

[Spatial Scaling Effects to Enhance](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2022.848865/full) the Prediction for the Temporal Changes of Soil Nitrogen Density From 2007 to 2017 in Different Climatic Basins

Haoxi Ding ^{1,2}, Wei Hu³, Hongfen Zhu ^{1,2*} and Rutian Bi ¹

¹ College of Resources and Environment, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, China, ² National Experimental Teaching Demonstration Center for Agricultural Resources and Environment, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, China, ³ The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research Limited, Christchurch, New Zealand

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Giulia Maisto, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

Reviewed by: *Luca Vitale,*

National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Italy Xuyang Wang, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources (CAS), China

> *Correspondence: *Hongfen Zhu hongfzh@163.com*

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Environmental Informatics and Remote Sensing, a section of the journal Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

> Received: *05 January 2022* Accepted: *11 April 2022* Published: *20 May 2022*

Citation:

Ding H, Hu W, Zhu H and Bi R (2022) Spatial Scaling Effects to Enhance the Prediction for the Temporal Changes of Soil Nitrogen Density From 2007 to 2017 in Different Climatic Basins. Front. Ecol. Evol. 10:848865. doi: [10.3389/fevo.2022.848865](https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.848865) Soil nitrogen density (SND), which is influenced by environmental factors operating at different spatial scales and intensities, is critical for agricultural production and soil quality. Although the spatiotemporal distribution of top-layer SND has been well explored, the scale effects of environmental factors on the temporal changes of SND (SND_T) are poorly studied, which might promote the predictive accuracy of SND_T . Thus, SND_T during a certain period was calculated to explore the multiscale effects of environmental factors on it. In the study, three sampling transects under the basins of warm-temperate, mid-temperate, and warm-temperate zones were established with 200 km long and 1 km intervals to explore the spatial variation of SND_T , examine the multiscale effect of environmental factors on it, construct the predicting models based on its scale-specific relations with environmental factors, and validate the models in each basin or in other climate-zone basins. The results indicated that the increment of SND during a certain period was the greatest in the mid-temperate basin, and the variation of SND_T was ranked as cool-temperate > mid-temperate > warm-temperate basins. Under different soil types, the spatial characteristics of SND_T were different in different climate-zone basins, but the average SND_T under cropland was the greatest in each basin. Considering the influencing factors (climatic, topographic, and vegetation factors), they had controls on SND_T operating at different spatial scales. In regard to the prediction of SND_T , the method of partial least square regression (PLSR) combined with a multiscale analysis was found to be more preferable for dependent SND_T prediction than the traditional method of stepwise multiple linear regression but could not be validated for the independent validation data in other basins. Thus, the spatial multiscale relations of SND_T with environmental factors could provide more information for each basin, and the integration of the extra information decomposed by wavelet transform into the method of PLSR could enhance the SND_T prediction for dependent datasets. These findings are of great significance for future studies in the spatial modeling of SND temporal dynamics under the influence of environmental changes.

Keywords: temporal changes of soil total nitrogen density (SND_T), scale-dependent prediction, partial wavelet transform (PWT), partial least square regression (PLSR), basin

INTRODUCTION

Soil N (SN) is closely linked to the soil carbon stock because the input of additional N could support the accumulation of soil carbon as a result of regulating terrestrial carbon sequestration [\(Ngaba et al., 2020\)](#page-11-0), and it is the largest contributor to atmospheric N_2O emissions, which is a relatively stable greenhouse gas contributing to stratospheric ozone destruction [\(Chapuis-Lardv et al., 2007\)](#page-11-1). Thus, soil nitrogen stock (SNS) is critical to soil quality and environmental changes. However, the temporal and spatial heterogeneity of topsoil N varied greatly, accompanied by spatiotemporal changes of environmental characteristics, and SNS requires a timely updated look at what is happening. Therefore, the spatial distribution of temporal changes in soil nitrogen density (SND_T) , which is the prerequisite for the assessment of SNS spatiotemporal variability, should be predicted for agricultural management and climatic changes.

The spatial variation of SN under different environmental conditions [\(Gao et al., 2019\)](#page-11-2) and its temporal variability after land-use conversion [\(Ngaba et al., 2020\)](#page-11-0) have been extensively explored. For example, temperature and precipitation were the key factors affecting the variations of SN in a previous study [\(Ngaba et al., 2020\)](#page-11-0); the elevation and slope significantly influenced SN distribution due to regional natural environment and soil erosion, but SN did not demonstrate any significant variations under different land-use types in the hilly region of subtropical China [\(Zhang et al., 2020\)](#page-12-0); the concentration of SN was greater under farmland, followed by grassland and shrubland on the Chinese Loess Plateau [\(Wang et al., 2009\)](#page-12-1); elevated N input could improve net primary production (NPP) [\(Adamek, 2009\)](#page-11-3). In other words, climatic and topographic factors control the spatial variation of SN, while SN regulates aboveaground NPP.

Previous studies indicated that environmental variables control soil properties at different spatial scales with different intensities [\(Si, 2008;](#page-12-2) [Hu et al., 2017\)](#page-11-4), and the scale of influence on soil properties may not always be at the original sampling scales [\(Biswas and Si, 2011\)](#page-11-5). Many studies have been conducted to clarify the spatial scaling effects of soil organic carbon (SOC) and to identify its controls based on the multiscale analyzing methods, such as wavelet transform (WT), empirical model decomposition (EMD), and Fourier transform. For example, [Zhou et al. \(2021\)](#page-12-3) examined the spatial variations of SOC at different scales, and the effects of environmental factors on SOC were explained in Chinese Tibet using the two-dimensional empirical mode decomposition (2DEMD). The scale- and location-specific correlations between temperature and SOC was analyzed across the globe by a spherical wavelet analysis [\(Huang et al., 2018\)](#page-11-6). The scalespecific dominant factors affecting SOC spatial distributions were identified by the multivariate empirical model decomposition (MEMD) [\(She et al., 2016\)](#page-12-4).

The prediction model of SOC was established based on their scale-dependent relationships with environmental factors by discrete wavelet transform [\(Zhu et al., 2018\)](#page-12-5), and the SOC prediction was also performed based on the locationand scale-effects of environmental factors by the combination of 2DEMD, geographical weighted regression, and stepwise multiple linear regression (SMLR) [\(Zhu et al., 2020b\)](#page-12-6), but the validation of the predicting models was not carried out in previous studies. Although the multiscale characteristics of SN were presented based on MEMD [\(Zhu et al., 2019\)](#page-12-7), less research has been done to not only address the spatial scaling effects of environmental variables on SND_T but also establish the predicting models based on their spatially scaling relations. Thus, there is still uncertainty about the spatial scaling effects of environmental factors on SND_T in the typical landscape of the basin. We assume that the multiscale analysis of SND_T could provide more information for understanding and predicting its spatial distributions.

Under diverse environmental conditions in Shanxi Province, the variation characteristic of SND_T and the soil–environmental relationships vary greatly, resulting from the effect of different dominant environmental variables on the soil formation. From north to south of the Shanxi Province, the widely distributed landform of the basin, where high-quality arable land is distributed, should be considered. Whether the predicting model of SND_T in each basin is effective based on its spatial scaling relations with influencing factors should be explored extensively for obtaining the spatial and temporal distributions of SND accurately for its better management in the future. In two basins located in different climate zones, whether the prediction model of SND_T is validated should also be studied for the model's validation in different basins. Therefore, the aims of this study were to (1) analyze the scale-specific variations of SND_T , (2) examine the scale-specific relationships between SND_T and environmental factors, (3) establish the predicting model of SND_T based on its scale-dependent relations with environmental factors, and (4) validate the predicting model in each basin or in the other basins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description

The study area is located in Shanxi Province (110°14′-114°33′ E, 34◦ 35′ -40◦ 45′ N), Central China (**[Figure 1A](#page-2-0)**). This region is in the semi-arid continental monsoon climate zone, which is divided into three climate zones, namely, cool-temperate, midtemperate, and warm-temperate zones based on the latitudinal extent. The mean annual temperature varies from 8◦C in the north to 12◦C in the south, and the accumulated temperature $(\geq 10^{\circ} \text{C})$ ranges from 1,850 to 5,480°C. The accumulated precipitation varies from 410 to 690 mm. The major soil types in Shanxi Province are cinnamon soil, fluvo-aquic soil, castanozem soil, and castano-cinnamon soil according to Chinese Soil Taxonomy (**[Figure 1B](#page-2-0)**), with the pH level as "alkaline." The elevation ranges from 245 m to 3,058 m, and more than 70% of the total area is covered with mountains (**[Figure 1C](#page-2-0)**). Highquality farmland is mainly located in the six basins of Shanxi Province, which are the Datong basin, Xinding basin, Taiyuan basin, Changzhi basin, Linfen basin, and Yuncheng basin (**[Figure 1C](#page-2-0)**).

FIGURE 1 | (A) Geographic location of study area, (B) soil types, (C) digital elevation model (DEM) and sampling transects across the basins of Datong (cool-temperate transect), Taiyuan (mid-temperate transect), and Yuncheng (warm-temperate transect), (D) soil sampling locations in 2007, and (E) soil sampling locations in 2017.

Data Acquisitions

A total of 302,045 points of soil samples were sampled during 2006–2008 (**[Figure 1D](#page-2-0)**), and the temporal change during the period of sampling was ignored in the study. At each location, a composite sample of 0–20 cm soil layer from three sampling points was collected within 1 m, and an undisturbed surface soil was collected using a metallic core cylinder of 100 cm³ volume. Soil total nitrogen (SN) was measured using the Kjeldahl method, standing for the SN content of around 2007. Soil bulk density (SBD) was measured using the oven-dry method. Additionally, a total of 6,128 points of topsoil samples (0–20 cm) sampled during 2017 were collected (**[Figure 1E](#page-2-0)**). The SN and SBD were also measured using the Kjeldahl method and the oven-dry method, respectively. The SND (kg m−²) of 2007 and 2017 were calculated as follows [\(Tian et al., 2006\)](#page-12-8):

$$
SND = \frac{L \times SN \times SBD \times (1 - F/100)}{100},
$$
 (1)

where L is soil thickness (cm), SN is soil total nitrogen concentration (g kg^{-1}), SBD is soil bulk density (g cm⁻³), and F is gravel $(>2$ mm) percentage. In this study, F was taken as 0 because large gravel rarely appeared in homogeneous loess [\(Liu et al., 2011\)](#page-11-7). Subsequently, the temporal changes of SND during 2007-2017 (SND_T, kg m⁻² 10a⁻¹) could be calculated

by the difference between SND of 2017 and SND of 2007. Finally, the spatial distribution of SND_T with 30 m resolution in Shanxi Province was calculated using the ordinary kriging without anisotropy in ArcGIS.

In the study, three soil sampling transects, which were mainly under the Datong basin located in the cool-temperate zone, the Taiyuan basin located in the mid-temperate zone, and the Linfen basin located in the warm-temperate zone, were established to 200 km long and with 1 km intervals. The SND_T content along the three transects was extracted from the spatial distribution of SNDT.

A total of 179 meteorological stations in and around Shanxi Province from 2007 to 2017 were collected from Climatic Data Center, National Meteorological Information Center. The average temperature (AAT), accumulated precipitation (AAP), averaged sunshine during (SSD), and relative humidity (RH) during each year were obtained, and averaged annual values of the four factors during 2007–2017 were calculated. The spatial distributions of AAT, AAP, SSD, and RH in Shanxi Province were predicted by the ANUSPLIN Meteorological Interpolation Model with 30 m resolution, and the four climatic factors at the three sampling transects were extracted. Altitude was obtained from the digital elevation model (DEM) with 30 m resolution, and slope was calculated from the altitude. The topographic factors, including altitude and slope along the three transects, were also extracted. Net primary productivity (NPP) during 2007–2017 from a moderate resolution imaging spectrometer (MODIS) was downloaded from the website [\(https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/\)](https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/), and the averaged NPP during 2007–2017 was calculated to represent the vegetation conditions.

Continuous Wavelet Transform and Partial Wavelet Coherence

Continuous Wavelet Transform

Wavelet transform was used to analyze the multiscale effects of spatial or time series, which arise from the finite spatial or temporal domain [\(Biswas et al., 2013\)](#page-11-8). For the spatial series SND_T (represented by Y) measured along a location series X, the WT function is expressed as follows:

$$
W\left(a,b\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y_i(X_i) \psi_{a,b}\left(\frac{X_i - b}{a}\right) dX_i,\tag{2}
$$

where $\psi(X_i)$ is the basic wavelet function, and *i* is the spatial location from 0 to 200 km. The parameter *a* is the dilation ($a >$ 1) or contraction ($0 < a < 1$) factor, and b is the translation or shift of the function [\(Kumar and Foufoula-Georgiou, 1993\)](#page-11-9). The inverse WT is defined as follows:

$$
Y_i(X_i) = \frac{2}{A+B} \sum_{a,b} W(a,b) \psi_{a,b}(\frac{X_i - b}{a}), \tag{3}
$$

where A and B are upper and lower bounds, respectively. There are two types of WT, namely, CWT and DWT. If the wavelet coefficients are calculated at continuous scales and locations, the method is defined as CWT.

Partial Wavelet Coherence

Based on bivariate wavelet coherence (BWC), the PWC between the response variable SND_T is represented by Y, the predictor variable of each environmental factor is represented by X (e.g., AAT), and excluding variables of the other environmental factors is represented by Z ($Z = [Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m]$, e.g., $Z = [AAP, SSD,$ RH, altitude, slope, and NPP]) at the scale-location domain (s, τ) is expressed as follows:

$$
P_{YX|Z}(s, \tau) = \frac{(1 - R_{YXZ}^2(s, \tau))P_{YX}(s, \tau)}{\sqrt{(1 - R_{YZ}^2(s, \tau))(1 - R_{XZ}^2(s, \tau))}},
$$
(4)

where $P_{YX}(s, \tau)$, $R_{YXZ}^2(s, \tau)$, $R_{YZ}^2(s, \tau)$, and $R_{XZ}^2(s, \tau)$ can be calculated as follows:

$$
P_{YX}(s,\tau) = \frac{\overleftrightarrow{W}^{YX}(s,\tau)}{(\overleftrightarrow{W}^{YY}(s,\tau)\overleftrightarrow{W}^{XX}(s,\tau))^{1/2}}
$$
(5)

$$
R_{YXZ}^2(s,\tau) = \frac{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{YZ}(s,\tau)\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{ZZ}(S,\tau)^{-1}}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{YX}(s,\tau)} \qquad (6)
$$

$$
R_{YZ}^2(s,\tau) = \frac{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{YZ}(s,\tau)\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{ZZ}(S,\tau)^{-1}}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{YY}(s,\tau)}(7)
$$

$$
R_{XZ}^2(s,\tau) = \frac{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{XZ}(s,\tau)\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{ZZ}(S,\tau)^{-1}}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{W}^{XX}(s,\tau)},\qquad(8)
$$

where \hat{w}^{AA} (s, τ) is the smoothed auto-wavelet power spectra, and $\overset{\leftrightarrow AB}{w}(s, τ)$ is the cross-wavelet power spectra at scale *s* and location τ . A more detailed description of the PWC can be found in other publications [\(Hu and Si, 2021\)](#page-11-10).

Data Analysis

The land cover types in both 2007 and 2017 were obtained from a moderate resolution imaging spectrometer (MODIS), and the changed and unchanged regions of land-cover types could be acquired. The land cover types and soil types under the three sampling transects were obtained to analyze the SND_T content under different land types and soil types. The traditional statistics of SND_T and its partial correlation coefficients with environmental factors were calculated.

The PWC between SND_T and environmental variables was performed, and the parameter of percentage area of significant PWC (PAS_{PWC}) was calculated to evaluate the strength of their relations. According to previous studies [\(Si and Zeleke, 2005;](#page-12-9) [Si,](#page-12-2) [2008;](#page-12-2) [Zhu et al., 2016\)](#page-12-10), their relationships were recognized as statistically significant, if PAS_{PWC} is $>5\%$. The influencing factors were decomposed into multiple-scale components by CWT, and the correlation coefficients between SND_T and the environmental factors or their decomposed-scale components were calculated.

Along each transect, the odd points from north to south were selected as the calibration datasets, and all even points were selected as the validation dataset. First, the calibration data of

TABLE 1 | Comparison of temporal changes of soil nitrogen density (SND_T) under different soil types along the three transects.

 SND_T and environmental factors at the sampling scale were used to develop the model of SMLR to estimate SND_T for validation. Second, the models of partial least square regression (PLSR) were developed from the environmental factors at the sampling and multiple scales, and leave-one-out cross-validation was used to determine the optimal number of latent variables (ONLV) during the calibration procedure. During the validation procedure, the PLSR model was used to predict SND_T for the validation dataset with the ONLV value determined in the calibration. In addition, the predicting models established by each transect from one basin were also validated by the transect from the other basins.

The performance of the SMLR and PLSR models for the calibration and validation data were evaluated using the parameters of R^2 , root mean square error (RMSE), and the ratio of performance to deviation (RPD). R^2 was calculated as follows:

$$
R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{Y}_{i} - Y_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{Y}_{i} - Y_{i})},
$$
\n(9)

where n is the number of samples and p is the number of the variables in the regression equation.

$$
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{Y}_i - Y_i)^2}
$$
(10)

$$
RPD = \frac{SD}{RMSE},
$$
(11)

where
$$
Y_i
$$
, \hat{Y}_i , and \overline{Y}_i are the measured, predicted, and averaged
values of SND_T , respectively. SD is the standard deviation of the
measured SND_T . Based on the previous studies (Rossel et al.,
2006; Kassim et al., 2021), the performance of the predicting
models could be classified into six classes: very poor model, which
is not recommended (RPD < 1.0), poor model, in which only
high and low values can be distinguishable (RPD = 1.0–1.4), fair
model, which may be used for prediction (RPD = 1.4–1.8), good

model, which can be used for quantitative predictions ($RPD =$

1.8–2.0), very good model (RPD = $2.0-2.5$), and excellent model $(RPD > 2.5)$.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics of SND_T and Its Local Variation

Descriptive statistics of SND_T under different soil types along three transects are given in **[Table 1](#page-4-0)**. From north to south, the mean SND_T values were positive and were 0.04, 0.09, and 0.04 kg m−² 10a−¹ , respectively, for cool-temperate, mid-temperate, and warm-temperate transects. Thus, the increment of SND during the period of 10 years along the mid-temperate transect was the greatest among the three transects. The variability of SND_T along cool-temperate and mid-temperate transects were classified as strong because the coefficients of variation (CVs) values were >90%; the variability along the warm-temperate transect was classified as moderate because CV values were between 10 and 90%. Meanwhile, CVs for the three transects were ranked as cool-temperate > mid-temperate > warm-temperate transect. Therefore, the spatial variation of SND_T decreased greatly from cool-temperate to warm-temperate basins.

For fluvo-aquic soil, the mean SND_T values were 0.01, 0.03, and 0.05 kg m⁻² $10a^{-1}$ for cool-temperate, mid-temperate, and warm-temperate transects, respectively, which gradually increased from north to south. For castano-cinnamon soil, the mean SND_T values in both cool-temperate and mid-temperate transects were $0.07 \text{ kg m}^{-2} 10a^{-1}$. For cinnamon soil, the mean SND_T values were 0.13 and 0.04 kg m⁻² 10a⁻¹ for mid-temperate and warm-temperate transects, respectively. For cinnamon soil and litho soil, the increment of SND during the period of 10 years was the greatest along the mid-temperate transect.

Descriptive statistics of SND_T under different land types along the transects are shown in **[Table 2](#page-5-0)**. The land type was dominant in grassland along the cool-temperate transect and in cropland along the mid-temperate and warm-temperate transects. For

 $w¹$

Transects	Land types	Percentage distribution (%)	Min (kg m ⁻² 10a ⁻¹)	Max (kg m ⁻² 10a ⁻¹)	Mean (kg m ⁻² 10a ⁻¹)	SD	CV(%)
Cool-temperate transect $(n = 200)$	Unchanged grassland	67.00	-0.12	0.15	0.04	0.06	160.40
	Unchanged cropland	28.50	-0.09	0.13	0.05	0.04	83.00
	Changed land types	4.50	-0.14	-0.03	-0.06	0.03	-56.39
	$\qquad \qquad$	-	-0.14	0.15	0.04	0.06	159.44
Mid-temperate transect $(n = 200)$	Unchanged grassland	4.50	-0.03	0.23	0.04	0.07	169.13
	Unchanged cropland	84.50	-0.07	0.25	0.09	0.08	87.73
	Changed land types	11.00	-0.03	0.25	0.07	0.07	99.91
	$\overline{}$	-	-0.07	0.25	0.09	0.08	91.89
Warm-temperate transect $(n = 200)$	Unchanged cropland	77.50	-0.04	0.16	0.05	0.04	78.59
	Changed land types	22.50	-0.02	0.09	0.04	0.03	85.25
			-0.04	0.16	0.04	0.04	80.78

TABLE 2 | Significant spatial variations of temporal changes of soil nitrogen density (SND_T) under different land types along the three transects.

cropland, the mean SND_T values were 0.05, 0.09, and 0.05 kg m⁻² 10a⁻¹ for cool-temperate, mid-temperate, and warm-temperate transects, respectively, and their CV values were around 80%. The results indicated that the spatial variation of SND_T was identical under cropland, even in different climate zones. For grassland and the changed land types, the CVs of SND_T varied greatly under different climate zones.

The spatial distribution of SND_T and their local variance are presented in **[Figure 2](#page-5-1)**. The spatial distribution of SND_T under different climate zones varied greatly, and the significant variation in the scale-location domain was locally distributed. The areas of significant variation were 36, 31, and 21% for the three basins, respectively. Therefore, the significant variations of SND_T were climate-zone dependent.

Relationships Between Environmental Factors and SND_T at the Sampling and Decomposed Scales

The correlation coefficients between SND_T and the influencing factors at the sampling or decomposed scales are given in [Figure 3](#page-6-0). If the correlation coefficients were >0.18, their relationships were significant at the 99% confidence level. The correlation types between SND_T and environmental factors were different at different scales, and the influencing intensities of environmental variables on SND_T were also different. For cooltemperate transect, the significant correlation was mainly located at scales of 13–40 km. For mid-temperate transect, the significant relationships was mainly located at scales of 18–68 km. However, for warm-temperate transect, the significant correlations were shown at different scales.

Partial Correlations Between Environmental Factors and SND_T at the Sampling or Decomposed Scales

The PWCs between SND_T and environmental factors (slope for cool-temperate transect and SSD for mid-temperate and warm-temperate transects were selected; others are not shown) are shown in **[Figure 4](#page-6-1)**, which indicates the partial correlations between scale components of SND_T and environmental factors at the corresponding scale. Along cool-temperate transect,

the other variables along the (A) cool-temperate (slope), (B) mid-temperate (SSD), and (C) warm-temperate (SSD) transects. The direction of the arrow shows the type of correlation (the right direction is positive and the left direction is negative), and the solid black lines show significant bivariate wavelet coherence (BWC) at a 95% confidence level.

the scale- and location-specific relationships of SND_T with environmental factors were greater at scales around 60 km, and their significant relations were local at scales <32 km. Along the mid-temperate transect, the scale- and location-specific relationships of SND_T with environmental factors demonstrated that their relations were generally greater than those along the other two transects. Along warm-temperate transect, the correlation of SSD with SND_T was dominant among these influencing factors.

At the original scale, the partial correlation coefficients between SND_{T} and influencing factors indicated that AAT, AAP, SSD, and NNP were significantly correlated with SND_T along the cool-temperate transect; AAP, SSD, and RH were significantly correlated with SND_T along the mid-temperate transect; and AAP, SSD, RH, and altitude were significantly correlated with SND^T along the warm-temperate transect (**[Table 3](#page-7-0)**). Therefore, AAP and SSD significantly controlled the spatial distribution of SND_T at the sampling scale of 1 km at different climatic basins.

TABLE 3 | The percentage area of significant partial wavelet coherence (PAS_{PWC}) between temporal changes of soil nitrogen density (SND_T) and influencing factors and the partial correlation coefficients (R) between SND_T and influencing factors.

**Stands for statistically significant at P* < *0.05.*

***Stands for statistically significant at P* < *0.01.*

The evaluation parameters of PWC between SND_{T} and influencing factors are also given in **[Table 3](#page-7-0)**. Along the cooltemperate transect, their significant relationships were mainly located at scales of 50–60 km, and the significant areas were more stable at different locations except for NPP. Along the midtemperate transect, their significant relationships were mainly located at scales of 30–68 km, while the significant areas were local at some locations and scales, which were the greatest among the three transects. Along the warm-temperate transect, the relationships of SND_T with environmental factors were not prominent except for SSD. In brief, the partial correlations between SND_T and influencing factors at the sampling and decomposed scales were different, which indicated that the information contained in SND_T at the sampling and decomposed scales should be complementary.

Prediction of SND_T at the Sampling Scale

The SND_T values at the sampling scale were predicted by PLSR, and the evaluating parameters at different numbers of latent variables (NLV) are presented in **[Figure 5](#page-8-0)**. During the calibration procedure, the RMSE gradually decreased and the R^2 gradually increased when the NLV ranged from 0 to 50. This indicated that the ONLV in the calibration procedure should be 50. However, the RMSE gradually decreased and then increased, and R^2 gradually increased and then decreased with the NLV increasing in the validation procedure. This demonstrated that the predicting performance for the validation data was not always improved with the NLV increasing, and the ONLV in the validation procedure was not 50. In the study, the ONLV for the PLSR model was determined by the leaveone-out cross-validation in the validation procedure, which is presented in **[Figure 5](#page-8-0)**. Although the NLV with the best predicting performance in the validation model was not always in line with the ONLV determined in the calibration procedure, both NLVs were close to each other. Thus, the ONLV determined in the calibration procedure was suitable for predicting the purpose of the environmental factors at the decomposed scales.

The scatterplots observed by predicted SND_T are shown in **[Figure 6](#page-8-1)**, and evaluating parameters of the predicting models established by both methods of SMLR and PLSR are presented in **[Table 4](#page-9-0)**. By SMLR from environmental factors, although the included factors were different along different transects, both the factors of AAP and SSD were included for SND_T prediction in the basins. The calibration model could be classified as a fair model because RPD values ranged from 1.4 to 1.8. However, during the validation procedure, only the model for the mid-temperate transect could be considered a fair model, and the other two models were only poor (RPD ranged from 1.0 to 1.4).

The method of PLSR from the environmental factors at the sampling and decomposed scales could significantly improve the predicting accuracy of SND_T , especially for the cool-temperate transect, which had the worst predicting performance by SMLR, and the best performance by PLSR among the three transects. Along the cool-temperate and mid-temperate transects, the

predicting performance for the calibration and validation data was excellent, because RPD was >2.5. However, the predicting accuracy along the warm-temperate transect was good, because the RPD values in the calibration and validation procedures ranged from 2.0 to 2.5. The good performance in the cooltemperate and mid-temperate transects could also be proved by their significant PWC between environmental factors and SND_T at different scales (**[Figure 4](#page-6-1)**). The study also indicated that the predicting models built in each basin were not reliable in the other basins because of the different effects of environmental factors on SND_T in different climate zones (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Spatial Characteristics of SND_T

In the spatiotemporal domain, the surface SND varied greatly due to the environmental conditions and human activities. In the study, the indicator of SND_T was established to assess the spatial

TABLE 4 | Parameters used to assess the temporal changes of soil nitrogen density (SND_T) prediction accuracy, the stepwise multiple linear regression (SMLR) models at the original scale, and the optimal number of latent variables (ONLV) for the partial least square regression (PLSR).

RMSE, root mean square error; RPD, ratio of performance to deviation.

* *Represents the standardized regression coefficients in the functions.*

dependence of temporal changes in SND. Three typical basins located in different climate zones were selected as the study area because of the high-quality arable land dominantly distributed. The mean value of SND_T was positive in all three basins and had the greatest increase in the mid-temperate basin. The result was in line with a previous study [\(Yang et al., 2015\)](#page-12-11), which proved that the temporal changes of SN presented an increasing trend with time. Previous studies indicated that the rate of denitrification (conversion to N gas) generally increased with the increasing temperature from cool temperature to warm temperature due to the biological activity [\(Veraart et al., 2011\)](#page-12-12). Meanwhile, the SN input was great in the mid-temperate and warm-temperate basins from fertilizer application or crop straw return because cropland dominated in the two basins. Thus, the high N input and low rate of denitrification in the mid-temperate basin led to a high level of SND_T .

The SND_{T} demonstrated different statistical characteristics under different soil types, because the predominant N forms were different, resulting in different N cycle processes, including fixation, mineralization, ammonification, nitrification, denitrification, plant assimilation, and so on [\(Powlson, 1993\)](#page-11-13). The mean SND_T gradually increased from north to south under fluvo-aquic soil, which is developed from river alluvium and widely distributed in these basins. The result might be due to the reason that organic matter decomposes releasing N more quickly in warm humid climates and slower in cool dry climates [\(Parton et al., 2007\)](#page-11-14). However, the mean SND_T was similar under castano cinnamon soils that resided in different climatic basins. Additionally, a previous study indicated that SNS was influenced by the vegetation type [\(Marty et al., 2017\)](#page-11-15). In the study, the variability of SND_T was similar under cropland of different climatic basins (around 80%), and also similar under grassland of different climatic basins (around 165%). However, its variability under the changed land types varied greatly resulted from the different land transformations by human. In each basin, the mean SND_T was greater under cropland than that under grassland, and the extract of more SND_T might come from N input from tillage management. For example,

the extract of 0.01 kg m⁻² 10a⁻¹ in the cool-temperate basin (0.05 kg m⁻² 10a⁻¹ in cropland compared with 0.04 kg m⁻² 10a−¹ in grassland) and the extract of 0.05 in cool-temperate basin (0.09 kg m⁻² 10a⁻¹ in cropland compared with 0.04 kg m⁻² 10a⁻¹ in grassland) might come from tillage practices, such as fertilizer or crop straw return.

In the scale-location domain, the significant variations of SND_T were local and different among different transects. This indicated that the dominant pedogenic processes were different under different climatic basins. The area of significant variation was ranked as cool-temperate > mid-temperate > warmtemperate transects, which is identical to the order of CV values (**[Table 1](#page-4-0)**). The greatest variation of SND_T in the cooltemperate basin (160%) might be attributed to the various rates of denitrification resulting from temperature differences across the basin and the low human impact resulting from dominant grassland. Therefore, the spatial variation of SND temporal changes decreased greatly with decreasing latitude. However, many errors ignored in the study should be considered in the future, such as the discrepancy in the number of samples between 2007 and 2017, the longer period of sampling time around 2007, and the like.

Effects of Environmental Factors on SND_T

Some studies hypothesized that each scale of soil properties was controlled by one or more environmental variables at the original sampling scale. For example, the controls of SOC variation at different scales were unraveled by the environmental variables at the original scale by [Zhou et al. \(2021\)](#page-12-3). However, SND_T may be controlled by the environmental factors at the other scales that differ from the sampling scale. Some studies identified the controlling factors by separating variations in soil properties as well as in environmental factors at similar scales [\(Hu and Si, 2013;](#page-11-16) [Zhu et al., 2020a\)](#page-12-13). However, the bivariate relationships might be misleading when both variables are dependent on other variables [\(Hu and Si, 2021\)](#page-11-10).

In the study, partial correlation at the sampling scale and PWC at the decomposed multiscale were used to unravel the controls of

environmental factors on SND_T because of the interdependence between predictor and other variables. The partial correlations between environmental factors and SND_T at the sampling scale differed from their partial relations at the decomposed scales. For example, altitude and slope had an insignificant effect on SND_T at the sampling scale along the cool-temperate transect but had a significant effect at the scales > 1 km. The results indicated that the controls of environmental variables on SND_T cannot be captured only at the sampling scales, which was in line with the characteristics of other soil indicators [\(Si, 2008;](#page-12-2) [Hu and Si, 2013;](#page-11-16) [She et al., 2016;](#page-12-4) [Liu et al., 2018\)](#page-11-17). In the study, the selected environmental factors had all significant influences on SND^T in the three basins except the factor of NPP in the warm-temperate transect (PAS_{PWC} = 3.73). The insignificant relationships between NPP and $\mathrm{SND}_{\mathrm{T}}$ in the warm-temperate basin might be attributed to the double-cropping that resulted in intensive human activities.

The significant relationship between SND_T and temperature might result from the fact that temperature was related to N mineralization rate, nitrification, and denitrification (Guntiñas et al., [2012\)](#page-11-18). The study indicated that the correlation type between SND^T and precipitation was unstable at different scales and locations, which might be due to the reason that precipitation could add N inputs from the atmospheric N and may also remove N by leaching and surface runoff of soluble forms (Jarvis et al., [1996\)](#page-11-19). The duration of sunshine was related to crop photosynthesis, which resulted in vegetation N absorption from the soil, and the rate of straw decomposition, which resulted in soil N input [\(Tian et al., 2019\)](#page-12-14). Relative humidity, which might be linked with gaseous transfer such as N gas and N oxides during nitrification and denitrification processes [\(Weitz et al., 2001;](#page-12-15) [Abdelaziz and Kim, 2020\)](#page-11-20), could also influence soil N content. The topographic factors of altitude and slope could lead to N loss and deposition, resulting from the surface runoff of soluble N (He et al., [2016;](#page-11-21) [Madrigal Reyes et al., 2019\)](#page-11-22). Vegetation conditions could promote N storage or N absorption or dry deposition from vegetation. In the study, the results indicated that the types of relationships between environmental variables and N greatly differed at different locations or scales.

Prediction of SND_T

To predict SND_T at the sampling scale, the environmental factors were decomposed into multiscale components for covariates. The study revealed that some multiscale components of factors were significantly correlated with SND_T at the sampling scale. Thus, more covariates, which might be beneficial for SND_T prediction, could be generated by the CWT. Because the number of covariates is great and the variables might be crosscorrelated, PLSR might be suitable for SND_T prediction (Abdi and Williams, [2013\)](#page-11-23). The performance of PLSR is associated with the ONLV, which is usually determined by the leave-one-out cross-validation with calibration data [\(Hu et al., 2015\)](#page-11-24). Whether ONLV obtained from the calibration procedure is suitable for prediction in each basin or in other basins should be explored. In the study, the results demonstrated that the ONLV determined in the calibration procedure may not be the best but it is very close to

the best one, and the prediction model of PLSR could be validated for the dependent data in each basin rather than in other basins of different climatic basins.

The study revealed that the combined method of a multiscale analysis and PLSR could enhance the prediction accuracy of the SMLR method from environmental factors. In the cooltemperate basin, the predicting performance for the calibration and validation samples was excellent, which might be attributed to the reason that the significant PWC between SND_T and environmental variables was stable at scales of around 50– 60 km. In the mid-temperate basin, although the prediction of SND_T was excellent, there was a large discrepancy in prediction accuracy between the calibration and validation procedures. This might be due to the local significant PWC between SND_T and environmental variables. In the warm-temperate basin, the prediction accuracy in the calibration and validation procedures was only good, which could be proved by their poor relationships with PWC. This resulted from intensive human activities during double cropping.

Based on the temporal changes of SND, the highlight of the study was to establish the predictive models of its spatial distribution. If the relationships between SND_T and environmental factors were stable as time goes by, the temporal changes of SND across the spatial areas or temporal changes of SNS could be predicted based on the results of the study, and also the content of SND and SNS could be obtained based on their background. However, the correlations between SND_T and climatic factors or vegetation would change with climatic changes. Therefore, the climatic changes should be considered for predicting temporal changes of SND with time in the future.

CONCLUSION

In this study, SND_T was established to assess the temporal changes of SND across a spatial area in three basins located in different climate zones. The objective was to evaluate the spatial variation of SND_T , reveal its relationships with environmental variables at different scales, develop its predictive models based on the spatial-scaling effects, and validate the model for the dependent data in the same basin or for the independent data in the other basins. As for the SND_T under different climatic basins, the increment of SND was the greatest in the midtemperate basin, and its variation during the period of 10 years decreased greatly with the latitude decreasing. Considering the soil types, the increment of SND gradually increased with the latitude decreasing. As for land types, the spatial variability of SND temporal changes was similar under cropland or grassland among different climatic basins. In each basin, the increment of SND under cropland was the greatest result of agricultural management, but its variation was ranked as grassland > changed land types > cropland.

To explore the multiscale effects of influencing factors on SNDT, the combination of CWT and PWC was performed in this study. The partial relationships between SND_T and environmental factors at the sampling or decomposed larger

scales indicated that variables had more or less effects on SND_T , and PWC indicated that the correlation types of influencing factors on SND_T were generally unstable at different scales or locations. Meanwhile, environmental factors at multiple scales could provide extract information for SND_T prediction. As for the prediction, the ONLV determined in the calibration procedure could be preferable for dependent SND_T prediction in each basin but was not preferable for independent datasets in other basins. Thus, the combined method of wavelet and PLSR could promote the prediction accuracy of SND_T in each basin. The conclusions obtained in this study can be used for the spatial prediction of SND_T with environmental factors in the basins and other similar areas.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors.

REFERENCES

- Abdelaziz, A. A., and Kim, H.-H. (2020). Temperature-dependent behavior of nitrogen fixation in nanopulsed dielectric barrier discharge operated at different humidity levels and oxygen contents. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 53, 114001. doi: [10.1088/1361-6463/ab5c78](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6463/ab5c78)
- Abdi, H., and Williams, L. J. (2013). "Partial least squares methods: partial least squares correlation and partial least square regression," in Computational Toxicology: Volume II, eds B. Reisfeld and A. N. Mayeno (Totowa, NJ: Humana Press), 549–579.
- Adamek, M. (2009). Effects of Increased Nitrogen Input on the Net Primary Production of a Tropical Lower Montane Rain Forest. Panama: Citeseer.
- Biswas, A., Cresswell, H. P., Chau, H. W., Rossel, R. A. V., and Si, B. C. (2013). Separating scale-specific soil spatial variability: a comparison of multiresolution analysis and empirical mode decomposition. Geoderma 209–210, 57–64. doi: [10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.06.003)
- Biswas, A., and Si, B. C. (2011). Identifying scale specific controls of soil water storage in a hummocky landscape using wavelet coherency. Geoderma 165, 50–59. doi: [10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.07.002)
- Chapuis-Lardv, L., Wrage, N., Metay, A., Chotie, J.-L., and Bernoux, M. (2007). Soils, a sink for N2O? A review. Global Change Biol. 13, 1–17. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01280.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01280.x)
- Gao, X.-S., Xiao, Y., Deng, L.-J., Li, Q.-Q., Wang, C.-Q., Li, B., et al. (2019). Spatial variability of soil total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in Renshou County of Sichuan Basin, China. J. Integr. Agric. 18, 279–289. doi: [10.1016/S2095-3119\(18\)62069-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(18)62069-6)
- Guntiñas, M. E., Leirós, M. C., Trasar-Cepeda, C., and Gil-Sotres, F. (2012). Effects of moisture and temperature on net soil nitrogen mineralization: a laboratory study. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 48, 73–80. doi: [10.1016/j.ejsobi.2011.07.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2011.07.015)
- He, X., Hou, E., Liu, Y., and Wen, D. (2016). Altitudinal patterns and controls of plant and soil nutrient concentrations and stoichiometry in subtropical China. Sci. Rep. 6, 24261. doi: [10.1038/srep24261](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24261)
- Hu, W., Chau, H. W., and Si, B. C. (2015). Vis-near IR spectroscopy for soil organic carbon content measurement in the Canadian prairies. Clean Soil Air Water 43, 1215–1223. doi: [10.1002/clen.201400400](https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.201400400)
- Hu, W., and Si, B. C. (2013). Soil water prediction based on its scale-specific control using multivariate empirical mode decomposition. Geoderma 193, 180–188. doi: [10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.10.021)
- Hu, W., and Si, B. C. (2021). Technical Note: Improved partial wavelet coherency for understanding scale-specific and localized bivariate relationships in geosciences. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 25, 321–331. doi: [10.5194/hess-25-321-2021](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-321-2021)

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HZ and HD conceived and designed the research. HD wrote the paper, while WH, HZ, and RB supported writing the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported financially by the Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province, China (201801D221103) and the Innovation Grant of Shanxi Agricultural University, China (2017ZZ07).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many thanks for the insightful comments and suggestions from the reviewers.

- Hu, W., Si, B. C., Biswas, A., and Chau, H. W. (2017). Temporally stable patterns but seasonal dependent controls of soil water content: evidence from wavelet analyses. Hydrol. Process. 31, 3697–3707. doi: [10.1002/hyp.11289](https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11289)
- Huang, J., Minasny, B., McBratney, A. B., Padarian, J., and Triantafilis, J. (2018). The location- and scale- specific correlation between temperature and soil carbon sequestration across the globe. Sci. Total Environ. 615, 540–548. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.136](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.136)
- Jarvis, S. C., Stockdale, E. A., Shepherd, M. A., and Powlson, D. S. (1996). "Nitrogen mineralization in temperate agricultural soils: processes and measurement," in Advances in Agronomy, ed D.L. Sparks (New York, NY: Academic Press), 187–235.
- Kassim, A. M., Nawar, S., and Mouazen, A. M. (2021). Potential of on-the-go gamma-ray spectrometry for estimation and management of soil potassium site specifically. Sustainability 13, 661. doi: [10.3390/su13020661](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020661)
- Kumar, P., and Foufoula-Georgiou, E. (1993). A multicomponent decomposition of spatial rainfall fields: 1. Segregation of large- and small-scale features using wavelet transforms. Water Resources Res. 29, 2515–2532. doi: [10.1029/93WR00548](https://doi.org/10.1029/93WR00548)
- Liu, Z., Ma, D., Hu, W., and Li, X. (2018). Land use dependent variation of soil water infiltration characteristics and their scale-specific controls. Soil Tillage Res. 178, 139–149. doi: [10.1016/j.still.2018.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2018.01.001)
- Liu, Z., Shao, M., and a., Wang, Y. (2011). Effect of environmental factors on regional soil organic carbon stocks across the Loess Plateau region, China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 142, 184–194. doi: [10.1016/j.agee.2011.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.05.002)
- Madrigal Reyes, S., Acevedo, D., Hernández Acosta, E., and Romo Lozano, J. L. (2019). Influence of cover, slope and depth, on soil carbon and nitrogen. Revista mexicana de ciencias forestales 10, 201–223. doi: [10.29298/rmcf.v10i51.113](https://doi.org/10.29298/rmcf.v10i51.113)
- Marty, C., Houle, D., Gagnon, C., and Courchesne, F. (2017). The relationships of soil total nitrogen concentrations, pools and C:N ratios with climate, vegetation types and nitrate deposition in temperate and boreal forests of eastern Canada. Catena 152, 163–172. doi: [10.1016/j.catena.2017.01.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2017.01.014)
- Ngaba, M. J. Y., Ma, X.-Q., and Hu, Y.-L. (2020). Variability of soil carbon and nitrogen stocks after conversion of natural forest to plantations in Eastern China. PeerJ 8, e8377. doi: [10.7717/peerj.8377](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8377)
- Parton, W., Silver, W. L., Burke, I. C., Grassens, L., Harmon, M. E., Currie, W. S., et al. (2007). Global-scale similarities in nitrogen release patterns during long-term decomposition. Science 315, 361–364. doi: [10.1126/science.1134853](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1134853)
- Powlson, D. (1993). Understanding the soil nitrogen cycle. Soil Use Manage. 9, 86–93. doi: [10.1111/j.1475-2743.1993.tb00935.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.1993.tb00935.x)
- Rossel, R. V., McGlynn, R., and McBratney, A. (2006). Determining the composition of mineral-organic mixes using UV–vis–NIR diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. Geoderma 137, 70–82. doi: [10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.07.004)
- She, D., Cao, Y., Chen, Q., and Yu, S.,e. (2016). Characterizing scale-specific environmental factors affecting soil organic carbon along two landscape transects. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 1–12. doi: [10.1007/s11356-016-6883-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6883-y)
- Si, B. C. (2008). Spatial scaling analyses of soil physical properties: a review of spectral and wavelet methods. Vadose Zone J. 7, 547–562. doi: [10.2136/vzj2007.0040](https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2007.0040)
- Si, B. C., and Zeleke, T. B. (2005). Wavelet coherency analysis to relate saturated hydraulic properties to soil physical properties. Water Resour. Res. 41, 303–306. doi: [10.1029/2005WR004118](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR004118)
- Tian, H., Wang, S., Liu, J., Pan, S., Chen, H., Zhang, C., et al. (2006). Patterns of soil nitrogen storage in China. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 20:GB1001. doi: [10.1029/2005GB002464](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002464)
- Tian, P., Sui, P., Lian, H., Wang, Z., Meng, G., Sun, Y., et al. (2019). Maize straw returning approaches affected straw decomposition and soil carbon and nitrogen storage in Northeast China. Agronomy 9, 818. doi: [10.3390/agronomy9120818](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9120818)
- Veraart, A. J., de Klein, J. J. M., and Scheffer, M. (2011). Warming can boost denitrification disproportionately due to altered oxygen dynamics. PLoS ONE 6, e18508. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0018508](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018508)
- Wang, Y., Zhang, X., and Chuanqin, H. (2009). Spatial variability of soil total nitrogen and soil total phosphorus under different land uses in a small watershed on the Loess Plateau, China. Geoderma 150, 141–149. doi: [10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.01.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.01.021)
- Weitz, A. M., Linder, E., Frolking, S., Crill, P., and Keller, M. (2001). N2O emissions from humid tropical agricultural soils: effects of soil moisture, texture and nitrogen availability. Soil Biol. Biochem. 33, 1077–1093. doi: [10.1016/S0038-0717\(01\)00013-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00013-X)
- Yang, J., Gao, W., and Ren, S. (2015). Long-term effects of combined application of chemical nitrogen with organic materials on crop yields, soil organic carbon and total nitrogen in fluvo-aquic soil. Soil Tillage Res. 151, 67–74. doi: [10.1016/j.still.2015.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.03.008)
- Zhang, J., Zhang, M., Huang, S., and Zha, X. (2020). Assessing spatial variability of soil organic carbon and total nitrogen in eroded hilly region of subtropical China. PLoS ONE 15, e0244322. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0244322](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244322)
- Zhou, Y., Chen, S., Zhu, A. X., Hu, B., Shi, Z., and Li, Y. (2021). Revealing the scale- and location-specific controlling factors of soil organic carbon in Tibet. Geoderma [382, 114713. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114713) 114713
- Zhu, H., Bi, R., Sun, R., Xu, Z., Lv, C., and Yang, J. (2020a). Revealing the 2D–scale, location–specific variations of soil properties in the coal mining area of Changhe watershed, China. Land Degrad. Dev. 31, 2775–2788. doi: [10.1002/ldr.3639](https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3639)
- Zhu, H., Cao, Y., Jing, Y., Liu, G., Bi, R., and Yang, W. (2019). Multi-scale spatial relationships between soil total nitrogen and influencing factors in a basin landscape based on multivariate empirical mode decomposition. J. Arid Land 11, 385–399. doi: [10.1007/s40333-019-0054-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-019-0054-1)
- Zhu, H., Hu, W., Bi, R., Peak, D., and Si, B. (2016). Scale- and location-specific relationships between soil available micronutrients and environmental factors in the Fen River basin on the Chinese Loess Plateau. Catena 147, 764–772. doi: [10.1016/j.catena.2016.08.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.08.038)
- Zhu, H., Sun, R., Xu, Z., Lv, C., and Bi, R. (2020b). Prediction of soil nutrients based on topographic factors and remote sensing index in a coal mining area, China. Sustainability 12, 1626. doi: [10.3390/su12041626](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041626)
- Zhu, H., Wei, H., Yaodong, J., Yi, C., Rutian, B., and Wude, Y. (2018). Soil organic carbon prediction based on scale-specific relationships with environmental factors by discrete wavelet transform. Geoderma 330, 9–18. doi: [10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.05.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.05.029)

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ding, Hu, Zhu and Bi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.