#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Marco Viccaro, University of Catania, Italy

#### REVIEWED BY David M. Pyle, University of Oxford, United Kingdom Jacob B. Lowenstern, United States Geological Survey (USGS), United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE TiVonne A. Howe, ⊠ t.howe1@lancaster.ac.uk

RECEIVED 10 October 2024 ACCEPTED 16 December 2024 PUBLISHED 14 January 2025

#### CITATION

Howe TA, Christopher TE, Moune S, Tuffen H and Schiavi F (2025) Melt inclusion bubbles provide new insights into crystallisation depths and CO<sub>2</sub> systematics at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Front. Earth Sci.* 12:1509409. doi: 10.3389/feart.2024.1509409

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Howe, Christopher, Moune, Tuffen and Schiavi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Melt inclusion bubbles provide new insights into crystallisation depths and CO<sub>2</sub> systematics at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat

## TiVonne A. Howe<sup>1\*</sup>, Thomas E. Christopher<sup>2,3</sup>, Séverine Moune<sup>4,5</sup>, Hugh Tuffen<sup>1</sup> and Federica Schiavi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Montserrat Volcano Observatory, Flemmings, Montserrat, <sup>3</sup>Seismic Research Centre, The University of the West Indies, St Augustine, Trinidad and Tobago, <sup>4</sup>Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Observatoire de Physique du Globe de Clermont-Ferrand, Université Clermont Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France, <sup>5</sup>Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France

Improved understanding of the magmatic system of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat (SHV) is needed to inform future hazard management strategy, and remaining uncertainties include the depth of magma storage and the source of ongoing gas emissions. Eruptive activity between 1995 and 2010 has been proposed to be sourced from either a dual chamber or transcrustal mush-based magmatic system, with volatile solubility models using H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> from melt inclusion (MI) glass estimating depths of 5-6 km. To date, published SHV MI volatile data have neglected the vapour bubbles now known to sequester the bulk of MI magmatic carbon. Total CO2 concentrations in SHV magma are therefore underestimated, together with volatile-derived entrapment pressures and inferred magma storage depths. Here, we present a new dataset of volatile (H<sub>2</sub>O and total CO<sub>2</sub>) and major element concentrations in plagioclase- and orthopyroxene-hosted SHV MI, that span almost all of the eruptive activity (Phases 1, 2, 4, and 5), and include the first measurement of bubble-hosted CO<sub>2</sub> for SHV and indeed the Lesser Antilles Arc. Analyses were conducted using Raman spectroscopy, ion microprobe, and electron probe analysis. Dacitic-rhyolitic MI occur within andesitic whole rock compositions. Volatiles in MI glass are similar to published studies (H<sub>2</sub>O 2.47-7.26 wt%; CO<sub>2</sub> 13-1243 ppm). However, bubble-hosted CO<sub>2</sub> contributes 9-3,145 ppm, to total inclusion CO<sub>2</sub> with 5%-99% (median 90%) of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestered within bubbles, and total CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (131-3,230 ppm) are significantly higher than previously published values. Inferred entrapment depths from our dataset range from 5.7 to 17 km - far greater than previous estimates - and support a vertically elongated magmatic system where crystallisation spanned both upper- and mid-crustal depths. Our

 $CO_2$  measurements enable new estimation of  $CO_2$  sources and fluxes. As a total of 4.5 Mt of  $CO_2$  was held in SHV magma during the aforementioned phases, the maximum amount of  $CO_2$  that can be emitted from a batch of SHV magma is ~1500–1750 tonnes/day. Measured  $CO_2$  fluxes are significantly higher, indicating additional input of  $CO_2$  into the system from greater depths. Our study shows that including bubble-hosted  $CO_2$  redefines understanding of the SHV plumbing system.

KEYWORDS

carbon dioxide, volatile content, volatile emissions, magma mush, Raman spectroscopy, vapour bubble

## **1** Introduction

Mitigation of risk at active island arc volcanoes is a significant challenge (Joseph et al., 2022) that requires detailed understanding of the nature of the magmatic plumbing system and the origin and significance of its gas emissions. Soufrière Hills Volcano is arguably one of the most monitored and studied arc volcanoes, with detailed datasets and models constraining the geochemical, petrological, seismological and geodetic components of the volcanic system (e.g., Aspinall et al., 1998; Barclay et al., 1998; Devine et al., 2003; Ryan et al., 2010; Edmonds et al., 2014; Plail et al., 2018). The current eruption began in 1995, and has produced both intermittent explosions and dome growth between 1995 and 2010 (Wadge et al., 2014). While magma extrusion has not been observed since 2010, ongoing unrest includes 1) deformation of the volcano caused by melt injection into a crustal reservoir, proposed to be dual sourced at ~5-6 km and ~17 km depth (Neuberg et al., 2022) or mush based and vertically extensive, spanning the upper- and midcrustal regions with a base at ~17 km (Alshembari et al., 2024), 2) seismicity mainly in the form of volcano-tectonic earthquakes that are attributed to pressurisation and fracturing related to magma injection and migration (Smith, 2013), and 3) emission of  $SO_2$  at an average rate of 374 ± 140 tonnes/day (from February 2010 to December 2014) with occasional higher fluxes (~10×) accompanying volcano tectonic earthquake swarms and associated with migration of magma (Christopher et al., 2015).

During the ongoing hiatus of surface activity, some significant advances in technologies and methods are yet to be applied to the Soufrière Hills system. In particular, the application of Raman spectroscopy as a tool for measuring volatiles in vapour bubbles (e.g., Hartley et al., 2014; Moore et al., 2015; Moore and Bodnar, 2019) now permits more accurate measurement of total  $CO_2$  in magmatic melt inclusions. Melt inclusions are small parcels of melt trapped during crystal growth and can represent the preeruptive magma, giving insight into the evolving geochemical and physical environment of subsurface magma within the plumbing system (e.g., Kent, 2008; Moore et al., 2015). The post-entrapment generation of bubble(s) allows sequestration of a proportion of the magmatic volatile species in the vapour phase-in particular, CO<sub>2</sub>. Methodological development now allows the vapour bubble CO<sub>2</sub> to be quantified via Raman spectroscopy, revealing that in some cases more than 90% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> content of the inclusion resides in the bubble. This implies that previous measurements of melt inclusion CO2, made solely on dissolved CO2 within melt inclusion glass, could have severely underestimated magmatic CO2 contents (Moore et al., 2015; Wieser et al., 2021). As a consequence, constraints on magma storage depths from  $CO_2$ -dependent volatile solubility models have been underestimated (e.g., Hartley et al., 2014; Moore et al., 2015; Hanyu et al., 2020). In this study, we use ion microprobe (SIMS) and Raman spectroscopy measurements to provide the first full  $CO_2$  contents of melt inclusions from Soufrière Hills Volcano, using melt inclusions from four of the five phases of the 1995–2010 eruptive activity. This leads to refined and more realistic constraints on the storage depths and volatile systematics of this important eruption.

## 2 Geological setting

The Lesser Antilles Arc trends roughly N-S, and extends ~850 km (Figure 1). The arc is the product of subduction of the Atlantic Plate at a 67° (ENE) vector beneath the Caribbean Plate, at an overall rate of ~2 cm/year (DeMets et al., 2000), erupting 5 km<sup>3</sup>Ma<sup>-1</sup>km<sup>-1</sup> of magma over a 300-year period from 1680 to 1980 (Wadge, 1984). The arc consists of two lines of volcanism, separated by age, marked by a central boundary at Martinique (Figure 1 inset). To the west exists the volcanic islands, where volcanism occurred during the Neogene to present (Macdonald et al., 2000), and to the east, islands where volcanism prevailed during the Upper Jurassic to the Lower Oligocene, with their volcanic basements now covered by sedimentary rocks (Bouysse et al., 1990). The arc can also be divided based on characteristics such as magma chemistry, seismicity, and overall structure (Balcone-Boissard et al., 2023; Metcalfe et al., 2023). The islands in the north, from Saba to Montserrat, can be tholeiitic (St Kitts, Redonda) and calc-alkaline (Saba, Montserrat), producing andesite (Rea, 1974; Brown et al., 1977; Rea and Baker, 1980; Baker, 1984; Macdonald et al., 2000). In the central and southern islands, andesites, basaltic andesites and basalts are most prevalent (Brown et al., 1977; Macdonald et al., 2000). Beneath the arc, the crust can be divided into four layers based on crustal structure, with the Mohorovičić discontinuity (Moho) varying between 25–37 km depth (Melekhova et al., 2019).

## 2.1 Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat

Montserrat is the sixth island from the north of the active volcanic chain and forms part of the northerly group of islands (Figure 1; Macdonald et al., 2000). It consists of four stratovolcanoes,



FIGURE 1

Map of Montserrat showing location of the four stratovolcanoes. Soufrière Hills is located in the south, denoted by the red star. Inset map illustrates the Lesser Antilles Arc and the western and eastern arcs.

three of which are dormant (Silver Hills, Centre Hills and South Soufrière Hills), last erupting  $0.96 \pm 0.25$  million years ago (Rea, 1974). The active Soufrière Hills Volcano (SHV) is a volcanic complex located in the southern part of Montserrat, and its most recent eruption commenced on 18 July 1995, with the extrusion of crystal-rich andesitic magma (Robertson et al., 2000; Sparks and Young, 2002). This involved predominantly effusive activity, leading to the growth of lava dome complexes punctuated by dome-collapse events. Other activity involved explosive Vulcanian events of up to VEI 2–3 (Robertson et al., 2000; Cassidy et al., 2018), and less intense ash venting (Cole et al., 2014).

A total of  $988 \times 10^6$  km<sup>3</sup> (Wadge et al., 2014) of material was produced over five phases of dome building activity that are interspersed with "pauses," marked by a cessation in lava

extrusion (Table 1). SHV has produced cycles of activity on both the sub-daily and sub-annual scale. Sub-daily cycles in Phase 1 consisted of explosions coinciding with ground deformation and seismicity (Voight et al., 1999), while in Phase 2, seismicity coincided with peaks in SO<sub>2</sub> flux (Young et al., 2003). This cyclic behavior ceased during Phase 3, and occurred again in Phases 4 and 5 in the form of seismic cycles where swarms of hybrid earthquakes merged to form continuous tremor (Cole et al., 2014; Odbert et al., 2014).

The 15-year eruptive activity is extensively detailed in a number of studies including Robertson et al. (2000); Kokelaar (2002); Sparks and Young (2002); Harford et al. (2003) for Phases 1-2 and Wadge et al. (2014) for Phases 15, and is summarised in Table 1 below.

Phase/Pause	Date	Duration	Erupted volume (x 10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	Mean effusive rate (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Eruptive characteristics
Phase 1	(18/07/1995–10/03/1998)	846 days	331	4.5	V, s-P, PDC, LDG, LDC
Pause 1	(11/03/1998-26/11/1999)	627 days	_	_	
Phase 2	(27/11/1999-28/07/2003)	1339 days	336	2.9	V, PDC, LDG, LDC
Pause 2	(29/07/2003-31/07/2005)	735 days	_	_	
Phase 3	(01/08/2005-20/04/2007)	627 days	282	5.6	LDG
Pause 3	(21/04/2007-28/07/2008)	466 days	_	_	
Phase 4	(29/07/2008-03/01/2009)	158 days	39	2.9	V, LDG
Pause 4	(04/01/2009-08/10/2009)	279 days	_	_	
Phase 5	(09/10/2009-11/02/2010)	125 days	70	6.8	LDG, LDC, V
Pause 5	(12/02/2010 - present)	~5,300 days as of October 2024	_	_	

#### TABLE 1 Characteristics of eruptive phases.

V, Vulcanian; s-P, sub-Plinian; PDC, pyroclastic density current; LDG - lava dome growth; LDC, lava dome collapse. Compiled from Kokelaar, (2002), Edmonds et al. (2016), Ryan et al. (2010), Sparks and Young, (2002), Cole et al. (2014), Wadge et al. (2014).

# 2.1.1 Constraints on petrology and the plumbing system

SHV products are phenocryst-rich (30–45 vol%), with an assemblage of plagioclase, amphibole, orthopyroxene, titanomagnetite and quartz (<0.5%), and minor amounts of clinopyroxene occurring as microphenocrysts or as overgrowth rims on orthopyroxene, plus apatite and ilmenite (Humphreys et al., 2009; Edmonds et al., 2014). Petrological features such as mineral phases and enclave textures are similar throughout the eruptive phases, as described in Christopher et al. (2014). Whole rock compositions from all phases are largely andesitic and range from 57–64 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> (Murphy et al., 2000; Zellmer et al., 2003; Plail et al., 2018), while groundmass glass compositions, published for Phases 1–3, are 70–80 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> (Edmonds et al., 2002; Harford et al., 2003; Buckley et al., 2006; Humphreys et al., 2010).

Geochemical and geophysical studies point to both a two-tiered magma storage region (e.g., Aspinall et al., 1998; Barclay et al., 1998; Devine et al., 2003; Rutherford and Devine, 2003; Foroozan et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2010; Christopher et al., 2014; Edmonds et al., 2014) or a transcrustal mush system at Soufrière Hills (e.g., Edmonds et al., 2016; Gottsmann et al., 2020; Alshembari et al., 2024). Published measurements of dissolved H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> contents within plagioclase and quartzhosted melt inclusion glasses are 4.07-5.05 wt% H2O and <60 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> for Phase 1 inclusions (Barclay et al., 1998), and  $\leq$ 6.40 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O and  $\leq$ 546 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> for Phase 3 inclusions (Edmonds et al., 2014). These translate, via the solubilitypressure model VolatileCalc (Newman and Lowenstern, 2002), to pressure and thus depth estimates of ~130 MPa, and 5-6 km for Phase 1 (Barclay et al., 1998) and ≤300 MPa for Phase 3 (Edmonds et al., 2014) which is equivalent to ~7-11 km.

Edmonds et al. (2014) attributes the higher  $CO_2$  content of a few plagioclase- and orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions of 836 and 1032 ppm to  $CO_2$  flushing, which occurs when  $CO_2$ rich fluids are released from deeper in the magmatic system, in shallow conduit systems, or from carbonate sources, and interact with magmas stored in the upper or mid-crust (e.g., Rust et al., 2004; Marianelli et al., 2005; Spilliaert et al., 2006; Blundy et al., 2010; Caricchi et al., 2018).

However, these  $CO_2$  measurements neglect melt inclusion bubble-hosted  $CO_2$  and are thus likely severely underestimated (e.g., Hartley et al., 2014; Moore et al., 2015; Wieser et al., 2021). The estimated magma storage depths of 5–6 km are similar to earthquake hypocentral depths and seismic tomographic data (Aspinall et al., 1998; Miller et al., 2010). Mineral geochemistry also yields shallow storage depths (around 5–6 km), via Al-in-hornblende geobarometry (Rutherford and Devine, 2003) and clinopyroxene-melt equilibria (Christopher et al., 2014). However, iron oxide compositions (Devine et al., 2003) point to deeper storage regions >10 km, along with H<sub>2</sub>O contents of enstatites (Edmonds et al., 2016), which indicate a magma storage region that is vertically elongated through the crust.

A two-tiered model is suggested from geodesy, where best-model fits to GPS data from Phase 1 identify a source at ~6 km depth (Mattioli et al., 1998), seemingly switching to a deeper-fed region at 10.4  $\pm$  2.1 km during the later phases (Mattioli et al., 2010). Foroozan et al. (2010) suggests 5 km and 17 km deep storage regions, also based on GPS data. More recently, geodetic modelling using 3D crustal mechanical and topographical data has proposed the presence of a vertically extended pressure source between ~4 and 14 km depth (Gottsmann et al., 2020).

TABLE 2	List of s	amples us	ed in th	is study	along	with da	tes of	
production	on, brief	description	ons and	the anal	lytical	techniq	ues ap	plied.

Phase	Sample ID	Date and Description
Phase 1	MVO1085	Glassy melt inclusions in pumice Trapped in plagioclase and orthopyroxene September/October 1997 explosion PDCs
Phase 2	MVO1243	Glassy melt inclusions in pumice Trapped in plagioclase 3 March 2004
Phase 3	MVO1524	Crystallised melt inclusions in pumice 8 January 2007 PDCs
Phase 4	MVO1531	Glassy melt inclusions in pumice Trapped in plagioclase 28 July 2008 PDCs
Phase 5	MVO1548	Glassy melt inclusions in pumice Trapped in plagioclase and orthopyroxene 11 February 2010 pumice airfall

# **3 Methodology**

## 3.1 Sample details and preparation

Samples from the five eruption phases were sourced from the Montserrat Volcano Observatory's rock catalogue and are listed in Table 2. They were crushed and separated into different sized fractions, and plagioclase and orthopyroxene phenocrysts were hand separated from the 500–1000  $\mu$ m fraction under a binocular microscope. For this study, plagioclase and orthopyroxene were selected due to their abundance and optical properties allowing for easy preparation and analysis of melt inclusions.

Individual phenocrysts were mounted on glass slides and gently polished to a flat surface using 2400 silicon carbide lapping paper and inspected under a microscope. Samples containing glassy melt inclusions were further polished with 3 and 1  $\mu$ m alumina paper until the inclusions were within ~20–30  $\mu$ m of the surface in preparation for Raman spectroscopy. Glassy melt inclusions occurred in Phases 1, 2, 4, and 5, with Phase 3 inclusions being crystallised, likely reflecting a longer cooling history, and are therefore not considered for the analytical techniques applied in this study.

Inclusions analysed by Raman spectroscopy were  $10-166 \mu m$  along their longest axis and were cuboidal (plagioclase-hosted) or ellipsoidal (orthopyroxene-hosted). All plagioclase-hosted inclusions contained at least one vapour bubble ranging from 3 to 52  $\mu m$ , and orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions were either bubble free or contained at least one bubble, where bubble size ranged from 2 to 23  $\mu m$ .

Following Raman spectroscopy, inclusions  $>25 \,\mu\text{m}$  and therefore large enough to be analysed by SIMS were polished with 3 and 1  $\mu$ m aluminium oxide paper, (to avoid carbon contamination posed by diamond paste) until the inclusion glass was exposed at the surface. These samples were then washed in acetone to dissolve any adhesive resin, mounted in indium, and gold coated for SIMS analysis. Following SIMS, samples were lightly polished with 0.25  $\mu m$  diamond paste to remove the gold coat and carbon coated for EPMA.

Whole rock samples from all five phases were also crushed and separated into the 100  $\mu m$  fraction in preparation for ICP-OES.

## 3.2 Analytical techniques

## 3.2.1 Raman spectroscopy

Bubbles in melt inclusions were analysed at the Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans (LMV), Clermont-Ferrand, France using a Renishaw inVia confocal Raman microspectrometer. This was equipped with a 532.1  $\pm$  0.3 nm diode-pulsed solid state laser, a Rayleigh rejection edge filter (cut-off at about 50 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and a CCD detector of 1040  $\times$  256 pixels. For each analysis, a slit aperture of 20 µm (high confocality setting) and a grating of 2400 grooves/mm was used. A Leica DM 2500 M optical microscope with a motorised XYZ stage was used to focus samples, and ×50 or ×100 microscope objectives were used, dependent on bubble size. A spectral resolution better than 0.4 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and spatial resolutions of few µm were achieved based on the applied conditions. To calibrate peak positions and check the linearity of the spectrometer, the 520.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> peak of Si and the two neon emission bands (568.982 and 576.442 nm) were used, as the neon bands bracket the peaks of CO<sub>2</sub>, known as the Fermi diad ( $\Delta$ , peaks at ~1388 and 1285  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). In order to acquire CO<sub>2</sub> spectra used for quantification of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, spectra were collected in a single window ranging from ~725 to 1880 cm<sup>-1</sup>, using the WiRE<sup>max</sup> 4.4 software. Each measurement took 120 s (3 acquisitions of 40 s). Neon bands were measured before and after each analysis of CO2 and the correction factor  $(^{real}\Delta_{Ne'})^{measured}\Delta_{Ne'}$  for each measurement lies between 0.9987 and 1.0003. In order to quantify CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the bubbles, fluid inclusions of pure CO2 with known densities were used as standards and were analysed three times during each analytical session (Boudoire et al., 2023). Uncertainties associated with the reproducibility of the measurement determined on standards are <0.04 g/cc. The 60–1320 cm<sup>-1</sup> wavenumber range was subsequently examined for the identification of mineral phases known to occur in the bubble (sulfates, carbonates, etc.). The presence of other fluid species (i.e., liquid or vapour H2O, H2S, HS-) was also investigated by measuring the whole spectral range up to  $4.000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

## 3.2.2 Secondary ion man spectrometry (SIMS)

The concentration of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  in melt inclusions glasses were measured at the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Ion-Probe Facility at University of Edinburgh, UK using a Cameca IMS 7f-GEO paired with a 5 nA  $^{16}O^{-}$  beam.

Prior to analysis, each sample was pre-sputtered for 180 s  $^{24}Mg^{2+}$ ,  $^{26}Mg$ ,  $^{30}Si$  (counting times = 2s),  $^{1}H$  (counting time = 1s) and  $^{12}C$  (counting time = 10 s) were analysed over 10 cycles with an electron multiplier. In order to separate the mass interferences of  $^{24}Mg^{2+}$  and  $^{12}C$ , a mass resolving power of 2000 was applied. The curves of  $^{1}H/^{30}Si$  vs. H<sub>2</sub>O and ( $^{12}C/^{30}Si$ )\*SiO<sub>2</sub> vs. CO<sub>2</sub> for H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> respectively, were used for calibration based on a set of known glass standards (Supplementary Figure S1; H<sub>2</sub>O = 0.64-7.56 wt%; CO<sub>2</sub> = 0-10,380 ppm). Eight of fifteen orthopyroxene-hosted, and one of sixty-one plagioclase-hosted

inclusions required calculation of H<sub>2</sub>O by difference, due to high measured H<sub>2</sub>O contents which exceeded that of the standards (7.89–8.76 wt%), leading to high total oxides of 102–104 wt%. H<sub>2</sub>O was calculated to achieve totals of 100.44 wt%, the average total for the remaining orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions where the standard deviation is 0.54 wt%. Calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> required SiO<sub>2</sub> which was measured via EPMA. H has a matrix correction and does not require further correction. Background concentrations for H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> were measured on nominally anhydrous minerals (plagioclase and orthopyroxene) before final concentration calculations. Pressure in the sample chamber was <6.80 × 10<sup>-8</sup> mbar over the analytical session. Reproducibility (2 $\sigma$ ) on known standards amounts to <10% for both H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>, with a detection limit of 0.003 wt% for H<sub>2</sub>O, and 3 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>.

### 3.2.3 Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)

Melt inclusion glass and host major elements were analysed at the University of Cambridge utilising a JEOL JXA-iHP200F HyperProbe with 15 kV accelerating voltage.

Major elements in the melt inclusion glasses were measured with a beam size of 5  $\mu m$ . A 5  $\mu m$  and a defocused beam were respectively applied for major element analysis of plagioclase and orthopyroxene host crystals. Beam current of 6 nA was applied across all analyses, and alkalis were measured first to mitigate for loss or migration.

Reproducibility (2 $\sigma$ ) of major elements is based on repeat measurements of known rhyolitic glass standard AthoG and are <5% for SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FeO and CaO, <10% for K<sub>2</sub>O, <13% for MgO and Na<sub>2</sub>O.

Counting times for each element along with standards and associated diffraction crystals used for glass analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

# 3.2.4 Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES)

Whole rock samples from Phases 1-5 were analysed for major element composition at LMV, France using an Agilent 5800 ICP-OES instrument.

An induction furnace was used to melt 100 mg of each sample together with 300 mg of LiBO<sub>2</sub>. The resulting product was dissolved in 1M HNO<sub>3</sub> until a final volume of 200 mL was achieved. "GH" and 'BR' from Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques (CRPG), Nancy, France were used as standards for Si, Na and K, and Al, Ti, Fe, Mn, Mg, Ca and P respectively (Supplementary Table S2). The errors on reproducibility of the standards are <10% ( $2\sigma$ ).

## 4 Results

## 4.1 Whole rock and host compositions

Whole rock compositions across the five phases are andesitic, spanning 57.84-59.97 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 2; Table 3) and contain the mineral assemblage outlined in Section 2.1.1. Samples from this study are comparable to published data across the five phases (Murphy et al., 2000; Zellmer et al., 2003; Plail et al., 2018), and are less evolved than groundmass

glass compositions (70–80 wt%  $SiO_2$ ) published for Phases 1–3 (Edmonds et al., 2001; Edmonds et al., 2002; Harford et al., 2003; Buckley et al., 2006; Humphreys et al., 2010).

Fifty-eight of the melt inclusions across the four phases being studied are hosted in  $An_{48-58}$  plagioclase, with three being hosted at  $An_{61-62}$  and two at  $An_{68-71}$ . Orthopyroxene data are only available for Phases 1 and 5 due to a restricted number of melt inclusions that are sufficiently large, glassy and crystal-free. Orthopyroxene phenocrysts from both phases occupy restricted compositional range of  $En_{57-59}$ .

All plagioclase phenocrysts across the studied Phases are out of equilibrium with the whole rock, at a total  $K_D$  range of 0.16–0.54 (applicable  $K_D$  range for equilibrium = 0.05–0.15; section 4.2.1). However, equilibrium is achieved between 11 of 16 Phase 1 inclusions and the average groundmass glass composition for Phase 1 (Edmonds et al., 2002; Harford et al., 2003; Buckley et al., 2006). None of the fourteen Phase 2 inclusions are in equilibrium with the average groundmass glass composition for Phase 2 (Edmonds et al., 2006; Humphreys et al., 2010). Equilibrium is unable to be calculated between inclusions and the average groundmass compositions for Phases 4 and 5 as there are no published values of Phase 4 and 5 groundmass glasses.

The five analysed orthopyroxene melt inclusions from Phase 1 are out of equilibrium with the average whole rock ( $K_D = 0.49-0.55$ ; section 4.2.1), along with the groundmass glass (Edmonds et al., 2001; Harford et al., 2003; Buckley et al., 2006) at a  $K_D$  range of 0.18–0.19. Equilibrium is also not achieved between the nine Phase 5 inclusions and the average whole rock composition ( $K_D = 0.49-0.55$ ), and equilibrium between mineral and groundmass glass for Phase 5 cannot be calculated due to lack of measured groundmass glass compositions for Phase 5.

## 4.2 Melt inclusions

#### 4.2.1 Post-entrapment modifications

Post-entrapment modification of melt inclusion compositions is common, and occurs via diverse processes, resulting in compositions not representative of the parental melt. These processes include post-entrapment crystallisation that modifies both major and volatile elements (Anderson and Brown, 1993; Danyushevsky et al., 2000; Kent, 2008), the formation of bubbles that can be empty (Schipper et al., 2010; Steele-Macinnis et al., 2011), or contain vapour (Anderson and Brown, 1993; Moore et al., 2015; Moore and Bodnar, 2019), or aqueous species and solids (Schiavi et al., 2020). Other processes include diffusion of H<sup>+</sup> into and out of inclusions (Gaetani et al., 2012), altering H<sub>2</sub>O contents, and decrepitation leading to volatile loss (Neave et al., 2017). As these processes allow for misrepresentation of the major element and volatile composition of the melt, it is important to assess their extent in individual inclusions, and make corrections where possible, prior to further modelling.

#### 4.2.1.1 Post entrapment crystallisation (PEC)

Assessment of PEC for plagioclase-liquid pairs was carried out by two methods. Firstly, we considered the anorthite-albite exchange between the inclusion and its host which varies with temperature, where  $K_D = 0.10 \pm 0.05$  for inclusions trapped <1050°C



### TABLE 3 Whole rock compositions of samples from Phases 1-5 in wt%. Total iron is given as Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O is loss on ignition.

				Who	ole rock sam	ples			
	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Phase 4	Phase 5
	MVO 1085	MVO 1085	MVO 1085	MVO 1243	MVO 1243	MVO 1524	MVO 1531	MVO 1531	MVO 1548
SiO <sub>2</sub>	58.89	59.97	58.63	57.84	58.61	59.48	58.35	58.01	59.23
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.60	0.56	0.50	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.60	0.62	0.58
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	17.53	17.55	17.52	18.20	18.17	18.32	17.94	18.00	18.49
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	7.10	6.78	6.56	6.89	7.34	7.29	7.09	7.29	6.93
MnO	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.16
MgO	2.92	2.54	3.05	2.76	3.04	2.80	3.04	3.06	2.93
CaO	7.44	7.08	7.35	7.73	7.84	7.76	7.73	7.81	7.85
Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.51	3.59	3.53	3.51	3.51	3.41	3.37	3.43	3.54
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.84	0.85	0.76	0.75	0.79	0.80	0.74	0.74	0.82
$P_2O_5$	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.13
Ва	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Sr	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
H <sub>2</sub> O	0.58	0.48	0.46	0.36	0.41	0.74	0.55	0.26	0.38
Total	99.74	99.73	98.70	98.92	100.65	101.53	99.72	99.55	101.09



Various liquid lines of descent for whole rock and groundmass glass compositions, in relation to melt inclusion compositions. Red lines indicate the amount (~15%) and direction that compositions of plagioclase-hosted inclusions would follow as a result of post-entrapment crystallization. Therefore, SHV melt inclusions do not show any indication of post-entrapment crystallisation, as data do not follow the red lines. (A)  $Al_2O_3$  vs.  $K_2O$ . (B) MgO vs.  $K_2O$ . (C)  $Al_2O_3$  vs. MgO. (D)  $Al_2O_3$  vs.  $SiO_2$ .

and  $K_D = 0.28 \pm 0.11$  for those trapped at  $\geq 1050^{\circ}$ C (Putirka, 2008). As magmatic temperature at SHV are ~850°C based on petrological and experimental studies (Sparks and Young, 2002), the lower temperature  $K_D$  value of 0.10 ± 0.05 was used to assess equilibrium. According to this equilibrium test, 52% of inclusions were in equilibrium with their hosts, with a total K<sub>D</sub> range of 0.02–0.07. However, due to the hydrous nature of the inclusions, K<sub>D</sub> may not be an accurate indicator of equilibrium for SHV inclusions, as equilibrium is affected by H<sub>2</sub>O degassing (Humphreys et al., 2016), and a second method was employed. As an alternative test for equilibrium, the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MgO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O and MgO-K<sub>2</sub>O systematics of the melt inclusions in relation to the established liquid line of descent (LLD) for SHV whole rock and groundmass glasses were assessed, as PEC leads to a decrease in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and an increase in MgO (e.g., Figure 3; Nielsen, 2011). As no deviation from any of the tested LLDs occurred, this is interpreted as an indication of no PEC occurring in the plagioclase-hosted inclusions, and therefore no correction being required.

Orthopyroxene-liquid pairs were tested for equilibrium according to the  $K_D$  threshold of  $K_{Dpx-liq}^{Fe-Mg} = 0.29 \pm 0.06$  (Putirka, 2008). All inclusions were out of equilibrium with their hosts at a  $K_D$  range of 0.05–0.22, and PEC ranges from 0.96% to 3.33%. Due to the low amount of PEC, the compositions of orthopyroxene-hosted melt inclusions do not require correcting, as this process has been

shown to have negligible effects on both major and volatile elements up to 11% PEC (Moretti et al., 2018).

Melt inclusion compositions are listed in Table 4.

#### 4.2.1.2 Bubble growth

After entrapment of melt, bubbles can be formed in response to the pressure-volume-temperature relationship between host mineral and melt. Based on this relationship, bubbles can grow via 1) postentrapment crystallization, 2) diffusive H<sup>+</sup> loss and 3) differential thermal contraction (Roedder, 1979; Anderson and Brown, 1993; Lowenstern, 1995; Kent, 2008; Hartley et al., 2014; Wallace et al., 2015; Aster et al., 2016; Hanyu et al., 2020; Wieser et al., 2021). The process with the largest effect occurs due to differing thermal expansivities between host mineral and melt during cooling from high trapping temperatures to the glass transition temperature, after which bubble growth is suppressed (Moore et al., 2015). However, bubbles are also known to nucleate in the melt prior to entrapment, and can grow by diffusion of volatiles from the inclusion glass into the bubble, coalescence, decompression during ascent or Ostwald ripening (Cashman and Mangan, 1994; Best, 2013). It is therefore necessary to identify bubbles that have grown homogenously postentrapment, in order to prevent overestimation of the bubble volatile content caused by volatile-bearing bubbles being trapped at the time of melt inclusion formation. Homogenous bubble growth has TABLE 4 Compositions for seventy-eight met inclusions across Phases 1 - 5 for Soufrière Hills Volcano. Major element oxides in wt% are measured via EPMA. H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in the glass are measured by SIMS. CO<sub>2</sub> in the bubble is measured via Raman spectroscopy. PEC refers to post-entrapment crystallisation as assessed in Section 4.2.1.

Moje carrente (with the first state and the first									Melt ind	clusion c	ompositions							
Image: 1 metric state					Major e	lement	s (wt%)						Volatile ele	ements			Hos	ts
INTERPORT10 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>.iO2</td><td>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></td><td>FeO</td><td>MgO</td><td>CaO</td><td>Na<sub>2</sub>O</td><td>K<sub>2</sub>O</td><td>Total</td><td>H<sub>2</sub>O (wt%)</td><td>Error±</td><td>Bubble CO<sub>2</sub> (ppm)</td><td>Error±</td><td>Glass CO<sub>2</sub> (ppm)</td><td>Error±</td><td>E</td><td>An</td></td<>			.iO2	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	FeO	MgO	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	K <sub>2</sub> O	Total	H <sub>2</sub> O (wt%)	Error±	Bubble CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	Error±	Glass CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	Error±	E	An
(1)     (1) <td>0</td> <td>sted M</td> <td>elt Incl.</td> <td>usions</td> <td></td>	0	sted M	elt Incl.	usions														
0.0     1.3.     1.3.     0.4.     0.4.     0.3.     0.3.     0.3.     0.4.	17	) 80	0.16	12.18	2.34	0.28	1.64	2.86	2.48	93.65	6.67	0.67	p.n	n.d	84	8	58	I
1.7.31.8.11.8.11.9.1	70.	90	0.22	12.38	2.42	0.42	1.90	2.93	2.23	92.55	6.82	0.68	I	I	419	42	58	I
70.1     0.16     1.66     0.3     1.63     1.64     1.65     1.64     1.66     1.65     1.64 <th1< td=""><td>71.</td><td>78 (</td><td>0.18</td><td>11.85</td><td>2.52</td><td>0.40</td><td>1.88</td><td>2.64</td><td>2.10</td><td>93.49</td><td>6.29</td><td>0.62</td><td>188</td><td>41</td><td>399</td><td>40</td><td>57</td><td>I</td></th1<>	71.	78 (	0.18	11.85	2.52	0.40	1.88	2.64	2.10	93.49	6.29	0.62	188	41	399	40	57	I
11-4     0.20     1.37     0.30     1.37     0.403     5.35     0.35 <t< td=""><td>70.</td><td>21 (</td><td>0.26</td><td>12.69</td><td>2.69</td><td>0.35</td><td>2.23</td><td>2.93</td><td>1.65</td><td>93.42</td><td>7.01</td><td>0.70</td><td>186</td><td>54</td><td>60</td><td>6</td><td>59</td><td>I</td></t<>	70.	21 (	0.26	12.69	2.69	0.35	2.23	2.93	1.65	93.42	7.01	0.70	186	54	60	6	59	I
7249     012     1130     233     011     145     304     145     546     546     58     54     58     54     58     54     58     54     58	71.	54 (	0.20	11.79	2.37	0.39	1.73	3.00	2.13	94.03	5.58	0.56	6	6	192	19	57	I
703     015     1.88     2.66     0.39     1.84     2.01     2.05     7.11     0.71     3.7     1.4     1.75     1.8     5.8     -       714     0.18     1.86     3.13     0.46     1.90     2.70     2.08     9.378     6.44     0.62     33     156     16     7     7     7     7       70.1     0.18     1.86     1.90     2.70     2.08     9.349     6.48     0.65     102     33     16     7	72.	49 (	0.22	11.90	2.53	0.11	1.87	3.04	1.98	94.68	5.26	0.53	294	41	458	46	58	I
7114     0.18     11.86     3.13     0.46     1.90     2.70     2.87     6.44     0.62     6.67     3.3     156     16     57     1       70.23     0.21     12.34     2.92     0.38     2.33     3.06     1.81     93.49     6.48     0.65     102     2.7     3.44     59     -       70.23     0.21     1.92     3.30     1.97     9.347     0.48     0.65     102     2.7     3.44     59     - <td>70.</td> <td>) 68</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>11.88</td> <td>2.66</td> <td>0.39</td> <td>1.84</td> <td>2.91</td> <td>2.06</td> <td>92.95</td> <td>7.11</td> <td>0.71</td> <td>37</td> <td>14</td> <td>175</td> <td>18</td> <td>58</td> <td>I</td>	70.	) 68	0.15	11.88	2.66	0.39	1.84	2.91	2.06	92.95	7.11	0.71	37	14	175	18	58	I
70.2     0.21     12.34     2.92     0.38     2.33     3.06     181     93.49     6.48     0.65     102     27     344     34     34     59     -       70.69     0.22     12.02     3.10     0.36     1.92     3.07     1.97     93.47     7.44     0.74     128     38     243     24     58     -       70.78     0.24     1.212     2.34     0.36     1.97     7.44     0.74     128     38     243     24     58     -     -       70.78     0.24     1.212     2.34     0.36     1.34     58     657     0.66     87     26     468     47     58     -       7107     0.17     1.189     2.78     0.45     5.82     0.58     0.58     0.58     243     26     46     74     58     -     -     -     -     16     17     58     17     58     17     58     16     18     18 <td>71.</td> <td>14 (</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>11.86</td> <td>3.13</td> <td>0.46</td> <td>1.90</td> <td>2.70</td> <td>2.08</td> <td>93.78</td> <td>6.24</td> <td>0.62</td> <td>67</td> <td>33</td> <td>156</td> <td>16</td> <td>57</td> <td>I</td>	71.	14 (	0.18	11.86	3.13	0.46	1.90	2.70	2.08	93.78	6.24	0.62	67	33	156	16	57	I
70.69     0.22     12.02     3.10     0.36     1.92     3.07     1.97     93.47     7.44     0.74     128     38     243     24     58     -       70.78     0.24     12.12     2.84     0.36     1.97     93.43     6.57     0.66     87     26     468     47     58     -       71.07     0.17     11.89     2.78     0.36     93.43     6.57     0.66     87     26     468     47     58     -       71.07     0.17     11.89     2.78     0.425     5.82     0.58     121     23     509     51     58     -       69.31     0.22     11.99     3.48     0.81     2.03     29.23     0.58     5.82     0.58     121     23     509     51     58     -     -     -     -     -     -     158     -     158     -     158     -     158     158     158     158     158     158 <t< td=""><td>70.</td><td>23 (</td><td>0.21</td><td>12.34</td><td>2.92</td><td>0.38</td><td>2.33</td><td>3.06</td><td>1.81</td><td>93.49</td><td>6.48</td><td>0.65</td><td>102</td><td>27</td><td>344</td><td>34</td><td>59</td><td>I</td></t<>	70.	23 (	0.21	12.34	2.92	0.38	2.33	3.06	1.81	93.49	6.48	0.65	102	27	344	34	59	I
70.78   0.24   12.12   2.84   0.36   2.93   2.00   93.43   6.57   0.66   87   26   468   47   58   -     71.07   0.17   11.89   2.78   0.45   5.82   0.58   121   23   509   51   58   -     69.31   0.22   11.99   3.48   0.81   2.03   94.25   5.82   0.58   121   23   509   51   58   -     69.31   0.22   11.99   3.48   0.81   2.01   2.53   20.58   121   23   509   51   58   -	70.	) 69	0.22	12.02	3.10	0.36	1.92	3.07	1.97	93.47	7.44	0.74	128	38	243	24	58	I
71.07 0.17 11.89 2.78 0.48 1.86 2.77 2.23 94.25 5.82 0.58 121 23 509 51 58 -   69.31 0.22 11.99 3.48 0.81 2.01 2.53 20.30 - - - - 216 22 58 -	70.	78 (	0.24	12.12	2.84	0.36	2.17	2.93	2.00	93.43	6.57	0.66	87	26	468	47	58	I
69.31     0.22     11.99     3.48     0.81     2.01     2.53     2.08     92.30     -     -     216     22     58     -	71.	02	0.17	11.89	2.78	0.48	1.86	2.77	2.23	94.25	5.82	0.58	121	23	509	51	58	I
	69.	31 (	0.22	11.99	3.48	0.81	2.01	2.53	2.08	92.30			I	I	216	22	58	I

	Hosts	± En An	57 –		- 52	- 52	- 71	- 54	- 51	- 51	- 55	- 57	- 49	- 52	- 52	- 53	on the following page)
		) <sub>2</sub> Error	42			94	39	10	17	∞	11	6	10	11	15	~	(Continued
		Glass CC (ppm)	422		I	937	385	101	174	76	111	59	104	111	147	69	
	lements	Error±	42		251	226	156	131	136	196	318	247	200	157	213	245	_
l	Volatile e	Bubble CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	122		664	571	588	341	439	726	1434	737	665	440	745	777	_
S		Error±	0.68		1	0.53	0.54	0.57	0.37	0.48	0.59	0.51	0.63	0.61	0.58	0.50	_
composition		H <sub>2</sub> O (wt%)	6.81		I	5.30	5.35	5.73	3.71	4.81	5.88	5.05	6.26	6.06	5.82	4.96	
clusion		Total	96.63		94.02	94.05	93.22	94.46	95.70	95.05	95.31	93.67	94.13	94.27	95.73	95.38	
Melt in		K <sub>2</sub> O	1.92		2.38	2.16	2.04	2.10	2.23	2.21	2.20	2.29	2.19	2.19	2.21	2.19	_
		Na <sub>2</sub> O	2.93		3.36	3.23	3.34	3.46	3.57	3.29	3.06	3.18	3.27	3.29	3.92	3.55	_
	ts (wt%)	CaO	1.83		1.79	2.07	1.90	2.19	1.66	1.71	1.67	1.67	1.87	1.91	1.92	1.82	_
	elemen	MgO	0.80		0.36	0.31	0.27	0.27	0.33	0.27	0.32	0.30	0.38	0.28	0.30	0.25	_
	Major	FeO	3.61		1.84	1.78	1.48	1.55	1.65	1.54	1.58	1.59	1.95	1.38	1.42	1.41	_
		Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	11.86	suoi	12.31	12.94	12.67	12.84	12.45	12.40	12.43	12.32	12.70	12.54	12.91	12.14	_
		TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.22	elt Inclus	0.21	0.19	0.23	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.17	0.17	0.15	_
		SiO <sub>2</sub>	70.06	osted Me	71.78	71.37	70.98	71.70	73.48	72.84	73.36	71.84	71.54	72.50	72.04	73.87	_
	Sample		SHV_P5_OPX_ 020	Plagioclase-H	SHV_P1_ PLAG_014_MI1	SHV_P1_ PLAG_014_M12	SHV_P1_ PLAG_015_M11	SHV_P1_ PLAG_016_M11	SHV_P1_ PLAG_017_MI6	SHV_P1_ PLAG_020_M12	SHV_P1_ PLAG_024_MI2	SHV_P1_ PLAG_024_MI3	SHV_P1_ PLAG_028_MI3	SHV_P1_ PLAG_030_MI1	SHV_P1_ PLAG_032_MI1	SHV_P1_ PLAG_032_M12	

	osts	An	57	55	52	51	61	52	50	49	53	50	52	54	50	56	ing page)
	H	En	I	1	I	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	Ι	I			1	the follow
		Error±	10	23	8	10	∞	3	1	ъ	9	12	8	~	9	8	Continued on
		Glass CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	102	230	82	104	75	30	13	45	64	116	84	71	57	81	
	ements	Error±	383	248	204	266	366	216	277	364	219	289	733	327	296	353	
	Volatile ele	Bubble CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	1305	1127	451	567	1339	666	118	151	467	1451	3145	829	1149	1249	
		Error±	0.61	0.56	0.57	0.63	0.37	0.46	0.35	0.47	0.39	0.46	0.48	0.39	0.49	0.49	
compositions		H <sub>2</sub> O (wt%)	6.13	5.58	5.65	6.25	3.65	4.55	3.50	4.69	3.88	4.62	4.78	3.85	4.88	4.94	
clusion o		Total	93.86	94.50	95.10	94.30	96.41	94.34	96.42	94.38	94.52	95.31	94.15	96.91	94.79	94.34	
Melt ind		K <sub>2</sub> O	2.29	2.30	2.23	2.18	2.47	2.46	2.34	2.27	2.11	2.19	2.68	2.24	2.12	2.13	
		Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.29	3.37	3.18	3.17	3.70	3.72	4.06	3.82	3.84	3.86	3.89	4.06	3.95	3.85	
	s (wt%)	CaO	1.73	1.63	1.64	1.88	1.50	1.81	1.64	1.98	1.93	2.19	1.89	1.74	2.24	2.20	
	lement	MgO	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.35	0.31	0.26	0.27	0.22	0.27	0.18	0.28	0.24	0.27	
	Major e	FeO	1.74	1.59	1.63	1.75	1.67	1.84	1.30	1.67	1.29	1.59	1.36	1.52	1.44	1.44	
		Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	12.80	12.08	11.89	12.31	12.06	12.83	12.45	12.91	12.76	13.23	13.41	12.70	13.34	13.40	
		TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.19	0.15	0.21	0.18	0.19	0.23	0.19	0.24	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.23	0.22	
		SiO <sub>2</sub>	71.37	73.08	74.04	72.24	74.48	70.99	74.18	70.74	71.99	71.79	70.57	74.15	71.23	70.83	
	Sample		SHV_P1_ PLAG_036_MI3	SHV_P1_ PLAG_037_M12	SHV_P1_ PLAG_040	SHV_P1_ PLAG_048_MI1	SHV_P2_ PLAG_010_M11	SHV_P2_ PLAG_011	SHV_P2_ PLAG_013_M11	SHV_P2_ PLAG_015_MI3	SHV_P2_ PLAG_017	SHV_P2_ PLAG_025	SHV_P2_ PLAG_029	SHV_P2_ PLAG_030_M11	SHV_P2_ PLAG_031_MI1	SHV_P2_ PLAG_031_MI2	

ed	
ü	
eas	
Ĕ	
re L	
sa	
as	
Ъ	
he	
h L	
2	
8	
ð	
an	
0	
Ŧ	
Ŕ	
Σ	
Ш	
/ia	
ó	
Le	
SL	
.e	
5	
are	
%	
٨t	
.⊆	
0	H
id	47
õ	è
nt	ę.
ne	S
ler	Š
e e	1
ē	ŝ
ž	es
ö	ISS
аŬ	S
20	ца
>	<u>ē</u> .
lls	sat
Ξ	ij
r.	sta
riè	Ň
'n	ž
S	e
o	E
5	rap
5	ŗ
S.	Ŧ
se	S
Å	9
s	ž
ő	ers
act	ēf
S	ົ
jo	Ш Д
us	
JC.	g
tir	SC
Je	5
7	Ū,
Ë	w.
	spe
ē	nn spe
ty-eig	man spe
enty-eig	Raman spe
eventy-eig	ia Raman spe
r seventy-eig	d via Raman spe
for seventy-eig	red via Raman spe
ns for seventy-eig	sured via Raman spe
tions for seventy-eig	easured via Raman spe
sitions for seventy-eig	measured via Raman spe
positions for seventy-eig	is measured via Raman spe
ompositions for seventy-eig	ble is measured via Raman spe
Compositions for seventy-eig	ubble is measured via Raman spe
d) Compositions for seventy-eig	bubble is measured via Raman spe
ued) Compositions for seventy-eig	he bubble is measured via Raman spe
tinued) Compositions for seventy-eig	n the bubble is measured via Raman spe
ontinued) Compositions for seventy-eig	in the bubble is measured via Raman spe، in the bubble is measured via Raman spe
(Continued) Compositions for seventy-eig	$CO_2$ in the bubble is measured via Raman spe
4 (Continued) Compositions for seventy-eig	S. CO <sub>2</sub> in the bubble is measured via Raman spe
E 4 (Continued) Compositions for seventy-eig	IMS. $CO_2$ in the bubble is measured via Raman spe
VBLE 4 (Continued) Compositions for seventy-eig	r SIMS. CO <sub>2</sub> in the bubble is measured via Raman spe

	osts	An	55	50	52	53	49	51	55	57	52	52	I	46	50	ring page)
	Ť	En	I	1	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	Ι	I	I	Ι	the follow
		Error±	4	ŝ	4	I	ŝ	I	ŝ	ŝ	4	ŝ	I	ŝ	ŝ	Continued on
		Glass CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	40	34	43	I	32	I	32	30	37	25	I	29	31	5)
	ements	Error±	357	557	191	557	770	258	151	1372	503	291	I	541	691	
	Volatile el	Bubble CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	977	2016	328	1795	1152	415	412	3767	1026	567	I	2136	3313	
		Error±	0.40	0.32	0.53	0.31	0.34	0.29	0.34	0.46	0.29	0.38	0.25	0.25	0.30	
compositions		H <sub>2</sub> O (wt%)	3.98	3.24	5.26	3.07	3.40	2.85	3.38	4.58	2.89	3.82	2.48	2.47	2.97	
clusion o		Total	95.74	98.08	95.05	97.85	96.26	97.25	97.26	94.54	98.30	95.54	I	97.37	97.38	
delt ind		K <sub>2</sub> O	2.32	2.50	2.38	2.49	2.32	2.38	2.42	2.26	2.52	2.44		2.84	2.80	
		Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.87	3.94	4.09	4.18	3.65	4.30	3.73	3.36	4.35	4.00	I	4.26	4.71	
	:s (wt%)	CaO	1.66	1.45	2.32	1.60	1.19	1.45	1.15	1.29	1.40	1.32	I	1.13	1.34	
	lement	MgO	0.38	0.31	0.22	0.28	0.29	0.23	0.23	0.35	0.35	0.33	I	0.18	0.21	
	Major e	FeO	1.87	1.70	1.55	1.52	1.48	1.62	1.48	1.65	1.87	1.83	I	1.37	1.27	
		Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	12.68	11.95	13.51	12.78	11.68	12.55	11.51	11.63	12.06	12.78	I	12.29	12.78	
		TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.22	0.23	0.46	0.21	0.17	0.21	0.25	0.21	0.24	0.24	I	0.18	0.20	
		SiO <sub>2</sub>	72.44	75.37	70.36	74.06	75.15	74.51	76.48	73.66	75.31	72.14	I	74.39	74.07	
	Sample		SHV_P2_ PLAG_032_MI1	SHV_P2_ PLAG_038_MI2	SHV_P2_ PLAG_039	SHV_P2_ PLAG_049	SHV_P4_ PLAG_002_MI2	SHV_P4_ PLAG_004	SHV_P4_ PLAG_006_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_008	SHV_P4_ PLAG_009	SHV_P4_ PLAG_018_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_019_MI2	SHV_P4_ PLAG_024_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_024_MI2	

TABLE 4 (*Continued*) Compositions for seventy-eight metit inclusions across Phases 1 - 5 for Soufrière Hills Volcano. Major element oxides in wt% are measured via EPMA. H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in the glass are measured by SIMS. CO<sub>2</sub> in the bubble is measured via Raman spectroscopy. PEC refers to post-entrapment crystallisation as assessed in Section 4.2.1.

	sts	An	49	49	48	50	52	51	53	53	55	61	62	ng page)
	Н	En		I	I	I	I	I		I	I	I	I	ne followi
I		Error±	ĉ	I	I	9	ſŰ	4	ŝ	4	2	I	ŝ	Continued on t
l		Glass CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	31	I	I	63	47	37	32	44	19	I	28	0)
l	ements	Error±	197		421	468	I	I	519	312	305	304	247	
l	Volatile el	Bubble CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	647	I	1456	2139	I	I	2653	1465	641	881	670	
		Error±	0.33	0.29		0.28	0.31	0.32	0.30	0.35	0.35		0.37	
ompositions		H <sub>2</sub> O (wt%)	3.26	2.93	I	2.81	3.10	3.24	2.96	3.46	3.51	I	3.69	
lusion o		Total	97.39	97.02	98.68	97.79	97.19	97.64	97.86	95.95	96.96	99.47	97.02	
Aelt ind		K <sub>2</sub> O	2.45	2.50	2.50	2.43	2.40	2.34	2.45	2.28	2.63	2.58	2.52	
		Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.89	3.59	4.04	4.46	4.06	4.14	4.25	3.99	3.51	4.35	3.60	
	s (wt%)	CaO	1.21	1.04	1.15	1.30	1.39	1.40	1.46	1.55	1.21	1.40	1.23	
2	element	MgO	0.30	0.25	0.22	0.31	0.27	0.30	0.36	0.36	0.15	0.41	0.34	
	Major e	FeO	1.42	1.38	1.38	1.46	1.54	1.71	1.94	1.91	1.25	2.03	1.75	
		Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	11.51	11.24	11.04	11.99	12.16	12.07	11.84	12.23	11.49	12.19	11.91	
		TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.18	0.15	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.28	0.29	
		SiO <sub>2</sub>	76.21	76.59	78.15	75.66	75.16	75.50	74.49	73.38	76.00	75.30	74.93	
	Sample		SHV_P4_ PLAG_026_M12	SHV_P4_ PLAG_026_MI3	SHV_P4_ PLAG_028_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_035_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_035_MI4	SHV_P4_ PLAG_035_MI5	SHV_P4_ PLAG_038_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_040	SHV_P4_ PLAG_041_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_043_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_044_MI2	

	sts	An	49	49	54	55	55	52	48	50	48	55	51	51	53	68
	Н	En	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	Ι
		Error±	ŝ	4	113	10	84	13	15	21	21	10	15	44	124	23
		Glass CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	30	40	1126	95	835	128	147	211	206	96	152	442	1243	233
	ements	Error±	279	363	257	219	200	97	163	I	691	241	260	178	417	304
	Volatile ele	Bubble CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	545	1517	884	804	487	268	467	I	2347	720	1421	381	1384	800
		Error±	0.28	0.42	0.64	0.57	0.62	0.62	0.59	0.49	0.50	0.58	0.60	0.53	0.58	0.46
ompositions		H <sub>2</sub> O (wt%)	2.75	4.20	6.39	5.66	6.18	6.18	5.87	4.87	4.97	5.80	5.98	5.33	5.82	4.55
clusion c		Total	97.72	96.83	93.94	95.53	94.52	95.10	95.06	95.17	95.75	94.25	94.28	95.30	95.29	96.28
delt in		K <sub>2</sub> O	2.72	2.37	2.19	2.13	2.11	2.17	2.14	2.22	2.20	2.28	2.23	2.27	2.18	2.31
		$Na_2O$	3.99	3.70	3.32	3.35	3.53	3.35	3.51	3.52	3.27	3.37	3.37	3.51	3.55	3.35
	; (wt%)	CaO	1.06	1.45	2.26	2.07	2.01	1.92	1.86	1.64	1.69	1.66	2.16	1.65	1.90	1.64
	elements	MgO	0.28	0.24	0.34	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.32	0.30	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.34
	Major (	FeO	1.45	1.47	1.81	1.43	1.37	1.57	1.48	1.49	1.57	1.69	1.61	1.55	1.71	1.72
		Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	11.74	11.77	13.07	12.53	12.87	12.68	12.66	12.68	11.77	12.27	12.83	12.63	12.91	11.76
		TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.10	0.20	0.24	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.10	0.14	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.24	0.28
		SiO <sub>2</sub>	76.39	75.63	70.71	73.25	72.20	71.96	72.71	73.16	74.54	72.45	71.58	73.25	72.48	74.89
	Sample		SHV_P4_ PLAG_045_MI1	SHV_P4_ PLAG_047_MI2	SHV_P5_ PLAG_002_M14	SHV_P5_ PLAG_008_MI1	SHV_P5_ PLAG_009_MI2	SHV_P5_ PLAG_016_M11	SHV_P5_ PLAG_016_MI3	SHV_P5_ PLAG_016_M16	SHV_P5_ PLAG_024_MI2	SHV_P5_ PLAG_025_MI1	SHV_P5_ PLAG_034_MI2	SHV_P5_ PLAG_037_MI1	SHV_P5_ PLAG_042_MI2	SHV_P5_ PLAG_043_MI3

been reported to be 5%–12% for a range of volcanic systems (e.g., Hartley et al., 2014; Aster et al., 2016; Hanyu et al., 2020), and we adopt the lower end of 5% bubble volume to distinguish bubbles that nucleated and grew post-entrapment, in comparison to those trapped with the melt.

Previous studies combining  $CO_2$  analysis in vapour bubbles and their host melt inclusions have shown that up to 90% of  $CO_2$  can be sequestered to bubbles in the form of vapour (Hartley et al., 2014; Moore et al., 2015; Venugopal et al., 2020). However,  $CO_2$ can exist in its liquid form and also as carbonates that can store up to 50% of  $CO_2$  in the bubble. This is also true for sulphur-bearing minerals precipitated on bubble walls, which can store up to 60% of sulphur originally trapped in an inclusion (Esposito et al., 2016; Schiavi et al., 2020), and H<sub>2</sub>O of which up to 16% can be sequestered (Esposito et al., 2016). Overall, melt inclusion bubbles have the ability to not only store large amount of  $CO_2$  and S, but also H<sub>2</sub>O and major and minor elements that constitute carbonates, sulphates, sulphides, halides and other minerals such as Na, Ca, Mg, Fe or Cu (Schiavi et al., 2020; Venugopal et al., 2020).

The concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestered to bubbles postentrapment is calculated by mass-balance equations (Moore and Bodnar, 2019), taking into consideration: 1) the volume fraction of the melt inclusion that is constituted by the bubble, and 2) the density of CO<sub>2</sub> measured by Raman spectroscopy (Supplementary Data Sheet). Total inclusion and bubble volumes were estimated from photomicrographs, assuming a spherical shape for bubbles, an ellipsoid shape for orthopyroxene hosted inclusions and cuboidal shapes for inclusions hosted in plagioclase, based on their 2D appearances from a polished surface (Figure 4). The two perpendicular axes were measured using a Leica DM4500 P LED microscope on the Leica Application Suite software, and the third unseen axis was calculated using the arithmetic mean of the measured axes. This method is associated with an average 5% error, but a 1σ error of -48% to 37% (Tucker et al., 2019). Uncertainty in bubble sizes was  $\pm 2 \mu m$ , w which yielded bubble volume uncertainties of 6%-24%. (Mean 11%; Supplementary Data Sheet). Density calculations were undertaken by firstly processing the Raman spectra of individual bubbles using the WiRE<sup>™</sup> 4.4 spectral analysis software. After baselines were applied to each spectrum with >500 counts using a polynomial curve, the Fermi diad was truncated at 1200 and 1500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Each peak was fitted with a mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian curve, and the Fermi diad split was calculated as the difference between the centres (in wave number) of the two peaks. In the absence of a CO<sub>2</sub> densimetry curve specifically calibrated for the instrument used, the experimental equation of Lamadrid et al. (2017) was adopted to calculate CO<sub>2</sub> density. Fermi diad peaks with counts <500 or asymmetrical peaks to which curves could not be readily fitted were not used to quantify CO<sub>2</sub>.

## 4.2.1.3 H<sub>2</sub>O loss

Loss of  $H_2O$  in melt inclusions was assessed from  $H_2O$  vs.  $K_2O$ , which shows no significant variation of  $H_2O$  at a given  $K_2O$  (Figure 7), and thereby indicates no significant  $H_2O$  loss in SHV melt inclusions.

### 4.2.2 Major element compositions

The major element composition of seventy-seven SHV melt inclusions across phases 1, 2, 4, and 5 is plotted against  $K_2O$  as a

representation of magma differentiation (Figure 5). There are trends in the overall dataset, and the dataset can also be divided into distinct groups, where the compositions differ based on Phase and host mineral. Overall, SiO<sub>2</sub> ranges from 69.63–78.15 wt% with total alkalis of 4.48–7.51 wt% across K<sub>2</sub>O 1.61–2.84 wt%, classifying the inclusions as dacite and rhyolite based on total alkali vs. silica (Figure 2; Le Bas et al., 1986). Inclusions hosted in orthopyroxene are less evolved than those hosted in plagioclase, and inclusions are all more evolved than whole rock compositions. However, they overlap with groundmass glass compositions of 69.85–80.03 wt% for Phases 1–3 (Edmonds et al., 2002; Harford et al., 2003; Buckley et al., 2006; Humphreys et al., 2010). Increasing trends with differentiation are seen in SiO<sub>2</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>O vs. K<sub>2</sub>O, while decreasing trends occur in CaO vs. K<sub>2</sub>O. Trends remain relatively constant for TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FeO and MgO vs. K<sub>2</sub>O.

In Phase 1, SiO<sub>2</sub> ranges from 70.06–74.04 wt%, and is one of the least evolved Phases. Major element data exist only for plagioclase hosted inclusions in Phase 2. This group generally overlaps with Phases 1 and 5 with SiO<sub>2</sub> of 70.36–75.37 wt%. Phase 4 stands out as the most evolved group, with SiO<sub>2</sub> ranging from 72.14–78.15 wt% in plagioclase hosted inclusions. Inclusions in Phase 5 are similar to those of Phase 1, with 69.63–74.89 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub>. Overall, there is a trend of increasingly evolved melt compositions through Phases 1–4, before compositions revert to lower SiO<sub>2</sub> contents during Phase 5. Similar temporal evolution–with a distinction between the Phase 1-4 trend and Phase 5 – is also identified in CaO and Na<sub>2</sub>O (Figure 5).

## 4.3 Volatile element compositions

#### 4.3.1 Melt inclusion glass

SHV melt inclusions are rich in volatiles, with  $H_2O$  in the glass of seventy-five orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions ranging from 5.38–7.74 wt%, and 2.47–6.40 wt% in plagioclase-hosted inclusions (Figure 7A) throughout the eruption. Melt inclusion  $H_2O$  values across all Phases are similar to those recorded for Phase 1 and 2 plagioclase- and quartz-hosted inclusions (1.20–6.86 wt%, reported by Barclay et al., 1998; Mann et al., 2013), and are also similar to values reported by Humphreys et al. (2009) and Edmonds et al. (2014) where  $H_2O$  in plagioclaseand orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions measured 0.03–6.40 wt% for Phase 3 samples. Values from this study are also roughly similar to  $H_2O$  measured in orthopyroxene cores (6-9 wt%) for Phase 3 data of Edmonds et al., 2016.

 $H_2O$  across the eruption exhibits a decreasing trend with  $K_2O$ . This trend is also apparent in individual Phase groups, where plagioclase-hosted inclusions indicate degassing associated with crystallisation, except in Phase 1, where most of the data fall between a very restricted  $K_2O$  range, but are consistent with the overall degassing trend. Separating the dataset into Phase groups illustrates not only the degassing trend in the Phases, but also the difference in  $H_2O$  content as the eruption progresses. Similar to major elements, there is a decrease in  $H_2O$  contents from Phase 1, at the beginning of the eruption, to Phase 4, with a return to high  $H_2O$  in Phase 5 (Figure 7A). Based on their relationship with  $K_2O$ , the conclusion is made that SHV inclusions experienced at most negligible  $H_2O$  loss, as inclusions do not fall out of trend at a given  $K_2O$ .



Examples of melt inclusions adopting different shapes. (A) SHV\_P1\_PLAG\_010 is a plagioclase hosted inclusion taking the 2D shape of a rectangle, assumed to be cuboidal in 3D. (B) SHV\_P5\_OPX\_006\_MI1 is an orthopyroxene hosted inclusion taking the 2D shape of an oval, assumed to be ellipsoidal in 3D.

This distinction in Phase groups is however not seen with melt inclusion glass  $CO_2$  concentrations, where  $CO_2$  across the eruption does not trend with  $K_2O$  except for Phase 2, and are typically <1000 ppm, with 67 of 69 inclusions containing 13–937 ppm, while two inclusions which contain 1126 (SHV\_P5\_PLAG\_002\_MI4) and 1243 (SHV\_P5\_PLAG\_042\_MI2) ppm.

#### 4.3.2 Melt inclusion bubble

Bubbles at SHV contain  $CO_2$  vapour along with solid phases crystallised on the bubble wall, identified via their main and other vibrations (Frezzotti et al., 2012; Figure 6). Solids are in the form of sulphates and are normally anhydrite and gypsum. No carbonates were observed.

Two groups can be identified from a total of 577 bubbles analysed by Raman spectroscopy. Group A consists of 91% of the bubble population, and are described as displaying a Fermi diad. Group B accounts for 9% of the bubble population, and their Raman spectra lack observable Fermi diads, indicating no CO<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> with very low densities. The 523 bubbles displaying Fermi diads have a  $CO_2$  density range from 0.001 to 0.22 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, with an upper limit of 0.13 (Figure 8B) for those with glass analysed via SIMS. Overall, bubble CO<sub>2</sub> density exists below the critical density of CO<sub>2</sub> (0.468 g cm<sup>-3</sup>; Moldover, 1974), therefore, CO<sub>2</sub> exists solely as vapour and is not underestimated due to the presence of aqueous CO<sub>2</sub>. In order to correct the total melt inclusion CO<sub>2</sub> to account for the bubble-hosted component, we take the mass of  $CO_2$  in the bubble and add it back into the entire mass of glass in the MI and must thus account for their relative volumes. The contribution of the bubble-hosted  $CO_2$  to the total inclusion  $CO_2$  is based on the mass ratio between the bubble and the glass, and is therefore given by Equation 1:

$$[CO_2]_{bubble} = \frac{\rho_{CO_2} V_{bubble}}{\rho_{glass} V_{glass}} x \, 10^6 \tag{1}$$

where  $[CO_2]_{bubble}$  is the amount that the concentration of CO2 in the entire inclusion will be corrected when  $CO_2$  in the bubble is accounted for.  $CO_2$  density, volume of the bubble(s) and volume of the total inclusion are calculated according to Section 4.2.1,

and glass density is calculated for individual inclusions using DensityX (Iacovino and Till, 2019). Important sources of errors in calculating the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> that is contributed from the bubble are the estimation of bubble and inclusion volumes. For bubbles in the range 3-6 µm (majority orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions), volume errors are as large as 24%. However, the contribution of CO<sub>2</sub> from the bubble to total CO<sub>2</sub> in these inclusions are small in comparison to the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the glass, and therefore the total error is small (~11%). For bubbles over 6 µm, errors on volume calculations are 5%-10%. Additionally, large errors are introduced in calculation of the CO<sub>2</sub> density, especially at low densities (Supplementary Data Sheet), calculated using the densimeter equation of Lamadrid et al. (2017) in the absence of a calibration curve specific to the Raman spectrometer used. Overall, errors on the calculation of total CO2 amount to ~22%. Based on (i) CO<sub>2</sub> existing solely as vapour in the bubble(s), and (ii) carbonates being absent in the bubble, the contribution of CO<sub>2</sub> from the bubble of seventy-two inclusions for which there are SIMS and EPMA data ranges from 9 to 3767 ppm. However, for further calculations and models, we use bubbles that do not show signs of heterogeneous entrapment (bubbles <5% of total inclusion volume). The contribution of  $CO_2$  from these bubbles to the total inclusion is 9-3145 ppm.

## 4.3.3 Total CO<sub>2</sub>

The summation of  $CO_2$  in the glass and  $CO_2$  in the bubble (5% threshold applied) gives a total  $CO_2$  budget of melt inclusions of 131–3230 ppm, with an average of 1006 ± 684 ppm at SHV (Figure 7C), the highest for the Northern Group islands where maximum  $CO_2$  is 720 ppm for St Kitts (Melekhova et al., 2017), and is most comparable with islands in the Central Group where  $CO_2$  values extend up to 1507 and 4012 ppm for bubble-free melt inclusions from Guadeloupe and Dominica respectively (Balcone-Boissard et al., 2018; d'Augustin et al., 2020; Metcalfe et al., 2022).

While the bubble comprised  $\leq 5\%$  of total inclusion volume, the percentage of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestered to the bubble amount to 5%–76% for inclusions hosted in orthopyroxene, and 37%–99% for those hosted in plagioclase (Figure 8A), in agreement with published data





FIGURE 6

Raman spectra of gaseous and solid phases found in Soufrière Hills bubbles. (A) Raman spectra of bubble from SHV\_P2\_OPX\_001\_MI1 displaying Fermi diad indicating the presence of  $CO_2$ . (B) Raman spectra of the bubble of plagioclase-hosted inclusion 'SHV\_P1\_PLAG\_030\_MI1' showing Fermi diad. (C) Raman spectra of bubble in SHV\_P5\_OPX\_002\_MI1 showing presence of sulphate (anhydrite) crystals on bubble wall with peaks at 430, 500, 611, 629, 676, 1018, and 1131 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



on  $CO_2$  loss to the bubble (e.g., Hartley et al., 2014; Wallace et al., 2015; Moore and Bodnar, 2019; Venugopal et al., 2020). It is noted that orthopyroxene-hosted melt inclusions trapped less evolved and less differentiated magma and therefore contain more  $H_2O$  than the plagioclase-hosted melt inclusions. Following this trend, it is expected that these inclusions should also contain higher  $CO_2$  contents, but this is not the case, and we are unable to adequately explain this phenomenon.

 $H_2O$  and total  $CO_2$  do not show simple open- or closed-system degassing trends overall or when separated by Phases (Figure 7B). However,  $CO_2$  during Phase 1 appears 1082–1685 ppm lower than Phases 2, 4, and 5. The case can be made for  $CO_2$  degassing in each Phase as large variations in  $CO_2$  exist at relatively constant  $H_2O$  while being hosted in phenocrysts with similar enstatite and anorthite contents. Due to its low solubility,  $CO_2$  begins to exsolve at high pressures and is the first volatile species to be degassed (Best, 2013).

## **5** Discussion

## 5.1 Magma storage conditions

The compositions (corrected for PEC) of major and volatile elements are applied to models to facilitate connection between melt inclusion data and crystallisation temperatures, magma storage depths, degassing systematics and magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> budgets.

#### 5.1.1 Geothermometry

The temperatures calculated represent magmatic temperatures at the time of melt inclusion trapping, and account for PEC. For orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions from Phase 1 and Phase 5, the temperature calculation was based on orthopyroxene-liquid equilibria using Equation 28a of Putirka, (2008). Temperatures from the two Phases are not highly varied, with a total range of 880°C-916°C, and a standard error of estimate (SEE) of 28°C. Phase 1 and 5 results are not significantly different, being 880°C-898°C for Phase 1 (three melt inclusions) and 884°C-916°C for eight Phase 5 inclusions. Plagioclase-liquid equilibria are applied to fifty-two plagioclase-hosted inclusions where H2O was measured via SIMS, to reduce overestimation of temperature due to its strong dependence on H<sub>2</sub>O. Applying Equation 24a of Putirka, (2008) yields a narrow temperature range across Phases, with temperatures ranging from 828°C to 893°C and SEE of 36°C. However, the plagioclase melt inclusion data do reveal a significant difference in temperature between Phases, where Phase 2 inclusions yield the hottest temperatures from 875°C to 893°C along with Phase 4 (849-891). Phases 1 and 5 are cooler, with temperatures of 828°C-881°C and 836°C-864°C respectively (Figure 9). While Phase 5 inclusions are more primitive than Phase 2 and 4, based on SiO<sub>2</sub>, FeO and MgO contents (Figure 5), their temperatures are



(A) Percentage of  $CO_2$  contributed by the bubble vs. bubble to inclusion ratio. In orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions, 5%–76% of  $CO_2$  in the total melt inclusion is contributed by the bubble. In plagioclase-hosted inclusions, this value is 37%–99%. Overall, there is a median of 90% contribution from the bubble to the total inclusion  $CO_2$  (B) There is no correlation with  $CO_2$  density as a function of bubble volume percentage. The red line on both plots denote the 5% bubble threshold of homogenous vs. heterogeneous bubble growth.

lower. This may indicate a lack of hotter, more mafic magma input, correlating with evidence from uranium-series disequilibria in mafic enclaves and their hosts that suggest the intrusion of mafic magma had halted by Pause 2 (McGee et al., 2019).

Temperatures calculated in this study are the first temperature estimates for Phases 2, 4 and 5 using melt inclusion data and are similar to those of Phase 1 (812°C–891°C) obtained via geothermometry and experimental phase equilibria (e.g., Barclay et al., 1998; Devine et al., 1998; Murphy et al., 2000).

Constraints on hornblende stability have been used to infer that the andesitic magma was originally cooler ( $\leq$ 840°C), before being reheated by injection of deeper basalt, which elevated the andesite temperature to ~880°C (Barclay et al., 1998; Devine et al., 1998).

## 5.1.2 Saturated pressures and associated depths

The crustal depth and thus pressure at which magma accumulates is a key influence on volcanic system behaviour, including exsolution of volatiles, crystallisation, and mineral growth (Huber et al., 2019). The mush model, whereby melt exists within a continuous crystalline framework (Marsh, 2004; Cashman et al., 2017) has been proposed for arc volcanoes including those of the Lesser Antilles, with storage regions being continuous (Dominica and Kick 'em Jenny) or multileveled (Guadeloupe, Martinique and St Lucia; Metcalfe et al., 2023). Storage depths at SHV, Montserrat have been previously estimated using volatiles in melt inclusion glasses alone (e.g., Edmonds et al., 2014; Edmonds et al., 2016) and are clearly underestimated as bubble-hosted  $CO_2$  was neglected. Recalculation



is now possible with the new whole melt inclusion glass + bubble  $CO_2$  results provided here, providing a better understanding of the plumbing system.

After accounting for PEC and bubble growth, the values of total CO2, H2O, melt inclusion composition, and temperature were input into the H2O-CO2 solubility model MagmaSat (Ghiorso and Gualda, 2015), hosted in VESIcal (Iacovino et al., 2021). MagmaSat permits calculation of saturation pressures for each melt inclusion based on specific composition-relevant pressure-solubility relations, and its usefulness is underpinned by the assumption that the melt trapped within inclusions is representative of the magma storage regions from which the crystals are derived (Cannatelli et al., 2016). Model results indicate that melt inclusion saturation pressures over the duration of eruptions at SHV are highly variable, with plagioclasehosted inclusions yielding entrapment pressures spanning 1000-6800 bars (100-680 MPa). Pressures for orthopyroxenehosted inclusions are more restricted in range, between 1900-3200 bars (190-320 MPa).

To correlate entrapment pressures to depths ( $MI_{depth}$ ), Equation 2 is applied:

$$MI_{depth} = \frac{p}{\rho g}$$
(2)

where  $MI_{depth}$  (m), is based on pressure "*p*" (in Pascals) calculated above, Lesser Antilles crustal density " $\rho$ " of 2660 kgm<sup>-3</sup> (Christeson et al., 2008) and g = 9.81 m<sup>-2</sup>.

Therefore, the pressures derived here indicate that SHV melt inclusions were trapped in orthopyroxenes and plagioclases at upper to mid crustal depths (5.7  $\pm$  0.8 to 17  $\pm$  2.5 km), with four plagioclase-hosted inclusions in the lower crust (20  $\pm$  2.8 to 23  $\pm$  1.3 km), and one deeper inclusion plotting at 26  $\pm$  4.0 km. While plagioclase-hosted inclusions span the entire calculated range, orthopyroxene-hosted inclusions are restricted to 7.4  $\pm$  0.1 to 12  $\pm$  0.3 km, similar to depth estimates from the H<sub>2</sub>O content of enstatites (mean of 10 km, over a total range of 4–16 km; Edmonds et al., 2016).

The depth of magma storage has been linked to 1) the depth of neutral buoyancy where the density of magma and country rock are similar (Hooft and Detrick, 1993), 2) rheological conditions of the crust (Mazzarini et al., 2010), and 3) to the location of preexisting zones of crust weakness (Chaussard and Amelung, 2014). Alternatively, inferred magma storage depths may instead merely reflect the magmatic water concentrations (Rasmussen et al., 2022), where the maximum H<sub>2</sub>O content of SHV magmas correlates to ~13 km depth. However, melt inclusion data at SHV resolve depths greater than 13 km for 20% of inclusions, and are outside of the mafic-intermediate dataset range used in the study. This H<sub>2</sub>O limit does not appear to apply to this volcanic system - perhaps due to the higher silica content of the SHV magma.

Our new melt inclusion H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> data support a vertically extensive transcrustal magmatic system (Figure 9; Figure 10; e.g., Cashman et al., 2017). The wide range of pressures and depths relate to inclusion trapping during crystal growth within a vertically extensive magma storage system that spans 5-17 km, with no distinct gaps in crystallisation depths. The transcrustal model can also explain the wide range of entrapment pressures inferred from H<sub>2</sub>O and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> contents of Phase 3 orthopyroxenes (Edmonds et al., 2016), and 3D modelling of the ground deformation during Pause 5, where best solutions require melt injection into the base of a mush-based reservoir at ~17 km depth (Gottsmann et al., 2020; Alshembari et al., 2024). Vertically extensive mush systems are the proposed magma storage types in arc environments, including the Lesser Antilles (Metcalfe et al., 2023), and are more importantly not uncommon to Montserrat, where melt inclusions from South Soufrière Hills reveal trapping pressures equivalent to 3-17 km depth using vapour-bubble-free melt inclusions hosted in olivine and clinopyroxene (Cassidy et al., 2015a; Cassidy et al., 2015b).

Phase 1 inclusions are evenly spread at 9.7  $\pm$  0.7 to 16  $\pm$  1.3 km, with one outlier at 7.3  $\pm$  0.5 km. In Phase 2, depths span 7.2  $\pm$  1.3 to 16  $\pm$  2.7 km, with one outlier at 26  $\pm$  4.0 km. Phases 4 and 5 also have similar depths to the first two phases at 5.7  $\pm$  0.8 to 17  $\pm$  2.5 and 9.9  $\pm$  0.4 to 17  $\pm$  1.3 km respectively, however, with outliers at 20  $\pm$  1.2 to 23  $\pm$  1.3 km.

Geochemical, geodetic and Fe-oxide data place a lower limit of ~17 km for the SHV magma storage region in both dual and mush reservoir scenarios (Foroozan et al., 2010;



Edmonds et al., 2016; Alshembari et al., 2024), consistent with the inferred entrapment pressure of 58 of the 63 melt inclusions characterised in this study. Thus, the overwhelming majority of the inclusions, which resolve depths <17 km, are taken as representative of a melt undergoing crystallization, degassing, and thus differentiation.

# 5.2 CO<sub>2</sub> budget

The maximum measured  $CO_2$  concentration in melt inclusions glass and bubble, representing the least degassed  $CO_2$  trapped in melt inclusions is compared to estimates of  $CO_2$  degassed through the plume using the petrological method (Devine, et al., 1984), assuming that the concentration of volatiles in melt inclusions represents the concentration of volatiles dissolved in the magma at depth. A total of 4.5 Mt of  $CO_2$  (M<sub>dv</sub>) is calculated to have been contained in SHV magma during Phases 1, 2, 4, and 5 based on Equation 3:

$$M_{dv} = X_{MI} V \rho \tag{3}$$

where  $X_{MI}$  is the maximum concentration of  $CO_2$  measured in melt inclusions (3230 ppm) in the studied Phases, V is the volume of erupted magma (Wadge et al., 2014), and  $\rho$  refers to the magma density of 2400 kg m<sup>-3</sup> Dense Rock Equivalent (Melnik and Sparks, 2002). Phases 1 and 2 held more dissolved  $CO_2$  in their magmas with maxima of 1.2 and 2.6 Mt respectively, exceeding the corresponding figures for Phases 4 and 5 (0.25 and 0.44 Mt respectively). This total of 4.5 Mt of  $CO_2$  dissolved in the magma is however much less than the 7.7–12 Mt total plume-emitted  $CO_2$  estimated by Edmonds et al. (2014) during the period 1995–2009, using an average molar  $CO_2/SO_2$  ratio of 5.1. However, the ratio of 5.1 used in their estimation introduces a large error, as it is derived from 5 days of measurements during Pause 3, and assumes a constant ratio over 14 years of activity. This mismatch of petrological estimates in comparison to direct measurements is often seen in  $SO_2$  fluxes, and is a feature common to arc settings where magmas are more oxidized, and is not generally observed in non-arc magmas (e.g., Sigurdsson, et al., 1990; Westrich and Gerlach, 1992; Gerlach et al., 1994; Blake, 2003; Sharma et al., 2004).

Applying the 5 days of  $CO_2$  measurements (Edmonds et al., 2014) in the plume taken during July 2008 to a shorter time period of Phase 4 (July 2008-January 2009), we calculate that 0.24 Mt was released in the plume over 158 days. Therefore 96% of the  $CO_2$  dissolved in Phase 4 magma was emitted during the eruption. Applying the calculations to Phase 5 (October 2009-January 2010), an average of 2297 tonnes/day of  $CO_2$  emitted from June-November 2010 (Edmonds et al., 2014) amounts to 0.29 Mt or 66% of the  $CO_2$  dissolved in the magma being released over 125 days. While these estimates are termed 'maxima' based on the

maximum  $CO_2$  considered for each Phase, it is noted that masses calculated can be underestimated due to 1) unerupted volumes of magma which are not taken into account, and 2) entrapment of already degassed melt (Moune et al., 2007). The percentage of  $CO_2$ released during Phases 1 and 2 is unable to be estimated due to lack of published  $CO_2$  fluxes.

Original magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> contents can also be estimated using the CO<sub>2</sub> flux derived by combining SO<sub>2</sub> fluxes measured using UV spectrometer and CO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratio acquired via Multisensor Gas Analyser System (MultiGAS) over the 5 days in July 2008 and 15 days during June to October 2009. The highest CO<sub>2</sub> flux reported (Edmonds et al., 2014) of 5494 tonnes/day measured on 1 October 2010 is used to calculate a maximum mass of CO<sub>2</sub> for a period of 125 days during Phase 5, amounting to 0.69 Mt, and magma masses are calculated from reported magma volume estimates and magmatic density of 2400 kgm<sup>-3</sup>. We can therefore use Equation 3 to estimate the required melt inclusion CO2 concentration to match the measured CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This value of 4107 ppm is 877 higher than the initial CO<sub>2</sub> from the least degassed melt inclusions measured across the eruption. This value correlates to a pressure of 8090 bars or 31.0 km depth assuming a H<sub>2</sub>O content of 6.39 wt%, the highest of the Phase 5 inclusions. As a result, we attribute this high CO<sub>2</sub> flux to CO<sub>2</sub> flushing (e.g., Blundy et al., 2010; Caricchi et al., 2018) from degassing deeper in the system, a process that is commonplace in the arc, and occurs in all island groups (Metcalfe et al., 2023). The related depth would extend into the Moho situated ~30 km under Montserrat, based on crustal xenolith petrology and seismic data (Melekhova et al., 2019). Based on fluxes generated during June to October, and compared to Phase 5, a CO2 flux greater than ~1500-1750 tonnes/day cannot be accounted for by the dissolved  $CO_2$  in this batch of magma, and therefore relate to CO<sub>2</sub> flushing from mantle depths.

# 5.3 CO<sub>2</sub> controls on explosivity and implications for the future

Quantification of dissolved magmatic gases as well as their emissions is essential for understanding the influence of volatiles on volcanic system dynamics and the related hazards. The dissolved magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> concentration can, alongside more abundant H<sub>2</sub>O, drive eruptions, dictate eruptive style or impact both in volcanic settings (e.g., Burton et al., 2007; Allard, 2010; Allison et al., 2021). The eruptive style at SHV can be described as transitioning, with both effusive and explosive eruptions occurring during each Phase, producing lava domes and explosions (e.g., Druitt et al., 2002; Edmonds and Herd, 2007; Ryan et al., 2010). However, at SHV, microlite petrology from Phase 3 samples has demonstrated that shallow conduit processes determine eruptive style, with similar decompression pathways within the deeper magmatic system resulting in both lava dome growth and explosions (Murch and Cole, 2019). Nevertheless, melt inclusion analysis conducted in this study shows that while each Phase produced VEI 2-3 events, their SiO<sub>2</sub> content, H<sub>2</sub>O content, CO<sub>2</sub> content, duration, and the number of explosions differ. Particularly, Phase 1 and 5 are similar in silica content, H<sub>2</sub>O and also have similar pressures and depths based on solubility modeling of melt inclusion H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. While these Phases exhibit similar chemistry, their

explosive behaviours have differed, with Phase 5 producing more Vulcanian explosions within a shorter timeframe (125 days) than Phase 1 (846 days). This is likely due to the amount of  $CO_2$  in the system during these Phases as Phase 1 had ~1,000 ppm less  $CO_2$  dissolved within its melt and Phase 5 was influenced by  $CO_2$  flushing. However, due to the limited availability of  $CO_2$  flux data at SHV, it is unclear if  $CO_2$  flushing occurred during either Phases.

The monitoring of volatile release at SHV has primarily focused on SO2 flux (e.g., Young et al., 1998; Carn and Prata, 2010; Nicholson et al., 2013; Christopher et al., 2015), however trends in SO<sub>2</sub> have not correlated with the eruptive Phases, but instead occur on multiyear (~2 years) and multi-week cycles (~50 days and ~5 months), independent of lava extrusion and dome building (Nicholson et al., 2013; Christopher et al., 2015), upon which Phases are determined. We recommend that CO2 should also be routinely monitored as it provides insight into movement of magma in the deep system, and also has the ability to drive eruptions through processes such as CO<sub>2</sub> flushing, which can alter the physical properties of the magma increasing magma volume, leading to overpressure and potentially eruptions (Caricchi et al., 2018). While data are severely limited, instances of CO2 flushing at SHV are evident at the transition between Pause 3 and Phase 4, and ~6 months after the cessation of Phase 5. As the crystallinity of SHV magma, at 30%-45%, is at or beneath the threshold at which magmas reach their rheological locking point (40%-50%), flushing at SHV can potentially trigger eruptions. This further highlights the importance of monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> flux at SHV, especially during the current period of unrest.

# 6 Conclusion

We have provided the most comprehensive study published to date of magmatic carbon in the Soufrière Hills Volcano magmatic system, providing the first measurements to include bubble-hosted CO<sub>2</sub> in melt inclusions and the first pre-eruptive CO<sub>2</sub> measurements for the most recent eruptive phases. H<sub>2</sub>O and total CO<sub>2</sub> contents for melt inclusions hosted in plagioclase from pumice erupted during four phases of eruption are 2.47-6.40 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O and 131-3230 ppm CO2. Those hosted in orthopyroxene are 5.15-7.26 wt% and 199-736 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>. The sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub> to the bubble has proven to be an important post-entrapment modification at SHV, with 5%-99% (median 90%) of CO<sub>2</sub> contained in bubbles and thus excluded in earlier analyses. Our measurements therefore provide unprecedented insight into the magmatic CO2 concentrations within the SHV system and indicate higher concentrations than previous studies. Our volatile solubility-based estimates of magma storage depths (5.7-17 km) indicate that a transcrustal magmatic system was persistent throughout the eruption, consistent with geodetic modelling (Alshembari et al., 2024).

We use melt inclusion compositions to estimate magmatic temperatures at entrapment, based on liquid-mineral pairs. Temperature results (828°C–916°C) are similar to published estimates for Phase 1 (812°C–891°C) and are the first temperature estimates for Phases 2, 4, and 5.

The total amount of  $CO_2$  contained in magma from Phases 1, 2, 4, and 5 is ~4.5 Mt, using the petrological method. We calculate

that 96% of the dissolved  $CO_2$  in Phase 4 magma was released to the atmosphere during Phase 4, and 66% of the dissolved  $CO_2$ in Phase 5 was released. Our results indicate that ~1500–1750 tonnes/day  $CO_2$  can be emitted from the magma, and thus any  $CO_2$  fluxes higher than 1750 tonnes/day are likely the result of  $CO_2$  flushing from deeper in the magmatic system. Pauses 4 and 5 therefore experienced  $CO_2$  flushing, with up to 5494 tonnes/day being released (Edmonds et al., 2014), and are the only Phases with reported  $CO_2$  fluxes.

Our new melt inclusions dataset covers four of five Phases of eruptive activity at SHV, and provides the first account of total (glass + bubble hosted)  $CO_2$ . Our data indicates that the magmatic system feeding SHV is a vertically elongated mush system, as corroborated by geophysics and geochemistry.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

TH: conceptualization, writing–original draft, writing–review and editing, investigation, methodology, formal analysis, visualization, funding acquisition. TC: conceptualization, writing–review and editing, formal analysis, supervision. SM: conceptualization, writing–review and editing, formal analysis, supervision. HT: conceptualization, writing–review and editing, formal analysis, supervision, funding acquisition. FS: investigation, methodology, formal analysis, writing–review and editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by a Government of Montserrat PhD scholarship awarded to TH. Funding for ionprobe analysis was provided by NERC IMF grant IMF746/1122 awarded to HT and TH. Raman and whole rock analyses were graciously covered by the Volcanology

## References

Allard, P. (2010). A CO<sub>2</sub>-rich gas trigger of explosive paroxysms at Stromboli basaltic volcano, Italy. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 189 (3-4), 363–374. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.11.018

Allison, C. M., Roggensack, K., and Clarke, A. B. (2021). Highly explosive basaltic eruptions driven by  $CO_2$  exsolution. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 217. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20354-2

Alshembari, R., Hickey, J., Pascal, K., and Syers, R. (2024). Declining magma supply to a poroelastic magma mush explains long-term deformation at Soufrière Hills Volcano. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 631, 118624. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2024.118624

Anderson, A. T., and Brown, G. G. (1993). CO<sub>2</sub> contents and formation pressures of some kilauean melt. *Inclusions. Am. Mineralogist* 78 (7-8), 794–803.

Aspinall, W. P., Miller, A. D., Lynch, L. L., Latchman, J. L., Stewart, R. C., White, R. A., et al. (1998). Soufrière Hills Eruption, Montserrat, 1995-1997: volcanic

team at Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans. Article Processing Fees were provided by Lancaster University.

# Acknowledgments

We thank the Montserrat Volcano Observatory for granting use of samples. Many thanks to Cees-Jan de Hoog for assistance with SIMS, Iris Buisman for EPMA assistance and Claire Fonquernie for whole rock analysis. We are grateful to David Neave and Margaret Hartley for discussions on melt inclusions and in particular their reconstruction.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/feart.2024. 1509409/full#supplementary-material

earthquake locations and fault plane solutions. Geophys. Res. Lett. 25 (18), 3397–3400. doi:10.1029/98gl00858

Aster, E., Wallace, P., Moore, L., Watkins, J., Gazel, E., and Bodnar, R. (2016). Reconstructing  $\rm CO_2$  concentrations in basaltic melt inclusions using Raman analysis of vapor bubbles. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 323, 148–162. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2016.04.028

Baker, P. E. (1984). Geochemical evolution of St Kitts and Montserrat, lesser Antilles. J. Geol. Soc. 141, 401–411. doi:10.1144/gsjgs.141.3.0401

Balcone-Boissard, H., Boudon, G., Blundy, J. D., Martel, C., Brooker, R. A., Deloule, E., et al. (2018). Deep pre-eruptive storage of silicic magmas feeding Plinian and domeforming eruptions of central and northern Dominica (Lesser Antilles) inferred from volatile contents of melt inclusions. *Contributions Mineralogy Petrology* 173 (12), 101. doi:10.1007/s00410-018-1528-4 Balcone-Boissard, H., Georges, B., d'Augustin, T., Erdmann, S., Etienne, D., and Juliette, V. (2023). Architecture of the lesser Antilles Arc illustrated by melt inclusions. *J. Petrology* 64 (6). doi:10.1093/petrology/egad020

Barclay, J., Rutherford, M. J., Carroll, M. R., Murphy, M. D., Devine, J. D., Gardner, J., et al. (1998). Experimental phase equilibria constraints on pre-eruptive storage conditions of the Soufrière hills magma. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 3437–3440. doi:10.1029/98gl00856

Best, M. G. (2013). Igneous and metamorphic petrology. Somerset: Wiley.

Blake, S. (2003). "Correlations between eruption magnitude, SO<sub>2</sub> yield and surface cooling." *Volcanic degassing*. Editors C. Oppenheimer, D. M. Pyle, and J. Barclay (London: Geol. Soc. London Spec. Pubs.), 213, 371–380. doi:10.1144/gsl.sp.2003.213.01.22

Blundy, J., Cashman, K. V., Rust, A., and Witham, F. (2010). A case for CO<sub>2</sub>-rich arc magmas. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 290, 289–301. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.12.013

Boudoire, G., Pasdeloup, G., Schiavi, F., Cluzel, N., Rafflin, V., Grassa, F., et al. (2023). Magma storage and degassing beneath the youngest volcanoes of the Massif Central (France): lessons for the monitoring of a dormant volcanic province. *Chem. Geol.* 634, 121603. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2023.121603

Bouysse, P., Westercamp, D., and Andreieff, P. (1990). The lesser Antilles island arc. Proc. Ocean Drill. Program Sci. Results 110, 29–44.

Brown, G. M., Holland, J. G., Sigurdsson, H., Tomblin, J. F., and Arculus, R. J. (1977). Geochemistry of the Lesser Antilles volcanic island arc. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 41, 785–801. doi:10.1016/0016-7037(77)90049-7

Buckley, V. J. E., Sparks, R. S. J., and Wood, B. J. (2006). Hornblende dehydration reactions during magma ascent at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Contributions Mineralogy Petrology* 151 (2), 121–140. doi:10.1007/s00410-005-0060-5

Burton, M., Allard, P., Muré, F., and La Spina, A. (2007). Magmatic gas composition reveals the source depth of slug-driven strombolian explosive activity. *Science* 317 (5835), 227–230. doi:10.1126/science.1141900

Cannatelli, C., Doherty, A. L., Esposito, R., Lima, A., and De Vivo, B. (2016). Understanding a volcano through a droplet: a melt inclusion approach. J. Geochem. Explor. 171, 4–19. doi:10.1016/j.gexplo.2015.10.003

Caricchi, L., Sheldrake, T. E., and Blundy, J. (2018). Modulation of magmatic processes by CO<sub>2</sub> Flushing. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 491, 160–171. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.03.042

Carn, S. A., and Prata, F. J. (2010). Satellite-based constraints on explosive SO<sub>2</sub> release from Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37 (19). doi:10.1029/2010gl044971

Cashman, K., and Mangan, M. (1994). "Chapter 11b. physical aspects of magmatic degassing II. Constraints on vesiculation processes from textural studies of eruptive products," in *Volatiles in magmas*. Editors M. Carroll, and J. Holloway (Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter), 447–478.

Cashman, K. V., Sparks, R. S. J., and Blundy, J. D. (2017). Vertically extensive and unstable magmatic systems: a unified view of igneous processes. *Science* 355 (6331), eaag3055. doi:10.1126/science.aag3055

Cassidy, M., Edmonds, M., Watt, S. F. L., Palmer, M. R., and Gernon, T. M. (2015a). Origin of basalts by hybridization in andesite-dominated arcs. *J. Petrology* 56 (2), 325–346. doi:10.1093/petrology/egv002

Cassidy, M., Manga, M., Cashman, K., and Bachmann, O. (2018). Controls on explosive-effusive volcanic eruption styles. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 2839. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05293-3

Cassidy, M., Watt, S., Talling, P. J., Palmer, M. R., Edmonds, M., Jutzeler, M., et al. (2015b). Rapid onset of mafic magmatism facilitated by volcanic edifice collapse. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42 (12), 4778–4785. doi:10.1002/2015gl064519

Chaussard, E., and Amelung, F. (2014). Regional controls on magma ascent and storage in volcanic arcs. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems* 15 (4), 1407-1418. doi:10.1002/2013gc005216

Christeson, G. L., Mann, P., Escalona, A., and Aitken, T. J. (2008). Crustal structure of the Caribbean-northeastern South America arc-continent collision zone. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 113. doi:10.1029/2007jb005373

Christopher, T. E., Blundy, J., Cashman, K., Cole, P., Edmonds, M., Smith, P. J., et al. (2015). Crustal-scale degassing due to magma system destabilization and magma-gas decoupling at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems* 16 (9), 2797–2811. doi:10.1002/2015gc005791

Christopher, T. E., Humphreys, M. C. S., Barclay, J., Genareau, K., De Angelis, S. M. H., Plail, M., et al. (2014). Chapter 17 petrological and geochemical variation during the Soufrière hills eruption, 1995 to 2010. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Memoirs* 39 (1), 317–342. doi:10.1144/m39.17

Cole, P., Smith, P. J., Stinton, A., Odbert, H., Bernstein, M., Komorowski, J., et al. (2014). Chapter 5 vulcanian explosions at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat between 2008 and 2010. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Memoirs* 39 (1), 93–111. doi:10.1144/m39.5

d'Augustin, T., Balcone-Boissard, H., Boudon, G., Martel, C., Deloule, E., and Bürckel, P. (2020). Evidence for an active, Transcrustal Magma system in the last 60 ka and eruptive degassing budget ( $H_2O$ ,  $CO_2$ , S, F, Cl, Br): the case of Dominica. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems* 21(9). doi:10.1029/2020GC009050

Danyushevsky, L., Della-Pasqua, F., and Sokolov, S. (2000). Re-equilibration of melt inclusions trapped by magnesian olivine phenocrysts from subduction-related magmas: petrological implications. *Contributions Mineralogy Petrology* 138 (1), 68–83. doi:10.1007/pl00007664

DeMets, C., Jansma, P., Mattioli, G., Dixon, T., Farina, F., Bilham, R., et al. (2000). GPS geodetic constraints on caribbean-north America plate motion. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 27 (3), 437–440. doi:10.1029/1999gl005436

Devine, J. D., Murphy, M. D., Rutherford, M. J., Barclay, J., Sparks, R. S., Carroll, M. R., et al. (1998). Petrologic evidence for pre-eruptive pressure-temperature conditions, and recent reheating, of andesitic magma erupting at the Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, W.I. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 3669–3672. doi:10.1029/98gl01330

Devine, J. D., Rutherford, M. J., Norton, G. E., and Young, S. R. (2003). Magma storage region processes inferred from geochemistry of Fe-Ti oxides in andesitic magma, Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, W.I. *J. Petrology* 44 (8), 1375–1400. doi:10.1093/petrology/44.8.1375

Devine, J. D., Sigurdsson, H., Davis, A. N., and Self, S. (1984). Estimates of sulfur and chlorine yield to the atmosphere from volcanic eruptions and potential climatic effects. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 89 (B7), 6309–6325. doi:10.1029/jb089ib07p06309

Druitt, T. H., Young, S. R., Baptie, B., Bonadonna, C., Calder, E. S., Clarke, A. B., et al. (2002). Episodes of cyclic Vulcanian explosive activity with fountain collapse at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Memoirs* 21 (1), 281–306. doi:10.1144/gsl.mem.2002.021.01.13

Edmonds, M., and Herd, R. A. (2007). A volcanic degassing event at the explosiveeffusive transition. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34 (21). doi:10.1029/2007gl031379

Edmonds, M., Humphreys, M. C., Hauri, E. H., Herd, R. A., Wadge, G., Rawson, H., et al. (2014). Chapter 16 pre-eruptive vapour and its role in controlling eruption style and longevity at Soufrière Hills Volcano. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Memoirs* 39, 291–315. doi:10.1144/m39.16

Edmonds, M., Kohn, S. C., Hauri, E. H., Humphreys, M. C. S., and Cassidy, M. (2016). Extensive, water-rich magma reservoir beneath southern Montserrat. *Lithos* 252-253, 216–233. doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2016.02.026

Edmonds, M., Pyle, D., and Oppenheimer, C. (2002). HCL emissions at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, west indies, during a second phase of dome building: november 1999 to october 2000. *Bull. Volcanol.* 64 (1), 21–30. doi:10.1007/s00445-001-0175-0

Edmonds, M., Pyle, D., and Oppenheimer, C. (2001). A model for degassing at the Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, West Indies, based on geochemical data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 186 (2), 159–173. doi:10.1016/s0012-821x(01)00242-4

Esposito, R., Lamadrid, H. M., Redi, D., Steele-MacInnis, M., Bodnar, R. J., Manning, C. E., et al. (2016). Detection of liquid H2O in vapor bubbles in reheated melt inclusions: implications for magmatic fluid composition and volatile budgets of magmas? *Am. Mineralogist* 101 (7), 1691–1695. doi:10.2138/am-2016-5689

Foroozan, R., Elsworth, D., Voight, B., and Mattioli, G. S. (2010). Dual reservoir structure at Soufrière Hills Volcano inferred from continuous GPS observations and heterogeneous elastic modeling. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37 (19). doi:10.1029/ 2010gl042511

Frezzotti, M. L., Tecce, F., and Casagli, A. (2012). Raman spectroscopy for fluid inclusion analysis. J. Geochem. Explor. 112, 1–20. doi:10.1016/j.gexplo.2011.09.009

Gaetani, G., O'Leary, J., Shimizu, N., Bucholz, C., and Newville, M. (2012). Rapid reequilibration of H2O and oxygen fugacity in olivine-hosted melt inclusions. *Geology* 40 (10), 915–918. doi:10.1130/g32992.1

Gerlach, T. M., Westrich, H. R., Casadevall, T. J., and Finnegan, D. L. (1994). Vapor saturation and accumulation in magmas of the 1989-1990 eruption of Redoubt Volcano, Alaska. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 62 (1-4), 317–337. doi:10.1016/0377-0273(94)90039-6

Ghiorso, M. S., and Gualda, G. A. R. (2015). An H2O-CO2 mixed fluid saturation model compatible with rhyolite-MELTS. *Contributions Mineralogy Petrology* 169 (6), 53. doi:10.1007/s00410-015-1141-8

Gottsmann, J., Flynn, M., and Hickey, J. (2020). The transcrustal magma reservoir beneath Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat: insights from 3-D geodetic inversions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47 (20). doi:10.1029/2020gl089239

Hanyu, T., Yamamoto, J., Kimoto, K., Shimizu, K., and Ushikubo, T. (2020). Determination of total CO2 in melt inclusions with shrinkage bubbles. *Chem. Geol.* 557, 119855. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2020.119855

Harford, C. L., Sparks, R. S. J., and Fallick, A. E. (2003). Degassing at the Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, recorded in matrix glass compositions. *J. Petrology* 44, 1503–1523. doi:10.1093/petrology/44.8.1503

Hartley, M. E., Maclennan, J., Edmonds, M., and Thordarson, T. (2014). Reconstructing the deep CO2 degassing behaviour of large basaltic fissure eruptions. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 393, 120–131. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.02.031

Hooft, E. E., and Detrick, R. S. (1993). The role of density in the accumulation of basaltic melts at mid-ocean ridges. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 20 (6), 423–426. doi:10.1029/93gl00295

Huber, C., Townsend, M., Degruyter, W., and Bachmann, O. (2019). Optimal depth of subvolcanic magma chamber growth controlled by volatiles and crust rheology. *Nat. Geosci.* 12 (9), 762–768. doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0415-6

Humphreys, M. C. S., Edmonds, M., Christopher, T., and Hards, V. (2009). Chlorine variations in the magma of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat: insights from Cl in hornblende and melt inclusions. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 73 (19), 5693–5708. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2009.06.014

Humphreys, M. C. S., Edmonds, M., Christopher, T., and Hards, V. (2010). Magma hybridisation and diffusive exchange recorded in heterogeneous glasses from Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37 (19). doi:10.1029/ 2009gl041926

Humphreys, M. C. S., Edmonds, M., and Klöcking, M. S. (2016). The validity of plagioclase-melt geothermometry for degassing-driven magma crystallization. *Am. Mineralogist* 101, 769–779. doi:10.2138/am-2016-5314

Iacovino, K., Matthews, S., Wieser, P. E., Moore, G., and Bégué, F. (2021). VESIcal Part I: an open-source thermodynamic model engine for mixed volatile (H2O-CO2) solubility in silicate melts. *Earth space Sci.* 8 (11). doi:10.1029/2020ea001584

Iacovino, K., and Till, C. B. (2019). DensityX: a program for calculating the densities of magmatic liquids up to 1,627 °C and 30 kbar. *Volcanica* 2 (1), 1–10. doi:10.30909/vol.02.01.0110

Joseph, E. P., Camejo-Harry, M., Christopher, T., Contreras-Arratia, R., Edwards, S., Graham, O., et al. (2022). Responding to eruptive transitions during the 2020–2021 eruption of La Soufrière volcano, St. Vincent. *Nat. Commun.*, 13(1), p.4129. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-31901-4

Kent, A. (2008). "Melt inclusions in basaltic and related volcanic rocks," in *Minerals, Inclusions and volcanic processes. Reviews in mineralogy and geochemistry*. Editors K. Putirka, and F. Tepley, 273–331. doi:10.2138/rmg.2008.69.8

Kokelaar, B. P. (2002). Setting, chronology and consequences of the eruption of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat (1995-1999). *Geol. Soc. Lond. Memoirs* 21 (1), 1–43. doi:10.1144/gsl.mem.2002.021.01.02

Lamadrid, H. M., Moore, L. A., Moncada, D., Rimstidt, J. D., Burruss, R. C., and Bodnar, R. J. (2017). Reassessment of the Raman CO 2 densimeter. *Chem. Geol.* 450, 210–222. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.12.034

Le Bas, M. J. L., Maitre, R. W. L., Streckeisen, A., and Zanettin, B. (1986). A chemical classification of volcanic rocks based on the total alkali-silica diagram. *J. Petrology* 27 (3), 745–750. doi:10.1093/petrology/27.3.745

Lowenstern, J. B. (1995). "Applications of silicate melt inclusions to the study of magmatic volatiles," in *Magmas, Fluids and Ore Deposits*. Editors J. F. H. Thompson (Mineralogical Association of Canada Short Course Volume) 23, 71–99.

Macdonald, R., Hawkesworth, C., and Heath, E. (2000). The Lesser Antilles volcanic chain: a study in arc magmatism. *Earth-Science Rev.* 49 (1-4), 1–76. doi:10.1016/s0012-8252(99)00069-0

Mann, C. P., Wallace, P. J., and Stix, J. (2013). Phenocryst-hosted melt inclusions record stalling of magma during ascent in the conduit and upper magma reservoir prior to vulcanian explosions, Soufrière Hills volcano, Montserrat, West Indies. *Bull. Volcanol.* 75 (2), 687. doi:10.1007/s00445-013-0687-4

Marianelli, P., Sbrana, A., Métrich, N., and Cecchetti, A. (2005). The deep feeding system of Vesuvius involved in recent violent Strombolian eruptions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32. doi:10.1029/2004gl021667

Marsh, B. (2004). A magmatic mush column rosetta stone: the McMurdo Dry Valleys of Antarctica. *Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* 85 (47), 497–502. doi:10.1029/2004eo470001

Mattioli, G. S., Dixon, T. H., Farina, F., Howell, E. S., Jansma, P. E., and Smith, A. L. (1998). GPS measurement of surface deformation around Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat from october 1995 to july 1996. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25 (18), 3417–3420. doi:10.1029/98gl00931

Mattioli, G. S., Herd, R. A., Strutt, M. H., Ryan, G., Widiwijayanti, C., and Voight, B. (2010). Long term surface deformation of Soufrière Hills volcano, Montserrat from GPS geodesy: inferences from simple elastic inverse models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37. doi:10.1029/2009gl042268

Mazzarini, F., Musumeci, G., Montanari, D., and Corti, G. (2010). Relations between deformation and upper crustal magma emplacement in laboratory physical models. *Tectonophysics* 484 (1-4), 139–146. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.09.013

McGee, L., Reagan, M., Handley, H., Turner, S., Sparks, R. S., Berlo, K., et al. (2019). Volatile behaviour in the 1995-2010 eruption of the Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat recorded by U-series disequilibria in mafic enclaves and andesite host. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 524, 115730. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115730

Melekhova, E., Blundy, J., Martin, R., Arculus, R., and Pichavant, M. (2017). Petrological and experimental evidence for differentiation of water-rich magmas beneath St. Kitts, Lesser Antilles. *Contributions mineralogy petrology* 172 (11-12), 98. doi:10.1007/s00410-017-1416-3

Melekhova, E., Schlaphorst, D., Blundy, J., Kendall, J.-M., Connolly, C., McCarthy, A., et al. (2019). Lateral variation in crustal structure along the Lesser Antilles arc from petrology of crustal xenoliths and seismic receiver functions. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 516, 12–24. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.030

Melnik, O., and Sparks, R. S. J. (2002). Dynamics of magma ascent and lava extrusion at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Memoirs* 21 (1), 153–171. doi:10.1144/gsl.mem.2002.021.01.07

Metcalfe, A., Moune, S., Komorowski, J.-C., and Moretti, R. (2022). Bottom-up vs top-down drivers of eruption style: Petro-geochemical constraints from the holocene explosive activity at La Soufrière de Guadeloupe. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 424, 107488. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2022.107488

Metcalfe, A., Moune, S., Komorowski, J.-C., Robertson, R., Christopher, T. E., Joseph, E. P., et al. (2023). Diverse magma storage and major and volatile magma composition: what are the implications on the eruptive style across a volcanic arc? An example of the Lesser Antilles Arc. *Earth-science Rev.* 241, 104440. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104440

Miller, V., Voight, B., Ammon, C. J., Shalev, E., and Thompson, G. (2010). Seismic expression of magma-induced crustal strains and localized fluid pressures during initial eruptive stages, Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37 (19). doi:10.1029/2010gl043997

Moldover, M. R. (1974). Visual observation of the critical temperature and density:  $CO_2$  and  $C_2H_4$ . J. Chem. Phys. 61 (5), 1766–1778. doi:10.1063/1.1682173

Moore, L., and Bodnar, R. (2019). A pedagogical approach to estimating the CO2 budget of magmas. J. Geol. Soc. 176 (2), 398-407. doi:10.1144/jgs2018-094

Moore, L., Gazel, E., Tuohy, R., Lloyd, A., Esposito, R., Steele-MacInnis, M., et al. (2015). Bubbles matter: an assessment of the contribution of vapor bubbles to melt inclusion volatile budgets. *Am. Mineralogist* 100 (4), 806–823. doi:10.2138/am-2015-5036

Moretti, R., Métrich, N., Arienzo, I., Di Renzo, V., Aiuppa, A., and Allard, P. (2018). Degassing vs. eruptive styles at Mt. Etna Volcano (Sicily, Italy). part I: volatile stocking, gas fluxing, and the shift from low-energy to highly explosive basaltic eruptions. *Chem. Geol.* 482, 1–17. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.09.017

Moune, S., Sigmarsson, O., Thordarson, T., and Gauthier, P.-J. (2007). Recent volatile evolution in the magmatic system of Hekla volcano, Iceland. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 255 (3-4), 373–389. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.12.024

Murch, A. P., and Cole, P. D. (2019). Using microlites to gain insights into ascent conditions of differing styles of volcanism at Soufrière Hills Volcano. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 384, 221–231. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2019.07.022

Murphy, M. D., Sparks, R. S., Barclay, J., Carroll, M. R., and Brewer, T. S. (2000). Remobilization of andesite magma by intrusion of mafic magma at the Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, west indies. *J. Petrology* 41, 21–42. doi:10.1093/petrology/41.1.21

Neave, D. A., Hartley, M. E., Maclennan, J., Edmonds, M., and Thordarson, T. (2017). Volatile and light lithophile elements in high-anorthite plagioclase-hosted melt inclusions from Iceland. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 205, 100–118. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2017.02.009

Neuberg, J. W., Taisne, B., Burton, M., Ryan, G. A., Calder, E., Fournier, N., et al. (2022). A review of tectonic, elastic and visco-elastic models exploring the deformation patterns throughout the eruption of Soufrière Hills volcano on Montserrat, West Indies. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 425, 107518. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores. 2022.107518

Newman, S., and Lowenstern, J. B. (2002). VolatileCalc: a silicate melt-H2O-CO2 solution model written in visual basic for Excel. *Comput. and Geosciences* 28 (5), 597–604. doi:10.1016/s0098-3004(01)00081-4

Nicholson, E. J., Mather, T. A., Pyle, D. M., Odbert, H. M., and Christopher, T. (2013). Cyclical patterns in volcanic degassing revealed by SO2 flux timeseries analysis: an application to Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 375, 209–221. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.05.032

Nielsen, R. L. (2011). The effects of re-homogenization on plagioclase hosted melt inclusions. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems* 12 (10). doi:10.1029/2011gc003822

Odbert, H. M., Stewart, R. C., and Wadge, G. (2014). Chapter 2 cyclic phenomena at the Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Memoirs* 39 (1), 41–60. doi:10.1144/m39.2

Plail, M., Edmonds, M., Woods, A. W., Barclay, J., Humphreys, M. C. S., Herd, R. A., et al. (2018). Mafic enclaves record syn-eruptive basalt intrusion and mixing. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 186, 30–40. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.11.033

Putirka, K. D. (2008). Thermometers and barometers for volcanic systems. *Rev. Mineralogy Geochem.* 69 (1), 61–120. doi:10.2138/rmg.2008.69.3

Rasmussen, D. J., Plank, T. A., Roman, D. C., and Zimmer, M. M. (2022). Magmatic water content controls the pre-eruptive depth of arc magmas. *Science* 375 (6585), 1169–1172. doi:10.1126/science.abm5174

Rea, W. J. (1974). The volcanic geology and petrology of Montserrat, west indies. J. Geol. Soc. 130, 341–366. doi:10.1144/gsjgs.130.4.0341

Rea, W. J., and Baker, P. E. (1980). The geochemical characteristics and conditions of petrogenesis of the volcanic rocks of the northern Lesser Antilles — a review. *Bull. Volcanol.* 43, 325–336. doi:10.1007/bf02598036

Robertson, R. E., Aspinall, W. P., Herd, R. A., Norton, G. E., Sparks, R. S., and Young, S. R. (2000). The 1995–1998 eruption of the Soufriére Hills volcano, Montserrat, WI. WI 358, 1619–1637. doi:10.1098/rsta.2000.0607

Roedder, E. (1979). Origin and significance of magmatic inclusions. *Bull. Minéralogie* 102 (5), 487–510. doi:10.3406/bulmi.1979.7299

Rust, A. C., Cashman, K. V., and Wallace, P. J. (2004). Magma degassing buffered by vapor flow through brecciated conduit margins. *Geology* 32, 349. doi:10.1130/g20388.2

Rutherford, M. J., and Devine, J. D. (2003). Magmatic conditions and magma ascent as indicated by hornblende phase equilibria and reactions in the 1995-2002 Soufrière hills magma. *J. Petrology* 44 (8), 1433–1453. doi:10.1093/petrology/44.8.1433

Ryan, G. A., Loughlin, S. C., James, M. R., Jones, L. D., Calder, E. S., Christopher, T., et al. (2010). Growth of the lava dome and extrusion rates at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, west indies: 2005-2008. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37 (19). doi:10.1029/2009gl041477

Schiavi, F., Bolfan-Casanova, N., Buso, R., Laumonier, M., Laporte, D., Medjoubi, K., et al. (2020). Quantifying magmatic volatiles by Raman microtomography of glass inclusion-hosted bubbles. *Geochem. Perspect. Lett.* 16, 17–24. doi:10.7185/geochemlet.2038

Schipper, C., White, J., Houghton, B., Shimizu, N., and Stewart, R. (2010). Explosive submarine eruptions driven by volatile-coupled degassing at Lö'ihi Seamount, Hawai'i. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 295 (3-4), 497–510. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.04.031

Sharma, K., Blake, S., Self, S., and Kruger, A. J. (2004). SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from basaltic eruptions, and the excess sulfur issue. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31 (13). doi:10.1029/2004gl019688

Sigurdsson, H., Carey, S., Palais, J. M., and Devine, J. (1990). Pre-eruption compositional gradients and mixing of andesite and dacite magma erupted from Nevado del Ruiz Volcano, Colombia in 1985. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 41 (1-4), 127–151. doi:10.1016/0377-0273(90)90086-u

Smith, P. (2013). Volcano-tectonic seismicity of Soufrière hills Volcano, Montserrat. Encycl. Earthq. Eng., 1–14. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-36197-5\_93-1

Sparks, R. S. J., and Young, S. R. (2002). The eruption of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat (1995-1999): overview of scientific results. *Geol. Soc.* 21 (1), 45–69. doi:10.1144/gsl.mem.2002.021.01.03

Spilliaert, N., Allard, P., Métrich, N., and Sobolev, A. V. (2006). Melt inclusion record of the conditions of ascent, degassing, and extrusion of volatile-rich alkali basalt during the powerful 2002 flank eruption of Mount Etna (Italy). *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 111. doi:10.1029/2005jb003934

Steele-Macinnis, M., Esposito, R., and Bodnar, R. (2011). Thermodynamic model for the effect of post-entrapment crystallization on the H2O-CO2 systematics of vapor-saturated, silicate melt inclusions. *J. Petrology* 52 (12), 2461–2482. doi:10.1093/petrology/egr052

Tucker, J. M., Hauri, E. H., Pietruszka, A. J., Garcia, M. O., Marske, J. P., and Trusdell, F. A. (2019). A high carbon content of the Hawaiian mantle from olivine-hosted melt inclusions. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 254, 156–172. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2019.04.001

Venugopal, S., Schiavi, F., Moune, S., Bolfan-Casanova, N., Druitt, T., and Williams-Jones, G. (2020). Melt inclusion vapour bubbles: the hidden reservoir for major and volatile elements. *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1), 9034. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-65226-3

Voight, B., Sparks, R. S. J., Miller, A. D., Stewart, R. C., Hoblitt, R. P., Clarke, A., et al. (1999). Magma flow instability and cyclic activity at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, British west indies. *Science* 283 (5405), 1138–1142. doi:10.1126/science. 283.5405.1138

Wadge, G. (1984). Comparison of volcanic production rates and subduction rates in the Lesser Antilles and Central America. *Geology* 12 (9), 555. doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1984)12<555:covpra>2.0.co;2

Wadge, G., Voight, B., Sparks, R. S. J., Cole, P. D., Loughlin, S. C., and Robertson, R. E. A. (2014). Chapter 1 an overview of the eruption of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat from 2000 to 2010. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Memoirs* 39 (1), 1.1–40. doi:10.1144/m39.1

Wallace, P. K., Meffre, S., and Cervantes, P. (2015). Melt inclusion CO2 contents, pressures of olivine crystallization, and the problem of shrinkage bubbles. *Am. Mineralogist* 100 (4), 787–794. doi:10.2138/am-2015-5029

Westrich, H. R., and Gerlach, T. M. (1992). Magmatic gas source for the stratospheric SO2 cloud from the June 15,1991, eruption of Mount Pinatubo. *Geology* 20 (10), 867–870. doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1992)020<0867:mgsfts>2.3.co;2

Wieser, P. E., Lamadrid, H., Maclennan, J., Edmonds, M., Matthews, S., Iacovino, K., et al. (2021). Reconstructing magma storage depths for the 2018 Kilauean eruption from melt inclusion  $CO_2$  contents: the importance of vapor bubbles. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems* 22. doi:10.1029/2020gC09364

Young, S. R., Francis, P. W., Barclay, J., Casadevall, T. J., Gardner, C. A., Darroux, Davies, M. A., et al. (1998). Monitoring  $SO_2$  emission at the Soufrière Hills Volcano: implications for changes in eruptive conditions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25 (19), 3681–3684. doi:10.1029/98gl01406

Young, S. R., Voight, B., and Duffell, H. J. (2003). Magma extrusion dynamics revealed by high-frequency gas monitoring at Soufrière Hills volcano, Montserrat. *Geol. Soc.* 213 (1), 219–230. doi:10.1144/gsl.sp.2003.213.01.13

Zellmer, G. F., Hawkesworth, C. J., Sparks, R. S. J., Thomas, L. E., Harford, C. L., Brewer, T. S., et al. (2003). Geochemical evolution of the Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, lesser Antilles volcanic arc. *J. Petrology* 44, 1349–1374. doi:10.1093/petrology/44.8.1349