frontiers | Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

TYPE Correction
PUBLISHED 06 December 2023
DOI 10.3389/fevo.2023.1337949

OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Micah Wright
mcwright@usgs.gov

RECEIVED 13 November 2023
ACCEPTED 14 November 2023
PUBLISHED 06 December 2023

CITATION
Wright M, van Mantgem P, Buffington K, Thorne K, Engber E and Smith S (2023) Corrigendum: Spatially explicit models of seed availability improve predictions of conifer regeneration following the 2018 Carr Fire in northern California. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11:1337949. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1337949

Corrigendum: Spatially explicit models of seed availability improve predictions of conifer regeneration following the 2018 Carr Fire in northern California

Micah Wright[1]*, Phillip van Mantgem[1], Kevin Buffington[2], Karen Thorne[2], Eamon Engber[3] and Sean Smith[4]

[1]U.S. Geological Survey, Western Ecological Research Center, Arcata, CA, United States, [2]U.S. Geological Survey, Western Ecological Research Center, Davis, CA, United States, [3]National Park Service, Klamath Network Fire Ecology Program, Orick, CA, United States, [4]National Park Service, Klamath Inventory and Monitoring Network, Ashland, OR, United States

KEYWORDS

wildland fire, conifer regeneration, dispersal kernel, Bayesian modeling, lidar

A Corrigendum on

Spatially explicit models of seed availability improve predictions of conifer regeneration following the 2018 Carr Fire in northern California

By Wright M, van Mantgem P, Buffington K, Thorne K, Engber E and Smith S (2023) *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11:1229123. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1229123

In the published article, there was an error in Figure 7 and Figure 8 as published. Incorrect units were used on the x axis of the seed availability plots: they should read "Seed density m^2", not "Seed density m^2 ha^{-1}". The corrected Figures 7 and 8 and their captions appear below. The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

10.3389/fevo.2023.1337949



FIGURE 7
Model predictions of probability of observing zero conifer seedlings (± 95% credible intervals) for each predictor in the model with the highest *elpd*. Predictions are made holding all other variables constant. Note the different axes scales.

10.3389/fevo.2023.1337949



FIGURE 8
Model predictions of conifer seedling density in stems per hectare (± 95% credible intervals) for each predictor in the model with the highest *elpd*. Predictions are made holding all other variables constant. Note the different axes scales.

Publisher's note