### Check for updates

## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Claudio Fabian Szlafsztein, Federal University of Pará, Brazil

REVIEWED BY Luigi Aldieri, University of Salerno, Italy Jian Tao, Shandong Institute of Business and Technology, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jing Peng, pengjing@tea.ac.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Interdisciplinary Climate Studies, a section of the journal Frontiers in Earth Science

RECEIVED 26 May 2022 ACCEPTED 17 August 2022 PUBLISHED 23 September 2022

#### CITATION

Peng J, Dan L, Tang X and Yang F (2022), Impact of radiative forcing of spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on net primary production. *Front. Earth Sci.* 10:953605. doi: 10.3389/feart.2022.953605

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Peng, Dan, Tang and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in

other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Impact of radiative forcing of spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on net primary production

## Jing Peng<sup>1</sup>\*, Li Dan<sup>1</sup>, Xiba Tang<sup>2</sup> and Fuqiang Yang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Regional Climate-Environment for Temperate East Asia, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Cloud-Precipitation Physics and Severe Storms (LACS), Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Beijing, China

The radiative forcing of spatially varying carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentrations has modified the climate by altering surface energy, the water budget, and carbon cycling. Over the past several decades, due to anthropogenic emissions, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the whole terrestrial ecosystem have become greater than the global mean. The relationship between climatic variables and net primary production (NPP) can be regulated by the radiative forcing of this spatial variation. The present results show that owing to the radiative forcing of spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, NPP has reduced globally by -0.6 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup>. Region 2, with increased CO<sub>2</sub> and decreased NPP, shows the greatest reductions, by  $-0.7 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ . Variations of both NPP and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are distributed asymmetrically. As human activities are mainly located in the Northern Hemisphere, increased CO<sub>2</sub> has mainly manifested in these regions. Especially in region 2, with increased CO<sub>2</sub> and decreased NPP, increasing downward longwave radiation has heated the ground surface by 2.2 W m<sup>-2</sup> and raised surface temperatures by 0.23°C. At the same time, due to the radiative forcing of spatial variations in CO2 concentrations, local dependence of NPP on soil moisture has increased due to enhanced temperature and evapotranspiration coupling, which may improve negative NPP anomalies locally, especially in region 2. With continued increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, its spatial variation due to radiative forcing is likely to amplify warming and have a negative impact on NPP in the terrestrial ecosystem.

#### KEYWORDS

spatial variations of CO $_2$  concentrations, temperature, downward longwave radiation, net primary production (NPP), radiative forcing of CO $_2$ 

# Highlights

- Radiative forcing of non-uniform CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations after 1956 has decreased terrestrial NPP globally by -0.7 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup>

- Enhanced temperature and ET coupling due to radiative forcing of spatial variations in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations have a negative impact on NPP, especially in region 2 with increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and decreased NPP
- Enhanced downward longwave radiation is a main contributor to widely increased surface temperatures caused by radiative forcing of spatially varying  $CO_2$  concentrations

## **1** Introduction

As the most important driving force behind current climate change, the radiative forcing of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentrations affects the trends, mean, and interannual variations of global carbon fluxes (Friedlingstein et al., 2013; Friedlingstein 2015; Schimel et al., 2015; Sitch et al., 2015; Friedlingstein et al., 2020). In the high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere, temperatures have risen mainly in response to the increase in the radiative forcing of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, which can promote the production of terrestrial ecosystems (Govindasamy and Caldeira, 2000; Peng and Dan, 2015; Etminan et al., 2016; Govindasamy and Caldeira, 2000; Peng and Dan, 2015; Yuan et al., 2019). Conversely, at low latitudes, higher temperatures do not promote vegetation production (Cox et al., 2013; Piao et al., 2020). Radiative forcing by higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations modifies vegetation production and respiration of the terrestrial ecosystem and ultimately regulates the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere (Cox et al., 2013; Ballantyne et al., 2017). Therefore, understanding how the radiative forcing of CO2 concentrations affects the terrestrial carbon cycle could be beneficial for predictions regarding climate change (Friedlingstein et al., 2006; Ramaswamy et al., 2019; Friedlingstein et al., 2020).

The influence of atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations on terrestrial carbon fluxes (i.e., the net primary production, NPP) is mainly expressed in two ways: one is a fertilization effect of  $CO_2$  concentrations, and the other is the radiative effect. Increased atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations affect the global carbon cycle not only through their effect on plant physiology but also through their radiative greenhouse effect. Increasing  $CO_2$  concentrations tend to enhance downward longwave radiation, prompting changes in temperature and precipitation and, thus, generating changes in plant photosynthesis rates, carbon allocation, and soil respiration. This driver of climate change is referred to as " $CO_2$  radiative forcing," which is different from  $CO_2$  physiological forcing. Due to the latter effect, increases in  $CO_2$  concentration can enhance photosynthesis and, ultimately, NPP (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Medlyn et al., 2015).

The non-uniformity of the concentration of  $CO_2$  refers to its spatial variation. The terrestrial carbon cycle will be modified by the radiative forcing of spatially varying atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations. For example, previous studies have shown that changes in  $CO_2$  radiative forcing lead to changes in the spatial responses of terrestrial carbon flux (Friedlingstein 2015; Canadell et al., 2021), as atmospheric  $CO_2$  radiative forcing can be regulated through changes in the average background states (e.g., RNET, atmospheric circulation patterns, surface temperature, and rainfall) (Cao et al., 2010; Peng et al., 2014). The radiative forcing of  $CO_2$  is partly regulated by anthropogenic carbon emissions, which can lead to other spatial differences in  $CO_2$  concentrations in addition to the effect of vegetation growth. Under climate change, therefore, there is an urgent need to quantitatively assess changes in carbon fluxes caused by the radiative forcing of spatially varying  $CO_2$  concentrations.

Currently, there are still large uncertainties in the modeling of the intensity of the radiative forcing effect of  $CO_2$ concentrations combined with feedback processes of cloud–aerosol interaction and poleward energy transports through radiative forcing (Myhre et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2017). There also is a lack of research on the influence of the radiative forcing of spatially varying  $CO_2$  concentrations on NPP. Although results suggest that there are clear spatial differences in atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations at regional scales (Nassar et al., 2013; Falahatkar et al., 2017), how and why the associated radiative forcing of spatial variations in  $CO_2$  concentrations affects NPP remains unknown (Wang et al., 2019).

In this study, we carried out simulations of different forcing scenarios, including non-uniform and uniform CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, and then studied the changes in the response of terrestrial carbon fluxes. The influence of the radiative forcing of spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on terrestrial carbon fluxes can be detected by changes in the climate state, including the surface energy budget, atmospheric circulation, surface temperature, and rainfall. However, there is a limited number of studies on the effects of the radiative forcing of spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on carbon fluxes in the terrestrial ecosystem. The overarching goal of this study was to assess the impacts of the radiative forcing of spatially varying CO2 concentration on the NPP of terrestrial ecosystems. Thus, we focused on answering two main scientific questions: 1) How does the radiative forcing of spatially varying CO2 concentrations alter the climate state? 2) What changes have occurred in the response of terrestrial carbon fluxes to the radiative forcing of spatial variations in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, and what are the reasons behind these changes?

## 2 Methods and simulations

## 2.1Methods

We simulated corresponding changes in climatic variables and NPP due to the radiative forcing of spatial variations in  $CO_2$ concentrations from 1850 to 2005. We took the mean of the last 50 years to ensure the carbon cycle balance of our model. We explored the contribution of each component in the surface energy budget to temperature, which was regulated by the inhomogeneity of  $CO_2$  radiative forcing.

A linear regression approach was used to quantify the sensitivity of the dependent variable (y) to the independent variable (x). This method was applied by Poulter et al. (2014) as follows:

$$y = \beta_i x + \varepsilon_i, \tag{1}$$

where  $\beta_i$  is the sensitivity of *y* to *x*, and  $\varepsilon_i$  is an error in which *i* refers to the *i*th independent variable (e.g., surface air temperature or precipitation).

According to the Stefan–Boltzmann law, the radiation emitted by the surface land determines the surface temperature. Such surface temperature is regulated by the balance of net shortwave radiation, net longwave radiation, sensible heat, latent heat, and ground heat flux:

$$\sigma \times Tas^4 = \text{RSS} + \text{RLDS} - (\text{RG} + \text{HFS} + \text{HFL}), \quad (2)$$

where  $\sigma$  is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant. Therefore, according to Eq. 2, the surface temperature is determined by the net shortwave radiation (RSS), downward longwave radiation (RLDS), sensible heat flux (HFS), latent heat flux (HFL), and ground heat flux (RG). We focus on the contribution of these energy components to temperature changes caused by the radiative forcing of non-uniform CO<sub>2</sub>.

## 2.2 Model

We used version 2.0 of the FGOALS–AVIM model at a resolution of  $2.81 \times 1.66$ . This version of FGOALS has previously been used to assess the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> radiative forcing on the total cloud fraction, temperature, and water vapor under different abruptly quadrupling CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Chen et al., 2014; Capistrano et al., 2020). Evaluations of the model performance have revealed that FGOALS can quite reasonably reproduce the mean annual GPP (gross primary production) and NPP (Wang et al., 2013), the mean summer evapotranspiration (ET), and mean annual runoff in the Lake Baikal basin (Törnqvist et al., 2014); and the major global-scale biogeochemical fluxes and pool sizes of carbon (Peng and Dan, 2014).

The model used in this study, i.e., FGOALS-s2 (Bao et al., 2013), was one of the models that participated in CMIP5 (phase 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project) and was also used as an assessment tool in the fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. It is a fully coupled Earth system model consisting of four independent components that simultaneously simulate Earth's atmosphere (SAMIL2), ocean (LICOM2), surface (AVIM), and sea ice (CSIM) and includes a central coupler component (CPL6). It has an

interactive carbon cycle model in the land component and an ecosystem-biogeochemical module in the ocean component.

Although carbon release resulting from permafrost thawing would potentially impact climate change because large amounts of carbon previously locked in frozen organic matter will decompose into  $CO_2$  and methane (Ballantyne et al., 2017), FGOALS-AVIM has only a simple carbon permafrost model at present, and no marine methane release is included. Supplementary Figure S1 shows the spatial distribution of plant functional type (PFT). The land component of the model, i.e., FGOALS-AVIM, only simulates the different responses of fixed PFTs, not PFT dynamics, therefore, we could not simulate the NPP responses to changes in land cover or land use.

## 2.3 Data and simulations

The time series of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was provided by CMIP6 (i.e., fossil fuel combustion, cement manufacturing, and oilfield natural gas combustion) with a monthly resolution from 1850 to 2014. However, because the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variations from CMIP6 only consider its latitudinal and not longitudinal spatial variation, to fully reflect the spatial non-uniformity of atmospheric CO2 concentrations and describe the impact of anthropogenic carbon emissions due to their spatial distribution, we adopted the 1 × 1 resolution Open Data Inventory of Anthropogenic Carbon Dioxide (Oda et al., 2018) to establish the quantitative relationship between the emissions of individual grid boxes and latitude-averaged carbon emissions (Eqn. s1 in the supporting information). It was assumed that this quantitative relationship is also applicable to the relationship between the atmospheric CO2 concentration of each pixel and the latitude-averaged CO<sub>2</sub> concentration from CMIP6 (Eq. 2 in the supporting information). The  $CO_2$ concentration was then generated by fully considering the spatial non-uniformity, which can reflect the variations of anthropogenic carbon emissions, both latitudinally and longitudinally. The CO<sub>2</sub> datasets under the historical conditions of 1850–2005 were generated by redividing the  $1\times 1$  grid into a spatial resolution of  $2.81 \times 1.66$ . Based on this method, we then used the CO<sub>2</sub> datasets as input data in our simulations.

To quantify the impact of the radiative forcing of spatially varying  $CO_2$  concentrations on carbon fluxes, we used FGOALS–AVIM to carry out two different simulation experiments to evaluate NPP. The period covered was from 1850 to 2005 (Table 1). To separate the impacts on NPP of  $CO_2$  radiative and fertilization effects due to the spatial variations of  $CO_2$  concentrations, we carried out two simulations (simulations U1 and N1) that for the radiative process used uniform  $CO_2$  concentrations (in U1) or spatially varying  $CO_2$ concentrations (in N1), but for the physiological process  $CO_2$ concentrations were fixed at 347 ppm in both simulations. The

## TABLE 1 CO<sub>2</sub> forcing data for simulations.

Simulation	CO <sub>2</sub> forcing	Components
N1	Spatially and temporally varying	Fully coupled atmosphere, land, ocean, and sea ice
U1	Only temporally varying (i.e., without spatial variation)	Fully coupled atmosphere, land, ocean, and sea ice



(A) Spatial variations of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> anomalies from simulation N1 minus U1 over the period 1956–2005. (B) Spatial distributions of the relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> anomalies and corresponding NPP anomalies caused by radiative forcing of CO<sub>2</sub> non-uniformity over the same period.

difference in NPP between simulations N1 and U1 represents the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> radiative forcing due to spatial variations of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Simulation N1 included components based on an active atmosphere, land, ocean, and sea ice, which used atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, including temporal and spatial variations, as forcing data. Simulation U1 fixed the same atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> level in individual grid boxes, that is, CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations without spatial variations. The differences between the N1 and U1 simulations represent the impacts of the spatially varying atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

# **3 Results**

# 3.1 Regional CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and NPP over terrestrial ecosystems

The  $CO_2$  concentrations in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres vary widely. Australia, most of Africa, and southern South America have lower  $CO_2$  concentrations than globally. In Europe, the eastern United States, and eastern Asia,  $CO_2$  is higher than the global level. Simulation N1 used the



forcing data of  $CO_2$  concentration with non-uniform spatial differences, which ranged from 339 to 351 ppm (Figure 1A). Simulation U1 used the same  $CO_2$  data globally, without spatial variations.

Anthropogenic emissions can amplify the spatial difference in CO2 concentrations between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres (Figure 1A), which may lead to corresponding changes in annual NPP anomalies (Figure 1B). We divided the land into four regions according to the changes of both CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and NPP in response to the impact of radiative forcing due to spatial variations of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the period 1956-2005: region 1, which includes decreased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and NPP; region 2, which features increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and decreased NPP; region 3, which is defined by decreased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and increased NPP; and region 4, which includes increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and increased NPP. Region 2 is mainly located in mid-low latitudes, while region 4 primarily occurs in high latitudes. These two regions contribute the largest fraction of the whole land surface, accounting for 51% and 31%, respectively.

# 3.2 Estimates of NPP simulated by FGOALS-AVIM

Figure 2 shows the estimation of terrestrial NPP from simulations N1 and U1 under present conditions from 1956 to 2005. The NPP from the TRENDY dataset, consisting of seven process-based terrestrial ecosystem model simulations CLM4C, CLM4CN, LPJ, LPJ-GUESS, OCN, SDGVM, and TRIFFID (Zhang et al., 2016), was selected to compare with our simulations in the same period. An ensemble of experiment S2 from the TRENDY project was used, in which only atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and climate change were included (land use changes were kept unchanged) (Piao et al., 2013), and the results show good agreement between the global NPP of both simulations (N1 and U1) and that of TRENDY.



Comparing the NPP simulated by FGOALS–AVIM with that of TRENDY in spatial terms (Supplementary Figure S2), which has been used previously to assess the carbon budget of the terrestrial ecosystem (Piao et al., 2013; Friedlingstein et al., 2020), the estimated NPP produced with or without spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations agrees well with the simulations from TRENDY for the period 1956–2005, i.e., for the tropical forest, NPP values are higher than other plant types, and for barren and tundra they are lower. During this period from 1956 to 2005, the U1 experiment simulates smaller NPP values in the high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere than the mean values of the TRENDY ensemble. However, the NPP simulated by the N1 experiment shows close agreement with TRENDY in these regions. The underestimation of NPP is improved to some extent by the latter experiments considering spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

Obvious negative annual NPP anomalies appear in the northern Amazon, central Africa, most of Europe, eastern Siberia, eastern Asia, and mid-latitude North America (see Figure 3A). The largest values of negative NPP anomalies in these regions reach as high as  $-50 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in central Africa and  $-40 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in the northwestern Amazon Basin. The maximum values reach  $-40 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  along the northern coast

inhomogeneity of anthropogenic emissions could cause spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, which in turn would alter the physical climate due to CO<sub>2</sub> radiative forcing and thus regulation of NPP. However, the anomalies vary among regions. To examine which regions contribute the most to NPP anomalies resulting from inhomogeneous CO2 radiative forcing the terrestrial ecosystem was divided into four regions. Among these regions, region 2 includes tropical and temperate forests, which are major contributors to the NPP of terrestrial ecosystems (Cox et al., 2013; Poulter et al., 2014; Schimel et al., 2015). As can be seen, they contribute the largest fraction to the decreased NPP at  $0.7 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$  (Figure 3B), accounting for ~57% of the changes in global NPP. The negative contribution of the region to NPP anomalies seems to be proportional to their current contribution. Therefore, the annual anomalies of NPP in region 2, which is located in low-mid latitudes, can dominate the global carbon cycle response to heterogeneous CO2 radiative forcing.

 $CO_2$  concentrations at the PFT scale vary accordingly among the four seasons between simulations N1 and U1 (Supplementary Figure S3). From 1956 to 2005, larger  $CO_2$  concentrations are used in simulation N1 than U1 in spring for all PFTs, especially evergreen needle leaf forest (ENF), tundra, and crop. In contrast, the  $CO_2$ concentration in summer decreases from 0.5 ppm for evergreen broadleaf forest (EBF) to 4.2 ppm for ENF in simulation N1, in contrast with simulation U1. In autumn, the  $CO_2$  concentration used in simulation N1 increases from 0.5 ppm for EBF to 2.5 ppm for ENF. Greater  $CO_2$  concentrations in winter are used by simulation N1 than simulation U1, ranging from 2.1 ppm for EBF to 5.9 ppm for ENF.

At the PFT level, the simulated changes in NPP from 1956 to 2005 in spring, summer, and autumn are similar for DNF (deciduous needleleaf forest), C3 grass, and C4 grass (Supplementary Figure S4). In spring, there are larger decreases in NPP for deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF), but greater increases, by  $0.38 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ , in NPP for ENF and tundra in the high latitudes than in other seasons. In summer, greater decreases for ENF and BDF are simulated than for other plant types. For EBF, the simulated decrease in NPP in autumn is similar to that for DBF, C3 grass, and C4 grass. In this season, changes in NPP for ENF and tundra are faint. In winter, excluding C3 grass, the relative differences in the simulated changes in NPP are generally much smaller for other PFTs.

# 3.4 Responses of NPP to temperature, precipitation, and net surface radiation

Because NPP is greatly affected by the local temperature and rainfall (Schimel et al., 2015; Swann et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2017),

the annual anomalies of NPP caused by the radiative forcing of spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are therefore mainly due to the contribution of annual anomalies of local temperature or rainfall. How temperature or rainfall changes is a key issue toward understanding the changes in the carbon cycle related to heterogeneous CO2 radiative forcing under the influence of anthropogenic emissions. Figures 4A-F shows the correlation coefficients of NPP anomalies with anomalies of temperature, rainfall, or net surface radiation (RNET) considering the effect of heterogeneous CO2 radiative forcing. In terms of spatial distribution, the annual anomalies of NPP are highly correlated and consistent with the annual anomalies of rainfall. In the low latitudes, the correlation coefficients between the annual anomalies of NPP and temperature are greater, showing significant negative correlations. The correlation coefficients between the annual anomalies of NPP and RNET are weak, displaying most regions as not passing the significance test at the 0.05 level.

Generally, NPP is an output of the land component. The changes in NPP could be regulated by the physical climate due to the radiative forcing of non-uniform  $CO_2$  concentrations. The changes in NPP might be induced by changes in the surface temperature in most land areas, although, of course, changes in NPP could also respond to changes in precipitation over land. However, on the basis of Student's *t*-test at the 5% level, changes in precipitation are not statistically significant in most terrestrial ecosystems (Figure 4B). Thus, we hypothesize that the effects of changes in the physical climate on NPP due to radiative forcing of non-uniform  $CO_2$  concentrations are mainly expressed in terms of the impact of changes in surface temperature on NPP (Figures 4A,C).

## 3.5 Radiative forcing drivers of nearsurface temperature and precipitation

Figures 5A–D shows that RLDS might dominate the radiative forcing changes. According to Eq. 2, we show the influence of each radiation component on near-surface temperature. The positive temperature anomalies in the mid-latitude and high-latitude regions of the Northern Hemisphere are consistent with the contribution of RLDS and RSS. In temperate and boreal forests of Eurasia, increased RLDS ( $\pm 0.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) is responsible for most of the warming, followed by increased RNET ( $\pm 0.24 \pm 0.3 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ). The warming in the west of North America shows consistent signs of RSS and RLDS. The positive temperature anomalies in central Siberia are caused by the same signs of RLDS ( $\pm 2.5 \pm 2.4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) and RSS ( $\pm 0.01 \pm 0.1 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ), which are located in the maximum warming.

Annual anomalies of RLDS dominate the temperature anomalies in region 2 (Figure 5A). The positive temperature anomalies in region 2 are mainly driven by increased RLDS (+2.2  $\pm$  1.6 W m<sup>-2</sup>), which is partly offset by the decrease in RSS

Peng et al.



 $(-0.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ W m}^{-2})$ . The positive temperature anomalies in region 4 are mainly caused by radiation components with opposite signs: RLDS increases  $(+2.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ W m}^{-2})$  and RSS decreases  $(-0.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ W m}^{-2})$ . Noticeably, as compared to region 2 or 4, the reduction of RSS in region 1, by  $-0.3 \pm 2.0 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ , is greater.

To explore how near-surface temperature anomalies are affected by radiative forcing due to spatial variations of  $CO_2$  concentrations, we also analyzed the annual anomalies of temperature in different regions and how clouds drive temperature anomalies (Figure 6). There are obvious differences in the influence of cloud fraction on the near-surface temperature in individual regions. Mid clouds

 $(\beta = -0.4, p = 0.00)$  have greater impacts on temperature anomalies than low clouds in region 2. In this region, increases of mid clouds are about four times the changes in low clouds (see Supplementary Figure S5). Increased mid clouds tend to reduce solar radiation reaching the surface. Therefore, in this region, the largest increases in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations do not accompany the largest increases in temperature. In region 4, the relationship between the anomalies of clouds and the temperature is not significant.

A previous study showed that anomalies of atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration can modify the energy balance through radiative forcing, which correspondingly affects rainfall (Allen and Ingram, 2002). Results in the present study show that rainfall



Spatial distribution of anomalies of (A) downward longwave radiation (RLDS), (B) shortwave radiation (RSS), (C) latent heat flux (HFL), and (D) sensible heat flux (HFS), caused by radiative forcing of spatial variations of  $CO_2$  concentrations over the period 1956–2005.



anomalies are significantly negatively correlated with anomalies of sensible heat flux over 80% of the land area (p < 0.01) (see Figure 7). Previous studies have shown that annual sensible heat

flux anomalies play an important role in the occurrence of opposite-sign annual rainfall anomalies (Myhre et al., 2018). In northern North America, northern Europe, and southern





China, the obvious negative anomalies of sensible heat flux strengthen the positive anomalies of local rainfall. Conversely, in the low-latitudes of North America, central Africa, and the Mediterranean, negative anomalies of rainfall respond to the obvious positive anomalies of sensible heat flux.

Radiative forcing of spatially varying  $CO_2$  concentrations plays an important role in the change in NPP associated with climatic change. On the one hand, radiative forcing of spatially varying  $CO_2$ concentrations revises the surface energy budget (Figure 5) and then continues to regulate the surface climate locally. On the other hand, the radiative forcing of heterogeneous  $CO_2$  may influence the atmospheric circulation (Figure 8), which further affects the large-scale terrestrial water cycle. Therefore, non-uniform  $CO_2$ triggers anomalies of specific humidity in each region and subsequently anomalies of rainfall and thus terrestrial NPP.

On a global scale, an increase in relative humidity does not necessarily mean an increase in rainfall. In contrast, in Figure 8, based on the results of FGOALS-AVIM, the westerly winds weaken, thereby suppressing humid airflow from the Atlantic Ocean to western Europe, causing negative anomalies of rainfall and a decrease in soil moisture. In addition, dry air is strengthened



the period 1956–2005, as estimated using FGOALS–AVIM, due to radiative forcing of spatially varying of  $\rm CO_2$  concentrations.

from the northeastern to the southeastern United States. This type of circulation is not conducive to the transportation of the eastern ocean flow to the eastern continental United States. In eastern China, dry air from inland is also strengthened. Consequently, it will suppress the monsoon from the eastern Pacific Ocean, thereby reducing rainfall and causing a decrease in NPP (Figure 4E).

There is a close relationship among soil moisture, temperature, and ET (Seneviratne et al., 2006; Jung et al., 2010; Seneviratne et al., 2010). Figure 9C shows the correlation between ET and surface temperature, where the coupling of ET and temperature could reflect the evaporative demand of the air (Figure 9A). In tropical regions including the Amazon Basin, Australia, Central Africa, and southern Asia, and low- and mid-latitude regions in Europe-Asia and North America, FGOALS-AVIM presents a strong and negative correlation between ET and surface temperature (Figure 9C). Such negative coupling of ET and surface temperature could imitate the intensity of water limitation due to the high evaporative demand of air under warmer conditions. Such a negative coupling between ET and temperature is heightened due to the radiative forcing of spatial variations in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Figure 9D), which is accompanied by an enhanced NPP dependence on soil moisture (Figure 9B).

## 4 Discussion

In regions 2 and 4, positive anomalies of surface temperature and changes in rainfall have comprehensively affected the level of Peng et al.



soil moisture depletion on land and reduced NPP. In the high latitudes of region 4, positive anomalies of surface temperature have had the opposite influence. As a result, such responses of terrestrial ecosystems indicate that the radiative forcing of spatially varying  $CO_2$  concentrations might modify vegetation production substantially. Consequently, the responses will eventually adjust the atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration. Therefore, understanding the relationship between the radiative forcing of spatially varying  $CO_2$  concentrations and the terrestrial carbon cycle might provide an excellent way to predict changes in the global carbon cycle.

Positive anomalies of atmospheric  $CO_2$  account for more than two-thirds of the global land area. The maximum positive anomalies are mainly located in region 2. Our results show that the higher radiative forcing of  $CO_2$  non-uniformity can induce warming in these regions. Circulation might promote the transport of warmer air from these regions to higher latitudes. Warmer air flows from the eastern United States, western Europe, and eastern Asia to the higher latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere (Figure 8), causing more positive anomalies of surface temperature to the north of 50°N (Figure 4A). Such a change at high latitudes is conducive to an increase in surface temperature and enhanced NPP in boreal forests and tundra.

The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration significantly modifies the surface energy budget through radiative forcing, especially the RLDS of the atmosphere, which in turn affects the surface temperature (Vargas Zeppetello et al., 2019) and greatly influences the interannual changes in NPP. According to the simulation of FGOLS-AVIM, the global specific humidity increases, which reflects the increase in atmospheric water vapor. These increases may amend the surface energy balance and surface temperature, mainly through the RLDS, which our results show possesses a good positive specific humidity sensitivity ( $\beta = 8.8 \text{ W m}^{-2}/(\text{g kg}^{-1})$ ; *p* value < 0.01) (Figure 10). Therefore, to a certain extent, the changes in specific humidity reliably describe the changes in RLDS (Stanhill 2011; Liu et al., 2019). Increased specific humidity and water vapor would help prevent longwave radiation from moving from the surface into space, and also cause increases in downward longwave radiation (Figure 5). Consequently, in part, this will result in increased RLDS to heat the atmosphere and



surface [see Eq. 2]. In addition, in regions without water limitation, especially in the ocean, warmer surfaces might increase evaporation, resulting in higher water content in the atmosphere, which would cause more RLDS. Therefore, the radiative forcing of increased specific humidity may lead to positive anomalies of surface temperature in low-latitudes and Southern Hemisphere regions, although  $CO_2$  in most of these regions has not increased.

To explore how each radiative component is modified by spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, we present their spatial distribution patterns (Figure 5) and analyze how their drivers respond (Figures 10A–D). The results suggest that, in the Amazon Basin, Australia, Central Africa, and Southeast Asia, significant asymmetrical RLDS associated with the non-uniformity of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations might be caused by another factor. The enhanced low-cloud fraction in these regions (Supplementary Figure S5B) might prevent longwave radiation from being emitted from the surface into space (Figure 10B). This can also lead to asymmetrical temperature anomalies associated with increased RLDS. For example, in these regions, the increases

of RLDS at low latitudes and in the Southern Hemisphere are associated with positive anomalies in water vapor and low clouds (p < 0.01) (Figure 10).

The linear relationships between changes in global soil moisture and NPP and changes in the sea surface temperature (SST) are shown in Supplementary Figure S6. Changes in SST could regulate the local hydrological conditions of soil moisture and thus NPP (Kim et al., 2016; Schine et al., 2016; Frederiksen et al., 2018). Particularly, the changes in global mean soil moisture show a significant negative relation with SST in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. In contrast, in the northwestern Pacific around Japan, southwestern Pacific around Oceania, and North Atlantic Ocean, positive changes in soil moisture respond to positive changes in SST. In spatial distribution terms, the responses of the simulated changes in NPP from 1956 to 2005 due to the radiative forcing of the non-uniform CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations to SST are similar to the soil moisture. However, a greater negative relationship between the changes in global NPP and SST is shown in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean, compared with the relationship between global mean soil moisture and SST. This is likely because the tropics are the main contributor to global NPP, which might be related to El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Kim et al., 2017; Park et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021). Correspondingly, how ENSO, the Pacific Decadal Oscillation, and the Arctic Oscillation influence NPP through ocean-atmosphere teleconnections should be further investigated.

Spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations could increase the coupling between temperature and ET in terrestrial ecosystems. Accordingly, due to the warmer conditions (Figure 4A) transpiration might be reduced in the northwest Amazon Basin, central and southern Africa, eastern Asia, and northern Mediterranean (Supplementary Figure S7). When the temperature increases (Figure 4A), an enhanced negative relation between surface temperature and ET decreases could result in reduced leaf stomata conductance, which would limit the absorption of CO2 at the canopy level (Oren et al., 1999; Wever et al., 2002; Yuan et al., 2016). Such inhibition could lead to a reduction in canopy development, thereby reducing NPP (Figure 3). Therefore, the enhanced negative ET-temperature coupling can characterize the increased moisture deficit (Yuan et al., 2016; Yuan et al., 2019). In other words, due to the enhancement in the negative coupling of ET and temperature, the limitation strength of local water availability for plants due to the radiative forcing of spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations could cause local enhanced sensitivity of NPP to soil moisture (Yuan et al., 2016).

In addition, climate forcing caused by spatial variations in  $CO_2$  concentration can also alter atmospheric circulation, which in turn affects precipitation. The combination of these changes will cause changes in NPP. However, a more detailed understanding of the physical processes and reasons behind the NPP response to  $CO_2$  radiative forcing would be needed. The results are mainly based on the correlation between climate

variables and NPP, which makes it difficult to recognize the cause/effect because each climate variable is interrelated. Some physical explanations may need to be included to really identify the cause/consequence. In future work, sensitivity runs are necessary to detect causes/consequences, as suggested by Liu et al. (2019).

We used FGOALS–AVIM to estimate the impacts of changes in climate state due to the radiative forcing of non-uniform CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. It should be noted that these simulations based solely on FGOALS–AVIM are not ensembles. Thus, uncertainty in the simulations might result from the internal variability of this model, meaning the addition of more models for the nonuniform CO<sub>2</sub> concentration-driven runs are needed in the future. Finally, it is well known that stronger spatially varying anthropogenic carbon emissions in the future will lead to greater spatially varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Changes in the radiative forcing of non-uniform CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations under future conditions were not included in our simulations. Elucidating the future impact on NPP of such greater spatial variations would help towards better understanding its impact in the real world. This too requires further investigation.

## **5** Conclusion

Fossil fuel-based anthropogenic emissions could induce the spatial variation in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. This study shows that the CO<sub>2</sub> radiative forcing of spatial variations in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations has resulted in an additional decrease in NPP in region 2 by 0.7 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, or a 57% additional decrease in NPP for the whole terrestrial ecosystem, over the period 1956-2005. Such reductions in NPP mainly relate to increases in surface temperature, by 0.4°C. Jointly driven by the strengthened coupling between evaporation and temperature, the dependence of NPP on soil moisture in region 2 has decreased. Consequently, reduced soil moisture under warmer conditions due to the radiative forcing of spatial variations in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations has significantly decreased NPP. In addition, the increase in downward longwave radiation can be attributed to such an increase in surface temperature. To a certain extent, this increase in downward longwave radiation results from low-level cloud. We argue that regional changes in NPP due to the radiative forcing of spatial variations in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations should be an integral part of future studies on the responses of carbon fluxes to climate change.

## References

Ainsworth, E. A., and Long, S. P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO2 enrichment (face)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO2. *New Phytol.* 165, 351–372. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01224.x

Allen, M. R., and Ingram, W. J. (2002). Constraints on future changes in climate and the hydrologic cycle. *Nature* 419, 228–232. doi:10.1038/nature01092

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

JP wrote the manuscript. LD, XT, and FY led data analysis with a considerable comment, contribution, and interpretation from all authors.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 42141017, 41975112, 42175142, and 42175013) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0602501) for supporting this study. They thank Prof. Yongkang Xue from the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), CA, United States, for the great suggestions.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/feart.2022. 953605/full#supplementary-material

Ballantyne, A., Smith, W., Anderegg, W., Kauppi, P., Sarmiento, J., Tans, P., et al. (2017). Accelerating net terrestrial carbon uptake during the warming hiatus due to reduced respiration. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 7, 148–152. doi:10.1038/nclimate3204

Bao, Q., Lin, P., Zhou, T., Liu, Y., Yu, Y., Wu, G., et al. (2013). The flexible global ocean-atmosphere-land system model, spectral version 2: FGOALS-s2. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* 30, 561–576. doi:10.1007/s00376-012-2113-9

Canadell, J. G., Monteiro, P. M. S, Costa, M. H., Cotrim da Cunha, L., Cox, P. M., Eliseev, A. V., et al. (2021). "Global Carbon and other Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks," in *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Editors V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, et al. (Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY: Cambridge University Press), 673–816. doi:10.1017/9781009157896.007

Cao, L., Bala, G., Caldeira, K., Nemani, R., and Ban-Weiss, G. (2010). Importance of carbon dioxide physiological forcing to future climate change. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 9513–9518. doi:10.1073/pnas.0913000107

Capistrano, V. B., Nobre, P., Veiga, S. F., Tedeschi, R., Silva, J., Bottino, M., et al. (2020). Assessing the performance of climate change simulation results from BESM-OA2.5 compared with a CMIP5 model ensemble. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 2277–2296. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-2277-2020

Chen, X., Zhou, T., and Guo, Z. (2014). Climate sensitivities of two versions of FGOALS model to idealized radiative forcing. *Sci. China Earth Sci.* 57, 1363–1373. doi:10.1007/s11430-013-4692-4

Cox, P., Pearson, D., Booth, B., Friedlingstein, P., Huntingford, C., Jones, C., et al. (2013). Sensitivity of tropical carbon to climate change constrained by carbon dioxide variability. *Nature* 494, 341–344. doi:10.1038/nature11882

Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., and Shine, K. P. (2016). Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide: A significant revision of the methane radiative forcing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43 (12), 614–612. doi:10.1002/2016gl071930

Falahatkar, S., Mousavi, S. M., and Farajzadeh, M. (2017). Spatial and temporal distribution of carbon dioxide gas using GOSAT data over Iran. *Environ. Monit.* Assess. 189, 627. doi:10.1007/s10661-017-6285-8

Frederiksen, C. S., Ying, K., Grainger, S., and Zheng, X. (2018). Modes of interannual variability in northern hemisphere winter atmospheric circulation in CMIP5 models: Evaluation, projection and role of external forcing. *Clim. Dyn.* 50, 2845–2865. doi:10.1007/s00382-017-3776-9

Friedlingstein, P. (2015). Carbon cycle feedbacks and future climate change. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 373, 20140421. doi:10.1098/rsta.2014.0421

Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., et al. (2006). Climate-carbon cycle feedback analysis: Results from the C4MIP model Intercomparison. J. Clim. 19, 3337–3353. doi:10.1175/jcli3800.1

Friedlingstein, P., Meinshausen, M., Arora, V. K., Jones, C. D., Anav, A., Liddicoat, S. K., et al. (2013). Uncertainties in CMIP5 climate projections due to carbon cycle feedbacks. *J. Clim.* 27, 511–526. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-12-00579.1

Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., and Olsen, A. (2020). Global carbon budget 2020. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 12, 3269–3340.

Govindasamy, B., and Caldeira, K. (2000). Geoengineering Earth's radiation balance to mitigate CO2-induced climate change. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 27, 2141–2144. doi:10.1029/1999gl006086

Huang, Y., Xia, Y., and Tan, X. (2017). On the pattern of CO2 radiative forcing and poleward energy transport. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 122, 10578–10593. doi:10. 1002/2017jd027221

Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Seneviratne, S. I., Sheffield, J., Goulden, M. L., et al. (2010). Recent decline in the global land evapotranspiration trend due to limited moisture supply. *Nature* 467, 951–954. doi:10.1038/nature09396

Kim, I.-W., Stuecker, M. F., Timmermann, A., Zeller, E., Kug, J.-S., Park, S.-W., et al. (2021). Tropical Indo-Pacific SST influences on vegetation variability in eastern Africa. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 10462. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-89824-x

Kim, J.-S., Kug, J.-S., and Jeong, S.-J. (2017). Intensification of terrestrial carbon cycle related to El Niño–Southern Oscillation under greenhouse warming. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1674. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01831-7

Kim, J.-S., Kug, J.-S., Yoon, J.-H., and Jeong, S.-J. (2016). Increased atmospheric CO2 growth rate during El Niño driven by reduced terrestrial productivity in the CMIP5 ESMs. *J. Clim.* 29, 8783–8805. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-14-00672.1

Liu, Y., Xue, Y., MacDonald, G., Cox, P., and Zhang, Z. (2019). Global vegetation variability and its response to elevated CO&dt;sub>2&dt;/sub>, global warming, and climate variability – A study using the offline SSiB4/TRIFFID model and satellite data. *Earth Syst. Dynam.* 10, 9–29. doi:10.5194/esd-10-9-2019

Medlyn, B. E., Zaehle, S., De Kauwe, M. G., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Hanson, P. J., et al. (2015). Using ecosystem experiments to improve vegetation models. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 5, 528–534. doi:10.1038/nclimate2621

Muller, C. J., and O'Gorman, P. A. (2011). An energetic perspective on the regional response of precipitation to climate change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 1, 266–271. doi:10.1038/nclimate1169

Myhre, G., Samset, B. H., Hodnebrog, O., Andrews, T., Boucher, O., Faluvegi, G., et al. (2018). Sensible heat has significantly affected the global hydrological

cycle over the historical period. Nat. Commun. 9, 1922. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04307-4

Myhre, G., Samset, B. H., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T. K., et al. (2013). Radiative forcing of the direct aerosol effect from AeroCom Phase II simulations. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 1853–1877. doi:10.5194/acp-13-1853-2013

Nassar, R., Napier-Linton, L., Gurney, K. R., Andres, R. J., Oda, T., Vogel, F. R., et al. (2013). Improving the temporal and spatial distribution of CO2 emissions from global fossil fuel emission data sets. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 118, 917–933. doi:10.1029/2012jd018196

Oda, T., Maksyutov, S., and Andres, R. J. (2018). The open-source data inventory for anthropogenic CO<sub&gt;2&lt/sub&gt;, version 2016 (ODIAC2016): A global monthly fossil fuel CO<sub&gt;2&lt;sub&gt; gridded emissions data product for tracer transport simulations and surface flux inversions. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 10, 87–107. doi:10.5194/essd-10-87-2018

Oren, R., Sperry, J. S., Katul, G. G., Pataki, D. E., Ewers, B. E., Phillips, N., et al. (1999). Survey and synthesis of intra- and interspecific variation in stomatal sensitivity to vapour pressure deficit. *Plant Cell Environ.* 22, 1515–1526. doi:10. 1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00513.x

Park, S.-W., Kim, J.-S., Kug, J.-S., Stuecker, M. F., Kim, I.-W., and Williams, M. (2020). Two aspects of decadal ENSO variability modulating the long-term global carbon cycle. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47, e2019GL086390. doi:10.1029/2019gl086390

Peng, J., Dan, L., and Dong, W. (2014). Are there interactive effects of physiological and radiative forcing produced by increased CO2 concentration on changes of land hydrological cycle? *Glob. Planet. Change* 112, 64–78. doi:10. 1016/j.gloplacha.2013.11.007

Peng, J., and Dan, L. (2015). Impacts of CO2 concentration and climate change on the terrestrial carbon flux using six global climate-carbon coupled models. *Ecol. Modell.* 304, 69–83. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.02.016

Peng, J., and Dan, L. (2014). "The response of the terrestrial carbon cycle simulated by FGOALS-AVIM to rising CO 2," in *Flexible global ocean-atmosphere-land system model* (Berlin, Germany: Springer), 393–403.

Piao, S., Sitch, S., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Peylin, P., Wang, X., et al. (2013). Evaluation of terrestrial carbon cycle models for their response to climate variability and to CO2 trends. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19, 2117–2132. doi:10.1111/gcb.12187

Piao, S., Wang, X., Park, T., Chen, C., Lian, X., He, Y., et al. (2020). Characteristics, drivers and feedbacks of global greening. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* 1, 14–27. doi:10. 1038/s43017-019-0001-x

Poulter, B., Frank, D., Ciais, P., Myneni, R. B., Andela, N., Bi, J., et al. (2014). Contribution of semi-arid ecosystems to interannual variability of the global carbon cycle. *Nature* 509, 600–603. doi:10.1038/nature13376

Ramaswamy, V., Collins, W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Mahowald, N., Myhre, G., et al. (2019). Radiative forcing of climate: The historical evolution of the radiative forcing concept, the forcing agents and their quantification, and applications. *Meteorol. Monogr.* 59, 14.1–14.101. doi:10.1175/amsmonographs-d-19-0001.1

Schimel, D., Stephens, B. B., and Fisher, J. B. (2015). Effect of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> on the terrestrial carbon cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 436–441. doi:10.1073/pnas.1407302112

Schine, C. M. S., van Dijken, G., and Arrigo, K. R. (2016). Spatial analysis of trends in primary production and relationship with large-scale climate variability in the Ross Sea, Antarctica (1997–2013). *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 121, 368–386. doi:10. 1002/2015jc011014

Seneviratne, S. I., Corti, T., Davin, E. L., Hirschi, M., Jaeger, E. B., Lehner, I., et al. (2010). Investigating soil moisture-climate interactions in a changing climate: A review. *Earth. Sci. Rev.* 99, 125-161. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2010. 02.004

Seneviratne, S. I., Lüthi, D., Litschi, M., and Schär, C. (2006). Land-atmosphere coupling and climate change in Europe. *Nature* 443, 205–209. doi:10.1038/ nature05095

Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Gruber, N., Jones, S. D., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Ahlstrom, A., et al. (2015). Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Biogeosciences* 12, 653–679. doi:10.5194/bg-12-653-2015

Stanhill, G. (2011). The role of water vapor and solar radiation in determining temperature changes and trends measured at Armagh, 1881–2000. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, D03105. doi:10.1029/2010jd014044

Swann, A. L. S., Hoffman, F. M., Koven, C. D., and Randerson, J. T. (2016). Plant responses to increasing CO2 reduce estimates of climate impacts on drought severity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 10019–10024. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1604581113 Törnqvist, R., Jarsjö, J., Pietroń, J., Bring, A., Rogberg, P., Asokan, S. M., et al. (2014). Evolution of the hydro-climate system in the Lake Baikal basin. *J. Hydrology* 519, 1953–1962. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.09.074

Vargas Zeppetello, L. R., Donohoe, A., and Battisti, D. S. (2019). Does surface temperature respond to or determine downwelling longwave radiation? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46, 2781–2789. doi:10.1029/2019gl082220

Wang, J., Bao, Q., Zeng, N., Liu, Y., Wu, G., and Ji, D. J. A. i. A. S. (2013). Earth System Model FGOALS-s2: Coupling a dynamic global vegetation and terrestrial carbon model with the physical climate system model. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* 30, 1549–1559. doi:10.1007/s00376-013-2169-1

Wang, Y., Feng, J., Dan, L., Lin, S., and Tian, J. (2019). The impact of uniform and nonuniform CO2 concentrations on global climatic change. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 139, 45–55. doi:10.1007/s00704-019-02924-7

Wever, L. A., Flanagan, L. B., and Carlson, P. J. (2002). Seasonal and interannual variation in evapotranspiration, energy balance and surface conductance in a northern temperate grassland. *Agric. For. Meteorology* 112, 31–49. doi:10.1016/s0168-1923(02)00041-2

Yuan, W., Cai, W., Chen, Y., Liu, S., Dong, W., Zhang, H., et al. (2016). Severe summer heatwave and drought strongly reduced carbon uptake in Southern China. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 18813. doi:10.1038/srep18813

Yuan, W., Zheng, Y., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Lombardozzi, D., Wang, Y., et al. (2019). Increased atmospheric vapor pressure deficit reduces global vegetation growth. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaax1396. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax1396

Zhang, X., Rayner, P. J., Wang, Y.-P., Silver, J. D., Lu, X., Pak, B., et al. (2016). Linear and nonlinear effects of dominant drivers on the trends in global and regional land carbon uptake: 1959 to 2013. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43, 1607–1614. doi:10.1002/2015gl067162