Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paul Vasos, University of Bucharest, Romania

REVIEWED BY

Michael Tayler, The Institute of Photonic Sciences (ICFO), Spain Riddhiman Sarkar, Helmholtz Association of German Research Centres (HZ), Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE

Giuseppe Pileio, I g.pileio@soton.ac.uk Sam Thompson, I st3a15@soton.ac.uk

RECEIVED 15 October 2024 ACCEPTED 16 December 2024 PUBLISHED 30 January 2025

CITATION

Pileio G, Yamano D, Eccles CD, Tizzard GJ and Thompson S (2025) Singlet spin order in spin pairs coupled via non-bonded interactions. *Front. Chem.* 12:1511720. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2024.1511720

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Pileio, Yamano, Eccles, Tizzard and Thompson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Singlet spin order in spin pairs coupled via non-bonded interactions

Giuseppe Pileio^{1*}, Dolnapa Yamano¹, Craig D. Eccles², Graham J. Tizzard³ and Sam Thompson^{1*}

¹School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, ²Magritek GmbH, Aachen, Germany, ³UK National Crystallography Service, School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

Fluorine spin pairs that are constrained in spatial proximity show large scalar spin-spin couplings, despite the atoms being separated by several bonds. This is due to a non-bonded atomic interaction related to partial overlapping of fluorine p-orbitals. In this paper we exploit this phenomenon to create long-lived singlet spin order on the fluorine spin pair. This form of order, which, in this example molecule, is more than an order of magnitude longer than longitudinal order, has the potential to be useful in magnetic resonance imaging and molecular tracing experiments, because of the lack of endogenous fluorine in the human body and the high sensitivity achievable in ¹⁹F NMR.

KEYWORDS

long-lived spin states, nuclear singlet spin order, through-space scalar coupling, NMR, fluorine NMR

1 Introduction

Molecular systems containing two fluorine atoms that are separated by several chemical bonds but close in space, exhibit significant indirect scalar spin-spin coupling constants. In a first observation in 1956, Saika and Gutowsky were surprised by the large ${}^{5}J_{FF} = 16$ Hz measured in (CF₃)₂NCF₂CF₃, where the three-bond coupling was ${}^{3}J_{FF} \approx 1$ Hz (Saika and Gutowsky, 1956) and it was common to expect a monotonic decrease of the scalar coupling constant as the number of chemical bonds between the coupled atoms increases. Since then the phenomenon was observed in many other systems including, saturated organic compounds (Petrakis and Sederholm, 1961), 1-substituted 4,5-difluoro-8-methylphenanthrenes (Servis and Fang, 1968), 4-substituted 1,8difluoronaphthalenes (Mallory et al., 1974), benzophenanthrenes (Mallory et al., 1985), fluoroallyl cations (Bakhmutov et al., 1985), and difluorometacyclophanes (Ernst et al., 1994; Ernst and Ibrom, 1995). The anomalous size of this coupling interaction in these systems was later rationalised in terms of molecular orbital theory (Mallory et al., 1996) and correlated to the spatial distance between the two fluorine nuclei (Mallory et al., 2000), hence the label "through-space", which is clearly improper in the NMR literature since this same label is commonly used to denote the dipoledipole interaction between spins that has a truly through-space nature. In such difluoro-substituted systems, geometrical constraints give rise to a certain degree of overlap between the p-orbitals (lone pairs) of the two fluorine atoms and this produces electron-filled molecular orbitals with a weakly bonding (σ_{FF}) and a weakly antibonding σ_{FF}^{\star} character. Despite this molecular orbital configuration not leading to a net



TABLE 1 Sample naming, formulation and spin system parameters.

Sample	Molecule	[] (M)	J _{FF} (Hz)	$\Delta \delta_{FF}$ (ppm)
А	Ι	0.11	171.4	0.142
В	II	0.15	173.1	0.117
С	III	0.15	175.7	1.028

bond between the two fluorine nuclei, it results in a very effective scalar coupling interaction between the two nuclear spins, effectively mediated by the four electrons in those weak molecular orbitals. Since the p-orbital overlap is distance dependant, the magnitude of the observed scalar coupling rapidly decays with increasing distance between the fluorine atoms. It is also worth remembering that there is indeed a through bond contribution to those couplings but this is typically smaller than the "through-space" one. ¹⁹F-¹⁹F scalar coupling values of between 60–80 Hz have been measured in substituted 1,8-difluoronaphthalene derivatives (Mallory et al., 1974) and scalar coupling as large as 160–180 Hz were found in substituted 4,5-difluorophenanthrene derivatives (Servis and Fang, 1968).

Systems of two scalar-coupled spin-1/2 nuclei, can be prepared to support singlet spin order, a particular type of nuclear spin order that has been shown to decay much slower than longitudinal and transverse magnetization, the latter two forms of spin order being used in all magnetic resonance experiments. Such a slower decay has been exploited in many applications including storage of hyperpolarization (Vasos et al., 2009; Pileio et al., 2013; Kiryutin et al., 2019), investigation of weak ligand-protein binding (Salvi et al., 2012), measurements of slow chemical exchange (Sarkar et al., 2007), small diffusion coefficients (Cavadini et al., 2005), diffusion and tortuosity in porous media (Dumez et al., 2014; Pileio et al., 2015; Pileio and Ostrowska, 2017; Tourell et al., 2018; Melchiorre et al., 2023). Most of these applications were so far based on singlet order created either in ¹H spin-1/2 pairs (due to the molecule of interest or to maximize sensitivity) or in 13C- or 15N-doubly enriched molecules, carefully designed to maximize singlet order lifetimes. Recently, examples of singlet spin order in ³¹P (Korenchan et al., 2022) and ¹⁰³Rh spin pairs (Harbor-Collins et al., 2024) were also reported.

In this paper, we report long-lived singlet spin order in molecules containing pairs of ¹⁹F nuclei coupled via non-bonded scalar interactions of "through-space" type. Accessing and exploiting singlet spin order in molecules containing pairs of ¹⁹F nuclei is interesting because ¹⁹F has a large gyromagnetic ratio (high NMR sensitivity), an essentially 100% natural abundance (no need for isotopic labelling), and because there is no endogenous fluorine in living organisms. This means there is no background signal in bio-medical applications, which contrasts with the intrinsic



Pulse sequence used to measure the lifetime of singlet order in ¹⁹F₂-enriched molecules. [#] WALTZ-16 decoupling during acquisition was only used for sample A and C to decouple the protons. Experiments were done with and without CW decoupling during the time interval t as described in the text. Gradients have half-sinusoidal shape on Bruker and rectangular shape on Magritek spectrometers. Asterisks indicate a composite 180° pulse built as 90 × 180y90x. The phase ϕ is cycled as [x,x,-x,-x,-x,x,x,-x,-x,-x,-x,-x,-x] within the train of 180° pulses. The total echo time is $\tau_e = \tau_p + 2\tau =$ $1/(2(J^2 + \Delta\omega^2)^{1/2})$ where $\tau_p = 4*\tau_{90}$ is the duration of the composite pulse and τ_{90} the duration of the 90° pulse. $n_1 = \pi J/(2\Delta\omega)$, $n_2 = n_1/2$ and $\beta_m =$ $\arctan(\sqrt{2})$.

TABLE 2 The parameters used to run the pulse sequence in Figure 1.

Sample	Instrument	Field (T)	τ (ms)	<i>n</i> 1	n ₂	$\{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ (% of max)	$\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ (ms)	τ ₉₀ (μs)	τ _w ^{1H} (μs)
А	AVII-400	9.4	1.385	4	2	{15,-15,-15}	{2.4,1.4,1.0}	7.0	65.7
В	AVII-400	9.4	1.400	6	3	{15,-15,-15}	{2.4,1.4,1.0}	7.0	-
С	Spinsolve 40	1.02	1.330	7	3	{100,-100,-100}	{4,2,2}	60.0	-

limitation in singlet NMR of molecules containing ¹H pairs. However, a large chemical shift range of several hundreds of ppm's and a typically small scalar coupling¹ of molecules containing ¹⁹F spin pairs (Dolbier, 2016) has not played in favour of singlet order applications because this form of spin order is an eigenoperator of the Hamiltonian superoperator only in condition of nearly-magnetic-equivalence, i.e., when the chemical shift frequency difference between the two coupled nuclei is small compared to their spin mutual scalar coupling frequency. Conversely, some of the systems displaying "through-space" coupling, such as substituted 1,8-difluoronaphthalenes and 4,5difluorophenanthrenes have the potential to meet the conditions for near-magnetic-equivalence, capitalising on their large "throughspace" coupling, which is of the order of 170-190 Hz. In addition, and as exploited below, one can benefit from modern desktop NMR spectrometers and use their relatively low static magnetic field (typically less than 2 T) to reduce the chemical shift frequency difference between the two 19F spins to meet the conditions for nearmagnetic-equivalence in a larger pool of ¹⁹F spin pairs, while maintaining good sensitivity and high spectral resolution characteristic of these machines.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Molecular design, chemical synthesis and characterisation

In seeking a molecular scaffold to support a ¹⁹F spin pair of nearly-magnetic-equivalence, we speculated, based on visual inspection, that molecule I might be a suitable candidate. Moreover, the synthetic route described by Murai et al. (2022). appeared amenable for modification such that hydrogen atoms providing deleterious singlet relaxation mechanisms may be substituted with alternative groups possessing more desirable magnetic properties for our purposes. Accordingly, we prepared molecule I, and derivative molecules II and III, bearing deuterium and methoxy-d₃ groups respectively (Scheme 1). Attempts to access molecule II by performing hydrogen/deuterium exchange on a relatively advanced precursor 4 of the synthetic route to molecule I, via electrophilic substitution under acidic conditions, was unsuccessful. However, treating commercially available acid 5 with the same conditions gave the trideuterobenzoic acid 6 as the major isotopologue, along with small amounts of the dideuteroand monodeutero-isotopologues (11 percent in each case), both as isotopomeric mixtures. Subjecting this material to the Murai synthetic route provided molecule II. Esterification of the 3,4difluorobenzoic acid 8 with methanol-d₄, followed by nucleophilic aromatic substitution with methanol-d₄ and DBU

¹ The ¹⁹F-¹⁹F scalar coupling can be quite large in vicinal ¹⁹F pairs but then the ¹⁹F nuclei are often chemically equivalent and therefore singlet order cannot be accessed.



gave **10** as a single regioisomer in 77 percent yield over two steps. Again, following the route of *Murai* furnished molecule III along with a small amount of the urea **11**. Vapour diffusion provided diffraction quality single crystals of both molecule III and **11**, from which coordinates were collected². For molecule III, the major occupant of the unit cell (c. 75 percent) has an F-F distance of 2.45 Å and the dihedral angle between the carbon fluorine bonds is 46.5° (see supplementary information).

2.2 NMR samples

Each of the three difluoro-substituted molecules I, II and III were dissolved in DMSO-d₆ and the solution transferred into a 5 mm OD LVP J-Young valved NMR tube for the NMR experiments described below. These solutions were degassed via N₂ bubbling to remove paramagnetic O₂ traces. The naming and details of the three samples so prepared are reported in Table 1 together with their main spin system parameters as obtained from ¹⁹F and ¹⁹F-{¹H} spectra.

2.3 NMR experiments

NMR experiments where performed using two different NMR spectrometers: a 9.4 T Bruker magnet coupled to an Avance II console and equipped with a selective fluorine probehead for observation of ¹⁹F with ¹H decoupling and z-gradients, and a 1.02 T Magritek SpinSolve 40 Carbon desktop spectrometer operating at 43.4 MHz for proton. Measurements of T1 decay constants were performed using conventional inversion recovery methods (Hahn, 1949). For the measurement of singlet order decay constants, T_S, we used the methodology based on magnetization-tosinglet pulse sequence schemes described elsewhere (Pileio, 2017) and shown in Figure 1. Note that ¹⁹F signal detection for Sample A and Sample C (see below) was done in the presence of ¹H decoupling to collapse multiplets and obtain a neater signal from which to measure the decay constant (WALTZ-90° pulse duration τ_w^{1H} = 65.7 μ s, corresponding to a nutation frequency of 3.8 kHz). The measurements of T_S was done with and without a CW irradiation scheme (nutation frequency 2 kHz) applied on the ¹⁹F channel during the singlet storage time (t) in order to verify the presence of relaxation through scalar coupling of the second kind mechanism.

The parameters occurring in the pulse sequence in Figure 1 are reported in Table 2.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Sample A

The ¹⁹F-NMR spectrum of Sample A, taken at 9.4 T and 25°C, is reported in Figure 2A and shows a complex multiplet. The spectrum is shown using a frequency scale in Hz and has been centred at 0 Hz for simplicity (the chemical shift at the centre of the multiplet is –101.7 ppm). Based on visual inspection of the linewidth, it is clear that the fluorine nuclei are strongly coupled to each other and weakly coupled to possibly all other protons of the aromatic rings. This is easily confirmed by the ¹⁹F-{¹H} NMR spectrum of Sample A, taken at the same field and temperature (shown in Figure 2B), where a strong AB pattern is what remains of the ¹⁹F peaks after proton decoupling. From the frequency value of the four peaks in Figure 2B we measured a $J_{FF} = 171.4$ Hz and a difference in chemical shift frequencies of the two fluorine atoms of $\Delta \delta_{FF} = 0.142$ ppm.

It is therefore clear that the ¹⁹F spin pair is not isolated from the other spins in the molecule and all coupled spins should be considered in the discussion. The presence of scalar coupling to neighbouring protons may give rise to three types of problem: i) The spin Hamiltonian contains cross terms that connect the ¹⁹F singlet state to the triplet states. In fact, ¹⁹F singlet spin order may be not a good eigenoperator of the Hamiltonian superoperator; ii) The scalar couplings between ¹⁹F and ¹H nuclei can give rise to relaxation via a scalar coupling of the second kind mechanism (S2K), which will shorten the lifetime of singlet order proportionally to the magnitude of the spin couplings involved and the size of the proton T₁ decay constant (Elliott et al., 2019; Pileio and Levitt, 2007); iii) The through-space dipolar couplings to the neighbouring protons give rise to an out-of-pair dipolar coupling relaxation mechanism (oDD) that contributes, and possibly dominates, the lifetime of ¹⁹F singlet

² CCDC #2390769 (molecule III), #2390768 (11)

Sample	T ₁ (1.02 T)	T ₁ (9.4 T)	T _S * (1.02 T)	T ₅ * (9.4 T)	T _S [†] (9.4 T)
А	2.92 ± 0.23	1.26 ± 0.03	-	1.60 ± 0.05	1.65 ± 0.04
В	2.74 ± 0.17	1.34 ± 0.02	-	2.26 ± 0.03	2.31 ± 0.03
С	2.25 ± 0.11	0.48 ± 0.01	29.1 ± 2.4	$0.53 \pm 0.01^{**}$	$1.61 \pm 0.05^{\ddagger}$

TABLE 3 The¹⁹F T₁ and T_S decay constants measured for all samples in this work. Measurements at 1.02 T were taken at 27°C while those at 9.4 T were taken at 25°C.

* measured without CW, irradiation during t;[†] measured with CW, irradiation during t.** measured with pulse sequence in Sarkar et al. (2007);[‡] measured with pulse sequence in Sarkar et al. (2007); and CW, irradiation on¹⁹F channel during t.



(A) The temperature dependence of the chemical shift difference between the two fluorine nuclei (gray circles) and their mutual scalar coupling constant (black circles) in Sample A. Dashed lines are best fit to the experimental points. (B) The variation of T_1 (black circles) and T_S (gray circles) with temperature measured on Sample A.



order by reducing it by an extent that is proportional to the internuclear distances between $^{19}{\rm F}$ and $^1{\rm H}$ nuclei (Pileio, 2011).

Fortunately, the large value of J_{FF} stabilises the singlet spin order between the two ¹⁹F spins and ¹⁹F singlet order is expected

to remain a good eigenoperator of the spin Hamiltonian superoperator (Pileio and Levitt, 2007). The presence of a contribution to singlet relaxation due to scalar coupling of the second kind can be qualitatively probed (and, eventually, minimized) by applying a Continuous Wave (CW) irradiation on the ¹⁹F channel (or, equivalently, on the ¹H or ²H channel) as explained in Elliott et al. (2019). Unfortunately, the out-of-pair dipole-dipole ¹⁹F-¹H interactions cannot be suppressed or minimised with radio-frequency-based techniques and this is likely to be the major deleterious contribution to the size of the ¹⁹F singlet order lifetime in this sample. Clearly, such interactions can only be removed by chemical substitution - see below.

With this in mind, we measured the relaxation decay constant of ¹⁹F longitudinal spin order (T₁) and that of ¹⁹F singlet spin order (T_S) in Sample **A** at 9.4 T and 25°C (data reported in Table 3). While the presence of ¹⁹F singlet order is guaranteed by the singlet-filter in the pulse sequence (it only allows through singlet order) its decay constant is disappointingly short and comparable to T₁. This is somewhat expected for the reasons explained above, i.e., because both scalar and dipolar couplings to the neighbouring protons generate a significant relaxation mechanism for both T_S and T₁. To probe the presence and importance of a S2K relaxation mechanism, we measured the singlet order decay constant T_S using the pulse sequence in Figure 1, but where a CW irradiation scheme is applied during the singlet storage period (t) in order to decouple the protons from the fluorine atoms and therefore



temperature measured on Sample B.

minimize an eventual S2K relaxation contribution (Elliott et al., 2019) (data reported in Table 3). Since the resultant value of T_S was only marginally longer than that measured in the absence of proton irradiation (compare column 5 and 6 in Table 3), we conclude that a scalar coupling of the second kind relaxation mechanism is not the limiting factor for the ¹⁹F singlet order lifetime in sample A. It is therefore reasonable to think that the short relaxation decay constant observed for ¹⁹F singlet order in Sample A is due to an oDD mechanism proportional to the size of ¹⁹F-¹H dipole-dipole couplings in the molecule. Clearly, we expect to have a contribution from chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) relaxation, a known important source of relaxation in ¹⁹F-NMR spectroscopy (Gerig, 2001), but, given the small difference between the T_1 at the two magnetic fields, this may not be the dominant one in this sample. Note that the chemical shift anisotropy mechanism acts differently on T_S than on T_1 (Pileio, 2017) so the conclusion above can be challenged; however, it has not been possible to measure the T_S at 1.02 T for Sample A because of the large number of echoes required in the pulse sequence (due to the small chemical shift frequency difference compared to the very large scalar coupling) and the relatively short T₂.

Given that the non-bonding nature of the ¹⁹F-¹⁹F scalar coupling relies on partial orbital overlap, we decided to explore whether the magnitude of the J_{FF} coupling depends upon temperature. To do so, we monitored the value of the ¹⁹F-¹⁹F scalar coupling and the difference in chemical shift between the two fluorine nuclei over a small temperature range (see Figure 3A). We observe a small linear decrease (slope = -0.586 ppb/°C) in the chemical shift difference as the temperature is increased. Similarly, the value of J_{FF} increases linearly, but slowly, with temperature (slope = 0.05 Hz/°C). Additionally, we measured T₁ and T₅ decay constants at some selected temperature values between 20°C and 50°C, finding that both these constants increase almost linearly with temperature, although not by much (see Figure 3B). Such a trend correlates well with the reduction in viscosity of the solvent (literature data on (CH₃)₂SO show a reduction from 2.24 cP to 1.286 cP as the temperature is increased from 20 to 50°C).

3.2 Sample B

In order to remove dipolar interactions with the neighbouring proton, we synthesised molecule II (prepared as Sample B, see Materials and Methods), which is an analogue of molecule I with the aromatic ring hydrogens substituted with deuteriums so to scale down the size of the ¹⁹F dipolar couplings by a factor of about six (the ratio between hydrogen and deuterium gyromagnetic ratios). The ¹⁹F-NMR spectrum of Sample **B**, taken at 9.4 T and 25°C, is reported in Figure 4, where a set of asterisks mark the four peaks of the expected AB pattern for the two fluorine peaks in the sample (couplings to neighbouring deuterium nuclei fall within the linewidth). Other than these four marked peaks, the spectrum shows a series of other minor peaks that are due to the trace isotopologues containing mixtures of hydrogen and deuterium atoms (see Section 2.1 and supplementary information).

A measurement of the longitudinal order decay constant at 9.4 T and 25°C on this sample resulted in a rather short value of T_1 = 1.31 \pm 0.02, which is only marginally longer than the one found for Sample A (see Table 3). This is not surprising since the value of T_1 for these two samples are expected to be dominated by the ¹⁹F-¹⁹F dipolar interaction relaxation mechanism. Unfortunately, the measurement of the singlet order decay constant in this sample, done in the same conditions and using the pulse sequence in Figure 1 and the parameters in Table 2, still resulted in a rather short value of T_S that is only marginally longer than T₁ by a factor of 1.7 (see Table 3). These results led us to hypothesise that either the CSA relaxation mechanism dominates, or in substituting hydrogens with deuteriums we may have gained by minimising dipolar coupling interactions to hydrogens but reintroduced a more serious S2K mechanism. Unfortunately, it has not been possible to measure the T_S of Sample **B** at 1.02 T, for the same reasons occurring in Sample **A**, nor the CSA contribution can be easily calculated in absence of the full chemical shift tensors of the two fluorine nuclei. Similarly, the S2K contribution depends on both the size of the scalar coupling between the fluorines and the deuterons and the relaxation time of these latter nuclei (for deuterium this is dominated by its quadrupolar coupling interaction) and therefore it is not easy to predict whether a deuterium is



actually worse than a hydrogen in the vicinity of the fluorine, as it could be the case here. Fortunately, one can experimentally probe the presence of the S2K mechanism by measuring the T_S decay constant with the pulse sequence in Figure 1 and in the presence of a CW irradiation applied during the time interval t. The results, obtained with a CW nutation frequency of 2 kHz applied on the ¹⁹F channel and reported in Table 3, did not show an extension in T_S and therefore led us to conclude that S2K is not a limiting relaxation mechanism for Sample **B**.

As done for Sample **A**, we report the results of a series of experiments to study the temperature dependence of the spin system parameters (Figure 5A) and the relaxation decay constants T_1 and T_S (see Figure 5B) for Sample **B**. Similarly to what seen in the previous section, even for Sample **B** we found a slow decrease (slope = -0.454 ppb/°C) of the difference in chemical shift between the two fluorine nuclei and a slow increase in the value of the ¹⁹F-¹⁹F scalar coupling (slope = 0.048 Hz/°C) as temperature is increased. Moreover, both T_1 and T_S increase slightly with

temperature but this seems again consistent with the decrease in solvent viscosity over that temperature range.

3.3 Sample C

With the aim of removing any immediate nuclear spin coupled to the fluorine atoms, we synthesised a third molecule (molecule III in Scheme 1, prepared as Sample C as described in Materials and Methods) where we aimed to replace the closest spin to the two fluorine nuclei with -OCD₃ groups. The ¹⁹F-NMR spectrum of Sample C, taken at 9.4 T and 25°C, is reported in Figure 6A. It consists of an AB pattern where each transition is further split into two. This is compatible with a strongly-coupled ¹⁹F spin pair which is further coupled to one proton on the aromatic ring (and possibly to the other, although with a scalar coupling that falls within the linewidth). The pattern is compatible with these spin system parameters: $J_{FF} =$

175.7 Hz, $\Delta \delta_{FF} = 1.028$ ppm and $J_{FH} = 7.5$ Hz. The proton-decoupled fluorine spectrum of the same sample is reported in Figure 6B and shows the simple AB pattern expected for the proton-decoupled 19F spin pair. The relatively large chemical shift difference between the two fluorine atoms corresponds to a chemical shift frequency difference of 387.2 Hz at 9.4 T. At such magnetic field, the condition $J_{FF} < \Delta \omega_{FF}$ is not met and therefore singlet order is not a good eigenoperator of the spin Hamiltonian anymore and an RF irradiation (Pileio and Levitt, 2009) is required in order to lock such form of order. Moreover, the pulse sequences in Figure 1, designed for strongly-coupled/nearlyequivalent spin pairs, performs badly at producing singlet order in this regime. For this reason the pulse sequence reported by Sarkar et al. (2007) was used to measure the T_S of Sample C at 9.4 T. The resulting T_S values, reported in Table 3 with and without CW irradiation on the ¹⁹F channel, still show a relatively short singlet lifetime which is longer than T₁ by only a factor of around three. Note the importance of the CW decoupling which is in this case required because of the presence of a large chemical shift difference between the two fluorine spins (Pileio and Levitt, 2009).

At this point, we found it very interesting to prepare ¹⁹F singlet spin order in the low magnetic field of a desktop spectrometer operating at 1.02 T, where the chemical shift frequency difference is only 42.1 Hz and the two fluorine nuclei would remain stronglycoupled. For this, we coded the pulse sequence in Figure 1 into a Spinsolve and measured both T₁ and T_S values of Sample C at 1.02 T, data reported in Table 3. The value of T_1 is essentially the same as in the other two samples, again this may simply reinforce the idea that T1 in these molecules is dominated by the dipolar interaction between the two fluorine nuclei, with a small contribution from CSA (Ahuja et al., 2007; Pileio et al., 2012; Stevanato et al., 2015) (compare the values of T₁ at the two magnetic fields for all three molecules reported in columns 2 and 3 of Table 3). T_S at 1.02 T, however, is appreciably longer than T₁ of the same sample at the same field, and also longer than the values of T_S measured for the other two samples in this paper. While there is a clear contribution from CSA in determining such a long lifetime, this alone cannot justify the measured value (CSA scales with the square of the magnetic field). Hence, the mechanism(s) that set the limit to the observed value of T_S are not fully clear from this set of experiments and further work needs to be done in terms of experimental measurements and numerical simulations. Preliminary relaxation data taken on ¹H and ¹³C nuclei in these molecules may suggest the presence of dimerization (or some other form of molecular aggregation) that, if present, would reduce the molecular tumbling correlation time and therefore scale down the absolute value of both T₁ and T_S achievable in these molecules. Given the nature of the scalar coupling in these systems, arising from orbital overlap under steric constraints, it is also possible that scalar coupling of the first kind (S1K) plays a role in determining the size of singlet relaxation decay constants.

4 Conclusion

We have demonstrated that the strong scalar coupling between ¹⁹F spin pairs separated by many bonds, but constrained in spatial proximity, can be deployed to prepare long-lived singlet spin

order. In proof of principle experiments conducted on three newly-synthesized molecules containing spatially-constrained ¹⁹F pairs that display very large scalar couplings of "through-space" nature, we have shown a 13-fold extension in spin memory. The work has evidenced a significant contribution of chemical shift anisotropy to singlet order relaxation and hinted at the possibility of other complementary mechanisms such as scalar coupling of the first kind or the presence of molecular aggregation. A full understanding of these relaxation contributions requires supplementary experiments and numerical simulations that are the subject of future work. For example, it would be interesting to run field-cycling experiments to measure T1 and T5 over a larger ranges of magnetic fields so to figure out the CSA contribution, but this would require a fast sample shuttle coupled to a fluorine probe, currently not available in our laboratory. A second generation molecular core might be a better candidate to investigate the underlying relaxation mechanisms. The lack of endogenous ¹⁹F in the human body and the high sensitivity achievable in ¹⁹F NMR mean that singlet spin order prepared on ¹⁹F spin pairs has potentially far reaching applications in the field of magnetic resonance imaging and molecular tracing.

Data availability statement

CCDC 2390768-2390769 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. The data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

Author contributions

GP: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. DY: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing-review and editing. GT: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing-review and editing. ST: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Financial support was provided by EPSRC UK grant numbers EP/V047663/1, EP/X525807/1 and EP/W021129/1.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. N. J. Wells for providing access to the 400 MHz NMR spectrometer equipped with a fluorine probe.

Conflict of interest

Author CE was employed by Magritek GmbH.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

References

Ahuja, P., Sarkar, R., Vasos, P. R., and Bodenhausen, G. (2007). Molecular properties determined from the relaxation of long-lived spin states. *J. Chem. Phys.* 127, 134112. doi:10.1063/1.2778429

Bakhmutov, V. I., Galakhov, M. V., and Fedin, E. I. (1985). Through-space ¹⁹F,¹⁹F spin—spin coupling constants in some fluoroallyl cations. *Magnetic Reson. Chem.* 23, 971–972. doi:10.1002/mrc.1260231117

Cavadini, S., Dittmer, J., Antonijevic, S., and Bodenhausen, G. (2005). Slow diffusion by singlet state nmr spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 15744–15748. doi:10.1021/ ja052897b

Dolbier, W. R. J. (2016). Guide to fluorine NMR for organic chemists. John Wiley Sons, Ltd. doi:10.1002/9781118831106

Dumez, J. N., Hill-Cousins, J. T., Brown, R. C., and Pileio, G. (2014). Long-lived localization in magnetic resonance imaging. *J. Magnetic Reson.* 246, 27–30. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2014.06.008

Elliott, S. J., Bengs, C., Brown, L. J., Hill-Cousins, J. T., O'Leary, D. J., Pileio, G., et al. (2019). Nuclear singlet relaxation by scalar relaxation of the second kind in the slow-fluctuation regime. *J. Chem. Phys.* 150, 064315. doi:10.1063/1.5074199

Ernst, L., and Ibrom, K. (1995). A new quantitative description of the distance dependence of through-space ¹⁹F,¹⁹F spin-spin coupling. *Angewandte Chemie Int. Ed. Engl.* 34, 1881–1882. doi:10.1002/anie.199518811

Ernst, L., Ibrom, K., Marat, K., Mitchell, R. H., Bodwell, G. J., and Bushnell, G. W. (1994). syn-ar,ar'-difluorometacyclophanes: strong ¹⁹F,¹⁹F spin-spin interactions transmitted through space. *Chem. Berichte* 127, 1119–1124. doi:10.1002/cber.19941270623

Gerig, J. T. (2001). Fluorine nmr. Available at: https://api.semanticscholar.org/ CorpusID:20942460.

Hahn, E. L. (1949). An accurate nuclear magnetic resonance method for measuring spin-lattice relaxation times. *Phys. Rev.* 76, 145–146. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.76.145

Harbor-Collins, H., Sabba, M., Bengs, C., Moustafa, G., Leutzsch, M., and Levitt, M. H. (2024). NMR spectroscopy of a ¹⁸O-labeled rhodium paddlewheel complex: isotope shifts, ¹⁰³Rh-¹⁰³Rh spin-spin coupling, and ¹⁰³Rh singlet NMR. *J. Chem. Phys.* 160, 014305. doi:10.1063/5.0182233

Kiryutin, A. S., Rodin, B. A., Yurkovskaya, A. V., Ivanov, K. L., Kurzbach, D., Jannin, S., et al. (2019). Transport of hyperpolarized samples in dissolution-dnp experiments. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 21, 13696–13705. doi:10.1039/C9CP02600B

Korenchan, D., Lu, J., Sabba, M., Dagys, L., Brown, L., Levitt, M., et al. (2022). Limits of the quantum cognition hypothesis: ³¹P singlet order lifetimes of pyrophosphate from experiment and simulation. *ChemRxiv*. doi:10.26434/chemrxiv-2022-7cvbc-v2

Mallory, F. B., Mallory, C. W., Butler, K. E., Lewis, M. B., Xia, A. Q., Luzik, E. D., et al. (2000). Nuclear spin-spin coupling via non-bonded interactions. 8.1 the distance dependence of through-space fluorine-fluorine coupling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 122, 4108–4116. doi:10.1021/ja993032z

Mallory, F. B., Mallory, C. W., and Fedarko, M. C. (1974). Substituent effects on through-space fluorine-19-fluorine-19 coupling in the 1,8-difluoronaphthalene system. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 96, 3536–3542. doi:10.1021/ja00818a029

Mallory, F. B., Mallory, C. W., Grant, D. M., and Harris, R. K. (1996). Encyclopedia of nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Wiley and Sons 3, 1491–1501.

Mallory, F. B., Mallory, C. W., and Ricker, W. M. (1985). Nuclear spin-spin coupling via nonbonded interactions. 4. fluorine-fluorine and hydrogen-fluorine coupling in substituted benzo[c]phenanthrenes. *J. Org. Chem.* 50, 457–461. doi:10.1021/jo00204a006

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2024.1511720/ full#supplementary-material

Melchiorre, G., Giustiniano, F., Rathore, S., and Pileio, G. (2023). Singlet-assisted diffusion-nmr (sad-nmr): extending the scope of diffusion tensor imaging via singlet nmr. *Front. Chem.* 11, 1224336. doi:10.3389/fchem.2023.1224336

Murai, T., Xing, Y., Kurokawa, M., Kuribayashi, T., Nikaido, M., Elboray, E. E., et al. (2022). One-pot preparation of (nh)-phenanthridinones and amide-functionalized [7] helicene-like molecules from biaryl dicarboxylic acids. *J. Org. Chem.* 87, 5510–5521. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.1c02769

Petrakis, L., and Sederholm, C. H. (1961). NMR fluorine-fluorine coupling constants in saturated organic compounds. J. Chem. Phys. 35, 1243–1248. doi:10.1063/1.1732030

Pileio, G. (2011). Singlet state relaxation via intermolecular dipolar coupling. J. Chem. Phys. 134, 214505. doi:10.1063/1.3596379

Pileio, G. (2017). Singlet nmr methodology in two-spin-1/2 systems. Prog. Nucl. Magnetic Reson. Spectrosc. 98-99, 1–19. doi:10.1016/j.pnmrs.2016.11.002

Pileio, G., Bowen, S., Laustsen, C., Tayler, M. C. D., Hill-Cousins, J. T., Brown, L. J., et al. (2013). Recycling and imaging of nuclear singlet hyperpolarization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135, 5084–5088. doi:10.1021/ja312333v

Pileio, G., Dumez, J. N., Pop, I. A., Hill-Cousins, J. T., and Brown, R. C. (2015). Realspace imaging of macroscopic diffusion and slow flow by singlet tagging mri. *J. Magnetic Reson.* 252, 130–134. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2015.01.016

Pileio, G., Hill-Cousins, J. T., Mitchell, S., Kuprov, I., Brown, L. J., Brown, R. C. D., et al. (2012). Long-lived nuclear singlet order in near-equivalent 13c spin pairs. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 17494–17497. doi:10.1021/ja3089873

Pileio, G., and Levitt, M. H. (2007). J-stabilization of singlet states in the solution nmr of multiple-spin systems. J. Magnetic Reson. 187, 141–145. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2007.03.019

Pileio, G., and Levitt, M. H. (2009). Theory of long-lived nuclear spin states in solution nuclear magnetic resonance. II. Singlet spin locking. J. Chem. Phys. 130, 214501. doi:10.1063/1.3139064

Pileio, G., and Ostrowska, S. (2017). Accessing the long-time limit in diffusion nmr: the case of singlet assisted diffusive diffraction q-space. *J. Magnetic Reson.* 285, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2017.10.003

Saika, A., and Gutowsky, H. S. (1956). An unusual fluorine magnetic resonance multiplet. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78, 4818-4819. doi:10.1021/ja01599a081

Salvi, N., Buratto, R., Bornet, A., Ulzega, S., Rentero Rebollo, I., Angelini, A., et al. (2012). Boosting the sensitivity of ligand-protein screening by nmr of long-lived states. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 134, 11076–11079. doi:10.1021/ja303301w

Sarkar, R., Vasos, P. R., and Bodenhausen, G. (2007). Singlet-state exchange nmr spectroscopy for the study of very slow dynamic processes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 328–334. doi:10.1021/ja0647396

Servis, K. L., and Fang, K. N. (1968). Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of ¹⁹F-¹⁹ spin-spin coupling. 1-substituted 4,5-difluoro-8-methylphenanthrenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 90, 6712–6717. doi:10.1021/ja01026a027

Stevanato, G., Hill-Cousins, J. T., Häkansson, P., Roy, S. S., Brown, L. J., Brown, R. C. D., et al. (2015). A nuclear singlet lifetime of more than one hour in room-temperature solution. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 54, 3740–3743. doi:10.1002/anie.201411978

Tourell, M. C., Pop, I. A., Brown, L. J., Brown, R. C. D., and Pileio, G. (2018). Singletassisted diffusion-nmr (sad-nmr): redefining the limits when measuring tortuosity in porous media. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 20, 13705–13713. doi:10.1039/C8CP00145F

Vasos, P. R., Comment, A., Sarkar, R., Ahuja, P., Jannin, S., Ansermet, J. P., et al. (2009). Long-lived states to sustain hyperpolarized magnetization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106, 18469–18473. doi:10.1073/pnas.0908123106