Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Abdul Sadiq, University of Malakand, Pakistan

REVIEWED BY

Khaled Mohamed Darwish, Suez Canal University, Egypt Syed H. Mehdi, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Mounir Haddou, Image: mounir.haddou.d23@ump.ac.ma Mohammed Bourhia, Image: m.bourhia@uiz.ac.ma

RECEIVED 07 February 2024 ACCEPTED 21 March 2024 PUBLISHED 08 April 2024

CITATION

Haddou M, Elbouzidi A, Taibi M, Baraich A, Loukili EH, Bellaouchi R, Saalaoui E, Asehraou A, Salamatullah AM, Bourhia M, Nafidi H-A, Addi M, Guerrouj BE and Chaabane K (2024), Exploring the multifaceted bioactivities of *Lavandula pinnata L*. essential oil: promising pharmacological activities. *Front. Chem.* 12:1383731. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2024.1383731

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Haddou, Elbouzidi, Taibi, Baraich, Loukili, Bellaouchi, Saalaoui, Asehraou, Salamatullah, Bourhia, Nafidi, Addi, Guerrouj and Chaabane. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exploring the multifaceted bioactivities of *Lavandula pinnata L.* essential oil: promising pharmacological activities

Mounir Haddou^{1,2}*, Amine Elbouzidi^{1,3}, Mohamed Taibi^{1,2}, Abdellah Baraich⁴, El Hassania Loukili³, Reda Bellaouchi⁴, Ennouaamane Saalaoui⁴, Abdeslam Asehraou⁴, Ahmad Mohammad Salamatullah⁵, Mohammed Bourhia⁶*, Hiba-Allah Nafidi⁷, Mohamed Addi¹, Bouchra El Guerrouj^{1,2} and Khalid Chaabane¹

¹Laboratoire d'Amélioration des Productions Agricoles, Biotechnologie et Environnement (LAPABE), Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed Premier, Oujda, Morocco, ²Centre de l'Oriental des Sciences et Technologies de l'Eau et de l'Environnement (COSTEE), Université Mohammed Premier, Oujda, Morocco, ³Euro-Mediterranean University of Fes (UEMF), Fes, Morocco, ⁴Laboratory of Bioresources, Biotechnology, Ethnopharmacology and Health, Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed First University, Oujda, Morocco, ⁵Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Food and Agricultural Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ⁶Laboratory of Biotechnology and Natural Resources Valorization, Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco, ⁷Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Laval University, Quebec City, QC, Canada

Introduction: This study investigates the biological activities of *Lavandula pinnata* essential oil (LPEO), an endemic lavender species from the Canary Islands, traditionally used in treating various ailments.

Methods: LPEO was extracted by hydrodistillation and analyzed using GC-MS. Antioxidant activity was assessed by DPPH radical scavenging and total antioxidant capacity assays. Antimicrobial activity was evaluated by disc diffusion, MIC, MBC, and MFC determination against bacterial (*Staphylococcus aureus, Micrococcus luteus, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and fungal (*Candida glabrata, Rhodotorula glutinis, Aspergillus niger, Penicillium digitatum*) strains. Antidiabetic and anti-gout potential were investigated through α -amylase, α -glucosidase, and xanthine oxidase inhibition assays. Antityrosinase activity was determined using a modified dopachrome method. Cytotoxicity was assessed by MTT assay against breast (MCF-7, MDA-MB-468), liver (HepG2), colon (HCT-15) cancer cells, and normal cells (PBMCs).

Results and discussion: LPEO exhibits potent antiradical activity (IC50 = 148.33 \pm 2.48 µg/mL) and significant antioxidant capacity (TAC = 171.56 \pm 2.34 µg AA/mg of EO). It demonstrates notable antibacterial activity against four strains (*Staphylococcus aureus, Micrococcus luteus, Escherichia coli,* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) with inhibition zones ranging from 18.70 \pm 0.30 mm to 29.20 \pm 0.30 mm, along with relatively low MIC and MBC values. LPEO displays significant antifungal activity against four strains (*Candida glabrata, Rhodotorula glutinis, Aspergillus niger,* and *Penicillium digitatum*) with a fungicidal effect at 1 mg/mL, surpassing the positive control (cycloheximide), and MIC and MFC values indicating a fungicidal effect. It exhibits substantial inhibition of xanthine oxidase enzyme (IC50 = 26.48 \pm 0.90 µg/mL), comparable

to allopurinol, and marked inhibitory effects on α -amylase (IC50 = 31.56 \pm 0.46 µg/mL) and α -glucosidase (IC50 = 58.47 \pm 2.35 µg/mL) enzymes.The enzyme tyrosinase is inhibited by LPEO (IC50 = 29.11 \pm 0.08 mg/mL). LPEO displays moderate cytotoxic activity against breast, liver, and colon cancer cells, with low toxicity towards normal cells (PBMC). LPEO exhibits greater selectivity than cisplatin for breast (MCF-7) and colon (HCT-15) cancer cells but lower selectivity for liver (HepG2) and metastatic breast (MDA-MB-468) cancer cells. These findings suggest the potential of LPEO as an antioxidant, antimicrobial, antigout, antidiabetic, and anticancer agent.

KEYWORDS

anti-cancer, anti-diabetic, anti-gout, antibacterial, antifungal, dermatoprotective, hydrodistillation, *Lavandula pinnata*

Introduction

Aromatic and medicinal plants (AMPs) have caught the attention of researchers due to their rich bioactive compound content, making them valuable for drug development and natural product exploration (Al-Mijalli et al., 2023). Essential oils (EOs) are particularly noteworthy among these compounds due to their chemical diversity and wide range of biological effects. EOs are volatile fractions extracted from aromatic plants during secondary metabolism and consist of various chemical classes, including esters of fatty acids, mono- and sesquiterpenes, phenylpropanoids, alcohols, aldehydes, and sometimes aliphatic hydrocarbons (de Oliveira et al., 2018). With these constituents, EOs possess numerous biological properties, such as antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer activities (Raut and Karuppayil, 2014). Throughout history, EOs have been utilized in therapeutic, cosmetic, culinary, and fragrance applications (Irshad et al., 2020). They can act as scavengers of free radicals or modulators of antioxidant enzyme expression (Joshi, 2014). Additionally, EOs can inhibit the growth of microorganisms, such as bacteria and fungi, by interfering with the cell membrane, protein synthesis, enzymatic activity, or energy metabolism (Knobloch et al., 1989). They can also modulate the inflammatory response by interfering with the signaling pathways involved in the production of pro-inflammatory mediators (Firmino et al., 2021). Moreover, certain constituents of EOs have significant anticancer activities, which may enhance conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy by activating cell death and affecting cancer cell membrane potential (Lesgards et al., 2014).

Lavandula pinnata L. f. (syn L. pinnata Lundmark.), commonly known as Fern Leaf Lavender, is a native species of the Canary Islands. This hardy plant can withstand drought and hot weather, and it belongs to the Lavandula genus (Argentieri et al., 2016). The entire plant is covered in short white hairs, giving it a silvery, felt-like appearance. It consistently blooms from late spring to summer, producing single or triple-headed flower spikes on stalks measuring 8–14 inches (20–35 cm) in length. Traditionally, it has been used to treat various conditions, including skin and respiratory infections (Argentieri et al., 2016).

The aim of this study is to comprehensively evaluate the biological efficacy of *L. pinnata* essential oil (LPEO) and its primary constituents using a combination of *in vitro* methods. The essential oil's chemical composition was determined by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The antioxidant activity of LPEO was assessed using the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay and

the total antioxidant capacity (TAC) method. The antibacterial activity of LPEO was tested against Gram-positive bacteria strains (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Micrococcus luteus*) and Gram-negative bacteria strains (*Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*). The antifungal activity of LPEO was evaluated against two yeast species (*Candida glabrata* and *Rhodotorula glutinis*) and two mold species (*Aspergillus niger* and *Penicillium digitatum*). The cytotoxicity of LPEO was measured using the MTT assay on four human cancer cell lines (MCF-7, MDA-MB-468, HepG2, and HCT-15). These experiments were designed and conducted to gain a holistic and nuanced understanding of the multifaceted biological activity of LPEO. The primary objective of this study is to explore the potential applications of LPEO as a natural source of bioactive compounds for various purposes.

Materials and methods

Plant material

The plants used in this study were harvested in Ain Sfa (N 34° 46'42.36", W 2° 9'20.043"), a rural commune located in the Oujda-Angad prefecture, in the Oriental region of Morocco, in October 2023. Throughout this period, the average monthly temperature was recorded at approximately 17.9°C, with minimum temperatures of 12°C and maximum temperatures reaching 25°C. After cultivation, these botanical specimens were transported to the Faculty of Sciences at the University Mohammed Premier Oujda (Morocco) for precise taxonomic identification. A voucher specimen number CLP-1 was deposited in the same faculty. The leaves and flowers of the plants were deliberately dried in shaded conditions to prepare them for the subsequent extraction of the essential oil.

Essential oil extraction

LPEO was extracted by hydrodistillation, according to the method described by Guerrouj et al. (Guerrouj et al., 2023). A modified Clevenger device was used, consisting of a 2 L flask, a water-cooled condenser, and a graduated separator. 100 g of dried *L. pinnata* aerial parts, previously grounded with a mortar, were weighed. Then, 1,000 mL of distilled water was added to the flask, followed by the introduction of the plant material. The device was heated on a magnetic stirrer at a temperature of 100°C for 3 h. The steam entrained the

essential oil, which condensed in the condenser and separated from the water in the separator. EO was collected in a flask. The extraction yield was calculated as a percentage relative to the initial mass of the plant.

Qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of LPEO

A gas chromatograph coupled with a mass spectrometer was used to identify and separate the compounds of the LPEO. The specific system used was a Shimadzu GC with a QP2010 MS. The capillary column utilized was a BPX25, which was coated with 95% dimethyl diphenylpolysiloxane. This column had a length of 30 m, an internal diameter of 0.25 mm, and a film thickness of 0.25 µm. Pure helium with a purity of 99.99% was used as the carrier gas, and it maintained a constant flow rate of 3 mL per minute. The experimental conditions were as follows: the injection temperature, ion source temperature, and interface temperature were all set at 250°C. The column oven remained at a temperature of 50°C for 1 min at the beginning. The sample components were ionized by electron impact (EI) at 70 eV. The mass of the ions was analyzed within the range of 40-300 m/z. The essential oil samples were introduced into the chamber at a volume of 1 L and diluted with an appropriate solvent. Then, 1 µL of the prepared essential oil was injected into the system using the split mode, with a split ratio of 90:1. Three evaluations were conducted for each sample to ensure the accuracy and reproducibility of the results. The identification of the compounds in the EO was accomplished by comparing their retention times and mass spectra with standards and references available in the NIST database. Finally, the Laboratory Solutions software (v2.5) was employed to collect and analyze the data.

Tests of the antioxidant activity

In order to evaluate the antioxidant activity of LPEO, two distinct methods were used, namely, the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging test and the Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC). The evaluation of the antioxidant activity by the DPPH radical scavenging test followed the protocols established by Elbouzidi et al. (2023), and Taibi et al. (2023). The DPPH solution was prepared by dissolving 2 mg of DPPH in 100 mL of methanol. Various concentrations of LPEO, ranging from 5 to 500 µg/mL, were prepared and added to the methanol solution containing DPPH. After incubation at room temperature for 30 min, the absorbance was quantified at a wavelength of 515 nm with respect to a control sample. The percentage of DPPH radical scavenging activity (RSA) was determined according to the established formula and the determination of the IC50 value was achieved through the construction of a graph correlating the percentage inhibition with the concentrations of the extract. As a reference, ascorbic acid was employed as the positive control in this experiment (Roubi et al., 2023).

RSA (%) =
$$\left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{blank}} - \mathbf{A}_{\text{sample}}}{\mathbf{A}_{\text{blank}}}\right)\right] \times 100$$

Where A_{blank} represents the absorbance of the control reaction (all reagents present except for the extract) and A_{sample} represents the absorbance of the extract at different concentrations.

The TAC of LPEO was assessed by using the phosphomolybdate method following the protocols by El Guerrouj et al., (Guerrouj et al.,

2023). A sample or extract mixture with the reactive solution was heated at 95°C for 90 min. The mixture was then cooled down to room temperature and the absorbance was measured at 695 nm. The total antioxidant capacity was determined using a standard curve with different concentrations of ascorbic acid standards. The results were expressed in terms of ascorbic acid equivalents (AA). To ensure reliability, all experiments were performed in triplicate.

Antimicrobial activity tests of LPEO

Disc diffusion method

Antibacterial and antifungal activity of LPEO was evaluated using the disc diffusion method as described by Alderman and Smith (2001). Four bacterial strains, including two Gram-positive (S. aureus (ATCC 19117[™]) and *M. luteus* (LB 14110)) and two Gram-negative (E. coli (ATCC 10536TM) and *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 15442TM)), as well as four fungal strains, including two yeasts (C. glabrata, and R. glutinis) and two molds (A. niger, and P. digitatum), were used as test microorganisms. The bacteria were grown on a solid Mueller-Hinton agar medium, while the fungi were grown on a PDA (potato dextrose agar) medium. Sterile paper discs, impregnated with 15 µL of the LPEO, were placed on the surface of the media seeded with the microorganisms. Gentamicin (1 mg/mL) and cycloheximide (1 mg/mL) were used as positive controls respectively for bacteria and fungi. The Petri dishes were incubated at 37°C for 24 h for bacteria and at 25°C for 48 h for fungi. The zones of inhibition of microbial growth were measured around the disks and expressed in millimetres.

Determination of the MIC

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the LPEO was determined by the 96-well culture plate method as described by Remmal et al. (1993). A Mueller-Hinton culture medium containing 0.15% agar and a PDA culture medium containing 0.15% agar were used to perform dilution series with concentrations ranging from 16% to 0.0015% of essential oil. The microplates were seeded with the bacterial and fungal strains to be tested and incubated at specific temperatures (37°C for 24 h for bacteria, 25°C for 48 h for fungi). Microbial growth was assessed by the addition of resazurin reagent, which changes color depending on the presence or absence of cellular metabolism (Lekbach et al., 2018). Positive controls, (gentamicin for bacteria and cycloheximide for fungi), were included to compare the effectiveness of the tested EO. Each experiment was performed in triplicate to ensure the reliability of the results.

Determination of MBC and MFC

To determine both the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and the minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC), the protocol described by Thosard et *al.* (Thosar et al., 2013) was followed. Samples of 3 μ L were taken from the wells where resazurin was not reduced by metabolically active cells and remained blue. These samples were then transferred to Petri dishes containing culture media (MHA for bacteria and a YEG culture medium for fungi). The dishes were then incubated at specific temperatures: 37°C for 24 h for bacteria, 25°C for 48 h for yeasts, and 72 h for molds for the MFC. After the incubation periods, minimum concentrations were established as those of LPEO causing no observable microbial growth.

Antidiabetic and anti-gout activity/ α amylase inhibition, α -glucosidase inhibition, and xanthine oxidase assays

In this exploration of the potential antidiabetic properties inherent in LPEO, this study embarks on a comprehensive assessment integrating three pivotal assays. The α -amylase, and α -glucosidase inhibition assays serve as foundational components, meticulously delving into LPEO's inhibitory effects on key enzymes central to glucose metabolism (Yin et al., 2014; Agarwal and Gupta, 2016; Minh et al., 2019), For the α -amylase assay, the EO undergo preincubation with α -amylase solution at 1 U/mL for 10 min at 37°C. The reaction initiates with the addition of 30 μ L of soluble starch (0.5% in deionized water), followed by a 6-min incubation at 37°C. The reaction is then terminated with 20 μ L of hydrochloric acid (1 M) and 120 μ L of a 0.25 mM iodine solution. Absorbance at 565 nm is measured using a MultiskanTM Microplate Spectrophotometer. The inhibitory activity of LPEO on α -amylase was quantified as the inhibition percentage, calculated using the following formula:

Inhibition (%) =
$$\frac{(A - Abs N)}{(B - Abs N)} \times 100$$

With, A represents the absorbance of the EO, B is the absorbance of the reaction without the enzyme, and Abs N, is the absorbance of the negative control. A commercially available diabetes inhibitor, acarbose, served as a positive reference in this study.

Simultaneously, the α -glucosidase assay utilizes a methanolic stock solution mixed with 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer and α -glucosidase enzyme solution (0.5 U/mL) for a 6-min incubation at 25°C. The reaction includes a subsequent addition of 20 μ L of 5 mM *p*-nitrophenyl- α -D-glucopyranoside substrate, followed by an 8-min incubation. The reaction was concluded by adding 100 μ L of 0.2 M Na₂CO₃, and absorbance was recorded at 405 nm (Yin et al., 2014). The inhibition percentage was calculated using the formula:

Inhibition (%) =
$$\left(1 - \frac{As}{Ac}\right) \times 100$$

As: the absorbance of the isolated compound, Ac: the absorbance of acarbose (positive controls). This methodology provides a quantitative measure of the inhibitory effect of LPEO on α -glucosidase, shedding light on its potential role in modulating glucose metabolism.

Conducted under aerobic conditions, the XO assay, commonly employed for its relevance in anti-gout testing, critically explores LPEO's inhibitory potential on xanthine oxidase (Nguyen et al., 2004). The reaction begins with the addition of 60 mL of substrate solution (150 mM xanthine in the same buffer) and incubation at 25 °C for 30 min (Nguyen et al., 2004). The reaction is halted with 25 mL of 1 N HCl, and absorbance at 290 nm is measured using a Perkin-Elmer HTS-7000 Bio-Assay Reader.

Dermatoprotective activity: tyrosinase inhibition assay

To assess the dermatoprotective potential of LPEO, we employed a modified version of the method described by Bouyahya et al. (2019); Bouyahya et al. (2021) to evaluate tyrosinase inhibitory activity. In a nutshell, $25 \,\mu\text{L}$ of the LPEO sample was combined with 100 μ L of tyrosinase solution (333 U/mL, 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5) and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Following this, 300 μ L of L-DOPA (5 mM) was added, and the mixture underwent a 30-min incubation at 37°C. Absorbance readings were then taken at 510 nm using a spectrophotometer. Tyrosinase inhibition levels were computed at LPEO concentrations of 40, 60, 120, and 160 μ g/mL, and the IC₅₀ values were determined. Quercetin was employed as the positive control in this experimental (El Hachlafi et al., 2024).

Anti-cancer activity

Cell viability by MTT assay

According to the procedure outlined in (Chaudhary et al., 2015; Elbouzidi et al., 2022), the MTT test was used to assess if LPEO suppressed cancer cell growth. Exponentially multiplying MCF-7, MDA-MB-468, HepG2, and HCT-15 cells were seeded into 96-well plates (10⁴ cells/well in 100 µL of medium) and allowed to adhere for 24 h. LPEO were serially diluted with medium after being solubilized in 0.1% DMSO to reach acceptable concentrations. LPEO were applied to cells at a variety of dosages, and they were then incubated for 72 h. Cells in the control group only received media containing 0.1% DMSO. 200 µL of culture medium was used in place of the test compound media, and 20 μL of MTT reagent (5 mg/mL MTT in PBS) was added before incubation at 37°C for 4 h. The medium was taken out and 100 L of DMSO was added before a microplate reader (Synergy HT Multi-Detection microplate reader, Bio-Tek, Winooski, VT, USA) measured absorbance at 540 nm and calculated % viability (Mosmann, 1983).

Cell viability (%) = 100 -
$$\left[\left(\frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0} \right) \times 100 \right]$$

Where At is the absorbance of cells treated with LPEO at various doses, and Ao is the absorbance of cells treated with 0.1% DMSO media. The negative control was DMSO in medium at a final concentration of 0.1% (ν/ν). Triplicates of each treatment were carried out. The standard was doxorubicin. Using dose-response inhibition curves in Graph Pad Prism 8.01, IC₅₀ values were determined. PBMCs were extracted from human blood samples by Ficollhypaque density centrifugation in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (Capricorn Scientific). The identical settings and concentrations that were previously described for tumor cells were used to investigate the cytotoxic impact.

Results and discussion

Yield and phytochemical composition of the LPEO

The hydrodistillation of the aerial part of *L. pinnata* yielded a return of $0.45\% \pm 0.03\%$ (*w/w*). This yield can vary depending on climatic conditions, the developmental stage of the plant, and the plant material used (Mehalaine and Chenchouni, 2021; DO TRICOMA and DOS REBENTOS, 2022). The analysis by gas



chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) of the LPEO reveals a diversified chemical composition, comprising 27 compounds (Figure 1; Table 1), with the major compounds being: carvacrol (24.91%), D-limonene (11.28%), thymol (9.33%), camphene (7.88%). These compounds belong to the classes of monoterpenes and phenols, which are known for their antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and analgesic properties (Nagoor Meeran et al., 2017; de Alvarenga et al., 2023). The observed chemical profile aligns with the research of Argentieri et al. (2016) (Argentieri et al., 2016), who similarly identified carvacrol as the principal compound in LPEO. However, this composition diverges from the findings of Cristina Figueiredo et al. (Cristina Figueiredo et al., 1995), who analyzed essential oils of L. pinnata from Portugal, reporting p-phellandrene as the predominant component. Such disparities in chemical composition can be elucidated by the genotypic and phenotypic diversity inherent in the plant species, in conjunction with the influence of environmental factors and cultivation conditions (Tak et al., 2004; Vaičiulytė et al., 2017).

Antioxidant activity of LPEO

The antioxidant activity of LPEO was assessed through two distinct methodologies, namely, the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay and the determination of total antioxidant capacity (TAC). The resultant findings are meticulously tabulated in Table 2. The concentration of LPEO required to inhibit 50% of the DPPH radical is $148.33 \pm 2.48 \ \mu g/mL$, which shows that the EO has a good antiradical activity. The TAC of LPEO is $171.56 \pm 2.34 \ \mu g$ of ascorbic acid per mg of essential oil, which indicates that the EO has a notable antioxidant capacity. Although the specific data on *L. pinnata* are limited in the literature, interesting conclusions can be drawn from research on other species of the genus *Lavandula*. For example, a study conducted by Messaoud et *al.* (Messaoud et al., 2012) on three species, *Lavandula coronopifolia, Lavandula*

multifida, and *L. stoechas*, demonstrated that these plants have a significant antioxidant activity, in agreement with the results of the study conducted on *Lavandula dentata* by El Abdali et *al.* (El Abdali et al., 2022). The chemical composition of LPEO could be responsible for its observed antioxidant activity. These results open the way for further research on the potential use of the plant in question in the field of natural antioxidants.

Antimicrobial activity

The LPEO manifests noteworthy antimicrobial properties against a spectrum of bacterial and fungal strains (Tables 3, 4). In the context of its antibacterial activity, discernible inhibition zones were observed, surpassing the values of the positive control (Gentamicin) at 1 mg/mL. The results of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) assays reveal conspicuously low concentrations, indicative of a substantively effective hindrance of bacterial growth. Additionally, Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) determinations affirm a bactericidal impact at relatively modest concentrations. These findings underscore a significant antibacterial efficacy, particularly evidenced by inhibition zones measuring 29.20 ± 0.30 mm for *S. aureus*, 26.50 ± 0.50 mm for *M. luteus*, 24.30 \pm 0.40 mm for *E. coli*, and 18.70 \pm 0.30 mm for *P*. aeruginosa (Supplementary Figures S1, S2). Correspondingly, MIC values for these strains are notably low: 0.0625% (v/v) for S. aureus, 0.125% (v/v) for M. luteus, 0.5% (v/v) for E. coli, and 1% (v/v) for P. aeruginosa. MBC values corroborate a bactericidal effect at relatively restrained concentrations: 0.25% (ν/ν) for S. aureus, 1% (ν/ν) for M. luteus, 2% (v/v) for E. coli, and 4% (v/v) for P. aeruginosa. Concomitantly, the EO demonstrates a remarkable antifungal activity, characterized by expansive inhibition zones surpassing the effects of the positive control (Cycloheximide) at 1 mg/mL. MIC values underscore a substantial capacity to impede fungal growth: 1% (v/v) for P. digitatum, 1% (v/v) for A. niger, 0.5% (v/v) for C. glabrata, and 2% (v/v) for R. glutinis. MBC values

No.	Compounds	RT (min)	% Area
1	3-thujene	4.997	1.26
2	α-pinene	5.183	4.10
3	Camphene	5.445	7.88
4	β-pinene	5.913	1.12
5	β-myrcene	6.085	1.15
6	3-carene	6.361	4.78
7	β-cymene	6.710	2.74
8	D-limonene	6.769	11.28
9	Eucalyptol	6.819	4.54
10	2,2,6-trimethyl-cyclohexanone	6.884	1.40
11	γ-terpinene	7.267	1.29
12	Fenchone	7.802	1.60
13	3,7-dimethyl-1,6-octadien-3-ol	7.965	0.96
14	Camphor	8.767	2.65
15	4-terpinenol	9.305	0.68
16	p-menth-1-en-8-ol	9.536	0.73
17	<i>p</i> -methoxy-β-methylstyrene	9.592	3.15
18	Pulegone	10.252	0.58
19	3,7-dimethyl-acetate 1,6-octadien-3-ol	10.339	1.03
20	Bornyl acetate	10.907	5.06
21	Thymol	11.141	9.33
22	Carvacrol	11.298	24.91
23	Caryophyllene	12.949	0.66
24	1-methyl-4-(5-methyl-1-methylene-4-hexenyl)-, cyclohexene	14.025	2.67
25	3,7,11-trimethyl-1,6,10-dodecatrien-3-ol	14.721	1.91
26	Spathulenol	15.149	1.82
27	Selina-6-en-4-ol	15.647	0.72

TABLE 1 Chemical composition of the studied Lavandula pinnata essential oil.

TABLE 2 Assessement of the antioxidant activity of LPEO.

EO/Reference	Antioxidant activity			
	TAC (µg AA/mgª LPEO)	DPPH (µg/mL)		
LPEO	171.56 ± 2.34	148.33 ± 2.48		
Ascorbic acid	-	125.23 ± 3.56		

^aMicrograms of ascorbic acid equivalent per milligram of sample.

corroborate a fungicidal effect at relatively moderate concentrations: 4% (ν/ν) for *P. digitatum*, 0.25% (ν/ν) for *A. niger*, 2% (ν/ν) for *C. glabrata*, and 4% (ν/ν) for *R. glutinis*.

The results of our study demonstrate that the LPEO exhibits notable antibacterial and antifungal activities. Analysis of its

composition revealed the significant presence of compounds such as carvacrol and thymol, well-known for their antimicrobial properties (Marchese et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018; Najafloo et al., 2020). However, it is crucial to emphasize that the effectiveness of the essential oil cannot be solely attributed to a single compound. This observation suggests that the synergy among various compounds, including those present in minor quantities, may play a pivotal role in the expression of this antimicrobial activity. A comparative analysis was conducted with other Lavandula species, corroborating the presented results. Tofah et al. affirmed the notable antimicrobial activity of L. multifida L. (Tofah et al., 2022). Furthermore, Blažeković et al. highlighted the remarkable antimicrobial properties of the essential oil from Lavandula × intermedia "Budrovka" (Blažeković et al., 2018). Collectively, these findings substantiate the remarkable antimicrobial activity of the LPEO, positioning it as a promising

TABLE 3 Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of LPEO.

Bacterial strain	S. aureus	M. luteus	E. coli	P. aeruginosa
15 μL^a of Essential oil, IZ^b	29.20 ± 0.30	26.50 ± 0.50	24.30 ± 0.40	18.70 ± 0.30
15 μL ^a Gentamicine, IZ ^b (1 mg/mL)	19.5	21.5	22.5	20.5
MIC (% <i>v</i> / <i>v</i>)	0.0625	0.125	0.5	1
MBC (% v/v)	0.25	1	2	4

All values in this table represent mean \pm SD (n = 3).

^aUsed volume for disc diffusion method.

^bDiameter of inhibition zone (mm).

TABLE 4 Evaluation of the antifungal activity of LPEO.

Fungal strain	P. digitatum	A. niger	C. glabrata	R. glutinis
15 μL^a of Essential oil, IZ^b	23.00 ± 0.20	23.20 ± 0.4	25.30 ± 0.30	21.60 ± 0.50
15 μL ^a Cycloheximide, IZ ^b (1 mg/mL)	19.5	21.5	22.5	20.5
MIC (% <i>v</i> / <i>v</i>)	1	1	0.5	2
MBC (% v/v)	4	0.25	2	4

All values in this table represent mean \pm SD (n = 3).

^aused volume for disc diffusion method.

^bdiameter of inhibition zone (mm).

TABLE 5 α -amylase, Xanthine Oxidase and α -glucosidase inhibitory activities of LPEO in terms of IC₅₀ values.

Essential oil/Positive control	IC ₅₀ (μg/mL), ±SD				
	XO inhibition	bition AAI activity AGI a			
LPEO	26.48 ± 0.90	31.56 ± 0.46	58.47 ± 2.35		
Allopurinol ^a	23.34 ± 0.09	-	-		
Acarbose ^a	-	35.48 ± 0.69	65.41 ± 2.10		

^aPositive controls. Values are means \pm SD (n = 3).

TABLE 6	In vitro	dermatoprotective	activity	using	Tyrosinase	inhibition
assay.						

Assay	LPEO Control (quercet		
	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)ª		
Tyrosinase	29.11 ± 0.08	22.15 ± 0.12	

^aValues are mean \pm SD (n = 3).

and sustainable source for natural alternatives against a spectrum of microbial strains.

Anti-gout, antidiabetic activity

The present study evaluates the anti-gout and the antidiabetic activity of LPEO, on three enzymes, namely, xanthine oxidase, α -amylase and α -glucosidase. The IC50 of LPEO for xanthine oxidase

inhibition was determined to be $26.48 \pm 0.90 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$. By way of contrast, allopurinol, a prototypical inhibitor of xanthine oxidase (XO), has served as a fundamental element in the clinical treatment of gout and conditions linked to elevated uric acid levels for numerous decades, and it was used as a positive control in the present study. Allopurinol showed a slightly higher inhibition with an IC50 of $23.34 \pm 0.09 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$. These results indicate significant activity of the EO in inhibiting xanthine oxidase, an enzyme catalyzing the degradation of hypoxanthine to uric acid under conditions of high ATP demand and oxygen deficiency (Schmidt et al., 2020). Detailed results of these assays are presented in Table 5. Previous studies have suggested that xanthine oxidase inhibition may have a beneficial impact on endothelial function, often compromised in individuals with diabetes (Desco et al., 2002). Regarding α -amylase inhibition (AAI), the IC50 of the EO was determined to be 31.56 \pm 0.46 µg/mL, while the positive control acarbose demonstrated similar activity with an IC50 of 35.48 \pm 0.69 µg/mL. These results suggest a notable capacity of the EO to inhibit a-amylase, an enzyme involved in carbohydrate digestion (Rodríguez-Viera et al., 2016). a-amylase, responsible for breaking

Cell lines	IC ₅₀ values (µg/mL)		Selectivity Index (SI)	
	LPEO	Cisplatin	LPEO	Cisplatin
MCF-7	24.62 ± 0.98	2.13 ± 0.07	34.00 ± 1.43	21.53 ± 1.10
MDA-MB-468	34.61 ± 2.32	2.87 ± 0.29	24.21 ± 0.65	15.62 ± 1.46
HepG2	64.92 ± 4.29	1.22 ± 0.09	12.89 ± 0.17	36.72 ± 2.44
HCT-15	18.87 ± 1.27	2.79 ± 0.13	44.32 ± 0.04	16.08 ± 1.50
РВМС	837.20 ± 9.51	44.88 ± 2.51	-	-

TABLE 7 IC₅₀ values, and Selectivity indices of LPEO on cancer cell lines (MCF-7, MDA-MB-468, HepG2, and HCT-15).

Data are obtained from three independent experiments and expressed as means ± SD. * Selectivity index = (IC₅₀ of LPEO, on PBMC, cells/IC₅₀ of LPEO, on tumor cells).

down starch into simple sugars, has been correlated with glucose metabolism, and previous studies suggest that a low a-amylase level increases the risk of metabolic dysfunction, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes (Nakajima et al., 2011). Concerning α-glucosidase inhibition (AGI), the IC₅₀ of the EO increased to 58.47 \pm 2.35 µg/mL, while the positive control acarbose revealed a more pronounced inhibition with an IC50 of $65.41 \pm 2.10 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$. These results indicate significant activity of the EO in inhibiting a-glucosidase, suggesting potential benefits in regulating postprandial glucose release. α-Glucosidase inhibitors, a category of oral antidiabetic drugs, act by impeding carbohydrate digestion, thereby reducing blood glucose levels and potentially preventing or delaying the onset of type 2 diabetes and its complications in individuals at risk of developing diabetes (Bhatia et al., 2019). LPEO has demonstrated promising antidiabetic properties, hypothetically attributed to the abundance of terpene molecules such as carvacrol, whose antidiabetic activity has been demonstrated in several studies (Imran et al., 2022; Aljelehawy et al., 2023a), as well as thymol, a bioactive molecule with notable antidiabetic activities (Aljelehawy et al., 2023b). Camphene has also exhibited notable antidiabetic activity (Hachlafi et al., 2023). The combined presence of these three molecules could thus be the main cause of this antidiabetic activity. Regarding the distinctiveness of L. pinnata indigenous to the Oriental region (Morocco), and the lack of information on this plant in the existing literature, the intricate nature of its chemical composition presents difficulties in directly comparing it with counterparts from other regions. To strengthen the validity of our results, a comparative analysis was undertaken with Lavandula stoechas, supported by an in vitro study done by El Hachlafi et al. (2023), thus confirming our observations of antidiabetic activity. These results pave the way for the potential use of LPEO as a natural alternative for antidiabetic applications, thereby expanding the range of possibilities in the field of health.

Tyrosinase inhibition assay

The promising findings about LPEO's anti-tyrosinase activity carry paramount implications for cutaneous protection. Tyrosinase, an indispensable catalyst in melanin biosynthesis, affects the transformation of tyrosine into the pigment governing the coloring of skin, hair and eyes (Bouyahya et al., 2021). The meticulous regulation of this enzyme assumes a pivotal role in governing pigmentation and mitigating the harmful consequences of UV radiation, encompassing cellular detriment, premature senescence, and the heightened predisposition to cutaneous malignancies (Bouyahya et al., 2019). These revelations proffer auspicious trajectories for the innovation of avant-garde dermatological formulations. The outcomes from assessing LPEO, specifically its antityrosinase activity, yield encouraging prospects. The determined IC50 for LPEO stands at 29.11 \pm 0.08 mg/mL, whereas the comparative control, Quercetin, manifests an IC50 of 22.15 \pm 0.12 mg/mL. A lower IC50 value connotes heightened efficacy in enzyme inhibition (Table 6). Therefore, despite the noticeable anti-tyrosinase activity observed in LPEO, it seems to demonstrate a level of effectiveness lower than that of quercetin, the specified control compound. This notable anti-tyrosinase activity is likely attributed to the significant presence of carvacrol in LPEO, a hypothesis supported by El Khoury et al.'s (El Khoury et al., 2019), confirmation of carvacrol's considerable anti-tyrosinase activity.

Given the exclusive nature of our investigation in appraising LPEO's anti-tyrosinase activity, a compelling exigency arises to juxtapose it against analogous specimens within the same taxonomic classification. By way of illustration, the EO derived from Lavandula officinalis, lauded for its dermatoprotective efficacy by Cheraif et al. (2020), serves as a prospective benchmark. These findings portend the plausible efficacy of LPEO as a dermatoprotective agent, thereby instigating further inquiries aimed at ratifying this conjecture and elucidating the intricate mechanistic underpinnings of these dermatologically relevant attributes.

Anticancer activity: cell viability by MTT assay

The essential oil of L. pinnata was investigated for its cytotoxic activity against four cancer cell lines (MCF-7, MDA-MB-468, HepG2, and HCT-15) and a normal cell line (PBMC) using the MTT assay. Cisplatin, a widely utilized chemotherapy agent (Fennell et al., 2016), acts by forming covalent bonds with cellular DNA, inducing lesions and thereby inhibiting cell division (Chen et al., 2014). This property renders it a crucial antineoplastic agent in the treatment of various cancer types. As a reference drug, cisplatin was employed to compare and assess the cytotoxic efficacy of LPEO. IC50 values and the Selectivity Index (SI) were calculated and are presented in Table 7 and Figure 2. The results demonstrated that LPEO exhibits moderate cytotoxic activity against cancer cells, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 18.87 to 64.92 μ g/mL, in comparison to cisplatin, which has IC₅₀ values ranging from 1.22 to 2.87 µg/mL. LPEO displayed low toxicity towards normal cells, with an IC₅₀ value of 837.20 μ g/mL, significantly higher than that of cisplatin (44.88 µg/mL). SI values indicate the degree of selectivity of LPEO and cisplatin for cancer cells compared



to normal cells. A higher SI suggests greater selectivity. The results revealed that LPEO has a higher SI than cisplatin for MCF-7 and HCT-15 cell lines, indicating that LPEO is more selective for these types of cancer than cisplatin. Conversely, LPEO has a lower SI than cisplatin for MDA-MB-468 and HepG2 cell lines, suggesting that LPEO is less selective for these types of cancer than cisplatin. These findings align with prior studies reporting the cytotoxic activity of specific compounds within LPEO, such as carvacrol and thymol (Aydm et al., 2017; Sharifi Rad et al., 2018; Ahmad et al., 2021;

Bansal et al., 2022). Additionally, due to the lack of specific studies on LPEO, a comparison was made with another study conducted by Fahmy et al. on another lavender species, demonstrating significant cytotoxic effects of *Lavandula officinalis* essential oil on HepG2 and A549 cell lines, with an IC50 values of 67.8 and 12 μ g/mL, respectively (Fahmy et al., 2022). These results indicate that LPEO exhibits moderate cytotoxic activity against breast (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-468), liver (HepG2), and colon (HCT-15) cancer cells, with low toxicity towards normal cells (PBMC). LPEO proves to be more

selective than cisplatin for breast (MCF-7) and colon (HCT-15) cancer cells but less selective than cisplatin for breast (MDA-MB-468) and liver (HepG2) cancer cells.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the comprehensive assessment of L. pinnata essential oil (LPEO) has revealed its diverse and promising biological activities. Chemical analysis using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) has identified a complex composition, rich in bioactive compounds, which contribute to LPEO's multifaceted therapeutic potential. LPEO has demonstrated remarkable antioxidant capabilities, as evidenced by its high antiradical activity and significant total antioxidant capacity. Its broad-spectrum antibacterial and antifungal activities, as well as the inhibition of key enzymes such as xanthine oxidase, α-amylase, α-glucosidase, and tyrosinase, highlight its potential in managing oxidative stress, postprandial glucose levels, and skin-related concerns. Furthermore, LPEO has shown moderate cytotoxic activity against certain cancer cell lines, with selectivity towards cancer cells over normal peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), particularly notable against the non-metastatic breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) and colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (HCT-15).

Future research directions include expanding the scope to investigate other plant species with similar or complementary bioactive profiles to LPEO. This expansion could potentially unveil new therapeutic agents and broaden the applicability of the findings. The limitations of the current study, primarily the in vitro nature of the assessments, may not fully predict the in vivo efficacy and safety profile of LPEO. Additionally, the complexity of composition necessitates further isolation LPEO's and characterization of individual compounds to understand their specific contributions to the observed biological activities. Future studies will focus on in vivo evaluations to confirm the therapeutic potential of LPEO in a physiological context. Investigations into the synergistic effects of LPEO's constituents and exploration of formulation strategies to enhance its bioavailability and efficacy are also planned. Through these approaches, the intricate mechanisms of action will be elucidated, paving the way for clinical applications of LPEO and other natural therapeutic agents.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

References

Agarwal, P., and Gupta, R. (2016). Alpha-amylase inhibition can treat diabetes mellitus. Res. Rev. J. Med. Heal. Sci. 5, 1-8.

Ahmad, A., Saeed, M., and Ansari, I. A. (2021). Molecular insights on chemopreventive and anticancer potential of carvacrol: implications from solid carcinomas. *J. Food Biochem.* 45, e14010. doi:10.1111/jfbc.14010

Alderman, D. J., and Smith, P. (2001). Development of draft protocols of standard reference methods for antimicrobial agent susceptibility testing of bacteria associated with fish diseases. *Aquaculture* 196, 211–243. doi:10.1016/s0044-8486(01)00535-x

Aljelehawy, Q., Maroufi, Y., Javid, H., Mohammadi, M. R., Raji Mal Allah, O., Taheri, S. V., et al. (2023a). Anticancer, antineurodegenerative, antimicrobial, and antidiabetic

Author contributions

Conceptualization, Writing-original MH: draft. AE: Methodology, Writing-original draft. MT: Data curation, Writing-original draft. AB: Project administration, Writing-original draft. EL: Formal Analysis, Writing-original draft. RB: Resources, Writing-original draft. ES: Visualization, Writing-original draft. AA: Software, Writing-original draft. AS: Supervision, Writing-original draft. MB: Writing-original draft, Writing-review editing. H-AN: and Investigation, Writing-original draft. MA: Data curation, Writing-original draft. BG: Software, Writing-original draft. KC: Validation, Writing-original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work is financially supported by Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2024R437), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2024.1383731/ full#supplementary-material

activities of carvacrol: recent advances and limitations for effective formulations. *Nano Micro Biosyst.* 2, 1–10. doi:10.22034/nmbj.2023.380207.1009

Aljelehawy, Q. H. A., Mohammadi, S., Mohamadian, E., Raji Mal Allah, O., Mirzaei, A., and Ghahremanlou, M. (2023b). Antimicrobial, anticancer, antidiabetic, antineurodegenerative, and antirheumatic activities of thymol: clarification of mechanisms. *Micro Nano Bio Asp.* 2, 1–7. doi:10.22034/mnba.2023.381107.1019

Al-Mijalli, S. H., Mrabti, H. N., El Hachlafi, N., El Kamili, T., Elbouzidi, A., Abdallah, E. M., et al. (2023). Integrated analysis of antimicrobial, antioxidant, and phytochemical properties of cinnamomum verum: a comprehensive *in vitro* and *in silico* study. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 110, 104700. doi:10.1016/j.bse.2023.104700

Argentieri, M. P., De Lucia, B., Cristiano, G., and Avato, P. (2016). Compositional analysis of Lavandula pinnata essential oils. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 11, 1934578X1601100. doi:10.1177/1934578x1601100305

Aydın, E., Turkez, H., Tasdemir, S., and Hacımuftuoglu, F. A. (2017). Anticancer, antioxidant and cytotoxic potential of thymol *in vitro* brain tumor cell model. *Cent. Nerv. Syst. Agents Med. Chem. Former. Curr. Med. Chem. Nerv. Syst. Agents* 17, 116–122. doi:10.2174/18715249166666160823121854

Bansal, A., Saleh-E-In, M. M., Kar, P., Roy, A., and Sharma, N. R. (2022). Synthesis of carvacrol derivatives as potential new anticancer agent against lung cancer. *Molecules* 27, 4597. doi:10.3390/molecules27144597

Bhatia, A., Singh, B., Arora, R., and Arora, S. (2019). In vitro evaluation of the α -glucosidase inhibitory potential of methanolic extracts of traditionally used antidiabetic plants. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 19, 74–79. doi:10.1186/s12906-019-2482-z

Blažeković, B., Yang, W., Wang, Y., Li, C., Kindl, M., Pepeljnjak, S., et al. (2018). Chemical composition, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of essential oils of Lavandula × intermedia 'Budrovka' and L. angustifolia cultivated in Croatia. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 123, 173–182. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.06.041

Bouyahya, A., Belmehdi, O., El Jemli, M., Marmouzi, I., Bourais, I., Abrini, J., et al. (2019). Chemical variability of Centaurium erythraea essential oils at three developmental stages and investigation of their *in vitro* antioxidant, antidiabetic, dermatoprotective and antibacterial activities. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 132, 111–117. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.01.042

Bouyahya, A., El Omari, N., Belmehdi, O., Lagrouh, F., El Jemli, M., Marmouzi, I., et al. (2021). Pharmacological investigation of ajuga iva essential oils collected at three phenological stages. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 36, 75–83. doi:10.1002/ffj.3618

Chaudhary, S., Chandrashekar, K. S., Pai, K. S. R., Setty, M. M., Devkar, R. A., Reddy, N. D., et al. (2015). Evaluation of antioxidant and anticancer activity of extract and fractions of nardostachys jatamansi DC in breast carcinoma. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 15, 50–13. doi:10.1186/s12906-015-0563-1

Chen, H.-Y., Chen, H.-F., Kao, C.-L., Yang, P.-Y., and Hsu, S. C. N. (2014). Interaction of electrons with cisplatin and the subsequent effect on DNA damage: a density functional theory study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 16, 19290–19297. doi:10.1039/ c4cp02306d

Cheraif, K., Bakchiche, B., Gherib, A., Bardaweel, S. K., Çol Ayvaz, M., Flamini, G., et al. (2020). Chemical composition, antioxidant, anti-tyrosinase, anti-cholinesterase and cytotoxic activities of essential oils of six Algerian plants. *Molecules* 25, 1710. doi:10. 3390/molecules25071710

Cristina Figueiredo, A., Barroso, J. G., Pedro, L. G., Sevinate-Pinto, I., Antunes, T., Fontinha, S. S., et al. (1995). Composition of the essential oil of Lavandula pinnata L. Fil. Var. Pinnata grown on madeira. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 10, 93–96. doi:10.1002/ffj.2730100207

de Alvarenga, J. F. R., Genaro, B., Costa, B. L., Purgatto, E., Manach, C., and Fiamoncini, J. (2023). Monoterpenes: current knowledge on food source, metabolism, and health effects. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 63, 1352–1389. doi:10. 1080/10408398.2021.1963945

de Oliveira, M. S., Almeida, M. M., Salazar, M., Pires, F. C. S., Bezerra, F. W. F., Cunha, V. M. B., et al. (2018). Potential of medicinal use of essential oils from aromatic plants. *Potential Essent. oils*, 1–21. doi:10.5772/intechopen.69939

Desco, M.-C., Asensi, M., Marquez, R., Martinez-Valls, J., Vento, M., Pallardo, F. V., et al. (2002). Xanthine oxidase is involved in free radical production in type 1 diabetes: protection by allopurinol. *Diabetes* 51, 1118–1124. doi:10.2337/diabetes.514.1118

Do Tricoma, Ó. E. E. D., and Dos Rebentos, D. A. (2022). ESSENTIAL oil and trichome density from origanum majorana L. SHOOTS Affect. BY LEAF AGE Salin.

El Abdali, Y., Agour, A., Allali, A., Bourhia, M., El Moussaoui, A., Eloutassi, N., et al. (2022). Lavandula dentata L.: phytochemical analysis, antioxidant, antifungal and insecticidal activities of its essential oil. *Plants* 11, 311. doi:10.3390/plants11030311

Elbouzidi, A., Ouassou, H., Aherkou, M., Kharchoufa, L., Meskali, N., Baraich, A., et al. (2022). LC–MS/MS phytochemical profiling, antioxidant activity, and cytotoxicity of the ethanolic extract of Atriplex halimus L. Against breast cancer cell lines: computational studies and experimental validation. *Pharmaceuticals* 15, 1156. doi:10.3390/ph15091156

Elbouzidi, A., Taibi, M., Ouassou, H., Ouahhoud, S., Ou-Yahia, D., Loukili, E. H., et al. (2023). Exploring the multi-faceted potential of carob (ceratonia siliqua var. Rahma) leaves from Morocco: a comprehensive analysis of polyphenols profile, antimicrobial activity, cytotoxicity against breast cancer cell lines, and genotoxicity. *Pharmaceuticals* 16, 840. doi:10.3390/ph16060840

El Hachlafi, N., Benkhaira, N., Al-Mijalli, S. H., Mrabti, H. N., Abdnim, R., Abdallah, E. M., et al. (2023). Phytochemical analysis and evaluation of antimicrobial, antioxidant, and antidiabetic activities of essential oils from Moroccan medicinal plants: mentha suaveolens, Lavandula stoechas, and ammi visnaga. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 164, 114937. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2023.114937

El Hachlafi, N., Fikri-Benbrahim, K., Al-Mijalli, S. H., Elbouzidi, A., Jeddi, M., Abdallah, E. M., et al. (2024). Tetraclinis articulata (vahl) mast. Essential oil as a promising source of bioactive compounds with antimicrobial, antioxidant, antiinflammatory and dermatoprotective properties: *in vitro* and *in silico* evidence. *Heliyon* 10, e23084. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e23084 El Khoury, R., Michael Jubeli, R., El Beyrouthy, M., Baillet Guffroy, A., Rizk, T., Tfayli, A., et al. (2019). Phytochemical screening and antityrosinase activity of carvacrol, thymoquinone, and four essential oils of Lebanese plants. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* 18, 944–952. doi:10.1111/jocd.12754

Fahmy, M. A., Farghaly, A. A., Hassan, E. E., Hassan, E. M., Hassan, Z. M., Mahmoud, K., et al. (2022). Evaluation of the anti-cancer/anti-mutagenic efficiency of Lavandula officinalis essential oil. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev. APJCP* 23, 1215–1222. doi:10.31557/apjcp.2022.23.4.1215

Fennell, D. A., Summers, Y., Cadranel, J., Benepal, T., Christoph, D. C., Lal, R., et al. (2016). Cisplatin in the modern era: the backbone of first-line chemotherapy for nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 44, 42–50. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.01.003

Firmino, J. P., Vallejos-Vidal, E., Balebona, M. C., Ramayo-Caldas, Y., Cerezo, I. M., Salomón, R., et al. (2021). Diet, immunity, and microbiota interactions: an integrative analysis of the intestine transcriptional response and microbiota modulation in gilthead seabream (sparus aurata) fed an essential oils-based functional diet. *Front. Immunol.* 12, 625297. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.625297

Guerrouj, B.El, Taibi, M., Elbouzidi, A., Bouhassoun, S., Loukili, E. H., Haddou, M., et al. (2023). The effect of altitude on the chemical composition, antioxidant and tropical journal of natural product research activities of Eucalyptus globulus labill. Essential oils *Trop. J. Nat. Prod. Res.* 7, 5279–5285. doi:10.26538/tjnpr/v7i11.37

Hachlafi, N. E. L., Aanniz, T., Menyiy, N.El, Baaboua, A.El, Omari, N.El, Balahbib, A., et al. (2023). *In vitro* and *in vivo* biological investigations of camphene and its mechanism insights: a review. *Food Rev. Int.* 39, 1799–1826. doi:10.1080/87559129. 2021.1936007

Imran, M., Aslam, M., Alsagaby, S. A., Saeed, F., Ahmad, I., Afzaal, M., et al. (2022). Therapeutic application of carvacrol: a comprehensive review. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 10, 3544–3561. doi:10.1002/fsn3.2994

Irshad, M., Subhani, M. A., Ali, S., and Hussain, A. (2020). Biological importance of essential oils. *Essent. Oils-Oils Nat.* 1, 37–40. doi:10.5772/intechopen77673

Joshi, R. K. (2014). Antioxidant activity of essential oil of nepeta laevigata (D. Don) hand.-mazz from himalayan region of uttarakhand. *Am. J. Essent. Oils Nat. Prod.* 2, 15–18.

Knobloch, K., Pauli, A., Iberl, B., Weigand, H., and Weis, N. (1989). Antibacterial and antifungal properties of essential oil components. *J. Essent. oil Res.* 1, 119–128. doi:10. 1080/10412905.1989.9697767

Lekbach, Y., Xu, D., El Abed, S., Dong, Y., Liu, D., Khan, M. S., et al. (2018). Mitigation of microbiologically influenced corrosion of 304L stainless steel in the presence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by cistus ladanifer leaves extract. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 133, 159–169. doi:10.1016/j.ibiod.2018.07.003

Lesgards, J., Baldovini, N., Vidal, N., and Pietri, S. (2014). Anticancer activities of essential oils constituents and synergy with conventional therapies: a review. *Phyther. Res.* 28, 1423–1446. doi:10.1002/ptr.5165

Marchese, A., Orhan, I. E., Daglia, M., Barbieri, R., Di Lorenzo, A., Nabavi, S. F., et al. (2016). Antibacterial and antifungal activities of thymol: a brief review of the literature. *Food Chem.* 210, 402–414. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.04.111

Mehalaine, S., and Chenchouni, H. (2021). Quantifying how climatic factors influence essential oil yield in wild-growing plants. *Arab. J. Geosci.* 14, 1257. doi:10.1007/s12517-021-07582-6

Messaoud, C., Chograni, H., and Boussaid, M. (2012). Chemical composition and antioxidant activities of essential oils and methanol extracts of three wild Lavandula L. Species. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 26, 1976–1984. doi:10.1080/14786419.2011.635343

Minh, T. N., Van, T. M., Andriana, Y., Vinh, L. T., Hau, D. V., Duyen, D. H., et al. (2019). Antioxidant, xanthine oxidase, α -amylase and α -glucosidase inhibitory activities of bioactive compounds from rumex crispus L. Root. *Molecules* 24, 3899. doi:10.3390/molecules24213899

Mosmann, T. (1983). Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J. Immunol. Methods 65, 55–63. doi:10.1016/0022-1759(83)90303-4

Nagoor Meeran, M. F., Javed, H., Al Taee, H., Azimullah, S., and Ojha, S. K. (2017). Pharmacological properties and molecular mechanisms of thymol: prospects for its therapeutic potential and pharmaceutical development. *Front. Pharmacol.* 8, 380. doi:10.3389/fphar.2017.00380

Najafloo, R., Behyari, M., Imani, R., and Nour, S. (2020). A mini-review of thymol incorporated materials: applications in antibacterial wound dressing. *J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol.* 60, 101904. doi:10.1016/j.jddst.2020.101904

Nakajima, K., Nemoto, T., Muneyuki, T., Kakei, M., Fuchigami, H., and Munakata, H. (2011). Low serum amylase in association with metabolic syndrome and diabetes: a community-based study. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 10, 34–38. doi:10.1186/1475-2840-10-34

Nguyen, M. T. T., Awale, S., Tezuka, Y., Le Tran, Q., Watanabe, H., and Kadota, S. (2004). Xanthine oxidase inhibitory activity of Vietnamese medicinal plants. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 27, 1414–1421. doi:10.1248/bpb.27.1414

Raut, J. S., and Karuppayil, S. M. (2014). A status review on the medicinal properties of essential oils. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 62, 250–264. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.05.055

Remmal, A., Bouchikhi, T., Rhayour, K., Ettayebi, M., and Tantaoui-Elaraki, A. (1993). Improved method for the determination of antimicrobial activity of essential oils in agar medium. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* 5, 179–184. doi:10.1080/10412905.1993.9698197

Rodríguez-Viera, L., Perera, E., Martos-Sitcha, J. A., Perdomo-Morales, R., Casuso, A., Montero-Alejo, V., et al. (2016). Molecular, biochemical, and dietary regulation features of α -amylase in a carnivorous Crustacean, the spiny lobster panulirus argus. *PLoS One* 11, e0158919. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158919

Roubi, M., Elbouzidi, A., Dalli, M., Azizi, S. eddine, Aherkou, M., Taibi, M., et al. (2023). Phytochemical, antioxidant, and anticancer assessments of Atriplex halimus extracts: *in silico* and *in vitro* studies. *Sci. Afr.* 22, e01959. doi:10.1016/j.sciaf.2023.e01959

Schmidt, H. M., Hahn, S., Annarapu, G. K., Carreno, M., Schopfer, F., Shiva, S., et al. (2020). Xanthine oxidase has a protective role during heme crisis by binding and degrading heme. *Blood* 136, 12. doi:10.1182/blood-2020-137185

Sharifi Rad, M., Varoni, E. M., Iriti, M., Martorell, M., Setzer, W. N., del Mar Contreras, M., et al. (2018). Carvacrol and human health: a comprehensive review. *Phyther. Res.* 32, 1675–1687. doi:10.1002/ptr.6103

Taibi, M., Elbouzidi, A., Ouahhoud, S., Loukili, E. H., Ou-Yahya, D., Ouahabi, S., et al. (2023). Evaluation of antioxidant activity, cytotoxicity, and genotoxicity of ptychotis verticillata essential oil: towards novel breast cancer therapeutics. *Life* 13, 1586. doi:10. 3390/life13071586

Tak, J.-H., Jovel, E., and Isman, M. B. (2004). Contact, fumigant, and cytotoxic activities of thyme and lemongrass essential oils against larvae and an ovarian cell line of the cabbage looper, trichoplusia Ni. *J. Pest Sci.* 89, 183–193. doi:10.1007/s10340-015-0655-1

Thosar, N., Basak, S., Bahadure, R. N., and Rajurkar, M. (2013). Antimicrobial efficacy of five essential oils against oral pathogens: an *in vitro* study. *Eur. J. Dent.* 7, S071–S077. doi:10.4103/1305-7456.119078

Tofah, M. L., Mseddi, K., Al-Abbasi, O. K., Ben Yazid, A., Khechine, A., Gdoura, R., et al. (2022). A new lavender (Lavandula multifida L.) ecotype from arid Tunisia, with differential essential oil composition and higher antimicrobial potential. *Life* 13, 103. doi:10.3390/life13010103

Vaičiulytė, V., Ložienė, K., Taraškevičius, R., and Butkienė, R. (2017). Variation of essential oil composition of thymus pulegioides in relation to soil Chemistry. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 95, 422–433. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.10.052

Yin, Z., Zhang, W., Feng, F., Zhang, Y., and Kang, W. (2014). α -Glucosidase inhibitors isolated from medicinal plants. *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness* 3, 136–174. doi:10.1016/j.fshw. 2014.11.003

Zhang, D., Gan, R.-Y., Ge, Y.-Y., Yang, Q.-Q., Ge, J., Li, H.-B., et al. (2018). Research progress on the antibacterial mechanisms of carvacrol: a mini review. *Bioact. Compd. Heal. Dis.* 1, 71–81. doi:10.31989/bchd.v1i6.551