#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Constantinos S. Pattichis, University of Cyprus, Cyprus

\*CORRESPONDENCE Lars Lau Raket ⊠ lars.lau\_raket@med.lu.se

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Connected Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Digital Health

RECEIVED 12 January 2023 ACCEPTED 30 January 2023 PUBLISHED 14 February 2023

CITATION

Raket LL, Petcu P, Wac K and Hassenstab J (2023) Editorial: Digital brain health. Front. Digit. Health 5:1142897. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2023.1142897

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Raket, Petcu, Wac and Hassenstab. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Editorial: Digital brain health

# Lars Lau Raket<sup>1\*</sup>, Paula Petcu<sup>2</sup>, Katarzyna Wac<sup>3</sup> and Jason Hassenstab<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Novo Nordisk, Copenhagen, Denmark, <sup>2</sup>Brain+, Copenhagen, Denmark, <sup>3</sup>Quality of Life Technologies Lab, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, United States

#### KEYWORDS

digital health, neuroscience, remote assessment, cognition, brain health

#### Editorial on the Research Topic Digital brain health

Digital health technology has the potential to revolutionize how we monitor brain health and diagnose, monitor, and treat brain diseases by providing a range of innovative tools and services. These technologies include wearable and external devices, mobile apps, and telemedicine platforms.

While diagnosis, disease monitoring and intervention are traditionally addressed using distinctly separate tools, these different use cases may be overlapping or integrated in single solutions in the digital brain health space. For example, sleep trackers can simultaneously monitor sleep quality, give suggestions for improving sleep and potentially detect changes in patterns that could be early signs of brain disorders like depression or Alzheimer's disease.

The articles in this research topic on digital brain health explore novel approaches to using technology in relation to brain health, and consider important questions related to implementation and utility of such solutions. In the present topic, all articles focus on the ability for digital health technologies to deliver remote solutions. Most of the articles study remote assessment of cognition and symptoms through mobile devices, but there are also examples of cognitive and electrophysiological biomarker assessments using at-home equipment and a study of how brain-computer interfaces can enable better social integration of people with motor disabilities.

In total, this topic on digital brain health contains seven papers that are briefly summarized below.

Nicosia et al. explore stigmas and challenges in relation to digital brain health studies in older adults. This question is particularly relevant since older adults are simultaneously the ones most at risk of cognitive decline and least familiar with technology. Results demonstrate that while older age was indeed associated with less technological familiarity, the majority of older adults that were offered to participate elected to participate in the smartphone-based study and those participating showed exceptional adherence.

Berron et al. report a study on feasibility of completely unsupervised digital cognitive assessments through a mobile app in a Citizen Science project including 1,407 adults aged 18–89 across Germany. Their study suggests that fully unsupervised remote memory assessments are feasible, but also identified critical factors that may influence both compliance and performance that should be considered in future studies.

Öhman et al. report a study of the validity of unsupervised mobile app-based cognitive testing in a Swedish 1944 birth cohort (aged 76-77 at time of study) of non-demented participants. They found that mobile app-based cognitive scores on

individual tasks were weakly-to-moderately correlated with conventional cognitive tests and that single-session data showed poor-to-moderate test-retest reliability. When using the average of two sessions, test-retest reliability improved substantially.

Elbin et al. review motivations for using remote assessments in concussion clinical care and present data from a pilot study using smartphone-based ambulatory assessments to capture patient reports of symptom severity, environmental exposures, and performance-based assessments of cognition.

Barbey et al. report encouraging results in relation to the feasibility and quality of repeated, at-home, self-administered wireless dry EEG to measure brain function.

Brugada-Ramentol et al. present perspectives of the use of virtual reality systems for cognitive training and monitoring and present the design of a specific immersive virtual reality system intended to achieve these tasks.

Finally, Lazarou et al. report a study on the usability of a braincomputer interfaces in people with motor disabilities to better support the use of multimedia, including social media. Such technology can help support social integration of people with movement disorders, and the study generally reported favorable evaluations of how the platform helped users to achieve better social integration.

### Author contributions

All authors are editors of the research topics. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Conflict of interest

LLR is an employed of Novo Nordisk A/S and serves as a scientific advisor to Optoceutics. PP is an employee of Brain+ and a co-founder of Healthy Mind Tech and Soil Health. The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.