### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Heng Zhao, EIT Institute for Advanced Study, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Ge Zhu, Wuhan University of Technology, China Guichun Hu, Qilu University of Technology, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Nosang V. Myung, ⊠ nmyung@nd.edu

RECEIVED 29 July 2024 ACCEPTED 26 August 2024 PUBLISHED 09 September 2024

#### CITATION

Choi YY, Hanh To DT, Kim S, Cwiertny DM and Myung NV (2024) Mechanically durable tricomposite polyamide 6/hematite nanoparticle/ tetra-n-butylammonium bromide (PA6/ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB) nanofiber based membranes for phosphate remediation. *Front. Chem.* 12:1472640. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2024.1472640

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Choi, Hanh To, Kim, Cwiertny and Myung. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Mechanically durable tri-composite polyamide 6/ hematite nanoparticle/ tetra-n-butylammonium bromide (PA6/ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB) nanofiber based membranes for phosphate remediation

Yun Young Choi<sup>1</sup>, Dung Thi Hanh To<sup>1</sup>, Sewoon Kim<sup>2</sup>, David M. Cwiertny<sup>2,3</sup> and Nosang V. Myung<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States

Essential properties for a Point of Use (POU) water filter include maintaining high removal capacity and rate, with excellent mechanical properties to withstand pressure drop. Herein, mechanically robust tri-composite polyamide 6/iron oxide nanoparticles/tetra-n-butylammonium bromide (PA6/a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB) nanofiber composite membranes were electrospun for phosphate (P) remediation, where the diameter and composition were tuned by controlling solution compositions and electrospinning conditions. Tri-composite composition and morphology affect phosphate uptake where the adsorption capacity followed Langmuir isotherm whereas the adsorption kinetics followed pseudo second order behavior. Mechanical properties (i.e., Young's Modulus (E) and toughness) were significantly influenced by the composition and morphology of the tricomposite, as well. Although additional TBAB and iron oxide decreased toughness, there are optimum composition ranges which resulted in maximum Young's Modulus. Of the synthesized nanofiber membranes, PA6/a- $Fe_2O_3/TBAB$  nanofibers with 17%  $\alpha\text{-}Fe_2O_3$  and 2% TBAB showed excellent phosphate uptake capacity [i.e., 8.9 mg/g (52 mg of P/g of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)] while it is bendable, stretchable, and able to plastically deform without fracturing (i.e., Young's modulus of 2.06  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> Pa and Toughness of 1.35  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> J m<sup>-3</sup>). With concerns over the impact of P on water resources and the long-term availability of limited P resources, this tri-composite membrane is well suited for applications in both wastewater treatment and resource recovery.

#### KEYWORDS

electrospinning, nylon, iron oxide, flexible composite nanofibers, phosphate removal

# 1 Introduction

Phosphorus (P) is one of the essential nutrients needed for humans, animals, and plants. However, excess phosphorus leaching from various sources including agricultural, industrial, and residential runoff can result in eutrophication (Fang et al., 2017; Dodds et al., 2009). Various techniques such as biological treatment, chemical precipitation, ion exchange, and adsorption have been utilized to remove phosphate from the environment (Zheng et al., 2023). For example, biological treatment relies on polyphosphate accumulating organisms (PAOs) to remediate P from environments through alternating anaerobic and aerobic conditions. Although it is eco-friendly, it requires strict operational conditions to maintain remediation capabilities (Zheng et al., 2023). Chemical precipitation uses metal ions to precipitate phosphate from contaminated water source, which then is removed by settling or filtration process. Compared to others, it requires high operating cost and can lead to large amounts of flocculant and coagulant with sludge treatment (Zhang et al., 2012). Additionally, both techniques cannot reduce phosphate concentration below 0.1 mg P/L which is above EPA recommendation limit of 0.05 mg/L for total phosphates in streams (Clune et al., 2020).

Compared to the other techniques, adsorption is one of most cost-effective, high capacity, efficient, and eco-friendly approaches to remove P to meet EPA recommendation (Cui et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2018). Various adsorbents such as metal oxides, carbonaceous materials, metal organic frameworks (MOFs), and their derivatives have been utilized for P remediation (Priya et al., 2022; Mitrogiannis et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2010; El Hanache et al., 2019a; El Hanache et al., 2019b; Anjum et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2017; Sonoda et al., 2020; Prashantha Kumar et al., 2018; Meftah and Zerafat, 2016). Among these adsorbents, metal oxides or their derivatives have been popular choices because of their high affinity toward phosphate with low toxicity, low cost, and high pH stability (Sun et al., 2020). Most common metal oxides utilized for P capture are iron oxides, aluminum (hydr)oxides (Yang et al., 2007), lanthanum (Fang et al., 2018) and cerium oxides (Wu and Lo, 2020). Commercially available P adsorbents such as E33 and Phoslock are based on iron oxide and lanthanum incorporated bentonite, respectively.

Iron oxides are one of most popular P adsorbents because of their high affinity, low cost, and earth abundance. Ajmal et al. investigates various iron oxides including goethite (a- Fe(OH)O), magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and ferrihydrite (Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>) with different surfaces toward P capture. They found that P adsorption capacity depended on the surface area and crystal phase (Ajmal et al., 2018). Highest P adsorption capacity per surface area was found in goethite (0.58 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) followed by magnetite (0.47 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) and ferrihydrite (0.372 mg/m<sup>2</sup>). Wang et al. examined for P removal using hydrous iron oxide modified diatomite. When diatomite was modified with hydrous iron oxide, the specific surface area increased 152 times from 0.53 to 80.44 m<sup>2</sup>/g and show approx. 25 times improvement of P adsorption capacity (Wang et al., 2016). Since adsorption is a surface phenomenon, greater surface to volume ratio results in higher adsorption capacity. While metal oxide nanoparticles have a high efficiency of P removal, challenges still exist such as aggregation and need of additional separation and removal steps (Nalbandian et al., 2016; Nalbandian et al., 2022).

Chemical-active composite nanofiber-based membrane eliminates the need for recovery steps with optimized performance and functionality. Compared to conventional adsorbent materials like metal and ceramics, nanofiber-based membrane reinforced composites exhibit distinct features of dual functionality to be used as both filters and adsorbents (Rajak et al., 2019; Rajak et al., 2022). It provides physical separation through the three-dimensional network while multiple active components can be embedded to remediate a wide spectrum of water pollutants (Nalbandian et al., 2022). Although there are other methods, composite nanofibers can be cost efficiently manufactured using scalable electrospinning with controlled composition and morphology via fine tuning of its synthesis conditions (Yu et al., 2022; Tlili and Alkanhal, 2019). Unlike other methods, electrospinning allows for fabrication of composite nanofibers in a single synthesis step which significantly reduces the fabrication time and cost. Due to their uncontrolled fiber orientation, high void fraction and porosity, electrospun nanofiber materials often require enhancement and modification to be applied in practical contexts (Science Direct, 2024).

Previously, we demonstrated the ability to fabricate composite nanofibers using electrospinning (Peter et al., 2016; Hesterberg Butzlaff et al., 2023; Peter et al., 2017; Egodawatte et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2019; Ding et al., 2022; Greenstein et al., 2019; Peter et al., 2018) for various environmental and energy applications. More specifically, quaternary ammonium salt (TBAB) encapsulated hematite nanoparticles  $(\alpha - Fe_2O_3)$ NPs) embedded polyacrylonitrile (PAN) tri-composite nanofibers were fabricated and utilized for P capture (Wang et al., 2021). Systematic studies indicated that surface enriched  $(\alpha-Fe_2O_3)$  nanoparticles were primarily responsible for chemical adsorption of phosphates with some additional contribution to uptake from TBAB through anion exchange. Although this work demonstrated that the composite nanofiber membrane with high interconnected porosity can be used to remediate P without the need of sorbent recovery step, one of the remaining barrier to the implement of electrospun membranes for applications in wastewater treatment and resource recovery applications is the development of mechanically durable sheet to meet the demand of water treatment applications (Tlili and Alkanhal, 2019; Tang et al., 2022).

In this work, TBAB encapsulated a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs embedded PA6 nanofibers with controlled composition and morphology were fabricated using a one pot synthesis electrospinning technique. PA6, also known as Nylon6, was selected over other polymer host matrices because of its high mechanical strength and durability as well as its compatibility with other materials to overcome the decrease in mechanical properties for composite membranes (Yadav, 2018). Previous mechanical properties testing of PAN and PA6 nanofibrous mat showed tensile strength of 6.7 MPa and 34.9 MPa, respectively (Sheng et al., 2016; Molnar et al., 2012). A design of experiment approach was implemented to fabricate composite nanofibers with different composition and morphology. The resulting PA6/  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB nanofiber membranes show comparable adsorption kinetics and adsorption capacity with superior mechanical properties relative to PAN/a-Fe2O3/TBAB composite nanofibers (Wang et al., 2021). Mechanical properties such as Young's modulus, yield strength, tensile

strength, toughness, and strain to fracture were optimized to determine the potential to be used in various treatment applications.

# 2 Experimental

# 2.1 Electrospinning solution preparation and characterization

Polyamide-6, tetra-n-butylammonium bromide (TBAB;  $\geq$ 98%), and potassium antimony tartrate hydrate ( $\geq$ 99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich whereas trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 99%), acetone (Ace, ACS reagent grade, 99.5%), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 99.3%), sulfuric acid, and ascorbic acid (99.4%) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Hematite (99.95%, average diameter of 3 nm APS powder) and ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate (99%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. All materials were used without further treatment. Electrospinning solutions were prepared by dispersing  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs in a solvent mixture of acetone (Ace) and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) 60:40 mol%. Once  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs were well-dispersed using sonication, PA6 pellets and TBAB (various concentrations: 0, 0.1, 1 wt.%) were added to the solution, which was then sealed for magnetic stirring until homogeneous.

Three solution properties (i.e., viscosity, surface tension and electrical conductivity) were measured. The viscosity was measured using a CPA-40 spindle connected to a Brookfield DV2THB viscometer. The solution viscosity was determined to be independent of the shear rate. Thus, the viscosity was measured at 95% torque at each rotational speed ranging from 0.5 rpm to 200 rpm. An automatic surface tensiometer (Shanghai Fangrui Instrument, QBZY-1) with platinum-coated plate was used to measure the surface tension. Solution electrical conductivity was measured using an electrical conductivity probe from Apera Instruments (Al1311, K = 0.1) connected to EZO conductivity circuit (Atlas Scientific), on Tentacle T3 using Raspberry Pi (Whitebox T3, Mkll). All solution property measurements were taken at room temperature prior to electrospinning to correlate them closely to the resulting nanofiber properties.

# 2.2 Controlling composite nanofiber morphology during electrospinning through design of experiment (DOE)

Electrospinning was conducted by injecting the prepared solution through a 5-mL BD Luer-Lok syringe with a 20-gauge stainless steel needle using a syringe pump (New Era, NE-100). Negative voltage was applied to the needle tip while the drum collector, wrapped with aluminum foil and rotating around 400 rpm, was grounded to collect the sample. Electrospinning and environmental conditions including applied voltage, feed rate, temperature, and absolute humidity were fixed at 12 kV, 0.25 mL/h, 23°C  $\pm$  1°C, and 0.008  $\pm$  0.001 kg H<sub>2</sub>O/kg dry air, respectively.

An experimental approach that varies one factor at a time can overlook conditions critical to developing a full systematic understanding of experimental design and fails to examine the interaction between the factors (Yu et al., 2022; Yu and Myung, 2018). DOE allows one to systematically vary factors of different conditions at the same time and fully visualize the effect of each factor on the resulting system properties. From the DOE analysis, an equation can be obtained that allows one to predict the response or conditions to achieve a specific, target response.

The first DOE consists of varying the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:PA6 ratio and TBAB concentration while keeping the concentration of PA6 at 6.5 wt.%. The conditions for the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:PA6 ratio and TBAB concentration were based on previous work done by Wang et al. (2021) with PAN tri-composites, which had adsorption capacity of 8.76 mg P/g of nanofiber membrane. The first DOE was conducted to study how adding and increasing a-Fe2O3 NP and TBAB concentration to PA6, a more hydrophilic polymer compared to PAN, would affect solution and nanofiber properties. The lower and upper limit for the a-Fe2O3 NP:PA6 ratio were chosen to be 0 and 0.43 with middle point set at 0.21 for  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio. TBAB concentration was altered from 0 wt.% to 1 wt.%. The middle point for TBAB concentration was set at 0.1 wt.% rather than median to study the effect of TBAB more drastically within a narrow range. These samples are listed as Sample 9, 8, 2, 1, and 3 in Table 1. The code for each sample in Table 1 shows what factors (the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio and TBAB concentration) and the range (the lower, middle, or upper point) have been altered to synthesize each sample.

The second DOE was designed and carried out based on the nanofiber properties and batch testing results of the first DOE. To target a bigger range of average fiber diameters, the concentration of PA6 was increased from 6.5 wt.% to 7.5 wt.%. From the first DOE, samples with higher ratio of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio had higher phosphate removal efficiency. To test if increasing the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP: PA6 ratio further increases the adsorption capacity, the range of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio was increased from 0 to 0.43 (i.e., the range from the first DOE) to 0.21 to 0.65. For second DOE, the TBAB concentration was kept at 1 wt.%; while addition of TBAB helped to decrease the bead density, the first DOE revealed there was less than 2% difference in phosphate removal efficiency between 0.1 wt.% and 1.0 wt.% TBAB. The samples from the second DOE are listed as Sample 6, 7, 4, 5, and 10 in Table 1.

### 2.3 Nanofiber characterization

Morphology of the as-spun nanofiber was observed with a scanning electron microscope (Prisma E SEM, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Prior to analysis, a thin layer of gold was sputtered using Electron Microscopy Sciences 575X over the samples at 20 mA for 30 s to minimize surface charging. Obtained SEM images were imported to ImageJ software to measure the average fiber diameter, which was obtained by measuring the diameter of 30 unique nanofibers. The bead density was calculated by dividing the total number of beads from a single SEM image by the total area of the image. Fiber fraction was determined by the proportion of nanofibers in the total product, which could include beads and clumps. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) samples were collected by placing a carbon-coated copper grid directly in front of the drum collector for 1 min during electrospinning. TEM images were captured using 300 (S)TEM Ceta<sup>™</sup>.

S#	Code	Sample name	Electrospinning Solution Composition			Solution Properties			
			PA6 [wt.%]	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> PA6 ratio	TBAB [wt.%]	μ [cP]	γ [dynes/cm]	σ [mS/cm]	
Design of Experiment (DOE) #1									
9	(-,-)	1.00 PA6	6.5	0	0	17.13	21.13	1,304	
8	(-,+)	0.86PA6_0.00Fe2O3_0.14 TBAB	6.5	0	1 14.52 21.0		21.0	1916	
2	(0,0)	0.81PA6_0.17Fe2O3_0.02 TBAB	6.5	0.21	0.1	21.45	21.2	1,387	
1	(+,-)	0.70PA6_0.30Fe2O3_0.00TBAB	6.5	0.43	0	45.78	21.4	1,279	
3	(+,+)	0.63PA6_0.27Fe2O3_0.10 TBAB	6.5	0.43	1	68.8	21.3	1807	
Design of Experiment (DOE) #2									
6	(-,-)	0.73PA6_0.16Fe2O3_0.11 TBAB	6.5	0.21	1	86.33	21.6	1714	
7	(-,+)	0.55PA6_0.36Fe2O3_0.09 TBAB	6.5	0.65	1	69.72	20.8	1719	
4	(0,0)	0.64PA6_0.27Fe2O3_0.09 TBAB	7	0.43	1	63.18	21.3	1,697	
5	(+,-)	0.74PA6_0.16Fe2O3_0.1 TBAB	7.5	0.21	1	43.95	21.1	1,667	
10	(+,+)	0.56PA6_0.36Fe2O3_0.07 TBAB	7.5	0.65	1	250.1	19.6	1,678	

TABLE 1 Effect of electrospinning solution compositions on the solution properties and nanofiber morphology. DOE #1 was designed to study the effect of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TBAB with a fixed PA6 content, whereas DOE #2 was designed to investigate the effect of PA6 and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content while fixing TBAB content.

The specific surface area and pore volume measurements of the composite nanofibers were obtained using a surface area and pore size analyzer (Quantachrome Nova 4200e) under nitrogen. Specific surface area ( $S_{BET}$ ) was determined from multi-point BET, where the relative pressures (P/P<sub>0</sub>) ranged from  $0.05 \le P/P0 \le 0.30$  (Hesterberg Butzlaff et al., 2023). The t-plot (V-t, where V is the volume of gas adsorbed and t is the statistical film thickness) method was applied to the adsorption isotherm to determine the micropore volume and surface area (Kruk et al., 1996; Galarneau et al., 2014; Daraghmeh et al., 2017).

Mechanical properties were examined using a Discovery hybrid rheometer (DHR-30, TA Instruments, USA) attached with RH Linear Tension Rectangular Fixture. Nanofiber samples were placed between the plates between the plates and the samples were pulled apart at a constant linear rate of 1.0 mm per second until 50 mm is reached at room temperature. All measurements were obtained directly from the manufacturer supplied computer software (TRIOS, TA Instruments).

### 2.4 Phosphate adsorption studies

To evaluate the P remediation efficiency of  $PA6/\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB nanofiber membranes, adsorption kinetics and isotherm studies were carried out using 40 mg of composite nanofiber membranes in 40 mL of phosphate solution with phosphate concentrations of 2, 5, 10, 15 and 20 mg/L. The experiment was performed in a 50 mL sealed polypropylene centrifugal tube at 23°C. The solution was collected using a 5-mL BD Luer-Lok syringe with a syringe filter attached (0.22 µm, PTFE Teflon filter) at different time intervals (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 24 h). The phosphate concentration of collected samples was measured at 880 nm with a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent Cary 60) based on the ascorbic acid molybdate blue method (Wang et al., 2021).

The equilibrium adsorption capacity  $(q_e)$  was calculated using the following equation (Equation 1):

$$q_e = \frac{(C_o - C_e) * V}{m} \tag{1}$$

where  $q_e (mg/g)$  is the adsorption capacity at time t,  $C_0$  and  $C_e$  are the initial and equilibrium phosphate concentrations (mg/L), respectively, V is the volume of the solution (L), and *m* is the mass of the composite nanofiber mat (g).

The adsorption kinetic was investigated using the pseudo first order and pseudo second order equations (Equations 2, 3 respectively).

$$q_t = q_e * (1 - \exp(k_1 * t))$$
(2)

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{(k_2 q_e^2) + \frac{t}{q_t}}$$
(3)

where rate constant of pseudo first order adsorption as  $k_1 \text{ (min}^{-1})$  and  $k_2$  as rate constant of pseudo second order adsorption (g mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>);  $q_e$  is the amount of phosphate adsorption at equilibrium (mg/g);  $q_t$  is the amount of phosphate adsorption at time t (min) in mg/g. Additionally, the adsorption isotherms were investigated using Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption equations (Equations 4, 5 respectively):

$$q_e = \frac{(q_{max}K_LC_e)}{(1+K_LC_e)} \tag{4}$$

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n} \tag{5}$$

where  $q_{max}$  is the maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g);  $C_e$  is the equilibrium phosphate concentration (mg/L); *n* is the parameter of the Freundlich adsorption isotherm; and K<sub>L</sub> (L/mg) and K<sub>F</sub> ((mg/g) \*(L/mg)<sup>1/n</sup>) are the equilibrium constants related to the Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherms, respectively.

# 3 Results and discussion

# 3.1 Effect of solution conditions on solution properties

Table 1 lists the solution properties and nanofiber morphologies from DOE #1 and #2. The analysis for the DOE #1 of viscosity, electrical conductivity, and surface tension as function of a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio and TBAB concentrations are shown in Supplementary Figure S1. The viscosity of the solution drastically increased from 17.13 cP to 57.29 cP as α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was added and the ratio of a-Fe2O3 NP:PA6 was increased to 0.43 without adding TBAB to the solution. The addition of TBAB to solution resulted in a decrease in the viscosity from 17.13 cP to 14.52 cP. The decrease in viscosity is attributed to increase in free charge in polymer phenomenon aligns with observations reported in previous literatures (Mingzheng et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2014). However, when 1 wt.% of TBAB was added to the electrospinning solution with  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio, the viscosity of the solution increased. Although both factors lead to increase in viscosity of the electrospinning solution, increase in a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio had more significant impact on the viscosity. Based on these results, the equation shown in Supplementary Figure S1A can be used to predict the solution viscosity when the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio and TBAB concentration are varied.

The electrical conductivity of the solution reflects the charge density on a jet, and the elongation level of a jet by an electrical force (Wendorff et al., 2012; Alves et al., 2013; Ko and Wan, 2014). It was reported in previous literature that an increase in electrical conductivity can result in a thinner fiber diameter of electrospun polymer fibers (Xue et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2014). Low electrical conductivity leads to more beads, resulting from insufficient elongation of a jet by electrical force needed to produce uniform nanofibers (Cooper et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2014; Bhardwaj and Kundu, 2010). The electrical conductivity of the solution was mainly affected by TBAB concentration. Supplementary Figure S1B shows that increasing a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio from 0 to 0.43 decreased the electrical conductivity, which may be explained by increased amount of a-Fe2O3, which has poor conductivity. Adding TBAB to the solution sharply increased the electrical conductivity from 1,292  $\mu$ S/ cm to 1862  $\mu$ S/cm, similar to the trend we previously observed for PAN/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB composite solutions (Wang et al., 2021).

Surface tension plays a critical role in the electrospinning process and determines electrospinnability and determines the upper and lower boundaries of the electrospinning window (Haghi and Akbari, 2007). A Taylor cone is produced when a certain threshold voltage exceeds the value of surface tension (Xue et al., 2019). As shown in Supplementary Figure S1C, the surface tension of the as-prepared solution was generally maintained throughout at 21 dynes/cm. While previous literature showed that incorporation of TBAB to the solution decreases the surface tension for other polymers and/or solvents (as expected for a surfactant), notably that was not the case for this solution (Tanvir and Qiao, 2012).

Analysis for DOE #2, where the concentration of PA6 and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio was varied, can be seen in Supplementary Figure S2. Changing these factors had a bigger impact on the viscosities of the solutions. As the PA6 concentration increased

from 6.5 wt.% to 7.5 wt.%, the viscosity of the solution increased, as expected. Chisca et al., reported that an increase in the polymer concentration reduces polymeric chain mobility in solution due to chain entanglement that prevents chain re-ordering and raises the solution viscosity (Chisca et al., 2012). When the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP: PA6 ratio was increased from 0.21 to 0.65, a similar trend that seen in DOE #1 was observed, and the viscosity of the solution increased from 65.14 cP to 159.91 cP. Although both factors had a direct effect on the viscosity, when the concentration of PA6 and the ratio of a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 both increased, the combination of factors had the biggest impact on increasing the solution viscosity, which is shown as the steepest slope shown in Supplementary Figure S2A and through the equation describing this relationship (given above the graph) as well. The electrical conductivity of the solution decreased from 1717 µS/cm to 1,673 µS/cm when the PA6 concentration increased. Unlike the DOE #1, when the a-Fe2O3 NP:PA6 ratio increased, the electrical conductivity increased slightly.

# 3.2 Effect of solution conditions on nanofiber morphology and dimension

Figure 1 shows the morphology of electrospun tri-composite nanofibers. As shown in Supplementary Figure S5, tri-composite nanofibers with different composition and fiber diameters ranging from 66 nm to 235 nm were fabricated. For Figures 1A–G, the nanofibers show texture from different amounts of  $\alpha$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP that have been added to the solution, while Figures 1H, I shows smooth electrospun nanofiber without any texture as the solution did not include  $\alpha$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP. The rough surfaces appearing in SEM images indicate that  $\alpha$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP were enriched on the surface of the nanofiber. This was attributed to the interaction between surfactant and  $\alpha$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP leading to improved dispersion of  $\alpha$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP.

# 3.2.1 Effect of PA6 concentration and $\alpha\text{-}Fe_2O_3$ NP:PA6 ratio

To study the effects of how solution properties affect the nanofiber properties such as average fiber diameter and the fiber fraction, a total of 10 solutions were electrospun with the same electrospinning and environmental conditions (Table 1). The DOE analysis in Supplementary Figure S3A shows that increasing the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio decreased the average fiber diameter from 149 nm to 108 nm. As TBAB was added to the solution, there was an increase in the fiber diameter. For fiber fraction, increasing  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio resulted in lower fiber fraction and more defects such as beads and clumps (Figure 1). With 1 wt.% TBAB added to the solution, the bead density decreased, and fiber fraction increased. Supplementary Figure S3B shows that when increasing  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 6 ratio, adding 1 wt.% of TBAB to the solution can lead to an increase in fiber fraction.

Sample #10 which was composed of 7.5 wt.% PA6, 0.65 for  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio and 1 wt.% of TBAB resulted in electrospraying instead of electrospinning. When the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio is kept the same, increasing the PA6 concentration from 6.5 wt.% to 7 wt.% resulted in an increase in average fiber diameter from 160 nm to 218 nm. This is expected since increasing



FIGURE 1 SEM images of polyamide  $6/\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB tri-composite nanofibers. (A–I) corresponds to Sample #1 to Sample #9 respectively.

TABLE 2 Morphology, surface area, a	and adsorption v	volume of synthesized	nanofibers.
-------------------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	-------------

S #	Code	Sample name	Ave. fiber dia. [nm]	Fiber Fraction [µm²/µm²]	Bead density [beads/ µm²]	Surface area [m²/g]	Single point adsorption total pore volume of pores [cm <sup>3</sup> /g]	t-Plot micropore volume [cm³/g]
9	(-,-)	1.00 PA6	130 ± 25	0.996	0.004	5.21	6.88E-03	2.65E-04
8	(-,+)	0.86PA6_0.00Fe2O3_0.14 TBAB	165 ± 29	0.993	0.007	13.82	2.17E-02	1.77E-03
2	(0,0)	0.81PA6_0.17Fe2O3_0.02 TBAB	$118~\pm~30$	0.962	0.038	10.5	1.58E-02	8.41E-04
1	(+,-)	0.70PA6_0.30Fe2O3_0.00TBAB	66 ± 18	0.978	0.022	4.34	6.21E-03	2.23E-04
3	(+,+)	0.63PA6_0.27Fe2O3_0.10 TBAB	149 ± 69	0.989	0.011	15.84	2.75E-02	9.29E-04
6	(-,-)	0.73PA6_0.16Fe2O3_0.11 TBAB	$160~\pm~51$	0.983	0.017	8.97	1.55E-02	-7.11E-04
7	(-,+)	0.55PA6_0.36Fe2O3_0.09 TBAB	$195~\pm~76$	0.984	0.016	9.22	1.64E-02	-1.68E-04
4	(0,0)	0.64PA6_0.27Fe2O3_0.09 TBAB	$235~\pm~61$	0.984	0.016	0.63	2.50E-05	N/A
5	(+,-)	0.74PA6_0.16Fe2O3_0.1 TBAB	218 ± 66	0.974	0.026	4.27	3.45E-03	1.53E-03
10	(+,+)	0.56PA6_0.36Fe2O3_0.07 TBAB	_	_			_	_

the solution concentration increases the solution viscosity and the average fiber diameter is directly correlated to solution viscosity (Yu and Myung, 2018; Ratanavaraporn et al., 2010; Deitzel et al., 2001). Also, within the same concentration, increasing  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP: PA6 ratio from 0.21 to 0.65 leads to an increase in average fiber diameter from 160 nm to 196 nm. Table 2 shows that increasing the



FIGURE 2

TEM images and mapping of composite nanofibers. (A) S#1, (B) S#2, (C) S#6; (D) HAADF image, (E) iron, and (F) bromide mapping of S#3 and (G) HAADF image, (H) iron, and (I) bromide mapping of S#7.

PA6 concentration had a bigger impact on the average fiber diameter compared to increasing the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio. Supplementary Figure S3B shows that the fiber fraction decreases as PA6 concentration and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio increased. This analysis was consistent for DOE #2 as shown in Supplementary Figure S4B of decrease in the fiber fraction as the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP: PA6 ratio increased, and there were no nanofiber formations for Sample #10 when constant electrospinning and environmental conditions were maintained. However, even with adjustment of electrospinning and environmental conditions, the high ratio of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 may contribute to aggregation of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP that may, in turn, lead to clogging of the needle and electrospraying rather than electrospinning (Yadav, 2018; Liu et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2017).

### 3.2.2 Effect of TBAB content

To investigate the effects of surfactant on resulting solution and nanofiber properties, tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB) was added to the solution. Incorporation of TBAB in the solution decreased the surface tension and increase the viscosity as discussed in Section 3.1. Comparing Sample #1 and Sample #3 from SEM images shown in Figure 1, both samples have fixed  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio of 0.43, however with addition of TBAB, it is shown that there are less beads present in Sample #3. The rest of the samples show a similar trend of lower bead density compared to Sample #1, which does not have TBAB, while the rest of the samples had 1 wt.% of TBAB except Sample #2, which had 0.1 wt.% of TBAB mixed into the solution. Similar findings have been reported in the



literature, where adding a small concentration of surfactant increased the viscosity of the solution and decreased the surface tension, allowing for smooth and increased fiber fraction for pristine nanofibers (Yu and Myung, 2018; Lin et al., 2004). Lee et al. suggested that bead formation during electrospinning can occurs in a low viscosity polymer solution, and Jun et al. reported that addition of an organic salt to the solution can lead to reduction of bead formation (Lee et al., 2003; Zeng et al., 2003). During electrospinning, the jet of nanofibers ejected under an electric field exhibit whipping instability, which mainly depends on the charge repulsion overcoming the surface tension. Usually, beads are a product of the instability of the jet under the electric field, thus lowering the surface tension and increasing the charge density suppresses bead formation (Tang et al., 2022; Park et al., 2008). Ultimately, the addition of TBAB helps with lowering bead density and increasing the fiber fraction of the composite nanofibers.

# 3.2.3 High resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) with elemental mapping

HR-TEM images of Sample #1, #2, #6 were taken to determine  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP distribution among the nanofibers (Figure 2). Sample #1, which does not contains TBAB shows that  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are embedded within the nanofiber (Figure 2A). Unlike Sample #1, Sample #2 shows that most of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP were located on the edges of nanofiber (Figure 2B). When a TBAB concentration of 1.0 wt.% is reached (Sample #6), a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs are clearly seen on the edges of the nanofiber (Figure 2C). As shown in our prior works, the presence of TBAB helps to enrich  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs to the surface of nanofibers. In addition to HR-TEM, elemental mapping of nanofibers was conducted to further understand the distribution of a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs and TBAB within nanofiber. Figures 2D, G show high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) images of Sample #3 and #7, respectively. Figures 2E, H are an iron elemental mapping whereas Figures 2F, I show the bromide elemental mapping. As shown in the figures, bromide from TBAB shows overlapped dispersion with a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs, rather than being dispersed evenly within the nanofiber.

### 3.2.4 Surface area

BET analysis was conducted to determine the surface area of various samples. As expected, the available surface area was significantly altered by the composition and morphology of the nanofibers. Figure 3 shows the surface area as a function of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP and TBAB content in 3D (Figure 3A) and contour (Figure 3B) plots. As shown in the figures, the surface area strongly depended on  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP, and the highest surface area (i.e., 15.84 m<sup>2</sup>/g) was observed at 16%  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Sample #5) with high TBAB. The next highest surface (i.e., 13.82 m<sup>2</sup>/g) was observed from Sample #2, which has similar  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content but lower TBAB concentration. In the absence of TBAB, adding  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs resulted in higher surface area. The obtained values for surface area can be found in Supplementary Table S1. Total pore volume (v<sub>tot</sub>) increased with the increase in surface area which is expected and is consistent with previous literatures (Plerdsranoy et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2015).

### 3.3 Phosphate removal batch testing

Before conducting detailed adsorption kinetic and isotherm studies, samples were exposed to a fixed phosphate concentration of 10 mg/L (Supplementary Figure S6). Two additional samples, which are  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs (Sample #11) and TBAB coated  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs (Sample #12), have been added as control to determine maximum adsorption capacity of pure materials. As shown in the figure, free floating TBAB coated a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs show the highest extent of phosphate removal (i.e., 90.35%), whereas pristine PA nanofiber (Sample #9) shows the lowest degree of phosphate removal (i.e., 4.68%). Adding 1 wt.% TBAB to PA6 nanofibers (Sample #8) showed slightly higher phosphate removal (i.e., 16.05%) than pristine PA6 nanofiber, which might be attributed to ion exchange characterized by electrostatic attraction between positively charged quaternary ammonium sites (QAS) and oxyanions (i.e., phosphate) (Nalbandian et al., 2022).Initially, a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs: PA6 ratio was increased to test for increased phosphate uptake, however, Sample #12 showed that both TBAB and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs contributed in uptake of phosphate. Increased  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs content did not necessarily lead to increased phosphate uptake, and Supplementary Figure S6 shows that there exists an optimal ratio of 8.5:1 between  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs and TBAB that lead to maximum phosphate uptake of the tri-composite nanofiber mat.

### 3.4 Adsorption kinetics

Using a batch testing system for phosphate removal from the solution helps to establish the time until equilibrium and rate of phosphate removal. Figure 4A shows the phosphate removal batch testing with different initial phosphate concentrations (i.e., 2, 5, 10, 15 and 20 mg/L). The two most popular adsorption kinetic models, the pseudo first order and pseudo second order were used to fit data. Figure 4B, Supplementary Figures S7, S8 show pseudo first order and pseudo second order fittings of data of PA6/a-Fe2O3/TBAB nanofiber phosphate removal at different initial phosphate concentrations. Supplementary Table S2 shows summarized kinetic correlation coefficients from the data fitted by pseudo first order and pseudo second order kinetic models. Based on the resulting correlation coefficients (i.e., R<sup>2</sup> values), it is concluded that PA6/a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB nanofiber is best-described by a pseudo second-order kinetic model, which suggests chemisorption via ligand exchange of negatively charged phosphate ions with iron oxide surface (Suresh Kumar et al., 2017). Pseudo-second order fitting has been used to calculate the k<sub>2</sub>, which shows the rate of phosphate adsorption by each of the materials with different contents of α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TBAB. Supplementary Figure S9 shows kinetic rate constant changes as a function of α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:PA6 ratio. It is observed that while keeping the TBAB concentration constant at 1 wt.%, increasing the α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content resulted in a monotonic decrease in k<sub>2</sub>. We interpret this behavior based upon pristine PA6 nanofiber having a higher  $k_2$  value of 0.38 g/(mg min) than  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP, which only exhibits a value of 0.00386 g/(mg min) (see Supplementary Table S2). Therefore, by adding a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into PA6, it is expected that the reaction rate constant will decrease as  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content increases.

Meanwhile, increasing the TBAB concentration from 0 to 1 wt.% contributes to a higher adsorption rate constant of 0.00437 g/ (mg min) rather than 0.00716 g/(mg min) while the  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP:PA6 ratio remained constant (i.e., 0.43) As discussed previously, incorporating a surfactant such as TBAB to  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> results in surface enrichment of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticle in the composite, where the phosphates will be adsorbed by the ligand-exchange reaction with the positively charged surface that may possibly increase the reaction rate (Wang et al., 2021; Jung et al., 2019).

### 3.5 Adsorption isotherms

To further understand the adsorption capacity of these composite nanofibers, nonlinear and linear Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models were used to fit the experimental data. Figure 4C, Supplementary Figures S10, S11 show nonlinear fit to Langmuir and Freundlich model, linear fit to Langmuir model, and linear fit to Freundlich model, respectively. The Langmuir isotherm model describes homogenous, monolayer adsorption onto the finite adsorptive sites whereas the Freundlich isotherm



is based on multilayer adsorption on heterogenous sites (Wang et al., 2021; Li et al., 2016; Katal et al., 2012). Supplementary Table S3 summarizes the obtained data, demonstrating that the synthesized composite nanofiber exhibits result comparable to previous finding presented in Supplementary Table S4. Monolayer adsorption onto the active sites was determined by the higher correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) obtained for Langmuir fitting compared to Freundlich fitting. While the experiment was carried out for all the samples, Sample #7 and Sample #10 failed to be fitted using both Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm equations, which may be because of their high α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: PA6 ratio. The free-floating particles (as mentioned above) of Sample #11 had  $q_{max}$  of 13.22 mg/g of nanoparticles. Figure 5 shows the 3D and contour plot of adsorption capacity as a function of TBAB and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content. The contour plot shows that the adsorption capacity increases as TBAB and α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content increases. However, it can be concluded that adsorption capacity of composite nanofiber is more dependent on the a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content rather than the TBAB content. As illustrated in Figure 5, an optimal ratio between a-Fe2O3 and TBAB content is observed, corresponding to optimized adsorption capacity.

### 3.6 Mechanical properties

One of the key requirements for a water filtration system is having excellent mechanical properties to withstand high pressure and flux during application (de-Bashan and Bashan, 2004). To understand the impact of adding chemically active ingredients to pristine PA6 nanofibers, composite materials were investigated with tensile strength testing. Figure 6 shows the stress versus strain curves of different samples. Various parameters such as Young's modulus, yield strength, ultimate tensile strength, toughness, and strain to fracture extracted from the stress-strain plot and the results obtained are listed in Supplementary Table S5. Results showed that in the absence of a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs, addition of TBAB reduced most of the mechanical properties of PA6 nanofibers. Similar results were observed when TBAB concentration increased from 0.1 wt% to 1.0 wt% while maintaining a-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content, where the Young's modulus, yield strength, ultimate tensile strength and toughness decreased but the yield point increased. With an increase in TBAB and α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content, the nanofiber became more brittle. Although this is affected by both factors, the slope of the contour plot in Supplementary Figure S12 shows that TBAB content has a predominant effect on the decrease in toughness of the material. Supplementary Figure S13 shows that when there are no  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles present, increasing the content of TBAB resulted in a decrease in Young's modulus. Furthermore, when there is no TBAB present, increasing α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content also led to a decrease in Young's modulus; however, when both TBAB and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are added to produce tri-composite nanofibers, Young's modulus reaches its maximum when  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is between 10%-20% and TBAB content is 2%-10% of the composite nanofibers. The decrease in the mechanical properties with increased amount of a-Fe2O3 may be due to the inhomogeneous dispersion and aggregation of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles (Yadav, 2018; Liu et al., 2016). The slope of the contour plot of Supplementary Figure S13 shows that while the Young's modulus is dependent on both TBAB and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, it is more dependent on the content of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Various results were shown in previous literatures in regards of addition of TBAB, such as increasing the tensile strength until 0.66 w/v % but decreasing the tensile strength when TBAB content increases further (Fan et al., 2020). Arora et al. reported that up to 2 wt% TBAB modified clay showed an increase in tensile modulus and tensile strength, but these values decreased when TBAB content was further increased (Arora et al., 2011). In addition, changing the polymeric host results in different mechanical properties. PAN/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TBAB tricomposite nanofibers have been synthesized by Wang et al. in our previous work (Wang et al., 2021). For their sample with the highest adsorption capacity for phosphate, Young's modulus, yield strength, ultimate tensile strength, toughness, and strain to fracture was determined to be  $6.13 \times 10^6$  Pa,  $2.30 \times 10^5$  Pa,  $2.96 \times$  $10^5$  Pa, 1.46  $\times$   $10^4$  J $\times m^{-3}$ , and 7.10  $\times$   $10^{-2}$   $\epsilon$ , respectively. Compared to this work utilizing polyamide 6 instead of PAN, the respective mechanical properties are measured to be 20.51, 16.76, 17.33, 32.33, and 0.27 times higher with PA6, as depicted in Supplementary Table S6. Indeed, it has been reported that the Young's modulus and tensile strength of nanofibers can depend on several variables such as the chemical structure of polymer, molecular orientation, fiber diameter, fiber fraction, as well as



alignment of nanofibers (Lasenko et al., 2023; Pelipenko et al., 2013).

# 4 Conclusion

 $PA6/\alpha\text{-}Fe_2O_3/TBAB$  tri-composite nanofiber membrane was designed and successfully prepared using a one-pot electrospinning

synthesis method. Utilizing the design of experiments, the concentration of PA6, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:PA6 ratio, and TBAB concentration have been varied to investigate their effect on the average nanofiber diameter, fiber fraction and bead density. The SEM and TEM images showed TBAB promotes surface enriched  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs on the nanofibers. The adsorption of phosphate onto the tri-composite nanofibers followed the pseudo second order kinetic model and could be described well using the Langmuir adsorption. The



adsorption capacity increased with increasing  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content and the kinetic rate constant for phosphate sorption increased with high TBAB. The mechanical properties of composite nanofibers showed an increase in toughness, yield strength, ultimate strength with decreasing  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TBAB content, while Young's modulus increased with an increase in  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content. Considering both adsorptive performance and material properties, the formulation for Sample # 2 was best; it exhibited an adsorption capacity of 8.89 mg/g (52.30 mg of P/g of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) while maintaining excellent mechanical properties. Relatively to materials in our prior work, PA6 tri-composite showed 1.4 times higher adsorption capacity as well as 20.51 times higher Young's modulus, as well as better mechanical properties overall, compared to previously investigated tricomposite nanofibers using PAN as the base polymer.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

YC: Data curation, Writing-original draft. DT: Resources, Writing-review and editing. SK: Writing-review and editing,

# References

Ajmal, Z., Muhmood, A., Usman, M., Kizito, S., Lu, J., Dong, R., et al. (2018). Phosphate removal from aqueous solution using iron oxides: adsorption, desorption and regeneration characteristics. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 528, 145–155. doi:10.1016/j.jcis. 2018.05.084

Data curation. DC: Writing-review and editing, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration. NM: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing-review and editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by NSF PFI (#1940777).

# Acknowledgments

TEM was carried out in the Notre Dame Integrated Imaging Facility, University of Notre Dame using a 300 (S)TEM Ceta<sup>TM</sup>. We thank Maksym Zhukovskyi for the knowledge and expertise as well as time spent on this research.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2024.1472640/ full#supplementary-material

Arora, A., Choudhary, V., and Sharma, D. K. (2011). Effect of clay content and clay/surfactant on the mechanical, thermal and barrier properties of polystyrene/ organoclay nanocomposites. *J. Polym. Res.* 18 (4), 843–857. doi:10.1007/s10965-010-9481-6

Bhardwaj, N., and Kundu, S. C. (2010). Electrospinning: a fascinating fiber fabrication technique. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 28 (3), 325–347. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.01.004

Chisca, S., Barzic, A. I., Sava, I., Olaru, N., and Bruma, M. (2012). Morphological and rheological insights on polyimide chain entanglements for electrospinning produced fibers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 116 (30), 9082–9088. doi:10.1021/jp302957r

Alves, A. K., Bergmann, C. P., and Berutti, F. A. (2013). Novel synthesis and characterization of nanostructured materials. New York: Springer.

Anjum, M., Miandad, R., Waqas, M., Gehany, F., and Barakat, M. A. (2019). Remediation of wastewater using various nano-materials. *Arabian J. Chem.* 12 (8), 4897–4919. doi:10.1016/j.arabjc.2016.10.004

Clune, J. W., Crawford, J. K., and Boyer, E. W. (2020). Nitrogen and phosphorus concentration thresholds toward establishing water quality criteria for Pennsylvania, USA. *Water* 12 (12), 3550. doi:10.3390/w12123550

Cooper, C. J., Mohanty, A. K., and Misra, M. (2018). Electrospinning process and structure relationship of biobased poly(butylene succinate) for nanoporous fibers. *ACS Omega* 3 (5), 5547–5557. doi:10.1021/acsomega.8b00332

Cui, Q., Xu, J., Wang, W., Tan, L., Cui, Y., Wang, T., et al. (2020). Phosphorus recovery by core-shell  $\gamma$ -Al2O3/Fe3O4 biochar composite from aqueous phosphate solutions. *Sci. Total Environ.* 729, 138892. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138892

Daraghmeh, A., Hussain, S., Saadeddin, I., Servera, L., Xuriguera, E., Cornet, A., et al. (2017). A study of carbon nanofibers and active carbon as symmetric supercapacitor in aqueous electrolyte: a comparative study. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 12 (1), 639. doi:10.1186/s11671-017-2415-z

de-Bashan, L. E., and Bashan, Y. (2004). Recent advances in removing phosphorus from wastewater and its future use as fertilizer (1997–2003). *Water Res.* 38 (19), 4222–4246. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2004.07.014

Deitzel, J. M., Kleinmeyer, J., Harris, D., and Beck Tan, N. C. (2001). The effect of processing variables on the morphology of electrospun nanofibers and textiles. *Polymer* 42 (1), 261–272. doi:10.1016/S0032-3861(00)00250-0

Ding, D., Li, Z., Yu, S., Yang, B., Yin, Y., Zan, L., et al. (2022). Piezo-photocatalytic flexible PAN/TiO2 composite nanofibers for environmental remediation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 824, 153790. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153790

Dodds, W. K., Bouska, W. W., Eitzmann, J. L., Pilger, T. J., Pitts, K. L., Riley, A. J., et al. (2009). Eutrophication of U.S. Freshwaters: analysis of potential economic damages. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43 (1), 12–19. doi:10.1021/es801217q

Egodawatte, S., Greenstein, K. E., Vance, I., Rivera, E., Myung, N. V., Parkin, G. F., et al. (2016). Electrospun hematite nanofiber/mesoporous silica core/shell nanomaterials as an efficient adsorbent for heavy metals. *RSC Adv.* 6 (93), 90516–90525. doi:10.1039/C6RA19876G

El Hanache, L., Lebeau, B., Nouali, H., Toufaily, J., Hamieh, T., and Daou, T. J. (2019a). Performance of surfactant-modified \*BEA-Type zeolite nanosponges for the removal of nitrate in contaminated water: effect of the external surface. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 364, 206–217. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.10.015

El Hanache, L., Sundermann, L., Lebeau, B., Toufaily, J., Hamieh, T., and Daou, T. J. (2019b). Surfactant-modified MFI-type nanozeolites: super-adsorbents for nitrate removal from contaminated water. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 283, 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2019.03.049

Fan, C. J., Sun, T. S., Luo, J. Q., Liu, H. Y., and Zhou, X. D. (2020). Effect of introduction of tetrabutylammonium bromide on properties of poly (L-Lactic acid) tubular scaffold prepared by electrospinning. *Micro and Nano Lett.* 15 (5), 277–282. doi:10.1049/mnl.2019.0544

Fang, L., Wu, B., Chan, J. K. M., and Lo, I. M. C. (2018). Lanthanum oxide nanorods for enhanced phosphate removal from sewage: a response surface methodology study. *Chemosphere* 192, 209–216. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.154

Fang, L., Wu, B., and Lo, I. M. C. (2017). Fabrication of silica-free superparamagnetic ZrO2@Fe3O4 with enhanced phosphate recovery from sewage: performance and adsorption mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* 319, 258–267. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2017.03.012

Galarneau, A., Villemot, F., Rodriguez, J., Fajula, F., and Coasne, B. (2014). Validity of the T-plot method to assess microporosity in hierarchical micro/mesoporous materials. *Langmuir* 30 (44), 13266–13274. doi:10.1021/la5026679

Greenstein, K. E., Myung, N. V., Parkin, G. F., and Cwiertny, D. M. (2019). Performance comparison of hematite (a-Fe2O3)-polymer composite and core-shell nanofibers as point-of-use filtration platforms for metal sequestration. *Water Res.* 148, 492–503. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.10.048

Haghi, A. K., and Akbari, M. (2007). Trends in electrospinning of natural nanofibers. *Phys. status solidi* 204 (6), 1830–1834. doi:10.1002/pssa.200675301

Hesterberg Butzlaff, A., Jensen, M., Yan, C., Ghanim, A., Werth, C., Cwiertny, D., et al. (2023). Electrospun TiO 2/carbon composite nanofibers as effective (photo) electrodes for removal and transformation of recalcitrant water contaminants. *Environ. Sci. Adv.* 2, 967–981. doi:10.1039/D3VA00017F

Huang, H., Xiao, X., Yan, B., and Yang, L. (2010). Ammonium removal from aqueous solutions by using natural Chinese (chende) zeolite as adsorbent. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 175 (1), 247–252. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.09.156

Huang, Y., Zeng, X., Guo, L., Lan, J., Zhang, L., and Cao, D. (2018). Heavy metal ion removal of wastewater by zeolite-imidazolate frameworks. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 194, 462–469. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2017.11.068

Jiang, S., He, W., Landfester, K., Crespy, D., and Mylon, S. E. (2017). The structure of fibers produced by colloid-electrospinning depends on the aggregation state of particles in the electrospinning feed. *Polymer* 127, 101–105. doi:10.1016/j.polymer.2017.08.061

Jung, Y., Ko, Y. G., Do, T., Chun, Y., Choi, U. S., and Kim, C. H. (2019). Core/shell hybrid fiber with aminated PAN and Fe2O3 as a high-capacity adsorbent for phosphate ions. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 378, 120726. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.06.003

Katal, R., Baei, M. S., Rahmati, H. T., and Esfandian, H. (2012). Kinetic, isotherm and thermodynamic study of nitrate adsorption from aqueous solution using modified rice husk. *J. Industrial Eng. Chem.* 18 (1), 295–302. doi:10.1016/j.jiec.2011.11.035

Kim, S., Ico, G., Bai, Y., Yang, S., Lee, J.-H., Yin, Y., et al. (2019). Utilization of a magnetic field-driven microscopic motion for piezoelectric energy harvesting. *Nanoscale* 11 (43), 20527–20533. doi:10.1039/C9NR04722K

Ko, F. K., and Wan, Y. (2014). *Introduction to nanofiber materials*. Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Kruk, M., Jaroniec, M., and Bereznitski, Y. (1996). Adsorption study of porous structure development in carbon blacks. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 182 (1), 282–288. doi:10. 1006/jcis.1996.0461

Lasenko, I., Sanchaniya, J. V., Kanukuntla, S. P., Ladani, Y., Viluma-Gudmona, A., Kononova, O., et al. (2023). The mechanical properties of nanocomposites reinforced with PA6 electrospun nanofibers. *Polymers* 15 (3), 673. doi:10.3390/polym15030673

Lee, K. H., Kim, H. Y., Bang, H. J., Jung, Y. H., and Lee, S. G. (2003). The change of bead morphology formed on electrospun polystyrene fibers. *Polymer* 44 (14), 4029–4034. doi:10.1016/S0032-3861(03)00345-8

Li, M., Liu, J., Xu, Y., and Qian, G. (2016). Phosphate adsorption on metal oxides and metal hydroxides: a comparative review. *Environ. Rev.* 24 (3), 319–332. doi:10.1139/er-2015-0080

Lin, T., Wang, H., Wang, H., and Wang, X. (2004). The charge effect of cationic surfactants on the elimination of fibre beads in the electrospinning of polystyrene. *Nanotechnology* 15 (9), 1375–1381. doi:10.1088/0957-4484/15/9/044

Liu, X., Zhang, T., Pang, K., Duan, Y., and Zhang, J. (2016). Graphene oxide/cellulose composite films with enhanced UV-shielding and mechanical properties prepared in NaOH/urea aqueous solution. *RSC Adv.* 6 (77), 73358–73364. doi:10.1039/C6RA16535D

Meftah, T., and Zerafat, M. M. (2016). Nitrate removal from drinking water using organo-silane modified natural nano-zeolite. *Int. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* 12 (4), 223–232.

Mingzheng, Z., Guodong, X., Jian, L., Lei, C., and Lijun, Z. (2012). Analysis of factors influencing thermal conductivity and viscosity in different kinds of surfactant solutions. *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.* 36, 22–29. doi:10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2011.07.014

Mitrogiannis, D., Psychoyou, M., Baziotis, I., Inglezakis, V. J., Koukouzas, N., Tsoukalas, N., et al. (2017). Removal of phosphate from aqueous solutions by adsorption onto Ca(OH)2 treated natural clinoptilolite. *Chem. Eng. J.* 320, 510–522. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2017.03.063

Molnar, K., Vas, L. M., and Czigany, T. (2012). Determination of tensile strength of electrospun single nanofibers through modeling tensile behavior of the nanofibrous mat. *Compos. Part B Eng.* 43 (1), 15–21. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2011.04.024

Nalbandian, M. J., Kim, S., Gonzalez-Ribot, H. E., Myung, N. V., and Cwiertny, D. M. (2022). Recent advances and remaining barriers to the development of electrospun nanofiber and nanofiber composites for point-of-use and point-ofentry water treatment systems. *J. Hazard. Mater. Adv.* 8, 100204. doi:10.1016/j. hazadv.2022.100204

Nalbandian, M. J., Zhang, M., Sanchez, J., Choa, Y.-H., Nam, J., Cwiertny, D. M., et al. (2016). Synthesis and optimization of Fe2O3 nanofibers for chromate adsorption from contaminated water sources. *Chemosphere* 144, 975–981. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere. 2015.08.056

Park, J. Y., Lee, I. H., and Bea, G. N. (2008). Optimization of the electrospinning conditions for preparation of nanofibers from polyvinylacetate (PVAc) in ethanol solvent. *J. Industrial Eng. Chem.* 14 (6), 707–713. doi:10.1016/j.jiec.2008.03.006

Pelipenko, J., Kristl, J., Janković, B., Baumgartner, S., and Kocbek, P. (2013). The impact of relative humidity during electrospinning on the morphology and mechanical properties of nanofibers. *Int. J. Pharm.* 456 (1), 125–134. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013. 07.078

Peter, K. T., Johns, A. J., Myung, N. V., and Cwiertny, D. M. (2017). Functionalized polymer-iron oxide hybrid nanofibers: electrospun filtration devices for metal oxyanion removal. *Water Res.* 117, 207–217. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.007

Peter, K. T., Vargo, J. D., Rupasinghe, T. P., De Jesus, A., Tivanski, A. V., Sander, E. A., et al. (2016). Synthesis, optimization, and performance demonstration of electrospun carbon nanofiber–carbon nanotube composite sorbents for point-of-use water treatment. ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces 8 (18), 11431–11440. doi:10.1021/acsami.6b01253

Peter, T., Myung, K. V., and Cwiertny, N. M. (2018). Surfactant-assisted fabrication of porous polymeric nanofibers with surface-enriched iron oxide nanoparticles: composite filtration materials for removal of metal cations. *Environ. Sci. Nano* 5 (3), 669–681. doi:10.1039/C7EN00720E

Plerdsranoy, P., Kaewsuwan, D., Chanlek, N., and Utke, R. (2017). Effects of specific surface area and pore volume of activated carbon nanofibers on nanoconfinement and dehydrogenation of LiBH4. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 42 (9), 6189–6201. doi:10.1016/j. ijhydene.2017.01.048

Prashantha Kumar, T. K. M., Mandlimath, T. R., Sangeetha, P., Revathi, S. K., and Ashok Kumar, S. K. (2018). Nanoscale materials as sorbents for nitrate and phosphate removal from water. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 16 (2), 389–400. doi:10.1007/s10311-017-0682-7

Priya, E., Kumar, S., Verma, C., Sarkar, S., and Maji, P. K. (2022). A comprehensive review on technological advances of adsorption for removing nitrate and phosphate from waste water. J. Water Process Eng. 49, 103159. doi:10.1016/j.jwpe.2022.103159

Rajak, D. K., Pagar, D. D., Menezes, P. L., and Linul, E. (2019). Fiber-reinforced polymer composites: manufacturing, properties, and applications. *Polymers* 11 (10), 1667. doi:10.3390/polym11101667

Rajak, D. K., Wagh, P. H., and Linul, E. (2022). A review on synthetic fibers for polymer matrix composites: performance, failure modes and applications. *Materials* 15 (14), 4790. doi:10.3390/ma15144790

Ratanavaraporn, J., Rangkupan, R., Jeeratawatchai, H., Kanokpanont, S., and Damrongsakkul, S. (2010). Influences of physical and chemical crosslinking techniques on electrospun type A and B gelatin fiber mats. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 47 (4), 431–438. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2010.06.008

Science Direct (2024). A review on polymer nanofibers by electrospinning and their applications in nanocomposites. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0266353803001787 (Accessed July 05, 2024).

Sheng, J., Zhang, M., Xu, Y., Yu, J., and Ding, B. (2016). Tailoring water-resistant and breathable performance of polyacrylonitrile nanofibrous membranes modified by polydimethylsiloxane. ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces 8 (40), 27218–27226. doi:10.1021/acsami.6b09392

Singh, N. B., Nagpal, G., Agrawal, S., and Rachna, (2018). Water purification by using adsorbents: a review. *Environ. Technol. and Innovation* 11, 187–240. doi:10.1016/j.eti. 2018.05.006

Sonoda, A., Makita, Y., Sugiura, Y., Ogata, A., Suh, C., Lee, J., et al. (2020). Influence of coexisting calcium ions during on-column phosphate adsorption and desorption with granular ferric oxide. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 249, 117143. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2020. 117143

Sun, B., Long, Y. Z., Zhang, H. D., Li, M. M., Duvail, J. L., Jiang, X. Y., et al. (2014). Advances in three-dimensional nanofibrous macrostructures via electrospinning. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 39 (5), 862–890. doi:10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2013.06.002

Sun, J., Gao, A., Wang, X., Xu, X., and Song, J. (2020). Removal of phosphorus from wastewater by different morphological alumina. *Molecules* 25 (13), 3092. doi:10.3390/molecules25133092

Suresh Kumar, P., Prot, T., Korving, L., Keesman, K. J., Dugulan, I., van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., et al. (2017). Effect of pore size distribution on iron oxide coated granular activated carbons for phosphate adsorption – importance of mesopores. *Chem. Eng. J.* 326, 231–239. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2017.05.147

Tang, Y., Cai, Z., Sun, X., Chong, C., Yan, X., Li, M., et al. (2022). Electrospun nanofiber-based membranes for water treatment. *Polymers* 14 (10), 2004. doi:10.3390/polym14102004

Tanvir, S., and Qiao, L. (2012). Surface tension of nanofluid-type fuels containing suspended nanomaterials. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 7 (1), 226. doi:10.1186/1556-276X-7-226

Tlili, I., and Alkanhal, T. A. (2019). Nanotechnology for water purification: electrospun nanofibrous membrane in water and wastewater treatment. *J. Water Reuse Desalination* 9 (3), 232–248. doi:10.2166/wrd.2019.057

Wang, C., Yu, S., Cwiertny, D. M., Yin, Y., and Myung, N. V. (2021). Phosphate removal using surface enriched hematite and tetra-n-butylammonium bromide incorporated polyacrylonitrile composite nanofibers. *Sci. Total Environ.* 770, 145364. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145364

Wang, L., Chen, C., and Huang, Y. (2014). Electrospinning of phase-change materials for thermal energy storage. *Energy Storage*, 227–247. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-54160-5\_9

Wang, Z., Lin, Y., Wu, D., and Kong, H. (2016). Hydrous iron oxide modified diatomite as an active filtration medium for phosphate capture. *Chemosphere* 144, 1290–1298. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.015

Wendorff, J. H., Agarwal, S., and Greiner, A. (2012). Electrospinning: materials, processing, and applications. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley VCH.

Wu, B., and Lo, I. M. C. (2020). Surface functional group engineering of  $CeO_2$  particles for enhanced phosphate adsorption. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 54 (7), 4601–4608. doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b06812

Xie, Q., Li, Y., Lv, Z., Zhou, H., Yang, X., Chen, J., et al. (2017). Effective adsorption and removal of phosphate from aqueous solutions and eutrophic water by Fe-based MOFs of MIL-101. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 3316. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03526-x

Xu, H., Li, X., and Wang, G. (2015). Polyaniline nanofibers with a high specific surface area and an improved pore structure for supercapacitors. *J. Power Sources* 294, 16–21. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.06.053

Xue, J., Wu, T., Dai, Y., and Xia, Y. (2019). Electrospinning and electrospun nanofibers: methods, materials, and applications. *Chem. Rev.* 119 (8), 5298–5415. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00593

Yadav, M. (2018). Study on thermal and mechanical properties of cellulose/iron oxide bionanocomposites film. *Compos. Commun.* 10, 1–5. doi:10.1016/j.coco.2018.04.010

Yang, X., Wang, D., Sun, Z., and Tang, H. (2007). Adsorption of phosphate at the aluminum (Hydr)Oxides–Water interface: role of the surface acid–base properties. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Aspects* 297 (1), 84–90. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfa.2006.10.028

Yu, S., Milam-Guerrero, J., Tai, Y., Yang, S., Choi, Y. Y., Nam, J., et al. (2022). Maximizing polyacrylonitrile nanofiber piezoelectric properties through the optimization of electrospinning and post-thermal treatment processes. *ACS Appl. Polym. Mat.* 4 (1), 635–644. doi:10.1021/acsapm.1c01549

Yu, S., and Myung, N. V. (2018). Minimizing the diameter of electrospun polyacrylonitrile (PAN) nanofibers by design of experiments for electrochemical application. *Electroanalysis* 30 (10), 2330–2338. doi:10.1002/elan.201800368

Zeng, J., Haoqing, H., Schaper, A., Wendorff, J. H., and Greiner, A. (2003). Poly-Llactide nanofibers by electrospinning – influence of solution viscosity and electrical conductivity on fiber diameter and fiber morphology. *e-Polymers* 3 (1). doi:10.1515/ epoly.2003.3.1.102

Zhang, L., Zhou, Q., Liu, J., Chang, N., Wan, L., and Chen, J. (2012). Phosphate adsorption on lanthanum hydroxide-doped activated carbon fiber. *Chem. Eng. J.* 185–186, 160–167. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2012.01.066

Zheng, J.-Y., Zhuang, M.-F., Yu, Z.-J., Zheng, G.-F., Zhao, Y., Wang, H., et al. (2014). The effect of surfactants on the diameter and morphology of electrospun ultrafine nanofiber. *J. Nanomater.* 2014 (1), 689298. doi:10.1155/2014/689298

Zheng, Y., Wan, Y., Zhang, Y., Huang, J., Yang, Y., Tsang, D. C. W., et al. (2023). Recovery of phosphorus from wastewater: a review based on current phosphorous removal technologies. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53 (11), 1148–1172. doi:10.1080/ 10643389.2022.2128194