Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Kevin R. Theis, Wayne State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Laura Núñez-Pons ☑ laura.nunezpons@szn.it Vera Tai ☑ vtai4@uwo.ca Melissa S. Roth ☑ MelissaSRoth@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 07 June 2024 ACCEPTED 24 June 2024 PUBLISHED 04 July 2024

CITATION

Núñez-Pons L, Tai V and Roth MS (2024) Editorial: Women in coevolution 2022. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 12:1445501. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2024.1445501

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Núñez-Pons, Tai and Roth. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Women in coevolution 2022

Laura Núñez-Pons^{1,2*†}, Vera Tai^{3*†} and Melissa S. Roth^{4*†}

¹Department of Integrated Marine Ecology (EMI), Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Naples, Italy, ²National Biodiversity Future Center (NBFC), Palermo, Italy, ³Department of Biology, Western University, London, ON, Canada, ⁴Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, United States

KEYWORDS

coevolution, symbiosis, mutualism, underrepresentation, women in science

Editorial on the Research Topic

Women in coevolution 2022

While women have been and continue to be underrepresented in science (World Economic Forum, 2023; UNESCO, 2024), they have been integral to advancing the field of evolution as well as the subfield of coevolution, the topic of this thematic collection of articles. Since natural selection was first theorized, Charles Darwin illustrated the selection pressures imposed not only by the environment, but also by interactions between species resulting in reciprocal evolution, i.e. coevolution. In particular, he famously predicted the existence of a species of moth that must possess an unusually long proboscis to obtain nectar at the end of the long floral spur from the orchid *Angraecum sesquipedale* (Darwin, 1862, pp. 197-203; Arditti et al., 2012). Indeed, the moth predicted to pollinate *A. sesquipedale* does in fact exist, formally described in 1903 as *Xanthopan morganii praedicta*, thus demonstrating the predictive power of coevolutionary theory (Arditti et al., 2012). Systemic biases, however, have led to research in evolution to be largely dominated by men (Wellenreuther and Otto, 2016), and consequently, the topics or ideas that have been investigated have also been tackled through a male-biased lens (Keller, 2004; Ah-King, 2022).

Despite the historical lack of opportunity, resources, and recognition, women have made outstanding contributions to coevolution. A female pioneer in this field is Lynn Margulis. She revived the symbiogenesis theory, that organelles such as mitochondria and chloroplasts are descendants of free-living independent prokaryotes that evolved reductively, to become obligate intracellular symbionts (endosymbionts) of a proto-eukaryotic cell (Sagan, 1967). Initially, the theory, based on physiological and structural evidence, was widely rejected, but Margulis's intuitions on an endosymbiotic origin were eventually proven with the added support of genetic evidence (Schwartz and Dayhoff, 1978; Gray and Doolittle, 1982). This episode opened our minds to the expanse of possible paths that evolution can take.

In keeping to our theme, transformative actions supporting women in science will also contribute towards a positive coevolution between genders in our societies, requiring all genders to work cooperatively, and reciprocally, to explore and find solutions for the critical challenges impacting our collective futures, such as climate change and sustainable resource use (Nature editorial, 2022; Yang et al., 2022). The voice, actions and thoughts of women in science are needed for the evolutive success and happiness of humankind.

In this Research Topic in *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, we move the field of coevolution forward by bringing attention to research spearheaded by women, who continue to break new ground by exploring the diversity and complexity of factors that contribute to coevolution and the implications of this type of evolution.

There has been tremendous growth in the field of coevolution for the last 60 years, with many women pushing these advances forward. In the inaugural contribution, Medina et al. incorporate the views of the editorial board to describe the next frontiers in coevolution as an open call to spark progress in the field and highlights areas of active research. In particular, technical advances and bioinformatics have opened up new possibilities in characterizing microbiomes and viromes including those that are host-associated. However, these advances also lead to greater complexities because of the increased awareness that animals and plants do not live in isolation, but rather live with (or host) many microorganisms. Medina et al. also broaden the definition of coevolution to reciprocal interacting lineages rather than species.

Since Margulis' revival of the endosymbiotic theory, microbemetazoan symbioses have been recognized as evolutionary engines, creating metaorganisms with higher capacities to adapt and colonize an array of environments (Margulis and Fester, 1991). Diez-Vives et al. elegantly review symbiont acquisition and transmission mechanisms in sponges (phylum Porifera). These fundamental microbial partnerships are vertically transmitted across generations in a variety of modes into oocytes, sperm, embryos, and larvae. Indeed, Porifera represent optimal models for coevolution studies, since they lack a determined germline, and exhibit diversified reproduction strategies (Riesgo and Solana, 2021). Inherited microbes may remain viable and provide metabolic exchange by "milking", or be digested for nutrient reserves (symbiont farming). But sponges may as well acquire symbionts from the water column (Taylor et al., 2007). A combination of horizontal and vertical transmission shapes sponge mature microbiomes, with the key participation of immune recognition, and environmental adaptation.

Another iconic coevolution relationship in aquatic ecosystems, is reef-building corals and their endosymbiotic dinoflagellate microalgae (Symbiodiniaceae). Ruth Gates, the British coral biologist and activist, left an inestimable legacy in her knowledge of the complexity and diversity of coral symbioses (Gates and Ainsworth, 2011), preservation of reefs via human-assisted evolution (van Oppen et al., 2015), and in her mentorship of early scientists. As with sponges, these algal symbionts are essential for fitness and reproduction success, and can be inherited across generations and/or acquired horizontally (LaJeunesse et al., 2018). In healthy corals, 100% of the carbon budget and some nitrogen can be derived from photosynthates (Muscatine et al., 1981), while heterotrophy supplements carbon and nitrogen resources (Hughes et al., 2010; Ferrier-Pagés et al., 2011; Krueger et al., 2018). These functional symbioses are sensitive to ocean global warming, leading to coral bleaching episodes as temperature rises. This entails the loss of symbiotic algae and photosynthetic pigments, and consequent loss of photoprotection and energy for the coral holobiont (Grottoli et al., 2006). In building on these discoveries, Jaffe et al. used a time-series experiment mimicking bleaching patterns in Hawai'i (Bahr et al., 2017), and assessed the transfer of autotrophic and heterotrophic carbon and nitrogen to developing eggs and gamete bundles in non-bleached and previously bleached (manipulated) *Montipora capitata*.

In plant-pollinator coevolution, some interactions have evolved so tightly that both pollinator and plant are exclusively dependent and specialized on one another, whereas other relationships are more flexible and the species are generalists. Burns et al. tackle the fitness consequences of interactions with generalist versus specialist pollinators of plants. The motivation was to investigate whether the effect of disturbances, which may become more frequent with climate change, can be buffered by generalists because specialists will be less able to adapt to the more varied conditions caused by disturbances. They tracked insect pollinators using innovative camera observations, as well as experimentally tested the contributions of generalists and specialists to seed and fruit output, in particular with *Rubus arcticus*, an Arctic raspberry species.

A long-observed example of antagonistic coevolution is the common cuckoo and its host. The common cuckoo is a frequent obligate brood parasitic bird in Asia and Europe. In the Yongnianwa National Park of China, the common cuckoo lays its eggs in the nest of the oriental reed warbler resulting in about ~15% of nests becoming parasitized (Ma et al., 2018). Nestling begging calls are essential for communication between the nestlings and parents but louder and more visible begging behavior carries greater risk of predation. Wang et al. use recordings of warbler alarm calls to evaluate this tradeoff and the coevolution between parent-offspring, and found similar begging behavior between cuckoo and host nestlings.

Finally, theoretical assumptions and predictions of coevolution were experimentally tested in the article by Vidal et al. A fascinating set of yeast experiments was conducted to test the role of coevolution in the persistence of mutualistic interactions when a third party, an exploiter, siphons off a mutually exchanged resource which breaks down the mutualism. By engineering yeast strains for a specific role (mutualist or exploiter), Vidal et al. test several factors that have been theorized to weaken mutualisms, such as the level of dependence between mutualistic partners or the presence of exploiters. Furthermore, they explore how coevolution contributes to the resistance of exploitation due to a tighter interaction between mutualists, but also the persistence of exploiters, due to exploiters coevolving alongside mutualists where they may evolve to contribute a common good.

This woman-led collection of journal articles encompasses many aspects of coevolution to inspire all researchers and in particular girls and women. Because we believe that gender diversity enriches science and the scientific community, we hope this Research Topic will lead to increased support for women in science.

Author contributions

LN: Conceptualization, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. VT: Conceptualization, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MR: Conceptualization, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgments

We thank the authors, reviewers and editors for their time, effort and valuable contributions to this Research Topic. We appreciate that Frontiers is showcasing women scientists. Lastly, we are grateful for our many scientists and friends of all genders whom we have worked with and discussed many of these ideas with.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Ah-King, M. (2022). The history of sexual selection research provides insights as to why females are still understudied. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 6976. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-34770-z

Arditti, J., Elliott, J., Kitching, I. J., and Wasserthal, L. T. (2012). 'Good heavens what insect can suck it' – Charles Darwin, *Angraecum sesquipedale* and *Xanthopan morganii praedicta. Bot. J. Linn.* 169, 403–432. doi: 10.1111/boj.2012.169.issue-3

Bahr, K. D., Rodgers, K. S., and Jokiel, P. L. (2017). Impact of three bleaching events on the reef resiliency of Kāne 'ohe Bay, Hawai 'i. *Front. Mar. Scie.* 4. doi: 10.3389/ fmars.2017.00398

Darwin, C. (1862). On the various contrivances by which british and foreign orchids are fertilised by insects, and on the good effects of intercrossing (London: John Murray).

Ferrier-Pagés, C., Peirano, A., Abbate, M., Cocito, S., Negri, A., Rottier, C., et al. (2011). Summer autotrophy and winter heterotrophy in the temperate symbiotic coral *Cladocora caespitosa. Limnol. Oceanogr.* 56, 1429–1438. doi: 10.4319/lo.2011.56.4.1429

Gates, R. D., and Ainsworth, T. D. (2011). The nature and taxonomic composition of coral symbiomes as drivers of performance limits in scleractinian corals. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 408, 94–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2011.07.029

Gray, M. W., and Doolittle, W. F. (1982). Has the endosymbiont hypothesis been proven? *Microbiol. Rev.* 46, 1–42. doi: 10.1128/mr.46.1.1-42.1982

Grottoli, A. G., Rodrigues, L. J., and Palardy, J. E. (2006). Heterotrophic plasticity and resilience in bleached corals. *Nature* 440, 1186–1189. doi: 10.1038/nature04565

Hughes, A. D., Grottoli, A. G., Pease, T. K., and Matsui, Y. (2010). Acquisition and assimilation of carbon in non-bleached and bleached corals. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 420, 91–101. doi: 10.3354/meps08866

Keller, E. F. (2004). What impact, if any, has feminism had on science? J. Biosci. 29, 7–13. doi: 10.1007/BF02702556

Krueger, T., Bodin, J., Horwitz, N., Loussert-Fonta, C., Sakr, A., Escrig, S., et al. (2018). Temperature and feeding induce tissue level changes in autotrophic and heterotrophic nutrient allocation in the coral symbiosis – A NanoSIMS study. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 1–15. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-31094-1

LaJeunesse, T. C., Parkinson, J. E., Gabrielson, P. W., Jeong, H. J., Reimer, J. D., Voolstra, C. R., et al. (2018). Systematic revision of Symbiodiniaceae highlights the antiquity and diversity of coral endosymbionts. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 2570–2580.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.07.008

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Ma, L., Yang, C., Liu, J., Zhang, J., Liang, W., and Moller, A. P. (2018). Costs of breeding far away from neighbors: isolated host nests are more vulnerable to cuckoo parasitism. *Behav. Proc.* 157, 327–332. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2018.07.017

Margulis, L., and Fester, R. (1991). Symbiosis as a source of evolutionary innovation: Speciation and morphogenesis (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).

Muscatine, L., McCloskey, L. R., and Marian, R. E. (1981). Estimating the daily contribution of carbon from zooxanthellae to coral animal respiration. *Limnol. Oceanogr* 25, 601–611. doi: 10.4319/lo.1981.26.4.0601

Nature editorial. (2022). Gender equality will enhance research around the world. *Nature* 603, 362. doi: 10.1038/d41586-022-00722-2

Riesgo, A., and Solana, J. (2021). "Evolution of the animal germline: Insights from animal lineages with remarkable regenerating capabilities," in *Origin and evolution of metazoan cell types*. Eds. S. Leys and A. Hejnol (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL), 47–74.

Sagan, L. (1967). On the origin of mitosing cells. J. Theor. Biol. 14, 255-274. doi: 10.1016/0022-5193(67)90079-3

Schwartz, R. M., and Dayhoff, M. O. (1978). Origins of prokaryotes, eukaryotes, mitochondria, and chloroplasts. *Science* 199, 395-403. doi: 10.1126/science.202030

Taylor, M. W., Radax, R., Steger, D., and Wagner, M. (2007). Sponge-associated microorganisms: Evolution, ecology, and biotechnological potential. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 71, 295–347. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00040-06

UNESCO (2024). The gender gap in science: status and trends, February 2024. SC-PBS-STIP/2024/FWIS/2. Available online at: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/ pf0000388805.

van Oppen, M., Oliver, J. K., Putnam, H. M., and Gates, R. D. (2015). Building coral reef resilience through assisted evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 2307–2313. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1422301112

Wellenreuther, M., and Otto, S. (2016). Women in evolution – highlighting the changing face of evolutionary biology. *Evol. Appl.* 9, 3–16. doi: 10.1111/eva.12343

World Economic Forum (2023). *Global gender gap report 2023* (Geneva, Switzerland: World Economic Forum). Available at: https://www.weforum.org/publications/global-gender-gap-report-2023/.

Yang, Y., Tian, T. Y., Woodruff, T. K., and Uzzi, B. (2022). Gender-diverse teams produce more novel and higher-impact scientific ideas. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 119, e2200841119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2200841119