to 2400 per 10,000 population in various community studies (1). Neuropathy is characterized by pathologic cellular and non-cellular changes in the premises of the peripheral nerve, especially arising in type 1 diabetes (in which the pancreas does not produce insulin in sufficient quantity due to β -cell dysfunction) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (in which there is some insulin resistance) (2). In the United States and Europe, it is presumed that prediabetes is also the leading cause of peripheral neuropathy (3). In the United States, around 20 million people currently have neuropathic-related secondary complications and this number progressively doubles as the incidences of pre-diabetes and T2DM increase (4). Furthermore, the worldwide cases of prediabetes and diabetes are 316 million and 537 million, respectively, according to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) (Figure 1) (4). Diabetes mellitus leads to several acute, chronic, diffuse, and focal neuropathy syndromes. Peripheral nervous system (PNS) damage, associated with diabetes includes bilateral and symmetric

damage to feet nerves, having a distal-to-proximal gradient of severity also known as stocking-glove neuropathy (5). Peripheral diabetic neuropathy (PDN) has been defined as a "symmetrical, length-dependent sensorimotor polyneuropathy attributable to metabolic and micro-vessel alterations due to chronic hyperglycemia exposure and cardiovascular risk covariates" (6). PDN typically presents positive sensory symptoms in the feet that include pain, tingling, and prickling of sensations (paresthesias) along with negative symptoms such as numbness, loss of sensation (disordered sensory processing), episodes of pain in the feet region when touched (allodynia), and elevated sensitivity to noxious stimuli (hyperalgesia). The severity of PDN is associated with morbidity, lower limb fractures, ulceration, and amputations (7-10). Table 1 shows the detailed classification of PDN. There is evidence of motor nerve dysfunction associated with distal toes weakness and, in vulnerable cases, the ankles and calves. It is a matter of debate as to why the sensory axons, compared to motor axons, are vulnerable to diabetes-associated complications.

Unfortunately, despite enormous research, there are no promising treatments for PDN other than strict glycemic control and lifestyle modifications (11). A Cochrane review composed of all clinical analyses on PDN revealed that strict glycemic control in T1DM subjects can decrease the episodes of



FIGURE 1

Projected number of people with diabetes worldwide and per IDF Region in 2021–2045 (20–79 years) (Source: International Diabetes Federation; 10th Atlas).

A. Diffuse neuropathy

DSPN

•Primarily small-fiber neuropathy

•Primarily large-fiber neuropathy

•Mixed small- and large-fiber neuropathy (most common)

Autonomic

Cardiovascular

- •Reduced HRV
- Resting tachycardia
- •Orthostatic hypotension
- •Sudden death (malignant arrhythmia)

Gastrointestinal

•Diabetic gastroparesis (gastropathy)

- •Diabetic enteropathy (diarrhea)
- •Colonic hypomotility (constipation)

Urogenital

- •Diabetic cystopathy (neurogenic bladder)
- •Erectile dysfunction
- •Female sexual dysfunction

Sudomotor dysfunction

- •Distal hypohydrosis/anhidrosis,
- Gustatory sweating
- •Hypoglycemia unawareness
- •Abnormal pupillary function

B. Mononeuropathy (mononeuritis multiplex) (atypical forms)

•Isolated cranial or peripheral nerve (e.g., CN III, ulnar, median, femoral, peroneal)

•Mononeuritis multiplex (if confluent may resemble polyneuropathy)

C. Radiculopathy or polyradiculopathy (atypical forms)

•Radiculoplexus neuropathy (a.k.a. lumbosacral polyradiculopathy, proximal motoramyotrophy)

Thoracic radiculopathy

Nondiabetic neuropathies common in diabetes

Pressure palsies

•Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy

•Radiculoplexus neuropathy

•Acute painful small-fiber neuropathies (treatment-induced)

PDN, but this has little effect in T2DM subjects despite more than 10 years of glycemic control (12). This difference in incidences of PDN is extensively informative and favors the ingredient that, different pathophysiological mechanisms underlie T1DM- and T2DM-associated PDN, and it is to be considered as two diseases with similar clinical presentation (12). The pharmaceutical market has left the PDN due to a lack of basic understanding of the mechanism and research, while the enormity of the complications has reached epidemic proportions (13).

The nervous system plays a crucial role in maintaining human biological events such as cognition and individual cell function. Damage to the state of the nerve may cause tremendous consequences that may be life-threatening. Peripheral nerve degeneration has increased over the past few years affecting 2.8% of trauma subjects annually (14). PDN is likely to be associated with demyelination and axonal atrophy that leads to nerve degeneration as suggested by animal experiments (15, 16). The patho-mechanistic approach leads to damage of peripheral nerve plexus in PDN including two major components. First is the impairment of endoneurial circulation following ischemia (17) and the second is abrupt axon-glia relationships followed by segmental or paranodal demyelination (18), Wallerian degeneration and neuroma (19). Despite this conundrum, PDN-related research in the last decades has focused on the therapeutic potentials of biomaterials in PDN that directly improve the severe form of complications.

A previously published study has demonstrated the repair of peripheral nerve damage with a common goal of regenerating nerve fibres at their proper place (i.e. endoneurial tubes) and maintaining functional recovery (20). The details of the conversion of a healthy neuron to a peripheral neuropathy neuron are demonstrated in Figure 2. Implantation of autografts, allografts, and xenografts from donor subjects are some of the strategic approaches available at present. The few demerits associated with these grafts primarily include loss of function or sensation at the donor nerve graft site, mismatch of the damaged nerve, and the abrupt dimension of nerve graft (20, 21). Furthermore, the allogenic and xenogenic also show disease transmission and immunological complications (21).

Tissue engineering gives new hope to regenerative medicine as an alternative to basic conventional transplantation methods that help to modulate cell behavior and tissue progression with the application of novel biomaterials. In one of the previously published studies related to regenerative tissue engineering, the researchers fabricated polymeric scaffolds seeded with nerve cells or neural origin to produce a 3D functional tissue with regenerative capability (22). Tissue engineering strategies proved to be landmark efforts to provide a therapeutic role in peripheral nerve damage induced in diabetes mellitus (i.e. PDN), spinal cord injury, and drug delivery approach (23). Nerve guidance channels (NGCs) enabled biomaterials are specially designed implants for nerve repair. A specially designed conduit with NGCs having desired topography, dimensions, stability, and biodegradability is implicated in nerve regeneration (24). Previous research has successfully demonstrated that the electrical stimulation of these conduits used for nerve cell regeneration showed better results and effective cues in stimulating the proliferation and differentiation of neural cells (25).

In this review, we discuss the pathophysiological mechanism of peripheral diabetic neuropathy with up-to-date understanding. The study also outlines the economic burden of PDN on society. The most important part of this review is focused on the therapeutic potential of conducting biomaterials-



enabled scaffolds or cryogels in nerve cell regeneration. A brief evaluation of electrical stimulation approaches of conductive polymers for tissue engineering is also discussed.

Economic burden of peripheral diabetic neuropathy

Several studies published on the economic status of peripheral diabetic neuropathy showed that patients with these complications bear high costs to manage their health. One study conducted in Europe mentioned statistical data that 76% of PDN patients visited their physician at least once in 4 weeks (26). In one study, the healthcare cost of PDN patients in the UK was £2,511 of which 41% of the budget accounts for patient care (27). Drug costs involved in the treatment and management of DPN account for 30-32% of the total healthcare budget (27). Per patient, drug costs vary with the choice of effective medications. The cost of presently available generic anti-depressants is less compared to newer molecules employed in the treatment and management of PDN. In another study conducted in the UK region, it has been found that peripheral diabetic painful neuropathy (PDPN) is significantly associated with discontinuous employment and work productivity (26). It has been also reported that 35% of the patients with PDPN have some sort of disturbances in their employment due to pain associated with it (26). The situation worsens, as 59% of patients showed less productivity at a certain period of time due to PDPN (26). It is interesting to note that in this study that 14% of patients with mild pain showed work disruption, 38% of patients showed moderate pain and had an interruption to their work, and the figure was more than tripled for patients presenting with severe pain (i.e. 48%) (26).

PDPN patients with microvascular complications showed the lowest EQ-5D utility value (0.63, 0.65, 0.56 vs 0.41) compared to macro-vascular complications of diabetes including CAD (26, 27). Similarly, other studies have shown that utilities are lower for PDPN patients compared to other chronic diseases such as depression, asthma, and Parkinson's disease (26, 28, 29). In one of the previously published studies, Hoffman et al. (30) stated that patients presenting severe to moderate pain in PDPN had a greater mean improvement in overall health score compared to those with moderate to no/mild pain post-treatment. Data for economic burden in peripheral diabetic neuropathy was rather limited. Studies related to economic burden were done with patients in Spain (31) and the UK (26). The global burden of diabetic foot disease has been studied by Andrew et al. (2005) (32) (data shown in Figure 3).

Makeover of the peripheral nervous system

The plexus of the peripheral nervous system (PNS) comprises 12 cranial nerves and 31 pairs of spinal nerves along with neurons and glial cells (especially Schwann cells). The central nervous system (CNS) coordinates with muscles and glands *via* efferent axons. Furthermore, afferent axons coordinate between CNS and peripheral sensory receptors. A



long network of axons sometimes poses a unique challenge to PNS (33). Likewise, peripheral sensory receptors are sensory neurons (i.e dorsal root neurons) located outside of the bloodnerve barrier. Moreover, motor neurons are situated in the vicinity of the ventral horn of the spinal cord spanning the blood-brain barrier (BBB). Motor neurons remain protected, enabling dorsal root ganglion neurons susceptible to systemic metabolic hypoxia-induced injuries. PNS is composed of thin (<1nm) myelination-devoid axons known as C fibers. Furthermore, several unmyelinated axons, more than myelinated axons are components of PNS. Feldman et al. (2005) reported that these C fibers are termed the "foot soldiers" of PNS and were discovered by the late Jack Griffin, a pioneer scientist in the peripheral neuropathy field (34).

The PNS is also constituted of the afferent myelinated fibers that are responsible for the transfer of information from peripheral receptors to the location of sense and touch. The mechanism of myelination of these afferent fibers by Schwann cells (SCs) is typically controlled and forms differentiated nodal domains such as; the node of Ranvier, the paranodes, and the juxtaparanodes. Vast variations in the anatomy of unmyelinated and myelinated fibers occur in diabetes and its related complications. Pre-diabetes is also among the important factors for such changes. The first case report in the field of diabetic peripheral neuropathy enabled changes in PNS was published in 1890 by Auche and their coworkers that further reviewed by Colby in the year 1965 (35). Electron microscopy also has a history associated with PDN. In the 1960s, for the first time, sural nerve biopsies (anatomical studies) from patients with diabetic neuropathies (DN) were performed by P.K Thomas, P.J Dyck, and their co-workers. The previous studies, along with current reports, mentioned changes in unmyelinated C fibers in DN subjects (36). Recent literature also reported that the degeneration and regeneration of these C fibers result in pain, hyperesthesias, and allodynia (37). A study done on prediabetic subjects revealed that over a long period the degeneration mechanisms override the regeneration, resulting in the loss of C- fibers (38). Axonal loss occurs due to the loss of nutrient flow from SCs to myelinated axons in DN (39). To summarize this section, there is now a clear picture of PNS alteration in diabetic neuropathy. We will now discuss the biochemical pathways that are widely known to be dysregulated in PDN associated with diabetes mellitus.

Biochemical pathways implicated in peripheral diabetic neuropathy

Over the last few decades, research in the sector of peripheral diabetic neuropathy has focused on the detailed mechanisms and biochemical pathways associated with nervous system damage. The studies done previously have focused on enhancing the pathological relevance of polyol pathway activation, hexosamine/protein kinase C (PKC) isoform activation, accumulation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) in the peripheral nerves plexus in a diabetic state, and oxidative stress. Each specific pathway alone is injurious to the nervous network and may cause severe complications in subjects with diabetes mellitus during prolonged and uncontrolled hyperglycemia.

(a) Polyol pathway

The polyol pathway is the most highlighted biochemical mechanism in diabetic neuropathy. Under normoglycemia, glucose undergoes glycolysis and other biochemical inlets for energy generation and storage. Moreover, during hyperglycemia, excess glucose is converted into sorbitol (alcohol) by an enzyme aldose reductase (EC No. 1.1.1.21), leading to increased sorbitol levels and osmotic imbalance. This event results in osmotic stress and compensatory efflux of myoinositol and taurine. Myoinositol is an essential component of sodium/potassium ion (Na⁺/K⁺) ATPase and therefore, its loss impairs nerve makeover and function.

Aldose reductase activity decreases the reservoir of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) required for nitric oxide (NO) and essential antioxidant generation (i.e glutathione). The generation of cytoplasmicreactive oxygen species (ROS) leads to intracellular injury and cellular dysfunction. This concept is known as the "metabolic flux" hypothesis, as reviewed by Oates and their co-workers (40). A pre-clinical rodent study performed on a streptozotocin (STZ) rat model with T1DM showed the relation between the polyol pathway and impairment in PNS makeover and function (41). Exploiting this premise, several AR inhibitors (ARI) have been developed and tested for pre-clinical aspects, but the clinical trials have shown the failure of promising results due to adverse effects at the clinical end-point of the studies. Currently, epalrestat (ONO2235) is the only licensed ARI in Japan as it was approved after the 3-month double-blind trials which showed promising improvement in nerve function (42). In another study

conducted for ARI, it has been found that it ameliorates nerve conduction velocity (NCV) and nerve makeover in the diabetic state (43). The failure of ARI in treatment has been attributed to experimental design, inaccessibility to PNS, dose range, and secondary hepatotoxicity (44). The advent of recent and promising transgenic technology has revealed further insights into the polyol pathway. A study conducted on a galactose-fed transgenic mice model revealed that it overexpressed the human AR and developed severe diabetic neuropathy (45). Despite ample pre-clinical research, a detailed mechanism of the influence of the polyol pathway in neuropathy is still unclear.

(b) Hexosamine/PKC pathway

Increased activity of the glycolysis pathway is the response to hyperglycemia and also impairs the metabolic pathways and promotes neuronal injuries. The fructose-6-phosphate is a glycolytic intermediate that enters the hexosamine pathway and follows a series of treatments to form uridine-5-diphosphate-Nacetylglucosamine (GlcNac). GlcNac has the ability to bind serine/ threonine amino acids to transcription factors, particularly Sp-1, resulting in lipid dyshomeostasis and injury to peripheral nerves along with inflammation (46). Dihydroxy-acetone phosphate (DHAP), another glycolytic intermediate converted into diacyl glycerol (DAG), is responsible for the initiation of tissue complications, especially of nerves due to activation of the neuronal protein kinase C (PKC) (47).

PKC inhibitors have also been tested for promising therapeutic results in peripheral diabetic neuropathy. In one study done on STZ-induced diabetic rats, it has been found that there is an improvement in nerve physiology and function with the use of PKC inhibitors in the treatment of diabetic neuropathy (48). In one of the landmark studies done on human subjects, the PKC-B inhibitor (ruboxistaurin) was found to have an improving role in the treatment of diabetic neuropathy but unfortunately was not effective in diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy (48). PKC is a key player in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy (48). In their study, Nakamura and their co-workers did not find any significant changes in PKC activity in the tissue homogenate of whole peripheral nerve tissue in a diabetic model induced by STZ, although there was an improvement in nerve conduction velocity and blood circulation to the nerve upon using PKC-β inhibitors (49). It is presumed that using PKC- β inhibitors may prove useful in the treatment of peripheral diabetic neuropathy. In a pre-clinical study performed on animal models, PKC-β inhibitors have beneficial effects on neuropathic changes in STZinduced diabetic rats (50). Despite successful pre-clinical animal studies, the clinical trial showed failure, in part, to the high improvement rate in the placebo group (51).

(c) Advanced glycation end-product pathways

Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) are irreversible glycation products formed *via* the Maillard reaction between glucose and amino groups of proteins. AGEs enable the crosslinking of proteins, thereby altering their structure and function. AGEs bind with the extracellular cell surface receptors, the receptors of AGEs (RAGE), which activate the nuclear factor $k\beta$ (NF- $k\beta$) that initiate a series of complications like vasoconstriction, inflammation, and neurotrophic support loss of PNS (52). An accumulation of AGEs in the peripheral nerves was also found in patients with diabetic neuropathy in T2DM subjects (53). A previously reported study has revealed the importance of the RAGE-AGEs relationship in the pathogenesis of DN (54). Potent AGEs like di-carbonyl active species have been reported to damage sensory neurons (55).

An *in-vitro* cell line study on rat Schwann cells showed a decrease in viability in the presence of glycolaldehyde (precursor of AGEs) in a physiological environment, thus contributing to the progression of diabetic neuropathy (56). The presence of CML was reported in vascular endothelial cells, pericytes, and basement membranes of axons and Schwann cells in diabetic peripheral nerves. *In-vitro* incubation of neural cells in an AGEsrich medium induces cell death (57). It is hypothesized that uncontrolled hyperglycemia initiates the AGEs formation and their accumulation in the peripheral nerve affects the structural and functional characteristics of proteins, thereby adversely affecting the function of the nervous system and leading to diabetic neuropathy.

There are two proposed mechanisms of diabetic neuropathy induction via protein AGEs. First, the advanced glycation mechanism tends to impair the biological functions and properties of the protein, thus making abnormal neuronal activity (58). Secondly, the lipid and protein AGEs bind to RAGE to initiate the signalling cascade that elevates the oxidative stress and neuronal cell injury mediated by NADP (H) oxidase (59) along with nitrosative stress (60). In one study, it has been reported that there is a structural and functional abnormality in axons, Schwann cells, and dorsal root ganglia neurons when the AGEs bind to RAGE in experimental diabetic rat and mouse models of neuropathy (61). The AGE-RAGE axis appears to mediate the prolonged cellular pro-inflammatory response in chronic diabetic complications (62). In one of the studies related to NCV, the supply of exogenous AGEs showed a delay in NCV in the diabetic neuropathy model (63). With this delay in NCV, the activity of nerve Na, and K-ATPase declined. As a result of this, the myelinated nerve fibers shrunk in size. Furthermore, preliminary clinical trials in diabetic neuropathy showed improvement with the use of benfotiamine, but there is still no molecule found that can suppress the formation of AGEs and improvement of peripheral diabetic neuropathy in humans.

(d) Oxidative stress

Enhanced glycolytic flux in hyperglycemia leads to the generation of free radicals that are primarily responsible for diabetic neuropathy (64). Numerous studies proved that oxidative stress (OS) induces peripheral nerve injury in experimental diabetes (65-67). Using this information, the studies attempted to improve neuropathic complications with antioxidants (41, 68). A previously published study used α -lipoic acid for the suppression of OS in diabetic animal models that showed improvement in NCV delay (69). A most important event of programmed cell death occurs due to the release of cytochrome-C, and caspase-3 activation (70). Oxidative stress is defined as the imbalance in the antioxidant defense mechanism. The majority of reactive oxygen species (ROS) are the products of mitochondrial respiration that cause cellular and molecular damage. In the state of hyperglycemia and excess fatty acid flux, there is excess substrate metabolized through glycolysis and the TCA cycle, respectively, enriching the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons with ample NADH and FADH₂ electron donors. This increases the proton gradient and, hence, ROS generation. The one-electron reduction process of molecular oxygen generates a stable intermediate, known as superoxide anion (O_{2}^{-}) that serves as a precursor of ROS. The superoxide dismutase (EC No. 1.15.1.1) performs the dismutation of the superoxide anion that produces H₂O₂. Furthermore, the interaction of H_2O_2 and $O_{\cdot 2}^-$ in a Haber-Weiss reaction or Fenton reaction generates a highly reactive hydroxyl radical (OH). Mitochondrial damage decreases neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) and the nerve growth factor (NGF) that disturbs neurotrophic support. It is important to note that a small amount of insulin is insufficient for lowering systemic blood glucose levels and is capable of enhancing the NCV delay (71). Moreover, nitrooxidative stress activates poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) in conjunction with sustained hyperglycemia (72), resulting in cellular dysfunction and programmed cell death that can be inhibited by using PARP inhibitors (73).

(e) Insulin physiology

Studies related to DN have evolved from the hyperglycemic viewpoint, which points out DN has a complex of secondary complications associated with metabolic and oxidative insults as described in the above sections. T1DM and T2DM exhibit different presentations of DN with different occurrence mechanisms in each disorder (74). In subjects with T1DM, DN improvement relies on different insulin shots (dose) and is suggestive of the fact that one or more insulin shots do not show strict glycemic control but have a positive impact on PNS (75). Insulin receptors (IRs) are well expressed on sensory neurons and motor neurons and showed enriched presence in SC membranes along with nodes of Ranvier. Furthermore, the role of insulin signaling is not fully understood. Insulin binding to IRs activates the signaling cascade of intracellular mechanisms that helps in maintaining normal cell homeostasis (76). It is also studied in previous literature on T1DM with DN complications that the failure of insulin signaling leads to cell injury and promotes apoptosis (77). The improvement in PNS in DN is either due to normoglycemia or insulin-mediated neuronal signaling, but this is still a matter of debate.

How is diabetes injurious to axons?

It is speculated that SCs provide energy to axons. In the state of diabetes mellitus, the SCs not only lose their capability to provide energy to myelinated and unmyelinated axons but are also involved in the transfer of harmful lipid molecules to the point of contact of axons. The puzzle of axonal vulnerability during diabetes is difficult to decipher (78). SCs produce acylcarnitines that trigger the influx of extracellular calcium ions inside the axon which disturbs axonal mitochondrial trafficking, resulting in insufficient energy generation and mitochondrial apoptosis (78). Moreover, the diabetic rodent model showed elevated mitochondrial ROS in axons that lead to dysfunction and axonal injury (78). *In-vitro* studies on DRG neurons speculated that inhibition of mitochondrial complex 1 decreases ATP generation that in turn increased ROS generation, leading to axonal degeneration (79).

The abundant ion channel presence in axons makes them vulnerable to diabetes-associated injury. Axons are known to exhibit numerous voltage-gated sodium channels along with sodium-calcium exchanger pumps in their termini (80). Na/K ATPase pump performs an export of intra-axonal Na+ entry to generate an action potential, however, low ATP levels fail to generate this action potential and, in turn, leads to axonal degeneration. Sensory axons exhibit distinct voltage-gated sodium-calcium ion channels (Nav1.6, 1.7, 1.8, and 1.9) compared to motor axons (Nav 1.6) with a distinct biophysical makeover (81). The sensory neuron because of its short diameter, interplays Na+/Ca++ changes rendering them to axonal injury. In conclusion, the vulnerability of axons in diabetes mellitus-induced peripheral diabetic neuropathy is secondary in combination with energy factors and ion channel presentations.

The above sections give a focused message that there is severe to mild injury associated with the peripheral nervous system in diabetes-associated PDN. The goal of future research should be to provide a therapeutic approach for the management of neuronal injury associated with PDN. The advent of biomaterials shows promising potential for the cure of nerve injuries in PDN. Biomaterials with the appropriate property of conduction and nerve homology provide the prospects to provide relief to mankind's society. Our lab is still trying to fulfill this requirement around the clock. The next section of this review deals with biomaterials and their role in PDN.

Introduction to biomaterials

In general, biomaterials are defined as "any natural or synthetic material comprise of living component, which is capable of replacing and performing the function of essential tissue where it has been placed". Park and Lakes state that biomaterial is any synthetic material capable of replacing a part of a living system in every respect. Clemson University Advisory Board for Biomaterials state that "it is synthetically and pharmacologically inert material with a motto of implantation into the living system". Williams, in 1987, proposed that biomaterial is an implanted source intended to couple with the living system (82). Regarding tissue engineering, biomaterial serves the purpose of repairing and reconstructing the damaged and injured part of a biological system. A few examples where biomaterials can be used as a substitute include heart valves, artificial hips, dental implants, and fixing fractures. So, it can be concluded that any material with specific properties of regeneration can fit into the criteria of biomaterials. It is also stated in the previously published literature that any material with the property of biocompatibility can be considered biomaterial (83). Biomaterials can also be used to deliver molecules or essential factors required for the regeneration of tissue (84).

How many choices are there for biomaterials?

Biomaterials, as discussed in the above section, have a regeneration ability with premium characteristics of biocompatibility and similar tissue physiology. The biomaterials are broadly grouped into three choices:

Polymers

Polymeric biomaterials perform other functions apart from tissue regeneration such as fixing fractures with bone cementing materials (e.g. poly methyl methacrylate), or polyglycolic acid being used in degradable surgical sutures. Polymers in tissue engineering can be both synthetic and natural. Polymers consist of repeating units of monomers. There are a variety of synthetic polymers used in regenerative engineering and medicine. These include silicone rubber (SR), polypropylene (PP), polyethylene (PE), poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), and polyethylene glycol (PEG), along with others not included in the list. These polymers have a role in regenerative medicine and tissue engineering. Polymers with mucoadhesive properties can be used for mucosal drug delivery, while polyacrylics are used for dental implants. PP because of its good tensile strength can be used for degradable sutures. Moreover, poly lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA), a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved biodegradable polymer, is used in resorbable surgical sutures and drug delivery and orthopedic applications as a fixative.

Natural polymers also have applications in regenerative tissue engineering and include alginate, collagen, fibrin gels, hyaluronic acid, etc (85). Alginate biopolymer contains repeating monosaccharide units of i.e L-guluronic acid and Dmannuronic acid that are useful in the generation of threedimensional gel. Alginate can be used for cartilage regeneration as alginate gels. Another natural polymer, collagen, has been implicated in skin regeneration, as it is an essential component of human skin. A previously published study used bilayered collagen to regenerate skin (86). Hyaluronan, also a natural polymer with certain modifications, can be used as a degradable scaffold in tissue regeneration and can be used for cartilage repair (87). Fibrin is another natural polymer derived from animal skin and is applicable for wound healing. This property can be exploited as a scaffold with the disadvantage of frequent fibrinolysis and fast degradation. To overcome this problem, a slight modification has been employed, so it can be used for a longer period (88).

Metals

Biomaterials can be used in the form of metals apart from synthetic and natural polymers. Metals can also serve a major role in tissue engineering and have a significant economic impact on tissue regeneration and biomedicine. Metallic implants like steel 316, 316L, cobalt, F75, Vitallium, silver, tantalum, and alloys of Ti, Cr+, Co, Mo, etc., can be successfully used for implants in tissue engineering. Metallic implants have associated disadvantages such as corrosion susceptibility and low biocompatibility (89). Metallic implants can be used in tooth implants, penis implants, and in facial reconstruction (90).

Ceramics

Ceramics is another category of biomaterials used in tissue engineering. Ceramics are broadly used as a component of eyeglasses, diagnostic instruments, thermometers, etc. These classes of biomaterials possess high biocompatibility, high resistance, and reduced thermal and electrical conductivity, which makes them suitable for implants (91). New bone tissue regeneration uses hydroxyapatite (HAp), a class of ceramics. Other ceramics include aluminum oxide, titanium oxides, calcium phosphate, calcium aluminates, carbon bioglass, etc. Ceramics exhibits disadvantages of low impact resistance and difficulty in fabrication. The major achievements with the use of ceramics include heart valve implants, hip implants, and knee implants as demonstrated by landmark studies in tissue engineering (92, 93). The elaborated list is depicted in Table 2.

Characteristics of biomaterials enabling its clinical application

The first and foremost characteristic of biomaterials is biocompatibility both *in-vitro* and *in-vivo*. *In-vitro* evaluation of biomaterial with an *in-vitro* cell setup is an inexpensive primary approach for testing its interaction. Moreover, the results of the *invitro* testing are not useful until the desired biomaterial is not able to fulfill the *in-vivo* implant motto. Cytotoxicity assessment (i.e. apoptosis, alterations in cell permeability) of biomaterials for the determination of biocompatibility is a primary test for its implant viability in regenerative medicine. Biomaterials that initiate cell apoptosis (death) or changes in cell properties may be proved toxic and are not suitable to use as an implant. If the biomaterial passed the cytotoxicity examination, then it has to qualify for the next test of biocompatibility performed on a popularly used mammalian fibroblast cell line (L-929 cells) and MTT (3(4,5dimethylthiazol2yl)2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay.

TABLE 2 State-of-the-art materials for long-term implants.

Materials	Applications
Titanium alloys	Dental implants, femoral stems, pacemaker cans, heart valves, fracture plates, spinal cages
Cobalt- chromium alloys	Bearing surfaces, heart valves, stents, pacemaker leads
Platinum group alloys	Electrodes
Nitinol	Shape memory applications
Stainless steel	Stents, orthopedic implants
Alumina	Bearing surfaces
Calcium phosphates	Bioactive surfaces, bone substitutes
Carbon	Heart valves
UHMW polyethylene	Bearing surfaces
PEEK	Spinal cages
PMMA	Bone cement, intraocular lenses
Silicones	Soft tissue augmentation, insulating leads, ophthalmological devices
Polyurethane	Pacemaker lead insulation
Expanded PTFE	Vascular grafts, heart valves
Polyester textile	Vascular grafts, heart valves
Cryogels	Nerve Implants

Experiments on a suitable animal model system are the final step for testing the regenerative ability of the biomaterials in implants (Table 3). The biomaterial implants with desired characteristics are placed at the site of damage or defect, and further experiments on animals are performed to prove their biocompatibility and ability to regenerate. Moreover, post-implantation, the hemocompatibility and biodegradation of the implant are tested. The combo of both *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* testing is a necessary part of tissue regenerative engineering. Currently, the reforming of a damaged nerve is conducted using nerve autografts. Such treatment modalities can be replaced with engineered conduits suitable for nerve lesions and large nerve defects. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are considered to be a novel biomaterial with vast biomedical applications. CNTs exhibit unique characteristics that could be used for nerve repair in the form of conduits. CNTs have a cylindrical shape with a nanosized diameter along with properties like flexibility, electrical conductivity, and biocompatibility.

Unique classes of scaffolds: A boon for tissue engineering

The conventional biomaterials discussed in the previous section can be used as implants after fabricating into threedimensional scaffolds to achieve the desired functions of growth, proliferation, and regeneration in tissue engineering. The above biomaterials can be fabricated into desired implanting scaffolds with varied fabrication technologies such as (i) solvent casting mechanism in combination with leaching, (ii) fiber building network, (iii) phase separation with freeze drying, and (iv) solid free form fabrication (94). Furthermore, with the advancement in technologies, the advent of next-generation biomaterials for

TABLE 3 Animal model for in-vivo implants experiments.

Implant application	Animal model system
Cardiovascular	
(a) Heart Valves	Sheep
(b) Vascular graft	Dog, Pig
(c) Artificial Heart	Calf
Orthopedic/Bone	
(a) Bone regeneration	Rabbit, dog, pig, mouse, rat
(b) Total hip/knee joint replacements	Dog, goat
(c) Vertebral implants	Sheep, goat, baboon
Neurological implants	
(a) Peripheral nerve regeneration	Rat, cat, non-human primates
(b) Electric stimulation	Rat, cat, non-human primates
Ophthalmological	
(a) Contact lens	Rabbit
(b) Intraocular lens	Rabbit, monkey

tissue regeneration and repair can serve the regeneration purpose well. These next-generation implant biomaterials not only regenerate the desired tissue but also serve as factordelivery vehicles that provide nourishment and an essential growth environment to the tissue. Below are the nextgeneration biomaterials that can be used as scaffold implants successfully.

Next-generation hydrogels

Hydrogels are the class of colloidal gel in which water is used as the dispersion medium. These biomaterials are used as implant scaffolds in tissue engineering, as they resemble the topography and function of native tissue. The aqueous behavior of these gels enables them to resemble the cells in the body. Moreover, due to the presence of fine porosity, nutrients and waste factors are exchanged easily. Natural and synthetic biopolymers can be used in tissue regeneration after the fabrication of these biopolymers into hydrogels (95). Synthetic hydrogels implemented in tissue regeneration include (PU), polyethylene oxide (PEO), poly (N isopropyl acrylamide) (PNIPAAm), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyacrylic acid (PAA), and poly propylene furmaratecoethylene glycol [P(PFcoEG)], while the natural hydrogels include agarose, alginate, chitosan, collagen, fibrin, gelatin, and hyaluronic acid (96).

Next-generation cryogels

Cryogel application is a landmark achievement in the field of tissue regeneration. Cryogels are supermacroporous gel matrices formatted in mold-freezing conditions. Cryogels are the gel matrices prepared by crosslinking and polymerization, at subzero temperatures, from either a synthetic or natural source of biopolymers without involving any inorganic solvents. Cryogelation enables a scaffold to be formatted into diverse forms like disks, sheets, and monoliths with desired dimensions due to the presence of large and interconnected pores that provide several advantages over the conventional scaffold. In the cryogelation technique, there are two parts to the solvent; one part of the solvent used remains unfrozen (liquid microphase), while the other part of the solvent remains in the frozen state. This two-phase mechanism of solvent provides a platform for monomers and polymers for chemical reactions. The unfrozen liquid microphase is the best candidate for gel formation and further undergoes chemical modifications to form a porous scaffold, also known as porogens, upon thawing. This macroporous scaffold provides an undisturbed and continuous supply of growth factors, nutrients, gases, and liquids to the regenerative tissue (97-99). These cryogelation synthesized scaffolds provide an interconnected pore (200 mm) for the supply of essential factors needed for cell proliferation.

Cryogels can be synthesized from either monomeric or polymeric precursors that are uniformly dissolved in a solvent system and later subjected to a frozen environment for cryoconcentration of these precursors in an unfrozen liquid phase (100). Water is the best choice in the list of solvents due to its biocompatibility and environmental friendliness. Moreover, the application of water limits the choice to watersoluble compounds only, thus resulting in hydrophilic cryogels. It is also suggested by previously published studies that hydrophilic cryogels can be prepared by using organic solvents such as dimethylsulphoxide, dioxane, nitrobenzene, formamide, benzene, and cyclohexane (101-103). The cryogels synthesis can be performed by: (i) covalent chemical cross-linking of polymeric materials and free radicals polymerization; and (ii) non-covalently by cryogelation method, through the noncovalent structure and entrapment.

Gels and cryogels

The gels referred to as polymeric macromolecules are immobilized and form a three-dimensional network with nonfluctuating bonds. The method of gel preparation and the nature of the bonds contribute to the morphology of the gel creation along with the chemical structure of the polymers. Solvent immobilization within a three-dimensional polymer system plays an important role as it does not allow the polymer to be compact, and hence avoids the collapse of the gel system. Depending upon the nature of the intermolecular network of bonds, the gels can be classified into two categories; (i) chemical gels and (ii) physical gels (details not included). Once the chemically reactive groups in the gel matrices are exhausted, the reaction halts, leaving behind the solid gel with interconnected polymeric materials. Considering the biomedical application of cryogels, they have to be considered a candidate of increasing concern. The cryogels are formed by the cryotropic gelation process that produces the polymeric materials with the desired morphology when compared to non-frozen gels. Cryogels are formed at a sub-zero temperature that allows the freezing of solvent molecules (free from the solute part). Ice formation in water occurs when it is exposed to below-freezing temperatures. During the formation of ice crystals, only the water part remains in the ice lattice, expelling all solutes out of the crystals (104). The formed ice crystal acts as porogen leading to the formation of a supermacroporous cryogel (105). Cryogels could be of covalent, ionic, or non-covalent types. In the cryogel system, solvent freezing followed by the sublimation of solvent crystals (in an aqueous system), forms an interconnected porous network of polymeric biomaterials. Moreover, no gel formation occurs in the unfrozen microphase. The cryogels can be modified according to the desired characteristics and their use.

Statistical trend of cryogel research

This section demonstrates the current state of cryogelsrelated research and its statistical perspectives. Initially, cryogels were studied by a few research groups, but in the latter part of the 20th century, the advancement in cryogel applications made them famous, and more research groups were attracted to them. In the beginning, cryogels applications rose exponentially due to their wide suitability in the biomedical field. As time passes, cryogels have attracted more research groups to explore their characteristics for the welfare of human mankind. More than 40% of cryogels-based research describes in detail that it can be used in separation and molecular imprinting due to its macroporous behavior. This especial feature of cryogels attracted more research groups (106, 107).

Application of cryogels in the neural regeneration domain

Macroporous materials have attracted a lot of attention and also have been employed in wide applications in the field of biomedicine and biotechnology. A large pore size of 10-200µm, makes a cryogel suitable for transporting red blood cells (RBCs) of ~7 µm via liquid flow through a monolithic cryogel column (108). Human acute myeloid leukemia KG-1 cells expressing the CD34 possessing surface antigen and human blood lymphocyte fractions were assessed by using polyvinyl alcohol beads and dimethylacetamide (DMAAm) monolithic cryogel columns immobilized on protein A. This setup showed approximately 76% retention of cells (108). Furthermore, the application of cryogels in biomedical and biotechnology has been discussed in detail by previously published studies (108, 109). This review is especially focused on the use of cryogels in nerve cell regeneration and the management of peripheral diabetic neuropathy. Treatment of injured neuronal components in the peripheral nervous system needs new medical therapies. The regenerative ability of PNS can be exploited with cryogels to successfully treat nerve injuries or damage. Current strategies use nerve autograft, but donor site morbidity or topographical anomalies (compatibility with the damaged part) do not provide a promising solution for the repair mechanism. Alternatively, the use of nerve guidance conduits (NGCs) associated with the cryogels system provides a successful solution to promote nerve repair.

Synthetic NGCs become the superior choice for surgeons to repair injured nerves instead of traditional methods of autografts, allografts, and xenografts (110, 111). NGCs are biomaterial-based systems used for nerve repair. An absolute synthetic material may be readily formed for synthesizing NGCs containing cryogels with desired dimensions and properties. The physical and chemical make-over of the cryogel affects the

outcome of nerve regeneration and repair. Neurorrhaphy alone is not suitable for recovery of normal sensory and motor functions despite modern aids in microsurgical techniques. Previously published literature showed that only 50% of patients regain useful normal function post neurorrhaphy (112). Furthermore, additional additive factors are required for normal recovery in these cases. Cryogel conduits were implemented in previous studies to regenerate the peripheral nerve and supporting glial cells (113, 114). A wide range of natural and synthetic ingredients such as PLA (115), chitosan (116), and gelatin (117) have been implicated in the synthesis of cryogel nerve conduits. One of the studies conducted by Ju-Ying Chang et al. successfully demonstrated the role of the RDC/ NHS-fixed gelatin nerve conduit in filling a large gap in the sciatic nerve of a rat model (117). Designing a 3D printed nerve conduit capable of delivering the essential nerve growth factors (NGFs) would serve as a promising approach for promoting the function of the sciatic nerve followed by post-end-to-end neurorrhaphy (118).

Improving make-over of next-generation cryogels in nerve regeneration

Advancement in technology improves the make-over of the cryogel. It updated cryogels with modern properties to serve better fulfilling its goal. Research strategies for improving nerve repair can be placed in two broad categories: (i) a methodology to improve axonal regeneration and (ii) a methodology to decrease immune responses (i.e inflammation). Furthermore, methods for enhancing the axonal regeneration include (a) axonal sprouting from the distal nerve stump (electrical stimulation and growth factors of proximal stump); (b) permissible environment for exchangers and nutrients essential for growth (enhanced cryogels pores, surface topography); (c) delaying of Wallerian degeneration and (d) shortening of period for denervation of muscles.

Nerve growth factors (NGFs) are spontaneously naturally releasing components during the process of nerve regeneration. NGFs release from nerve endings if a nerve has the effect of altering growth, differentiation, and surveillance (119). NGFs are present in a low concentration in healthy nerves, but during injury, their concentration rises in the distal stump of the damaged nerve and plays a vital role in the survival of the sensory neurons (119). Moreover, other factors for nerve regeneration include the Glial growth factor (GGF), glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), fibroblast growth factors (FGF), neurotrophin 3 (NT-3), and others (119). The factors are fixed with the cryogel conduit system to repair nerve gap injury (1-4cm). The delivery of these factors has been shown to hasten the recovery of injured nerves compared to a conduit alone without these factors (119). However,

a previous study also reported a superior outcome in nerve autograft compared to NGFs seeded conduits (120). The future use of NGFs with cryogel having sustained release and other functional characteristics may be targeted towards nerve regeneration after injury or in PDN.

The prime role of the nerve is to transfer electrical signals (conduction) for creating coordination between the brain and the body. In this area, it is necessary to impart proper nerve stimulation to the injured nerve to restore the function of electrical conductivity. Limited research has been done on the implementation of the electrical fields/gradients across the peripheral nerve system for accelerating axonal regeneration. Studies performed on animals showed that post-repair electrical stimulation for one hour continuously promotes the function of target muscle reinnervation (121). Moreover, a clinical trial showed that a continuous one-hour electrical stimulation accelerates axonal regeneration after median nerve decompression in patients with tunnel syndrome and thenar atrophy (122). Conducting cryogels provides a promising tool for nerve regeneration during injury and PDN. Another study published on conducting cryogel scaffolds showed enhanced proliferation and growth of excitable cells like neuro 2a and C2C12 cells when stimulated at 100mV for 2h (123).

Besides the electrical conduction and neurotrophic arrangement, the topography also contributes to nerve regeneration. To improve the biological performance of the cryogels, numerous additive features have to be added to cryogels like topographical guidance, neurotrophic activity, and electrical activity. A previously published study showed that topography plays an essential role in nerve regeneration and repair (124).

The polymers chosen have already shown potential in the field of neural regeneration when used for 3-D scaffold synthesis in various techniques. In improving cryogels for nerve regeneration, molecular imprinting in the 3D domain imparts promising results. Molecular imprinted polymeric cryogels (MIPC) are tailor-made to offer high molecular recognition with satisfactory performances compared to non-imprinted entities. The synthesis of molecularly imprinted polymers can be done using a template-directed radical polymerization reaction. The target molecule itself acts as a molecular template, forming molecular imprints. The print molecule formed is mixed thoroughly with some functional monomers, followed by the addition of further monomers and crosslinkers preceding the polymerization reaction. The post-polymerization reaction yields the imprinted molecule after cumbersome extraction. The only associated disadvantage with molecularly imprinted polymers is compact and tight polymeric material with low pore size. Thus, a composite MIPC offers an attractive package for tissue engineering. Denizli and their co-workers published literature on composite cryogel with embedded beads of poly hydroxyethyl methacrylate formatted MIPC (125). MIPC showed the binding of human serum albumin (HSA) more efficiently following the Langmuir isotherm (125). Another reputable study showed that 3D printing technology in the synthesis of cryogels conduits for nerve regeneration showed promising results and may be used for clinical purposes (126). Another showed the role of 3D-printed biodegradable conduits in neurorrhaphy (127). The whole section discussed above concluded that cryogel with some additive features may serve a better role in nerve regeneration.

Current therapeutic approaches to managing PDN

Prevention and management of peripheral diabetic neuropathies focus on strict glucose control and lifestyle modifications. The extensive physical, psychological, and economic burden of diabetic neuropathies underscores the urgency of casual therapies. Below are the current strategies to manage neuropathies. The management of PDN includes conventional (metabolic control and life style modifications) and recently developed methods (cytoprotective therapies and stem cell therapies).

Metabolic control

Strict glucose control in subjects with type 1 diabetes dramatically reduces the episodes of distal symmetric polyneuropathy (DSPN) by 78% (relative risk reduction) (128, 129). Contrastingly, there is a 5-9% relative risk reduction of DSPN in type 2 diabetes (130, 131). In one small trial performed on a Japanese population with type 2 diabetes, it was found that intensive insulin treatment was related to the improvement in DSPN (132). An Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial on type 2 diabetes subjects also showed a mild reduction in DSPN episodes (131). The specific glucose control approach also had some discrepancies associated with it. For example, in a Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation in Type 2 Diabetes (BARI 2D) trial male patients treated with insulin sensitizers had lower episodes of DSPN over 4 years compared to those who received sulfonylurea/insulin (133). Although, there are no randomized controlled trials of intensive insulin therapy in PDN management. Moreover, the data available showed that strict glycemic control is of greatest use in the management of PDN.

Lifestyle modification

The appropriate model for the management of PDN includes intensive lifestyle interventions as suggested by American Diabetes Association guidelines (11). The programs

launched for lifestyle interventions are the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), the Steno-2 Study (27), the Italian supervised treadmill study, and the University of Utah type 2 diabetes study (11). All these studies suggested that lifestyle modification can reduce the incidences of PDN/DSPN. In a previously published study, it is suggested that nerve fiber regeneration occurs prominently in Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients engaged in exercise (11). This conventional approach has had a large effect on the reduction of DSPN incidences.

Cytoprotective therapies

a. Lowering cell apoptosis

There is debate on whether a neuronal loss in PDN occurs. If it is happening, then there is debate whether the cells are undergoing death/apoptosis, nonapoptotic programmed cell death, or necrosis. Previously, in-vitro studies performed on rodents and cell culture models of developed neuropathy demonstrated the phenomenon of apoptosis (134–138). Mitochondria play an important role in neuronal injury that results in the depletion of dorsal root ganglion neurons (139). In diabetic neuropathy models, the activation of caspase 3, a component of the apoptotic pathway, contributes to this mechanism (140)

b. Poly ADP-ribose polymerase inhibition

Oxidative DNA damage induces the release of a PARP factor. This enzyme is involved in DNA repair by the addition of poly (ADP-ribose) subunits to DNA strand breaks and base-excision pathway (140). It is evident from previous studies that the inhibition of PARP may delay the progression of diabetic neuropathy by inhibiting the PARP-mediated depletion of NAD + and ATP (141, 142).

c. Mesenchymal stem cells therapies

Current therapies for improving glucose control include the exogenous insulin supplement, which often produces the peaks of hypoglycemic episodes, as well as pancreatic islet replacement (143). The first pancreatic islet transplantation results were promising in that they decreased the long-term side effects and imparted better glycemic control (144). However, the two limitations that exist with this method for inhibiting the use in clinical trials are (i) the high demand for pancreatic islets for effective transplantation and (ii) the short-term life of transplanted islets (144). The study also stated that at least 12,000-16000 islets/Kg are required for achieving good glycemic control in each patient, suggesting the use of more

than one cadaveric donor (144). The use of immune-suppressive drugs prolonged the life of transplanted islets and their associated severe complications (145). In one of the published studies, the outcome of pancreatic islet transplantation can be improved by using Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) thus limiting transplant rejection (146). Moreover, MSCs have the potential to increase cell survival, including neurons, and also to release trophic factors essential for nerve regeneration (147, 148). In one of the studies, it is shown that MSCs, along with pancreatic islet transplantation, improve glycemic control and neuropathic symptoms such as NCV. This might be due to the release of trophic angiogenetic factors (149).

Cryogels in diabetic peripheral neuropathies: A future hope

There has been a breakthrough expansion in our knowledge and understanding of potential pathophysiological mechanisms, highlighting the neuropathies associated with diabetes mellitus that span the complete somatosensory peripheral nervous system initiating from afferent terminals to the sensory cortex. This has to be translated into a clear phenomenon-based approach for the treatment and management of PDN. The conventional approaches proved an effective system to manage PDN but have certain limitations. Moreover, experimental approaches developed recently also possess the potential to treat PDN but might also be associated with certain demerits, as discussed above. To overcome these limitations, a next-generation cryogel system must evolve with the potential for the most effective management and treatment of PDN. These may provide hope for regenerative medicine and tissue engineering. The advantage of cryogels use in various fields has already been discussed. Due to their vast application, these may be easily implemented in the treatment and management of peripheral diabetic neuropathy. The conducting cryogels can especially be used in the regeneration of damaged nerves due to injury. Peripheral diabetic neuropathy (PDN) is associated with nerve injuries or the altered behavior of peripheral nerves. Currently, conducting devices, including pacemakers, electrodes for stimulating the brain, stimulators of the spinal cord, etc. are in commercial use (150). In a previous study, it is demonstrated that polypyrrole-based cryogels scaffolds (conduits) implanted subcutaneously in rats reveal less immune cell infiltration as compared to FDA-approved poly lactic-coglycolic acid. In another study, no inflammatory response was observed in polypyrrole-based tissue implantation (151). Similarly, polyaniline-based tissue implantation showed a low level of inflammation (151). The conducting-cryogel-based scaffold loaded with desired growth factors may prove an excellent candidate for nerve regeneration damage in PDN. Diabetic foot ulcer-initiated wounds (DFU), a severe complication associated with PDN, can be effectively solved (152-155). In summary, given

the variety of mechanisms, which may overlap, a prime future goal is to better the understanding of conducting cryogels with a 3D system in clinical trials. There is now tentative evidence in the literature that supports the benefits of 3D-printed conducting cryogels (121). Moreover, these conducting cryogels may be changed according to the desired environment to treat the PDN. Conducting cryogels need to be tested in clinical trials, the outcome may be the eradication and prevention of PDN.

Conclusion

In summary, PDN research has evolved to study deregulated pathways such as the polyol pathway, PKC, AGEs, and oxidative stress to herald a new era of insulin physiology. The disease is increasingly prevalent due to the absence of effective treatment. Biomaterials can be implemented with desired characteristics to overcome the problem of nerve regeneration in PDN and injury. Cryogel is a priority candidate in the management of PDN. Successful implantations with cryogel scaffolds loaded with growth factors and suitable topography may prove a landmark approach for the treatment of PDN beyond other conventional approaches used. The next-generation cryogel will be an effective approach to this complication.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, AR, G-BJ, MS, RG and SA. Methodology, AR and G-BJ. Software, AR. Validation, G-BJ, SA, MS, RG, SA and SK. Formal analysis, G-BJ, SA, MS, RG and SK. Investigation, AR. Resources, SK. Data curation, AR and MS. Writing—original draft preparation, AR. Writing—review and editing, MS, RG, SA and G-BJ. Visualization, SA, MS, RG and SK. Supervision, SA, MS, RG, G-BJ and SK. project Administration, SK. All data were generated in-house, and no paper mill was used. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Multidisciplinary Research Unit, Department of Health Research, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi for providing financial assistance in the form of salary to AR.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Trivedi S, Pandit A, Ganguly G, Das SK. Epidemiology of peripheral neuropathy: An Indian perspective. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol* (2017) 20(3):173-84. doi: 10.4103/aian.AIAN_470_16

2. Pasnoor M, Dimachkie MM, Kluding P, Barohn RJ. Diabetic neuropathy part 1: overview and symmetric phenotypes. *Neurol Clin.* (2013) 31(2):425-45. doi: 10.1016/j.ncl.2013.02.004

3. International Diabetes Federation. *IDF diabetes atlas, 2021 update*. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation (2021).

4. Wang L, Li X, Wang Z, Bancks MP, Carnethon MR, Greenland P, et al. Trends in prevalence of diabetes and control of risk factors in diabetes among US adults, 1999-2018. *JAMA* (2021) 326(8):1–13. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.9883

5. Yang H, Sloan G, Ye Y, Wang S, Duan B, Tesfaye S, et al. New perspective in diabetic neuropathy: From the periphery to the brain, a call for early detection, and precision medicine. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2020) 10:929. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2019.00929

6. Yu Y. Gold standard for diagnosis of DPN. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) (2021) 12:719356. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.719356

7. Gylfadottir SS, Christensen DH, Nicolaisen SK, Andersen H, Callaghan BC, Itani M, et al. Diabetic polyneuropathy and pain, prevalence, and patient characteristics: a cross-sectional questionnaire study of 5,514 patients with recently diagnosed type 2 diabetes. *Pain* (2020) 161(3):574–83. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000001744

8. Shillo P, Sloan G, Greig M, Hunt L, Selvarajah D, Elliott J, et al. Painful and painless diabetic neuropathies: What is the difference? *Curr Diabetes Rep* (2019) 19 (6):32. doi: 10.1007/s11892-019-1150-5

9. Obrosova IG. Diabetic painful and insensate neuropathy: pathogenesis and potential treatments. *Neurotherapeutics* (2009) 6:638-47. doi: 10.1016/j.nurt.2009.07.004

10. Athans W, Stephens H. Open calcaneal fractures in diabetic patients with neuropathy: a report of three cases and literature review. *Foot Ankle Int* (2008) 29:1049–53. doi: 10.3113/FAI.2008.1049

11. Pop-Busui R, Boulton AJ, Feldman EL, Bril V, Freema R, Malik RA, et al. Diabetic neuropathy: A position statement by the American diabetes association. *Diabetes Care* (2017) 40:136–54. doi: 10.2337/dc16-2042

12. Callaghan BC, Hur J, Feldman EL. Diabetic neuropathy: one disease or two? *Curr Opin Neurol* (2012) 25:536–41. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0b013e328357a797

13. Berger JR, Choi D, Kaminski HJ, Gordon MF, Hurko O, D'Cruz O, et al. Importance and hurdles to drug discovery for neurological disease. *Ann Neurol* (2013) 74:441-6. doi: 10.1002/ana.23997

14. Castillo-Galván ML, Martínez-Ruiz FM, de la Garza-Castro O, Elizondo-Omaña RE, Guzmán-López S. [Study of peripheral nerve injury in trauma patients]. *Gac Med Mex* (2014) 150(6):527–32.

15. Malik RA. Pathology of human diabetic neuropathy. Handb Clin Neurol (2014) 126:249–59. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53480-4.00016-3

16. Pathak R, Sachan N, Chandra P. Mechanistic approach towards diabetic neuropathy screening techniques and future challenges: A review. *BioMed Pharmacother* (2022) 150:113025. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113025

17. Ochoa JL, Torebjörk HE. Paraesthesiae from ectopic impulse generation in human sensory nerves. *Brain* (1980) 103:835-53. doi: 10.1093/brain/103.4.835

18. Wallace VC, Cottrell DF, Brophy PJ, Fleetwood-Walker SM. Focal lysolecithin-induced demyelination of peripheral afferents results in neuropathic pain behavior that is attenuated by cannabinoids. *J Neurosci* (2003) 23:3221–33. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-08-03221.2003

19. Dyck PJ, Lambert EH, O'Brien PC. Pain in peripheral neuropathy related to rate and kind of fiber degeneration. *Neurology* (1976) 26:466–71. doi: 10.1212/WNL.26.5.466

20. English K, Barton MC. HDAC6: A key link between mitochondria and development of peripheral neuropathy. *Front Mol Neurosci* (2021) 14:684714. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2021.684714

21. Schmidt CE, Leach JB. Neural tissue engineering: strategies for repair and regeneration. *Annu Rev BioMed Eng* (2003) 5:293-347. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bioeng.5.011303.120731

22. Yang F, Murugan R, Ramakrishna S, Wang X, Ma YX, Wang S. Fabrication of nano-structured porous PLLA scaffold intended for nerve tissue engineering. *Biomaterials* (2004) 25:1891–900. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2003.08.062

23. Li GN, Hoffman-Kim D. Tissue engineered platforms of axon guidance. *Tissue Eng* (2008) B14:33–51. doi: 10.1089/teb.2007.0181

24. Blacher S, Maquet V, Schils F, Martin D, Schoenen J, Moonen G, et al. Image analysis of the axonal in growth into poly (D,L-lactide) porous scaffolds in relation to the 3D porous structure. *Biomaterials* (2003) 24:1033–40. doi: 10.1016/S0142-9612(02)00423-4

25. Zhang Q, Yan Y, Li S, Feng T. The synthesis and characterization of a novel biodegradable and electroactive polyphosphazene for nerve regeneration. *Mater Sci Eng* (2010) C30:160–6. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2009.09.013

26. Tolle T, Xu X, Sadosky AB. Painful diabetic neuropathy: a cross-sectional survey of health state impairment and treatment patterns. J Diabetes Complicat (2006) 20(1):26–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2005.09.007

27. Kontodimopoulos N, Pappa E, Chadjiapostolou Z, Arvanitaki E, Papadopoulos AA, Niakas D. Comparing the sensitivity of EQ-5D, SF-6D and 15D utilities to the specific effect of diabetic complications. *Eur J Health Econ: HEPAC: Health Econ Prev Care* (2012) 13(1):111–20. doi: 10.1007/s10198-010-0290-y

28. Van der Meer V, van den Hout WB, Bakker MJ, Rabe KF, Sterk PJ, Assendelft WJJ, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Internet-based self-management compared with usual care in asthma. *PloS One* (2011) 6(11):e27108. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027108

29. Sobocki P, Ekman M, Agren H, Krakau I, Runeson B, Martensson B, et al. Health-related quality of life measured with EQ-5D in patients treated for depression in primary care. *Value Health: J Int Soc Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res* (2007) 10(2):153–60. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-4733.2006.00162.x

30. Hoffman DL, Sadosky A, Dukes EM, Alvir J. How do changes in pain severity levels correspond to changes in health status and function in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Pain* (2010) 149(2):194–201. doi: 10.1016/ j.pain.2009.09.017

31. Sicras-Mainar A, Rejas J, Navarro-artieda R, Planas A, Collados C. Cost analysis of adding pregabalin or gabapentin to usual care in the management of community-treated patients with painful diabetes peripheral neuropathy in Spain. *Value Health* (2012) 15(7):A497. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2012.08.1667

32. Boulton AJM, Vileikyte L, Ragnarson-Tennvall G, Apelqvist J. The global burden of diabetic foot disease. *Lancet* (2005) 366:1719–24. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67698-2

33. Wang JT, Medress ZA, Barres BA. Axon degeneration: molecular mechanisms of a self-destruction pathway. *J Cell Biol* (2012) 196:7–18. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201108111

34. Feldman EL, Hughes RA, Willison HJ. Progress in inflammatory neuropathy -the legacy of Dr jack griffin. *Nat Rev Neurol* (2015) 11:646–50. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2015.192

35. Colby AO. Neurologic disorders of diabetes mellitus. I. Diabetes (1965) 14:424-9. doi: 10.2337/diab.14.7.424

36. Malik RA, Tesfaye S, Newrick PG, Walker D, Rajbhandari SM, Siddique I, et al. Sural nerve pathology in diabetic patients with minimal but progressive neuropathy. *Diabetologia* (2005) 48:578–85. doi: 10.1007/s00125-004-1663-5

37. Green AQ, Krishnan S, Finucane FM, Rayman G. Altered c-fiber function as an indicator of early peripheral neuropathy in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Care* (2010) 33:174–6. doi: 10.2337/dc09-0101

38. Ziegler D, Rathmann W, Dickhaus T, Meisinger C, Mielck AStudy Group, K.O.R.A. Neuropathic pain in diabetes, pre-diabetes and normal glucose tolerance. *MONICA/KORA Augsburg Surveys S2 S3. Pain Med* (2009) 10:393–400. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2008.00555.x

39. Mizisin AP. Mechanisms of diabetic neuropathy: Schwann cells. Handb Clin Neurol (2014) 126:401–28. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53480-4.00029-1

40. Oates PJ. Aldose reductase, still a compelling target for diabetic neuropathy. Curr Drug Targets (2008) 9:14–36. doi: 10.2174/138945008783431781

41. Cameron NE, Cotter MA. Potential therapeutic approaches to the treatment or prevention of diabetic neuropathy: evidence from experimental studies. *Diabet Med* (1993) 10:593–605. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.1993.tb00131.x

42. Goto Y, Hotta N, Shigeta Y, Sakamoto N, Kikkawa R. Effects of an aldose reductase inhibitor, epalrestat, on diabetic neuropathy. clinical benefit and indication for the drug assessed from the results of a placebo-controlled doubleblind study. *BioMed Pharmacother* (1995) 49:269–77. doi: 10.1016/0753-3322(96) 82642-4

43. Schemmel KE, Padiyara RS, D'Souza JJ. Aldose reductase inhibitors in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a review. *J Diabetes Complications* (2010) 24:354–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2009.07.005

44. Grewal AS, Bhardwaj S, Pandita D, Lather V, Sekhon BS. Updates on aldose reductase inhibitors for management of diabetic complications and non-diabetic diseases. *Mini Rev Med Chem* (2016) 16:120-62. doi: 10.2174/1389557515666150909143737

45. Yagihashi S, Yamagishi S, Wada R, Sugimoto K, Baba M, Wong HG, et al. Galactosemic neuropathy in transgenic mice for human aldose reductase. *Diabetes* (1996) 45:56–9. doi: 10.2337/diab.45.1.56

46. Du XL, Edelstein D, Rossetti L, Fantus IG, Goldber H, Ziyadeh F, et al. Hyperglycemia-induced mitochondrial superoxide overproduction activates the hexosamine pathway and induces plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 expression by increasing Sp1 glycosylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2000) 97:12222–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.22.12222

47. Eichberg J. Protein kinase c changes in diabetes: is the concept relevant to neuropathy? *Int Rev Neurobiol* (2002) 50:61-82. doi: 10.1016/S0074-7742(02) 50073-8

48. Geraldes P, King GL. Activation of protein kinase c isoforms and its impact on diabetic complications. *Circ Res* (2010) 106:1319–31. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.110.217117

49. Nakamura J, Kato K, Hamada Y, Nakayama M, Chaya S, Nakashima E, et al. A protein kinase c-beta-selective inhibitor ameliorates neural dysfunction in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Diabetes* (1999) 48:2090–5. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.48.10.2090

50. Sasase T, Yamada H, Sakoda K, Imagawa N, Abe T, Ito M, et al. Novel protein kinase c-beta isoform selective inhibitor JTT-010 ameliorates both hyperand hypoalgesia in streptozotocin- induced diabetic rats. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2005) 7:586–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2004.00447.x

51. Casellini CM, Barlow PM, Rice AL, Casey M, Simmons K, Pittenger G, et al. A 6-month, randomized, double-masked, placebo-controlled study evaluating the effects of the protein kinase c-beta inhibitor ruboxistaurin on skin microvascular blood flow and other measures of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Diabetes Care* (2007) 30:896–902. doi: 10.2337/dc06-1699

52. Lukic IK, Humpert PM, Nawroth PP, Bierhaus A. The RAGE pathway: activation and perpetuation in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* (2008) 1126:76–80. doi: 10.1196/annals.1433.059

53. Misur I, Zarkovi c K, Barada A, Batelja L, Milicevic Z, Turk Z. Advanced glycation endproducts in peripheral nerve in type 2 diabetes with neuropathy. *Acta Diabetol* (2004) 41:158–66. doi: 10.1007/s00592-004-0160-0

54. El-Mesallamy HO, Hamdy NM, Ezzat OA, Reda AM. Levels of soluble advanced glycation end product-receptors and other soluble serum markers as indicators of diabetic neuropathy in the foot. *J Investig Med* (2011) 59:1233–8. doi: 10.2310/JIM.0b013e318231db64

55. Wright D, Jack M. Role of advanced glycation endproducts and glycalase I in diabetic peripheral sensory neuropathy. *Transl Res* (2012) 159:355–65. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2011.12.004

56. Sato K, Tatsunami R, Yama KT. Glycolaldehyde induces cytotoxicity and increases glutathione and multidrug- resistance-associated protein levels in schwann cells. *Biol Pharm Bull* (2013) 36:1111–7. doi: 10.1248/bpb.b13-00046

57. Wang L, Yu CJ, Liu W, Cheng LY, Zhang YN. Rosiglitazone protects neuroblastoma cells against advanced glycation end products-induced injury. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* (2011) 32(8):991–8. doi: 10.1038/aps.2011.81.

58. Miyazawa T, Nakagawa K, Shimasaki S, Nagai R. Lipid glycation and protein glycation in diabetes and atherosclerosis. *Amino Acids* (2010) 42 (4):1163-70. doi: 10.1007/s00726-010-0772-3

59. Vincent AM, Perrone L, Sullivan KA, Backus C, Sastry AM, Lastoskie C., et al. Receptor for advanced glycation end products activation injures primary sensory neurons *via* oxidative stress. *(2007) Endocrinol* (2007) 148:548–58. doi: 10.1210/en.2006-0073

60. Drel VR, Pacher P, Stevens MJ, Obrosova IG. Aldose reductase inhibition counteracts nitrosative stress and poly (ADP- ribose) polymerase activation in

diabetic rat kidney and high-glucose-exposed human mesangial cells. Free Radic Biol Med (2006) 40:1454-65. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2005.12.034

61. Toth C, Rong LL, Yang C, Martinez J, Song F, Ramji N, et al. Receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGEs) and experimental diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes* (2008) 57:1002–17. doi: 10.2337/db07-0339

62. Bierhaus A, Nawroth PP. Multiple levels of regulation determine the role of the receptor for AGE (RAGE) as common soil in inflammation, immune responses and diabetes mellitus and its complications. *Diabetologia* (2009) 52:2251–63. doi: 10.1007/s00125-009-1458-9

63. Nishizawa Y, Wada R, Baba M, Takeuchi M, Hanyu-Itabashi C, Yagihashi S, et al. Neuropathy induced by exogenously administered advanced glycation end-products. *J Diabetes Invest* (2010) 1:40–9. doi: 10.1111/j.2040-1124.2009. 00002.x

64. Pop-Busui R, Sima A, Stevens M. Diabetic neuropathy and oxidative stress. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* (2006) 22:257–73. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.625

65. Toth C, Martinez J, Zochodne DW. RAGE diabetes, and the nervous system. *Curr Mol Med* (2007) 7:766–76. doi: 10.2174/156652407783220705

66. Greene DA, Stevens MJ, Obrosova I, Feldman EL. Glucose-induced oxidative stress and programmed cell death in diabetic neuropathy. *Eur J Pharmacol* (1999) 375(1-3):217–23. doi: 10.1016/S0014-2999(99)00356-8

67. Leonelli E, Bianchi R, Cavaletti G, Caruso D, Crippa D, Garcia-Segura LM, et al. Progesterone and its derivatives are neuroprotective agents in experimental diabetic neuropathy: a multimodal analysis. *Neuroscience* (2007) 144:1293–304. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.11.014

68. Obrosova IG. Diabetes and the peripheral nerve. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2009) 1792:931-40. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2008.11.005

69. Stevens MJ, Obrosova I, Cao X, Van Huysen C, Greene DA. Effects of DLalphalipoic acid on peripheral nerve conduction, blood flow, energy metabolism, and oxidative stress in experimental diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes* (2000) 49:1006– 15. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.49.6.1006

70. Leinninger GM, Edwards JL, Lipshaw MJ, Feldman EL. Mechanisms of disease: mitochondria as new therapeutic targets in diabetic neuropathy. *Nat Clin Pract Neurol* (2006) 2:620–8. doi: 10.1038/ncpneuro0320

71. Huang TJ, Price SA, Chilton L, Calcutt NA, Tomlinson DR, Verkhratsky A, et al. Insulin prevents depolarization of the mitochondrial inner membrane in sensory neurons of type 1 diabetic rats in the presence of sustained hyperglycemia. *Diabetes* (2003) 52:2129–36. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.52.8.2129

72. Obrosova IG, Drel VR, Oltman CL, Mashtalir N, Tibrewala J, Groves T, et al. Role of nitrosative stress in early neuropathy and vascular dysfunction in streptozotocin-diabetic rats. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* (2007) 293:E1645–55. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00479.2007

73. Obrosova IG, Li F, Abatan OI, Forsell MA, Komjáti K, Pacher P, et al. Role of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase activation in diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes* (2004) 53:711–20. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.53.3.711

74. Callaghan BC, Little AA, Feldman EL, Hughes RAC. Enhanced glucose control for preventing and treating diabetic neuropathy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2012) 6:CD007543. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007543.pub2

75. Mielke JG, Wang YT. Insulin, synaptic function, and opportunities for neuroprotection. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* (2011) 98:133–86. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-385506-0.00004-1

76. Kim B, Feldman EL. Insulin resistance in the nervous system. Trends Endocrinol Metab (2012) 23:133-41. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2011.12.004

77. Zochodne DW. Mechanisms of diabetic neuron damage: Molecular pathways. *Handb Clin Neurol* (2014) 126:379-99. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53480-4.00028-X

78. Fernyhough P. Mitochondrial dysfunction in diabetic neuropathy: a series of unfortunate metabolic events. *Curr Diab. Rep* (2015) 15:89. doi: 10.1007/s11892-015-0671-9

79. Persson AK, Kim I, Zhao P, Estacion M, Black JA, Waxman SG. Sodium channels contribute to degeneration of dorsal root ganglion neurites induced by mitochondrial dysfunction in an in vitro model of axonal injury. *J Neurosci* (2013) 33:19250–61. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2148-13.2013

80. Persson AK, Black JA, Gasser A, Cheng X, Fischer TZ, Waxman SG. Sodium-calcium exchanger and multiple sodium channel isoforms in itraepidermal nerve terminals. *Mol Pain* (2010) 30:84. doi: 10.1186/1744-8069-6-84

81. Dib-Hajj SD, Cummins TR, Black JA, Waxman SG. Sodium channels in normal and pathological pain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* (2010) 33:325–47. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-neuro-060909-153234

82. Williams DF ed. Definitions in biomaterials: Proceedings of a consensus conference of the european society for biomaterials. Amsterdam: Elsevier (1987).

Keaveney TM. Cancelous bone in handbook of biomaterials properties Vol.
Black J, Hastings G, editors. London: Chapman and Hall (1998).

84. Ratner BD, Bryant SJ. Biomaterials: Where we have been and where we are going. *Annu Rev BioMed Eng* (2004) 6:4175. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bioeng.6. 040803.140027

85. Seal BL, Otero TC, Panitch A. Polymeric biomaterials for tissue and organ regeneration. *Mater Sci Eng R* (2001) 34:14730. doi: 10.1016/S0927-796X(01) 00035-3

86. Burke JF, Yannas IV, Quinby WC, Bondoc CC, Jung WK. Successful use of a physiologically acceptable artificial skin in the treatment of extensive burn injury. *Ann Surg* (1981) 194:41328. doi: 10.1097/0000658-198110000-00005

87. Campoccia D, Doherty P, Radice M, Brun P, Abatangelo G, Williams DF. Semisynthetic resorbable materials from hyaluronan esterification. *Biomaterials* (1998) 19:210127. doi: 10.1016/S0142-9612(98)00042-8

88. Homminga GN, Buma P, Koot HW, van der Kraan PM, van den Berg WB. Chondrocyte behavior in fibrin glue *in vitro. Acta Orthop Scand* (1993) 64:44145. doi: 10.3109/17453679308993663

89. Mattox K. *Biomaterialshard tissue repair and replacement* Vol. 3. Muster D, editor. Amsterdam: Elsevier (1992).

90. Lemons JE. Perspectives on biomaterials, materials science monographs. Lin OC, Cho EYS, editors. Amsterdam: Elsevier (1986).

91. Saenz A, Rivera Munoz E, Brostow W, Victor M, Castano VM. Ceramic biomaterials: An introductory overview. J Mater Edu (1999) 21:297306. 1107130010

92. Park JB. *Biomaterials science and engineering*. New York, United States of America: Cal poly library: A Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation (1984). p. 17181.

93. Williams DF. *Materials science and technology: A comprehensive treatment, medical and dental materials* Vol. 14. Cahn RW, Haasen P, Kramer EJ, Williams DF, editors. New York: VCH (1991).

94. Sachlos E, Czernuszka JT. Making tissue engineering scaffolds work: Review on the application of solid freeform fabrication technology to the production of tissue engineering scaffolds. *Euro Cells Mater* (2003) 5:2940.

95. Patel A, Kibret M. Hydrogel biomaterials in biomedical engineering frontiers and challenges. Rezai RF, editor. London: InTech Publishers (2011). p. 27585. doi: 10.5772/24856

96. Peppas N, Hilt J, Khademhosseini A, Langer R. Hydrogels in biology and medicine: From molecular principles to bionanotechnology. *Adv Mater* (2006) 18:134560. doi: 10.1002/adma.200501612

97. Igwe Idumah C. Recently emerging advancements in polymeric cryogel nanostructures and biomedical applications. *Int J Polymer Mater Polymer Biomater* (2022) 45:27–32. doi: 10.1080/00914037.2022.2097678

98. Lozinsky VI, Plieva FM, Galaev IY, Mattiasson B. The potential of polymeric cryogels in bioseparation. *Bioseparation* (2002) 10:16388. doi: 10.1023/A:1016386902611

99. Aliperta R, Welzel P, Bergmann R, Freudenberg U, Berndt N, Feldmann A, et al. Cryogel-supported stem cell factory for customized sustained release of bispecific antibodies for cancer immunotherapy. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7:42855. doi: 10.1038/srep42855

100. Kirsebom H, Rata G, Topgaard D, Mattiasson B, Galaev IY. *In situ* 1H NMR studies of free radical cryopolymerization. *Polymer* (2008) 49:3855–8. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2008.06.053

101. Ceylan D, Okay O. Macroporous polyisobutylene gels: a novel tough organogel with superfast responsivity. *Macromol Chem Phys* (2007) 40:8742–9. doi: 10.1021/ma071605j

102. Razavi M, Qiao Y, Thakor AS. Three-dimensional cryogels for biomedical applications. J BioMed Mater Res A (2019) 107(12):2736–55. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.36777

103. Dogu S, Okay O. Tough organogels based on polyisobutylene with aligned porous structures. *Polymer* (2008) 49:4626–34. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2008.08.024

104. Ishiguro H, Rubinsky B. Mechanical interactions between ice crystals and red blood cells during directional solidification. *Cryobiology* (1994) 31:483–500. doi: 10.1006/cryo.1994.1059

105. Plieva FM, Galaev IY, Mattiasson B. Macroporous gels prepared at subzero temperatures as novel materials for chromatography of particulate-containing fluids and cell culture applications. *J Sep Sci* (2007) 30:1657–71. doi: 10.1002/jssc.200700127

106. Plieva FM, Anderson J, Galaev IY, Mattiasson B. Characterization of polyacrylamide based monolithic columns. *J Sep Sci* (2004) 27(10-11):828–36. doi: 10.1002/jssc.200401836

107. Newland B, Long KR. Cryogel scaffolds: soft and easy to use tools for neural tissue culture. *Neural Regener Res* (2022) 17(9):1981–3. doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.335156

108. Ingavle GC, Baillie LW, Zheng Y, Lis EK, Savina IN, Howell CA, et al. Affinity binding of antibodies to supermacroporous cryogel adsorbents with

immobilized protein a for removal of anthrax toxin protective antigen. *Biomaterials* (2015) 50:140–53. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.01.039

109. Eigel D, Werner C, Newland B. Cryogel biomaterials for neuroscience applications. *Neurochem Int* (2021) 147:105012. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2021.105012

110. Wang A, Tang Z, Park IH, Zhu Y, Patel S, Daley GQ, et al. Induced pluripotent stem cells for neural tissue engineering. *Biomat* (2011) 32(22):5023–32. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.03.070

111. Stang F, Fansa H, Wolf G, Keilhoff G. Collagen nerve conduits-assessment of biocompatibility and axonal regeneration. *BioMed Mater Eng* (2005) 15(1-2):3-12.

112. Lee SK, Wolfe SW. Peripheral nerve injury and repair. J Am Acad Orthop Surg (1999) 8:243–52. doi: 10.5435/00124635-200007000-00005

113. Bell. JH, Haycock JW. Next generation nerve guides: Materials, fabrication, growth factors, and cell delivery. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev* (2012) 18:116–28. doi: 10.1089/ten.teb.2011.0498

114. Yu Y, Zhang P, Yin X, Han N, Kou Y, Jiang B., et al. Specificity of motor axon regeneration: A comparison of recovery following biodegradable conduit small gap tubulization and epineurial neurorrhaphy. *Am J Transl Res* (2015) 7:53–65.

115. Hsu SH, Chan SH, Chiang CM, Chi-Chang Chen C, Jiang CF. Peripheral nerve regeneration using a microporous polylactic acid asymmetric conduit in a rabbit long-gap sciatic nerve transection model. *Biomaterials* (2011) 32:3764–75. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.01.065

116. Ao Q, Wang A, Cao W, Zhang L, Kong L, He Q, et al. Manufacture of multimicrotubule chitosan nerve conduits with novel molds and characterization in vitro. *J Biomed* (2006) 77:11–8. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.30593

117. Chang JY, Lin JH, Yao CH, Chen JH, Lai TY, Chen YS., et al. In vivoevaluation of a biodegradable EDC/NHS-cross-linked gelatin peripheral nerve guide conduit material. (2007), macromol. Biosci. doi: 10.1002/mabi.200600257

118. Tao J, Hu Y, Wang S, Zhang J, Liu X, Gou Z. A 3D-engineered porous conduit for peripheral nerve repair. Scientific reports. doi: 10.1038/srep46038

119. Chiu. DTW, Strauch B. A prospective clinical evaluation of autogenous vein grafts used as a nerve conduit for distal sensory nerve defects of 3 cm or less. *Plast Reconst Surg* (1990) 86(5):928–34. doi: 10.1097/00006534-199011000-00015

120. Spector. JG, Derby. A, Lee. P, Roufa DG. Comparison of rabbit facial nerve regeneration in nerve growth factor containing silicone tubes to that in autologous neural grafts. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* (1995) 104(11):875–85. doi: 10.1177/000348949510401110

121. Khuong. HT, Midha R. Advances in nerve repair. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep (2013) 13(1):322. doi: 10.1007/s11910-012-0322-3

122. Gordon T, Amirjani N, Edwards. DC, Chan KM. Brief post-surgical electrical stimulation accelerates axon regeneration and muscle reinnervation without affecting the functional measures in carpal tunnel syndrome patients. *Exp Neurol* (2010) 223(1):192–202. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2009.09.020

123. Wan Y, Yu AX, Wu H, Wang ZX, Wen DJ. Porous-conductive chitosan scaffolds for tissue engineering II. *in vitro* and *in vivo* degradation. *J Mater Sci-Mater M* (2005) 16:1017–28. doi: 10.1007/s10856-005-4756-x

124. Spivey EC, Khaing ZZ, Shear JB, Schmidt CE. The fundamental role of subcellular topography in peripheral nerve repair therapies. *Biomaterials* (2012) 33 (17):4264–76. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.02.043

125. Andac M, Baydemir G, Yavuz H, Denizli A. Molecular imprinted cryogel for albumin depletion from human serum. (2012) J Mol Recognit (2012) 25:555–63. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2013.03.054

126. Hu Yu, Wu Y, Gou Z, Tao J, Zhang J, Liu Q, et al. 3D-engineering of cellularized conduits for peripheral nerve regeneration. *Sci Rep* (2016) 6:32184. doi: 10.1038/srep32184

127. Tao J, Hu Yu, Wang S, Zhang J, Liu X, Gou Z, et al. A 3D-engineered porous conduit for peripheral nerve repair. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7:46038. doi: 10.1038/ srep46038

128. Diabetes Control and Complications Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* (1993) 329:977–86. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199309303291401

129. Diabetes Control and Complications Research Group. Effect of intensive diabetes treatment on nerve conduction in the diabetes control and complications trial. *Ann Neurol* (1995) 38:869–80. doi: 10.1002/ana.410380607

130. Callaghan BC, Cheng HT, Stables CL, Smith AL, Feldman EL. Diabetic neuropathy: clinical manifestations and current treatments. *Lancet Neurol* (2012) 11:521–34. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70065-0

131. Ismail-Beigi F, Craven T, Banerji MAACCORD trial group. Effect of intensive treatment of hyperglycaemia on microvascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes: an analysis of the ACCORD randomised trial. *Lancet* (2010) 376:419–30. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60576-4

132. Ohkubo Y, Kishikawa H, Araki E, Miyata T, Isami S, Motoyoshi S, et al. Intensive insulin therapy prevents the progression of diabetic microvascular complications in Japanese patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a randomized prospective 6-year study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* (1995) 28:103–17. doi: 10.1016/0168-8227(95)01064-K

133. Pop-Busui R, Lu J, Brooks MM, Albert S, Althouse AD, Escobedo J, et al. BARI 2D study group. impact of glycemic control strategies on the progression of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in the bypass angioplasty revascularization investigation 2 diabetes (BARI 2D) cohort. *Diabetes Care* (2013) 36:3208–15. doi: 10.2337/dc13-0012

134. Vincent AM, McLean LL, Backus C, Feldman EL. Short-term hyperglycemia produces oxidative damage and apoptosis in neurons. *FASEB J* (2005) 19:638-40. doi: 10.1096/fj.04-2513fje

135. Asnaghi V, Gerhardinger C, Hoehn T, Adeboje A, Lorenzi M. A role for the polyol pathway in the early neuroretinal apoptosis and glial changes induced by diabetes in the rat. *Diabetes* (2003) 52:506–11. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.52.2.506

136. Drel VR, Pacher P, Ali TK, Shin J, Julius U, El-Remessy AB, et al. Aldose reductase inhibitor fidarestat counteracts diabetes–associated cataract formation, retinal oxidative–nitrosative stress, glial activation, and apoptosis. *Int J Mol Med* (2008) 21:667–76. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.21.6.667

137. Ekshyyan O, Aw TY. Apoptosis in acute and chronic neurological disorders. Front. Biosci (2004) 9:1567-76. doi: 10.2741/1357

138. Russell JW, Sullivan KA, Windebank AJ, Herrmann DN, Feldman EL. Neurons undergo apoptosis in animal and cell culture models of diabetes. *Neurobiol Dis* (1999) 6:347–63. doi: 10.1006/nbdi.1999.0254

139. Schmidt RE. Neuronal preservation in the sympathetic ganglia of rats with chronic streptozotocin-induced diabetes. *Brain Res* (2001) 921:256-9. doi: 10.1016/S0006-8993(01)03155-9

140. Curtin NJ. PARP inhibitors for cancer therapy. *Expert Rev Mol Med* (2005) 7:1–20. doi: 10.1017/S146239940500904X

141. Negi G, Kumar A, Sharma SS. Concurrent targeting of nitrosative stress -PARP pathway corrects functional, behavioral and biochemical deficits in experimental diabetic neuropathy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2009) 391:102-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.11.010

142. Obrosova IG, Pacher P, Szabó C, Zsengeller Z, Hirooka H, Stevens MJ, et al. Aldose reductase inhibition counteracts oxidative–nitrosative stress and poly (ADP–ribose) polymerase activation in tissue sites for diabetes complications. *Diabetes* (2005) 54:234–42. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.54.1.234

143. Ryan EA, Paty BW, Senio PA, Bigam D, Alfadhli E, Kneteman NM, et al. Five-year follow-up after clinical islet transplantation. *Diabetes* (2005) 54:2060–9. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.54.7.2060

144. Warnock GL, Thompson DM, Meloche RM, Shapiro RJ, Ao Z, Keown P, et al. A multi-year analysis of islet transplantation compared with intensive medical

therapy on progression of complications in type 1 diabetes. *Transplantation* (2008) 86:1762–6. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e318190b052

145. Mallett AG, Korbutt GS. Alginate modification improves long-term survival and function of transplanted encapsulated islets. *Tissue Eng A* (2009) 15:1301–9. doi: 10.1089/ten.tea.2008.0118

146. Waterman RS, Morgenweck J, Nossaman BD, Scandurro AE, Scandurro SA, Betancourt AM. Anti-inflammatory mesenchymal stem cells (MSC2) attenuate symptoms of painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Stem Cells Transl Med* (2012) 1:557–65. doi: 10.5966/sctm.2012-0025

147. Scuteri A, Cassetti A, Tredici G. Adult mesenchymal stem cells rescue dorsal root ganglia neurons from dying. *Brain Res* (2006) 1116:75–81. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2006.07.127

148. Crigler L, Robey RC, Asawachaicharn A, Gaupp D, Phinney DG. Human mesenchymal stem cell subpopulations express a variety of neuro-regulatory molecules and promote neuronal cell survival and neuritogenesis. *Exp Neurol* (2006) 198:54–64. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2005.10.029

149. Han JW, Choi D, Lee MY, Huh YH, Yoon YS. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells improve diabetic neuropathy by direct modulation of both angiogenesis and myelination in peripheral nerves. *Cell Transplant* (2016) 25:313–26. doi: 10.3727/096368915X688209

150. Hardy JH, Lee JY, Schmidt CE. Biomimetic conducting polymer-based tissue scaffolds. *Curr Opin In Biotech* (2003) 24:847–54. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2013.03.011

151. Mihardja SS, Sievers RE, Lee RL. The effect of polypyrrole on arteriogenesis in an acute rat infarct model. *Biomaterials* (2008) 29:4205–10. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.07.021

152. Currie CJ, Poole CD, Woehl A, Morgan CL, Cawley S, Rousculp MD, et al. The financial costs of healthcare treatment for people with type 1 or type 2 diabetes in the UK with particular reference to differing severity of peripheral neuropathy. *Diabetes Med: J Br Diabetes Assoc* (2007) 24(2):187–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.02057.x

153. Cameron NE, Cotter MA. Potential therapeutic approaches to the treatment or prevention of diabetic neuropathy: evidence from experimental studies. *Diabetes Med* (1993) 10:593-605. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.1993. tb00131.x

154. Zherebitskaya E, Akude E, Smith DR, Fernyhough P. Development of selective axonopathy in adult sensory neurons isolated from diabetic rats: role of glucose-induced oxidative stress. *Diabetes* (2009) 58:1356–64. doi: 10.2337/db09-0034

155. Pop-Busui R, Boulton AJM, Feldman EL, Bril V, Freeman R, Malik RA, et al. Diabetic neuropathy: A position statement by the American diabetes association. *Diabetes Care* (2017) 40:136–54. doi: 10.2337/dc16-2042