TYPE Original Research PUBLISHED 07 December 2022 DOI 10.3389/frcha.2022.987339

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sara Calderoni, Stella Maris Foundation (IRCCS), Italy

REVIEWED BY Maria Pia Pia Riccio, Federico II University Hospital, Italy Alessandra Carta, University of Sassari, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Rong Li 15850780062@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Autism and Other Neurodevelopmental Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

RECEIVED 06 July 2022 ACCEPTED 21 September 2022 PUBLISHED 07 December 2022

CITATION

Wu D and Li R (2022) Genetic analysis of neurodevelopmental disorders in children. Front. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 1:987339. doi: 10.3389/frcha.2022.987339

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wu and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genetic analysis of neurodevelopmental disorders in children

Dandan Wu and Rong Li*

Child Healthcare Department, Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

Purpose: To explore the genetic cause of children with unidentified etiology of neurodevelopmental disorders, thus providing references for the diagnosis, treatment and genetic counseling.

Design and methods: Children with neurodevelopmental disorders but unidentified etiology in the Child Healthcare Department, Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University from November 2018 to December 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. A total of 2 ml of peripheral venous blood was collected from the child and their parents for the whole exome sequencing (WES) and copy number variation (CNV) detection. Male children were subjected to fragile X syndrome testing to determine the genetic mutations. For those with positive results, Sanger sequencing was performed to explore the mutations in the gene sites and pedigrees.

Results: A total of 488 (33.5%) pathogenic variations were detected among 1,457 global developmental/intellectual disabilities (GDD/ID) children, including 362 (24.9%) cases of monogenic mutations, and 111 (7.6%) cases of chromosomal microdeletions or microduplications. There were 15/780 (1.92%) male children with fragile X syndrome. Single point mutations were detected in 277/362 (76.5%) and 85/362 (23.5%) male and female GDD/ID children, respectively, including 295 (81.5%) cases of missense mutations, 32 (8.8%) cases of frameshift mutations, 5 (2.2%) cases of non-sense mutations and 30 (8.3%) cases of splice site mutations. In addition, there were 166 (45.8%) cases of autosomal inheritance and 196 (54.2%) cases of X-linked inheritance. The X chromosome abnormalities were mostly observed in 362 GDD/ID children with monogenic mutations, including 15 cases of the AFF2 gene mutation, 13 cases of the MECP2 gene mutation and 12 cases of the HUWEI gene mutation. The CREBBP gene mutation was the most common autosome abnormality in GDD/ID children with monogenic mutations, which was detected in five cases. There were 74 cases of chromosomal microdeletions, 31 cases of chromosomal microduplications and six cases of both. A total of 114 novel pathogenic mutations responsible for GDD/ID were found, including four novel mutations in MECP2 and TRAPPC9 genes.

Conclusion: The incidence of genetic abnormalities remains high in NDD children. Abundant novel mutations are responsible for GDD/ID in children, and can be used as references in the diagnosis of neurogenetic diseases.

KEYWORDS

neurodevelopmental disorders, global developmental/intellectual disabilities, autism spectrum disorders, children, gene

Introduction

Neurodevelopmental disorders (NDD), an array of multifactorial chronic diseases, seriously impair children's physical and psychological health, even cross their lifespan. NDD include at least global developmental/intellectual disabilities (GDD/ID), autism spectrum disorders (ASD), both as main contributors to pediatric disability worldwide. GDD/ID exhibit highly heterogeneous clinical and genetic features, often complicated with ASD and attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [1]. ID has a global prevalence of 1%, and serious ID of 0.6%. ASD was first reported in 1943, with a global incidence ever-growing to 1% in 2012 according to the WHO data [2–4].

NDD are caused by environmental and genetic factors. In an era with updating livelihood and enriching medical resources, exogenous factors, like infection, poisoning, trauma, hypoxia, malnutrition, illiteracy, psychological impairment, have been well-uncovered and controlled. In contrast, genetic factors remain enigmatic by large. About 2/3 of ID are caused by genetic factors, including chromosome abnormality, single or multiple-gene mutation, or inborn errors of metabolism. With plural manifestations, ID meets with no effective treatments, leading to a high rate of disability. Special education or rehabilitation training may alleviate ID symptoms, but their application across the lifespan is restricted by a huge cost [5–8].

Genetic diagnosis and counseling can benefit the treatment of NDD children, even prevent secondary adverse pregnancy outcomes. In the present study, a total of 1,457 GDD/ID and ASD children with unidentified etiology were collected and analyzed with the whole exome sequencing (WES). For those with positive results, Sanger sequencing was performed to explore the mutations in the gene sites and pedigrees. Male children were subjected to fragile X syndrome testing to determine the genetic mutations. Our findings are expected to provide references for the diagnosis and treatment of children with GDD/ID or ASD.

Materials and methods

Setting and participants

We recruited 1,457 children treated for GDD/ID and ASD of unclear etiology from November 2018 to December 2021 at the Department of Children Health Care, Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, China), including 1,152 males (79.1%) and 305 females (20.9%). Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Assessment of GDD/ID. Gesell Developmental Scales (Gesell) [9], Griffiths Development Scales-Chinese Edition (GDS-C) [10], Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI-IV) [11], Wechsler TABLE 1 Corresponding developmental assessment scales for GDD/ID children at different ages.

Age	Developmental assessment scales
0–3 years	Gesell
3-6 years	GDS-C (non-verbal children)
	WPPSI-IV+ S-M scale
6–8 years	GDS-C (non-verbal children)
	WISC-IV+ S-M scale
>8 years	WISC-IV + S-M scale

GDD/ID, global development disabilities/intellectual disabilities; Gesell, Gesell Developmental Scales; GDS-C, Griffiths Development Scales-Chinese Edition; WPPSI-IV, Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence; S-M scale, Infants-Junior Middle School Student's Social-Life Abilities Scale; WISC-IV, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children.

Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-IV) [12], and Infants-Junior Middle School Student's Social-Life Abilities Scale (S-M scale) [13] were adopted to assess GDD/ID in children. Children with two or more domains of Gesell and GDS-C that were significantly lower than those in children of the same age (>2 standard deviations) were assessed as GDD. WPPSI-IV and WISC-IV were used to assess GDD/ID in children with 2.5-6 and 6-16 years, respectively. According to the intelligence quotient (IQ) range and social adaptability, ID was classified into mild (IQ: 50-69), moderate (IQ: 35-49), severe (IQ: 20-34), and profound (IQ<20). Corresponding developmental assessment scales were used according to the developmental level and age of children (Table 1). (2) Assessment of ASD. The Autism Behavior Checklist (ABC) [14] and the Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS) [15] were adopted to assess autism symptoms in children. ASD was diagnosed in children with the total ABC score ≥ 67 points and CARS score \geq 30 points.

Exclusion criteria: (1) children with specific genetic diseases and genetic metabolic diseases diagnosed by clinical symptoms and signs, and laboratory testing; (2) children with GDD/ID and ASD caused by perinatal ischemia, hypoxic brain injury, bilirubin encephalopathy, and central nervous system infection, poisoning and trauma.

Procedures

After obtaining the written informed consent of the guardians, 2 ml of peripheral venous blood was collected from each child and his/her parents for WES and CNV detection. Male children were subjected to fragile X syndrome testing to determine the genetic mutations. For those with positive results, Sanger sequencing was performed to explore the mutations in the gene sites and pedigrees.

Target capture sequencing (TCS)

DNA fragments of the target region were enriched by microarray hybridization, then subjected to the next-generation high-throughput sequencing. In the present study, the exon regions of 23,000 genes were captured using the Gen Cap custom exome enrichment kit (My Genostics, Beijing, China). Briefly, genomic DNA fragments were ligated to the Illuminasupplied adapter sequences, then subjected to ligation-mediated polymerase chain reaction (LM-PCR). After amplification and purification, the quality of DNA library was checked. PCR products were hybridized with the target capture microarray, and the captured sequence was analyzed using the Illumina Nova 6000 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Raw data were processed for image recognition and differentiation.

Bioinformatic analyses

After trimming adapter sequences from short read data, the Illumina sequencing reads were mapped to the human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19, 2009 Assembly) using the BWA-MEM alignment algorithm of Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA, http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net) [16, 17]. Single nucleotide variation (SNV) and inserts and deletions (INDEL) were analyzed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK, http://software.broadinstitute.org) [18], and annotated by ANNOVAR (http://annovar.openbioinformatics.org/en/latest/) [19]. Mutation sites with a frequency of <0.05 were screened out of the following databases, including the 1,000 Genomes Project (http://www.1000genomes.org), Exome Variant Server (http://evs.gs.washington.edu) and EXAC (http:// exac.broadinstitute.org/). Pathogenicity and conservative prediction of missense mutations were carried out using SIFT (http://sift.jcvi.org/) [20-22], PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics. bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) [23-25], MutationTaster (http:// www.mutationtaster.org/) [26], and GERP++ (http://mendel. stanford.edu/SidowLab/downloads/gerp/index.html) [27]. Changes in splice sites were analyzed for pathogenicity using SPIDEX (http://www.openbioinformatics.org/annovar/spidex_ download_form.php).

PCR and sanger sequencing

Mutations of interest were subjected to PCR and Sanger sequencing. PCR primers were synthesized using Primer 3.0 (http://primer3.ut.ee/) [28–30]. In addition, PCR products were subjected to Sanger sequencing and analyzed using the ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), followed by the family cosegregation analysis.

Fragile X syndrome testing

DNA extracted from peripheral blood was analyzed by PCR, and the PCR fragment was designed to flank

TABLE 2 Baseline characteristics of GDD/ID children.

Characteristics	Number of patients (%)
Total	1,457
Sex	
Male	1,152 (79.1%)
Female	305 (20.9%)
Age	
≤1 year	402 (27.6%)
>1 year, \leq 3 years	753 (51.7%)
>3 year, ≤ 6 years	231 (15.9%)
>6 year	71 (4.8%)
Genetic etiology	
CGG repeat expansion in the FMR1 gene	15 (1.0%)
Small pathogenic variations	362 (24.9%)
Pathogenic CNVs	111 (7.6%)
Total	488 (33.5%)

GDD/ID, global development disabilities/intellectual disabilities; CNV, copy number variation.

the area of repeats and effectively adjust the size of the PCR product correspondingly to the repeat size. After allele-specific methylation PCR, capillary electrophoresis was performed to measure the number of CTG repeats.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs), and processed using SPSS 22.0. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Types of pathogenic variations

There were 1,253/1,457 (85.9%) children with GDD/ID and 204/1,457 (14.1%) with GDD/ID and autism. A total of 488 (33.5%) pathogenic variations were detected among 1,457 GDD/ID children, including 362 (24.9%) monogenic mutations, and 111 (7.6%) chromosomal microdeletions or microduplications. Fifteen male children (1.92%, 15/780) aged 2–13 years presented with fragile X syndrome, and four of their mothers were determined as premutation carriers, while *FMR1* premutation was not detected in their fathers. Notably, full mutation in *FMR1* gene was detected in 9 male children of 4 pedigrees (Table 2).

Monogenic mutations in GDD/ID children

Common monogenic mutations responsible for GDD/ID

Most of the X chromosome abnormalities were observed in 362 GDD/ID children with monogenic mutations, including 15 with *AFF2* gene mutation, 13 with *MECP2* gene mutation and 12 with *HUWEI* gene mutation. The *CREBBP* gene mutation, detected in five cases, was the most common autosome abnormality in GDD/ID children with monogenic mutations (Table 3).

Single point mutations in GDD/ID children

Monogenic mutations were detected in 362 GDD/ID children, involving 277 males and 85 females. Among them, 295 carried missense mutations, 32 carried frameshift mutations, five carried non-sense mutations, and 30 carried splice site mutations (Table 4). In particular, non-sense mutations were inherited in 3 GDD/ID children with an autosomal recessive pattern, involving 1 combined with splice site mutation and 2 with frameshift mutations.

Novel single point mutations in GDD/ID children

Newly discovered single point mutations were abundant in 362 cases of GDD/ID children, all never reported before. The mutations were considered pathogenic (**P**) based on protein damage due to mutation-induced premature termination codon and clinical manifestations of affected children. Moreover, their pathogenicity (p) was considered as positive results from more than four online tools in predicting the pathogenicity of rare missense variants (e.g., SIFT, PolyPhen_2MutationTaster, GERP++, and REVEL). At last, 114 novel pathogenic mutations responsible for GDD/ID were found (Table 5).

Inheritance patterns of single point mutations in GDD/ID children

Among the 362 GDD/ID children with single point mutations, 166 (45.8%) presented with autosomal inheritance, and 196 (54.2%) presented with X-linked inheritance (Table 6).

Chromosomal microdeletions or microduplications

We identified 74 children with chromosomal microdeletions, 31 with chromosomal microduplications and 6 with both. Among the 111 (7.6%) cases of chromosomal microdeletions or microduplications, we detected 16 cases of Williams syndrome (WS), four cases of Phelan-McDermid syndrome (22q13 deletion syndrome), four

cases of Prader-Willi syndrome or Angelman syndrome with deletions or duplications at the 15q11.2q13.1 locus (Table 7).

Discussion

Clinical manifestations of NDD in children are diverse, the disability rate of which remains high. However, effective treatment for NDD lacks. Genetic factors are predominantly responsible for NDD, including chromosomal abnormalities, single or multiple gene mutations and congenital metabolic defects. In the present study, 362 (24.9%) pathogenic mutations were found in 1,457 GDD/ID children, dominated by missense mutation (295/362, 81.5%).

We found abundant single site mutations in GDD/ID children that have not been previously reported, and 114 of them were confirmed as pathogenic, including 51 (44.7%) of autosomal dominant, 21 (18.4%) of autosomal recessive, 8 (7.0%) of X-linked dominant, 18 (15.8%) of X-linked recessive and 16 (14.0%) of X-linked. Among them, 54 (47.4%) were inherited from parents, and 60 (52.6%) were spontaneous mutations. The latter was considered to pose a stronger destructive effect on biological functions than genetic mutations. Our findings provide valuable clinical evidence supporting the genetic causes of sporadic GDD/ID in children.

The newly discovered mutations in GDD/ID children have expanded the genetic spectrum of this disease. Notably, four novel mutations were found in MECP2 and TRAPPC9 genes, respectively. A total of seven female GDD/ID children carrying MECP2 gene presented obvious intellectual disability and stereotyped behavior. MECP2 gene is also the second most common gene for sex-linked genetic diseases. With the discovery of novel mutation sites, the incidence of neurodevelopmental disorders caused by MECP2 gene is estimated to increase [31]. Novel mutations in the TRAPPC9 gene were detected in 3 GDD/ID children. Notably, a GDD/ID child carried two novel mutations in the TRAPPC9 gene with the clinical manifestations of severe intellectual disability and autism. NIBP/TRAPPC9 mutations are associated with non-syndromic autosomal recessive intellectual disability (NS-ARID), which is known as NIBP syndrome, and assigned as the intellectual disabilityobesity-brain malformations facial dysmorphism syndrome in the human disease database Mala Cards [32].

All included GDD/ID children received WES and CNV detection. Chromosomal abnormalities and genomic microdeletions and microduplications act as critical genetic causes for NDD in children. In the present study, a total of 111 (7.6%) children with pathogenic CNVs were detected, involving 74 (66.7%) with microdeletions, 16 (27.9%) with microduplications and 6 (5.4%) with both. The incidence of microdeletions was much higher than that of microduplications in GDD/ID children. Theoretically, the incidence of genomic

Gene	Inheritance pattern	Number of patients (%)	Number of male patients (%)	Number of female patients (%)
AFF2	XLR	15 (1.03%, 15/1,457)	13 (1.13%, 13/1,152)	2 (0.66%, 2/305)
MECP2	XL	13 (0.89%, 13/1,457)	6 (0.52%, 6/1,152)	7 (2.23%, 7/305)
HUWEI	XL	12 (0.82%, 12/1,457)	11 (0.95%, 11/1,152)	1 (0.33%, 1/305)
BRWD3	XLR	10 (0.69%, 10/1,457)	11 (0.95%, 11/1,152)	0
ATRX	XL	6 (0.4%, 6/1,457)	5 (0.43%, 5/1,152)	1 (0.33%, 1/305)
DLG3	XLR	5 (0.34%, 5/1,457)	5 (0.43%, 5/1,152)	0
ZNF41	XL	5 (0.34%, 5/1,457)	5 (0.43%, 5/1,152)	0
PTCHD1	XLR	5 (0.34%, 5/1,457)	5 (0.43%, 5/1,152)	0
CREBBP	AD	5 (0.34%, 3/1,457)	3 (0.26%, 3/1,152)	2 (0.66%, 2/305)

TABLE 3 Pathogenic variations in GDD/ID children.

GDD/ID, global development disabilities/intellectual disabilities; XLR, X-linked recessive inheritance; XL, X-linked inheritance; AD, autosomal dominant inheritance.

TABLE 4 Single site mutations in GDD/ID children.

Mutation type	Number of	Number of male	Number of female	
	patients (%)	patients (%)	patients (%)	
Missense mutation	295 (81.5%, 295/362)	237 (85.6%, 237/277)	58 (68.2%, 58/85)	
Frameshift mutation	32 (8.8%, 32/362)	16 (5.6%, 16/277)	16 (18.8%, 16/85)	
Nonsense mutation	5 (1.4%, 5/362)	0 (0%, 0/277)	5 (5.9%, 5/85)	
Splice site mutation	30 (8.3%, 30/362)	24 (8.7%, 24/277)	6 (7.1%, 6/85)	

GDD/ID, global development disabilities/intellectual disabilities.

microdeletions is close to that of microduplications. In the early stage of gamete formation, however, neither duplication nor deletion is prone to occur. It is reported that the phenotype of microduplication is often milder (no clinical phenotype occurs) than that of microdeletion. Even at the chromosomal level, triploids are more likely to survive than haploids [33–35]. WS, a 7q11.23 duplication syndrome, was the most common disorder in GDD/ID children. Our findings differed from the previous studies of microdeletion or microduplication, which may be linked with the bigger data in our institution.

Sex-linked genetic diseases are characterized by an obvious between-sex difference. Healthy females can carry pathogenic genes of X-linked dominant and recessive disorders, bringing with a high risk of genetic diseases inherited through the pedigree. Among 1,457 GDD/ID children, we detected 196 (54.1%), 51 (14.1%), and 145 (40.1%) children X-linked inheritance, X-linked dominant inheritance, and X-linked recessive inheritance, respectively. The incidence of X-linked inheritance was similar to that of autosomal inheritance. However, X-linked recessive inheritance is more recessive and harmful. Chromosome positioning of 473 GDD/ID children carrying definite pathogenic genes showed that the X chromosome was the most common position of gene abnormalities. Consistently, the top 3 pathogenic genes (the *AFF2*, *MECP2*, and *HUWEI* gene) in GDD/ID children were also located in the X chromosome.

AFF2 gene (OMIM 300806) maps to *Xq28* and is highly expressed in brain regions involved in learning, cognition, and memory, including the hippocampus and amygdala. Murine *AFF2* has an 88% amino acid similarity with human *AFF2*. *In situ* hybridization of adult mouse brains showed labeling in the cingulate gyrus, hippocampus, piriform cortex, and Purkinge layers [36, 37].

The expansion of CCG trinucleotide in the *AFF2* gene causes a form of X-linked intellectual disability (ID) related to Fragile site E (FRAXE) at Xq28. The clinical presentations of FRAXE fragility vary a lot, including mild intellectual disability, learning disabilities, communication deficits, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and autistic spectrum. Translocations disrupting AFF2, partial or entire deletions and partial duplication have been described in ID patients. Partial AFF2 deletions, especially smaller deletions, are usually associated with a milder phenotype or autism, whereas complete loss of gene function causes FRAXE. A partial AFF2 duplication has been reported associated with mild ID [38–40].

In addition, Fragile X syndrome is inherited with an X-linked incomplete dominance, manifested as hereditary intellectual disability and autism. Mainly caused by the dynamic

Patient ID Gene Inheritance CDS change Amino-acid Zygosity Patho-Origi-n pattern change genicity \mathbf{P}^{h} Case 1 POMT1 AR NM_007171 p.F542V het^a Mat^f c.1624T>G POMT1 AR NM_007171 p.C643Gfs*94 het^a \mathbf{P}^{g} Dn^d c.1901_1902insGCG CTGGGTGCTGGC TGGG Case 2 ZSWIM6 AD NM_020928 Splicing heta \mathbf{P}^{h} Dn^d c.1033+1G>T Case 3 DCX XL NM_000555 p.D328Y hemi^b \mathbf{P}^{h} Mat^f c.982G>T THOC2 XLR NM_001081550 \mathbf{P}^{h} Case 4 p.D1413Y hemi^b Mat^f c.4237G>T MBD5 AD NM_018328 \mathbf{P}^{h} $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$ Case 5 p.R491M het^a c.1472G>T \mathbf{P}^{h} Case 6 OTC XLR NM_000531 p.L342M hemi^b $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$ c.1024C>A Case 7 DLG3 XLR NM_021120 p.I784T hemi^b \mathbf{P}^{h} $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$ c.2351T>C \mathbf{P}^{h} Case 8 MAN2B1 AR NM_000528 p.R998H het^a $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$ c.2993G>A \mathbf{P}^{h} MAN2B1 AR NM_000528 p.A250S het^a Pat^e c.748G>T \mathbf{P}^{h} Case 9 SMC1A XLD NM_006306 (p.L225F) hemi^b Mat^f c.673C>T Case 10 PRSS12 AR NM_003619 p.G302fs*15 het^a \mathbf{P}^{g} Mat^f c.905delG PRSS12 \mathbf{P}^{h} AR NM_003619 p.G826R heta Pat^e c.2476G>A ph Case 11 IL1RAPL1 XLR NM_014271 p.A345T hemi^b Mat^f c.1033G>A HUWE1 \mathbf{P}^{h} Case 12 XL NM_031407 $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$ (p.E3644K) hemi^b c.10930G>A \mathbf{P}^{h} STAG2 XL NM_001042749 $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$ Case 13 (p.M135V) hemi^b c.403A>G \mathbf{P}^{h} SCN8A AD NM_014191 het^a $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$ Case 14 (p.E710D) c.2130A>C \mathbf{P}^{h} Case 15 CASKXLD NM_003688 (p.G21D) hemi^b Mat^f c.62G>A \mathbf{P}^{h} SMC1A XLD NM_006306 p.R1121H het^a Dn^d Case 16 c.3362G>A DYNC1H1 NM_001376 (p.A1895D) \mathbf{P}^{h} Dn^d Case 17 AD het^a c.5684C>A FBXO11 NM_001190274 \mathbf{P}^{h} Dn^d Case 18 AD (p.I474R) heta c.1421T>G \mathbf{P}^{h} CAMK2A Dn^d Case 19 AD NM_015981 heta p.S25L c.74C>T

TABLE 5 Novel single point mutations in GDD/ID children.

Patient ID	Gene	Inheritance pattern	CDS change	Amino-acid change	Zygosity	Patho- genicity	Origi-n
Case 20	HUWE1	XL	NM_031407 c.1708C>A	p.P570T	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Dn^{d}
Case 21	SCN2A	AD	NM_021007 c.809T>G	p.F270C	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Dn ^d
Case 22	CTCF	AD	NM_006565 c.950C>G	(p.T317R)	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Dn ^d
Case 23	SATB2	AD	NM_001172509, c.1942C>T	p.L648F	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 24	HCN1	AD	NM_021072 c.1082A>G	p.Y361C	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 25	GRIN2B	AD	NM_000834 c.2108A>C	p.H703P	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 26	SMC3	AD	NM_005445 c.3520A>G	p.T1174A	het ^a	Pg	Dn ^d
Case 27	MECP2	XLD	NM_004992 c.397C>T	p.R133C	hemi ^b	Pg	Dn ^d
Case 28	MECP2	XL	NM_001110792 c.916C>T	p.R306X	hemi ^b	Pg	Dn ^d
Case 29	CREBBP	AD	NM_004380 c.4883A>G	p.H1628R	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 30	PCNT	AR	NM_006031 c.8997-1G>A	-	hom ^c	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f +Pat ^e
Case 31	TCF4	AD	NM_001083962 c.1727G>A	p.R576Q	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 32	IGF1R	AR	NM_000875 c.1949G>A	p.R650Q	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
	IGF1R	AR	NM_000875 c.2894G>A	p.\$965N	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Pat ^e
Case 34	KMT2A	AD	NM_001197104 c.3634+1G>C	-	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 35	PAFAH1B1	AD	NM_000430 c.193-2A>T	-	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 36	DDX3X	XL	NM_001193416 c.1582C>T	p.R528C	hemi ^b	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 37	TRIO	AD	NM_007118 c.4024G>A	p.G1342R	het ^a	Pg	Dn ^d
Case 38	PPP2R1A	AD	NM_014225 c.656C>T	p.S219L	het ^a	Pg	Dn ^d
Case 39	SLC6A19	AR	NM_001003841 c.985G>A	р.А329Т	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
	SLC6A19	AR	NM_001003841 c.1213G>A	p.E405K	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Pat ^e
Case 40	CTCF	AD	NM_006565 c.1102C>T	p.R368C	het ^a	\mathbf{p}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 41	KCNQ3	AD	NM_004519 c.689G>A	p.R230H	het ^a	P ^g	Dn^{d}

Patient ID	Gene	Inheritance pattern	CDS change	Amino-acid change	Zygosity	Patho- genicity	Origi-n
Case 42	DLG3	XLR	NM_020730 c.58delT	p.S20Pfs*45	hemi ^b	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f
Case 43	CC2D1A	AR	NM_017721 c.1941-5G>A	Splicing	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
	CC2D1A	AR	NM_017721 c.2342G>T	p.G781V	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Pat ^e
Case 44	BRWD3	XLR	NM_153252 c.4591G>A	p.G1531R	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	$\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$
Case 45	KDM5C	XLR	NM_004187 c.1843G>C	p.V615L	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
Case 46	MECP2	XL	NM_004992 c.378-8_378- 19delTTTCT GTTTGTC	Splicing	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	$\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$
Case 47	NLG N4X	XL	NM_020742 c.1612C>A	p.Q538K	hemi ^b	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f
Case 48	AFF2	XLR	NM_0011 70628 c.26C>T	p.A9V	hemi ^b	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f
Case 49	SHANK2	XL	NM_133266 c.1651G>A	p.E551K	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f
Case 50	ASXL3	AD	NM_030632	p.L512Afs*4	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 51	SHROOM4	XL	NM_020717	p.R241Q	hemi ^b	\mathbf{P}^{g}	$\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$
Case 52	PGAP2	AR	NM_001256240	p.R165W	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
	PGAP2	AR	NM_001256240	p.A197V	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{h}	$\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$
Case 53	TRAPPC9	AR	NM_031466	p.L772M	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Pat ^e
	TRAPPC9	AR	C.25141>A NM_001160372	Splicing	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f
Case 54	THOC2	XLR	NM_001081550	Splicing	hemi ^b	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f
Case 55	TINF2	AD	NM_001099274 c.953_956delTGG GinsCGG	p.MG318Tfs	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Pat ^e
Case 56	FOXRED1	AR	NM_017547 c.608_609delAG	p.E203fs*16	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Pat ^e
	FOXRED1	AR	NM_017547 c.395C>T	p.A132V	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f
Case 57	HUWE1	XL	NM_031407 c.5521-6T>G	Splicing	hemi ^b	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f
Case 58	MED12	XLR	NM_005120 c.4416-3T>C	Splicing	hemi ^b	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Mat ^f

Patient ID

Origi-n

Mat^f

Mat^f

 $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$

 Dn^{d}

 $\operatorname{Mat}^{\mathrm{f}}$

Mat^f+Pat^e

Dn^d

Mat^f

 Dn^{d}

 Dn^{d}

 Dn^{d}

 Dn^{d}

 Dn^d

 Dn^{d}

 Dn^d

Dn^d

Dn^d

 Dn^d

Dn^d

 Dn^{d}

Dn^d

Dn^d

Inheritance CDS change Amino-acid Zygosity Pathopattern change genicity NLGN4X Case 59 XL, IC, Mu NM_020742 p.R704fs*32 hemi^b \mathbf{P}^{g} c.2104_2105dupAA Case 60 BPTF AD NM_004459 p.C1471X het^a \mathbf{P}^{g} c.4413_4414delTG IQSEC2 XLD NM_015075 \mathbf{P}^{g} Case 61 p.G5Rfs*23 hemi^b c.12dupC Case 62 ASXL3 AD NM_030632 p.Q1600X het^a \mathbf{P}^{g} c.4798C>T BRWD3 \mathbf{P}^{g} Case 63 XLR NM_153252 Splicing hemi^b c.3481+6A>G NHLRC2 NM_198514 \mathbf{P}^{g} Case 64 AR p.I317T hom^c c.950T>C Case 65 QRICH1 AD NM_017730 p.W580X Pg heta c.1740G>A Case 66 BCORL1 XLR NM_021946 p.T1649I hemi^b \mathbf{P}^{g} c.4946C>T Case 67 SOX4 AD NM_003107 p.D104del heta \mathbf{P}^{g} c.309_c.311delCGA KMT2C AD NM_170606 p.C1926Yfs*8 \mathbf{P}^{g} Case 68 het^a c.5777_5778del Case 69 SHANK3 AD NM_033517 p.A1578Gfs*102 \mathbf{P}^{g} heta c.4727dupT Case 70 CTNNB1 AD NM_001904 p.Q611Kfs*3 het^a \mathbf{P}^{g} c.1830delC Case 71 FLNA XLD NM_001456 Splicing het^a \mathbf{P}^{g} c.987+1G>A Case 72 ZBTB18 AD NM_205768 p.R195X het^a \mathbf{P}^{g} c.583C>T Case 73 PPM1D AD NM_003620 p.I436Tfs*8 heta Pg c.1303_1306dupCATA Case 74 BCL11B AD NM_138576 p.G649Afs*67 het \mathbf{P}^{g} c.1946_1967delGCGC GGTCAACGGGCGC GGGGG SETD5 NM_001080517 het^a pg Case 75 AD p.Y225X c.675C>A XLR, XLD Case 77 DDX3X NM_001193416 Splicing heta \mathbf{P}^{g} c.1616-1G>T

TABLE 5 (Continued)

Gene

(Continued)

CREBBP

CD96

AUTS2

DYNC1H1

Case 78

Case 79

Case 80

Case 81

AD

AD

AD

AD

NM_004380

c.6324C>G

NM_198196

c.1946G>A

NM_001376

c.1006_1010delGTTTT NM_015570

c.4401_4405delAGAAG

p.Y2108X

p.V336fs*5

p.W649X

p.R1467Sfs*6

het^a

het^a

heta

heta

pg

Pg

 \mathbf{P}^{g}

Pg

Patient ID	Gene	Inheritance pattern	CDS change	Amino-acid change	Zygosity	Patho- genicity	Origi-n
Case 82	GATAD2B	AD	NM_020699	p.Q399Efs*4	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 83	KMT2A	AD	NM_001197104 c.502_502+1delGGin	Splicing	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn^d
Case 84	CTNNB1	AD	NM_001904 c.1973dupT	p.L659Ffs*6	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 85	SHANK2	-	NM_133266 c.3412_3413del	p.L1138V fs*16	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 86	SCN2A	AD	NM_021007 c.5704delC	p.R1902Afs*20	het	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 87	BRWD3	XLR	NM_153252 c.4081-8_4081- 6delCTT	Splicing	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 88	CACNA1C	AD	NM_000719 c.396_399delGACT	p.T133Ffs*8	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 89	PHF21A	AD	NM_001101802 c.1703delA	p.K568Sfs*9	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 90	ASXL2	AD	NM_018263 c.2930_2934delTGAA	p.M977Nfs*24	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 91	MED13	AD	NM_005121 c.4552delT	p.S1518Qfs*16	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 92	FOXG1	AD	NM_005249 c.896delT	p.F299Sfs*27	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 93	CREBBP	AD	NM_004380 c.2608C>T	p.Q870X	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 94	ZNF292	AD	NM_015021 c.4414C>T	p.R1472X	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 95	ANKRD11	AD	NM_013275 c.4617_4618delGA	p.K1540Rfs* 13	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 96	CTCF	AD	NM_006565 c.737delT	p.G247Vfs*2	het ^a	\mathbf{P}^{g}	Dn ^d
Case 97	HSD17B10	XLD	NM_004493 c.748G>A	p.V250I	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
Case 98	TRAPPC9	AR	NM_031466 c.1781C>T	p.8594L	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
	TRAPPC9	AR	NM_031466 c.80C>G	p.S27C	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Pat ^e
Case 99	IQSEC2	XLD	NM_001111125 c.1104G>T	p.E368D	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
Case 100	AFF2	XLR	NM_002025 c.1358A>G	p.Q453R	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
Case 101	AFF2	XLR	NM_002025 c.1084C>T	p.R362W	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
Case 102	MED12	XLR	NM_005120 c.5584C>T	p.R1862C	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
Case 103	GRIA3	XLR	NM_000828 c.425G>A	p.R142H	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f

Patient ID	Gene	Inheritance pattern	CDS change	Amino-acid change	Zygosity	Patho- genicity	Origi-n
Case 104	NLGN3	XL, IC, MU	NM_018977	p.R97Q	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
Case 105	CACNA1A	AD	NM-001127221	p.F1663L	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Dn ^d
Case 106	ZDHHC9	XL	NM_016032	p.L46R	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Mat ^f
Case 107	FTSJ1	XLR	NM_012280	p.R186Q	hemi ^b	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Dn ^d
Case 108	SON	AD	NM_032195 c.514A>G	p.R172G	het ^a	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{h}}$	Dn^d

^ahet, heterozygosity. ^bhemi, hemizygosity.

^chomo, homozygosity.

^dDn, de novo.

^ePat, paternal.

^fMat, maternal.

^gP, pathogenic.

^hp, pathogenicity predicted to be positive in more than 4 online tools for predicting the pathogenicity of rare missense variants (e.g., SIFT, PolyPhen_2MutationTaster, GERP++, and REVEL).

GDD/ID, global development disabilities/intellectual disabilities; AR, autosomal recessive; AD, autosomal dominant inheritance; XL, X-linked inheritance; XLR, X-linked recessive inheritance; XLD, X-linked dominant inheritance.

TABLE 6 Inheritance patterns of the single site mutations in GD/IDD children.

Inheritance pattern	Number of patients (%)	Number of male patients (%)	Number of female patients (%)
AD	125 (34.5%, 125/362)	82 (30.7%, 85/277)	43 (50.6%, 43/85)
AR	41 (11.3%, 41/362)	27 (9.7%, 27/277)	14 (16.5%, 14/85)
XD	51 (14.1%, 51/362)	32 (11.6%, 32/277)	19 (22.4%, 19/85)
XR	145 (40.1%, 145/362)	136 (49.1%, 136/277)	9 (10.6%, 9/85)

GDD/ID, global development disabilities/intellectual disabilities; AD, autosomal dominant inheritance; AR, autosomal recessive; XD, X-linked dominant inheritance; XR, X-linked recessive inheritance.

TABLE 7 Pathogenic CNVs in GD/IDD children.

	Number of patients (%)	Number of male patients (%)	Number of female patients (%)
Microdeletion	74 (66.7%, 74/111)	41 (74.5%, 41/55)	33 (58.9%, 33/56)
Microduplication	31 (27.9%, 31/111)	13 (23.6%, 13/55)	18 (32.1%, 18/56)
Microdeletion and microduplication	6 (5.4%, 6/111)	1 (1.8%, 1/55)	5 (8.9%, 5/56)

CNV, copy number variation; GDD/ID, global development disabilities/intellectual disabilities.

(CGG)n mutation, it develops with the silence or severe downregulation of Fragile X intellectual disability protein (FMRP). Fragile X syndrome is considered as the most common cause of intellectual disability in men in Europe and North America, which is observed in 10-20%. The prevalence of a full mutation of FMR1 in the general population and females is 1/4,000-1/5,000 and 1/4,000-1/8,000, respectively. A large-scale screening of Fragile X syndrome in 51,000 newborns of mainland China in 2021 has shown that the frequencies of CGG repeats >100 in males and females are 1/9,371 and 1/5,887, respectively. In addition, the premutation rate of Fragile X syndrome in the Chinese population is lower than that in Caucasians [41]. In the present study, 15/780 (1.9%) of male GDD/ID children were diagnosed as Fragile X syndrome. Notably, the full mutation in the *FMR1* gene was detected in nine male children in four pedigrees.

Neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders are a highly heterogeneous group of developmental and mental disorders, resulting from the complex interaction between genetic and environmental factors and leading to various disabilities. The diagnosis of neurodevelopmental disorders is challenging, due to its multifactor, comorbidity and polygenicity. Its genetic mechanism has been firmly established, but the its causative variants remain to be discovered. At present, new tools, such as WES, have unearthed an increasing number of genetic variants responsible for human diseases.

In the present study, we performed the genetic analysis of neurodevelopmental disorders in 1,457 children from Jiangsu and Anhui Provinces, China, and provided etiological diagnosis and genetic consultation to 488 (33.5%) cases with identified pathogenic mutations. Our study provides references for prenatal diagnosis, thus preventing adverse reproductive outcomes. In addition, we found 114 novel pathogenic mutations responsible for GDD/ID, which expanded the genetic spectrum of GDD/ID. The TRAPPC9 gene was not familiar with neurodevelopmental disorders, but showed 4 mutations in GDD/ID children. More neurodevelopment-associated loci in the TRAPPC9 gene may be discovered in the future. In addition, the incidence of Fragile X syndrome in 488 children with identified pathogenic mutations was lower than those of AFF2 (3.6%) and HUWEI (3.0%) in male GDD/ID children. Our findings are different from the previous that Fragile X syndrome is the second leading cause of male ID, which may be attributed to the genetic variations in different races. AFF2 gene is closely linked with FRAXE, which was also the top pathogenic gene in the present study. Here, a total of 30 (2.1%) GDD/ID children presented both AFF2 gene mutation and Fragile X syndrome. Sex-linked genetic diseases are the main cause of neurodevelopmental disorders in children, especially in males. Newborn screening of AFF2 gene and Fragile X syndrome is recommended for early diagnosis and intervention of potential genetic diseases, especially for those high-risk families.

The genetic background of one disease varies much across China with a large population and 56 ethnicities. Rich dada about various genetic diseases have accumulated in medical institutions around China. However, a large-scale or statelevel biological sample database has not been built up. Current research on genetic diseases is usually limited in Jiangsu and Anhui Provinces, China. Multi-center cohort studies of genetic diseases should be conducted in the future to further improve the diagnosis and treatment of neurogenetic diseases.

Limitation

Some limitations in this study should be noted. First, all GDD/ID children were from Nanjing and surrounding regions. Second, they were all Han Chinese and we did not

recruited subjects an ethnic minority. Our findings should be further validated in the large-scale study involving multiple ethnic minorities.

Data availability statement

The raw sequence data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Genome Sequence Archive (Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics 2021) in National Genomics Data Center (Nucleic Acids Res 2022), China National Center for Bioinformation/Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (GSA-Human: HRA003395) that are publicly accessible at https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa-human.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (202110083-1). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

RL designed the study and helped write the manuscript. DW performed the interpreted data and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the doctors and nurses in the Nanjing Children's Hospital and the participation of the child and his parents.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Lecomte J, Mercier C. The WHO atlas on global resources for persons with intellectual disabilities: a right to health perspective. *Salud Publica Mex.* (2008) 50(Suppl.2):s160–6. doi: 10.1590/S0036-36342008000800009

2. Friedman A. Outdated language: use of "Mental Retardation" in medicaid HCBS waivers post-Rosa's Law. *Intellect Dev Disabil.* (2016) 54:342-53. doi: 10.1352/1934-9556-54.5.342

3. Maenner MJ, Blumberg SJ, Kogan MD, Christensen D, Yeargin-Allsopp M, Schieve LA. Prevalence of cerebral palsy and intellectual disability among children identified in two U.S. National Surveys, 2011-2013. *Ann Epidemiol.* (2016) 26:222–6. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2016.01.001

4. Beadle-Brown J, Mansell J, García-Ibañez J, Magallanes T, Novell R, Poole M, et al. Intellectual disability in Europe: overview. In: European Intellectual Disability Research Network, editor, *Intellectual Disability in Europe. Working Papers.* (2003). p. 1–11.

5. Ma Y, Chen C, Wang Y, Wu L, He F, Zhang C, et al. Analysis copy number variation of Chinese children in early-onset epileptic encephalopathies with unknown cause. *Clin Genet*. (2016) 90:428–36. doi: 10.1111/cge.12768

 Vissers LE, Gilissen C, Veltman JA. Genetic studies in intellectual disability and related disorders. Nat Rev Genet. (2016) 17:9–18. doi: 10.1038/nrg3999

7. Chiurazzi P, Pirozzi F. Advances in understanding - genetic basis of intellectual disability. *F1000Res.* (2016) 5:1. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7134.1

8. O'Byrne JJ, Lynch SA, Treacy EP, King MD, Betts DR, Mayne PD, et al. Unexplained developmental delay/learning disability: guidelines for best practice protocol for first line assessment and genetic/metabolic/radiological investigations. *Ir J Med Sci.* (2016) 185:241–8. doi: 10.1007/s11845-015-1284-7

9. Jin X, Sun Y, Jiang F, Ma J, Morgan C, Shen X. "Care for Development" intervention in rural China: a prospective follow-up study. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* (2007) 28:213–8. doi: 10.1097/dbp.0b013e31802d410b

10. Cirelli M, Bickle Graz A, Tolsa JF. Comparison of Griffiths-II and Bayley-II tests for the developmental assessment of high-risk infants. *Infant Behav Dev.* (2015) 41:17–25. doi: 10.1016/j.infbeh.2015.06.004

11. Watkins MW, Beaujean AA. Bifactor structure of the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence–Fourth Edition. *Sch Psychol Q.* (2014) 29:52– 63. doi: 10.1037/spq0000038

12. Baron IS. Test review: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Fourth Edition (WISC-IV). *Child Neuropsychol.* (2005) 11:471–5. doi: 10.1080/09297040590951587

13. Ji C, Yao D, Chen W, Li M, Zhao Z. Adaptive behavior in Chinese children with Williams syndrome. *BMC Pediatr.* (2014) 14:90. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-90

14. Kaat AJ, Lecavalier L, Aman MG. Validity of the aberrant behavior checklist in children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2014) 44:1103– 16. doi: 10.1007/s10803-013-1970-0

15. Rellini E, Tortolani D, Trillo S, Carbone S, Montecchi F. Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS) and Autism Behavior Checklist (ABC) correspondence and conflicts with DSM-IV criteria in diagnosis of autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2004) 34:703-8. doi: 10.1007/s10803-004-5290-2

16. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. (2009) 25:1754– 60. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

17. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. (2010) 26:589– 95. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp698

McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* (2010) 20:1297–303. doi: 10.1101/gr.107524.110

19. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2010) 38:e164. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq603

20. Choi Y, Sims GE, Murphy S, Miller JR, Chan AP. Predicting the functional effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. *PLoS ONE.* (2012) 7:e46688. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046688

21. Choi Y. A fast computation of pairwise sequence alignment scores between a protein and a set of single-locus variants of another protein. *Bioinformat Comput Biol.* (2012) 2012:2382989. doi: 10.1145/2382936.2382989

22. Choi Y, Chan AP. PROVEAN. web server: a tool to predict the functional effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. *Bioinformatics*. (2015) 31:2745-7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv195

23. Adzhubei A, Jordan DM, Sunyaev SR. Predicting functional effect of human missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet.* (2013) 7:20. doi: 10.1002/0471142905.hg0720s76

24. Ramensky, Bork P, Sunyaev S. Human non-synonymous SNPs: server and survey. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2002) 30:3894–900. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkf493

25. Sunyaev SR, Eisenhaber F, Rodchenkov IV, Eisenhaber B, Tumanyan VG, Kuznetsov EN, et al. Profile extraction from sequence alignments with position-specific counts of independent observations. *Protein Eng.* (1999) 12:387–94. doi: 10.1093/protein/12.5.387

26. Schwarz JM, Cooper DN, Schuelke M, Seelow D. MutationTaster2: mutation prediction for the deep-sequencing age. *Nat Methods.* (2014) 11:361–2. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2890

27. Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, et al. Primer3–new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2012) 40:e115. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks596

28. Koressaar T, Remm M. Enhancements and modifications of primer design program Primer3. *Bioinformatics.* (2007) 23:1289–91. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm091

29. Koressaar T, Lepamets M, Kaplinski L, Raime K, Andreson R, Remm M. Primer3_masker: integrating masking of template sequence with primer design software. *Bioinformatics*. (2018) 34:1937-8. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty036

30. Cooper GM, Stone EA, Asimenos G, Green ED, Batzoglou S, Sidow A. Distribution and intensity of constraint in mammalian genomic sequence. *Genome Res.* (2005) 15:901–13. doi: 10.1101/gr.3577405

31. Chahil G, Bollu PC. Rett Syndrome. Treasure Island: StatPearls (2022).

32. Amberger JS, Bocchini CA, Scott AF, Hamosh A. OMIM.org: leveraging knowledge across phenotype-gene relationships. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2019) 47:D1038-43. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1151

33. Panigrahi, Jain P, Didel S, Agarwal S, Muthuswamy S, Saha A, et al. Identification of microdeletion and microduplication syndromes by chromosomal microarray in patients with intellectual disability with dysmorphism. *Neurol India.* (2018) 66:1370–6. doi: 10.4103/0028-3886.241346

34. Mostovoy Y, Yilmaz F, Chow SK, Chu C, Lin C, Geiger EA, et al. Genomic regions associated with microdeletion/microduplication syndromes exhibit extreme diversity of structural variation. *Genetics.* (2021) 217:iyaa038. doi: 10.1093/genetics/iyaa038

35. Mohammadzadeh A, Akbaroghli S, Aghaei-Moghadam E, Mahdieh N, Badv RS, Jamali P, et al. Investigation of chromosomal abnormalities and microdeletion/ microduplication(s) in fifty iranian patients with multiple congenital anomalies. *Cell J.* (2019) 21:337–49. doi: 10.22074/cellj.2019.6053

36. Takata A. Estimating contribution of rare non-coding variants to neuropsychiatric disorders. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2019) 73:2. doi: 10.1111/pcn.12774

37. Servetti M, Pisciotta L, Tassano E, Cerminara M, Nobili L, Boeri S, et al. Neurodevelopmental disorders in patients with complex phenotypes and potential complex genetic basis involving non-coding genes, and double CNVs. *Front Genet.* (2021) 12:732002. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.732002

38. Mondal K, Ramachandran D, Patel VC, Hagen KR, Bose P, Cutler DJ, et al. Excess variants in AFF2 detected by massively parallel sequencing of males with autism spectrum disorder. *Hum Mol Genet.* (2012) 21:4356-64. doi: 10.1093/hmg/dds267

39. RK CY, Merico D, Bookman M, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Patel RV. Whole genome sequencing resource identifies 18 new candidate genes for autism spectrum disorder. *Nat Neurosci.* (2017) 20:602–11. doi: 10.1038/nn.4524

40. Deneault E, White SH, Rodrigues DC, Ross PJ, Faheem M, Zaslavsky K, et al. Complete disruption of autism-susceptibility genes by gene editing predominantly reduces functional connectivity of isogenic human neurons. *Stem Cell Reports.* (2018) 11:1211–25. doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2018. 10.003

41. Zhang JY, Wu DW, Yang RL, Zhu L, Jiang MY, Wang WJ, et al. FMR1 allele frequencies in 51,000 newborns: a large-scale population study in China. *World J Pediatr.* (2021) 17:653–8. doi: 10.1007/s12519-021-00473-6