



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Sue S. Feldman
✉ sf8588@gmail.com

RECEIVED 03 February 2023

ACCEPTED 27 June 2023

PUBLISHED 11 July 2023

CITATION

Schooley B and Feldman SS (2023)
Corrigendum: User perceptions about sharing
exposure notification information for
communicable diseases.
Front. Digit. Health 5:1157699.
doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2023.1157699

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Schooley and Feldman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: User perceptions about sharing exposure notification information for communicable diseases

Benjamin Schooley¹ and Sue S. Feldman^{2*}

¹College of Engineering and Computing, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, United States,

²Department of Health Services Administration, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States

KEYWORDS

mobile platforms, privacy, pandemic response, exposure notification, contact tracing, communicable disease response

A Corrigendum on
User perceptions about sharing exposure notification information for communicable diseases

By Schooley B and Feldman SS. (2022) Front. Digit. Health 4:926683. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2022.926683

Incorrect Data Availability Statement

In the published article, there was an error in the **Data Availability statement**. The authors did not adequately take into account restrictions put into place by the institutional review board (IRB) requirements on human subjects privacy. Not all data can be shared due to privacy restrictions. The original statement read: “The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.” The corrected Data Availability statement appears below:

“The IRB, as approved, does not allow for data sharing. Please contact the corresponding author for further information.”

Incorrect Funding Statement

In the published article, there was an error in the **Funding statement**. The name of the state “Alabama” was originally removed on purpose during the blind review process. However, the name was inadvertently left out in the final publication, an oversight that happened during the copyediting process. The original statement read: “This study was funded through CARES ACT funding from the state of (blinded for review).” The corrected Funding statement appears below:

“This study was funded through CARES ACT funding from the state of Alabama.”

Incorrect Acknowledgements Statement

In the published article, there was an error in the **Acknowledgements statement**. Acknowledgements to key individuals were inadvertently left out. We have added acknowledgments based on two individuals who worked on the app that was used for this study and should have been recognized for their work. Similarly, the Alabama Department of Public Health should have also been acknowledged but were inadvertently not mentioned. The original statement read: “Acknowledgment is given to Lincoln Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology for permission to adapt and use their

survey instrument (communication dated 26 January 2021).” The corrected Acknowledgements statement appears below:

“The authors gratefully acknowledge the work of Brian Rivers and Rajesh Pillai for their leadership in development of the GuideSafe app. The authors also acknowledge the Alabama Department of Public Health for their support in development and dissemination of the GuideSafe app across the state of AL. Acknowledgment is also given to Lincoln Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology for permission to adapt and use their survey instrument (communication dated 26 January 2021).”

Incorrect Ethics Statement

In the published article, there was an error in the **Ethics statement**. This section previously stated: “The studies involving

human participants were reviewed and approved by University of Alabama at Birmingham. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.” The corrected Ethics statement appears below:

“The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the University of Alabama at Birmingham institutional review board (IRB). Written informed consent was not required and the IRB reviewer determined this project is not subject to FDA regulations and is not Human Subjects Research.”

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.