Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Abdul Sadiq, University of Malakand, Pakistan

REVIEWED BY Elisa Uliassi, University of Bologna, Italy Yan Niu, Peking University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

William J. Netzer, billnetzer@gmail.com Anjana Sinha, sinhaanjana5819@gmail.com Subhash C. Sinha, sus2044@med.cornell.edu

RECEIVED 03 February 2024 ACCEPTED 10 September 2024 PUBLISHED 08 October 2024

CITATION

Netzer WJ, Sinha A, Ghias M, Chang E, Gindinova K, Mui E, Seo J-S and Sinha SC (2024) Stretching the structural envelope of imatinib to reduce β -amyloid production by modulating both β - and γ -secretase cleavages of APP. *Front. Chem.* 12:1381205. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2024.1381205

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Netzer, Sinha, Ghias, Chang, Gindinova, Mui, Seo and Sinha. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Stretching the structural envelope of imatinib to reduce β -amyloid production by modulating both β -and γ -secretase cleavages of APP

William J. Netzer^{1*}, Anjana Sinha^{1*}, Mondana Ghias¹, Emily Chang¹, Katherina Gindinova¹, Emily Mui¹, Ji-Seon Seo¹ and Subhash C. Sinha^{1.2*}

¹Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, United States, ²Appel Alzheimer's Disease Research Institute, Feil Family Brain and Mind Research Institute, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, United States

We previously showed that the anticancer drug imatinib mesylate (IMT, trade name: Gleevec) and a chemically distinct compound, DV2-103 (a kinase-inactive derivative of the potent Abl and Src kinase inhibitor, PD173955) lower A β levels at low micromolar concentrations primarily through a lysosome-dependent mechanism that renders APP less susceptible to proteolysis by BACE1 without directly inhibiting BACE1 enzymatic activity, or broadly inhibiting the processing of other BACE1 substrates. Additionally, IMT indirectly inhibits γ -secretase and stimulates autophagy, and thus may decrease A β levels through multiple pathways. In two recent studies we demonstrated similar effects on APP metabolism caused by derivatives of IMT and DV2-103. In the present study, we synthesized and tested radically altered IMT isomers (IMTi's) that possess medium structural similarity to IMT. Independent of structural similarity, these isomers manifest widely differing potencies in altering APP metabolism. These will enable us to choose the most potent isomers for further derivatization.

KEYWORDS

imatinib (IMT) or gleevec, DV2-103, gamma secretase, inhibitor, modulator, $A\beta$, isomer

1 Introduction

Neurotoxic β -amyloid peptides (A β) are major drivers of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and are formed by sequential cleavage of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) by β -secretase (BACE1/2) and γ -secretase, respectively. Both β - and γ -secretases can be pharmacologically inhibited to reduce production of A β peptides. Indeed, there has been great interest in the development of inhibitors and modulators of the secretases as potential AD therapeutics (Miranda et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2020; Portelius et al., 2010; Hur, 2022; Panza et al., 2009; Golde et al., 2013; Rynearson et al., 2021) but at this time all clinical trials involving secretase inhibitors/modulators have failed. Reasons given have included timing of drug administration (too late in disease course for benefits to occur); non-specific inhibition of secretase substrates other than APP; lack of target engagement; toxicity; and even failure of the Amyloid hypothesis (Kim et al., 2022).

In our previous study, we have shown that the anticancer drug IMT, which is a potent Abl kinase inhibitor (Buchdunger et al., 1996) and PD173955 (Nagar et al., 2002), an Abl/ Src kinase inhibitor, reduce A β production in cultured N2a695 cells, rat embryonic neurons,

and in guinea pig brain in vivo by indirectly inhibiting y-secretase processing of APP, while sparing y-secretase processing of Notch1 in cellular assays (Netzer et al., 2003). In a recent study we further showed that a kinase inactive derivative of PD173955, DV2-103, as well as IMT, reduced Aβ levels in cells mainly by indirectly inhibiting BACE cleavage of APP (Netzer et al., 2017), adding to our earlier study suggesting that the Aβ-lowering effect of IMT and DV2-103 are not only Abl kinase-independent but also broadly kinase-independent and affect both y-secretase and BACE processing of APP. IMT and DV2-103 decrease levels of APP-BCTF and sAPPB, and raise levels of APP-aCTF, as well as a 141 amino acid APP-CTF (C141), and a 9 kDa APP-CTF (all consistent with reduced BACE processing of APP) in N2a695 cells (Netzer et al., 2017). Remarkably, this pattern of APP metabolites induced by IMT and DV2-103, and some of their analogs is observed when N2a695 cells are treated with a general, active-site-directed BACE inhibitor (Netzer et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2019; Sinha et al., 2019). We demonstrated that IMT does not inhibit BACE1 enzymatic activity in two in vitro BACE1 assays at concentrations up to 100 µM or inhibit processing of several non-APP BACE substrates in cells (Netzer et al., 2017) and that the inhibitory activities of IMT and DV2-103 require acidified lysosomes. We provided a model suggesting that the effects of these drugs on APP metabolism were a result of their effects on lysosomes, which caused APP to undergo increased trafficking to lysosomes and spend less time in the amyloidogenic pathway where AB and its direct precursor, the APP- β CTF, are formed (Netzer et al., 2017).

To understand how so many structurally different compounds reduce levels of secreted $A\beta$ in cells and have a characteristic effect on APP metabolite levels, we designed novel IMT isomers, IMTi-1 – 3 (Figure 1A), and tested their effects on APP metabolism by measuring the $A\beta$ levels in cell supernatants and APP metabolites in cell lysates. The design involved a large change in the structure of IMT to greatly alter the pharmacophore structurally but maintain IMT's physical properties, in particular its property as a weak base, which is necessary for its sequestration in lysosomes through ion trapping (Kazmi et al., 2013; Burger et al., 2015). We further developed and evaluated 28 new analogs of the more potent IMT isomers, IMTi-1 and 2, to gain structure-activity relationship among the new analogs and to the previously described IMT analogs. The results of our studies described in this article support that the pharmacophores of IMT greatly affect APP processing and introduce IMTi-1 and 2 as new pharmacophores to further develop more potent analogs that may function similarly to IMT.

2 Materials and methods

All commercial chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and used without further purification. All air-sensitive reactions were performed under argon protection. Column chromatography was performed using 230-400 mesh silica gel. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on 250 µM silica gel F₂₅₄ plates. Preparative thin layer chromatography was performed on 1,000 µM silica gel F₂₅₄ plates. All final compounds were purified using HPLC. The identity and purity of each product was determined using MS, HPLC, TLC, and NMR analyses. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker 400 or 600 MHz instrument. Chemical shifts are reported in δ values in ppm downfield from TMS as the internal standard. ¹H data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, br = broad, m = multiplet), coupling constant (Hz), integration. Purity of target compounds has been determined to be >95% by LC/MS on a Waters purification system with PDA, MicroMass ZQ and ELSD detector and a reverse phase column (Waters X-Bridge C18, 4.6 \times 150 mm, 5 µm) eluted with water/acetonitrile gradients, containing 0.1% TFA. All compounds tested in this study were prepared in house and their structures were confirmed using ¹H NMR and MS analyses (Spectral data provided for new compounds only). Yields are from a single reaction and not optimized. All final compounds were obtained in >95% purity as judged by LCMS.

N2a695 were cultured in 1:1 OptiMem Reduced Serum Media (Life Technologies): Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium ([+] 4.5 g/L D-glucose [+] L-Glutamine; [-] Sodium pyruvate (Life Technologies) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, 0.4% Penstrep and 0.4% Geneticin and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Antibodies were obtained from The Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience at The Rockefeller University. Human Aβ40 and Aβ42 ELISA plates (Life Technologies) and Plus MSD (Mesoscale Discovery) plates for Aβ Peptide (Aβ38, Aβ40 and Aβ42) Panel 1 (6E10) Kit (Catalog number K15200G) were obtained from Thermo Fisher, Life Technologies and Meso Scale Discovery.



2.1 Synthesis of IMTi-1 and analogs 1a-r

i) Intermediates 5a-b. To a solution of 4a (350 mg, 1.3 mmol) and o-toluidine (0.3 mL) in *i*-PrOH (3 mL) was added 1N HCl (1.5 mL), and the mixture was heated at 125°C using Microwave for 2 h. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, residues treated with aqueous NaHCO₃ to neutralize, and the resulting mixture extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, concentrated, and purified by Combi-Flash over Silica gel column using hexanes-EtOAc as eluents to afford intermediate 5a (300 mg, 68%). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) of 5a: δ 9.16 (s, 1H), 8.85 (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 2H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 7.92 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.14–7.07 (m, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H); HRMS: *m/z* 341.0391 and 343.0370 [M + H]⁺.

Similarly, intermediate **4b** (270 mg, 1 mmol) was reacted with o-toluidine (0.25 mL) in *i*-PrOH (2 mL) and 1N HCl (1 mL) using the method described for **5a** to afford intermediate **5b** (245 mg, 72%). ¹H NMR: (600 MHz, CDCl₃) of **5b**: δ 8.47 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H); HRMS: *m/z* 340.0439 and 342.0418, [M + H]⁺.

- ii) Compound IMTi-1 (General Buchwald coupling method). A solution of 5a (38 mg) and 6a (21 mg) in dioxane was degassed and charged with Pd₂(dba)₃ (4 mg), XanthPhos (7 mg), and Cs₂CO₃ (55 mg) and heated at 100°C temperature for 16 h. Solvents were removed and worked up using EtOAc and water. Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residues were purified by preparative TLC (Silica gel, 1 mm plate; CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:Aq. NH₃ (90:10:1)) to afford the target product IMTi-1 (35 mg, 63%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.01 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.88 (s, 1H), 8.47 (d, J = 5.12 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 7.96 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 7.96 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.40 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (t, J = 4.28 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.40 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 5.16 Hz, 1H), 7.07–7.03 (m, 2H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.49 (br s, 8H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H); HRMS: m/z494.2653 [M + H]⁺. Purity (HPLC): >98%.
- iii) Compound 1a. Buchwald coupling of 5b (48 mg, 0.14 mmol) with 6a (33 mg, 0.14 mmol) in 1,4-Dioxane (3 mL) was performed by heating the mixture at 100°C in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (5 mg), XanthPhos (8 mg), and Cs₂CO₃ (68 mg) for 16 h. Usual work-up and purification afforded 1a (33 mg, 48%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.46 (d, *J* = 5.20 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 8.12 (d, 8.04 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.87–7.82 (m, 4H), 7.52–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.31–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 5.16 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 3.56 (s, 2H), 2.52 (br s, 8H), 2.37 and 2.33 (s, 3H each); HRMS: *m/z* 493.2671 [M + H]⁺.
- iv) **Compounds 1b-Boc and 1b.** Buchwald coupling of **5a** (160 mg, 0.47 mmol) with **6b** (155 mg, 0.49 mmol) in 1,4-Dioxane (10 mL) was performed by heating the mixture at 100°C in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (20 mg), XanthPhos (27 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (155 mg) for 16 h. Usual work-up and purification afforded **1b-Boc** (100 mg, 35%). MS of **1b-Boc**: m/z 579.30.

Boc-deprotection. To a solution of **1b-Boc** (100 mg) in EtOAc (3 mL) was added 4 M HCl in dioxane (1 mL) at room temperature (RT) and the mixture stirred overnight (16 h). Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and worked up using CH_2Cl_2 and Aq. NaHCO₃ solution to afford compound **1b** (79 mg, 95%). HRMS: m/z 480.2467 [M + H]⁺.

- v) Compound 1c. Intermediate 5a (75 mg, 0.2 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with 6c (53 mg, 0.24 mmol) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (10 mg), Xanthphos (13 mg), and CS₂CO₃ (72 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (5 mL) overnight at 95°C, as described above for IMTi-1 to give compound 1c (56 mg, 40%). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.04 (s, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H), 8.86 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.265 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.215 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.975 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4H), 2.62 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4H), 2.40 and 2.39 (s, 3H each); MS: *m/z* 480.25 [M + H]⁺.
- vi) **Compound 1d.** Intermediate **5b** (145 mg, 0.42 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with **6c** (93 mg, 0.42 mmol) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (19 mg), XanthPhos (25 mg), and Cs₂CO₃ (206 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (10 mL) overnight at 100°C as described above and worked up and purified to give compound **1d** (110 mg, 55%). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) of **1k**: δ 8.49 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 8.17 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88–7.83 (m, 3H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 7.22 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.41 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4H), 2.63 (br t, 4H), 2.41 and 2.40 (s, 3H each); MS: *m/z* 479.25 [M + H]⁺.
- vii) **Compound 1e.** Intermediate **5a** (35 mg, 0.1 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with amide **6d** (21 mg, 0.11 mmol) in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (4 mg), XanthPhos (6 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) at 100°C overnight to give compound **1e** (20 mg, 44%) after usual work-up using EtOAc and Aq. NH₄Cl solution, and purification. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.03, 8.95 and 8.90 (s, 1H each), 8.50 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.59 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 6H); HRMS: *m/z* 453.2358 [M + H]⁺.
- viii) **Compound 1f.** Intermediate **5b** (340 mg, 1 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with amide **6d** (200 mg, 1 mmol) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (45 mg, 5 mol%), XanthPhos (60 mg, 10 mo%), and Cs₂CO₃ (500 mg, 1.5 mmol). Usual work-up after heating at 100°C for 16 h and purification gave compound **1f** (270 mg, 60%). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.49 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.38 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (br s, 1H), 7.88–7.83 (m, 4H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 2.29 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 6H); HRMS: *m/z* 452.2450 [M + H]⁺. Purity (HPLC): >98%.
- ix) **Compound 1g.** Intermediate **5a** (38 mg, 0.11 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with **6e** (22 mg, 0.15 mmol) in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (5 mg), XanthPhos (10 mg), and



Design of IMTi-1 – 3 and analogs. (A) Shown are hypothetical fragmentation of IMT involving (a) C-N bond or (b, c) C-C bond cleavage giving fragments I-VI, and re-assembly of these fragments to afford IMTi-1 – 3. Note: fragment II is common for both IMTi-1 and 3, and III for IMTi-2 and 3. Key: Scissor sign, site of C-C or C-N bond cleavage for fragmentation; double arrow, C-C or C-N bond connection for re-assembly of the molecules. (B) General structure of IMT analogs described previously (Sun et al., 2019) and of IMTi-1's and 2's designed here.

 Cs_2CO_3 (73 mg mmol) in 1,4-Dioxane (2 mL) by heating overnight at 100°C. Sovents were removed under reduced pressure and the residues purified by preparative TLC to give compound **1** g (15 mg, 31%). HRMS: m/z 439.2243 [M + H]⁺.

x) Compounds 1h-Boc and 1h. Buchwald coupling of 5a (60 mg, 0.18 mmol) with 6f (56 mg, 0.18 mmol) in 1,4-Dioxane (3 mL) was performed by heating the mixture at 100°C in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (8 mg), XanthPhos (10 mg), and Cs₂CO₃ (86 mg) for 16 h. Usual work-up and purification afforded 1h-Boc (71 mg, 70%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of 1h-Boc: δ 9.04 (s, 1H), 8.43 (s, 1H), 8.85 (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, *J* = 5.04 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89–7.87 (br, 2H), 7.27–7.17 (m, 6H), 7.07–7.03 (m, 2H), 4.58 (br, 2H), 3.16 (br s, 1H), 3.07 (br s, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.50 and 1.34 (s, 6H and 3H); 0.97 (s, 9H); MS: *m/z* 581.32 [M + H]⁺.

Compound **1h-Boc** (65 mg, 0.11 mmol) in EtOAc (3 mL) was stirred with 4 M HCl in dioxane (1 mL) at RT for 16 h. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and worked-up using CH₂Cl₂ and Aq. NaHCO₃ solution to afford compound **1h** (42 mg, 77%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of **1h**: δ 9.06 (s, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H), 8.85 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d, *J* = 5.04 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.0 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 7.92 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 7.80 Hz, 2H), 7.24–7.21 (m, 4H), 7.08 (t, *J* = 4.00 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 3.92 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 9H); HRMS: *m/z* 481.2616 [M + H]⁺.

xi) **Compounds 1i-Boc and 1i.** Intermediate **5b** (40 mg, 0.12 mmol) was reacted with **6f** (38 mg, 0.12 mmol) under Buchwald coupling conditions using $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (2 mg), XanthPhos (4 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (30 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane

(2 mL) overnight as described above at 100°C to give the Boc-protected derivative, **1i-Boc** (45 mg, 66%). MS of **1i-Boc**: m/z 580.33 [M + H]⁺.

Compound **1i-Boc** (40 mg, 0.07 mmol) was deprotected using 4 M HCl in dioxane (1 mL) at RT. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and worked up using CH_2Cl_2 and Aq. NaHCO₃ solution to afford compound **1f** (30 mg, 90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of **1i**: δ 8.47, 8.36 and 8.14 (s, 1H each), 7.88–7.83 (m, 4H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.40 Hz, 4H), 7.24–7.19 (m, 3H), 7.08–7.01 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 2H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 0.95 (m, 9H); HRMS: *m/z* 480.2776 [M + H]⁺.

xii) Compounds 1j-Boc and 1j. Intermediate 5a (19 mg) was reacted with 6g (20 mg) under Buchwald coupling conditions using Pd₂(dba)₃ (2 mg), XanthPhos (4 mg), and Cs₂CO₃ (30 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (2 mL) overnight at 100°C to give 1j-Boc (15 mg, 46%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 1j-Boc: δ 9.04 (br s, 1H), 8.97 and 8.88 (s, 1H each), 8.72 (br, 1H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 4.28 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 7.92 Hz, 4H), 7.94 (m, 2H), 7.44 7.36-7.17 (m, 5H), 7.06 (m, 2H), 4.42 (br s, 2H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.70-1.4 (m, 8H), 1.35-1.26 (m, (9H+2H); MS: *m*/z 593.32 [M + H]⁺.

Compound **1j-Boc** (15 mg, 0.025 mmol) was deprotected using 4 M HCl in dioxane (0.5 mL) at RT. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and worked up using CH_2Cl_2 and Aq. NaHCO₃ solution to afford compound **1f** (10 mg, 81%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **1j**: δ 9.03 (br s, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H), 8.85 (s, 1H), 8.48 (d, *J* = 4.84 Hz, 1H), 8.34 9 (br, 1H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 7.96 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 7.80 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 7.64 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 7.56 Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.23 (m, 3H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 4.72 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.05 (m, 2H), 3.94 (s, 2H), 2.57 (m, 1H), 2.37

(s, 3H), 1.98–1.45 (m, 8H), 1.29–1.18 (m, 2H); HRMS: *m*/*z* 493.2718 [M + H]⁺.

xiii) **Compounds 1k-Boc and 1k.** Intermediate **5b** (46 mg, 0.14 mmol) reacted with **6g** (45 mg, 0.14 mmol) under Buchwald coupling conditions using $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (5 mg), XanthPhos (8 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (66 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (2 mL) overnight at 100°C to give **1k-Boc** (51 mg, 62%). MS of **1k-Boc**: m/z 592.33 [M + H]⁺.

Compound **1k-Boc** (40 mg, 0.068 mmol) was deprotected using 4 M HCl in dioxane/EtOAc (1:1, 2 mL) at RT. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and worked up using CH₂Cl₂ and Aq. NaHCO₃ solution to afford compound **1k** (30 mg, 89%) after purification. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) of **1k**: δ 8.41 (d, *J* = 5.04 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 8.04 (d, *J* = 7.96 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 7.72 Hz, 3H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 7.64 Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.42 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.24 (m, 3H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 4.96 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 7.24 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 2H), 3.38 (m, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 1–97–1.62 (m, 6H), 1.26–1.16 (m, 4H); HRMS: *m/z* 492.2772 [M + H]⁺.

xiv) **Compound 1L.** Intermediate **5a** (100 mg, 0.29 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with **6h** (98 mg, 0.29 mmol) in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (14 mg), XanthPhos (20 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (142 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (10 mL) as described above for IMTi-1. After the reaction mixture was stirred at 100°C overnight, usual work up and purification gave the title product **1L-Boc** (161 mg, 98%). ¹HNMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) of **1L-Boc**: δ 9.04 (s, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.88 (s, 1H), 8.50 (s, 2H), 8.10 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (br t, J =, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (m, 2H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 2.82 (br, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.69 (m, 2H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 6H), 1.48 (m, 2H); MS (ESI) m/z 564.28 [M]⁺.

Intermediate **1L-Boc** (90 mg, 0.16 mmol) in EtOAc (3 mL) was **Boc**-deprotected using 4 M HCl in Dioxane (2 mL) to give compound **1L** (70 mg, 94%) after usual work up using CH_2Cl_2 and NaHCO₃ and filtration over a short bed of Silica gel. HRMS of **1L**: m/z 465.2401 [M + H]⁺.

xv) **Compound 1m.** Intermediate **5b** (165 mg, 0.49 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with 6h (148 mg, 0.49 mmol) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (22 mg), XanthPhos (30 mg), and Cs₂CO₃ (235 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (10 mL) as described above. After the reaction mixture was stirred at 100°C overnight, usual work up and purification gave the title product **1m-Boc** (265 mg, 87%). ¹HNMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) of 1m-Boc: δ 8.50 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.89–7.86 (m, 3H), 7.545 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 2.81 (br, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.68 (m, 2H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 6H), 1.48 (m, 2H); MS: *m*/z 564.29 [M + H]⁺.

Intermediate **1m-Boc** (95 mg, 0.17 mmol) was **Boc**-deprotected using 2 M HCl in Dioxane (2 mL) to give compound **1m** (75 mg, 95%) after usual work up using CH_2Cl_2 and $NaHCO_3$ and filtration over a short bed of Silica gel. HRMS of **1m**: m/z 464.2459 [M + H]⁺.

- xvi) Compound 1n. NaCNBH₃ (25 mg) and AcOH (50 µL) were added sequentially to a solution of amine 1L.HCl (51 mg, 0.1 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (30 mg) in MeOH/2N Aq. KOH (10:1, 1.1 mL) at ice-water temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred at RT for another 8 h. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was suspended in CH₂Cl₂ and washed using water. Combined organic layers were dried using Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by Silica gel column to afford 1n (40 mg, 83%). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD + CDCl₃): δ 8.99 (br s, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H), 8.45 (s, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30-7.24 (m, 3H),7.10 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (m, 2H), 3.10 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (m, 1H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.10–1.64 (m, 4H); HRMS: *m*/*z* 479.2560 [M + H]⁺.
- xvii) **Compound 1o.** Reductive amination of amine **1m** (50 mg, 0.1 mmol) with paraformaldehyde (30 mg), NaCNBH₃ (30 mg), and AcOH (50 µL) in MeOH/2N Aq. KOH (10: 1, 1.1 mL) as described for **1n** afforded **1o** (32 mg, 67%). ¹HNMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) of **1o**: δ 8.42 (d *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 7.265 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (s, 3H), 2-63-2.54 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.03-1.92 (m, 3H), 1.65-1.61 (m, 1H); HRMS: *m/z* 478.2602 [M + H]⁺.

- xviii) Intermediate 5c. Intermediate 4c (156 mg, 0.5 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with 3-aminopyridine (50 mg) in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (18 mg), XanthPhos (20 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (250 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (3 mL) by reacting the reaction mixture overnight at 100°C. Usual work up using CH2Cl2 and water and purification of the concentrated organic layers using Combi Flash afforded 5c-Boc (160 mg, 86%). The latter product was treated with 4 M HCl in dioxane (2 mL) overnight, and solvents were removed to afford 5c as HCl salt. MS of 5c: m/z 264.12 [M + H]⁺.
- xix) **Compound 1p.** Prepared by amide formation between **5c.**HCl (30 mg, 0.1 mmol) and **6j** (20 mg, 0.1 mmol) using PyBOP (78 mg, 0.15 mmol) and DIPEA (60 µL) in DMF (250 µL) to afford **1p** (20 mg, 46%) after work up and purification by preparative TLC. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.49 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.38 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (br s, 1H), 7.88–7.83 (m, 4H), 7.68 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 2.29 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 6H); HRMS: m/z 439.2256 [M + H]⁺. Purity (HPLC): >95%.
- xx) **Compound 1q** (Prepared by Suzuki reaction). To a degassed solution of intermediate **5a** (34 mg, 0.1 mmol) and boronic acid **7a** (35 mg, 0.15 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) and 2 M aq. K_2CO_3 solution (2 M, 0.2 mL) in a microwave vial was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (11 mg) and the mixture was heated at 100°C for 30 min using microwave. The reaction mixture was diluted using water, extracted using EtOAc, and the combined organic layers concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by preparative TLC to afford **1q**

(15 mg, 30%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.18 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.51 (d, *J* = 5.04 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 8.00 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 7.88 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 7.88 Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 4H), 7.09–7.05 (m, 1H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 6H); HRMS: *m*/*z* 396.2197 [M + H]⁺.

xxi) **Compound 1r.** As described above for 1q, Suzuki reaction of **5a** (100 mg, 0.29 mmol) with **7b** (84 mg, 0.38 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) and aq. K₂CO₃ solution (2 M, 0.3 mL) in a microwave vial in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (20 mg) and heating the reaction mixture in microwave at 110°C for 30 min afforded **1r** (35 mg, 28%) after work up and purification using preparative TLC. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of **1r**: δ 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.52 (d, J = 4.52 Hz, 2H), 8.13 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.56 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.56 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.10–6.96 (m, 3H), 3.34–3.23 (m, 8H), 1H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.10–1.64 (m, 4H); HRMS: *m/z* 437.2449 [M + H]⁺.

2.2 Synthesis of IMTi-2 and analogs 2a-b and IMTi-3

i) **IMTi-2.** Buchwald coupling of intermediate **8** (54 mg, 0.24 mmol) with amine **9** (56 mg, 0.24 mmol) at 100°C overnight in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (10 mg), XanthPhos (15 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (140 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (3 mL), as described above for IMTi-1, afforded intermediate **10** (41 mg, 42%). MS of **10**: m/z 409.16 [M]⁺.

Intermediate 10 (41 mg, 0.1 mmol) underwent reductive amination with 4-methylpiperazine (25 μ L) using NaCNBH₃ (65 mg) in dichloroethane (DCE) (3 mL) and AcOH (0.1 mL)

as described for **1o** to give IMTi-2 (30 mg, 60%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3+ CD_3OD$) of *IMTi-2*: δ 9.15 (s, 1H), 8.81 (s, 1H), 8.46 (d, *J* = 4.88 Hz, 1H), 8.35 (s, 1H), 8.27 (d, *J* = 6.88 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 7.64 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (m, 1H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (m, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 4.96 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (s, 2H), 2.52 (br s, 8H), 2.33 (s, 6H); MS: *m/z* 494.2678 [M + H]⁺.

ii) Compounds 2a and 2b. Aldehyde 8 (116 mg, 0.53 mmol) underwent reductive amination with neopentyl amine (130 μ L) using NaCNBH₃ (324 mg) in DCE (2 mL) and AcOH (0.1 mL) over 2 h. Reaction mixture was extracted using CH₂Cl₂, concentrated, and the residues taken in acetonitrile was stirred with Boc₂O (300 mg) overnight to afford intermediate **11a** (165 mg, 80% in 2 steps). Subsequently, Amine **9** (27mg, 0.12 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with **11a** (46 mg, 0.12 mmol) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (4 mg), XanthPhos (7 mg), and Cs₂CO₃ (58 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (3 mL) to afford **2a-Boc** (53 mg, 77%), and the latter product was deprotected using TFA in the presence of tri-isopropyl silane (TIPS) in CH₂Cl₂ giving **2a** (38 mg, 90%) after filtration using a short bed of silica gel column. MS of **2a**: *m/z* 481.27 [M + H]⁺.

Similarly, Aldehyde **8** (112 mg, 0.51 mmol) underwent reductive amination with cyclohexyl amine (100 μ L) using NaCNBH₃ (324 mg) in DCE (2 mL) and AcOH (0.1 mL) over 2 h, and the reaction mixture was extracted using CH₂Cl₂, concentrated, and the residues taken in acetonitrile was further reacted with Boc₂O (300 mg) overnight to afford intermediate **11b** (175 mg, 85% in 2 steps). Next, amine **9** (24mg, 0.09 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with **11b** (36 mg, 0.09 mmol) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (4 mg), XanthPhos (6 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (44 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (2 mL) to afford **2b-Boc** (36 mg, 68%), and the latter product was deprotected using TFA in the presence of TIPS in CH_2Cl_2 giving **2b** (25 mg, 86%) after filtration using a short bed of silica gel column. MS of **2b**: m/z 493.27 [M + H]⁺.

iii) Intermediate 13. To a solution of 3-aminopyridine (1 equiv.) and DIEA (3 equiv.) in dry THF (5 mL/mmol) was added 4-chloromethylbenzoyl chloride (1.2 equiv.) at room temperature and the resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residues were worked up using CH_2Cl_2 and Aq. NaHCO₃ and purified to afford intermediate 12. MS of 12: m/z 247.06/249.06 [M + H]⁺.

A solution of intermediate **12** (1 equiv.), N-Boc-piperidine (1 equiv.), and DIEA (3 equiv.) in dry THF (5 mL/mmol) was heated at 90°C for 16 h. Reaction mixture was worked-up using water and CH₂Cl₂, and the combined organic layers concentrated under reduced pressure and chromatographed over Silica gel using CH₂Cl₂-MeOH-aq. NH₃ to afford **13-Boc**. The latter underwent Boc deprotection using methanolic HCl to afford **13**. MS: m/z 297.17 [M + H]⁺.

- iv) Intermediate 14. 2-Aminopyrimidine (95 mg, 1.0 mmol) underwent Buchwald coupling with 3-bromo-4-methylbenzaldehyde (199 mg, 1.0 mmol) in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (45 mg), XanthPhos (60 mg), and Cs_2CO_3 (489 mg) in 1,4-Dioxane (10 mL) overnight at 100°C to give intermediate 14 (206 mg, 96%). MS of 14: m/z 214.09 [M + H]⁺.
- v) **IMTi-3.** A solution of **13** (40 mg, 0.13 mmol) and **14** (28 mg, 0.13 mmol) in dichloroethane (5 mL) and AcOH (0.2 mL) was added Na(OAc)₃BH (100 mg, 0.47 mmol) in portions at 0°C. After the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, usual work up using methylene chloride and Aq. NaHCO₃ solution and purification over Silica gel gave IMTi-3 (34 mg, 50%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃+ CD₃OD) of IMTi-3: δ 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H, and d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.30 (s, 2H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 7.13 Hz, 2H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.88 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 7.96, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 7.64 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 7.48 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 6.69 (t, *J* = 4.72 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (s, 4H), 3.53 (s, 4H), 2.29 (s, 3H); HRMS: *m/z* 494.2672 [M + H]⁺.

2.3 Screening and evaluation of IMTi's and analogs

N2a695 cells were used to screen all new compounds and in the follow-up studies with compounds found active in the preliminary screen. In a typical experiment, 6-well tissue culture plates (Corning) were seeded at 4.0×10^{5} - 4.5×10^{5} N2a695 cells/mL, 2 mL/well for overnight incubation. When cells were >95% confluent, media were exchanged with fresh media containing 10 µM solutions of compounds or DMSO carrier alone and cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 5 h. Culture media were collected and soluble AB concentrations in the media were determined by ELISA or MSD plates for human Aß peptides as per manufacturer instructions. Signals for Aß were measured using Perkin Elmer Envision and SQ120 MSD ELISA reader. Follow-up studies with N2a695 cells were performed similarly.

2.4 Effects of IMT and IMTi's on APP metabolism

N2a695 cells were treated with compounds for 5 h as described above, and media were aspirated out (or collected for determination of A β levels). Cells were scraped in cold Dulbecco's PBS buffer (1 mL) containing mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche) and centrifuged for 1 min at 13,000 rpm at 4°C to form a cell pellet. The buffer was aspirated, and the cell pellets were lysed in 3% SDS plus protease inhibitor cocktail by sonication on ice for two rounds of 20 s on a low setting. Protein concentrations were measured using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay (Thermo Fisher) kit in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

To perform WBs, N2a695 cell lysates from **1a** and analogs-treated samples were run on a 10%–20% or a 16.5% Tris-Tricine gel (Criterion) and electro transferred to PVDF membranes (EMD Millipore) overnight at 30 V. PVDF membranes were incubated in PBS containing 0.25% glutaraldehyde (Sigma) for 30 min after electro transference, blocked for 30 min in milk PBST, incubated with primary antibody RU369 for 1 h at room temperature followed by washing and incubation with an HRP-linked secondary antibody and detected with enhanced chemiluminescence ECL reagents. WB images were analyzed using ImageJ to quantify the prominent bands.

To determine effects of compounds on BACE1 vs. GS inhibition, we used N2a cells transiently transfected with full length APP (APP-FL) or with APP99 (APP- β CTF) as described previously (Netzer et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2019). After 48 h, media were removed and fresh media containing compound **1a** and analogs were added. Following 5 h of incubation, cell supernatants were collected, and analyzed using MSD-ELISA for A β and sAPP α and western blot for sAPP β .

2.5 *In vivo* brain permeability and retention of IMT analogs

All procedures involving animals were approved by The Rockefeller University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines. Mesylate salts of the isomeric IMT analogs (1 or 3 mg/mL in water, 125 μ L, 50 mg/kg) were administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) or through oral gavage to 8 weeks old C57BL/6J WT mice (Sun et al., 2019). Mice were euthanized 4 h post drug administration and brain hemispheres and plasma were harvested and collected in pre-weighted tubes and snapfrozen in liquid nitrogen. To measure brain and plasma concentrations of the specific compounds, mouse brain tissue was homogenized and extracted using Ethanol, and plasma samples were extracted using Acetonitrile. Concentration of the drug and metabolites in brain and in plasma was determined by LC-MS/MS analysis.

2.6 Drug extraction from brain

After tubes were weighed to calculate brain weight and thawed to room temperature, 1 mL of EtOH (200 Proof) was added to the microcentrifuge tubes containing the harvested right brain hemispheres. 10 μ L of 1 μ M internal standard (ABG190, a synthetic analog of 1a) was added to each tube and samples were sonicated to homogeneity (~2 min). Tubes were shaken at 40 min at room

temperature (1K RPM) and centrifuged for 8 min at 13K RPM. The supernatant (0.9 mL) was transferred to a new collection tube and 0.5 mL EtOH was added to the pellet for a second round of extraction as described above. 600 μ L of the supernatant was combined with the first collection before samples were submitted for LCMS-MS analysis (Sun et al., 2019).

2.7 Drug extraction from blood

 $300 \ \mu L$ of acetonitrile was added to collected blood samples. $10 \ \mu L$ of 1 μM internal standard (ABG190) was added to each tube and samples were sonicated to homogeneity (~2 min). Tubes were contributed at 13 K RPM for 9 min 300 μL of the supernatant was collected and combined with 500 μL of 5 mM ammonium formate before samples were submitted for LCMS-MS analysis (Sun et al., 2019).

2.8 In vitro kinase activity assay

The assay was performed by Luceome Biotechnologies, LLC. Typically, 10 mM stock solutions of the compounds were diluted in DMSO to a concentration of 250 μ M. Prior to initiating the assay, all test

compounds were evaluated for false positive against split-luciferase (Jester et al., 2010). For kinase assays, each Cfluc-Kinase was translated along with Fos-Nfluc using a cell-free system (rabbit reticulocyte lysate) at 30°C for 90 min 24 μ L aliquot of this lysate containing either 1 μ L of DMSO (for no-inhibitor control) or compound solution in DMSO (10 μ M final concentration) was incubated for 30 min at room temperature followed by 1 h in presence of a kinase specific probe. 80 μ L of luciferin assay reagent was added to each solution and luminescence was immediately measured on a luminometer. The percent Inhibition was calculated using the following equation: % Inhibition = (ALUcontrol-ALUsamplex 100)/ALUcontrol.

3 Results

3.1 Chemistry

IMT isomers, IMTi-1 – 3, possess all five rings and the chemical functions that broadly match the parent compound (Figure 1). We designed these isomers by making one or two hypothetical fragmentations across C-N and C-C bonds and rejoining the resulting fragments through other ring(s) and keeping the functionalities similar to IMT, as outlined in Scheme 1A.

Anova, *p* < 0.001.

Here, cleavage sites shown by "scissor" are evident in IMT at 'a-c' and the double arrows shown connect I and II; III, V and IV; and VI, II and III to give IMTi-1, IMTi-2, and IMTi-3, respectively. Similarly, we designed IMTi-1 and 2 analogs based on the previously described IMT analogs, in that 'E' ring has been modified with R = various alkyl and cycloalkyl amines and 'A' ring with phenyl and substituted phenyls besides pyridine (Scheme 1B) (Sun et al., 2019).

Synthesis of IMTi-1 - 3 and analogs. We prepared IMTi-1 and its analogs 1a-1r using the readily available intermediates, as outlined in Schemes 2, 3. First, to prepare IMTi-1, intermediate 4a was reacted with o-toluidine and the resulting product 5a underwent Buchwald coupling (Ruiz-Castillo and Buchwald, 2016) with amide 6a (Scheme 2). Similarly, intermediate 4b reacted with o-toluidine to give 5b, and both 5a and 5b underwent Buchwald coupling (Ruiz-Castillo and Buchwald, 2016) with various amides 6a-h giving products 1a-m, several after Boc deprotection as needed. Analogs 1n and 1o were prepared by reaction of 1l and 1m with formaldehyde under the reductive amination conditions using NaCNBH₃. The analog 1p was obtained by reacting 4c with 3-aminopyridine, followed by Bocdeprotection giving amine 5c and reacting the latter with acid 6i (Scheme 3). Finally, Analog 1q and 1r were prepared by Suzuki coupling (Miyaura and Suzuki, 1995) of 5a with boronic acids, 7a and 7b (Scheme 3).

Next, we prepared IMTi-2 and its analogs 2a-b using intermediates 8 and 9, as described in Scheme 4A. Intermediates 8 and 9 reacted together under the Buchwald coupling conditions affording 10, which underwent reductive amination with N-methylpiperazine to give IMTi-2. Alternatively, intermediate 8 underwent reductive amination with cyclohexyl amine and neopentyl amine and Boc-protection of the resulting amines to give intermediates 11a and 11b, which reacted with intermediate 9 under the Buchwald coupling conditions, followed by Bocdeprotection to give analogs 2a-b. Finally, to prepare IMTi-3, we prepared intermediate 13 by reacting 4-chloro-mthylbenzoyl chloride with 3-aminopyridine 3-amino-pyridine and the resulting product 12 with N-Boc-piperazine followed by N-deprotection, and intermediate 14 by reacting 3-bromo-4methylbenzaldehyde with 2-amino-pyrimidine under Buchwald conditions. Subsequently, we coupled intermediates 13 and 14 together under the reductive amination conditions using NaCNBH₄ to give the title IMTi-3 (Scheme 4B) (Afanasyev et al., 2019).

3.2 Structural diversity

As described above, many IMT analogs, in that either A ring changed to substituted benzene ring or E ring to cycloalkyl amines or

Comp. ID	% A $\beta 38,40,42$ of DMSO ctrl at 10 (5 $\mu M)$ conc	Comp. ID	% A $\beta 38,40,42$ of DMSO ctrl at 10 (5 $\mu M)$ conc
1a	86, 40, 35 (105, 57, 49)	1b	61, 34, 33 (95, 56, 53)
1d	22, 14, 11 (60, 34, 28)	1e	91, 46, 44 (99, 57, 54)
1f	80, 29, 26 (113, 52, 45)	1h	80, 43, 43 (106, 64, 58)
1i	85, 41, 46 (106, 56, 58)	1j	123, 73, 65 (112, 83, 75)
1k	92, 38, 38 (120, 60, 56)	1L	52, 21, 17 (107, 48, 43)
1n	95, 42, 38 (112, 63, 56)	1p	24, 18, 15 (58, 41, 38)
2b	74, 71, 74 (93, 90, 88)		

TABLE 1 Isomeric IMT analogs are y-secretase modulators^a.

^aThe screening experiment was performed for A β 40, 38 and 42 in cell supernatants of N2a695 cells using MSD ELISA., Drug concentrations are 10 μ M or 5 μ M. A β values are expressed as percentages of control A β 38, 40, and 42, respectively. Results for the IMTi-1, derivatives shown here were obtained from a single experiment.

alkyl amines, were prepared previously and evaluated (Sun et al., 2019). The majority of IMTi-1 analogs, including 1a-1p and both analogs of IMTi-2, i.e., 2a and 2b, differ from one-another in ring 'A' and/or in 'E' and possess fragments containing 'D' and 'E' rings previously prepared in IMT analogs (Sun et al., 2019). New IMTi-1 analogs contain piperazine ring, a cyclic amine or piperidine ring connected through C-C or C-N bond to ring D, while all other IMTi-1 and both IMTi-2 analogs possess a substituted alkylamine instead of the ring E. These modifications improved APP processing activity in IMT analogs (Sun et al., 2019). There was no additional difference between two analogs, 2a and 2b, of IMTi-2. Thus, all 18 analogs of IMTi-1 possess rings 'A-D' and their arrangement is similar with three exceptions. 1) Seven compounds possess 1,3-substituted benzene and the remaining 11 analogs contain 3,5-substituted pyridine (Py) as the middle ring 'A', 2) The first ring from the left (ring 'C') in 1 analog, 1p, is 3-aminopyridine instead of o-toluidine in all remaining 17 compounds. 3) Analogs 1q and 1r do not possess the 'amide group' that connects the middle ring 'A' to the 4th ring 'D'.

3.3 Evaluation

Previously, we showed that two chemically distinct compounds, IMT and DV2-103 lower AB production primarily by reducing BACE processing of APP (Netzer et al., 2017). Similarly, numerous analogs of IMT also lowered AB production by reducing BACE processing of APP (Sun et al., 2019). In the present study, before evaluating new analogs, we further examined the effects of these compounds on y-secretase catalyzed Aß formation and compared these to the more radically isomeric analogs of IMT. Our results show that IMT, DV2-103, and IMTi-1 are y-secretase modulators; i.e., these compounds favor production or inhibition of different lengths of Aβ peptides (differing in their C-termini). Specifically, we exposed N2a695 cells to increasing concentrations of each compound and measured the production of A β 38, 40, and 42. IMT, DV2-103 and IMTi-1 (Figure 2A) inhibit the formation of Aβ38 least, compared to Aβ40 and 42, and even boost levels of Aβ38 above controls at a drug concentration of 5 µM. Remarkably, this occurs for all Aβ peptides tested shorter than 40 amino acids (Figure 2C). Moreover, for some compounds that modulate ysecretase activity most conspicuously at 5 μ M, this effect vanishes at 10 μ M, relative to controls (Figure 2B, C).

With new IMTi's in hand, we first evaluated and compared the effects of IMT and IMTi-1 (Figure 3A) on APP processing in N2a695 cells using the methods described above and in our prior reports (Netzer et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2019). We used both compounds at 10 µM and analyzed cell supernatants using anti-Aβ40 ELISA to show that IMTi-1 reduced Aβ40 levels more strongly than IMT (Figure 3C). We further determine the sAPP β levels in cell supernatants by performing western blotting (WB) experiments and probing the WB membranes using antibody RU anti-C-terminal sAPPβ (Figure 3B, bottom, and Figure 3D), and APP metabolites in cell lysates using antibody RU369 (anti-C terminal APP) (Figure 3B, upper). Similarly, we tested the effects of IMTi-2 and 3 on AB production in N2a695 cells to find that both IMTi-2 and IMTi-3 inhibited Aβ40 production weakly (Aβ40 levels: 68% for IMTi-2 and 93% for IMTi-3 at 10 µM concentration) compared to both IMT and IMTi-1.

Similarly, we evaluated all IMTi-1 and IMTi-2 analogs, including the Boc-protected compounds, using N2a695 cells. We found most Boc-protected compounds showed little or no inhibition of A β production (Supplementary Figure S1) under the above conditions. In fact, two Boc compounds, 1i-Boc and 1k-Boc, showed an increase in Aß production, whereas several IMTi-1 analogs showed superior inhibitory effects compared to IMT on Aβ production (Supplementary Figure S1). To further examine the activities of the active analogs and whether IMTi's has any bias on amyloidogenic vs. nonamyloidogenic cleavage of APP, we retested dozens of IMTi-1 and 2 analogs, including several found active in the screening assay and some tested for the first time using N2a695 cells as above, and performed MSD ELISA of the conditioned media to measure Aβ40, Aβ38, and Aβ42 peptides simultaneously. We found that most IMTi-1 analogs favored nonamyloidogenic cleavage of APP at both 10 and 5 μM concentrations and reduced production of $A\beta 40$ and A β 42 greater than A β 38 peptide (Table 1). This indicates that IMTi-1 and analogs modulate y-secretase cleavage of C-terminal APP since the differences in lengths of these peptides is determined by y-secretase according to differences in utilization of the APP ysecretase cleavage sites (Hur, 2022).

We focused on *IMTi*-1 and its analogs 1d, 1f and 1p. All these analogs showed superior activities among all. To test whether

these compounds lower AB levels by affecting the BACE and/or y-secretase cleavages, we transfected wild-type (wt) cells with APP-FL and APP BCTF (C99), respectively, and incubated the cells with IMTi-1 (isomer) and analogs 1d, 1f and 1p. We used BACE inhibitor, MK8931, and y-secretase inhibitor, DAPT, as controls and performed the experiments and processed the results as described previously (Sun et al., 2019). The results shown in Figures 4A, B revealed that all 4 compounds reduced βand γ -cleavages of APP similarly to IMT. There were reductions in A β production in both cases, but more so in cells transfected with full-length APP indicating that these compounds, like IMT (Netzer et al., 2017), reduce both BACE and γ -secretase cleavages of APP but that attenuation of BACE processing accounted for the greater part of $A\beta$ reduction (Figures 4A, B). None of these compounds showed any toxicity to N2a695 cells at 10 µM concentration (Figure 4C) under the experimental conditions used for the A β assay.

IMT inhibits Abl1 kinase with low nanomolar affinity (Buchdunger et al., 1996). Earlier, we prepared and evaluated numerous IMT analogs to find that many of these analogs reduced A_β levels in cells similarly to IMT, while inhibiting Abl kinase less potently, compared to IMT. In other words, there is not a good correlation between the Abl kinase inhibitory activity vs. the Aß lowering effects in cells contacted with the IMT analogs. We have evaluated IMTi-1 to find that it inhibits Abl kinase less potently (IC₅₀: 1.172 μ M) (Figure 4D) than IMT IC₅₀: 0.038 µM) (Buchdunger et al., 1996), while it reduced A β levels more potently than IMT (Figure 3C). This result further reinforces our prior observation that there is no or little connection between the Aβ-lowering activity of IMT and its inhibition of Abl1 kinase (Netzer et al., 2003). Finally, we tested the brain permeability of compound 1f by administering it to 2 months old mice. Plasma and brain tissue were collected 4 h post drug administration, and LC-MS/MS analysis of the

acetonitrile and ethanol extracts was used to measure drug concentration. Compound **1f** possesses similarity to IMT isomer-**1a** and is isomeric to an ABG-179 (Sun et al., 2019) analog that possessed a benzene instead of the pyridine (A) ring (see: Figure 1 for the ring numbering). Earlier, we have shown that ABG-179 possesses superior brain exposure compared to IMT and reduced both A β 40 and 42 levels significantly in AD mice when delivered acutely for 5 days (Sun et al., 2019). Now, we have found that isomer **1f** also possesses high brain exposure (Figure 4E) and that is comparable to ABG-179 based on the results of our prior studies (Sun et al., 2019).

4 Discussion

Based on the number and variety of chemically distinct compounds that produce the same biochemical effects on APP metabolism (Netzer et al., 2017) and that all active compounds are active at low micromolar concentration, we postulated that IMT, DV2-103 and their analogs are likely to produce their effects on APP metabolism by virtue of their physical rather than stereological properties. For example, physical properties would include acting as a weak base that would cause these molecules to be lysosomotropic. We came to this conclusion by showing that the effects of IMT and DV2-103 on APP metabolism are dependent on acidified lysosomes (Netzer et al., 2017), and with the knowledge that IMT is strongly lysosomotropic we hypothesized that IMT, DV2-103 and their active derivatives might bind to a polyspecific receptor where binding is less dependent on structural and electrostatic complementarity. However, our subsequent studies with IMT, IMTi-1 - 3 and the analogs of IMTi-1 provide a more complex picture.

In our current study, we designed IMT isomers, IMTi-1 – 3, each possessing a distinctly unique pharmacophore, yet maintaining IMT's physical property as a weak base (Supplementary Table S1), determined through quantitative structure property relationship (QSPR) analysis (Li et al., 2018). The weakly basic property of IMT is necessary for its sequestration in lysosomes through ion trapping (Burger et al., 2015). In other words, IMT is lysosomotropic, as are IMTi-1 –3 (Netzer et al., 2017). However, IMTi-3 was found inactive in A β production assays, while IMT and IMTi-1 and 2 were active.

Interestingly, we found that IMT, IMTi-1, and DV2-103 show concentration dependent modulation of γ -secretase as tested in A β production assay in N2a695 cells (Figure 2). Moreover, by evaluating novel IMTi-1 and 2 analogs, it became evident that a subset of these analogs recapitulated IMT's APP phenotype. Additionally, we showed that IMT, DV2-103, and the IMTi-1 isomers tested in this study are modulators of γ -secretase by virtue of the observation that their A β -lowering potency differentially affects A β peptide lengths depending on drug concentration. Remarkably, A β 1-42 production is lowered at 5 μ M drug concentrations, while A β 1-38 production is inhibited least and, in some cases, raised. This is important because heightened production of A β 38 has been considered benign, and more recently therapeutic (Cullen et al., 2022), while lowered production of A β 42 is considered the rapeutic; in either case, a decrease in $A\beta$ peptide aggregation may occur.

IMTi-1 inhibited Abl kinase activity with over a 100-fold reduction in potency compared to previously published reports of IMT (Buchdunger et al., 1996). Although we had compared the relative effects of γ -secretase and BACE modulation of A β generation in cells, we could not rule out that the lowering of A β and sAPP β was not a result of IMT's effect of stimulating autophagy (Drullion et al., 2012), since autophagy was previously shown to accelerate lysosomal degradation of APP- β CTF and A β (Tian et al., 2011). Further examination of the structures and activities of IMTi-1 and analogs compared to IMT and similar analogs (depicted by 'R' in Scheme 1B) revealed that both classes of active compounds possessed similar 'R' groups. Yet, unlike IMT and its analogs, all active IMT-1 analogs behaved like g-secretase modulators, thereby providing a new pharmacophore for development of anti-A β therapy.

5 Conclusion

In summary, we suggest that the effect of IMT and related drugs on APP metabolism occurs through a mechanism that is, to a great extent, determined by physicochemical and structural properties of the drug molecules and is less dependent on similarities in stereochemical structure. Future studies may wish to focus on trafficking of full-length APP to determine whether these drugs affect APP trafficking by translocation of APP to lysosomes and away from amyloidogenic processing by BACE and γ -secretase. The fact that many of these compounds (structurally related or not) are γ -secretase modulators may also be consistent with a mechanism involving altered trafficking of APP that could affect the specificity of γ -secretase cleavage sites on APP during the formation of A β peptides.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

Author contributions

WN: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. AS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing-review and editing. MG: Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review and editing. EC: Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review and editing. KG: Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review and editing. EM: Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review and editing. J-SS: Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing-review and editing. SS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Funding support from JPB (#322 and #839 to SS) and Fisher Center for Alzheimer's Research Foundation (PG) is duly acknowledged.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Paul Greengard (Deceased) of the Rockefeller University for his enthusiastic support to this work and Victor H. Bustos for helpful discussion. We also thank Proteomics Research Center for performing LC-MS/MS analysis of the brain and plasma extracts obtained from mice administered with compounds.

References

Afanasyev, O. I., Kuchuk, E., Usanov, D. L., and Chusov, D. (2019). Reductive amination in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals. *Chem. Rev.* 119, 11857–11911. doi:10. 1021/acs.chemrev.9b00383

Buchdunger, E., Zimmermann, J., Mett, H., Meyer, T., Müller, M., Druker, B. J., et al. (1996). Inhibition of the Abl protein-tyrosine kinase *in vitro* and *in vivo* by a 2-phenylaminopyrimidine derivative. *Cancer Res.* 56, 100–104.

Burger, H., den Dekker, A. T., Segeletz, S., Boersma, A. W., de Bruijn, P., Debiec-Rychter, M., et al. (2015). Lysosomal sequestration determines intracellular imatinib levels. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 88, 477–487. doi:10.1124/mol.114.097451

Drullion, C., Trégoat, C., Lagarde, V., Tan, S., Gioia, R., Priault, M., et al. (2012). Apoptosis and autophagy have opposite roles on imatinib-induced K562 leukemia cell senescence. *Cell. Death and Dis.* 3, e373–e73. doi:10.1038/cddis.2012.111

Golde, T. E., Koo, E. H., Felsenstein, K. M., Osborne, B. A., and Miele, L. (2013). γ-Secretase inhibitors and modulators. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1828, 2898–2907. doi:10. 1016/j.bbamem.2013.06.005

Hur, J.-Y. (2022). γ-Secretase in Alzheimer's disease. *Exp. and Mol. Med.* 54, 433–446. doi:10.1038/s12276-022-00754-8

Jester, B. W., Cox, K. J., Gaj, A., Shomin, C. D., Porter, J. R., and Ghosh, I. (2010). A coiledcoil enabled split-luciferase three-hybrid system: applied toward profiling inhibitors of protein kinases. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 11727–11735. doi:10.1021/ja104491h

Kazmi, F., Hensley, T., Pope, C., Funk, R. S., Loewen, G. J., Buckley, D. B., et al. (2013). Lysosomal sequestration (trapping) of lipophilic amine (cationic amphiphilic) drugs in immortalized human hepatocytes (Fa2N-4 cells). *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 41, 897–905. doi:10.1124/dmd.112.050054

Kim, C. K., Lee, Y. R., Ong, L., Gold, M., Kalali, A., and Sarkar, J. (2022). Alzheimer's disease: Key insights from two decades of clinical trial failures. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 87, 83–100. doi:10.3233/jad-215699

Kumar, D., Ganeshpurkar, A., Kumar, D., Modi, G., Gupta, S. K., and Singh, S. K. (2018). Secretase inhibitors for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: long road ahead. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 148, 436–452. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.02.035

Li, M., Zhang, H., Liu, L., Chen, B., Guan, L., and Wu, Y. (2018). A quantitative structure-property relationship model based on chaos-enhanced accelerated particle swarm optimization algorithm and back propagation artificial neural network. *Appl. Sci.* 8, 1121. doi:10.3390/app8071121

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2024.1381205/ full#supplementary-material

Miranda, A., Montiel, E., Ulrich, H., and Paz, C. (2021). Selective secretase targeting for Alzheimer's disease therapy. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 81, 1–17. doi:10.3233/jad-201027

Miyaura, N., and Suzuki, A. (1995). Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of organoboron compounds. *Chem. Rev.* 95, 2457–2483. doi:10.1021/cr00039a007

Nagar, B., Bornmann, W. G., Pellicena, P., Schindler, T., Veach, D. R., Miller, W. T., et al. (2002). Crystal structures of the kinase domain of c-Abl in complex with the small molecule inhibitors PD173955 and imatinib (STI-571). *Cancer Res.* 62, 4236–4243.

Netzer, W. J., Bettayeb, K., Sinha, S. C., Flajolet, M., Greengard, P., and Bustos, V. (2017). Gleevec shifts APP processing from a β -cleavage to a nonamyloidogenic cleavage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 1389–1394. doi:10.1073/pnas.1620963114

Netzer, W. J., Dou, F., Cai, D., Veach, D., Jean, S., Li, Y., et al. (2003). Gleevec inhibits β -amyloid production but not Notch cleavage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100, 12444–12449. doi:10.1073/pnas.1534745100

Panza, F., Solfrizzi, V., Frisardi, V., Capurso, C., D'Introno, A., Colacicco, A. M., et al. (2009). Disease-modifying approach to the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Drugs and Aging* 26, 537–555. doi:10.2165/11315770-000000000-00000

Portelius, E., Van Broeck, B., Andreasson, U., Gustavsson, M. K., Mercken, M., Zetterberg, H., et al. (2010). Acute effect on the A β isoform pattern in CSF in response to γ -secretase modulator and inhibitor treatment in dogs. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 21, 1005–1012. doi:10.3233/jad-2010-100573

Ruiz-Castillo, P., and Buchwald, S. L. (2016). Applications of palladium-catalyzed C-N cross-coupling reactions. *Chem. Rev.* 116, 12564–12649. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00512

Rynearson, K. D., Ponnusamy, M., Prikhodko, O., Xie, Y., Zhang, C., Nguyen, P., et al. (2021). Preclinical validation of a potent γ -secretase modulator for Alzheimer's disease prevention. *J. Exp. Med.* 218, e20202560. doi:10.1084/jem.20202560

Sinha, A., Gindinova, K., Mui, E., Netzer, W. J., and Sinha, S. C. (2019). Development of kinase inactive PD173955 analogues for reducing production of A β peptides. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 10, 1430–1435. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00213

Sun, W., Netzer, W. J., Sinha, A., Gindinova, K., Chang, E., and Sinha, S. C. (2019). Development of Gleevec analogues for reducing production of β -amyloid peptides through shifting β -cleavage of amyloid precursor proteins. *J. Med. Chem.* 62, 3122–3134. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b02007

Zhao, J., Liu, X., Xia, W., Zhang, Y., and Wang, C. (2020). Targeting amyloidogenic processing of APP in alzheimer's disease. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 13, 137. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2020.00137