Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Abdul Samad Khan, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Saudi Arabia

REVIEWED BY

Syed Saad Bin Qasim, Kuwait University, Kuwait Ather Khan, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Pakistan

*CORRESPONDENCE Alexander J. Cresswell-Boyes a.cresswell-boyes@qmul.ac.uk

RECEIVED 22 February 2023 ACCEPTED 28 April 2023 PUBLISHED 24 May 2023

CITATION

Ferizoli B, Cresswell-Boyes AJ, Anderson P, Lynch RJM and Hill RG (2023) Effects of fluoride on *in vitro* hydroxyapatite demineralisation analysed by ¹⁹F MAS-NMR. Front. Dent. Med 4:1171827. doi: 10.3389/fdmed.2023.1171827

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ferizoli, Cresswell-Boyes, Anderson, Lynch and Hill. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effects of fluoride on *in vitro* hydroxyapatite demineralisation analysed by ¹⁹F MAS-NMR

Bajram Ferizoli, Alexander J. Cresswell-Boyes^{*}, Paul Anderson, Richard J. M. Lynch and Robert G. Hill

Dental Physical Sciences Unit, Centre for Oral Bioengineering, Institute of Dentistry, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

Introduction: Fluoride plays a major role in inhibiting enamel dissolution and promoting fluorapatite formation. Porous hydroxyapatite (HAP) discs can be used as an enamel analogue in artificial demineralisation/remineralisation studies. **Method:** The aim of the study was to monitor the fluoride-mineral phases formed on HAP surfaces as a function of fluoride concentration ([F⁻]) under demineralising conditions, using ¹⁹F magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (MAS-NMR) spectroscopy, and compare the results with a previous study using an enamel substrate. Porous HAP blocks were immersed in demineralisation solutions (0.1 M acetic acid, pH 4.0) with increasing [F⁻] (0–1450 ppm).

Results: At below 50 ppm [F⁻], ¹⁹F MAS-NMR showed fluoride-substituted apatite formation; above 50 ppm [F⁻], calcium fluoride (CaF₂) was formed in increasing proportions. These results mirrored those of previous similar studies with an enamel substrate. Further increases in fluoride caused no further measurable reduction in demineralisation but increased the proportion of CaF₂ formed. The total calcium concentration [Ca] and total phosphorus [P] concentrations in the solution were measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. At high fluoride concentrations, the solution total [P] increased, and the molar Ca:P ratios decreased to values consistent with the formation of CaF₂. However, Ca:P ratios found at low [F⁻] were higher than those in the previous enamel study and consistent with the formation of a partially fluoridated apatite.

Conclusions: Under demineralising conditions, CaF_2 formed on HAP at an [F⁻] of 50 ppm and above, whereas fluoridated apatite formed at an [F⁻] below 50 ppm. The results were consistent with those obtained when an enamel substrate was used.

KEYWORDS

19F MAS-NMR, fluorapatite, hydroxyapatite, fluoride, demineralisation, remineralisation

1. Introduction

Dental caries remains a major problem in adults and is especially prominent in children (1-3). The most effective measures for preventing tooth decay involve the use of fluoride, either added to the water supply or incorporated into toothpaste, or the topical application of agents such as silver diamine fluoride (SDF) (4). Despite numerous studies in the literature, the exact aetiology of the action of fluoride on reducing demineralisation is not fully understood, although it is thought to involve the acid dissolution of hydroxyapatite (HAP) and the reprecipitation of a less soluble fluorapatite (FAP) or a highly fluoride-substituted HAP (5–8).

HAP is commonly used as an enamel analogue within *in vitro* studies for understanding caries or erosion (9-14). Mohammed et al. (15) investigated the demineralisation of enamel

blocks immersed in caries-simulating 0.1 M acetic acid at pH 4.0 with different fluoride concentrations ($[F^-]$). The formation of FAP was determined by ¹⁹F magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MAS-NMR). In addition, the calcium and phosphorus concentrations in the demineralising solutions were measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The results showed that at low $[F^-]$ fluoridated apatite formed, while on the other hand, at 45 ppm $[F^-]$ and above, calcium fluoride (CaF₂) formed. It is worth commenting that commercially available European toothpaste typically contains 1,450 ppm $[F^-]$, while prescription toothpaste can range as high as 23,000 ppm. Further, some inoffice topical agents, such as SDF, can contain up to 51,013 ppm (16).

Solid-state ¹⁹F MAS-NMR is a powerful tool for investigating fluoride uptake and FAP formation. ¹⁹F has a natural abundance of virtually 100% and is a spin half nucleus, which ensures that measurements are relatively rapid to perform. ¹⁹F MAS-NMR measurements are easy to perform, provided care is exercised to eliminate ¹⁹F signals from the instrumentation, for example from the MAS-NMR probe itself. ¹⁹F spectra can be obtained for samples containing relatively little fluoride. In addition, ¹⁹F MAS-NMR is extremely sensitive and can be used to distinguish directly between calcium FAP and fluorite. ¹⁹F solidstate MAS-NMR detects all fluorine nuclei present, whether crystalline, amorphous, or adsorbed. All F nuclei in a sample can be detected (17). Recently, Gao et al. (18) showed the ¹⁹F signal in mixed fluorohydroxyapatites (FHAP) varied with the fluorine content, which potentially enables ¹⁹F MAS-NMR to determine the fluorine content from the observed ¹⁹F chemical shift.

Despite this, relatively few studies have employed ¹⁹F MAS-NMR in the oral healthcare and dental research literature. The emphasis has been on identifying the fluoridated apatite and CaF₂ formed on or in tooth surfaces using indirect chemical techniques to measure acid- and alkali-desorbable fractions (19– 23). Solid-state ¹⁹F MAS-NMR has been previously used to characterise fluoride–HAP interactions. Yesinowski and Mobley (24) demonstrated the ability of this technique to distinguish between FAP [Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆F₂,], FHAP [Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)(_{2-x})xFx] and calcium fluoride (CaF₂) both in the bulk phase and on HAP surfaces. Previous techniques calculate fluoride substitution as an average, rather than only of the newly precipitated material.

Previous work has been carried out using ¹⁹F MAS-NMR to follow FAP formation in fluoride-containing nano HAP toothpaste and to follow the conversion of fluoride-containing bioactive glasses used as toothpaste to FAP (25, 26) and as components of orthodontic adhesives (27), fluoride varnishes (28), air abrasives (29) and composites (27). In the case of fluoride-containing bioactive glasses, the fluorine present in the bioactive glass before undergoing a reaction can be readily distinguished from both fluorides in FAP and CaF₂.

Thus, the primary aim of this study was to better understand the role of the interactions between fluoride and HAP minerals in established model systems for dental caries using ¹⁹F MAS-NMR and to utilise ¹⁹F MAS-NMR to further demonstrate the suitability of HAP as an enamel analogue in model enamel demineralisation

studies by directly comparing the HAP data with the previously published enamel study by Mohammed et al. (15).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Hydroxyapatite discs

Porous HAP discs with a nominal 20% porosity, measuring $12 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm}$ (D×H), were obtained from Plasma Biotal (Derbyshire, United Kingdom) and used as supplied.

2.2. Demineralisation of specimens

A series of fluoride-containing demineralising solutions were prepared from analytical grade reagents (Merck, Germany). A 10 L batch of demineralising solution of 0.1 M acetic acid buffered with potassium hydroxide to pH 4.0 was prepared with deionised water and subsequently divided into 0.5 L stock reservoirs. Sodium fluoride was added to the stock solutions to prepare concentrations containing 0, 1.0, 10, 25, 50, 100, 362.5, 750 and 1,450 ppm of [F⁻], selected to include concentrations of topically available fluoride. Calcium and phosphate were not used in the demineralisation solutions to keep the composition consistent with the previous study (15).

2.3. Mineral weight loss

Each HAP disc was placed in a container with 50 ml of demineralising solution (containing F⁻) at $37^{\circ}C \pm 1.0^{\circ}C$ in a shaking incubator (KS 4000i control; IKA, United Kingdom) at 60 rpm for 96 h. Samples were dried and weighed using an analytical balance with an accuracy of ± 0.1 mg (Mettler HK, Switzerland) before and after immersion to calculate the percentage mineral weight loss of each sample after 96 h.

2.4. ¹⁹F MAS-NMR

¹⁹F MAS-NMR spectra were obtained both before and after each HAP disc sample was immersed in 0.1 M acetic acid demineralising solutions containing the different $[F^-]$. Each disc was dried after immersion and ground to a fine powder for a solid-state ¹⁹F MAS-NMR analysis. ¹⁹F MAS-NMR was carried out using a 600 MHz (14.1 T) spectrometer (Bruker, Germany) at a Larmor frequency of 564.5 MHz under spinning conditions of 15 kHz in a 2.5 mm rotor. The spectra were acquired using a low-fluorine background probe in a single-pulse experiment with a recycle duration of 30 s. The ¹⁹F chemical shift scale was referenced using the -120 ppm peak of 1 M sodium fluoride solution, with a secondary reference of trichlorofluoromethane. Typically, spectra were acquired for 1–17 h depending on the fluoride level and were an accumulation of 128–2,048 scans.

2.5. Determination of Ca, P and Na in the solution by ICP-OES

After the reaction with HAP discs, each fluoride-containing demineralising solution was analysed quantitatively for total calcium, phosphorus and sodium concentrations by ICP-OES (Varian Vista-PRO; Varian Ltd., United Kingdom). Each measurement was performed twice. Calcium, phosphorus and sodium standards of concentrations 0, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 ppm were used. The instrumentation and experimental errors were determined by the difference between the two repeat measurements. The sodium values were matched to the $[F^-]$ up to 1,450 ppm.

2.6. Determination of [F⁻] before and after immersion and F uptake

The fluoride concentration before immersion and after immersion was determined using a fluoride ion-selective electrode (ISE; Nico2000 Ltd., United Kingdom). The difference in the values was then reported as the fluoride uptake by the discs from the solution.

3. Results

3.1. Solid-state ¹⁹F MAS-NMR

Figure 1 shows the ¹⁹F MAS-NMR spectra of the HAP discs demineralised in the presence of a range of $[F^-]$. The ¹⁹F MAS-NMR spectrum was also obtained for the HAP disc exposed to no F⁻, which was expected to exhibit a flat baseline with no detectable fluoride present; however, it exhibited a weak peak at -106.7 ppm. The FAP reference spectrum showed a characteristic peak in the range of -102.0 to -103.8 ppm corresponding to the F-Ca(3) sites in the FAP crystal structure, while the CaF₂ reference spectrum showed a characteristic peak in the range of -107.5 to -108.5 ppm corresponding to the F-Ca (4) site. For the samples demineralised in the presence of concentrations of F⁻ below 25 ppm, the peak at -106.7 was always present.

3.2. Mineral weight loss

The mineral loss was measured by comparing the percentage weight loss of the HAP disc samples before and after immersion in each of the demineralising solutions of different [F⁻]. **Figure 2A** shows that for the control HAP sample with no additional F⁻, there is a mean weight loss of $3.45\% \pm 0.13\%$. However, with increasing [F⁻] up to 725 ppm, the weight loss reduces to $0.22\% \pm 0.06\%$, whereas at 1,450 ppm, the weight loss increases to $0.71\% \pm 0.06\%$. Similar data for the previous enamel study are also shown for comparison.

3.3. ICP-OES

Figure 2B shows the total calcium concentration and Figure 2C shows the total phosphorous concentration (and therefore phosphate ion) in the reaction solution following demineralisation of the HAP disc samples. For comparison, the data from the previous enamel study by Mohammed et al. (15) are also shown. For each substrate, both the calcium and the phosphate released into the demineralising solutions decreased markedly as the [F⁻] increased from 0 to 50 ppm. Further, the phosphate release was lower than the calcium release. Above 50 ppm [F⁻], the calcium concentration declined markedly, and the phosphate concentration increased significantly with increasing [F⁻], to values above the control at 0 ppm. Figure 3 shows the linear increase in phosphate concentration between 10 and 1,450 ppm [F⁻] treatment.

Figure 4 shows the Ca:P ratio as a function of $[F^-]$ in the 0.1 M acetic acid solution for both HAP and enamel (previous study). For the control without fluoride, the Ca:P is 1.69 ± 0.20 close to the stoichiometry of HAP at 1.67. As the $[F^-]$ is increased to 100 ppm, the Ca:P ratio increases to 2.15 ± 0.01 . Above this $[F^-]$, the Ca:P ratio declines markedly as the $[F^-]$ increases but declines only slightly above 362 ppm. The Ca:P ratio in the solution closely mirrors that of the previous enamel substrate study, except that the Ca:P ratio found for low $[F^-] < 45$ ppm is much lower for the enamel blocks, at about 1.2–1.4 compared with the stoichiometric ratio for HAP.

3.4. Fluoride concentrations before and after immersion

The $[F^-]$ was also measured before and after immersion using a fluoride ion-selective electrode. After immersion with the HAP discs, the $[F^-]$ reduced. This is plotted as $[F^-]$ consumption (Figure 5A), as a percentage of fluoride available (Figure 5B), and as a function of the $[F^-]$ concentration in the demineralising solution and indicates the formation of fluoride-containing phases.

For confirmation, the $[F^-]$ determined by ISE (before disc immersion) was plotted against the [Na] as determined by ICP-OES (shown in Figure 6). As expected, since the fluoride was added as NaF, there was a direct linear 1:1 correlation between [Na⁺] and [F⁻], corroborating the two measurement systems.

4. Discussion

The ¹⁹F peak at -106.7 ppm (Figure 1), plus the ISE detection of fluoride in the HAP disc on dissolution, indicates that the HAP disc does contain F⁻. However, the low concentration of F⁻ (0.145 ppm \pm 0.065) released into the solution after demineralisation (HAP disc weight loss of 0.0232 g \pm 0.0005) indicates a fluoride substitution for hydroxyl ions of between 1 and 3/100. The ¹⁹F chemical shift observed based on data by Gao et al. (18) indicates an F⁻ substitution of approximately

20%. Below this level of fluoride substitution, the ¹⁹F chemical shift no longer decreases with the decreasing F content of the HAP. This is probably because the observed F chemical shift is influenced by the first two adjacent OH groups in the c-axis channel of the crystal lattice but not by the third, and thus, the ¹⁹F chemical shift would not be expected to decrease any further after about one in five hydroxyl ions is substituted. That an ¹⁹F MAS-NMR signal can be detected for HAP containing such small amounts (0.03 wt. %) of fluoride demonstrates the exceptional sensitivity of the ¹⁹F MAS-NMR technique.

Based on the weight of HAP dissolved, the fluoride content of the hydroxyapatite was determined to be $0.84\% \pm 0.37\%$ (this is the percentage of the OH groups substituted with F⁻). ¹⁹F chemical shifts were identified by comparison with the reference spectra

for FAP, CaF2 and the plot of ¹⁹F chemical shift against F substitution in mixed FHAP from Gao et al. (18). The formation of heavily fluoride-substituted apatite $[Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F(_{2-x}) (OH)x]$ where $x \gg 0.1$], i.e., close to FAP, was observed at an [F⁻] of 10 and 25 ppm. At an [F⁻] of 50 ppm, CaF₂, in addition to FAP, was identified. Figure 2A shows that as the [F⁻] in the demineralising solution increased, the proportion of CaF₂ increased and the proportion of FAP decreased. At 10 and 25 ppm [F⁻], there was evidence of a broad signal at about -105 ppm between that for FAP and the F in the original HAP, suggesting a FHAP solid solution. Upon immersion in acetic acid, the HAP discs demineralised, releasing calcium and phosphate into the solution. In the presence of F⁻, some of the calcium and phosphate reprecipitated as FAP, as evidenced by



a chemical shift between -102 and -103.8 ppm and CaF $_2$ has a chemical shift between -107.5 and -108.5 ppm. Asterisks (*) mark spinning sidebands. MAS-NMR, magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance; HAP, hydroxyapatite; FAP, fluorapatite.



Data from this HAP study (left axis), as well as enamel data from the study by Mohammed et al. (15) (right axis), are presented. (A) The percentage weight loss is shown to reduce as fluoride concentration increases, (B) ICP-OES measurements for [Ca] and (C) ICP-OES measurements for [P] in solution, respectively, as a function of $[F^-]$ in the starting solution. ICP-OES, inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy.





presented. HAP, hydroxyapatite.



concentrations (above 100 ppm). HAP, hydroxyapatite.

the peak at -103.7 ppm. In the case of the 10 ppm [F⁻], there is some evidence for a peak that is intermediate between -106.7and -103 ppm that, based on Gao et al. (18), would correspond to a substitution of approximately 56% of F⁻ for OH⁻ in the HAP. It has been suggested that a mixed FHAP (Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆F (2 - x) (OH)x, where x \approx 1, demonstrates increased stability because of hydrogen bonding between the hydrogen of the hydroxyl groups and the fluoride ions in adjacent sites, as first reported in Moreno et al. (30) and later explained in Moreno et al. (31). The reprecipitation of apatite as FAP reduces both the weight loss and the concentration of Ca and P in the solution (Figure 2 shows the HAP data together with the enamel data from the previous study). As the F^- is smaller than the OH⁻, and is more electronegative, a reduction of the a-axis of the mixed FAP unit cell results (Aoba 1997). However, at an [F⁻] above 25 ppm, CaF₂ forms in addition to FAP. The CaF₂ formation consumes Ca²⁺ ions, which leaves excess PO₄³⁻ ions in the solution above the apatite stoichiometry. Consequently, the P content in the solution increases and the Ca:P ratio decreases markedly. The formation of CaF₂ above 45 ppm was also found



by Mohammed et al. (15) in their studies on enamel, who also found P to increase in the solution and the Ca:P ratio to decline once CaF_2 started to form.

Mohammed et al. (15) found that the Ca:P ratio in the solution was in the range of 1.2-1.4 (for studies on enamel), significantly lower than the ratio in stoichiometric hydroxyapatite of 1.67. However, natural enamel contains carbonate CO₃²⁻, which is predominantly substituted by PO_4^{3-} groups (B-type substitution) in the apatite lattice, resulting in a Ca-deficient apatite. This serves to reduce the Ca:P ratio in the solution. Carbonated HAP, as pointed out by Featherstone et al. (6), is more acid-soluble than HAP, and thus, the acid dissolution of hydroxy carbonate apatite results in carbonate elimination as well as the formation of FAP. The Ca:P ratio is also probably reduced in the solution because of the partial substitution of Ca²⁺ ions by other divalent ions, such as Mg²⁺ ions, in natural tooth enamel. There is an inverse relationship between carbonate and F content, via dissolution and reprecipitation of less highly carbonated apatite (32). In contrast, the Ca:P ratio found in the solution for the synthetic HAP is expectedly very close to the theoretical apatite stoichiometry.

The correlation between the measured $[Na^+]$ and the $[F^-]$ (Figure 6), plus the close matching of the values, confirms the validity of the ion-selective electrode measurements for fluoride as well as the accuracy of the ICP-OES sodium measurements (matching with the NaF added in grams). After immersion with the HAP discs, the $[F^-]$ decreased. The difference between the initial and the final $[F^-]$ represents the fluoride ions taken up to form both CaF₂ FAP and fluoridated apatite.

Plotting the fluoride consumption as a percentage of the total added to the starting solution (Figure 5B) highlights the fact that

the highest percentage consumption was at 100 ppm, which is also the point at which the concentration of the phosphate is higher than that of the calcium. A possible explanation may be that at the higher concentration of starting fluoride, the limiting factor is the demineralisation rate of the disc to release the calcium ions to take the fluoride out. This also helps explain the proposed reduction in the slope in Figures 5A, B once the $[F^-]$ is above 100 ppm, and the amount of CaF₂ formation is dictating the percentage of fluoride consumed. On the other hand, at a lower $[F^-]$, it is the formation of FAP that is consuming the fluoride. There must be a transition range between FAP formation and CaF₂ formation, which may be interesting to investigate in future work.

The concentration of phosphate released during demineralisation continued to increase between 10 and 1450 ppm of fluoride (Figure 3). This indicates that demineralisation is continuing and may even be driven by the Ca^{2+} ions removed from the solution as precipitated CaF_2 . Between the 362.5 and the 1450 ppm fluoride treatments, the phosphate concentration is higher (100–300 ppm) when compared with no fluoride treatment (<100 ppm), as shown in Figure 2C, demonstrating that high fluoride content in the solution can increase the amount of demineralisation.

Ren et al. (33) investigated the uptake of fluoride by HAP powder with a focus on removing F^- from contaminated water supplies as a function of $[F^-]$ at pH 7 and as a function of pH with 0.25 mM $[F^-]$. They also used ¹⁹F MAS-NMR to study this process. F^- ions were taken up to form FAP at all pH values above pH 5, although it was thought to be an ion exchange process, rather than a dissolution and reprecipitation mechanism. At pH 7, they found CaF₂ to form at an $[F^-]$ of 100 mM

(1900ppm). At pH 4 with 0.25 mM $[F^-]$ (corresponding to 4.75 ppm), they also demonstrated the formation of fluorapatite. As the pH increased with immersion in 0.25 mM $[F^-]$, the full-width half maximum of the peak increased, indicating the possible formation of a mixed FHAP. The formation of FAP with 4.75 ppm $[F^-]$ is consistent with the results found in the present study.

The formation of CaF_2 at an $[F^-] > 25$ ppm was also found in a previous study (15) and supports the view of Featherstone et al. (6), Featherstone (34) and ten Cate (35) that it is low $[F^-]$ that is particularly beneficial in preventing demineralisation. It must be noted that in the studies reported here, CaF_2 is forming under acidic conditions and CaF_2 is exceedingly insoluble under acidic conditions (20, 21, 36) but soluble at pH > 9. Furthermore, there is no direct evidence for CaF_2 formation at a low $[F^-] < 50$ ppm (Figure 1). It is more likely that at these concentrations (<50 ppm), it is FAP, or even more likely, partially fluoridated apatite, that acts as a reservoir for fluoride, particularly if the crystallite size is small, which would favour their preferential dissolution compared with enamel apatite. However, Vogel et al. (37) reported no evidence for CaF_2 formation *in vivo* following a rinse with NaF with fluoride concentrations at 228 ppm.

This suggests that the use of high fluoride prescription toothpaste is likely to result in CaF₂ formation in the oral cavity. The benefit of such toothpastes is that it may maintain a higher [F⁻] for a longer period in the mouth in order to overcome the dilution effects that occur due to salivary flow in vivo. In both the present study and the previous study, there is no continuous model for salivary flow that results in fluoride clearance in the mouth (38-41) and, consequently, in the oral cavity, the $[F^-]$ drops quasi-exponentially with time, whereas the [F⁻] in the experimental model used in this study (ignoring the formation of fluoride-containing phases) is constant. Of course, the exact fluoride clearance process in the oral cavity will depend on salivary flow rates and salivary volumes, which will vary markedly from individual to individual. In addition, salivary flow decreases markedly at night. Furthermore, in the mouth, the pH would not remain close to pH 4.0 but would vary cyclically depending on the dietary habits of the individual. One of the major limitations of this study is that the experiments are performed statically in fixed immersion solutions, and in vivo there would be salivary flow and the pH would fluctuate rather than being constant. Further studies should include components to mimic salivary flow as well as a fluctuating pH.

5. Conclusion

This study has highlighted some key differences and similarities in the way that HAP discs and enamel respond to fluoride in acidic conditions. The HAP discs were seen to follow similar trends to those observed for the previous enamel data. However, the major advantage of using the standardised HAP discs was that there was less variability between specimens and, as such, trends were easier to distinguish. The HAP discs were found to contain fluoride as an impurity, and this is something that should be considered in future studies. The HAP discs were established as good enamel analogues for investigating the role of fluoride.

Further, this NMR study suggests that high levels of fluoride may accelerate demineralisation by taking calcium out of the solution in the form of precipitated calcium fluoride, thereby taking the system out of chemical equilibrium. Therefore, further work is required to determine the actual levels of fluoride required for therapeutic benefit, and in less undersaturated systems, and that also accounts for the effects of salivary flow rate, and fluctuating pH, using both HAP and enamel samples. In conclusion, under demineralising conditions, CaF_2 formed on HAP at an $[F^-]$ of > 50 ppm, whereas fluoridated apatite formed below 50 ppm. The results were consistent with those obtained when an enamel substrate was used.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors without undue reservation.

Ethics statements

Ethical review and approval were not required for this study in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

Conceptualisation: BF, PA and RGH. Investigation: BF and AJC-B. Writing—original draft preparation: BF and AJC-B. Writing—review and editing: BF, AJC-B, PA, RJML, and RGH. Supervision: PA, RJML, and RGH. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

BF was the recipient of a PhD studentship from BBBSRC and GlaxoSmithKline (BB/P504427/1). The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of the data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit for publication.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of a GlaxoSmithKline and a BBSRC Case PhD Studentship for BF. The authors also thank Dr Harold Toms from the QM School of Biological and Chemical Sciences for NMR technical support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Petersen PE, Bourgeois D, Ogawa H, Estupinan-Day S, Ndiaye C. The global burden of oral diseases and risks to oral health. *Bull W H O.* (2005) 83(9):661–9. PMID: 16211157; PMC1D: PMC2626328.

2. Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. Lancet. (2007) 369:51-9. doi: 10. 1016/S0140-6736(07)60031-2.

3. Pitts N, Chadwick B, Anderson T, Children's Dental Health Survey 2013. Report 2: Dental Disease and Damage in Children: England, Wales and Northern Ireland. Office for National Statistics, Health & Social Care Information Centre. p. 1. (2015).

4. Huang W-T, Anderson P, Duminis T, Shahid S. Effect of topically applied silver compounds on the demineralisation of hydroxyapatite. *Dent Mater.* (2022) 38 (4):709–14. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2022.02.013.

5. Koulourides T, Keller SE, Manson-Hing L, Lilley V. Enhancement of fluoride effectiveness by experimental cariogenic priming of human enamel. *Caries Res.* (1980) 14(1):32–9. doi: 10.1159/000260431.

6. Featherstone JDB, Shields CP, Khademazad B, Oldershaw MD. Acid reactivity of carbonated apatites with strontium and fluoride substitutions. *J Dent Res.* (1983) 62 (10):1049–53. doi: 10.1177/00220345830620100801.

7. Fox JL, Iyer BV, Higuchi WI, Hefferren JJ. Solution activity product (K_{FAP}) and simultaneous demineralization-remineralization in bovine tooth enamel and hydroxyapatite pellets. *J Pharamaceutical Sci.* (1983) 72(11):1252–5. doi: 10.1002/jps.2600721104.

8. Lynch RJM, ten Cate JM. The effect of lesion characteristics at baseline on subsequent De- and remineralisation behaviour. *Caries Res.* (2006) 40(6):530–5. doi: 10.1159/000095653.

9. Anderson P, Elliott JC. Subsurface demineralization in dental enamel and other permeable solids during acid dissolution. *J Dent Res.* (1992) 71(8):1473–83. doi: 10. 1177/00220345920710080301.

10. Anderson P, Bollet-Quivogne F, S RG, Dowker EP, Elliott JC. Demineralization in enamel and hydroxyapatite aggregates at increasing ionic strengths. *Arch Oral Biol.* (2004) 49(3):199–207. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2003.10.001.

11. Kosoric J, Hector MP, Anderson P. The influence of proteins on demineralization kinetics of hydroxyapatite aggregates. J Biomedical Mat Res Part A. (2010) 94(3):972-7. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.32759

12. Shellis RP, Barbour ME, Jones SB, Addy M. Effects of pH and acid concentration on erosive dissolution of enamel, dentine, and compressed hydroxyapatite. *Eur J Oral Sci.* (2010) 118(5):475–82. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0722.2010.00763.x.

13. Shellis RP, Ganss C, Ren Y, Zero DT, Lussi A. Methodology and models in erosion research: discussion and conclusions. *Caries Res.* (2011) 45(Suppl. 1):69–77. doi: 10.1159/000325971.

14. Huang WT, Shahid S, Anderson P. Validation of a real-time ISE methodology to quantify the influence of inhibitors of demineralization kinetics in vitro using a hydroxyapatite model system. *Caries Res.* (2018) 52:598–603. doi: 10.1159/000488597.

15. Mohammed NR, Kent NW, Lynch RJM, Karpukhina N, Hill RG, Anderson P. Effects of fluoride on in vitro enamel demineralization analyzed by ¹⁹F MAS-NMR. *Caries Res.* (2013) 47(5):421–8. doi: 10.1159/000350171.

16. Soares-Yoshikawa AL, Cury JA, Tabchoury CPM. Fluoride concentration in SDF commercial products and their bioavailability with demineralized dentine. *Braz Dent J.* (2020) 31(3):257–63. doi: 10.1590/0103-6440202003669.

17. White DJ, Bowman WD, Faller RV, Mobley MJ, Wolfgang RA, Yesinowski JP. ¹⁹F MAS-NMR and solution chemical characterization of the reactions of fluoride with hydroxyapatite and powdered enamel. *Acta Odontol Scand.* (1988) 46 (6):375–89. doi: 10.3109/00016358809004791.

18. Gao Y, Karpukhina N, Law RV. Phase segregation in hydroxyfluorapatite solid solution at high temperatures studied by combined XRD/solid state NMR. *RSC Adv.* (2016) 6(105):103782–90. doi: 10.1039/C6RA17161C.

19. Caslavska V, Moreno EC, Brudevold F. Determination of the calcium fluoride formed from in vitro exposure of human enamel to fluoride solutions. *Arch Oral Biol.* (1975) 20(5-6):333–9. doi: 10.1016/0003-9969(75)90023-0.

20. Øgaard B, Rølla G, Helgeland K. Alkali soluble and alkali insoluble fluoride retention in demineralized enamel in vivo. *Scand J Dent Res.* (1983a) 91(3):200-4. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0722.1983.tb00802.x

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

21. Øgaard B, Rølla G, Helgeland K. Uptake and retention of alkali-soluble and alkali-insoluble fluoride in sound enamel in vivo after mouthrinses with 0.05% or 0.2% NaF. *Caries Res.* (1983b) 17(6):520%-524. doi: 10.1159/000260712.

22. Øgaard B, Rølla G, Helgeland K. Fluoride retention in sound and demineralized enamel in vivo after treatment with a fluoride varnish (duraphat). *Scand J Dent Res.* (1984) 92(3):190–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0722.1984.tb00878.x

23. Scholz KJ, Federlin M, Hiller K-A, Ebensberger H, Ferstl G, Buchalla W. EDXanalysis of fluoride precipitation on human enamel. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:1–11. doi: 10. 1038/s41598-019-49742-5.

24. Yesinowski JP, Mobley MJ. ¹⁹F MAS-NMR of fluoridated hydroxyapatite surfaces. J Am Chem Soc. (1983) 105(19):6191–3. doi: 10.1021/ja00357a060.

25. Mneimme M, Hill RG, Bushby AJ, Brauer DS. High phosphate content significantly increases apatite formation of fluoride-containing bioactive glasses. *Acta Biomater.* (2011) 7(4):1827–34. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2010.11.037.

26. Hill RG, Gillam DG, Chen X. The ability of a nano hydroxyapatite toothpaste and oral rinse containing fluoride to protect enamel during an acid challenge using ¹⁹F solid state NMR spectroscopy. *Mater Lett.* (2015) 156:69–71. doi: 10.1016/j. matlet.2015.04.140.

27. Al-eesa NA, Karpukhina N, Hill RG, Johal A, Wong FSL. Bioactive glass composite for orthodontic adhesives—formation and characterisation of apatites using MAS-NMR and SEM. *Dent Mater.* (2019) 35(4):597–605. doi: 10.1016/j. dental.2019.02.010.

 Al-Khafaji TJ, Wong FSL, Fleming PS, Karpukhina N, Hill RG. Novel fluoride and strontium-containing bioactive glasses for dental varnishes-design and bioactivity. J Non-Cryst Solids. (2019) 503-504:120–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jnoncrysol. 2018.09.037.

29. Taha AA, Fleming PS, Hill RG, Patel MP. Enamel remineralization with novel bioactive glass air abrasion. *J Dent Res.* (2018) 97(13):1438–44. doi: 10.1177/0022034518792048.

30. Moreno EC, Kresak M, Zahradnik RT. Fluoridated hydroxyapatite solubility and caries formation. *Nature*. (1974) 247:64–5. doi: 10.1038/247064a0.

31. Moreno EC, Kresak M, Zahradnik RT. Physicochemical aspects of fluorideapatite systems relevant to the study of dental caries. *Caries Res.* (1977) 11(Suppl. 1):142–71. doi: 10.1159/000260299.

32. Jenkins G. Theories on the mode of action of fluoride in reducing dental decay. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (1963) 22(1):97–104. doi: 10.1079/PNS19630020.

33. Ren C, Yu Z, Phillips BL, Wang H, Ji J, Pan B, et al. Molecular-scale investigation of fluoride sorption mechanism by nanosized hydroxyapatite using ¹⁹F solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* (2019) 557:357–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis. 2019.09.039.

34. Featherstone JDB. Prevention and reversal of dental caries: role of low level fluoride. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* (1999) 27(1):31–40. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.1999.tb01989.x.

35. ten Cate JM. Contemporary perspective on the use of fluoride products in caries prevention. *Br Dent J.* (2013) 214(4):161–7. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2013.162.

36. Øgaard B. Caf_2 formation: cariostatic properties and factors of enhancing the effect. Caries Res. (2001) 35(Suppl. 1):40–4. doi: 10.1159/000049109.

37. Vogel GL, Tenuta LMA, Schumacher GE, Chow LC. No calcium-fluoride-like deposits detected in plaque shortly after a sodium fluoride mouthrinse. *Caries Res.* (2010) 44(2):108–15. doi: 10.1159/000287239.

38. Oliveby A, Ekstrand J, Lagerlöf F. Effect of salivary flow rate on salivary fluoride clearance after use of a fluoride-containing chewing gum. *Caries Res.* (1987) 21 (5):393–401. doi: 10.1159/000261045.

39. Sjögren K. How to improve oral fluoride retention? *Caries Res.* (2001) 35(Suppl. 1):14-7. doi: 10.1159/000049103.

40. Duckworth RM, Maguire A, Omid N, Steen IN, McCracken GI, Zohoori FV. Effect of rinsing with mouthwashes after brushing with a fluoridated toothpaste on salivary fluoride concentration. *Caries Res.* (2009) 43(5):391–6. doi: 10.1159/000239753.

41. Duckworth RM, Jones S. On the relationship between the rate of salivary flow and salivary fluoride clearance. *Caries Res.* (2015) 49(2):141-6. doi: 10.1159/000365949.