



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Gordon Woo,  
Risk Management Solutions, United Kingdom

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Zhuo Chen,  
✉ 13882535009@163.com

RECEIVED 14 August 2024  
ACCEPTED 27 November 2024  
PUBLISHED 06 December 2024

CITATION  
Chen Z, Tiranti D, Ghorbanzadeh O, Song D,  
Juliev M, Pitman EB and Oommen T (2024)  
Editorial: Advances and applications in  
modeling, assessment, and mitigation of  
landslide disasters.  
*Front. Earth Sci.* 12:1480635.  
doi: 10.3389/feart.2024.1480635

COPYRIGHT  
© 2024 Chen, Tiranti, Ghorbanzadeh, Song,  
Juliev, Pitman and Oommen. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the  
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic practice.  
No use, distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with  
these terms.

# Editorial: Advances and applications in modeling, assessment, and mitigation of landslide disasters

Zhuo Chen<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Davide Tiranti<sup>3</sup>, Omid Ghorbanzadeh<sup>4</sup>,  
Danqing Song<sup>5,6</sup>, Mukhiddin Juliev<sup>7,8</sup>, E. Bruce Pitman<sup>9</sup> and  
Thomas Oommen<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Civil Engineering, Sichuan Agricultural University, Dujiangyan, Sichuan, China, <sup>2</sup>Sichuan Higher Education Engineering Research Center for Disaster Prevention and Mitigation of Village Construction, Sichuan Agricultural University, Dujiangyan, China, <sup>3</sup>Regional Agency for Environmental Protection of Piemonte (Arpa Piemonte), Turin, Italy, <sup>4</sup>University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Institute of Geomatics Peter-Jordan-Straße 82, Vienna, Austria, <sup>5</sup>School of Civil Engineering and Transportation, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, <sup>6</sup>State Key Laboratory of Subtropical Building Science, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, <sup>7</sup>Institute of Fundamental and Applied Research, "TIAME" National Research University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, <sup>8</sup>Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, <sup>9</sup>Department of Materials Design and Innovation, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, United States, <sup>10</sup>Department of Geology and Geological Engineering, University of Mississippi, University, MS, United States

## KEYWORDS

landslides, laboratory experiments, computational geosciences, numerical simulation, stability analysis, spatial distribution, monitoring method, disaster mitigation

## Editorial on the Research Topic

[Advances and applications in modeling, assessment, and mitigation of landslide disasters](#)

## Introduction

In recent decades, natural hazards and anthropogenic-induced disasters such as earthquakes, landslides, rockfalls, debris flows, rainstorms, floods, tunnel collapses, dam failures, and forest fires have posed major challenges. These events necessitate efforts to mitigate risks and safeguard structures, infrastructure, economic activities, and human lives, especially in mountainous areas (Cutter et al., 2015; Zou et al., 2018; Klein et al., 2019; Adler et al., 2020; Alcántara-Ayala and Geertsema, 2022).

## Landslides: a persistent threat

Landslides are among the most common and destructive natural disasters worldwide, threatening human lives, properties, and infrastructure safety (Chen and Song, 2023; Chen D. et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024; Zhang Q. et al., 2024; CRED, 2023; Kennedy et al., 2015; Froude and Petley, 2018; Fidan et al., 2024). They are characterized

by intricate formation mechanisms, nonlinear deformation, and uncertainty, necessitating multidisciplinary approaches for their analysis and prediction.

## Advances in monitoring and prediction

Scientific research has focused on enhancing understanding and developing technologies for effective risk mitigation against landslides (Carrión-Mero et al., 2021). Advanced monitoring tools like InSAR (Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar) (Scaioni et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2023), UAV (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles) (Sun et al., 2024; Zhang Z. et al., 2024), Fiber Optics (Ivanov et al., 2021; Brezzi et al., 2023), Beidou (Huang et al., 2023), and MEMS (Micro-Electro-Mechanical System) have been employed (Barzegar et al., 2023).

Yang et al. used multi-temporal InSAR techniques combined with geospatial statistical analysis to study the Muyuba landslide in China. Findings revealed continuous subsidence largely influenced by drainage networks, rock strata orientation, and reservoir water level variations, linking anthropogenic activities with increased landslide risk.

Wang et al. applied wavelet transform and ARIMA models for landslide displacement prediction. The ARIMA model demonstrated high accuracy, with a root mean square error (RMSE) of 4.52 mm, confirming its effectiveness in specific conditions. This model's applicability was further validated in practical scenarios.

## Innovative modeling techniques

Numerical methods such as CDEM (continuum-based discrete element method) (Li et al., 2024), 3D-DDA (three-dimensional discontinuous deformation analysis) (Wang et al., 2021; Ma and Liu, 2022), NMM (numerical manifold method) (Cao et al., 2024; Zhang and Li, 2024), SPH (smoothed particle hydrodynamics) (Dai et al., 2014; Mahalleh et al., 2022; Chen W. et al., 2024), MPM (material point method) (Conte et al., 2019; Yamaguchi et al., 2023), and LBM (Lattice Boltzmann Method) (Krüger et al., 2016), provide deeper insights into landslide dynamics. Research contributions highlight the integration of machine learning and artificial intelligence to predict soil evaporation rates using models like KNORA (Kainthura and Sharma, 2022; Tehrani et al., 2022; Youssef et al., 2023; Zhang and Wang, 2024).

Priyanka et al. utilized machine learning to predict soil evaporation, employing a novel feature selection technique to enhance accuracy. Their findings underscore the superiority of certain ML models in predicting environmental phenomena.

## Testing and laboratory advances

Laboratory techniques have been refined to better understand influential factors in gravitational phenomena (Hemeda, 2018; Menon and Kolathayar, 2024). Guo et al. focused on soil stability through wet and dry compaction tests, shedding light on risks associated with field compaction variations.

Zhao et al. analyzed water inrush disasters in coal seam mining through numerical simulations. Findings emphasized the role of

mining stress and confined water in crack propagation along hidden faults, offering insights for preventing water inrush incidents.

## Mitigation and defense structure design

Effective defense structure design significantly reduces landslide impact on infrastructure (Luo et al., 2023). Barbini et al. proposed methods to control sediment volume in debris flows through deposition areas and retention basins, confirmed through hydraulic modeling.

## Future directions and studies

Research on landslide dynamics continues to evolve, with interdisciplinary efforts advancing our understanding of geological disaster science. This ongoing pursuit provides a scientific foundation for forecasting and mitigating geological hazards.

We express gratitude to all contributors to this Research Topic, advancing the modeling, assessment, and mitigation of landslide disasters. This compilation serves as a valuable resource, inspiring further studies in this critical field.

## Author contributions

ZC: Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. DT: Writing—review and editing, Writing—original draft. OG: Writing—review and editing. DS: Writing—review and editing. MJ: Writing—review and editing. EP: Writing—review and editing. TO: Writing—review and editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52208359), the Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province (2024NSFSC0925), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52109125), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2023ZYGXZRx2tjD2231010).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

- Adler, C., Balsiger, J., Grêt-Regamey, A., Heinemann, A., Huggel, C., Weingartner, R., et al. (2020). Making connections for our changing mountains: future directions for the mountain research initiative (MRI). *Mt. Res. Dev.* 40 (1), 1–6. doi:10.1659/mrd-journal-d-20-00045.1
- Alcántara-Ayala, I., and Geertsema, M. (2022). “Construction of disaster risk in mountain systems and its integrated management,” in *Montology palimpsest. Montology*. Editor F. O. Sarmiento (Cham: Springer), 1, 335–355. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-13298-8\_19
- Barzegar, M., Tadich, P., Sainsbury, B.-A., Blanks, S., and Timms, W. (2023). *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 34, 025111. doi:10.1088/1361-6501/aca0b3
- Brezzi, L., Damiano, E., Schenato, L., De Cristofaro, M., Netti, N., Olivares, L., et al. (2023). “Distributed fiber-optic sensors for monitoring slow landslides and anchors for their stabilization,” in *Geotechnical engineering in the digital and technological innovation era. CNRIG 2023*. Editors A. Ferrari, M. Rosone, M. Ziccarelli, and G. Gottardi (Cham: Springer Series in Geomechanics and Geoengineering. Springer). doi:10.1007/978-3-031-34761-0\_19
- Cao, X., Lin, S., Liang, Z., Guo, H., and Zheng, H. (2024). Meshless numerical manifold method with novel subspace tracking and CSS locating techniques for slope stability analysis. *Comput. Geotechnics* 166, 106025. doi:10.1016/j.compgeo.2023.106025
- Carrion-Mero, P., Montalván-Burbano, N., Morante-Carballo, F., Quesada-Román, A., and Apolo-Masache, B. (2021). Worldwide research trends in landslide science. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18, 9445. doi:10.3390/ijerph18189445
- Chen, D., Huang, W., and Liang, C. (2024). A three-dimensional smoothed particle hydrodynamics analysis of multiple retrogressive landslides in sensitive soil. *Comput. Geotechnics* 170, 106284. doi:10.1016/j.compgeo.2024.106284
- Chen, W., Chen, Z., Song, D., He, H., Li, H., and Zhu, Y. (2024). Landslide detection using the unsupervised domain-adaptive image segmentation method. *Land* 13 (7), 928. doi:10.3390/land13070928
- Chen, Z., and Song, D. (2023). Modeling landslide susceptibility based on convolutional neural network coupling with metaheuristic optimization algorithms. *Int. J. Digital Earth* 16 (1), 3384–3416. doi:10.1080/17538947.2023.2249863
- Conte, E., Pugliese, L., and Troncone, A. (2019). Post-failure stage simulation of a landslide using the material point method. *Eng. Geol.* 253, 149–159. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2019.03.006
- CRED (2023). *2023 disasters in numbers: a significant year of disaster impact*. Brussels, Belgium: Université Catholique de Louvain. Available at: [https://files.emdat.be/reports/2023\\_EMDAT\\_report.pdf](https://files.emdat.be/reports/2023_EMDAT_report.pdf) (Accessed August 9, 2024).
- Cutter, S. L., Ismail-Zadeh, A., Alcántara-Ayala, I., Altan, O., Baker, D. N., Briceño, S., et al. (2015). Global risks: pool knowledge to stem losses from disasters. *Nature* 522 (7556), 277–279. doi:10.1038/522277a
- Dai, Z., Huang, Y., Cheng, H., and Xu, Q. (2014). 3D numerical modeling using smoothed particle hydrodynamics of flow-like landslide propagation triggered by the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake. *Eng. Geol.* 180, 21–33. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2014.03.018
- Fidan, S., Tanyaş, H., Akbaş, A., Lombardo, L., Petley, D. N., and Görüm, T. (2024). Understanding fatal landslides at global scales: a summary of topographic, climatic, and anthropogenic perspectives. *Nat. Hazards* 120, 6437–6455. doi:10.1007/s11069-024-06487-3
- Froude, M. J., and Petley, D. N. (2018). Global fatal landslide occurrence from 2004 to 2016. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.* 18, 2161–2181. doi:10.5194/nhess-18-2161-2018
- Hemeda, S. (2018). Engineering failure analysis and design of support system for ancient Egyptian monuments in Valley of the Kings, Luxor, Egypt. *Geoenviron Disasters* 5, 12. doi:10.1186/s40677-018-0100-x
- Huang, G., Du, S., and Wang, D. (2023). GNSS techniques for real-time monitoring of landslides: a review. *Satell. Navig.* 4, 5. doi:10.1186/s43020-023-00095-5
- Ivanov, V., Longoni, L., Ferrario, M., Brunero, M., Arosio, D., and Papini, M. (2021). Applicability of an interferometric optical fibre sensor for shallow landslide monitoring – experimental tests. *Eng. Geol.* 288, 106128. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106128
- Kainthura, P., and Sharma, N. (2022). Hybrid machine learning approach for landslide prediction, Uttarakhand, India. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 20101. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-22814-9
- Kennedy, I. T. R., Petley, D. N., Williams, R., and Murray, V. (2015). A systematic review of the health impacts of mass earth movements (landslides). *PLOS Curr. Disasters* 7. doi:10.1371/currents.dis.1d49e84c8bbe678b0e70cf7fc35d0b77
- Klein, J. A., Tucker, C. M., Steger, C. E., Nolin, A., Reid, R., Hopping, K. A., et al. (2019). An integrated community and ecosystem-based approach to disaster risk reduction in mountain systems. *Environ. Sci. & Policy* 94, 143–152. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2018.12.034
- Krüger, T., Kusumaatmaja, H., Kuzmin, A., Shardt, O., Silva, G., and Viggen, E. M. (2016). *The lattice Boltzmann method*. Edition 1. Cham: Springer, 694. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-44649-3
- Li, Y., Yang, X., Hu, X., Wan, L., and Ma, E. (2024). Mechanisms of rainfall-induced landslides and interception dynamic response: a case study of the Ni changgou landslide in Shimian, China. *Sci. Rep.* 14, 1567. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-51419-7
- Liu, S., Du, J., Yin, K., Zhou, C., Huang, C., Jiang, J., et al. (2024). Regional early warning model for rainfall induced landslide based on slope unit in Chongqing, China. *Eng. Geol.* 333, 107464. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2024.107464
- Luo, H. Y., Zhang, L. M., Zhang, L. L., He, J., and Yin, K. S. (2023). Vulnerability of buildings to landslides: the state of the art and future needs. *Earth-Science Rev.* 238, 104329. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104329
- Ma, K., and Liu, G. (2022). Three-dimensional discontinuous deformation analysis of failure mechanisms and movement characteristics of slope rockfalls. *Rock Mech. Rock Eng.* 55, 275–296. doi:10.1007/s00603-021-02656-z
- Mahalleh, A., Roudane, M., Krimi, A., and Gouri, S. A. (2022). Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics for modelling landslide–water interaction problems. *Landslides* 19, 1249–1263. doi:10.1007/s10346-021-01807-1
- Menon, V., and Kolathayar, S. (2024). “Review of experimental studies on rainfall-induced landslide using the laboratory flume technique,” in *Proceedings of the Indian geotechnical conference 2022 volume 6. IGC 2022. Lecture notes in civil engineering*. Editors B. T. Jose, D. K. Sahoo, T. Oommen, K. Muthukumar, S. Chandrakaran, and T. G. Santhosh Kumar (Singapore: Springer), 484, 27–33. doi:10.1007/978-981-97-3393-4\_3
- Scaioni, M., Longoni, L., Melillo, V., and Papini, M. (2014). Remote sensing for landslide investigations: an overview of recent achievements and perspectives. *Remote Sens.* 6, 9600–9652. doi:10.3390/rs6109600
- Sun, J., Song, L., Yuan, G., and Zhang, H. (2024). Unmanned aerial Vehicles (UAVs) in landslide investigation and monitoring: a review. *Drones* 8, 30. doi:10.3390/drones8010030
- Tehrani, F. S., Calvello, M., Liu, Z., Zhang, L., and Lacasse, S. (2022). Machine learning and landslide studies: recent advances and applications. *Nat. Hazards* 114, 1197–1245. doi:10.1007/s11069-022-05423-7
- Wang, X., Wu, W., Zhu, H., Liu, F., Zhang, H., and Lin, J. S. (2021). Three-dimensional discontinuous deformation analysis with explicit contact formulation and block-wise multicore CPU acceleration. *Comput. Geotechnics* 139, 104410. doi:10.1016/j.compgeo.2021.104410
- Xu, Q., Dong, X., Zhu, X., Deng, B., and Dai, K. (2023). Landslide collaborative observation technology based on real scene 3D view from Space-Air-Ground-Interior perspective[J]. *Journal of Engineering Geology* 31 (3), 706–717. doi:10.13544/j.cnki.jeg.2023-0005
- Yamaguchi, Y., Makinoshima, F., and Oishi, Y. (2023). Simulating the entire rainfall-induced landslide process using the material point method for unsaturated soil with implicit and explicit formulations. *Landslides* 20, 1617–1638. doi:10.1007/s10346-023-02052-4
- Youssef, K., Shao, K., Moon, S., and Bouchard, L. S. (2023). Landslide susceptibility modeling by interpretable neural network. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 4, 162. doi:10.1038/s43247-023-00806-5
- Zhang, Q., Bai, Z., Huang, G., Kong, J., Du, Y., Wang, D., et al. (2024). Innovative landslide disaster monitoring: unmanned aerial vehicle-deployed GNSS technology. *Geomatics, Nat. Hazards Risk* 15 (1). doi:10.1080/19475705.2024.2366374
- Zhang, Q., and Wang, T. D. (2024). Deep learning for exploring landslides with remote sensing and geo-environmental data: frameworks, progress, challenges, and opportunities. *Remote Sens.* 16, 1344. doi:10.3390/rs16081344
- Zhang, R., and Li, J. (2024). Buckling failure analysis and numerical manifold method simulation for high and steep slope: a case study. *Geohazard Mech.* 2 (2), 143–152. doi:10.1016/j.ghm.2024.04.001
- Zhang, Z., Liu, M., Tan, Y. J., Walter, F., He, S., Chmiel, M., et al. (2024). Landslide hazard cascades can trigger earthquakes. *Nat. Commun.* 15 (1), 2878. doi:10.1038/s41467-024-47130-w
- Zou, F., Zhan, Q., and Zhang, W. (2018). Quantifying the impact of human activities on geological hazards in mountainous areas: evidence from Shennongjia, China. *Nat. Hazards* 90, 137–155. doi:10.1007/s11069-017-3039-4