



## OPEN ACCESS

## EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Peter Convey,  
British Antarctic Survey (BAS), United Kingdom

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Sidney F. Gouveia  
✉ sgouveia@academico.ufs.br

## SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to  
Biogeography and Macroecology,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

RECEIVED 18 January 2023

ACCEPTED 06 February 2023

PUBLISHED 20 February 2023

## CITATION

Gouveia SF and Huang S (2023) Editorial:  
Modeling large-scale ecological and  
evolutionary dynamics.  
*Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11:1147516.  
doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1147516

## COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Gouveia and Huang. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the terms  
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License  
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction  
in other forums is permitted, provided the  
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)  
are credited and that the original publication in  
this journal is cited, in accordance with  
accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted which  
does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Modeling large-scale ecological and evolutionary dynamics

Sidney F. Gouveia<sup>1\*</sup> and Shan Huang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology, Federal University of Sergipe, São Cristóvão, Sergipe, Brazil, <sup>2</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

## KEYWORDS

mechanistic model, biogeography, macroecology, theoretical ecology, biodiversity patterns

## Editorial on the Research Topic

### Modeling large-scale ecological and evolutionary dynamics

In recent decades, mechanistic or process-based models for large spatial and temporal biodiversity patterns have played an increasing role in biogeography and macroecology. Pioneering modeling work in ecology has gradually reached broader geographical scales, increasingly intersecting other subjects, such as biophysics, physiology, behavior, life history, species distribution and diversity, trait evolution, diversification dynamics, and environmental changes, through various computational and statistical innovations. To account for the complexity of biodiversity dynamics at large geographical and evolutionary scales, large-scale ecological and evolutionary models have also assimilated critical conceptual issues, including stochastic, scaling, and hierarchical effects (Connolly et al., 2017). The diversity of modeling approaches and their continuous expansion and intermingles offer exciting possibilities to tackle contemporary challenges in understanding broad-scale biodiversity patterns.

To showcase representative modeling advances in biogeography and macroecology, we launched a Frontiers Research Topic “*Modeling large-scale ecological and evolutionary dynamics*.” The four contributions employ different modeling strategies to approach questions bridging different levels of ecological organization, from the organismal physiology to the global biota, and integrating varying perspectives, including biophysics and physiology, niche evolution and adaptation, ecological interaction, and phylogeny.

For instance, the ecophysiological studies of animal reactions to temperature encompass multiple lines of investigation, including experimental and comparative endocrine physiology, aimed at clarifying the genetic and biochemical underpinnings of the organismal reactions, and field thermal biology addressing the evolutionary and ecological contexts of the species’ thermal adaptations (Feder et al., 1987). In their contribution, Rubalcaba and Jimeno take advantage of biophysical mechanistic models of heat balance in ectotherms to interrogate physiological data and show a hormonal path linking body temperature and energy requirements of lizards. In doing so, the study bridges complementary subfields of ecophysiology toward the common and timely issue of species responses to climatic gradients.

Also aimed at understanding species' response to climatic variation, Souza et al. advance in assessing species' distributional responses to climate change. The authors focus on the capacity of amphibians to respond to ongoing climate changes by tracking suitable conditions across geographical space through either dispersal or evolutionary rescue (i.e., the capacity to adapt to environmental changes rapidly) within their current distribution. The work combines bioclimatic envelopes with an eco-evolutionary model based on quantitative genetics with a probabilistic assignment of life-history traits of nearly all amphibian species to explore the chance of dispersal and tolerance evolution as temperatures rise across the globe. Furthermore, the study explores the outcomes of the species distributional reshuffling in terms of range size, species richness, and beta-diversity. By this means, the contribution helps to improve the realism of macroecological estimates of species responses to climate change.

At the community level, understanding of spatial variation in species composition, i.e., beta-diversity patterns, has primarily relied on empirical studies using non-parametric indices of differences in taxonomic composition (e.g., Baselga, 2010) or evolutionary relatedness, in the case of phylogenetic beta-diversity (Swenson, 2011). For understanding the spatial patterns, there have been recent attempts to incorporate biogeographical and macroevolutionary processes such as dispersal and diversification (e.g., Wu et al., 2020), but species differences in dispersal capabilities along contrasting environmental gradients are difficult to quantify. Jang et al. fill this gap with an eco-evolutionary model that accounts for species-specific population growth, dispersal, niche evolution, and species diversification along an environmental gradient. The contribution offers a mechanistic understanding of diversity patterns based on combined ecological and biogeographical dynamics.

Beyond model innovations, this Frontiers Research Topic also allowed for a conceptual reassessment of the competitive exclusion principle (Gause, 1934) at the biogeographical scale. This principle is foundational to ecological theory, especially regarding species coexistence. Among the many consequences of the principle, MacArthur and Levins (1967) relied on it to explain community patterns through the hypothesis of limiting similarity.

## References

- Baselga, A. (2010). Partitioning the turnover and nestedness components of beta diversity. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 19, 134–143. doi: 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00490.x
- Connolly, S. R., Keith, S. A., Colwell, R. K., and Rahbek, C. (2017). Process, mechanism, and modeling in macroecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 835–844. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2017.08.011
- Feder, M. E., Bennett, A. F., Burggren, W. W., and Huey, R. B. (Eds). (1987). *New Directions in Ecological Physiology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gause, G. F. (1934). Experimental analysis of Vito Volterra's mathematical theory of the struggle for existence. *Science* 79, 16–17. doi: 10.1126/science.79.2036.16.b
- MacArthur, R., and Levins, R. (1967). The limiting similarity, convergence, and divergence of coexisting species. *Am. Naturalist* 101, 377–385. doi: 10.1086/282505
- Swenson, N. G. (2011). Phylogenetic beta diversity metrics, trait evolution and inferring the functional beta diversity of communities. *PLoS ONE* 6, e21264. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021264
- Webb, C. O., Ackerly, D. D., McPeck, M. A., and Donoghue, M. J. (2002). Phylogenies and community ecology. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 33, 475–505. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150448
- Wu, Y., Chen, Y., and Shen, T. J. (2020). A likelihood framework for modeling pairwise beta diversity patterns based on the tradeoff between colonization and extinction. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 8, 64. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2020.0064

This hypothesis has been central, for example, to studies of the phylogenetic structure in community assemblages (Webb et al., 2002). In a Hypothesis and Theory article, Real et al. warn about the “crispy” and often unrealistic true-or-false logic of the competitive exclusion principle at broad scales and offer a probabilistic view based on fuzzy logic. By prescribing a broader set of outcomes involving competing species, the study can, for instance, instigate novel approaches and interpretations when investigating species coexistence and assemblage patterns in ecological communities.

These contributions illustrate the imprint of different modeling traditions in ecology and evolutionary biology and also highlight the great potential of integrating different mechanisms and data in biogeography and macroecology. We hope that they will also stimulate further innovation and integration of eco-evolutionary models at large scales.

## Author contributions

SG wrote the first draft. SG and SH contributed to the final version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.