



## Analysis of Genetic Variability Amongst Polyploid Genotypes of *Pteris vittata* L. From Various Geographic Locales of India

Jyoti Mathur1\*, P. B. Khare2, Apurva Panwar1 and S. A. Ranade2t

<sup>1</sup> Bioscience & Biotechnology Department, Banasthali Vidyapith, Tonk, India, <sup>2</sup> National Botanical Research Institute (CSIR), Lucknow, India

#### OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Sangeeta Saxena, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, India

#### Reviewed by:

Jinming Chen, Wuhan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Devindra Amla, National Botanical Research Institute (CSIR), India Yong-He Han, Fujian Normal University, China Heena Ambreen, National Institute of Plant Genome Research (NIPGR), India

> \***Correspondence:** Jyoti Mathur

contact.srivastava@gmail.com orcid.org/0000-0001-7084-7643

<sup>†</sup>Deceased

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Population Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

> Received: 04 October 2020 Accepted: 06 August 2021 Published: 14 September 2021

#### Citation:

Mathur J, Khare PB, Panwar A and Ranade SA (2021) Analysis of Genetic Variability Amongst Polyploid Genotypes of Pteris vittata L. From Various Geographic Locales of India. Front. Ecol. Evol. 9:613847. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2021.613847 Pteris vittata L. is very common and a widely distributed species belongs to the family Pteridaceae. Various cytotypes from diploid to octaploid is available in this fern species. The present work has been carried out for genetic diversity in this fern both within and between the cytotypes. The molecular analysis at inter- as well as intra-species has been carried out with 57 accessions of P. vittata as well as of other species of Pteris with Microsorium punctatum considered as an out group taxon. For the present study 48 P. vittata (36 tetraploid and 12 pentaploid) and five of other species (four P. cretica, one P. pellucida, one P. tremula, one P. quadriaurita, and two P. ensiformis) accessions were used. The UPGMA (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) dendrograms were generated for each method separately, as well as for all methods cumulatively, after a 1000 replicate bootstrap analysis. In order to determine the utility of each of the method, a comparative statistical assessment was done and marker index (MI), expected average heterozygosity, fraction of polymorphic loci and effective multiplex ratio (EMR) were calculated in case of each of the methods used in the present study. At the level of individual methods highest MI was obtained for directed amplification of minisatellites DNA (DAMD) method. Our findings of the present study concluded that out of the three methods Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR), and Directed Amplification of Minisatellite DNA (DAMD), DAMD was the best in term of polymorphism and heterozygosity as scores exhibited highest MI. The different accessions of P. vittata collected from different phytogeographical regions falls into six groups. Out of six clusters, one cluster is of pentaploid cytotype, four clusters are of tetraploid cytotype and one for outgroup taxon (*M. punctatum*). The result thus showed that within tetraploid, heterozygosity with variable genomic structure exists.

Keywords: Pteris vittata, polyploid, ISSR, DAMD, RAPD, genetic diversity

## INTRODUCTION

The pteridophytes are amongst the oldest existing land plants and include the largest proportion of polyploid species (Ramsey and Schemske, 1998; Soltis and Soltis, 2000; Leitch and Bennett, 2004). Polyploidy have been considered as one of the factors for long survival of the ferns as well as for their global distribution from more than 360 million years ago till date. Polyploidy helps the pteridophytes in overcoming not only the negative effects of inbreeding due to isolation

1

of populations but also the buffering effects for variations and this in turn helps in successful colonisation by the plants (Soltis et al., 2004; Otto and Sauquet, 2018). The pteridophytes include ferns and fern allies of which the ferns are the dominant group extant today. Amongst the common ferns, Pteris L. is an almost exclusively tropical fern genus with 330 species and 3 hybrids (Hassler and Swale, 2001). The genus includes terrestrial and perennial species that grow well in both xeric and moist shady habitats. In India, about 45 species of Pteris have been reported; however, there are no reports of any comprehensive survey and analysis of their diversity and biosystematics of the genus (Aulakh et al., 2019). The Brake Fern or Pteris vittata L. is very common and a widely distributed species occurring at higher altitudes up to 1600 m also. This fern is actually a "species complex" in India and includes five cytotypes viz. diploid, triploid, tetraploid, pentaploid, and hexaploid (Khare and Kaur, 1983a) with the basic number being 29 chromosomes. Other such species complexes with multiple ploidy levels such as Adiantum raddianum Presl. with a range of ploidy from diploid to octoploid and Diplazium subsinuatum (Wall.) Tagawa with tetra-, penta-, and hexaploid cytotypes are also known (Bir and Irudayaraj, 2001; Kuo et al., 2018).

Polyploidisation has apparently played an important role in the distribution of P. vittata and other cytotypes have also been reported in India. All the cytotypes, however, do not occur in all the regions. A study of the distribution status and occurrence of the different cytotypes suggested that some of them may have become scarce if not altogether extinct in the country (Srivastava et al., 2007). The successful colonisation of the P. vittata cytotypes over a wide distribution range (from Himalayas to South India) indicates the existence of wide adaptability of the genus with genetic variability. Studies on spore germination, gametophyte differentiation and reproductive biology of the different polyploid cytotypes of P. vittata have been carried out by Khare and Kaur (1992) and Khare (1995). However, not much work has been reported on the genetic diversity in this fern both within and between the cytotypes. We have earlier reported the Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) profile differences among 23 accessions of P. vittata and have observed average 52% similarity (range 0.12-0.82 similarity coefficients) amongst the accessions based on the profiles generated with 11 RAPD primers (Srivastava et al., 2008). A few other reports are available about the use of molecular markers including nuclear gapCp markers (Schuettpelz et al., 2008) and minisatellites (Yang et al., 2010) for determining polymorphism in case of Pteridophyte DNA profiles. In other studies, Schuettpelz et al. (2007) have determined the molecular phylogeny of the Pteridaceae family while recently Chao et al. (2012) have investigated polyploidy and speciation in Pteridaceae, albeit at the cytological levels. The Single Primer Amplification Reaction (SPAR) methods including Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR), Directed Amplification of Minisatellite DNA (DAMD) and Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), are powerful methods capable of even individual-specific resolution and are routinely used in our laboratory to determine genetic variation at both intra- as well as inter-species level in several flowering plants including mango (Srivastava et al., 2007); mungbean

(Lavanya et al., 2008); pomegranates (Ranade et al., 2009; Narzary et al., 2010); Jatropha curcas (Ranade et al., 2008); species of Sapindus and Murraya (Verma et al., 2009; Mahar et al., 2011, Mahar et al., 2012) to name a few and pteridophytes P. vittata and Equisetum species (Srivastava et al., 2008, 2009). The SPAR methods have been used in case other polyploidy plants to resolve genetic diversity (Fjellheim et al., 2001; Bhattacharya et al., 2005; Peirson et al., 2013). In this paper we report on the genetic diversity among several tetraploid and pentaploid accessions of P. vittata collected from different places in India using three different SPAR methods that cumulatively reveal genetic diversity amongst the P. vittata accessions independent of their geographical habitat as well as their ploidy levels. P. vittata is species complex and with the help of molecular studies the genome analysis of the gene pool of different ploidy and their correlation within may be assessed and evolutionary status can be assessed. The study will reveal the clear-cut evolution of genotypes of different ploidy can so that the taxonomic confusions may be addressed with the help of present analysis.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Plants

A number of plants were collected from different locations in India (**Figure 1**) and of these only tetraploid and pentaploid accessions were selected (**Table 1**) for the present study since multiple accessions for each cytotype were available. It is pertinent to note here that the pentaploid plants are maintained in NBRI fernery for more than two decades and their exact provenance in the wild is not known. Young fronds were harvested from the plants, washed free of dust, mopped dry and quickly frozen and powdered in liquid nitrogen. The powders were either used for isolation of DNA immediately, or were stored in a deep freezer ( $-80^{\circ}$ C) for long-term storage.

## **Determination of Ploidy Level**

For chromosome analysis young sporophylls, harvested at the time that the tissue was collected for DNA isolation, were fixed in 3:1 (v/v) absolute alcohol and acetic acid (Carnoy's fixative) for at least 24 h, following which these were squashed in 2% (w/v) acetocarmine solution for determining the numbers of chromosomes, according to method of Manton (1950).

## **Isolation of DNA**

Total genomic DNA was isolated from the powdered and frozen tissue using Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) detergent according to the procedure of Rogstad (1993) with minor modifications. At least three independent DNA preparations were made from tissues collected from each accession. The quantity and quality of DNA samples were estimated by agarose gel method (Sambrook et al., 1989).

## Polymerase Chain Reaction Using SPAR Primers

The different SPAR primers used in the present study are listed in **Table 2**. The tabulated lists of primers are those selected after



such preliminary pilot studies. DNA was amplified essentially following Gupta et al. (1994) in the ISSR method, Zhou et al. (1997) in the DAMD method and Williams et al. (1990) in the RAPD method. The entire sets of DNAs were tested with the selected primers to generate profiles for this study. The optimised ISSR reaction conditions included 50 ng template DNA, 10 pmoles primer, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 1.5 Units of Taq DNA polymerase in suitable reaction buffer (both enzyme and buffer were procured from Bangalore Genei, Bangalore, India) with 2.0 mM magnesium ion concentration in a total reaction volume of 25 µl. The thermal cycler was programmed to allow pre-denaturation for 180 s at 94°C followed by 45 cycles of denaturation for 45 s at 94°C, annealing for 45 s at 50°C, extension for 60 s at 72°C. The final cycle allowed additional extension for 300 s at 72°C. In case of the DAMD reaction conditions were same as for ISSR except that the 20 pmoles primer and 2.5 mM magnesium ion concentration was used in each reaction. The thermal cycler was programmed to allow pre-denaturation for 60 s at 94°C followed by 40 cycles of denaturation for 60 s at 94°C, annealing for 120 s at 55°C, extension for 120 s at 72°C. The final cycle allowed additional extension for 300 s at 72°C. In case of RAPD reaction conditions were the same as for DAMD except that the thermal cycler was programmed to allow pre-denaturation for 180 s at 94°C followed by 45 cycles of denaturation for 45 s at 94°C, annealing for 45 s at 35°C, extension for 60 s at 72°C. The final cycle allowed additional extension for 300 s at 72°C.

## Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

Amplification products were separated by gel electrophoresis (at a constant current of 50 mA) through 1.5% agarose gels in 0.5x TBE buffer, with DNA size standard (Low range DNA ruler, Bangalore Genei, Bangalore, India). After staining in ethidium bromide the gels were visualised and imaged using gel documentation system (Vilber Lourmat, France).

## **Data Analysis**

Data (fragment sizes of all the amplification products, estimated from the gel by comparison with standard molecular weight marker) were scored as discrete variables, using "1" to indicate presence and "0" to indicate absence of a band. From the band data, monomorphic and polymorphic bands were identified. A pair wise matrix of similarity between genotypes was determined for the band data using Jaccard's similarity coefficient (Jaccard, 1908) for UPGMA method in the Free Tree programme (ver. 0.9.1.5; Pavlicek et al., 1999) for each SPAR method individually. In order to determine the utility and relative efficiency of each SPAR methods used, the similarity indices were averaged for the comparison between P. vittata and other Pteris species by all three methods. The polymorphic fraction, average heterozygosity of polymorphic bands, EMR and MI were calculated according to Powell et al. (1996) and Belaj et al. (2003). Expected heterozygosity  $\mathbf{H}_n$  for genetic markers was calculated from the sum of the squares of the allele frequency using the TABLE 1 Pteris vittata and other Pteris species included for the studies on PCR-based profiling analysis are given below.

#### TABLE 1 | Continued

PV1      Pteris      229832      FH, NBRI, UP Lucknow, UP      5x      PV47      -do- PCI      PV48      -do- PCI      PV48      -do- PCI      Peteris      -do- PPI      Peteris      Peteris      -do- PPI	Sample number	nple Taxon Voucher Locale/Habitat nber number		Cytotype	Cytotype number		
Print    Print, NBRI, UP    Sx    Pydg    ddb      PV2    db-    228833    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    creates      PV3    -db-    222815    Gurana, Pithoragarh, UKD    4x    var.      PV4    -db-    229835    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    var.      PV5    -db-    229836    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC3    -db-      PV7    -db-    229839    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC4    -de-      PV9    -db-    229839    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC4    -de-      PV10    -db-    229841    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE1    Pters      PV11    -db-    229841    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV12    -db-    229843    Gorakhpur, UP    4x    PE1    Pters      PV13    -db-    229846    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Retz.    PD1    Pters      PV16    -db-    229846    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Retz.    Pters    Pters    Pters    Pters    Pters    Pters		Dtaria	000000		Exc	PV47	-do-
PV2      -do-      229833      FH, NBRI, UP      5x      Provide creation of c	FVI	vittata L.	229032	FR, NDRI, OF LUCKNOW, OF	JX	PV48	-do- Dtorio
PV3    -do-    222581    Gurana, Pithoragarh, UKD    4x    var.      PV4    -do-    229835    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC2    -do-      PV5    -do-    229836    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC3    -do-      PV6    -do-    229839    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC4    P cretice      PV8    -do-    229839    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC4    P cretice      PV10    -do-    229843    Graknor, UP    4x    PE1    P ters      PV11    -do-    229843    Graknor, UP    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV11    -do-    229843    Graknor, UP    4x    PF1    P ters      PV13    -do-    229844    Kokata, WB    4x    P.    P ters      PV16    -do-    229846    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    P01    P ters      PV17    -do-    229849    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    P1    P ters      PV18    -do-    229850    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    MP    MP    MP M tersoor    pucatata	PV2	-do-	229833	FH, NBRI, UP	5x	PCI	cretica L.
PV4    -do-    229835    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC2    -do-      PV5    -do-    54252    Kainchee Nainital UKD    4x    PC3    -do-      PV6    -do-    54252    Kainchee Nainital UKD    4x    PC4    P cretice      PV8    -do-    229833    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC4    P cretice      PV9    -do-    229843    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE1    Press      PV10    -do-    229841    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV11    -do-    229843    Gorakhpur, UP    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV13    -do-    229844    Kolkata, WB    4x    P.    Ptress      PV14    -do-    L29845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PQ1    Pterss      PV17    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PT1    Pterss      PV18    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    MP <sup>a</sup> Microson      PV21    -do-    229851    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    In all cases, leaf tissu    in all	PV3	-do-	222581	Gurana, Pithoragarh, UKD	4x		var.
PV5    -do-    228836    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC2    -do-    -do-    -do-    -do-    -do-    -do-    -do-    -do-    229838    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC4    P credition    -do-    229839    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC4    P credition    -do-    229840    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE1    Phersite    ensition      PV10    -do-    229842    Colmbatore, TN    4x    P22    -Do-      PV11    -do-    229843    Gorakhpur, UP    4x    P1    Petrick    pelitick      PV11    -do-    229844    Kolkata, WB    4x    P2    -Do-      PV14    -do-    LP    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    P01    Petrisk      PV16    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    FT    Petrisk      PV18    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    MP2    Microsor    punctati      PV21    -do-    229852    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    In all cases, leaf tissu    in all cases, leaf tissu    in all cases, leaf tissu    in all cases, leaf tissu </td <td>PV4</td> <td>-do-</td> <td>229835</td> <td>FH, NBRI, UP</td> <td>4x</td> <td></td> <td>albolineata</td>	PV4	-do-	229835	FH, NBRI, UP	4x		albolineata
PV6    -do-    54252    Kainchee Naintal UKD    4x    PC3    -do-    229838    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PC4 $P$ cretic      PV8    -do-    229839    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE1    Pteris    ensifer      PV10    -do-    229840    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE1    Pteris    ensifer      PV10    -do-    229842    Corabapur, UP    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV112    -do-    229843    Grashpur, UP    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV13    -do-    229844    Kolkata, WB    4x    Pteris    pellucit      PV14    -do-    LP    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Retz.    Pteris      PV16    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Retz.    Pteris      PV18    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Retz.    Pteris      PV20    -do-    229850    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    In all cases, leaf tissu    in dry form in packet    The sample number      PV24    -do-    DNS    Calcut, KER    4x	PV5	-do-	229836	FH, NBRI, UP	4x	PC2	-do-
PV7      -do-      229838      FH, NBRI, UP      4x      PC4      P Cerlic var. Crist        PV8      -do-      229839      FH, NBRI, UP      4x      FE1      Pression        PV10      -do-      229840      FH, NBRI, UP      4x      FE1      Pression        PV10      -do-      229841      FH, NBRI, UP      4x      PE2      -Do-        PV11      -do-      229842      Colimbatore, TN      4x      PE2      -Do-        PV13      -do-      229844      Kolkata, WB      4x      Pelluck      Pression        PV14      -do-      229845      FH, NBRI, UP      5x      PQ1      Pression        PV16      -do-      229847      FH, NBRI, UP      5x      PT1      Pression        PV17      -do-      229847      FH, NBRI, UP      5x      Br      PV1        PV20      -do-      229850      FH, NBRI, UP      5x      MPa      Microson        PV21      -do-      229851      FH, NBRI, UP      5x      In all casese, leaf fissu        P	PV6	-do-	54252	Kainchee Nainital UKD	4x	PC3	-do-
PV8    -do-    229839    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE1    Pteris      PV9    -do-    229840    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE1    ension      PV10    -do-    229842    Coimbatore, TN    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV11    -do-    229843    Gorakhpur, UP    4x    PP1    Pteix      PV13    -do-    229844    Kolkata, WB    4x    PP1    Pteix      PV14    -do-    LP    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PQ1    Pteix      PV15    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PC1    Pteix      PV16    -do-    229847    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Rtz.    Rtz.      PV18    -do-    229847    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Br.    Rtz.      PV18    -do-    229850    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Br.    In all cases, leaf tissu      PV22    -do-    229851    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    In all cases, leaf tissu    In all cases, leaf ti	PV7	-do-	229838	FH, NBRI, UP	4x	PC4	P. cretica L.
PV9    -do-    229840    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE1    Phers      PV10    -do-    229841    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV11    -do-    229843    Gorakhpur, UP    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV13    -do-    229844    Kolkata, WB    4x    PE1    Pters      PV13    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PQ1    Pters      PV16    -do-    229846    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Retz.    quadraa.      PV17    -do-    229847    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Retz.    Puters      PV18    -do-    229847    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Br.    mucrat.      PV20    -do-    229850    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Br.    purcat.      PV22    -do-    229851    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    In all casses, leaf fiss.      PV22    -do-    229853    FN, NBRI, UP    5x    In all casses, leaf fiss.      PV24    -do-    DNS    Calicut, KER    MP4    MDF: Microsorum packet.      PV	PV8	-do-	229839	FH, NBRI, UP	4x		var. Cristata
PV10    -do-    229841    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    Burm.      PV11    -do-    229842    Coimbatore, TN    4x    PE2    -Do-      PV12    -do-    229843    Gorakhpur, UP    4x    PE1    PHers, Peters, Pet	PV9	-do-	229840	FH, NBRI, UP	4x	PE1	Pteris
PV11-do-229842Coimbatore, TN4xPE2-Do-PV12-do-229843Gorakhpur, UP4xPP1PtersPV13-do-229844Kolkata, WB4xPP1PV14-do-LPPH, NBRI, UP5xPQ1PV15-do-229845FH, NBRI, UP5xPQ1PV16-do-229846FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1PV17-do-229847FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1PV18-do-229848FH, NBRI, UP5xBr.PV19-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xMP <sup>a</sup> PV20-do-229851FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV21-do-229851FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV22-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV24-do-DNSCalicut, KER4xMP <sup>a</sup> PV25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xMP <sup>a</sup> , Microsourm, pPV27-do-223322Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, TN4xMP <sup>a</sup> , Microsourm, PPV28-do-NACherrapunji, MEG4xVutarwahad State; LPV31-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG4xPV33-do-NANEHU Shillong, MEG4xPV34-do-Z23324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xPV35-do-NANEHU Shillong, MEG4xPV36-d	PV10	-do-	229841	FH, NBRI, UP	4x		Burm f
PV12    -do-    229843    Gorakhpur, UP    4x    PP1    Ptensi      PV13    -do-    229844    Kolkata, WB    4x    PR1    Ptensi      PV14    -do-    LP    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PQ1    Ptensi      PV15    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PQ1    Ptensi      PV16    -do-    229846    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PT1    Ptensi      PV17    -do-    229849    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PT1    Ptensi      PV19    -do-    229850    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    MP3    Microsor      PV22    -do-    229852    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    In all cases, leaf tissu    in dry form in packet      PV24    -do-    DNS    Calcut, KER    4x    The sample number      PV25    -do-    DNS    RFRS Pune, MAH    4x    MP3    Microsor      PV26    -do-    NA    Lonavala, MAH    4x    MP4    Microsor    NBRI, Lucknow, UP      PV30    -do-    NA    Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN    4x	PV11	-do-	229842	Coimbatore, TN	4x	DE2	-Do-
PV13-do-229844Kolkata, WB4xPrime pallucicPV14-do-LPFH, NBRI, UP4xPrimePV15-do-229845FH, NBRI, UP5xPQ1PV16-do-229846FH, NBRI, UP5xRetz.PV17-do-229848FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1Pv18-do-229849FH, NBRI, UP5xTermulaPV19-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xMPaPv20-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xMPaPv21-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf fissuPv23-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf fissuPv24-do-DNSCalcut, KER4xThe sample numberPv25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xMPa: Microsorum pPv26-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xMPa: Microsorum pPv27-do-223322Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, Tirunelveii, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPPv30-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveii, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPPv31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG4xMeghalaya State; MPv32-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG4xMapalaya State; MPv34-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG4xmean heterozytgPv34-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPv34	PV12	-do-	229843	Gorakhpur, UP	4x		-D0-
PV14    -do-    LP    FH, NBRI, UP    4x    Pr.      PV15    -do-    229845    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    PQ1    Pteiss      PV16    -do-    229846    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Retz.      PV17    -do-    229847    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    FT1    Pteiss      PV18    -do-    229849    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    Termula    Br.      PV20    -do-    229850    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    MP <sup>a</sup> Microsor      PV21    -do-    229851    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    In all cases, leaf tissu in dry form in packet      PV23    -do-    229853    FH, NBRI, UP    5x    In all cases, leaf tissu in dry form in packet      PV24    -do-    DNS    RFRS Pune, MAH    4x    MP <sup>a</sup> : Microsorum p      PV26    -do-    NA    Lonavala, MAH    4x    MP <sup>a</sup> : Microsorum p      PV27    -do-    223322    Kombokkode, Kodaikanal, Tirunelveli, TN    4x    MBRI, Lucknow, UP;      PV30    -do-    NA    Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN    4x    NBRI, Lucknow, Uttar Prac <td>PV13</td> <td>-do-</td> <td>229844</td> <td>Kolkata, WB</td> <td>4x</td> <td>FFI</td> <td>pellucida</td>	PV13	-do-	229844	Kolkata, WB	4x	FFI	pellucida
PV15-do-229845FH, NBRI, UP5xPQ1PterisPV16-do-229846FH, NBRI, UP5xPterisquadriauPV17-do-229847FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1PterisPV18-do-229848FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1PterisPV19-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xBr.Br.PV20-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xMP3MicrosorPV21-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuIn all cases, leaf tissuPV22-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuIn all cases, leaf tissuPV24-do-DNSCalicut, KER4xMP3*. Microsorum pPV25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xVoucher numberPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4xVoucher numberPV27-do-223322Kombolikkode, Kodaikanal, TN4xVBRI, Lucknow, UP, Planting materials w Lucknow, UP, Howe, Location AbbreviatiPV30-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xVBRI, Lucknow, UPPV31-do-NACherapunji, MEG4xformula $H_n = 1$ PV32-do-DNSSBI Itanagar AP4xPV33-do-DNSAndherf Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgV33-do-DNSAndherf Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgV33-do-	PV14	-do-	LP	FH, NBRI, UP	4x		Pr.
PV16-do-229846FH, NBRI, UP5xquadriauPV17-do-229847FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1PtersPV18-do-229848FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1PtersPV19-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xBr.PV20-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xMP3PV21-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV22-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV23-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV24-do-DNSCalicut, KER4xThe sample numberPV25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xMP3*. Microsorum pPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4xMP4*. Microsorum pPV27-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xLocation AbbreviatiPV30-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPPV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG4xUttrakhand State; CPV32-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG4xthe "ith" allele atPV33-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV34-do-223322Anpara, U.P4xmarkhered troPV39-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV34-do-223331Shaktinag	PV15	-do-	229845	FH, NBRI, UP	5x	PQ1	Pteris
PV17-do-229847FH, NBRI, UP5xFetz.PV18-do-229848FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1PtermulaPV19-do-229840FH, NBRI, UP5xTermulaPV20-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xMP3PV21-do-229851FH, NBRI, UP5xMP3PV22-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV23-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV24-do-DNSCalicut, KER4xMP3: MicrosorPV25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xVolcher number numberPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4xVolcher number numberPV27-do-223322Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPPV28-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPPV30-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xMgalaya State; MPV31-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG4xMeghalaya State; MPV33-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG4xformula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmaalysed. The a the "th" allele at mankers (loci) a 	PV16	-do-	229846	FH, NBRI, UP	5x		quadriaurita
PV18-do-229848FH, NBRI, UP5xPT1PtensPV19-do-229849FH, NBRI, UP5xtremulaPV20-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xMPaPV21-do-229851FH, NBRI, UP5xMPaPV22-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissuPV23-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xin dy form in packetPV24-do-DNSCalicut, KER4xThe sample numberPV25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xMPa*: Microsorum pPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4xMBRI, Lucknow, UP;PV27-do-223322Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, TN4xLocation AbbreviatioPV28-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xLocation AbbreviatioPV29-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xLocation AbbreviatioPV30-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG4xUtarakhand State; LPV31-do-DNSSEI tanagar AP4xPV33-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG4xformula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV37-do-22331Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP4xnap are the numPV38-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV39-do-223331S	PV17	-do-	229847	FH, NBRI, UP	5x		Retz.
PV19-do-229849FH, NBRI, UP5xtremula Br.PV20-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5xMP <sup>a</sup> Microsor punctatiPV21-do-229851FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissu in dry form in packet. The sample numberPV22-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissu in dry form in packet. The sample numberPV24-do-DNSCalicut, KER4x $MP^a$ . Microsorum pPV25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4x $MP^a$ . Microsorum pPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4xvoucher number number number numberPV27-do-223322Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, TN4xPlanting materials wa Lucknow, UP, Howew, UP, Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP, Howew, URPV28-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPPV30-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG4xMeghalaya State; M Uttarakhand State; UPV31-do-NACherapunji, MEG4xformula H_n = 1PV32-do-DNSAncheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV33-do-DNSAncheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmarkers (loci) a calculated from markers (loci) a calculated fromPV36-do-DNSAncheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV37-do-22332Anpara, U.P.4xn_np are the num analysed. The a Sonebhadra, UP<	PV18	-do-	229848	FH, NBRI, UP	5x	PT1	Pteris
PV20-do-229850FH, NBRI, UP5x $MP^{a}$ <i>Microsor</i> punctationPV21-do-229851FH, NBRI, UP4x $MP^{a}$ <i>Microsor</i> punctationPV22-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaft tissu in dry form in packetPV23-do-DNSCalicut, KER4xThe sample number momberPV24-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4x $MP^{a}$ PV25-do-NALonavala, MAH4xvoucher number number numberPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4xVoucher number number number numberPV27-do-223322Kombolikkole, Kodaikanal, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UP, Puranta materials we Lucknow, UP, Howe, Uurknow, UP, Howe, Uurknow, UP, Howe, Uurknow, UP, Howe, NAPV30-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xLocknow, UP Megalaya State; M Uttarakhand State; CPV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG4xVutarakhand State; CPV33-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmarkers (loci) a calculated from markers (loci) a calculated from Mp are the num sonebhadra, UP4xnalysed. The a malysed. The a voldePV42-do-223320Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xin dependent loo expected heteroz uPPV41-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4	PV19	-do-	229849	FH, NBRI, UP	5x		tremula R.
PV21-do-229851FH, NBRI, UP4xMIP <sup>a</sup> Microsor punctatiPV22-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissu in dry form in packetPV23-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissu in dry form in packetPV24-do-DNSCalicut, KER4xMP <sup>2</sup> : Microsorum pPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4xMP <sup>2</sup> : Microsorum pPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4xMP <sup>2</sup> : Microsorum pPV27-do-223322Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP; Howes Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP; Howes VIII; PovasPV30-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xPV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG4xPV32-do-DNSBSI Itanagar AP4xPV33-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xPV38-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xPV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P.4x	PV20	-do-	229850	FH, NBRI, UP	5x		Br.
PV22-do-229852FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissu in dry form in packet in dry form in packet in dry form in packet in dry form in packet The sample number PV25In all cases, leaf tissu in dry form in packet The sample number PV26PV26-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4x $MP^3$ : Microsorum p voucher number no PV26PV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4x $MP^3$ : Microsorum p voucher number no PV27PV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4x $NBRI, Lucknow, UP;Planting materials waLucknow, UP; HowesLocation AbbreviatioTrunelveli, TNPV28-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UP;Planting materials waLucknow, UP; HowesLocation AbbreviatioUcknow, UP; HowesV30PV30-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UP;Planting materials waLucknow, UP; HowesLocation AbbreviatioUcknow, UP; HowesUcknow, UP; HowesV31PV31-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG4xPV32-do-DNSBSI Itanagar AP4xPV33-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xPV38-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xPV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P.4xPV41-do-223322Anpara, U.P.4xPV42-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xPV43-d$	PV21	-do-	229851	FH, NBRI, UP	4x	MPa	Microsorum
PV23-do-229853FH, NBRI, UP5xIn all cases, leaf tissue in dry form in packet the sample number packet the sample number pV26In all cases, leaf tissue in dry form in packet the sample number NBRI, Lucknow, UP; PV26PV26-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xMPa <sup>a</sup> : Microsorum p voucher number no NBRI, Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP; Howe Lucknow, UP; Howe V28PV28-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP; Howe Lucknow, UP; Howe Lucknow, UP; Howe Lucknow, UP; Howe Lucknow, UT: Prac Meghalaya State; MPV30-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG4xMeghalaya State; M Uttarakhand State; UPV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG4xUttarakhand State; UPV32-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG4xformula H <sub>n</sub> = 1PV33-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV34-do-223321Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP4xn <sub>np</sub> are the num analysed. The a sonebhadra, UPPV40-do-223320Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent loc expected heterozPV41-do-22340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xobserved for ea Sonebhadra, UPPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP4x <td< td=""><td>PV22</td><td>-do-</td><td>229852</td><td>FH, NBRI, UP</td><td>5x</td><td></td><td>puncialum</td></td<>	PV22	-do-	229852	FH, NBRI, UP	5x		puncialum
PV24-do-DNSCalicut, KER4xIn ary form in packets The sample number The sample number The sample number NP25PV25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4xMPa: Microsorum p voucher number no NBRi, Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, Uttar Prace Meghalaya State; MPV30-do-NAPelayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPPV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG4xUttarakhand State; UPV32-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG4xformula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV33-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG4xformula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV38-do-NARenukoot, MP4xmarkers (loci) a calculated from nnpanalysed. The a sonebhadra, UPPV40-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xhe average hete by the fraction uPPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, <br< td=""><td>PV23</td><td>-do-</td><td>229853</td><td>FH, NBRI, UP</td><td>5x</td><td>In all case</td><td>s, leaf tissue w</td></br<>	PV23	-do-	229853	FH, NBRI, UP	5x	In all case	s, leaf tissue w
PV25-do-DNSRFRS Pune, MAH4x $MP^2$ : Microsorum pPV26-do-NALonavala, MAH4x $MP^2$ : Microsorum pPV27-do-223322Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, TN4x $MP^2$ : Microsorum pPV28-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4x $NBRI, Lucknow, UP, Howe,Planting materials waLucknow, UP Howe,UP Howe,PV30PV30-do-NAPelayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPHanting materials waLucknow, UP Howe,UP Howe,<$	PV24	-do-	DNS	Calicut, KER	4x	In ary torn The same	n in packets a de number refe
PV26-do-NALonavala, MAH $4x$ voucher number nome NBRI, Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa 	PV25	-do-	DNS	RFRS Pune, MAH	4x	MP <sup>a</sup> : Mic	rosorum pund
PV27-do-223322Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, TN $4x$ NBRI, Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP Howew, Location AbbreviatioPV28-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN $4x$ NBRI, Lucknow, UP; Planting materials wa Lucknow, UP Howew, Location AbbreviatioPV29-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN $4x$ NBRI, Lucknow, UP Lucknow, UP Howew, UP Lucknow, UPPV30-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG $4x$ Meghalaya State; M Uttar Aknand State; LPV31-do-DNSBSI Itanagar AP $4x$ Uttarakhand State; LPV32-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG $4x$ He "ith" allele at mean heterozytgPV33-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM $4x$ mean heterozytgPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM $4x$ mean heterozytgPV37-do-223321Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ analysed. The at sonebhadra, UP $n_{np}$ are the num analysed. The at the average heteroPV40-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ with fraction independent loc expected heteroroPV41-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ observed for ead Sonebhadra, UPPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ observed for ead Sonebhadra, UPPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP $4x$	PV26	-do-	NA	Lonavala, MAH	4x	voucher r	number not av
PV28-do-223324Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xLocation Abbreviatio Location Abbreviatio Location Abbreviatio MBRI, Lucknow, UPPV29-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN4xNBRI, Lucknow, UPPV30-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG4xLucknow, Uttar Prace Meghalaya State; MPV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG4xUttarakhand State; UPV32-do-DNSBSI Itanagar AP4xPV33-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG4xPV34-do-229855Shilong Peak, MEG4xPV35-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG4xPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xPV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xPV38-do-NARenukoot, MP4xPV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P.4xPV41-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xPV42-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, 4xby the fraction independent loc expected heterozPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, 4x4xPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia4xPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xPV45-do-	PV27	-do-	223322	Komboikkode, Kodaikanal, TN	4x	NBRI, Luc Planting n	cknow, UP; DN naterials was c
PV29-do-NAPalayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN $4x$ NBRI, Lucknow, UPPV30-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG $4x$ NBRI, Lucknow, Utar PracePV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG $4x$ Utarakhand State; MPV32-do-DNSBSI Itanagar AP $4x$ Utarakhand State; UPV33-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG $4x$ Utarakhand State; UPV34-do-229855Shilong Peak, MEG $4x$ formula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV35-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG $4x$ the "ith" allele arPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM $4x$ mean heterozytgPV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ using the form:PV38-do-NARenukoot, MP $4x$ markers (loci) aPV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P. $4x$ $n_{np}$ are the numPV41-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ independent locPV42-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, $4x$ by the fractionPV43-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia $4x$ observed for earPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia, $4x$ observed for earPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, $4x$ of polymorphicSonebhadra, UPKarahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ of polymorphic	PV28	-do-	223324	Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN	4x	Location	Abbreviations:
PV30-do-NANEHU, Shillong, MEG $4x$ Lucknow, Uttar Prace Meghalaya State; M Uttarakhand State; UPV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG $4x$ Meghalaya State; M Uttarakhand State; UPV32-do-DNSBSI Itanagar AP $4x$ Meghalaya State; M Uttarakhand State; UPV33-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG $4x$ formula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV34-do-229855Shilong Peak, MEG $4x$ formula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV35-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG $4x$ the "ith" allele atPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM $4x$ mean heterozytgPV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ using the formPV38-do-NARenukoot, MP $4x$ markers (loci) acalculated fromnnp are the numanalysed. The a Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ nalysed. The a the average hete by the fractionPV40-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ independent loc expected heterozPV42-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ observed for ear 	PV29	-do-	NA	Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN	4x	NBRI, Luc	cknow, UP – F
PV31-do-NACherrapunji, MEG $4x$ Meghalaya State; M Uttarakhand State; M Uttarakhand State; M Uttarakhand State; MPV32-do-DNSBSI Itanagar AP $4x$ PV33-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG $4x$ PV34-do-229855Shilong Peak, MEG $4x$ PV35-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG $4x$ PV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM $4x$ PV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ PV38-do-NARenukoot, MP $4x$ PV39-do-223322Anpara, U.P. $4x$ PV40-do-223330Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi) Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ PV42-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ PV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia $4x$ PV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ PV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$	PV30	-do-	NA	NEHU, Shillong, MEG	4x	Lucknow,	Uttar Pradesi
PV32-do-DNSBSI Itanagar AP4xPV33-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG4xPV34-do-229855Shilong Peak, MEG4xformula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV35-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG4xthe "ith" allele atPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytgPV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xusing the formPV38-do-NARenukoot, MP4xmarkers (loci) aPV39-do-223322Anpara, U.P.4xnap are the numPV40-do-22330Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi)4xanalysed. The aPV41-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent looPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xobserved for earPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia4xobserved for earPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, UP4xof polymorphicSonebhadra, UP-do-22340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xobserved for ear	PV31	-do-	NA	Cherrapunji, MEG	4x	Meghalay	a State; MP - nd State: UP
PV33-do-DNSNEHU Shillong, MEG $4x$ PV34-do-229855Shilong Peak, MEG $4x$ formula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV35-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG $4x$ the "ith" allele atPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM $4x$ mean heterozytgPV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ using the formPV38-do-NARenukoot, MP $4x$ markers (loci) aPV39-do-223322Anpara, U.P. $4x$ calculated fromPV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P. $4x$ nnp are the numPV41-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ independent lootPV42-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ independent lootPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia $4x$ observed for earPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, UP $4x$ of polymorphic	PV32	-do-	DNS	BSI Itanagar AP	4x	Ollarania	nu State, OF
PV34-do-229855Shilong Peak, MEG4xformula $\mathbf{H}_n = 1$ PV35-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG4xthe "ith" allele arPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytaPV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xusing the formPV38-do-NARenukoot, MP4xmarkers (loci) aPV39-do-223321Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP4xcalculated fromPV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P.4xn_np are the numPV41-do-223300Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi)4xanalysed. The aPV42-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent loorPV43-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia4xobserved for earPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xobserved for earPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, UP4xof polymorphic	PV33	-do-	DNS	NEHU Shillong, MEG	4x		
PV35-do-DNSCherapunji, MEG4xIten "ith" allele at mean heterozytyPV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytyPV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xusing the form markers (loci) aPV38-do-NARenukoot, MP4xmarkers (loci) aPV39-do-223321Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP4xnarkers (loci) aPV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P.4xnalysed. The aPV41-do-223300Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi)4xanalysed. The aPV42-do-223300Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xby the fractionPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent locePV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP4xobserved for ea 	PV34	-do-	229855	Shilong Peak, MEG	4x	formula	$H_{0} = 1 -$
PV36-do-DNSAndheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM4xmean heterozytaPV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xusing the formPV38-do-NARenukoot, MP4xmarkers (loci) aPV39-do-223331Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP4xmarkers (loci) aPV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P.4xn_np are the numPV41-do-223400Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi)4xanalysed. The aPV42-do-223330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent locPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent locPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia4xobserved for eaPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, UP4xof polymorphicSonebhadra, UPSonebhadra, UPHaw. Then for aindependent locPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, 4xindependent locSonebhadra, UPKarahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UPHaw. Then for aPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, 4xindependent locSonebhadra, UPKarahiyanala, UPKarahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UPKarahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UPPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, 4xKarahiyanala, independent locSonebhadra, UPKarahiyanala, UPKarahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UPKarahiyanala, independent locPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, 4xKarahiyanala, independent	PV35	-do-	DNS	Cherapunji, MEG	4x	the "ith	" allele and
PV37-do-223337Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xusing the form markers (loci) a calculated from $n_{P}$ PV38-do-NARenukoot, MP4xmarkers (loci) a calculated from $n_{p}$ are the num analysed. The a Sonebhadra, UP4xmarkers (loci) a calculated from $n_{p}$ are the num analysed. The a by the fractionPV40-do-22332Anpara, U.P.4x $n_{np}$ are the num analysed. The a Sonebhadra, UPPV41-do-22330Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi) Sonebhadra, UP4x $n_{pp}$ are the num analysed. The a by the fraction independent loc UPPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent loc expected heteror sonebhadra, UPPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP4xobserved for ea Hav. Then for a Sonebhadra, UPPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xof polymorphic is determined for a UP	PV36	-do-	DNS	Andheri Falls, Gangtok, SKM	4x	mean h	eterozytao
PV38-do-NARenukoot, MP4xmarkers (loci) a markers (loci) aPV39-do-223331Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP4xmarkers (loci) a calculated from nnp are the num analysed. The a Sonebhadra, UPPV40-do-22332Anpara, U.P.4xnnp are the num analysed. The a by the fractionPV42-do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent loc expected heterozPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent loc expected heterozPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP4xobserved for ea Hav. Then for a Sonebhadra, UPPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP4xof polymorphic is determined for a UP	PV37	-do-	223337	Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP	4x	ileing f	he formula
PV 39-do-223331Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP4xInfarkers (foct) aPV40-do-223332Anpara, U.P.4x $\mathbf{n}_{np}$ are the numPV41-do-223400Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi)4x $\mathbf{n}_{np}$ are the numPV42-do-223330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4x $\mathbf{n}_{p}$ are the numPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP4xindependent locPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia4xobserved for earPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, UP4xof polymorphic	PV38	-do-	NA	Renukoot, MP	4x	marker	(loci) and
PV40-do-223322Anpara, U.P. $4x$ $n_{np}$ are the num analysed. The a the average hete by the fractionPV41-do-223400Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi) Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ $n_{np}$ are the num analysed. The a the average hete by the fraction independent loc expected heterozPV42-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ the average hete by the fraction independent loc expected heterozPV43-do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ independent loc expected heterozPV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ observed for ear H_av. Then for a Sonebhadra, UPPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ of polymorphic is determined for a is determined for a	PV 39	-do-	223331	Shaktinagar, Sonebhadra, UP	4x	calculat	ad from the
$PV41$ -do-223400Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi) $4x$ $n_{np}$ are the finite analysed. The a sonebhadra, UP $PV42$ -do-22330Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ analysed. The a the average hete by the fraction independent loc expected heteroz $PV43$ -do-223340Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ independent loc expected heteroz $PV44$ -do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ observed for ear Hav. Then for a $PV45$ -do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ of polymorphic is determined for ear	PV40	-do-	223332	Anpara, U.P.	4x		the number
PV42    -do-    223330    Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP    4x    the average hete by the fraction independent loc expected heteroz      PV 43    -do-    223340    Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP    4x    independent loc expected heteroz      PV44    -do-    22334    Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP    4x    observed for ear Hav. Then for a      PV45    -do-    NA    Enroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP    4x    of polymorphic is determined for is determined for	PV41	-do-	223400	Shaktinagar (Jwalamukhi) Sonebhadra, UP	4x	analyse	d. The ave
PV 43  -do-  223340  Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP  4x  independent loc expected heterox    PV44  -do-  22334  Enroute Tippajharia  4x  observed for ear Sonebhadra, UP    PV45  -do-  NA  Enroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP  4x  of polymorphic is determined for sonebhadra, UP	PV42	-do-	223330	Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP	4x	by the	fraction of
PV44-do-22334Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ observed for each $H_{av}$ . Then for aPV45-do-NAEnroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP $4x$ of polymorphic is determined for a determined for a determined for a determined for	PV 43	-do-	223340	Karahiyanala, Sonebhadra, UP	4x	indeper expecte	ndent loci a d heterozyg
PV45 -do- NA Enroute Tippajharia, 4x of polymorphic Sonebhadra, UP	PV44	-do-	22334	Enroute Tippajharia Sonebhadra, UP	4x	observe <b>H</b> <sub>av</sub> . Th	d for each ten for a p
is determined in	PV45	-do-	NA	Enroute Tippajharia, Sonebhadra, UP	4x	of poly is deter	morphic m mined fror
PV46 -do- 223335 Ballia nala, Sonebhadra, UP 4x where $\beta H_{av(p)}$ is determined in where $\beta H_{av(p)}$ is	PV46	-do-	223335	Ballia nala, Sonebhadra, UP	4x	where β	$\mathbf{H}_{av(p)}$ is a

Sample number	Taxon	Voucher number	Locale/Habitat	Cytotype
PV47	-do-	229856	FH, NBRI, Lucknow, UP	5x
PV48	-do-		FH, NBRI, Lucknow, UP	5x
PC1	Pteris cretica L. var. albolineata	LP	FH, NBRI, UP Lucknow, UP	2x
PC2	-do-	LP	FH, NBRI, UP Lucknow	2x
PC3	-do-	LP	FH, NBRI, UP Lucknow	2x
PC4	<i>P. cretica</i> L. var. <i>Cristata</i>	LP	FH, NBRI, UP Lucknow	2x
PE1	<i>Pteris</i> ensiformis Burm.f.	LP	Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, TN	Зx
PE2	-Do-	LP	FH, NBRI, UP Lucknow	Зx
PP1	Pteris pellucida Pr.	223314	Wayanad, KER	2x
PQ1	Pteris quadriaurita Retz.	223316	Kodaikanal, TN	4x
PT1	<i>Pteris</i> tremula R. Br.	223324	Kodaikanal, TN	ND
MP <sup>a</sup>	Microsorum	IP	FH NBRI Lucknow UP	2x

tissue was harvested, mopped free of dirt and stored over silica-gel ackets as described

nber refers to the numbers assigned to the tissue samples.

im punctatum, used in the present study as an outgroup; NA: r not available; ND: Ploidy not determined; LP: Live plants, FH, UP; DNS: Did not survive.

Is was collected at the referred locality and planted at the FH, NBRI, owever, plants died within a couple of months.

viations: AP – Arunachal Pradesh State; ASM – Assam State; FH, UP - Fern House at National Botanical Research Institute (NBRI), Pradesh: KER - Kerala State: MAH - Maharashtra State: MEG e; MP – Madhya Pradesh State; TN – TamilNadu State; UKD – ate; UP – Uttar Pradesh State; SKM – Sikkim State.

= 1 -  $\Sigma \mathbf{p}_i^2$  where  $\mathbf{p}_i$  is the allele/band frequency of le and "n" is the total number of loci. The arithmetic bzytgosity  $H_{av}$  was calculated for each marker class ormula  $\mathbf{H}_{av} = \Sigma \mathbf{H}_n / \mathbf{n}$  where **n** is the number of ci) analysed. The fraction of polymorphic loci  $\beta$  was om the relationship  $\beta = \mathbf{n}_p / (\mathbf{n}_p + \mathbf{n}_{np})$  where  $\mathbf{n}_p$  and numbers of polymorphic and non-polymorphic loci e average heterozygosity for all markers equals to heterozygosity for polymorphic markers multiplied tion of markers that are polymorphic,  $\beta$ . If **n** loci are analysed simultaneously and the average erozygosity (probability that two different alleles are each of loci, averaged over all loci considered) is or a pair of genotype being compared, the number bhic marker per gel lane called marker index (MI), ed from the relation **MI** = **n**  $\mathbf{H}_{av}$  or **MI** = **n**  $\beta \mathbf{H}_{av(p)}$ *p*) is average or mean heterozygosity of polymorphic loci. The number of polymorphic loci in the germplasm set,

TABLE 2	List of	primers	used	for all F	PCR	based	profilina	methods
	2.000	pr	0000	101 0.11 1	<u> </u>	20000	p. o	111001100010

SPAR method (primer source)	Primer name	Primer sequence from 5' to 3' (length)
RAPD (QOP)	OP-F07	CCGATATCCC (10 mer)
	OP-F08	GGGATATCGG (10 mer)
	OP-G07	GAACCTGCGG (10 mer)
	OP-G09	CTGACGTCAC (10 mer)
	OP-G12	CAGCTCACGA (10 mer)
	OP-G15	ACTGGGACTC (10 mer)
	OP-G17	ACGACCGACA (10 mer)
	OP-H02	TCGGACGTGA (10 mer)
	OP-H03	AGACGTCCAC (10 mer)
	OP-H06	ACGCATCGCA (10 mer)
	OP-H07	CTGCATCGTG (10 mer)
	OP-H08	GAAACACCCC (10 mer)
	OP-H11	CTTCCGCAGT (10 mer)
	OP-M05	GGGAACGTGT (10 mer)
	OP-M07	CCGTGACTCA (10 mer)
	OP-M11	GTCCACTGTG (10 mer)
	OP-U06	ACCTTTGCGG (10 mer)
	OP-U08	GGCGAAGGTT (10 mer)
	OP-U11	AGACCCAGAG (10 mer)
	OP-U13	GGCTGGTTCC (10 mer)
	OP-U15	ACGGGCCAGT (10 mer)
	OP-W01	CTGAGTGTCC (10 mer)
	OP-W03	GTCCGGAGTG (10 mer)
	OP-Z09	CACCCCAGTC (10 mer)
	OP-Z10	CCGACAAACC (10 mer)
DAMD (BGE)	HVR	CCTCCTCCCTCCT (13 mer)
	HBV	GGTGTAGAGAGGGGT (15 mer)
	33.6	GGAGGTGGGCA (11 mer)
	M13	GAGGGTGGCGGTTCT (15 mer)
ISSR (UBC)	825	(AC) <sub>8</sub> T (17 mer)
	854	(TC) <sub>8</sub> RG (18 mer)
	855	(AC) <sub>8</sub> YT (18 mer)
	868	(GAA) <sub>6</sub> (18 mer)
	873	(GACA) <sub>4</sub> (16 mer)
	879	(CTTCA) <sub>3</sub> (15 mer)
	888	BDB(CA) <sub>7</sub> (17 mer)
	889	DBD(AC) <sub>7</sub> (17 mer)

Initially larger numbers of primers were screened.

From amongst these those giving clear, reproducible profiles with all template DNAs tested are detailed below.

Data from these primers was used for all further analyses.

B = (C, G, T), i.e., not A; D = (A, G, T), i.e., not C; R = (A, G); Y = (C, T).

BGE = Bangalore Genei, India; QOP = Qiagen Operon Company, United States; UBC = University of British Columbia, Canada.

analysed per experiment, called effective multiplex ratio **E** is defined as:  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{n} \ \beta$  and  $\mathbf{MI} = \mathbf{E} \ \mathbf{H}_{av(p)}$ . The marker index, therefore, is simply the product of effective multiplex ratio and the average expected heterozygosity for the polymorphic markers. The band data for all the methods was also combined together for a cumulative analysis for the three methods in the same way as for individual methods. From the pair wise similarity data, the UPGMA phenogram was computed after allowing a 1000 replicate bootstrap test using the same programme. The

phenogram was viewed, annotated and printed using Tree View (ver. 1.6.5; Page 2001). The AMOVA, Mantel correlations and PCA were computed using the programmes GenAlEx (ver. 6.5, Peakall and Smouse, 2006) while Shannon indices of diversity and geneflow were computed using POPGENE (ver. 1.32, Yeh et al., 1997) for the cumulative SPAR profile data by considering groups of tetraploid, pentaploid and other species of *Pteris* as discrete "populations."

## RESULTS

A total of fifty seven (Table 1) Pteris genotypes which include PV1 to PV48 (all genotypes of P. vittata) and five other species (PC1 to PC4, PP1, PT1, PQ1, PE1, and PE 2) along with Microsorum punctatum as non-Pteris outgroup (Table 1) were selected for the diversity analysis. The chromosome numbers were determined as described and is listed in Table 1 corresponding to the sample studied. The SPAR analysis was carried out after a preliminary pilot study to test a number of primers as well as the PCR conditions in small sets before the entire set of accessions were studied (data not shown). The pilot study allowed us to optimise the various reaction parameters and assay conditions such that the profiles could be consistent and reproducible. From such profiles for the primers listed in Table 2, band data was scored individually for each primer in each method prior to analysis. Additionally for the cumulative analysis, the band data for all the primers in all the methods were combined into a single matrix prior to analysis. Typical PCR profiles obtained with one primer by each method are shown in Figure 2 as a representative. The UPGMA dendrograms for the band data by each method individually as well as by all three methods considered cumulatively are given in Figure 3 while the UPGMA dendrogram for the cumulative band data for the three methods is also depicted as a radial dendrogram as given in Figure 4. The PCA plot is given in Figure 5 for the data that considers groups of "tetraploid," "pentaploid," and "Other species" accessions as discrete "populations."

## **ISSR** Analysis

DNA from 57 different varieties of Pteris, were amplified using the microsatellite primers (oligonucleotides from 15 to 18 nucleotides long, with a few degenerate nucleotides) as given in Table 2. The typical ISSR profiles obtained for 57 Pteris genotypes with UBC-825 are depicted in Figure 2A. Primer UBC-825 seemed to generate a single band in all accessions of P. vittata. A total of 127 bands in the size range of 200-2400 bp were scored with the eight primers used. The bands obtained with all the primers were cumulatively considered for determining the Jaccard coefficient and the UPGMA dendrogram after a 1000 replicate bootstrap analysis of the data is shown in Figure 3A. The outgroup *M. punctatum* was clearly separated from the rest of the Pteris species. P. vittata is also well separated from other species but the group of accessions of P. vittata could be resolved into three prominent subclusters that included 27 (PV2, PV4, PV7, PV11-12, PV25-43), 19 (PV1, PV3, PV5-6, PV8-10, PV13-24), and two (PV47-48) accessions, respectively. The



distribution of accessions in different sub-clusters in the UPGMA dendrogram was not congruent to their geographic provenance or their ploidy status.

## **DAMD** Analysis

A distinctly polymorphic banding pattern was obtained with four minisatellite primers HVR(-), HBV, 33.6 and M13 after amplification of the Pteris genotypes and typical amplification profiles with the DAMD primers HVR are depicted in Figure 2B. Though no individual specific profile was obtained with the four DAMD primers, these exhibited a high extent of polymorphism across all the genotypes and a total of 194 bands were scored in the range of 200-3500 bp with the four DAMD primers. From the band data, Jaccard similarity coefficients and UPGMA dendrograms were computed as described for the ISSR method. The UPGMA tree after 1000 replicate bootstrapping is shown in Figure 3B. The dendrogram for the DAMD data could be resolved into five subclusters for the P. vittata accessions that included one (PV12), 24 (PV2, PV4, PV7, PV11, PV25-29, PV32-46), 19 (PV1, PV3, PV5-6, PV8-10, PV13-24), two (PV47-48), and two (PV30-31) accessions, respectively.

## **RAPD** Analysis

A total of 16 RAPD primers (**Table 2**) were used for analysis of the *P. vittata* accessions. Typical RAPD profiles obtained with the primers **OP-H02** in these fifty seven genotypes of *Pteris* and one outgroup *M. punctatum* are shown in **Figure 2C**. Cumulatively, these primers have resulted in a total of 390 bands in size from 200 to 3500 bp. The UPGMA dendrogram was generated after

a 1000-replicate bootstrap analysis and is shown in **Figure 3C**. In the dendrogram, the outgroup *M. punctatum* is separated from the rest of the *Pteris* accessions with *P. vittata* also being separated from the cluster of other *Pteris* species. All the *P. vittata* accessions are grouped into three sub-clusters such that the first subcluster included 24 (PV1-24) accessions, the second included 22 (PV25-46) accessions, and the third subcluster included two (PV47-48) accessions.

# Cumulative Analysis of the Data by All Three SPAR Methods

In all three methods, the pentaploid genotypes PV47 and PV48 were always separated out from rest of the genotypes indicating that these were substantially different from the rest of P. vittata accessions. Each of the individual SPAR methods resulted in a robust assessment of the diversity since the outgroup taxa (both non-vittata as well as non-Pteris outgroups) always separated from the genotypes of P. vittata. In all three cases, the clusters were not specific to any group of genotypes in terms of ploidy status or geographical provenance of the genotypes (Figures 3A-C). In order to, therefore, have a comprehensive description of the relative affinities of the genotypes to each other, the band data for all primers in the three methods were considered cumulatively and the resultant UPGMA dendrogram is shown in Figures 3D, 4 where it is depicted in a radial form to better illustrate the numbers and nature of the subclusters. This UPGMA dendrogram, after 1000 replicate bootstrap of the data results in a much clearer picture about the relative affinities of the



PV 48: UP – Uttar Pradesh state; PV 38: MP – Madhya Pradesh State; PC 1–PC 4 and PE 2: UP – Uttar Pradesh State; PE 1: TN – TamilNadu State; PP 1: KER – Kerala State; PQ 1 and PT 1: TN – TamilNadu State. MP: *Microsorum punctatum*, used in the present study as an outgroup.

genotypes to each other. The outgroup taxon *M. punctatum* is well separated from all the taxa. The group of taxa represented by *P. ensiformis*, *P. quadriaurita*, *P. tremula*, *P. cretica*, and *P. pellucida* is also well separated from all other *Pteris* genotypes. In the latter broad group, the *P. vittata* genotypes are included in three distinct subclusters well supported by a strong bootstrap values (**Figure 3D**). The radial tree illustrates these subclusters

distinctly with the pentaploid accessions of *P. vittata* namely PV47 and PV48 again forming a distinct sub-cluster while the other two subclusters include 22 (PV25-46) and 24 (PV1-24) accessions, respectively. The cumulative dendrogram is most congruent to the RAPD data dendrogram than to DAMD and ISSR data dendrograms. The average similarities as well as the range of similarity values in case of each method as well as



for all methods together are given in Table 3 which shows that the ISSR method detected the highest average similarities within the P. vittata genotypes while the RAPD and DAMD methods reveal almost similar averages. In general even when compared across other species of Pteris ISSR method always revealed the highest average similarities suggesting more similarities across the taxa in their SSR sequences. In order to determine the utility of each of the method used to assess the diversity in Pteris, at the intra- as well as inter-species levels, a comparative statistical assessment was done and MI, expected average heterozygosity, fraction of polymorphic loci and EMR were calculated in case of each of the methods used in the present study, as already described in the materials and methods. In case of ISSR-PCR within P. vittata accessions, the MI was 4.42, EMR was 12.3 and expected average heterozygosity was 0.36. At the other species level, the corresponding values for MI, EMR and expected heterozygosity were 9.36, 23.41, and 0.40, respectively (Table 4). Similarly, DAMD had MI as 11.55 (within P. vittata) and 8.38 (within other species), EMR as 27.5 and 21.25 within P. vittata and other species, respectively. DAMD method resulted in the highest marker index value 11.55 for P. vittata group and ISSR for other species group having the MI value 9.36. These results confirm that DAMD method results in the highest marker index as well as the least probability of a random match. RAPD method, at the intra species level, showed values of MI 6.34 (within

*P. vittata*) and 4.67 (within other species), EMR 16.7 (within *P. vittata*) and 13.72 (within other species), expected average heterozygosity within *P. vittata* was 0.38 and within other species 0.34. Though the individual methods had high MI and related statistics, overall, the cumulative analysis of more than one SPAR method data seems to be more efficient and robust in describing inter- as well as intra-species relationships.

The cumulative data for all three methods was resolved to indicate three groups or "populations," respectively, for the 36 tetraploid, 12 pentaploid, and nine species accessions for the analysis of parameters like AMOVA, PCoA, and the other "population" parameters (**Table 5**). The AMOVA values clearly indicated a more than 3.5x fold greater variation within the accessions in the three groups than among the groups themselves (**Table 6**). The computed Nei's original estimates for genetic distances among the populations are least between tetra- and pentaploids than between either of these with the other species (**Table 7**).

## DISCUSSION

The use of molecular methods, especially PCR methods (SPAR methods) for the determination and analysis of genetic diversity in higher plants is very common and prolific over the past two



decades since the RAPD method was first described by Welsh and McClelland (1990) and Williams et al. (1990). However, the use of SPAR methods in case of pteridophytes is not as prolific as in the case of the higher plants. The RAPD analysis method was found to be highly informative in case of other ferns or fern allies such as *Dryopteris remota* (A. Braun) Druce (Schneller et al., 1998), two species of *Botrychium* Swartz, namely *B. minganense* Vict. and *B. crenulatum* Wagner (Swartz and Brunsfeld, 2002), and *Archangiopteris itoi* Shieh (Hsu et al., 2000). In case of *Alsophila spinulosa*, Wang et al. (2004) have studied

**TABLE 3** Comparison of the average similarity determined by three SPAR methods as well as primer-combination PCR, within *P. vittata* and other species in the species set.

Comparison	ISSR	DAMD	RAPD	Three SPAR methods
Within Pteris vittata				
Average	0.54	0.65	0.61	0.44
Range	0.29–0.90	0.29-0.96	0.28-0.87	0.18-0.75
Range Difference	0.61	0.67	0.59	0.57
Within Pteris species	5			
Average	0.17	0.14	0.90	0.11
Range	0.04–0.85	0.0–0.94	0.15-1.0	0.01-0.084
Range Difference	0.81	0.94	0.85	0.83

the population structure of this relict fern using RAPD and other PCR methods. We have earlier reported the use of SPAR methods for diversity analysis in case of *P. vittata* (Srivastava et al., 2008) and *Equisetum* species (Srivastava et al., 2009).

The occurrence of different cytotypes and possible subspecies in *P. vittata* suggests diversity of not only reproductive success

Methods	Parameter	P. vittata (48 accessions)	Other species (9 accessions)
ISSR	Number of assay units	8	8
	Expected average heterozygosity	0.36	0.40
	Effective Multiplex Ratio	12.3	23.41
	Marker Index	4.42	9.36
DAMD	Number of assay units	4	4
	Expected average heterozygosity	0.42	0.39
	Effective Multiplex Ratio	27.5	21.25
	Marker Index	11.55	8.38
RAPD	Number of assay units	16	16
	Expected average heterozygosity	0.38	0.34
	Effective Multiplex Ratio	16.7	13.72
	Marker Index	6.34	4.67

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TABLE 4}}\xspace$  | Comparison of efficiency of three SPAR methods for 57 genotypes of Pteris.

in the P. vittata but also of the genetic diversity extant amongst the different genotypes. The molecular assessment of genetic diversity using SPAR methods can be determined easily and with these considerations, inter- as well as intra-species analysis was carried out in with 57 accessions of P. vittata as well as of other species of Pteris with M. punctatum considered as an out group taxon. The three SPAR methods individually as well as collectively did not distinguish the two polyploid cytotypes of P. vittata from each other. The SPAR profiles have revealed mostly polymorphic bands and no two profiles were identical. In the individual methods, the range of similarity coefficients amongst the P. vittata accessions was 0.61, 0.67, and 0.59, respectively, for ISSR, DAMD, and RAPD methods (Table 3). The corresponding values for accessions of different species of Pteris used in the study are 0.81, 0.94, and 0.83, respectively, for the three methods. In both cases the DAMD method seemed to resolve the wider range indicating that this method may a better resolution of the polymorphism in the profiles. This is clearly supported by the computation of marker index (Table 4) that was the highest among all three methods in case of accessions of P. vittata while in case of the accessions of the other species Pteris the ISSR and DAMD had relatively higher values of MI though the MI for ISSR was higher than that for DAMD. Clearly, for intraspecies comparison, the DAMD was superior to all other methods while for the inter-species comparison, ISSR method was more

**TABLE 5** Analysis of "population" similarity coefficients for the cumulative band data for all accessions considered as groups of tetraploid, pentaploid, and other species accessions.

Group	Range SI	Average SI	No. of pairs	Total SI
Pop1 (4x)	0.166-0.918	0.363	630	228.728
Pop2 (5x)	0.185-0.691	0.406	66	26.813
Pop3 (Spp)	0.083-0.696	0.182	36	6.500
Pop1-Pop2	0.182-0.579	0.323	432	139.561
Pop1-Pop3	0.054-0.186	0.107	324	34.699
Pop2-Pop3	0.05–0.154	0.104	108	11.236

**TABLE 6** | AMOVA analysis of cumulative band data for all accessions considered as groups of tetraploid, pentaploid, and other species accessions.

Source of variation	Df	SS	MS	Est. Var.	%
Among three groups	2	641.110	320.555	17.114	22%
Within the three groups	54	3301.417	61.137	61.137	78%
Total	56	3942.526		78.252	100%

**TABLE 7** | Nei's Original measure of genetic distance (above diagonal) calculated according to [see Nei (1973) Am. Nat. 106:283–292] and Unbiased Measures of genetic distance (below diagonal) calculated according to [see Nei (1978) Genetics 89:583–590].

Accession group	Tetraploid <i>P. vittata</i>	Pentaploid <i>P. vittata</i>	Other species
Tetraploid P. vittata	****	0.0180	0.0497
Pentaploid P. vittata	0.0140	****	0.0653
Other Species	0.0448	0.0584	****

informative. In case of mulberry Bhattacharya et al. (2005) have observed that DAMD had the higher MI than ISSR and RAPD in a set that included exotic and indigenous accessions of mulberry. On the other hand, in case of accessions of betelvine (Piper betle L.), when these were compared using SPAR methods to distinguish between genders, the ISSR method was found to have the higher MI (Ranade et al., 2011). The marker index is a measure of the ability of the method to resolve the greatest polymorphism and the fact that DAMD method has such a resolving power is also borne out by the observations made in case of papaya (Saxena et al., 2005), mango (Srivastava et al., 2007). This resolving power of the method may be due to the possibility that the minisatellite sequences in a genome are more frequently subjected to inversions due to which the SPAR profile can be obtained with the minisatellite primers in DAMD method. These profiles are actually of regions between successive minisatellite regions and thus represent length polymorphism for these regions across a set of accessions compared.

The cumulative band data was resolved to reflect three groups as discrete "populations" and included all tetraploid accessions in one group, all pentaploid accessions in another and accessions of different species in the third group. In this case the tetraploid accessions showed the highest range of similarities for all three methods cumulatively while the least range was in case of the pentaploid accessions. Thus the pentaploid accessions differed from each other in a narrower range than the tetraploid accessions. The greater range of similarity values in the tetraploid accessions indicates a greater diversity amongst these accessions and this is supported by estimates of mean Shanon's Informative index as well as mean estimate of Nei's gene diversity (Table 8). The schema for generation of higher polyploid cytotypes of P. vittata (Khare and Kaur, 1983a) involves hybridisation between diploids, triploids, and tetraploids. According to this the pentaploid cytotypes are thought to have derived from some of the tetraploid. Since the hybridisation is suggested as the dominant route for polyploidisation the different genomes involved are not necessarily multiple copies of each other. If this is true we will not obtain any high similarities between and amongst the different polyploidy accessions of P. vittata. However, considering that the schema for pentaploid accessions to be formed invokes only a common hybridisation approach it is possible that the mechanisms for generating the different pentaploid accessions used in the present study are more similar than they are different. Hence more pentaploids are similar to each other to a greater extent than the tetraploids are similar to each other. The UPGMA dendrogram based on the Jaccard coefficient of similarity showed that the accessions were clustered into four major clades with no specific correlation between ploidy types or geographical origin. The accessions of different species of *Pteris* formed a separate clade (Figures 3, 4). The dendrogram was robust in separating out the non-Pteris outgroup taxon, M. punctatum. The UPGMA dendrogram reveals that 48 P. vittata accessions are resolved into three subclusters (Figure 4) and does not show any ploidy specific distribution of the genotypes. These results are consistent with the complexity of the species. However, since no correlation was obtained with the polyploidy types and their relative affinities to each other, it is not possible to state with any certainty that the greater diversity in *P. vittata* genotypes is due to the polyploid cytotypes that they include. In other pteridophytes like *Adiantum pedatum* L., incipient polyploidisation has also been reported (Rabe and Haufler, 1992) and this mechanism could operate in *P. vittata* too.

For the present study 48 *P. vittata* (36 tetraploid and 12 pentaploid) and five of other species (four *P. cretica*, one *P. pellucida*, one *P. tremula*, one *P. quadriaurita*, and two *P. ensiformis*) accessions were used. The UPGMA dendrograms were generated for each method separately (**Figures 3A–C**), respectively, as well as for all methods cumulatively (**Figures 3D**, 4), after a 1000 replicate bootstrap analysis. In each case the outgroup taxon, *M. punctatum* was well separated from the *Pteris* species clusters. Further in each method as well as collectively by all methods, the three different species of *Pteris* always separated. The dendrogram clearly shows that the *P. vittata* accessions were resolved into three subclusters suggesting that many possible genetic lineages since the sub-clusters were not based on any geographical or polyploidy status of the accessions.

The increased number of genotypes particularly in case of P. vittata resulted in assessment of a wide range of average similarities for each method individually as well as for the cumulative data (Table 4). A similar trend was observed even for within Pteris species comparison. This is an important observation. In order that the best estimate of diversity is determined, it is essential that an adequately large number of genotypes are sampled. This is further illustrated from comparison of different methods. Thus we observed that marker index determined by RAPD, DAMD, and ISSR methods increased from 5.1 to 6.34, 11.31 to 11.55, and 3.51 to 4.22, respectively, in case of larger number of genotypes relative to smaller number of genotypes used (Table 4). At the level of individual methods highest MI was obtained for DAMD method. This suggest that DAMD analysis can differentiate more genotypes per assay in P. vittata, however, when other species are also considered in the same analysis we found ISSR to have the highest MI (Table 4).

The parameters of MI or EMR have been assessed in a large number of plants as well as for two or more PCR methods used in the same set of genotypes. However, the values determined for a given set of methods and for a given set of genotypes, reveal a relatively better or the best method for the comparative studies. In case of pteridophytes, however, no such calculations have ever been made to our knowledge.

In higher plants there are several reports of more than one SPAR method used for determining the genetic diversity. The different SPAR methods generate genome-wide profiles in the sense that the profiles originate from several discrete regions spread through the genome. However, the nature of amplifiable sequences in each method differs considerably. For example, RAPD profiles originate from randomly distributed sequences to which the primers can anneal; the ISSR profiles originate from regions rich in microsatellite sequences while the DAMD profiles are due to the minisatellite-rich regions of the genomes. In a few cases any or all of these regions could overlap due to interspersion of sequence types or classes. Hence the genotype affinities to each other may not always be the same by all methods. Under these circumstances a cumulative analysis of the data generated in each method may enable the most comprehensive assessment of the genomic affinities of the genotypes used in the study. Recently two studies in case of horticultural crops papaya and mango have shown that a combined analysis using two or more SPAR methods also enable the correlation with genotypes studied; as was found in case of papaya for pulp colour and incase of mango for pedigree on parentage of the genotypes (Saxena et al., 2005; Srivastava et al., 2007). The separate analysis of data generated by each method, however, allows the determination of parameters such as MI and average heterozygosity that in turn qualify a given method as the most useful or informative method for a given set of genotypes or for a given set of the taxa.

These analyses in the present study also have enabled conclusion about the most useful methods for diversity analysis in the fern *P. vittata* L. Interestingly, such a study is unique in case of the ferns to our knowledge. DAMD method in most cases

Accession group (No. of samples)	Polymorphic loci (%)	Mean na* (SD)	Mean ne* (SD)	Mean h* (SD)	Mean I* (SD)	Mean Ht (SD)	Mean Hs (SD)	Mean Gst	Mean Nm*
Tetraploid <i>P. vittata</i> (36)	58.51	1.585 (0.49)	1.195 (0.28)	0.126 (0.16)	0.205 (0.23)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Pentaploid <i>P. vittata</i> (12)	47.96	1.480 (0.50)	1.192 (0.30)	0.1210 (0.16)	0.193 (0.24)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other Species (9)	56.82	1.568 (0.50)	1.166 (0.23)	0.117 (0.13)	0.198 (0.21)	NA	NA	NA	NA
All P. vittata (48)	64.42	1.644 (0.48)	1.199 (0.28)	0.131 (0.16)	0.214 (0.23)	0.132 (0.03)	0.124 (0.02)	0.060	7.891
All accessions used (57)	92.41	1.924 (0.27)	1.205 (0.25)	0.140 (0.14)	0.239 (0.20)	0.147 (0.02)	0.127 (0.01)	0.14	3.150

TABLE 8 | Analysis of "population" parameters for the cumulative band data for all accessions considered as groups of tetraploid, pentaploid, and other species accessions.

Genic Variation Statistics for 711 loci when single populations are considered were determined according to Saitou and Nei (1987) [Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 176–187)], where  $na^* = Observed$  number of alleles;  $ne^* = Effective$  number of alleles according to Kimura and Crow (1964);  $h^* = Nei's$  gene diversity (1973), and  $I^* = Shannon's$  Information index according to Lewontin (1972).

Nm\* = estimate of gene flow from Gst as Nm = 0.5(1-Gst)/Gst according to McDermott and McDonald (1993).

Nei's Analysis of Gene Diversity in Subdivided Populations were computed basically according to Saitou and Nei (1987).

resulted in the highest MI values indicating that the minisatellite sequences may have a greater role in genome diversification.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## DEDICATION

This manuscript is dedicated to the memory of S. A. Ranade (posthumously) who enormously contributed in the field of plant genetic diversity and molecular taxonomy also supervised the present work.

## REFERENCES

- Aulakh, M. K., Kaur, N., and Saggoo, M. I. S. (2019). Bioactive phytoconstituents of pteridophytes–a review. *Indian Fern. J.* 36, 37–79.
- Belaj, A., Satovic, Z., Cipriani, G., Baldoni, L., Testolin, R., Rallo, L., et al. (2003). Comparative study of the discriminating capacity of RAPD, AFLP and SSR markers and their effectiveness in establishing genetic relationships in olive. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 107, 736–744. doi: 10.1007/s00122-003-1301-5
- Bhattacharya, E., Dandin, S. B., and Ranade, S. A. (2005). Single primer amplification reaction methods reveal exotic and indigenous mulberry varieties are similarly diverse. J. Biosci. 30, 669–675. doi: 10.1007/bf02703567
- Bir, S. S., and Irudayaraj, V. (2001). Cytology of some ferns from the Nilgiris, South India IV. Fern. Gazette 16, 177–190.
- Chao, Y.-S., Liu, H.-Y., Chiang, Y.-C., and Chiou, W.-L. (2012). Polyploidy and speciation in *Pteris* (Pteridaceae). *J. Bot.* 2012, 817920. doi: 10.1155/2012/ 817920
- Fjellheim, S., Elven, R., and Broachmann, C. (2001). Molecules and morphology in concert. II. The *Festuca brachyphylla* complex (Poaceae) in Svalbard. *Amer. J. Bot.* 88, 869–882. doi: 10.2307/2657039
- Gupta, M., Chyi, Y. S., Romero-Severson, J., and Owen, J. L. (1994). Amplification of DNA markers from evolutionarily diverse genomes using single primers of SSRs. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 89, 998–1006. doi: 10.1007/bf00224530
- Hassler, M., and Swale, B. (2001). Checklist of World Ferns. Available online at: http://homepages.caverock.net.nz/~{}bj/fern/list.htm (accessed November 16, 2018).
- Hsu, T.-W., Moore, S.-J., and Chiang, T.-Y. (2000). Low RAPD polymorphism in *Archangiopteris itoi*, a rare and endemic fern in Taiwan. *Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin.* 41, 15–18. doi: 10.2307/1545239
- Jaccard, P. (1908). Etude comparative dela distribution florale dans une portion des Alpes et des Jura. *Bull. Soc. Vaudoise Sci. Nat.* 37, 547–579.
- Khare, P. B. (1995). Studies in Pteris vittata L. complex. Indian Fern. J. 12, 43-50.
- Khare, P. B., and Kaur, S. (1983a). Intraspecific polyploidy in *Pteris vittata L. Cytologia* 48, 21–25. doi: 10.1508/cytologia.48.21
- Khare, P. B., and Kaur, S. (1992). Variable gametophytic differentiation in triploid *Pteris vittata* Linn. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India* 62B, 557–559.
- Kimura, M. and Crow, J. F. (1964). The number of alleles that can be maintained in a finite population. *Genetics*. 49:725.
- Kuo, L. Y., Ebihara, A., Hsu, T. C., Rouhan, G., Huang, Y. M., Wang, C. N., et al. (2018). Infrageneric revision of the fern genus *Deparia* (Athyriaceae, Aspleniineae, Polypodiales). *Syst. Bot.* 43, 645–655. doi: 10.1600/ 036364418x697364
- Lavanya, G. R., Srivastava, J., and Ranade, S. A. (2008). Molecular assessment of genetic diversity in *Mungbean germplasm. J. Genet.* 87, 65–74.
- Leitch, I. J., and Bennett, M. D. (2004). Genome downsizing in polyploid plants. Bio. J. Lin. Soc. 82, 651–663. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2004.00349.x
- Mahar, K. S., Rana, T. S., Ranade, S. A., and Meena Baleshwar. (2011). Genetic variability and population structure in *Sapindus emarginatus* Vahl from India. *Gene* 485, 32–39. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2011.05.036

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JM executed the experiments of this research work under the supervision of PK. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Aditya Shastri (Vice Chancellor), Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan for his support and encouragement. We also acknowledge the St. Xavier's College at Tirunelveli (Tamil Nadu), Botanical Survey of India at Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), Kolkata (West Bengal), and Itanagar (Arunachal Pradesh), and Department of Botany, Calicut University, Calicut, Kerala, for help with plant material.

- Mahar, K. S., Rana, T. S., Ranade, S. A., Pande, V., and Palni, L. M. S. (2012).
  Estimation of genetic variability and population structure in *Sapindus trifoliatus* L.,using DNA Fingerprinting Methods. *Trees Structure and Function.* 27, 85–96. doi: 10.1007/s00468-012-0770-z
- Manton, J. (1950). Problems of Cytology and Evolution in Pteridophytes. London: Cambridge University Press.
- McDermott J. M. and McDonald, B. A. (1993) Gene Flow in Plant Pathosystems. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 31: 353–373.
- Narzary, D., Rana, T. S., and Ranade, S. A. (2010). Molecular analyses of genetic diversity in indian pomegranates using RAPD, DAMD and ISSR. *Food Veg. Cereal Sci. Biotech.* 4, 126–143.
- Nei, M. (1973). Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U.S.A. 70, 3321–3323. doi: 10.1073/pnas.70.12.3321
- Nei, M. (1978). Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. *Genetics*. 89, 583–590.
- Otto, S. P. and Sauquet, H. (2018). Macroevolutionary patterns of flowering plant speciation and extinction. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 69, 9–1. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042817-040348
- Pavlicek, A., Hrda, S., and Flegr, J. (1999). FreeTree Freeware program for construction of phylogenetic trees on the basis of distance data and bootstrapping / jackknife analysis of the tree robustness. Application in the RAPD analysis of the genus Frenkelia. *Folia Biol.* 45, 97–99.
- Peakall, R., and Smouse, P. E. (2006). GenAlEx 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 6, 288–295. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01155.x
- Peirson, J. A., Dick, C. W., and Reznicek, A. A. (2013). Phylogeography and polyploid evolution of North American goldenrods (Solidago subsect. *Humiles*, Asteraceae). J. Biogeogr. 40, 1887–1898. doi: 10.1111/jbi.12136
- Powell, W., Morgante, M., Andre, C., Hanafey, M., Tingey, S., and Rafalski, A. (1996). The comparison of RFLP, RAPD, AFLP and SSR (microsatellite) markers for germplasm analysis. *Mol. Breed.* 2, 225–238. doi: 10.1007/ bf00564200
- Rabe, E. W., and Haufler, C. H. (1992). Incipient polyploid speciation in the maidenhair fern (*Adiantum pedatum*. Adiantaceae). *Amer. J. Bot.* 79, 701–707. doi: 10.1002/j.1537-2197.1992.tb14611.x
- Ramsey, J., and Schemske, D. W. (1998). Pathways, mechanisms and rates of polyploidy formation in flowering plants. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 29, 467–501. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.29.1.467
- Ranade, S. A., Rana, T. S., and Narzary, D. (2009). SPAR profiles and genetic diversity amongst pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) genotypes. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 15, 61–70. doi: 10.1007/s12298-009-0006-x
- Ranade, S. A., Soni, A., and Kumar, N. (2011). "SPAR profiles for the assessment of genetic diversity between male and female landraces of the dioecious betelvine plant (*Piper betle* L.)," in *Biodiversity – Book 1*, ed. O. Grillo (Croatia: Intech Open Access Publishers), 443–464.
- Ranade, S. A., Srivastava, A. P., Rana, T. S., Srivastava, J., and Tuli, R. (2008). Easy assessment of diversity in *Jatropha curcas L*. plants using two Single Primer

Amplification Reaction (SPAR) methods. *Biomass Bioenergy* 32, 533–540. doi: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2007.11.006

- Rogstad, S. H. (1993). Surveying Plant Genomes for variable numbers of tendem repeat loci. *Meth. Enzymol.* 224, 278–294. doi: 10.1016/0076-6879(93)24021-l
- Saitou, N. and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406–425. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454

Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., and Maniatis, T. (1989). Molecular Cloning : A Laboratory Manual, 2nd Edn. New York, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

- Saxena, S., Chandra, R., Srivastava, A. P., Mishra, M., Pathak, R. K., and Ranade, S. A. (2005). Analysis of genetic diversity among papaya cultivars using Single Primer Amplification Reaction (SPAR) methods. J. Horticult. Sci. Biotechnol. 80, 291–296. doi: 10.1080/14620316.2005.11511932
- Schneller, J., Holderegger, R., Gugerli, F., Eichenberger, K., and Lutz, E. (1998). Patterns of genetic variation detected by RAPDs suggest a single origin with subsequent mutations and long-distance dispersal in the apomictic fern *Dryopteris remota* (Dryopteridaceae). Am. J. Bot. 85, 1038–1042. doi: 10.2307/ 2446372
- Schuettpelz, E., Grusz, A. L., Windham, M. D., and Pryer, K. M. (2008). The utility of nuclear gapCp in resolving polyploid fern origins. *Syst. Bot.* 33, 621–629. doi: 10.1600/036364408786500127
- Schuettpelz, E., Schneider, H., Huiet, L., Windham, M. L., and Pryer, K. M. (2007). A molecular phylogeny of the fern family Pteridaceae: assessing overall relationships and the affinities of previously unsampled genera. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 44, 1172–1185. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2007.04.011
- Soltis, D. E., Soltis, P. S., and Tate, J. A. (2004). Advances in the study of polyploidy since Plant speciation. *N. Phytol.* 161, 173–191. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003. 00948.x
- Soltis, P. S., and Soltis, D. E. (2000). The role of genetic and genomic attributes in the success of polyploids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 7051–7057. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.13.7051
- Srivastava, A. P., Chandra, R., Saxena, S., Rajan, S., Ranade, S. A., and Prasad, V. (2007). A PCR-based assessment of genetic diversity, and parentage analysis among commercial mango cultivars and hybrids. *J. Horticult. Sci. Biotechnol.* 82, 951–959. doi: 10.1080/14620316.2007.11512332
- Srivastava, J., Ranade, S. A., and Khare, P. B. (2007). Distribution and threat status of the cytotypes of *Pteris vittata L*. (Pteridaceae) species in India. *Curr. Sci.* 93, 81–85.
- Srivastava, J., Ranade, S. A., and Khare, P. B. (2009). "SPAR profiling analysis supports two subgenera for the genus *Equisetum L*," in *Plant and Fungal Biodiversity and Bioprospecting*, eds S. Krishnan and D. J. Bhat (Panjim: Broadway Publishers), 87–98.
- Srivastava, J., Ranade, S. A., Srivastava, R., and Khare, P. B. (2008). "RAPD profile diversity amongst two polyploid accessions of *Pteris vittata L*," in *Perspectives in Pteridophytes*, eds S. C. Verma, S. P. Khullar, and H. K. Cheema (Dehradun: BSMPS Publishers), 433–443.
- Swartz, L. M., and Brunsfeld, S. J. (2002). The morphological and genetic distinctness of *Botrychium minganense and B. crenulatum* as assessed by

morphometric analysis and RAPD markers. *Am. Fern J.* 92, 249–269. doi: 10.1640/0002-8444(2002)092[0249:tmagdo]2.0.co;2

- Verma, S., Rana, T. S., and Ranade, S. A. (2009). Genetic variations and clustering in *Murraya paniculata* complex, revealed by single primer amplification reaction (SPAR) methods. *Curr. Sci.* 96, 1210–1216.
- Wang, T., Su, J.-Y., Li, X.-Y., Zheng, B., Chen, G.-P., and Zeng, Q.-L. (2004). Genetic structure and variation in the relict populations of *Alsophila spinulosa* from southern China based on RAPD markers and cpDNA atpB-rbcL sequence data. *Hereditas* 140, 8–17. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.2004.01659.x
- Welsh, J., and McClelland, M. (1990). Fingerprinting genomes using PCR with arbitrary primers. Nucl. Acids Res. 18, 7213–7218. doi: 10.1093/nar/18.24.7213
- Williams, J. G. K., Kubelik, A. R., Livak, K. J., Rafalski, J. A., and Tingey, S. V. (1990). DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 18, 6231–6235.
- Yang, B., Hu, M., Zhou, M., Guan, J. P., Zhang, J., Lan, C. Y., et al. (2010). Development of eight polymorphic microsatellite markers by FIASCO-based strategy for a arsenic-hyperaccumulator Chinese brake fern. *Conservation Genet Resour* 2, 65–67. doi: 10.1007/s12686-009-9169-6
- Yeh, F. C., Yang, R.-C., and Boyle, T. (1997). POPGENE A Microsoft Windowsbased freeware for population genetic analysis, ver. 1.31 (32 bit). Available online at: http://www.ualberta.ca/~fcyeh/
- Zhou, Z., Bebeli, P. J., Somers, D. J., and Gustafson, J. P. (1997). Direct amplification of minisatellite- region DNA with VNTR core sequences in the genus *Oryza*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 95, 942–949. doi: 10.1007/s00122005 0645

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer DA declared a shared affiliation with one of the authors PK to the handling editor at the time of review.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Mathur, Khare, Panwar and Ranade. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.