Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pedro Pinheiro, University of Lisbon, Portugal

REVIEWED BY William R. Schwan, University of Wisconsin–La Crosse, United States Gonçalo C. Justino, University of Lisbon, Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE Tanil Kocagoz Tanilkocagoz@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 03 November 2024 ACCEPTED 22 January 2025 PUBLISHED 11 February 2025

CITATION

Caglayan N, Sancak B, Kanlidere Z and Kocagoz T (2025) Discovery of amino acid substitutions in penicillin-binding proteins associated with adaptation to D-Ala-D-Lac in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 15:1522114. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2025.1522114

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Caglayan, Sancak, Kanlidere and Kocagoz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Discovery of amino acid substitutions in penicillin-binding proteins associated with adaptation to D-Ala-D-Lac in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*

Nese Caglayan^{1†}, Banu Sancak^{2†}, Zeynep Kanlidere³ and Tanil Kocagoz^{1,4*}

¹Department of Medical Biotechnology, Institute of Health Sciences, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydinlar University, Istanbul, Türkiye, ²Department of Medical Microbiology, School of Medicine, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Türkiye, ³Department of Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydinlar University, Istanbul, Türkiye, ⁴Department of Medical Microbiology, School of Medicine, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydinlar University, Istanbul, Türkiye

The bacterial cell wall, essential for structural integrity, is synthesized with penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) evades vancomycin by replacing D-Ala-D-Ala in their cell wall precursors with D-Ala-D-Lac, reducing the drug's effectiveness. However, how PBPs-which typically use D-Ala-D-Ala as a substrate-adapt to recognize D-Ala-D-Lac remains unclear. Here, we performed Sanger sequencing and alignment of PBP genes from both vancomycin-susceptible and -resistant E. faecalis strains to identify mutations, following amplification by PCR. We then applied homology modeling to assess structural impacts of these changes on PBPs and conducted docking studies to investigate ligand-binding interactions. For the first time, we identified specific adaptations in certain VRE PBPs that may facilitate the D-Ala-D-Lac utilization. We found that PBP1B, PBP2A, PBP3 showed changes, while PBP1A, PBP2B and PBP4 remained unchanged. Notably, a threonine-toasparagine substitution at location 491 in PBP1B leads to a shift in substrate preference from D-Ala-D-Ala to D-Ala-D-Lac. Similar structural changes in PBP3 suggest that the presence of changed and unchanged PBPs within the same classes suggests compensatory interactions, indicating a teamwork among multiple PBPs. These insights into PBPs provide a deeper understanding of D-Ala-D-Lac utilization in VRE, may be used to develop new therapeutic agents to combat vancomycin resistance.

KEYWORDS

vancomycin-resistant, enterococci, penicillin-binding proteins, PBP, bacterial cell wall, peptidoglycan

1 Introduction

The peptidoglycan in the bacterial cell wall is essential for maintaining cellular stability and protecting the bacterial cell from external osmotic pressures (Vollmer et al., 2008; Zapun et al., 2008a; Egan et al., 2015). Gram-positive bacteria have a substantially thicker peptidoglycan layer compared to gram-negative bacteria (Vollmer et al., 2008). Bacteria synthesize their peptidoglycan layer by employing penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) (Vollmer et al., 2008; Zapun et al., 2008a; Egan et al., 2015). PBPs are membrane-associated proteins situated in the periplasmic region and involved in the extracellular final step of cell wall synthesis (Egan et al., 2020). The position of PBPs makes them a most appropriate target for antimicrobial agents.

PBPs are divided into two categories according to their molecular mass: The high molecular weight (HMW) and the low molecular weight (LMW) PBPs (Sauvage et al., 2008). HMW PBPs contribute to the polymerization of peptidoglycan and its integration into the existing cell wall structure. LMW PBPs exhibit hydrolase activity, modulating the composition and structure of cell walls by cleaving peptide bonds during cell growth and division (Goffin and Ghuysen, 1998; Born et al., 2006). Depending on the structure and function, HMW PBPs are further classified into class A or class B and LMW PBPs class C (Sauvage et al., 2008). Furthermore, PBPs are divided into three categories based on their enzymatic activity. Class A PBPs are the most common bifunctional PBPs and exhibit transpeptidase and glycosyltransferase activities that are responsible for the crossbridges between peptides attached to neighboring polysaccharide chains. Class B PBPs are monofunctional proteins that possess only transpeptidase activity and Class C PBPs have carboxypeptidase and endopeptidase activities, which are responsible for peptidoglycan recycling (Vollmer and Bertsche, 2007; Sauvage et al., 2008; Pratt, 2008; Typas et al., 2011). Although the protein structures of PBPs vary according to bacterial species and its function, a PBP typically has three distinct domains: a N-terminal transmembrane domain anchoring the protein to the bacterial cell membrane, a nonpenicillin-binding domain acts as a flexible linker between an enzymatically functional C-terminal catalytic domain containing the catalytic serine (Sauvage et al., 2008; Pratt, 2008; Typas et al., 2011; Moon et al., 2018).

The catalytic serine is crucial for enzymatic activity and is strategically positioned within a distinct cleft known as the active cleft in the active site of PBPs. This region is prominently located within the transpeptidase domain and is defined by three highly conserved motifs. These motifs mark the active site and are involved in binding the substrate to the enzyme. *Motif1* (SxxK) contains the catalytic serine, which acts as a central component for initiating enzymatic reactions. *Motif1I* [(S/Y)xN] is responsible for the protonation of leaving group of beta-lactams, while *motif1II* (K(T/S)GT), with its distinctive sequence facilitates the binding between the enzyme and substrate (Ghuysen, 1991; Sauvage et al., 2008; Zapun et al., 2008b).

PBPs play a role in cross-linking the Lipid II stem pentapeptide (undecaprenyldiphospho-N-acetylmuramoyl-[N-acetylglucosamine]-L-alanyl- γ -D-glutamyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine), which is the final intermediate in the growing peptidoglycan layer (Nakagawa and Matsuhashi, 1982; Tomioka et al., 1982; Egan et al., 2015). Additionally, the cross-linkage between two glycan chains is achieved by the removal of the final amino acid residue D-alanine from one of the pentapeptides by glycosylation, followed by transpeptidation to the other pentapeptide ((Schleifer and Kandler, 1972; Rogers et al., 1980).

Vancomycin is a semisynthetic, glycopeptide type of antibacterial that is considered the 'last resort' treatment for multidrug-resistant infections caused by gram-positive bacteria (Moon et al., 2018). Vancomycin recognizes and binds specifically to the D-Ala-D-Ala residues at the ends of the pentapeptides; thus, inhibiting cross-linkage by preventing PBP binding. In vancomycin-resistant bacteria, peptidoglycan precursors are synthesized with ends consisting of D-Ala-D-Lac or D-Ala-D-Ser instead of D-Ala-D-Ala, which inhibits the binding of vancomycin (Boneca and Chiosis, 2003; Courvalin, 2005; Leclercq et al., 2017) because it has lower binding affinity to D-Ala-D-Lac and D-Ala-D-Ser than to the normal dipeptide (Bugg et al., 1991a). However, the identities of the PBP(s) that have the ability to bind to these new precursors (D-Ala-D-Lac or D-Ala-D-Ser) and continue to form cross-bridges in vancomycin-resistant isolates, are currently unknown. Moreover, it is not clear whether the binding abilities of existing PBPs to D-Ala-D-Lac or D-Ala-D-Ser are similar to that to D-Ala-D-Ala and whether this ability was acquired through mutations.

Vancomycin resistance is mediated by eleven gene clusters. The precursor ending with D-Ala-D-Lac is encoded by *vanA*, *B*, *D*, *F*, *I* and *M* clusters, whereas that of D-Ala-D-Ser is encoded by van*C*, *E*, *G*, *L* and *N* clusters (McKessar et al., 2000; Courvalin, 2005; Boyd et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2010; Lebreton et al., 2011). The most prevalent types of resistance globally are vanA and vanB, which are found on transposons Tn1546 (Arthur et al., 1993) and Tn1549 (Garnier et al., 2000), respectively. These types of resistance are primarily observed in *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecum* (Cetinkaya et al., 2000).

The vanA operon contains vanA, vanH and vanX, as well as the regulatory genes vanR and vanS. The VanS serves as a sensor that is auto phosphorylated upon detecting vancomycin. This phosphate group is then transferred to VanR, which becomes activated and binds to two promoters in the vanA gene cluster as a transcriptional activator (Arthur et al., 1993, 1997; Cetinkaya et al., 2000). VanA is a ligase that produces D-Ala-D-Lac instead of D-Ala-D-Ala (Bugg et al., 1991b), whereas VanH is a D-lactate dehydrogenase that forms the D-Lac pool (Arthur et al., 1991; Bugg et al., 1991a). VanX is a D, Ddipeptidase that digests D-Ala-D-Ala specifically; it has no activity against D-Ala-D-Lac. These operons are involved in decreasing the binding affinity of antibiotics to peptidoglycan precursors, which in the case of D-Ala-D-Lac, results in an affinity reduction of approximately 1000-fold (Reynolds et al., 1994; Cetinkaya et al., 2000). Although the process of converting D-Ala-D-Ala to D-Ala-D-Lac is fully understood, the manner wherein PBPs can use these new types of pentapeptide end groups is unknown. The aim of this study was to investigate whether PBPs are modified and the potential role of these modifications in adapting to the use of D-Ala-D-Lac instead of D-Ala-D-Ala.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Strains

Our experiments used five vancomycin-resistant and three vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* strains, which were frozen

stocks of clinical isolates. We identified the isolates to the species level using MALDI-TOF MS (Microflex LT; Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany). For this purpose, bacteria from freshly grown colonies were smeared on the MALDI-TOF plate and formic acid solution was added on the smears, before evaluation with the instrument. The frozen stocks of clinical isolates were inoculated on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) (Dehydrated infusion from Beef 300.0 g/ L; Casein hydrolysate 17.5 g/L; Starch 1.5 g/L, Agar 17 g/L) plates by single colony inoculation method and incubated at 37°C for approximately 24 hours. Single colonies were selected from the petri dishes and inoculated into Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) (5 ml, dehydrated infusion from Beef 300.0 g/L; Casein hydrolysate 17.5 g/ L; Starch 1.5 g/L., pH: 7.3 ± 0.1) medium in 50 ml of tubes and incubated in a shaking incubator at 37°C with a speed of 180 rpm overnight. The bacterial suspension was measured at an absorbance of 600 nm (OD600) using a NanoDrop One spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, US), and the concentration was adjusted to approximately 0.9 ± 0.1 . The vancomycin susceptibility of the strains was determined by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method (Hudzicki, 2009). All VRE included in the study were identified as vanA-type based on the detection of vanA gene using specific primers: 5'AATACTG TTTGGGGGGTTGCT3' and 5'GCTTGACTAACTGGCGAACT3' (Jelinkova et al., 2018).

2.2 DNA fingerprinting

The DNA of vancomycin-susceptible and -resistant *E. faecalis* strains were analyzed using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Briefly, bacterial colonies were selected from MHA plates and inoculated into 5 mL of MHB medium. The bacteria were grown overnight at 37°C and then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 2 minutes. The resulting pellet was washed twice with Solution-1 (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl) and resuspended in Solution-1. The final concentration of the bacterial suspension was adjusted to $OD_{620} = 5.0$. Bacterial cells were embedded in 0.15% low-melting agarose discs, which were prepared by dispensing 20 µL aliquots into parafilm-coated glass plates and cooling at -20° C for 5 minutes until solidified. To release bacterial DNA, the cell membrane of the embedded cells was lysed

using lysis solution-2 (6 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 100 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5% Na-lauryl sarcosine, 0.2% Na-deoxycholate, containing 5 µL/mL (10 mg/mL) RNase A, 5 µL/mL (20 mg/mL) lysozyme (Omega Bio-Tek, US) and 5 µL/mL (10 mg/mL) lysostaphin (ProSpec, Israel)) at 37°C for approximately 20 hours until the discs became completely transparent. The lysis solution was removed by sterile gauze, and the discs were incubated in Proteinase-K solution-3 (0.5 M EDTA pH 9.0, 1% sarcosyl containing 1mg/ml Proteinase-K) at 65°C for at least 18 hours to digest the residues. The DNA discs were washed five times using 10 mL of TE buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA pH 8) for 30 minutes each time. The DNA was digested with the restriction enzyme SmaI (New England Biolabs, UK) at 37°C for one hour. The digested DNA was then run in a 1% pulsed-field certified agarose gel using the PFGE CHEF DRII apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) for 18 hours at 6 V/cm, with pulse times of 5 seconds for the first switch and 35 seconds for the last switch. After electrophoresis, the PFGE gel was stained with ethidium bromide and imaged using the ChemiDoc MP Basic Imaging System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, US).

2.3 Data collection

The PBP gene sequences displayed in Table 1 belong to the vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis* V583 strain, which was the first such strain to have its whole genome sequenced (Table 1) (Paulsen et al., 2003). Furthermore, PBP amino acid sequences of selected complete genome-analyzed VRE and VSE strains were retrieved from the GenBank database for comparison with the PBP sequences of clinical strains (Table 2).

2.4 Primer designing, DNA isolation and amplification of PBP genes

The primers were designed with reference to the PBP genes presented in Table 1 using Primer BLAST (The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) online bioinformatics tool (Altschul et al., 1990). In order to enhance the precision of the Sanger sequencing method, the PBP genes, which are larger than 2000 bp, were amplified in three overlapping fragments.

TABLE 1 Classification of penicillin-binding proteins of vancomycin-resistant strain E. faecalis V583.

	Class	Protein	Locus tag	Protein ID
	Class A	PBP1A	EF_1148	AAO80948.1
HMW ^a		PBP2A	EF_0680	AAO80501.1
		PBP1B	EF_1740	AAO81514.1
	Class B	PBP4	EF_2476	AAO82193.1
		PBPC/3	EF_0991	AAO82193.1
		PBP2B	EF_2857	AAO82549.1
LMW ^b	Class C	D-alanyl-D-alanine carboxypeptidase	EF_3129	AAO82807.1
		PBP/Serine hydrolase	EF_0746	AAO80564.1

^aHigh Molecular Weight;

^bLow Molecular Weight

Strain	Vancomycin resistance	Accession number	Protein ID. PBP1B	Protein ID. PBP2A	Protein ID. PBP3	Reference
E. faecalis V583	VRE	AE016830.1	AAO81514.1	AAO80501.1	AAO80797.1	Paulsen et al., 2003
E. faecalis ATCC 51299	VRE	JSES0000000.1	KGQ74720.1	KGQ75158.1	KGQ73849.1	Sung et al., 2015
E. faecalis ATCC 29212	VSE	CP008816.1	AIL04223.1	AIL05477.1	AIL03712.1	Minogue et al., 2014
E. faecalis D32	VSE	NC017316.1	AFO44358.1	AFO43389.1	AFO43695.1	Zischka et al., 2012
E. faecalis 62	VSE	CP003726.1	ADX80336.1	ADX79303.1	ADX79669.1	Brede et al., 2011

TABLE 2 Information of the reference strains, vancomycin resistance status, protein accession numbers of PBP1B, PBP2A and PBP3.

Bacteria were disrupted by microbead homogenization in a lysis solution (TE buffer containing 5 μ g/mL lysozyme and 10 μ g/mL lysostaphin). Bacterial genomic DNA was purified using the Omega E.Z.N.A.[®] Bacterial DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The amplification of PBP genes was conducted using isolated genomic DNA extracted from E. faecalis strains. The reactions were performed using the MyTaq HS DNA Polymerase (Bioline, US) with designed primers for each PBP gene. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) mixtures were prepared in 50 µL volumes containing following components: 5X MyTaq Buffer (10 µL, 5 mM dNTPs, 15 mM MgCl₂), MyTaq Hot Start DNA Polymerase (1 µL), forward and reverse primers (1 µL, 20 µM each), template DNA as required (50 ng/µL), adjusted to 50 µL with nuclease-free water. PCR was performed on a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, US) using the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 minutes, denaturation at 95°C for 15 seconds, annealing at the optimal temperature for each primer pairs for 15 seconds, extension at 72°C for 15 seconds for 34 cycles of amplification and final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. (The detailed information of primers and annealing temperatures is provided in Supplementary Table 2).

The amplified PBP genes were analyzed via agarose gel electrophoresis to confirm the expected band sizes and visualized under UV light following staining with ethidium bromide. The confirmed DNA bands were extracted from the gel. Nucleotide sequences were read using the Sanger sequencing method with the service of GATC Biotech AG in Germany.

2.5 Bioinformatics analysis

The PBP gene sequences were converted to amino acid sequences according to reading frames using EXPASY translate tool (Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Switzerland) and aligned and compared using the ClustalW algorithm (Thompson et al., 1994) in Jalview software (Waterhouse et al., 2009). The conserved motifs were analyzed and the active site of each PBP was identified by determining the positions of the active serine (S) residues (Table 3).

2.6 Modeling and docking studies of the PBPs

PBPs of VRE and VSE were modeled using the SWISS-MODEL Homology Modeling (Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Switzerland)

online tool by comparing amino acid sequences to previously modeled PBPs present in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Waterhouse et al., 2018). The ligand binding dynamics were analyzed in UCSF Chimera version 1.16 (Pettersen et al., 2004) by using AutoDock Vina (Trott and Olson, 2009; Eberhardt et al., 2021) software. Binding site was defined by the detection of the active serine containing motif I. A rectangular docking box was formed around the transpeptidase domain of the protein, and the ligand was positioned inside the docking box, close to the active site. The dimensions of the docking box, approximately 46x46x51 Å, were defined to encompass the whole transpeptidase domain where the active site is located, ensuring that the ligands had sufficient space to explore binding conformations. This ensures the active site is adequately covered while avoiding non-relevant areas of the protein. The ligands were initially at a distance of approximately 30 Å from the active serine, allowing for unbiased exploration of potential binding poses during docking simulations. This placement was adequate to enable both interactions with the active site and the movement of the ligands without restriction. The ligands L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Lac and L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala were prepared using ChemDraw (PerkinElmer Informatics, US) in pdbqt format. The 3D binding patterns and affinities of each ligand on both VSE and VRE PBPs were determined and compared. The three-dimensional (3D) models

TABLE 3 Amino acid residue changes detected in penicillinbinding proteins.

Protein	Substitutions	Active Site indicating motif I	Catalytic Serine Location
PBP1A	None	STVK	417
PBP1B	T491N	STIK	450
PBP2A	K336G	SSLK	402
PBP2B	None	SVVK	406
PBP3	N326D, L345I, S350T, A353S, I354M, L359Q, S364L, I368V, T372Q, T376V, I378V, V383Y, G384T, N385R, K387N, D388G, T390E, S391T, T393N, N408K, R412K, G415D, D416E, V419M, S429T, T436S, S437G, H439S, T440A, D445G, N446T, T447N, I448F, N453M, G460A	STIK	343
PBP4	None	STFK	424

were visualized using Chimera X version 1.3 (Goddard et al., 2017; Pettersen et al., 2020).

3 Results

In the VRE strains included in this study, no substitutions were detected in PBP1A, PBP2B and PBP4, in contrast, substitutions leading to structural changes were detected in PBP1B, PBP2A and PBP3. In the comparative analyses conducted with the PBPs of VRE strains from the GenBank database, the same substitutions were identified in PBP1B and PBP2A, aligning with the findings of this study. However, the thirty-five substitutions detected in PBP3 in the study strains were not observed in the PBP3 of VRE strains from the database; instead, these strains exhibited an equal number of amino acid changes in PBP2B. A further finding was that the amino acid sequences of the strains in the GenBank database were not different from those of the strains analyzed in this study.

Moreover, among the five VRE strains, VRE4 and VRE5 as well as VRE6 and VRE7, shared similar DNA fingerprint patterns, indicating that VRE4 and VRE5, are the same strains isolated from different patients, as are VRE6 and VRE7. However, VRE4-5, VRE6-7 and VRE8 differed in their DNA fingerprint patterns, indicating that three different strains of VRE were included in this study. All three VSE strains used to determine PBP sequences differed in their DNA fingerprint patterns and were thus different strains (Figure 1).

PBP1B had a different amino acid, asparagine (Asn or N), at codon 491 in all VREs, including the strains, the sequence data of which were obtained from the GenBank database. There was a threonine (Thr or T), at this position, in all VSE analyzed except VSE3, which is identical with VRE strains at this site (Figure 2). This amino acid is located inside the active cleft, opposite the catalytic serine (Figures 3A, C). This change from threonine to asparagine replaces the negative polar group (OH) with a positive polar group (NH₂) on the side chain, which decreases the binding affinities to L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala and penicillin (Table 4). Similarly, in PBP2A, we found VSE and VRE had a lysine (Lys or K) or glycine (Gly or G), respectively, at codon 336 (Figure 2) and the structural position of this substitution is shown in the protein model (Figure 4). PBP3 shared the same amino acid sequences in all



PRAIR	460	470	490	400	500	E10	520	520
	400	4/0	40U	490		910	520	530
AAO81514.1_V583_PBP1B	PAIDQGII	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	STNVDLNQFV	TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
KGQ74720.1_ATCC_51299_PBP1B	PAIDQGII	GSESRLANYPT	IYADGREFVN	SINVDLNQFV	IVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LHKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
AIL04223.1_ATCC_29212_PBP1B	PAIDQGLI	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	ISTTVDLNQFV	TVRNALNWSF/	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLSI
AFO44358.1_D32_PBP1B	PAIDQGLI	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	ISTTVDLNQFV	/TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
ADX80336.1_62_PBP1B	PAIDQGLI	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	ISTTVDLNQFV	/TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
VSE1_PBP1B.	PAIDQGLI	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	STTVDLNQFV	/TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLSI
VSE2_PBP1B.	PAIDQGII	GSESRLANYTT	TYSDGTPFAN	STTVDLNQFV	/TVRNALNWSF	NIPVYHVNEE	LKKKKGDDN	FSYNHYLS
VSE3_PBP1B.	PAIDQGLI	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGTEFVN	STNVDLNQFV	TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
VRE4_PBP1B	PAIDQGII	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	STNVDLNQFV	/TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
VRE5_PBP1B	PAIDQGII	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	STNVDLNQFV	TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
VRE6_PBP1B	PAIDQGII	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	STNVDLNQFV	TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
VRE7_PBP1B	PAIDQGII	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	STNVDLNQFV	TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
VRE8_PBP1B	PAIDQGII	GSESRLANYPT	TYADGREFVN	STNVDLNQFV	TVRNALNWSF	NIPVVHVNNE	LRKKMGDDN	FSYNHYLS
DRD2A								
FDFZA	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370
	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1
AAO80501.1_V583_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGYI	KIYT SLNQ GY	QDAMDATYKNI	DALFPPNAED	GAMVQSGSVA	AIDPKTGGV
KGQ75158.1_ATCC_51299_PBP2	AYPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGYI	< I Y T S L NQ G Y	QDAMDATYKNI	DALFPPNAEDO	GAMVQSGSVA	AIDPKTGGV
AIL05477.1_ATCC_29212_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGYI	< I Y T S L NQ K Y (QDAMDATYKNI	DT L F P P N A E D (GAMVQSGSVA	AIDPKTGGV
AFO43389.1_D32_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGYI	< I Y T S L NQ K Y (QDAMDATYKNI	DALFPPNAEDO	GAMVQSGSVA	AIDPKTGGV
ADX79303.1_62_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGYI	KIYT SLNQ K YO	QDAMDATYKNI	OT L F P P N A E D O	GAMVQSGSVA	AIDPKTGGV
VSE1_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGYI	KIYT SLNQ K YO	QDAMDATYKNI	DALFPPNAEDO	GAMVQSGSVA	AIDPKTGGV
VSE2_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGYI	KIYTSLNQKY	QDAMDATYKNI	DALFPPNAEDO	GAMVQSGSVA	AIDPKTGGV
VSE3_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGY	KIYT SLNQ K YO	QDAMDATYKNI	DALFPPNAEDO	GAMVQSGSVA	IDPKTGGV
VRE4_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGYI	KIYT SLNQ GY	QDAMDATYKNI	DALFPPNAED	GAMVQSGSVA	AIDPKTGGV
VRE5_PBP2A	YPYYFDAV	IDEAVNRYKF	KEEDILNKGY	KIYT SLNQ GY	QDAMDATYKNI	DALFPPNAEDO	GAMVQSGSVA	IDPKTGGV
PBP3	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390
	320	1 1	340	350	300	3/0	300	390
AAO80797.1_V583_PBP3	TKQGLDDN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTIKLF	T T A A SM EQ GC	FNPNELFNRV	GGIQVGDVTV	NDHDYTRLN	GKEYLNYRO
KGQ73849.1_ATCC_51299_PBP3	TKQGLDDN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTIKLF	T T A A SM EQ GC	FNPNELFNRV	GGIQVGDVTV	NDHDYTRLN	GKEYLNYRO
AIL03712.1_ATCC_29212_PBP3	TKQGLDDN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTIKLF	T T A A SM EQ GC	FNPNELFNRV	GGIQVGDVTV	NDHDYTRLN	GKEYLNYRO
AFO43695.1_D32_PBP3	TKQGLDDN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTIKLF	T T A A SM EQ GC	FNPNELFNRV	GGIQVGDVTV	NDHDYTRLN	GKEYLNYRO
ADX79669.1_62_PBP3	TKQGLDDN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTIKLF	T T A A SM EQ GC	FNPNELFNRV	GGIQVGDVTV	NDHDYTRLN	IGKEYLNYRO
VSE1_PBP3	TKQGLDNN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTLKLF	TSAAAIEQGL	FNPNESFNRI	GGITVGDTTI	NDHDVGNLK	DKTSLTYRO
VSE2_PBP3	TKQGLDNN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTLKLF	TSAAAIEQGL	FNPNESFNRI	GGITVGDTTI	NDHDVGNLK	DKTSLTYRO
VSE3_PBP3	TKQGLDNN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTLKLF	TSAAAIEQGL	FNPNESFNRI	GGITVGDTTI	NDHDVGNLK	DKTSLTYRO
VRE4_PBP3	TKQGLDNN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTLKLF	TSAAAIEQGL	FNPNESFNRI	GGITVGDTTI	NDHDVGNLK	DKTSLTYRO
VRE5_PBP3	TKQGLDDN	GTWQNLLVESP	YEPGSTIKLF	T T A A SM EQ GC	FNPNELFNRV	GGIQVGDVTV	NDHDYTRLN	GKEYLNYR
	400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470
AAO80797.1 V583 PBP3	WSSNIGMV	K L EOKMODEKV	MEYLKKEGEG	TSTHSGLSG	SAGKLPGTNE	VDBAMSAFGO	AITVTNEOM	IMKGESAIA
KG073849 1 ATCC 51299 PBP3	WSSNIGMV	KI FOKMGDEKV	MEYLKKEGEG	TSTHSGLSG	SAGKLPGTNE	VDBAMSAEGO	ALTVINEOM	MKGESALAN
All 03712 1 ATCC 29212 PRP3	WSSNIGMV	KI FOKMGDEKV	MEYLKKEGEG	TSTHSGLSG	SAGKLEGTNE	VDBAMSAFGO	ALTVINEOM	MKGESALAN
AE0/3605 1 D32 PRP3	WSSNIGMV		MEVIKKEGEG	TSTHSGLEGE	SAGKIPGTNE	VDRAMSAFGO	ALTVINEOM	MKGESALAN
ADX70660 1 62 PBP3	WSSNIGMV		MEYLKKEGEG	TSTHSGLEGE	SAGKLEGTNE	VDBAMSAFGO	ALTVINEOM	MKGESALAN
Vert DDD2	WEENLOWV		MEVIKKEGEG	COTHOLITOR		VDDANSAFOO	GITVINEON	MKGEGALAN
	WEENLOWV			COT LOCITOR		VDDANCAFGO	CLTVTNEQM	MKOEGALA
VOE2_PDP3	WEENLOWV			COT LOCITOR		VDDANSAFGU	CLEVENCOM	MKCERALAN
VOEA DDDD	WOONLOWV		V ET LKKFGFG	DOT HOOL TO		VDRANSAFGQ	GITVINFQM	MKGFSAIAI
VRE4_PBP3	WSSNIGMV	NLEQHMGGDKV	VEYLKKFGFG	SSTHSGLTSE	GKLPDNTI	VUHANSAFGO	GITVINFQM	IMKGFSAIAI
VHE5_PBP3	WSSNIGMV	KLEQKMGDEKV	/M∐ EYLKKFGFG	STHSGL <mark>SG</mark>	SAGKLPGTNF	VURAMISAFGQ	AITVTNFQM	IMKGFSAIAI
2								
acid alignment of PBPs (PBP1B. PBP	2A and PBP3)	rom vancom	cin-resistant	and vancomy	cin-susceptibl	e E. faecalis	strains. Ami
	/							
cos of PRPs wore aligned	d to identify	substitutions i	n V/DE and V/S	Estrains The	alignment inc	ludos four VD	E strains (V/E	27 and ATC
ces of PBPs were aligned	d to identify	substitutions i	n VRE and VS	E strains. The	alignment inc	ludes four VR	E strains (V58	83 and ATC

99 sequ ١d from clinical isolates VSE1, VSE2 and VSE3). For PBP1B, additional VRE strains (VRE6, VRE7 and VRE8) were included to increase sample size. Amino acid differences between resistant and susceptible strains are highlighted, with emphasis on conserved substitutions and their positions in aligned PBPs

VSE and VRE4. However, in VRE5, we found a major change affecting 35 amino acids (Figure 2) located in the region forming the active site of the transpeptidase domain of PBP3 (Figure 5).

4 Discussion

Over the past few decades, VRE has emerged as a critical agent of nosocomial infections. In 2019, VRE were responsible for 30% of hospital-acquired infections and related deaths in the USA (2019 Antibiotic Resistance Threats Report, 2024). A recent study by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control reported an approximately twofold increase in VRE infections between 2007 and 2015, followed by a 2.5-fold increase from 2016 to 2020 (Merk et al., 2022). Furthermore, the emergence of vancomycin resistance in methicillin-resistant staphylococci (MRSA) represents a significant cause for concern (Kanlidere et al., 2018; Lade and Kim, 2023).

Understanding the mechanisms of vancomycin resistance is crucial for developing new therapeutic strategies to treat serious infections caused by VRE. Although the changes in the glycan side chain responsible for vancomycin resistance are well established (Bugg et al., 1991a; Arthur et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2018; Stogios and Savchenko, 2020), the question of how PBPs that typically utilize D-Ala-D-Ala as a substrate during transpeptidation can adapt to use D-Ala-D-Lac remains unclear so far. Previous research has demonstrated that specific amino acid substitutions in PBPs are capable of developing resistance to β -lactam antibiotics (Jakubu et al., 2024). In this study, we investigated potential amino acid changes in the PBPs of VRE that may allow for the accommodation of these modified peptide side chains during transpeptidation.

We discovered mutations in three of the six HMW PBPs present in VRE, which led to alterations in the 3D structure of these proteins. The changed PBPs were PBP1B, PBP2A and PBP3, while the sequences of PBP1A, PBP2B and PBP4 remained identical to those found in VSE. Particularly, the change from threonine to asparagine at position 491 in PBP1B results in a shift from a negatively to a positively polar group. Threonine residues, which are commonly found in functional centers of proteins, possess a reactive hydroxyl group capable of forming hydrogen bonds with



Representative model of PBP1B showing mutation point and ligand binding in the active site. (A) VSE PBP1B and the native ligand (L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala) complex. (B) Surface representation of VSE PBP1B and docked native ligand. (C) Asn-modified VRE PBP1B and changed ligand (L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Lac) complex. (D) Surface representation of VRE PBP1B and docked altered ligand.

various polar substrates. In contrast, asparagine, another polar amino acid, is typically located on the protein surface where it interacts with an aqueous environment and frequently involves in active or binding sites. Its polar side chain is highly reactive, readily interacting with other polar or charged atoms. Our 3D model of PBP1B revealed that this amino acid substitution occurs at the active site of the transpeptidase domain. Molecular docking analysis further showed that the Asp-modified PBP1B had a higher affinity for D-Ala-D-Lac, whereas the wild-type PBP1B showed a preference for D-Ala-D-Ala (Table 4). Since D-Lac moiety contains a negatively charged group which is expected to be attracted to the positive polar group of asparagine, this may explain the affinity increase to D-Ala-D-Lac by this amino acid change. Furthermore, the analysis of structural models indicates that the Asn substitution induces minor conformational changes in the binding site, resulting in the formation of a more compacted region (Figure 3D) in comparison to the presence of Thr (Figure 3B). This change may optimize the active cleft for D-Ala-D-Lac binding. These results suggest a selective adaptation in which VRE develops mutations that provide a functional advantage in the presence of antibiotics targeting cell wall synthesis. This finding aligns with the observed tendency of PBPs in VRE to utilize D-Ala-D-Lac moieties instead of D-Ala-D-Ala.

A substitution from lysine to glycine at position 336 of PBP2A was identified (Figure 4). The position of this substitution, while relatively distal to the active site, is proximal to the transmembrane domain of PBP2A. Binding affinity scores showed similar values for

D-Ala-D-Ala in both VRE and VSE PBP2A (-6.1 and -6.2 kcal/mol, respectively). However, VSE PBP2A exhibited a reduced affinity for D-Ala-D-Lac (-5.5 kcal/mol) compared to VRE PBP2A (-6.1 kcal/mol) (Table 4). The substitution of Lys to Gly at this position may

TABLE 4	The results of	receptor	binding	affinity	analysis	for	both	the
native an	d modified liga	ands.						

Receptor	Ligand	Score* (kcal/mol)		
VSE PBP1B	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala	-6.2		
VRE PBP1B	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala	-5.5		
VSE PBP1B	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Lac	-5.6		
VRE PBP1B	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Lac	-6.1		
VSE PBP2A	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala	-6.2		
VRE PBP2A	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala	-6.1		
VSE PBP2A	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Lac	-5.5		
VRE PBP2A	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Lac	-6.1		
VSE PBP3	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala	-5.4		
VRE PBP3	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala	-5.5		
VSE PBP3	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Lac	-5.7		
VRE PBP3	L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Lac	-5.6		

*Scores refer to binding free energy values, where a lower score indicates higher binding stability.



FIGURE 4

Representative model of PBP2A showing mutation point wherein VRE and VSE strains differ. The amino acids in the mutation point are shown in the zoomed-in area and are labelled in red and green for VRE and VSE, respectively.



FIGURE 5

Representative model of PBP3 showing changes on transpeptidase domain. The green line (**D**) is framing a changed region that creates a barrier-like structure at the entrance of the active cleft of VRE PBP3 with the replacement of asparagine by arginine at residue 385. (**A**, **B**) VSE PBP3 and L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala complex and surface representation.

have effects on flexibility and stability of the protein in the proximity of the membrane interface, with the potential to mediate substrate accessibility.

Another significant change affecting 35 amino acids surrounding the enzyme's active site was found in the transpeptidase domain of PBP3 of VRE5 strain. These substitutions result in significant structural changes in the protein. A noteworthy change was observed at position 385 from asparagine (Asn or N) to arginine (Arg or R) which formed a barrier-like structure at the entrance of the active cleft. Although this barrier-like structure appears to narrow the active cleft and is supposed to have a negative impact on the substrate binding, no significant difference in binding affinity values were observed in both ligands. This result may indicate that the active cleft, even in its narrowed state, possesses sufficient opening to accommodate such small molecules as D-Ala-D-Ala or D-Ala-D-Lac. Furthermore, substitutions indicated exceptions between some VRE and VSE strains. The VSE3 strain was identified to possess the same mutation at position 491 in PBP1B as resistant strains and a similar exception was also observed for VRE4 in PBP3, in line with the susceptible strains. The presence of identical mutations between VRE and VSE strains may be attributed to horizontal gene transfer or spontaneous mutation. Despite the similarity in DNA fingerprinting patterns observed between VRE4 and VRE5, it was noted that a large number of mutations were observed only in VRE5 in PBP3. This finding can be explained by the absence of SmaI restriction enzyme cutting site, which was used in DNA fingerprint analysis, in both wild type and mutated sequences of PBPs. It has been demonstrated through protein modeling that these changes resulted in the formation of a barrier-like structure at the entrance of the active cleft, which was not previously present. These conformational changes may have created an allosteric site in the protein. It would be essential to confirm this information through further structural and functional analyses.

The production of D-Ala-D-Lac by the genes of van operon is under very strict control. Because D-Ala-D-Ala units are not converted to D-Ala-D-Lac in the absence of vancomycin, changes in only three of six HMW PBPs of VRE allow for cell wall synthesis to proceed similarly to that of unchanged PBPs. The observation that a PBP within class A is changed while another remains unchanged, with similar patterns in class B, suggests a coordinated compensatory mechanism among multiple PBPs. It is logical to think that vancomycin susceptible enterococci would prefer D-Ala-D-Ala ends of the pentapeptides like many other species of bacteria as these groups are selected as optimal groups to make cross-bridges in peptidoglycan synthesis during evolution of bacteria for millions of years. D-Ala-D-Lac groups emerged due to the selective pressure of vancomycin. Any such change creates a disadvantage for bacterial growth however bacteria have no other choice in the presence of vancomycin. Therefore, it is advantageous for bacteria to modify the end of pentapeptides to D-Ala-D-Lac groups in the presence of vancomycin. If all PBPs were mutated to adapt to this change the bacteria would lose its ability to produce cell wall at its original speed. However, by keeping half of their PBPs at their original conformation they can multiply as other vancomycin susceptible enterococci in the absence of vancomycin. These adaptive changes among PBPs reveal insights into the cooperative roles of different PBPs in cell wall synthesis. Notably, D-Ala-D-Ala may remain the preferred substrate in the absence of vancomycin, highlighting a contextdependent functionality of these proteins.

Our results provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying D-Ala-D-Lac utilization during transpeptidation. This information may be useful for developing novel therapeutic agents to combat VRE. Since β -lactam antibiotics are analogues of D-Ala-D-Ala, our study revealed their reduced affinity for mutated PBPs in VRE. Therefore, the design of D-Ala-D-Lac analogues could potentially inhibit the activity of mutated PBPs, offering a promising strategy for the treatment of VRE infections.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

NC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. BS: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. ZK: Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. TK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) (grant numbers 118S502).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Neval Yurttutan Uyar and Acibadem Laboratories for providing enterococci isolates.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

2019 Antibiotic Resistance Threats Report. (2024). Available online at: https://www.cdc.gov/antimicrobial-resistance/data-research/threats/index.html (Accessed October 9, 2024).

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215 (3), 403–410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05) 80360-2

Arthur, M., Molinas, C., Dutka-Malen, S., and Courvalin, P. (1991). Structural relationship between the vancomycin resistance protein VanH and 2-hydroxycarboxylic acid dehydrogenases. *Gene* 103, 133–134. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119 (91)90405-z

Arthur, M., Molinas, C., Bugg, T. D., Wright, G. D., Walsh, C. T., and Courvalin, P. (1992). Evidence for *in vivo* incorporation of D-lactate into peptidoglycan precursors of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemotherapy* 36, 867–869. doi: 10.1128/aac.36.4.867

Arthur, M., Molinas, C., Depardieu, F., and Courvalin, P. (1993). Characterization of Tn1546, a Tn3-related transposon conferring glycopeptide resistance by synthesis of depsipeptide peptidoglycan precursors in Enterococcus faecium BM4147. *J. Bacteriology* 175, 117–127. doi: 10.1128/jb.175.1.117-127.1993

Arthur, M., Depardieu, F., Gerbaud, G., Galimand, M., Leclercq, R., and Courvalin, P. (1997). The VanS sensor negatively controls VanR-mediated transcriptional activation of glycopeptide resistance genes of Tn1546 and related elements in the absence of induction. *J. Bacteriology* 179, 97–106. doi: 10.1128/jb.179.1.97-106.1997

Boneca, I. G., and Chiosis, G. (2003). Vancomycin resistance: occurrence, mechanisms and strategies to combat it. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 7, 311–328. doi: 10.1517/14728222.7.3.311

Born, P., Breukink, E., and Vollmer, W. (2006). *In vitro* synthesis of cross-linked murein and its attachment to sacculi by PBP1A from Escherichia coli. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 26985–26993. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M604083200

Boyd, D. A., Willey, B. M., Fawcett, D., Gillani, N., and Mulvey, M. R. (2008). Molecular Characterization of Enterococcus faecalis N06-0364 with Low-Level Vancomycin Resistance Harboring a Novel d -Ala- d -Ser Gene Cluster, vanL. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemotherapy* 52, 2667–2672. doi: 10.1128/aac.01516-07

Brede, D. A., Snipen, L. G., Ussery, D. W., Nederbragt, A. J., and Nes, I. F. (2011). Complete genome sequence of the commensal Enterococcus faecalis 62, isolated from a healthy Norwegian infant. *J. Bacteriology* 193, 2377–2378. doi: 10.1128/JB.00183-11

Bugg, T. D., Dutka-Malen, S., Arthur, M., Courvalin, P., and Walsh, C. T. (1991b). Identification of vancomycin resistance protein VanA as a D-alanine:D-alanine ligase of altered substrate specificity. *Biochemistry* 30, 2017–2021. doi: 10.1021/bi00222a002

Bugg, T. D., Wright, G. D., Dutka-Malen, S., Arthur, M., Courvalin, P., and Walsh, C. T. (1991a). Molecular basis for vancomycin resistance in Enterococcus faecium BM4147: biosynthesis of a depsipeptide peptidoglycan precursor by vancomycin resistance proteins VanH and VanA. *Biochemistry* 30, 10408–10415. doi: 10.1021/ bi00107a007

Cetinkaya, Y., Falk, P., and Mayhall, C. G. (2000). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 13, 686–707. doi: 10.1128/cmr.13.4.686

Courvalin, P. (2005). Vancomycin resistance in gram-positive cocci. Clin. Infect. Dis. 42, S25–S34. doi: 10.1086/491711

Eberhardt, J., Santos-Martins, D., Tillack, A. F., and Forli, S. (2021). AutoDock Vina 1.2.0: new docking methods, expanded force field, and Python bindings. *J. Chem. Inf. Modeling* 61, 3891–3898. doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.1c00203

Egan, A. J. F., Errington, J., and Vollmer, W. (2020). Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis and remodelling. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 18, 446–460. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-0366-3

Egan, A. J., Biboy, J., van't Veer, I., Breukink, E., and Vollmer, W. (2015). Activities and regulation of peptidoglycan synthases. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 370, 20150031. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0031 or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2025.1522114/ full#supplementary-material

Garnier, F., Taourit, S., Glaser, P., Courvalin, P., and Galimand, M. (2000). Characterization of transposon Tn1549, conferring VanB-type resistance in Enterococcus spp. The GenBank accession number for the 33803 bp sequence of Tn1549 is AJ192329. *Microbiology* 146, 1481–1489. doi: 10.1099/00221287-146-6-1481

Ghuysen, J.-M. (1991). Serine B-lactamases and penicillin-binding proteins. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 45, 37-67. doi: 10.1146/annurev.mi.45.100191.000345

Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Meng, E. C., Pettersen, E. F., Couch, G. S., Morris, J. H., et al. (2017). UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci.* 27, 14–25. doi: 10.1002/pro.3235

Goffin, C., and Ghuysen, J. M. (1998). Multimodular penicillin-binding proteins: an enigmatic family of orthologs and paralogs. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Reviews: MMBR* 62, 1079–1093. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.62.4.1079-1093.1998

Hudzicki, J. (2009). Kirby-Bauer Disk Diffusion Susceptibility Test Protocol. Available online at: www.asmscience.orghttps://asm.org/getattachment/2594ce26bd44-47f6-8287-0657aa9185ad/Kirby-Bauer-Disk-Diffusion-Susceptibility-Test-Protocol-pdf.pdf.

Jakubu, V., Vrbova, I., Bitar, I., Cechova, M., Malisova, L., and Zemlickova, H. (2024). Evolution of mutations in the ftsI gene leading to amino acid substitutions in PBP3 in Haemophilus influenzae strains under the selective pressure of ampicillin and cefuroxime. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 316, 151626. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2024.151626

Jelinkova, P., Splichal, Z., Jimenez, A. M.J., Haddad, Y., Mazumdar, A., Sur, V. P., et al. (2018). Novel vancomycin-peptide conjugate as potent antibacterial agent against vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infection Drug Resistance* 11, 1807–1817. doi: 10.2147/idr.s160975

Kanlidere, Z., Karatuna, O., and Kocagöz, T. (2018). Rapid detection of betalactamase production including carbapenemase by thin layer chromatography. *J. Microbiological Methods* 156, 15–19. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2018.11.016

Lade, H., and Kim, J.-S. (2023). Molecular determinants of β -lactam resistance in methicillin-resistant *staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA): an updated review. *Antibiotics* 12, 1362. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics12091362

Lebreton, F., Depardieu, F., Bourdon, N., Fines-Guyon, M., Berger, P., Camiade, S., et al. (2011). d -Ala- d -Ser VanN-Type Transferable Vancomycin Resistance in Enterococcus faecium. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemotherapy* 55, 4606–4612. doi: 10.1128/aac.00714-11

Leclercq, S., Derouaux, A., Olatunji, S., Fraipont, C., Egan, A. J., Vollmer, W., et al. (2017). Interplay between Penicillin-binding proteins and SEDS proteins promotes bacterial cell wall synthesis. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 43306. doi: 10.1038/srep43306

McKessar, S. J., Berry, A. M., Bell, J. M., Turnidge, J. D., and Paton, J. C. (2000). Genetic Characterization of vanG, a Novel Vancomycin Resistance Locus of Enterococcus faecalis. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemotherapy* 44, 3224–3228. doi: 10.1128/aac.44.11.3224-3228.2000

Merk, H., Högberg, L. D., Plachouras, D., Suetens, C., and Monnet, D. L. (2022). Assessing the health burden of infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU/EEA 2016-2020 (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control). doi: 10.2900/73460

Minogue, T. D., Daligault, H. E., Davenport, K. W., Broomall, S. M., Bruce, D. C., Chain, P. S., et al. (2014). Complete genome assembly of enterococcus faecalis 29212, a laboratory reference strain. *Genome announcements* 2, e00968–e00914. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00968-14

Moon, T. M., D'Andréa, É. D., Lee, C. W., Soares, A., Jakoncic, J., Desbonnet, C., et al. (2018). The structures of penicillin-binding protein 4 (PBP4) and PBP5 from Enterococci provide structural insights into β -lactam resistance. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 18574–18584. doi: 10.1074/jbc.ra118.006052

Nakagawa, J.-I., and Matsuhashi, M. (1982). Molecular divergence of a major peptidoglycan synthetase with transglycosylase - transpeptidase activities in Escherichia coli — Penicillin-binding protein 1Bs. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 105, 1546–1553. doi: 10.1016/0006-291x(82)90964-0 Paulsen, I. T., Banerjei, L., Myers, G. S., Nelson, K. E., Seshadri, R., Read, T. D., et al. (2003). Role of mobile DNA in the evolution of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 299, 2071–2074. doi: 10.1126/science.1080613

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., et al. (2004). UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25, 1605–1612. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20084

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Meng, E. C., Couch, G. S., Croll, T. I., et al. (2020). UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* 30, 70–82. doi: 10.1002/pro.3943

Pratt, R. F. (2008). Substrate specificity of bacterial DD-peptidases (penicillinbinding proteins). *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 65, 2138–2155. doi: 10.1007/s00018-008-7591-7

Reynolds, P. E., Depardieu, F., Dutka-Malen, S., Arthur, M., and Courvalin, P. (1994). Glycopeptide resistance mediated by enterococcal transposon Tn 1546 requires production of VanX for hydrolysis of D-alanyl-D-alanine. *Mol. Microbiol.* 13, 1065–1070. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1994.tb00497.x

Rogers, H. J., Perkins, H. R., and Ward, J. B. (1980). *Microbial cell walls and membranes* (Chapman & Hall, London: Springer eBooks). doi: 10.1007/978-94-011-6014-8

Sauvage, E., Kerff, F., Terrak, M., Ayala, J. A., and Charlier, P. (2008). The penicillinbinding proteins: structure and role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32, 234–258. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00105.x

Schleifer, K. H., and Kandler, O. (1972). Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic implications. *Bacteriological Rev.* 36, 407–477. doi: 10.1128/br.36.4.407-477.1972

Stogios, P. J., and Savchenko, A. (2020). Molecular mechanisms of vancomycin resistance. *Protein Sci.* 29, 654–669. doi: 10.1002/pro.3819

Sung, K., Khan, S., Marasa, B., Min, S., Kweon, O., Nawaz, M., et al. (2015). Genomic sequence of a clinical vancomycin-resistant reference strain, enterococcus faecalis ATCC 51299. *Genome announcements* 3, e01495–e01415. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.01495-15

Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673–4680. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673

Tomioka, S., Ishino, F., Tamaki, S., and Matsuhashi, M. (1982). Formation of hypercrosslinked peptidoglycan with multiple crosslinkages by a penicillin-binding protein, 1A, of Escherichia coli. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 106, 1175–1182. doi: 10.1016/0006-291x(82)91236-0

Trott, O., and Olson, A. J. (2009). AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J. Comput. Chem.* 31, 455–461. doi: 10.1002/jcc.21334

Typas, A., Banzhaf, M., Gross, C. A., and Vollmer, W. (2011). From the regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis to bacterial growth and morphology. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 123–136. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2677

Vollmer, W., and Bertsche, U. (2007). Murein (peptidoglycan) structure, architecture and biosynthesis in Escherichia coli. *Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* 1778, 1714–1734. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2007.06.007

Vollmer, W., Blanot, D., and De Pedro, M. A. (2008). Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32, 149–167. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00094.x

Wang, F., Zhou, H., Olademehin, O. P., Kim, S. J., and Tao, P. (2018). Insights into key interactions between vancomycin and bacterial cell wall structures. *ACS Omega* 3, 37–45. doi: 10.1021/acsomega.7b01483

Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., et al. (2018). SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, W296–W303. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky427

Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M., and Barton, G. J. (2009). Jalview version 2: A multiple sequence alignment and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1189–1191. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033

Xu, X., Lin, D., Yan, G., Ye, X., Wu, S., Guo, Y., et al. (2010). vanM, a new glycopeptide resistance gene cluster found in enterococcus faecium. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemotherapy* 54, 4643–4647. doi: 10.1128/aac.01710-09

Zapun, A., Contreras-Martel, C., and Vernet, T. (2008b). Penicillin-binding proteins and β -lactam resistance. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32, 361–385. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00095.x

Zapun, A., Vernet, T., and Pinho, M. G. (2008a). The different shapes of cocci. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32, 345–360. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00098.x

Zischka, M., Kuenne, C., Blom, J., Dabrowski, P. W., Linke, B., Hain, T., et al. (2012). Complete genome sequence of the porcine isolate Enterococcus faecalis D32. *J. bacteriology* 194, 5490–5491. doi: 10.1128/JB.01298-12