



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Micah Wright
✉ mcwright@usgs.gov

RECEIVED 13 November 2023

ACCEPTED 14 November 2023

PUBLISHED 06 December 2023

CITATION

Wright M, van Mantgem P, Buffington K, Thorne K, Engber E and Smith S (2023) Corrigendum: Spatially explicit models of seed availability improve predictions of conifer regeneration following the 2018 Carr Fire in northern California. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11:1337949. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1337949

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wright, van Mantgem, Buffington, Thorne, Engber and Smith. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Spatially explicit models of seed availability improve predictions of conifer regeneration following the 2018 Carr Fire in northern California

Micah Wright^{1*}, Phillip van Mantgem¹, Kevin Buffington², Karen Thorne², Eamon Engber³ and Sean Smith⁴

¹U.S. Geological Survey, Western Ecological Research Center, Arcata, CA, United States, ²U.S. Geological Survey, Western Ecological Research Center, Davis, CA, United States, ³National Park Service, Klamath Network Fire Ecology Program, Orick, CA, United States, ⁴National Park Service, Klamath Inventory and Monitoring Network, Ashland, OR, United States

KEYWORDS

wildland fire, conifer regeneration, dispersal kernel, Bayesian modeling, lidar

A Corrigendum on

Spatially explicit models of seed availability improve predictions of conifer regeneration following the 2018 Carr Fire in northern California

By Wright M, van Mantgem P, Buffington K, Thorne K, Engber E and Smith S (2023) *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11:1229123. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1229123

In the published article, there was an error in [Figure 7](#) and [Figure 8](#) as published. Incorrect units were used on the x axis of the seed availability plots: they should read “Seed density m²”, not “Seed density m² ha⁻¹”. The corrected [Figures 7](#) and [8](#) and their captions appear below. The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.




FIGURE 7
 Model predictions of probability of observing zero conifer seedlings (\pm 95% credible intervals) for each predictor in the model with the highest $el\hat{p}d$. Predictions are made holding all other variables constant. Note the different axes scales.




FIGURE 8
 Model predictions of conifer seedling density in stems per hectare (\pm 95% credible intervals) for each predictor in the model with the highest *elpd*. Predictions are made holding all other variables constant. Note the different axes scales.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.