



# ENSO Diversity Simulated in a Revised Cane-Zebiak Model

Licheng Geng\* and Fei-Fei Jin

Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI, United States

The El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon features rich sea surface temperature (SST) spatial pattern variations dominated by the Central Pacific (CP) and Eastern Pacific (EP) patterns during its warm phase. Understanding such ENSO pattern diversity has been a subject under extensive research activity. To provide a framework for unveiling the fundamental dynamics of ENSO diversity, an intermediate coupled model based on the Cane-Zebiak-type framework, named RCZ, is established in this study. Compared with the original Cane-Zebiak model, RCZ consists of revised model formulation and well-tuned parameterization schemes. All model components are carefully validated against the observations *via* the standalone mode, in which the observed anomalous SST (wind stress) forcing is prescribed to drive the atmospheric (oceanic) component. The superiority of RCZ's model components over those in the original Cane-Zebiak model is evidenced by their better performance in simulating the observations. Coupled simulation with RCZ satisfactorily reproduces aspects of the observed ENSO characteristics, including the spatial pattern, phase-locking, amplitude asymmetry, and, particularly, ENSO diversity/bi-modality. RCZ serves as a promising tool for studying dynamics of ENSO diversity as it resolves most of the relevant processes proposed in the literature, including atmospheric nonlinear convective heating, oceanic nonlinear dynamical heating, and the ENSO/westerly wind burst interaction.

**Keywords:** El Niño-Southern Oscillation, ENSO diversity, ENSO bi-modality, Cane-Zebiak model, nonlinear convective heating, subsurface temperature parameterization

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Hong-Li Ren,

Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, China

### Reviewed by:

Xin Geng,

Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, China

Run Wang,

Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, China

### \*Correspondence:

Licheng Geng

lgeng@hawaii.edu

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Atmospheric Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Earth Science

**Received:** 18 March 2022

**Accepted:** 06 April 2022

**Published:** 25 April 2022

### Citation:

Geng L and Jin F-F (2022) ENSO Diversity Simulated in a Revised Cane-Zebiak Model. *Front. Earth Sci.* 10:899323. doi: 10.3389/feart.2022.899323

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), a basin-scale atmosphere-ocean coupled phenomenon, is the dominant interannual climate variability in the tropics. Since the Tropical Ocean-Global Atmosphere (TOGA) decade, extensive observational studies have unveiled the ENSO temporal complexity and inter-event pattern diversity (Timmermann et al., 2018, and references therein). El Niño exhibits rich diversity in the sea surface temperature anomaly (SSTA) pattern at its mature phase and is accordingly categorized into two types/flavors- Eastern Pacific (EP) El Niño and Central Pacific (CP) El Niño (Larkin and Harrison, 2005; Ashok et al., 2007; Kao and Yu, 2009; Kug et al., 2009; Yeh et al., 2009; Ren and Jin, 2011). Corresponding to the contrasting spatial pattern and magnitude of the associated SSTA, the two types of El Niño exhibit substantial differences in their impacts (see review articles by Yang et al., 2018; Taschetto et al., 2020; Cai et al., 2021). In contrast to El Niño, La Niña exhibits less obvious pattern diversity (Kug and Ham, 2011).

The distinct feedback processes associated with the two types of El Niño have been well documented. Growth of SSTA during EP and CP El Niño events is accomplished by different combinations of thermocline feedback and zonal advective feedback, with the latter being more (less)

important for CP (EP) El Niño (Kug et al., 2009; Capotondi, 2013; Ren and Wang, 2020; Wang and Ren, 2020). The discharge/recharge paradigm serves as delayed-negative feedback and thus contributes to the phase transition of EP El Niño. Such a slow oceanic adjustment process, however, is less distinct for CP El Niño (Kug et al., 2010; McPhaden, 2012; Capotondi, 2013; Ren and Jin, 2013).

A large amount of research efforts has been put forth to advance our understanding of the coexistence of the two types of El Niño. The current understanding of ENSO diversity is generally classified into two camps, representing two distinct possible pathways. The first understanding, which is essentially from the linear perspective, underscores the role played by stochastic processes in generating the two types of ENSO through exciting either two coexisting ENSO-like linear modes or distinct optimal growth (Bejarano and Jin, 2008; Newman et al., 2011a; Newman et al., 2011b; Vimont et al., 2014; Vimont et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2015; Hayashi and Watanabe, 2017; Xie and Jin, 2018). The other understanding emphasizes the effect of nonlinear processes and speculates that the two types of ENSO represent the moderate and extreme regimes of the universal ENSO phenomenon (Takahashi et al., 2011; Takahashi and Dewitte, 2016; Okumura, 2019). Due to their limitations, neither of the above two understandings is conclusive; the dynamics of ENSO diversity is still far from being fully understood. A major roadblock is the intertwining of proposed mechanisms in explaining various aspects of ENSO diversity. To unambiguously disentangle its dynamics, one needs to turn to a simple but comprehensive framework that allows a clean separation among the possible mechanisms.

The classic Cane-Zebiak model (CZ model hereafter; Zebiak and Cane, 1987, ZC87 hereafter), along with its simplified variants (e.g., Jin and Neelin, 1993; Jin, 1997), has dramatically advanced ENSO research as it provides a decent framework for studies on ENSO theory, modeling, and prediction. It is also a useful tool for studying ENSO diversity as it allows testing of contribution from various mechanisms by switching on/off relevant processes. Recently, the CZ model has been utilized to investigate 1) the linear ENSO dynamics of relevance to ENSO diversity (Bejarano and Jin, 2008; Xie and Jin, 2018) and 2) the effects of westerly wind bursts and their interaction with ENSO on ENSO diversity (Chen et al., 2015; Hayashi and Watanabe, 2017). It deserves to point out that the CZ model was built more than three decades ago, when there was not enough observational data, especially oceanic data, to test its validity. With the emerging satellite and *in-situ* data not long after the model was established, Perigaud and Dewitte (1996) and Dewitte and Perigaud (1996) were able to identify several deficiencies in the CZ model components. It has been noted in Geng (2021) that characteristics of ENSO diversity exhibit strong sensitivity to the mean state and feedback processes, which effectively determine the ENSO regime. Thus, attempts toward understanding ENSO diversity with the CZ model shall be cautious, especially considering that the mean state and model parameters in such an anomaly model are somewhat arbitrary.

Inspired by the convenience of the Cane-Zebiak-type framework for studying ENSO diversity while acknowledging its deficiencies, we

aim to build a revised CZ model in which model formulation and parameterization schemes are improved and carefully validated. The model is referred to as RCZ, with “R” denoting “revised”. **Section 2** provides the model description and verification of model components against observations. Characteristics of RCZ-simulated ENSO behavior are summarized in **Section 3**. Summary and some discussions on shortcomings and potential improvements of RCZ are presented in **Section 4**.

## 2 MODEL DESCRIPTION AND VERIFICATION

### 2.1 Revisions to the CZ Model

The skeleton of RCZ resembles that of the CZ model, comprising a diagnostic atmospheric model, a prognostic ocean dynamics model, and a prognostic mixed-layer model. All model variables are anomalous fields defined as deviations from the prescribed seasonal-varying mean state. Detailed descriptions of the model equations are provided in the **Supplementary Appendix**. Key differences in model configurations between RCZ and the CZ model are summarized as follows.

#### 2.1.1 Atmospheric Model


In the CZ model, the tropical surface wind stress anomalies are driven by SSTA-related condensation heating and anomalous circulation-determined convective heating, in which the latter is solved iteratively. However, as numerical convergence for iteration is not guaranteed, especially for the linear stability analysis performed with grid point perturbation which has been utilized to study linear ENSO dynamics (Bejarano and Jin, 2008; Xie and Jin, 2018), the iteration method introduces truncation error and artificial randomness. Anomalous diabatic heating in RCZ, therefore, is solely determined by SSTA in the form of

$$\dot{Q}_a = a_Q \exp(b_Q \bar{T}) \left[ b_Q T + \frac{1}{2}(b_Q T)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(b_Q T)^3 \right] \quad (1)$$

where  $\bar{T}$  and  $T$  denote the mean SST and SSTA, respectively. Values of the coefficients  $a_Q$  and  $b_Q$  are empirically obtained through best-fitting and are provided in the **Supplementary Appendix**. In contrast to the CZ model where the condensation heating is linearly dependent on SSTA, the high-order terms (i.e., the quadratic and cubic terms) on the right-hand-side (RHS) of **Eq. 1** are introduced to describe the nonlinear convective heating which has been suggested to play critical roles in ENSO asymmetry (Kang and Kug, 2002; Ohba and Ueda, 2009; Frauen and Dommenges, 2010; Choi et al., 2013; An and Kim, 2017) and ENSO diversity/bi-modality (Okumura, 2019; Takahashi et al., 2019). The formulation of nonlinearity (i.e., third-order truncation of Taylor expansion for exponential function) is inspired by observing that the local relationship between precipitation and underlying SST generally follows an exponential curve (e.g., Okumura, 2019).

#### 2.1.2 Ocean Dynamics Model

Unlike the CZ model, which adopts the longwave approximation in the ocean dynamics component, RCZ utilizes the full shallow-



water model. Instead of separately integrating Kelvin and Rossby wave components as in Cane and Patton (1984) or applying modal decomposition to project oceanic variables onto Hermite polynomials as in Bejarano and Jin (2008), RCZ explicitly resolves the anomalous zonal current, meridional current, and thermocline depth. Furthermore, rather than being assigned a fixed value as in the CZ model, the reduced gravity constant in RCZ is spatial-varying, which effectively relieves the ocean wave over-reflection at the eastern boundary observed in shallow-water model simulations with a fixed reduced gravity constant.

### 2.1.3 Mixed-Layer Model

The primary difference of the mixed-layer model between RCZ and the CZ model is in the formulation of subsurface temperature parameterization. Yuan et al. (2020) found that the parameterization scheme in the CZ model 1) artificially introduces an over-strong El Niño/La Niña asymmetry and 2) underestimates subsurface temperature anomalies in the central Pacific (also see **Figure 1**). Inspired by ZC87 and Yuan et al. (2020), a subsurface temperature parameterization is proposed as

$$T_{sub} = \gamma A_{sub} \left( \tanh \frac{\bar{h} + h - H_{sub}}{h^*} - \tanh \frac{\bar{h} - H_{sub}}{h^*} \right) + (1 - \gamma) T_m \quad (2)$$



where  $\bar{h}$  and  $h$  denote the mean thermocline depth (i.e., depth of the 20°C-isotherm) and thermocline fluctuation, respectively; and  $T_m$  is the mixed layer temperature anomaly (MLTA). The second term on RHS of **Eq. 2** mimics the entrainment process, with  $1 - \gamma$  measuring the efficiency of entrainment. Definitions and values

of other coefficients are provided in the **Supplementary Appendix**. Compared with that proposed in ZC87, this parameterization scheme removes the artificial El Niño/La Niña asymmetry and thus better captures the observed cold equatorial subsurface temperature anomalies during La Niña events (**Figure 1**).

Though the mixed layer depth (MLD) is prescribed and assumed to be fixed in RCZ and the CZ model, it varies spatially and temporally in realistic situations. Variation of mixed layer temperature defined with fixed MLD, which is essentially vertically averaged temperature over the upper-level rather than the real mixed layer, is generally of larger magnitude than that of the corresponding SSTA if the mixed layer is shallower than the prescribed MLD, for instance in the eastern Pacific. Rather than assuming SSTA to be equivalent to MLTA as in the CZ model, RCZ takes into consideration the deficiency of fixed MLD approximation and empirically relates SSTA to MLTA in an empirical way (**Supplementary Appendix Equation A10**). A close relationship between the observed SSTA and that parameterized with MLTA validates our empirical formulation (**Figure 2**).

### 2.1.4 Stochastic Forcing

The original CZ model introduced in ZC87 is a purely deterministic model in which irregularities emerge primarily through nonlinear processes. In subsequent studies with the CZ model, stochastic processes have been incorporated in the form of white/red noise forcing or episodic westerly wind burst (WWB) occurrences. A parameterization scheme of state-dependent WWBs similar to that in Hayashi and Watanabe



(2017) is proposed to describe the stochastic forcing. In contrast to some previous studies, both the mechanical and thermal forcing effects from WWBs are included in RCZ.

### 2.1.5 Numerical Scheme

The model domain for RCZ is within a closed tropical Pacific basin, bounded by a north/south boundary at 30°N/30°S and artificial meridional boundaries mimicking the realistic tilted coastline along the Maritime Continent and North America (**Figure 3**). The model has a resolution of 1° (meridional) × 2.5° (zonal). The ocean dynamics variables are discretized on the staggered Arakawa C-grid. The ocean current is subject to the non-slip and no-normal flow boundary condition. MLTA is subject to the no-flux boundary condition. The advection terms in the mixed layer model are calculated through the

upwind finite-difference scheme. The prognostic model components are integrated forward using the semi-implicit Euler method, with a time step of 4 h. All model components are instantaneously coupled at each time step.

## 2.2 Verification of Model Components


Model components are verified *via* the standalone (i.e., uncoupled) mode. Specifically, observed SSTAs are prescribed to drive the atmospheric model, and observed wind stress anomalies are prescribed to drive the ocean dynamics model and the mixed layer model. Comparison between the observations and the model-simulated responses allows verification of each model component. The above verification procedures are repeated, except that the CZ model is utilized instead, to compare RCZ with the CZ model. The prescribed seasonal-varying mean state is obtained through the ensemble mean of multiple *in-situ* and reanalysis-based datasets listed in **Table 1**. The corresponding observed anomalies, covering 1980–2018, are obtained after removing the long-term climatology and then de-trending.

### 2.2.1 Atmospheric Model

As meridional wind stress anomalies play a minor role in driving oceanic responses on ENSO timescale, we compare the observed and the model-simulated zonal wind stress anomalies to verify the atmospheric model. Given the observed SSTA, RCZ satisfactorily captures the variation of equatorial zonal wind


**TABLE 1** | List of datasets used for model verification.

Fields (variables)	Datasets	Resolution	References
Atmosphere ( $u_a, v_a$ )	ERA5	$1^\circ \times 1^\circ$	Hersbach et al. (2020)
	MERRA-2	$0.5^\circ \times 0.625^\circ$	Gelaro et al. (2017)
	NCEP/DOE Reanalysis 2	$2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$	Kanamitsu et al. (2002)
SST	COBE SST	$1^\circ \times 1^\circ$	Hirahara et al. (2014)
	ERSST V5	$2^\circ \times 2^\circ$	Huang et al. (2017)
	HadISST	$1^\circ \times 1^\circ$	Rayner (2003)
	OISST V2	$1^\circ \times 1^\circ$	Reynolds et al. (2002)
Ocean ( $u_1, v_1, w, h, T_{\text{sub}}, \tau_x, \tau_y$ )	GFDL	$1^\circ \times 1^\circ$	Zhang et al. (2007)
	GODAS	$0.333^\circ \times 1^\circ$	Behringer and Xue (2004)
	ECMWF ORAS3	$1^\circ \times 1^\circ$	Balmaseda et al. (2008)
	ECMWF ORAS5	$1^\circ \times 1^\circ$	Zuo et al. (2019)
	SODA3.1.1	$0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$	Carton et al. (2018)



stress anomalies (**Figure 4**). The over-strong eastern Pacific wind stress response identified in the CZ model is significantly alleviated in the RCZ simulation. The correlation coefficient between the observed and RCZ-simulated equatorial zonal wind stress anomalies over the western-to-central Pacific, where maximum wind stress anomalies locate, reaches 0.92 (**Figure 5**). In comparison, the CZ model simulation exhibits less correlation with the observation and a larger root mean square error. As indicated by the composite analysis shown in **Figure 6**, the spatial pattern and the magnitude of zonal wind stress anomalies during the mature phase (i.e., November to January) of the two types of El Niño and La Niña are well captured by RCZ. In contrast, the CZ model-simulated equatorial (off-equatorial) zonal wind stress anomalies to the west (east) of SSTA maximum are significantly weaker (stronger) than observed.

The major discrepancy between the observations and the RCZ simulation lies in the meridional structure of wind stress anomalies. During the mature phase of ENSO (i.e., boreal winter), the observed zonal wind stress anomalies center to the south of the equator. The model simulated zonal wind stress anomalies, however, straddle along the equator. Considering that the corresponding SSTA forcing is generally symmetric about the equator, the absence of north-south asymmetry in zonal wind stress anomalies indicates the deficiency of the assumption that SSTA solely determines the atmospheric response. Gong and Li (2021) found that the asymmetric mean state about the equator during boreal winter plays a key role in the southward shift of zonal wind stress anomalies. It is to bear in mind that in the current version of RCZ, an at-rest mean state has been assumed in the atmospheric model. In addition, the moisture processes involved in the




circulation-convection interaction have not been explicitly incorporated. Thus, the modulation effect of asymmetric mean states shall be taken into consideration in a more realistic atmospheric model with circulation-convection interaction included.


To test the validity of the atmospheric nonlinearity introduced in RCZ, we compare the atmospheric response to prescribed EP and CP El Niño-like SST forcing with varying amplitudes simulated by an AGCM (Community Atmospheric Model, CAM4; Neale et al., 2010) and the atmospheric model of RCZ (not shown). Consistent with the observations, the atmospheric response in CAM4 exhibits relatively strong (weak) nonlinearity to EP (CP) El Niño-like SST forcing. Such atmospheric nonlinearity to prescribed SST forcing is satisfactorily reproduced in RCZ simulation, thus validating the nonlinear formulation of diabatic heating proposed in Eq. 1.

### 2.2.2 Ocean Dynamics Model and Mixed Layer Model

The oceanic component of RCZ, consisting of the ocean dynamics model and the mixed layer model, is verified *via* uncoupled simulation forced with the observed wind stress anomalies. The ocean dynamics responses (i.e., anomalous thermocline depth, surface zonal current, and upwelling) exhibit a strong resemblance to the observations, except that the simulated zonal surface current near the eastern boundaries is slightly weaker than the observations (not shown).


The advantages of RCZ over the CZ model are most evident in the simulation of SSTA. Time evolution of equatorial SSTA indicates that most observed ENSO events are successfully







reproduced in RCZ (Figures 7A,B). The correlation coefficients of the eastern Pacific and central Pacific SSTA between the observations and the RCZ simulation reach 0.91 and 0.92, both exceeding the 99.9% confidence level (Figure 8). The correlation coefficient/standardized root mean square error for the CZ model simulation is smaller/larger than that for the RCZ simulation. As shown in the composite analysis (Figure 9), the observed spatial patterns associated with the two types of El Niño and La Niña are satisfactorily captured in RCZ. The CZ model, however, fails to distinguish CP El Niño from EP El Niño, and it can hardly capture La Niña (Figures 7C, 9). The too-weak CP El Niño and La Niña in the CZ model possibly result from the deficient subsurface temperature parameterization scheme (Figure 1). In RCZ, the dynamical damping in the central Pacific is partially offset by the mean advection of subsurface temperature anomalies, thus allowing the emergence of CP El Niño and La Niña. In contrast, such offset is less evident in the CZ model, making the dynamical damping dominate over the mean advection of subsurface temperature anomalies.

Compared with those observed, the EP El Niño SSTA pattern in RCZ extends more westward toward the warm pool. Such excessive warming in the equatorial central-western Pacific is a common bias in climate models and is arguably attributed to the model-simulated stronger-than-observation mean zonal temperature gradient (Chen et al., 2021; Jiang et al., 2021). Interestingly, it is to be noted that the mean zonal temperature gradient in RCZ is prescribed as observed. The reason for the SSTA pattern bias in RCZ is thus worthy of further investigation. In addition, the RCZ-simulated ENSO patterns are of a slightly smaller meridional scale, for which the reason is possibly related to the biased ocean circulation response and needs to be further studied.






### 3 ENSO DIVERSITY IN RCZ

#### 3.1 General ENSO Behavior


To evaluate the performance of RCZ in simulating ENSO features, we perform a 5000-year coupled simulation with

default model settings. The prescribed seasonal-varying mean state is obtained from the long-term averaged observations. The Hovmöller diagram of a 120-year segment of the RCZ-simulated equatorial SSTA, as shown in **Figure 10**, exhibits intermittency of ENSO activity, with more or less frequent occurrences of ENSO






**FIGURE 11** | The standard deviation of SSTA (unit: °C) in (A) the observations and (B) the 5000-year RCZ coupled simulation. The observed standard deviation is calculated with the HadISST dataset covering 1900–2018.



**FIGURE 13** | Probability density function (PDF) for the centroid longitude of equatorial SSTA at the peak phase of (A) El Niño events and (B) La Niña events. The PDF is estimated based on binned histograms from the 5000-year simulation. The width of the bins is one degree in longitude.



**FIGURE 12** | (A) Seasonal standard deviation of Niño 3 index (unit: °C) in the observations (upper panel; red bars) and the RCZ simulation (lower panel; blue bars). (B) Histogram of the monthly Niño 3 index (unit: °C) in the observations (upper panel; red bars) and the RCZ simulation (lower panel; blue bars). The bin size is 0.2°C.

events in various epochs/decades. The intensity of the tropical Pacific interannual variability, measured by the standard deviation of SSTA, is shown in **Figure 11**. Consistent with the observations, RCZ-simulated SSTA variability is strongest in the central-eastern Pacific. However, the amplitude of SSTA variability is stronger in RCZ than in the observations. Such inconsistency is partly due to the fact that ENSO simulated in RCZ exhibits less irregularity than in the observations. As shown


in the Hovmöller diagram of equatorial SSTA in **Figure 10**, warm and cold events tend to occur alternatively in active ENSO epochs. In contrast, observed El Niño events, especially for CP El Niño, are more-or-less episodic-like rather than being regularly followed by La Niña events (**Figure 7A**). The underestimated irregularity in RCZ indicates that ENSO behavior in active decades may reside in the self-sustained regime.

The phase-locking behavior of ENSO is examined *via* the seasonal standard deviation of the Niño 3 index (**Figure 12A**). Again, the standard deviation in the RCZ simulation is stronger than in the observations. Consistent with the observations, ENSO events tend to see peak phases in boreal winter, as indicated by the strongest Niño 3 index variability from November to January. The minimum amplitude of Niño 3 index variability in RCZ, however, is slightly shifted by 2-month compared with the observations. The relationship between seasonal ENSO stability and ENSO phase locking has been well noted (e.g., Chen and Jin, 2020). In the current version of RCZ, the stability of the coupled system is solely determined by the seasonal cycle of oceanic variables. By considering the atmospheric control over the ENSO stability associated with the seasonal migration of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and the South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ), the simulated ENSO phase-locking may be further improved.

The observed El Niño/La Niña asymmetry is also successfully reproduced in RCZ (**Figure 12B**). The monthly Niño 3 index is skewed toward the positive end in the observations and the RCZ simulation, with the skewness [calculated following An and Jin (2004)] being 0.72 and 0.77, respectively.

### 3.2 Characteristics of ENSO Bi-Modality


ENSO pattern diversity can be clearly identified in the Hovmöller diagram of the RCZ-simulated equatorial SSTA (**Figure 10**).




Warm events are generally categorized into two groups, with maximum SSTA at the peak phase located either over the eastern Pacific or slightly to the east of the dateline. Consistent with previous observational findings (e.g., Kug and Ham, 2011), cold events exhibit less pattern diversity, and the associated SSTA spans broadly over the central-to-eastern Pacific. To better distinguish the different ENSO types, El Niño events are first identified according to the following criteria: equatorial SSTA averaged over the eastern Pacific region (i.e., 140°W–90°W) or the central Pacific region (i.e., 170°E–140°W) exceeds 0.5°C for five consecutive months. La Niña events are similarly identified but with the threshold being –0.5°C. As shown in **Figure 13A**, the probability density function (PDF) for the centroid longitude of equatorial SSTA at the peak phase of El Niño events exhibits double peaks. In contrast, only a single peak exists in the PDF for La Niña’s centroid longitude (**Figure 13B**). Here, the centroid longitude of SSTA is defined as  $\lambda_c = \int_{\lambda_W}^{\lambda_E} \lambda T d\lambda / \int_{\lambda_W}^{\lambda_E} T d\lambda$ , with  $\lambda$  being the longitude and  $\lambda_W$  ( $\lambda_E$ ) being the western (eastern)

mixed layer model boundary. The PDF for the longitude of SSTA maximum is qualitatively similar to the PDF for SSTA centroid longitude, except for being less smooth because identification of SSTA maximum is subject to some ambiguity, especially in situations where SSTA exhibit multiple local maxima. Next, by observing the two PDF peaks in **Figure 13A**, El Niño events are classified into EP/CP type with SSTA centroid longitude located to the east/west of 127.5°W. In total, 352 EP El Niño events and 999 CP El Niño events are identified in the 5000-year simulation. In the observations, EP El Niño, especially extreme EP El Niño, generally sees fewer occurrences than CP El Niño as well. The direct comparison of the relative frequency associated with the two types of El Niño between the observations and the RCZ simulation, however, is less straightforward. Classification of El Niño events in the observations is subject to the limited sample size and suffers from large uncertainty associated with varying datasets (Dieppois et al., 2021, see their Figure 2A) and metrics for identifying ENSO types (Wiedermann et al., 2016, see their Table 1; Capotondi et al., 2020, see their Table 4.1). As shown in **Figure 14**, composited SSTA patterns associated with the EP El Niño, CP El Niño, and La Niña generally resemble those observed (**Figure 9**), except for a slightly stronger amplitude and eastward-shifted SSTA center during CP El Niño. To test the robustness of the SSTA pattern against the definition of ENSO types, the E-index and C-index introduced in Takahashi et al. (2011) have been utilized to identify EP and CP El Niño. Firstly, we perform an Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF) analysis of the boreal winter (i.e., November to January) averaged tropical Pacific SSTA. Then the E-index is defined as  $E = (PC1 - PC2)/\sqrt{2}$  and the C-index as  $C = (PC1 + PC2)/\sqrt{2}$ , with PC1 and PC2 denoting the first and second principal component, respectively. The SSTA patterns associated with the E-index and the C-index are shown in **Figures 15A,B**. Consistent with that identified with the observations (Takahashi et al., 2011), the SSTA pattern associated with the E-index and C-index center in the eastern Pacific and central Pacific, respectively. In comparison with that shown in Takahashi et al. (2011), the RCZ-simulated E-pattern is less confined along the South American coast but slightly shifted westward. This may be attributed to the fact that the coastal El Niño events cannot be captured in RCZ due to a lack of local air-sea interaction processes (Garreaud, 2018; Rodríguez-Morata et al., 2019). Next, EP and CP El Niño events are selected if the E-index and C-index exceed one standard deviation, respectively. A total of 379 EP El Niño events and 1125 CP El Niño events are identified. The associated SSTA patterns, as shown in **Figures 15C,D**, closely resemble those obtained according to the SSTA centroid longitude criteria. The similarity of the relative frequency and SSTA pattern of the two types of El Niño between the above two identification criteria indicates that characteristics of ENSO diversity/bi-modality simulated in RCZ are insensitive to the definition of ENSO types.

Besides the centroid longitude of SSTA, the intensity of ENSO events exhibits bi-modality as well. As shown in **Figure 16**, the trajectory of ENSO events generally features two distinct orbits (i.e., one with a larger amplitude and the other one with a smaller amplitude), reminiscent of the strong and moderate ENSO



**FIGURE 15** | Regressed SSTA pattern against the November–December–January average (A) E-index and (B) C-index. See text for the definition of the indices. (C,D) Composites of SSTA pattern associated with EP El Niño and CP El Niño. The two types of El Niño are identified with the E-index and C-index exceeding their respective one standard deviation.



**FIGURE 16** | Trajectories of ENSO events in the Niño 3 index (unit: °C; horizontal axis)—zonal mean thermocline fluctuation (unit: m; vertical axis) phase space. A total of ten percent of all the simulated ENSO events are randomly chosen for display.

regimes identified in Takahashi and Dewitte (2016) and Takahashi et al. (2019). The two aspects of ENSO bi-modality shall bear strong correspondence with each other.

## 4 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

By acknowledging its potential practical usage in investigating dynamics of ENSO diversity while observing some shortcomings in its model components, the CZ model has been revised in terms of the model formulation and parameterization schemes while keeping the model skeleton unchanged. The new model, named RCZ, is carefully validated against observations through the standalone mode. When

forced with observed SSTA (wind stress anomalies), the simulated atmospheric (oceanic) responses in RCZ resemble those observed to a much more satisfying extent than that in the CZ model. Aspects of the observed ENSO characteristics (i.e., ENSO amplitude, phase-locking, and El Niño/La Niña asymmetry) are reasonably reproduced in the coupled simulation with RCZ. In addition, the observed ENSO bi-modality is well captured in RCZ, where EP and CP El Niño, of which the spatial patterns resemble those observed, are clearly distinguished. A number of studies using various intermediate coupled models, including the CZ model, have arguably simulated ENSO diversity/complexity to a satisfying extent (Chen et al., 2015; Hayashi and Watanabe, 2017; Xie and Jin, 2018; Chen et al., 2022). Though the two types of El Niño identified with RCZ exhibit more realistic characteristics than some of the previous studies, it is not the purpose of this study to argue that RCZ outperforms other intermediate coupled models in simulating the observed ENSO diversity. Instead, this study aims to provide a framework in which all the model components accurately describe the realistic physical processes so as to ensure that ENSO diversity is simulated for the right reason. It is to bear in mind that interpretation of ENSO diversity in a model with biased physics may be misleading.

The major discrepancy between the observed and RCZ-simulated ENSO behavior is its temporal characteristics. In comparison with the observations, RCZ-simulated ENSO events exhibit less irregularity. The underestimated irregularity may be attributed to a lack of tropical basin-interaction (Ham et al., 2013a; Ham et al., 2013b), ENSO's interaction with Pacific Meridional Modes (Yu et al., 2010; Vimont et al., 2014; Vimont et al., 2022), and ENSO-Tropical Instability Wave (TIW) interaction (An, 2008). Furthermore, RCZ fails to capture the observed El Niño/La Niña duration asymmetry and the

contrasting time-evolution of the two types of ENSO (Dommenget et al., 2013). Generally, in the observations, EP El Niño experiences rapid termination and phase transition after the mature phase, whereas the subsequent La Niña persists through the second year or longer (Okumura and Deser, 2010). CP El Niño, on the other hand, is more episodic-like and exhibits less apparent phase reversal. Several nonlinear processes (e.g., ENSO-TIW interaction, nonlinear convective heating response, and nonlinear radiative fluxes) have been suggested to play some role in the ENSO duration asymmetry. Whether incorporating these nonlinear processes in RCZ benefits the simulation of ENSO duration asymmetry deserves to be further explored.

As discussed in **Section 2.2**, the major flaw associated with the atmospheric model of RCZ resides in parameterizing diabatic heating as being solely dependent on SSTA. The important role of circulation-convection interaction in shaping tropical atmospheric response has been widely acknowledged (Webster, 1981; Weare, 1986; Kleeman, 1991; Gong and Li, 2021). Furthermore, Wu et al. (2000) noticed that the atmospheric response is sensitive to the vertical structure of convective heating, further casting doubts on the appropriateness of the Gill model. In the future version of RCZ, we seek to replace the Gill-type atmospheric model with a simplified primitive equation model.

The shallow water approximation, on the other hand, is suggested to be insufficient in capturing equatorial ocean dynamics by noticing the significant contribution to surface zonal current and sea surface height anomalies from multiple baroclinic modes, especially in the vicinity of the dateline (Dewitte et al., 1999; Dewitte, 2000; Zhao et al., 2021). On the other hand, the high-order baroclinic modes contribute to a vertically slanted structure of upper-level ocean temperature anomaly in the central Pacific, thus degrading the assumption that the subsurface temperature anomaly at a fixed depth can be parameterized as being linearly related to the thermocline fluctuation (Zhao et al., 2021). In the future version of RCZ,

we aim to implement a simplified linear continuously stratified model with which the integrated effects of high-order baroclinic modes are empirically parameterized.

The well-calibrated and validated RCZ allows a systematic investigation of the linear and nonlinear dynamics of ENSO diversity. Effects of atmospheric convective nonlinearity, stochastic processes, and ENSO's interaction with various tropical climate variability will be further studied in a forthcoming paper.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: <https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/>; <http://apdrc.soest.hawaii.edu/data/data.php>.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LG designed the model, performed the research, and wrote the manuscript. F-FJ contributed to discussion and revision of the manuscript.

## FUNDING

This study is supported by the United States National Science Foundation (AGS-1813611) and the United States Department of Energy (DESC0005110).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/feart.2022.899323/full#supplementary-material>

## REFERENCES

- An, S.-I. (2008). Interannual Variations of the Tropical Ocean Instability Wave and ENSO. *J. Clim.* 21, 3680–3686. doi:10.1175/2008jcli1701.1
- An, S.-I., and Jin, F.-F. (2004). Nonlinearity and Asymmetry of ENSO\*. *J. Clim.* 17, 2399–2412. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<2399:naaoe>2.0.co;2
- An, S.-I., and Kim, J.-W. (2017). Role of Nonlinear Ocean Dynamic Response to Wind on the Asymmetrical Transition of El Niño and La Niña. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 44, 393–400. doi:10.1002/2016GL071971
- Ashok, K., Behera, S. K., Rao, S. A., Weng, H., and Yamagata, T. (2007). El Niño Modoki and its Possible Teleconnection. *J. Geophys. Res.* 112. doi:10.1029/2006jc003798
- Balmaseda, M. A., Vidard, A., and Anderson, D. L. T. (2008). The ECMWF Ocean Analysis System: ORA-S3. *Monthly Weather Rev.* 136, 3018–3034. doi:10.1175/2008MWR2433.1
- Behringer, D., and Xue, Y. (2004). “January. Evaluation of the Global Ocean Data Assimilation System at NCEP: The Pacific Ocean,” in *Proc. Eighth Symp. On Integrated Observing and Assimilation Systems for Atmosphere, Oceans, and Land Surface*. Seattle, WA: Amer. Meteor. Soc., 2.3.
- Bejarano, L., and Jin, F.-F. (2008). Coexistence of Equatorial Coupled Modes of ENSO\*. *J. Clim.* 21, 3051–3067. doi:10.1175/2007JCLI1679.1
- Cai, W., Santoso, A., Collins, M., Dewitte, B., Karamperidou, C., Kug, J.-S., et al. (2021). Changing El Niño-Southern Oscillation in a Warming Climate. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* 2, 628–644. doi:10.1038/s43017-021-00199-z
- Cane, M. A., and Patton, R. J. (1984). A Numerical Model for Low-Frequency Equatorial Dynamics. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 14, 1853–1863. doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1984)014<1853:anmflf>2.0.co;2
- Capotondi, A. (2013). ENSO Diversity in the NCAR CCSM4 Climate Model. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 118, 4755–4770. doi:10.1002/jgrc.20335
- Capotondi, A., Wittenberg, A. T., Kug, J.-S., Takahashi, K., and McPhaden, M. J. (2020). ENSO Diversity, in *El Niño Southern Oscillation in a Changing Climate*. Editors M. J. McPhaden, A. Santoso, and W. Cai, Geophysical Monograph Series, AGU, 65–86. doi:10.1002/9781119548164
- Carton, J. A., Chepurin, G. A., and Chen, L. (2018). SODA3: A New Ocean Climate Reanalysis. *J. Clim.* 31, 6967–6983. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0149.1
- Chen, D., Lian, T., Fu, C., Cane, M. A., Tang, Y., Murtugudde, R., et al. (2015). Strong Influence of westerly Wind Bursts on El Niño Diversity. *Nat. Geosci* 8, 339–345. doi:10.1038/ngeo2399
- Chen, H.-C., and Jin, F.-F. (2020). Fundamental Behavior of ENSO Phase Locking. *J. Clim.* 33, 1953–1968. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0264.1
- Chen, H.-C., Jin, F.-F., Zhao, S., Wittenberg, A. T., and Xie, S. (2021). ENSO Dynamics in the E3SM-1-0, CESM2, and GFDL-CM4 Climate Models. *J. Clim.* 34, 1–59. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0355.1

- Chen, N., Fang, X., and Yu, J.-Y. (2022). A Multiscale Model for El Niño Complexity. *Npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.* 5, 1–13. doi:10.1038/s41612-022-00241-x
- Choi, K.-Y., Vecchi, G. A., and Wittenberg, A. T. (2013). ENSO Transition, Duration, and Amplitude Asymmetries: Role of the Nonlinear Wind Stress Coupling in a Conceptual Model. *J. Clim.* 26, 9462–9476. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00045.1
- Dewitte, B., and Perigaud, C. (1996). El Niño-La Niña Events Simulated with Cane and Zebiak's Model and Observed with Satellite or *In Situ* Data. Part II: Model Forced with Observations. *J. Clim.* 9, 1188–1207. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<1188:enlnes>2.0.co;2
- Dewitte, B., Reverdin, G., and Maes, C. (1999). Vertical Structure of an OGCM Simulation of the Equatorial Pacific Ocean in 1985–94. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 29, 1542–1570. doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1999)029<1542:vsaoas>2.0.co;2
- Dewitte, B. (2000). Sensitivity of an Intermediate Ocean-Atmosphere Coupled Model of the Tropical Pacific to its Oceanic Vertical Structure. *J. Clim.* 13, 2363–2388. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<2363:soaioa>2.0.co;2
- Dieppois, B., Capotondi, A., Pohl, B., Chun, K. P., Monerie, P.-A., and Eden, J. (2021). ENSO Diversity Shows Robust Decadal Variations that Must Be Captured for Accurate Future Projections. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 2, 1–13. doi:10.1038/s43247-021-00285-6
- Dommenget, D., Bayr, T., and Frauen, C. (2013). Analysis of the Non-linearity in the Pattern and Time Evolution of El Niño Southern Oscillation. *Clim. Dyn.* 40, 2825–2847. doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1475-0
- Frauen, C., and Dommenget, D. (2010). El Niño and La Niña Amplitude Asymmetry Caused by Atmospheric Feedbacks. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, a–n. doi:10.1029/2010GL044444
- Garreaud, R. D. (2018). A Plausible Atmospheric Trigger for the 2017 Coastal El Niño. *Int. J. Climatol.* 38, e1296–e1302. doi:10.1002/joc.5426
- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., et al. (2017). The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2). *J. Clim.* 30, 5419–5454. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1
- Geng, L. (2021). *Dynamics of El Niño-Southern Oscillation Diversity in an Intermediate Coupled Model (Ph.D. Dissertation)*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i at Manoa.
- Gong, Y., and Li, T. (2021). Mechanism for Southward Shift of Zonal Wind Anomalies during the Mature Phase of ENSO. *J. Clim.* 1, 1–45. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-21-0078.1
- Ham, Y.-G., Kug, J.-S., Park, J.-Y., and Jin, F.-F. (2013b). Sea Surface Temperature in the north Tropical Atlantic as a Trigger for El Niño/Southern Oscillation Events. *Nat. Geosci.* 6, 112–116. doi:10.1038/ngeo1686
- Ham, Y.-G., Kug, J.-S., and Park, J.-Y. (2013a). Two Distinct Roles of Atlantic SSTs in ENSO Variability: North Tropical Atlantic SST and Atlantic Niño. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 4012–4017. doi:10.1002/grl.50729
- Hayashi, M., and Watanabe, M. (2017). ENSO Complexity Induced by State Dependence of westerly Wind Events. *J. Clim.* 30, 3401–3420. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0406.1
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., et al. (2020). The ERA5 Global Reanalysis. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.* 146, 1999–2049. doi:10.1002/qj.3803
- Hirahara, S., Ishii, M., and Fukuda, Y. (2014). Centennial-Scale Sea Surface Temperature Analysis and its Uncertainty. *J. Clim.* 27, 57–75. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00837.1
- Huang, B., Thorne, P. W., Banzon, V. F., Boyer, T., Chepurin, G., Lawrimore, J. H., et al. (2017). Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature, Version 5 (ERSSTv5): Upgrades, Validations, and Intercomparisons. *J. Clim.* 30, 8179–8205. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0836.1
- Jiang, W., Huang, P., Huang, G., and Ying, J. (2021). Origins of the Excessive Westward Extension of ENSO SST Simulated in CMIP5 and CMIP6 Models. *J. Clim.* 34, 2839–2851. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0551.1
- Jin, F.-F. (1997). An Equatorial Ocean Recharge Paradigm for ENSO. Part II: A Stripped-Down Coupled Model. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 54, 830–847. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054<0830:aeorpf>2.0.co;2
- Jin, F.-F., and Neelin, J. D. (1993). Modes of Interannual Tropical Ocean-Atmosphere Interaction-A Unified View. Part I: Numerical Results. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 50, 3477–3503. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1993)050<3477:moitoi>2.0.co;2
- Kanamitsu, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Woollen, J., Yang, S.-K., Hnilo, J. J., Fiorino, M., et al. (2002). NCEP-DOE AMIP-II Reanalysis (R-2). *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 83, 1631–1643. doi:10.1175/BAMS-83-11-1631
- Kang, I.-S., and Kug, J.-S. (2002). El Niño and La Niña Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies: Asymmetry Characteristics Associated with Their Wind Stress Anomalies. *J. Geophys. Res.* 107, ACL 1-1–ACL 1-10. doi:10.1029/2001JD000393
- Kao, H.-Y., and Yu, J.-Y. (2009). Contrasting Eastern-Pacific and central-Pacific Types of ENSO. *J. Clim.* 22, 615–632. doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2309.1
- Kleeman, R. (1991). A Simple Model of the Atmospheric Response to ENSO Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 48, 3–19. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1991)048<0003:asmota>2.0.co;2
- Kug, J.-S., Choi, J., An, S.-I., Jin, F.-F., and Wittenberg, A. T. (2010). Warm Pool and Cold Tongue El Niño Events as Simulated by the GFDL 2.1 Coupled GCM. *J. Clim.* 23, 1226–1239. doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2393.1
- Kug, J.-S., and Ham, Y.-G. (2011). Are There Two Types of La Niña? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, a–n. doi:10.1029/2011GL048237
- Kug, J.-S., Jin, F.-F., and An, S.-I. (2009). Two Types of El Niño Events: Cold Tongue El Niño and Warm Pool El Niño. *J. Clim.* 22, 1499–1515. doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2624.1
- Larkin, N. K., and Harrison, D. E. (2005). Global Seasonal Temperature and Precipitation Anomalies during El Niño Autumn and winter. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32. doi:10.1029/2005GL022860
- McPhaden, M. J. (2012). A 21st century Shift in the Relationship between ENSO SST and Warm Water Volume Anomalies. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 39, a–n. doi:10.1029/2012GL051826
- Neale, R. B., Richter, J. H., Conley, A. J., Park, S., Lauritzen, P. H., Gettelman, A., et al. (2010). Description of the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model (CAM 5.0). *NCAR TechNote NCAR/TN-486+ STR*, 212.
- Newman, M., Alexander, M. A., and Scott, J. D. (2011a). An Empirical Model of Tropical Ocean Dynamics. *Clim. Dyn.* 37, 1823–1841. doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1034-0
- Newman, M., Shin, S.-I., and Alexander, M. A. (2011b). Natural Variation in ENSO Flavors. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, a–n. doi:10.1029/2011GL047658
- Ohba, M., and Ueda, H. (2009). Role of Nonlinear Atmospheric Response to SST on the Asymmetric Transition Process of ENSO. *J. Clim.* 22, 177–192. doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2334.1
- Okumura, Y. M., and Deser, C. (2010). Asymmetry in the Duration of El Niño and La Niña. *J. Clim.* 23, 5826–5843. doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3592.1
- Okumura, Y. M. (2019). ENSO Diversity from an Atmospheric Perspective. *Curr. Clim. Change Rep.* 5, 245–257. doi:10.1007/s40641-019-00138-7
- Perigaud, C., and Dewitte, B. (1996). El Niño-La Niña Events Simulated with Cane and Zebiak's Model and Observed with Satellite or *In Situ* Data. Part I: Model Data Comparison. *J. Clim.* 9, 66–84. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<0066:ennesw>2.0.co;2
- Rayner, N. A. (2003). Global Analyses of Sea Surface Temperature, Sea Ice, and Night marine Air Temperature since the Late Nineteenth century. *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, 4407. doi:10.1029/2002JD002670
- Reynolds, R. W., Rayner, N. A., Smith, T. M., Stokes, D. C., and Wang, W. (2002). An Improved *In Situ* and Satellite SST Analysis for Climate. *J. Clim.* 15, 1609–1625. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<1609:AIISAS>2.0.CO;2
- Ren, H.-L., and Jin, F.-F. (2011). Niño Indices for Two Types of ENSO. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38. doi:10.1029/2010gl046031
- Ren, H.-L., and Jin, F.-F. (2013). Recharge Oscillator Mechanisms in Two Types of ENSO. *J. Clim.* 26, 6506–6523. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-12-00601.1
- Ren, H.-L., and Wang, R. (2020). Distinct Growth Rates of the Two ENSO Types. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47, e2020GL088179. doi:10.1029/2020gl088179
- Rodríguez-Morata, C., Díaz, H. F., Ballesteros-Canovas, J. A., Rohrer, M., and Stoffel, M. (2019). The Anomalous 2017 Coastal El Niño Event in Peru. *Clim. Dyn.* 52, 5605–5622. doi:10.1007/s00382-018-4466-y
- Takahashi, K., and Dewitte, B. (2016). Strong and Moderate Nonlinear El Niño Regimes. *Clim. Dyn.* 46, 1627–1645. doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2665-3
- Takahashi, K., Karamperidou, C., and Dewitte, B. (2019). A Theoretical Model of strong and Moderate El Niño Regimes. *Clim. Dyn.* 52, 7477–7493. doi:10.1007/s00382-018-4100-z
- Takahashi, K., Montecinos, A., Goubanova, K., and Dewitte, B. (2018). ENSO Regimes: Reinterpreting the Canonical and Modoki El Niño. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, a–n. doi:10.1029/2011GL047364

- Taschetto, A. S., Ummenhofer, C. C., Stuecker, M. F., Dommenges, D., Ashok, K., Rodrigues, R. R., et al. (2020). "ENSO Atmospheric Teleconnections," in *El Niño Southern Oscillation in a Changing Climate*. Editors M. J. McPhaden, A. Santoso, and W. Cai, Geophysical Monograph Series, AGU, 309–335. doi:10.1002/9781119548164.ch14
- Timmermann, A., An, S.-I., Kug, J.-S., Jin, F.-F., Cai, W., Capotondi, A., et al. (2018). El Niño–Southern Oscillation Complexity. *Nature* 559, 535–545. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0252-6
- Vimont, D. J., Alexander, M. A., and Newman, M. (2014). Optimal Growth of central and East Pacific ENSO Events. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 4027–4034. doi:10.1002/2014GL059997
- Vimont, D. J., Newman, M., Battisti, D. S., and Shin, S.-I. (2022). The Role of Seasonality and the ENSO Mode in Central and East Pacific ENSO Growth and Evolution. *J. Clim.* 1, 1–46. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0599.1
- Wang, R., and Ren, H.-L. (2020). Understanding Key Roles of Two ENSO Modes in Spatiotemporal Diversity of ENSO. *J. Clim.* 33, 6453–6469. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-19-0770.1
- Weare, B. C. (1986). A Simple Model of the Tropical Atmosphere with Circulation Dependent Heating and Specific Humidity. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 43, 2001–2016. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1986)043<2001:asmott>2.0.co;2
- Webster, P. J. (1981). Mechanisms Determining the Atmospheric Response to Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 38, 554–571. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1981)038<0554:mdtart>2.0.co;2
- Wiedermann, M., Radebach, A., Donges, J. F., Kurths, J., and Donner, R. V. (2016). A Climate Network-Based index to Discriminate Different Types of El Niño and La Niña. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43, 7176–7185. doi:10.1002/2016gl069119
- Wu, Z., Sarachik, E. S., and Battisti, D. S. (2000). Vertical Structure of Convective Heating and the Three-Dimensional Structure of the Forced Circulation on an Equatorial Beta Plane\*. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 57, 2169–2187. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057<2169:vsocha>2.0.co;2
- Xie, R., and Jin, F.-F. (2018). Two Leading ENSO Modes and El Niño Types in the Zebiak–Cane Model. *J. Clim.* 31, 1943–1962. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0469.1
- Yang, S., Li, Z., Yu, J.-Y., Hu, X., Dong, W., and He, S. (2018). El Niño–Southern Oscillation and its Impact in the Changing Climate. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* 5, 840–857. doi:10.1093/nsr/nwy046
- Yeh, S.-W., Kug, J.-S., Dewitte, B., Kwon, M.-H., Kirtman, B. P., and Jin, F.-F. (2009). El Niño in a Changing Climate. *Nature* 461, 511–514. doi:10.1038/nature08316
- Yu, J.-Y., Kao, H.-Y., and Lee, T. (2010). Subtropics-related Interannual Sea Surface Temperature Variability in the central Equatorial Pacific. *J. Clim.* 23, 2869–2884. doi:10.1175/2010jcli3171.1
- Yuan, X., Jin, F. F., and Zhang, W. (2020). A Concise and Effective Expression Relating Subsurface Temperature to the Thermocline in the Equatorial Pacific. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47, e2020GL087848. doi:10.1029/2020GL087848
- Zebiak, S. E., and Cane, M. A. (1987). A Model El Niño–Southern Oscillation. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 115, 2262–2278. doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1987)115<2262:ameno>2.0.co;2
- Zhang, S., Harrison, M. J., Rosati, A., and Wittenberg, A. (2007). System Design and Evaluation of Coupled Ensemble Data Assimilation for Global Oceanic Climate Studies. *Monthly Weather Rev.* 135, 3541–3564. doi:10.1175/MWR3466.1
- Zhao, S., Jin, F. F., Long, X., and Cane, M. A. (2021). On the Breakdown of ENSO's Relationship with Thermocline Depth in the Central-Equatorial Pacific. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 48, e2020GL092335. doi:10.1029/2020GL092335
- Zuo, H., Balmaseda, M. A., Tietsche, S., Mogensen, K., and Mayer, M., (2019). The ECMWF Operational Ensemble Reanalysis-Analysis System for Ocean and Sea-Ice: a Description of the System and Assessment. *Ocean Sci.*, 15, 779–808. doi:10.5194/os-15-779-2019

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Geng and Jin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.