



## OPEN ACCESS

## EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Gavin T. L. Brown,  
The University of Auckland,  
New Zealand

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Manu Kapur  
manukapur@ethz.ch

## SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to  
Higher Education,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Education

RECEIVED 15 November 2022

ACCEPTED 18 November 2022

PUBLISHED 30 November 2022

## CITATION

Kapur M, Hattie J, Grossman I and  
Sinha T (2022) Corrigendum: Fail, flip,  
fix, and feed – Rethinking flipped  
learning: A review of meta-analyses  
and a subsequent meta-analysis.  
*Front. Educ.* 7:1098967.  
doi: 10.3389/feduc.2022.1098967

## COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Kapur, Hattie, Grossman and  
Sinha. This is an open-access article  
distributed under the terms of the  
[Creative Commons Attribution License  
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is  
permitted, provided the original  
author(s) and the copyright owner(s)  
are credited and that the original  
publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic  
practice. No use, distribution or  
reproduction is permitted which does  
not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Fail, flip, fix, and feed – Rethinking flipped learning: A review of meta-analyses and a subsequent meta-analysis

Manu Kapur<sup>1\*</sup>, John Hattie<sup>2</sup>, Irina Grossman<sup>2</sup> and  
Tanmay Sinha<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professorship for Learning Sciences and Higher Education, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland,

<sup>2</sup>Melbourne Graduate School of Education, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

## KEYWORDS

flipped learning, productive failure, meta-analysis, higher education, active learning

## A corrigendum on

[Fail, flip, fix, and feed – Rethinking flipped learning: A review of meta-analyses and a subsequent meta-analysis](#)

by Kapur, M., Hattie, J., Grossman, I., and Sinha, T. (2022). *Front. Educ.* 7:956416.  
doi: 10.3389/feduc.2022.956416

In the published article, there was an error in the in-text description of Table 6.

A correction has been made to **Results**, “*Moderators (RQ3a)*,” paragraph 9. This sentence previously stated:

“Table 6 shows that, in all but four instances, the two means were statistically significantly different ( $P < 0.01$ ).”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“Table 6 shows that, in all but four instances, the two means were not statistically significantly different ( $P > 0.01$ ).”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.