



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Joey Wong
✉ joey.wong@ubc.ca

†These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 03 September 2024
ACCEPTED 04 September 2024
PUBLISHED 18 September 2024

CITATION
Wong J, Hung L, Bayabay C, Wong KLY,
Berndt A, Mann J, Wong L, Jackson L and
Gregorio M (2024) Corrigendum: A critical
reflection on using the Patient Engagement In
Research Scale (PEIRS) to evaluate patient and
family partners' engagement in
dementia research.
Front. Dement. 3:1490895.
doi: 10.3389/frdem.2024.1490895

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 Wong, Hung, Bayabay, Wong, Berndt,
Mann, Wong, Jackson and Gregorio. This is an
open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Corrigendum: A critical reflection on using the Patient Engagement In Research Scale (PEIRS) to evaluate patient and family partners' engagement in dementia research

Joey Wong^{1,2*†}, Lillian Hung^{1,2†}, Cates Bayabay^{1,2},
Karen Lok Yi Wong^{2,3}, Annette Berndt², Jim Mann², Lily Wong²,
Lynn Jackson² and Mario Gregorio²

¹School of Nursing, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ²UBC IDEA Lab, School of Nursing, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ³School of Social Work, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

KEYWORDS

patient and public involvement, aging, dementia, older adults, technology, evaluation

A Corrigendum on A critical reflection on using the Patient Engagement In Research Scale (PEIRS) to evaluate patient and family partners' engagement in dementia research

by Wong, J., Hung, L., Bayabay, C., Wong, K. L. Y., Berndt, A., Mann, J., Wong, L., Jackson, L., and Gregorio, M. (2024). *Front. Dement.* 3:1422820. doi: 10.3389/frdem.2024.1422820

In the published article, there was an error in Figure 1 as published. Figure 1 has now been removed entirely in order to unpublish this incorrect material.

In the published article, there was an error including the scale items. A correction has been made to **Section 3.2, Subsection 3.2.1, Paragraph 1**. This sentence previously stated:

“For example, the question under the subtheme “Procedural Requirements”—“The project was worth the time I spent on it” and the subtheme “Contributions”—“My contributions were a good use of my time” sounds similar. Another set of identical questions is “I made an impact on the decisions in the project” under the subtheme “Benefits” and “I participated in making decisions about the project” under the subtheme “Procedural Requirements.””

The corrected sentence appears below:

“For example, the questions under the subthemes “Procedural Requirements” and “Contributions” regarding the use of time by our partners sound similar. Another set of identical questions are related to our partners' decision making in the project under the subthemes “Benefits” and “Procedural Requirements.””

In the published article, there was an error including the scale item. A correction has been made to **Section 3.3, Sub-section 3.3.3, Paragraph 2**. This sentence previously stated:

“One example regarding MG’s comments is the question under the subtheme “Convenience”—“Throughout the project, I had sufficient time to complete my tasks for the project.””

The corrected sentence appears below:

“One example regarding MG’s comments is the question under the subtheme “Convenience” about the time allowed for completing his assigned tasks in the project.”

In the published article, there was an error including the scale item. A correction has been made to **Section 3.3**, *Sub-section 3.3.3*, Paragraph 2. This sentence previously stated:

“For example, the question under “Procedural Requirements”—“In general, I had sufficient opportunities to contribute to the project.””

The corrected sentence appears below:

“One question LW mentioned regarding her contributions is under the subtheme “Procedural Requirements.””

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.