Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dhammika Leshan Wannigama, Yamagata Prefectural Central Hospital, Japan

REVIEWED BY Puey Ounjai, Mahidol University, Thailand Satish Kumar Rajasekharan, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Govindsamy Vediyappan gvediyap@ksu.edu

† PRESENT ADDRESS

Raja Veerapandian, Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, El Paso, TX, United States Sarah M. Schneider, Department of Pathology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, United States Anuja Paudyal, Division of Hematology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, United States

‡ These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 21 July 2024 ACCEPTED 26 December 2024 PUBLISHED 20 January 2025

CITATION

Veerapandian R, Paudyal A, Schneider SM, Lee STM and Vediyappan G (2025) A mouse model of immunosuppression facilitates oral Candida albicans biofilms, bacterial dysbiosis and dissemination of infection. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 14:1467896. [doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896)

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Veerapandian, Paudyal, Schneider, Lee and Vediyappan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[A mouse model of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896/full) [immunosuppression facilitates](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896/full) oral [Candida albicans](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896/full) biofilms, [bacterial dysbiosis and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896/full) [dissemination of infection](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896/full)

Raja Veerapandian^{1†‡}, Anuja Paudyal^{1†‡}, Sarah M. Schneider^{2†}, Sonny T. M. Lee¹ and Govindsamy Vediyappan^{1,2*}

1 Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, United States, 2Diagnostic Medicine and Pathobiology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, United States

Opportunistic pathogens are a major threat to people, especially those with impaired immune systems. Two of the most important microbes in this category are the fungus Candida albicans and Gram-positive bacteria of the genus Enterococcus, which share overlapping niches in the oral cavity, gastrointestinal and urogenital tracts. The clinical importance of oral C. albicans biofilm and its interaction with the host under immunosuppressive conditions remains largely understudied. Here, we used a mouse model of oropharyngeal candidiasis (OPC) with cortisone acetate injection on alternate days and a continuous supply of C. albicans in drinking water for three days, resulting in immunosuppression. Results showed abundant growth of resident oral bacteria and a strong C. albicans biofilm on the tongue consisting of hyphae which damaged papillae, the epidermal layer, and invaded tongue tissue with the accumulation of inflammatory cells as demonstrated by Grocott's methenamine silver and hematoxylin and eosin staining, respectively. The dispersed microbes from the oral biofilm colonized the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and damaged its integrity, disseminating microbes to other organs. Although no visible damage was observed in the kidney and liver, except increased lipid vacuoles in the liver cells, C. albicans was found in the liver homogenate. Intriguingly, we found cooccurrence of Enterococcus faecalis in the tongue, liver, and stool of immunosuppressed control and C. albicans infected organs. Targeted 16S rRNA and ITS2 amplicon sequencing of microbes from the fecal samples of mice confirmed the above results in the stool samples and revealed an inverse correlation of beneficial microbes in the dysbiosis condition. Our study shows that mucosal-oral infection of C. albicans under immunosuppressed conditions causes tissue damage and invasion in local and distant organs; the invasion may be aided by the overgrowth of the resident endogenous Enterobacteriaceae and other members, including the opportunistic pathogen Enterococcus faecalis.

KEYWORDS

oropharyngeal candidiasis, Candida albicans, mice model, immunosuppression, gut microbiome, Enterococcus, bacterial dysbiosis, dissemination of infection

1 Introduction

Opportunistic pathogens pose a great challenge with significant mortality in people with an impaired immune system. Among them, C. albicans and Enterococcus species are important pathogens, which inhabit common niches in the normal mammalian microbiome ([Oever and Netea, 2014](#page-13-0); d'[Enfert et al., 2021\)](#page-13-0). These pathogens do not cause disease in healthy hosts but when there is a weakened immune system these organisms, especially C. albicans, can overgrow and cause life-threatening infections in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), Sjogren's syndrome, diabetes mellitus, head and neck cancers, and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [\(Sangeorzan et al., 1994](#page-13-0); [Rhodus et al., 1997](#page-13-0); [Redding](#page-13-0) [et al., 1999;](#page-13-0) [Willis et al., 1999](#page-14-0); [Chen et al., 2020](#page-13-0); [Riad et al., 2021\)](#page-13-0). C. albicans is a causative agent of oropharyngeal candidiasis (OPC) where it proliferates in the mouth and the morphological switch from commensal yeast to invasive hyphae leads to severe oral thrush (biofilm) [\(Kong et al., 2015](#page-13-0); [Swidergall et al., 2021\)](#page-14-0).

The interaction between C. albicans and the host is a dynamic one that can be altered, depending on host factors including changes in temperature and pH, the coexistence of other oral microbiome competing for nutrients and space, and host immune properties including, antimicrobial peptides ([Jakubovics, 2015](#page-13-0); [Forche et al.,](#page-13-0) [2018](#page-13-0)). This fungal pathogen uses a variety of strategies to adhere, adapt and proliferate in response to host environmental conditions. The gene expression pattern of C. albicans is extremely important in adaptation to different environmental conditions (i.e., differences in pH and nutrient level) [\(Forche et al., 2018](#page-13-0)).

In the immunosuppressed host, bacterial communities may alter leading to dysbiosis. When there is an imbalance in the bacterial community, polymicrobial interactions including those involving C. albicans will change. Under certain circumstances this change can facilitate infection of the host [\(Bertolini et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). There are some well-reported mouse models of OPC using corticosteroids as an immunosuppressant in mice ([Kamai et al.,](#page-13-0) [2001;](#page-13-0) [Dwivedi et al., 2011;](#page-13-0) [Solis and Filler, 2012](#page-14-0); [Xu et al., 2014;](#page-14-0) [Kobayashi-Sakamoto et al., 2018\)](#page-13-0). In the present study, in addition to cortisone acetate as immunosuppressant and oral swab infection, an infection of C. albicans in drinking water for the initial three days was used to mimic high oral fungal burden in immunosuppressed patients (e.g., HIV, those undergoing radiation therapy for head and neck cancer, etc.) with oral Candida present in their saliva passing through the GI tract by swallowing. There are few animal studies that have determined fungal growth and dissemination during immunosuppression, and typically these have included use of antibiotics or studied co-infection with other bacterial species [\(Xu](#page-14-0) [et al., 2014;](#page-14-0) [Kong et al., 2015;](#page-13-0) [Kobayashi-Sakamoto et al., 2018\)](#page-13-0).

C. albicans and Enterococcus species are opportunistic pathogens that can proliferate under immunosuppressed condition. Similar to C. albicans, enterococcal members cause life-threatening diseases in humans and serve as a source for spreading drug resistance (vancomycin) among other bacteria. For example, E. faecalis causes colonic anastomotic leak via its collagenase secretion, a dreaded complication in cancer surgery patients who normally undergo chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressive regimens ([Shogan et al.,](#page-14-0) [2015](#page-14-0); [Anderson et al., 2021](#page-13-0)). While Enterococci are primarily found in

the GI tracts of animals and humans, and in the environment possibly by fecal contamination, they are also found in the oral cavities of animals and humans. Enterococci cause infections (bacteremia, implanted medical device infections, urinary tract infections, and endocarditis) in hospitalized patients. They are intrinsically resistant to several antibiotics and are the third leading cause of nosocomial infections in the U.S ([Jett et al., 1994;](#page-13-0) [Weiner](#page-14-0) [et al., 2016](#page-14-0); [Fiore et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). Thus, understanding their pathogenesis and dissemination under immunosuppressed conditions is critical for their management.

The clinical importance of the fungal biofilm and its dissemination with endogenous flora under immunosuppression is poorly studied. To better understand the in vivo interaction between these endogenous bacteria and C. albicans without the influence of antimicrobial agents, the mouse infection model serves as an ideal platform since it recapitulates human infections. In the present study, we have demonstrated the formation of fungal biofilm in the oral cavity, and we monitored the dissemination of fungal and bacterial populations using histology, colony-forming unit (CFU), and microbiome analysis. The data help us to understand how immunosuppression and C. albicans oral infection can lead to microbial dysbiosis and their dissemination of infection. The present OPC model can also be useful in evaluating therapies to control OPC and determining the influence of endogenous bacteria on candidiasis.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Ethics statement and animal care

All animal studies were conducted at the Comparative Medicine Group at the college of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas State University. Experiments had received prior approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Kansas State University (IACUC Protocol #4248.1). Animals were housed with unlimited access to water and mouse chow and permitted to move without restraints within their cages. All efforts were made to minimize any discomfort, distress, and pain to animals.

2.2 C. albicans strain and growth conditions

C. albicans SC5314, a genome sequenced laboratory strain originally isolated from a patient with bloodstream infection, has been widely used in oral biofilm mouse models [\(Solis and Filler,](#page-14-0) [2012](#page-14-0); [Bertolini et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). C. albicans was routinely maintained in yeast extract (1%) peptone (2%) dextrose (2%) (YPD) agar (1.5%) plate. For animal experiments, a single C. albicans colony, initiated from a glycerol stock, was suspended in YPD broth and grown overnight at 30°C with shaking. Growing C. albicans at 30°C allows it to produce mostly yeast cells, which is convenient for determining the infectious dose. C. albicans is known to produce multicellular hyphae at 37°C. Cells were harvested and washed twice with PBS, and final cell density was adjusted as required.

2.3 Cortisone acetate preparation and mouse model of oropharyngeal candidiasis

Cortisone acetate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was suspended (225 mg/kg body weight) in sterile PBS without calcium and magnesium (Gibco) containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween 80 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The prepared cortisone acetate solution was made as suspension by sonication in a water bath for 1 min using Branson sonicator. Before injection, the preparation was vortexed and injected immediately [\(Solis and Filler, 2012](#page-14-0)). To avoid lot-to-lot variation, a single batch of cortisone acetate was used.

Our OPC model was designed with some modifications from previously established oral mouse model protocols ([Solis and Filler,](#page-14-0) [2012](#page-14-0); [Xu et al., 2014](#page-14-0)). Eight-week-old female C57BL/6 mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA) were purchased and acclimated one-week to housing before initiating the experiment. Animals were divided into two groups with n=6/ group: (1) Cortisone acetate alone control, (2) Cortisone acetate + C. albicans infection. Two independent experiments were performed. Briefly, mice were immunosuppressed on day -1 and on alternate days (day 1 and 3) with cortisone acetate (subcutaneously) dissolved in 0.05% Tween 80/PBS. On day 0, mice were anesthetized by an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine: xylazine (100 and 10 mg/kg of body weight, respectively). Then the oral cavity was swabbed with a sterile cotton swab soaked with 100 µl of C. albicans (6 \times 10⁸ cells/mL) or PBS which was left sublingually for 1 h. Fresh suspensions of C. albicans (6 \times 10⁶) yeast cells/mL) were added to the drinking water for 3 days (day 0 to 2), to maintain high oral carriage loads ([Bertolini et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). Microbes in drinking water were changed every 24 h. After 2 days, normal drinking water was supplied until the end of the experiment. To ensure the viability of the yeast in the drinking water, the water was sampled and cultured on a YPD plate after 24 h, and the results confirmed the survival of yeast (data not shown). On day 5, all mice were sacrificed and the organs, including tongue, intestine, kidney, and liver were excised and processed for analysis.

2.4 Tongue pathological scoring

All tongues were excised and digitally photographed for biofilm analysis. Image analysis was done by ImageJ software (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Tongue scoring (0-4) was done based on the percentage of the surface area affected as published elsewhere [\(Dwivedi et al., 2011;](#page-13-0) [Xu et al., 2014\)](#page-14-0) with slight modifications. Tongues with no lesions $= 0$, unmeasurable lesions = 1, measurable lesions covering < 25% surface area = 2, between $25-75\%$ area = 3, and > 75% surface area = 4.

2.5 Determination of CFU and 16S rRNA gene PCR

For CFU determinations, tongues, kidneys, and livers from control and experimental animals were excised, weighed, and homogenized. To calculate the fungal burden, homogenized tissue

aliquots were plated on YPD agar containing chloramphenicol (10 µg/mL, Sigma) and kanamycin (50 µg/mL, Sigma). For Enterococcus sp. CFU determination, samples were plated on bile esculin (BE; Difco Bile Esculin Agar, Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD), Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) agar and black colored colonies were counted. When necessary, colonies were plated or re-streaked on BHI (brain heart infusion agar, BD) containing 6.5% NaCl to further confirm the identification of Enterococci. On BHI salt-agar, the Enterococci, but not the viridian-D Streptococci (e.g., S. bovis) are expected to grow. Fresh stool samples were collected from each mouse, weighed, and homogenized in PBS. CFU for homogenized stool samples were determined on BHI, BHI + NaCl or BE agar plates. For oral microbe determination after immunosuppression, oral swabs were taken before and after the cortisone injection and plated on BHI or BE agar plates. Oral sampling was done for 30 s as previously described [\(Abusleme et al., 2017](#page-13-0)). Briefly, a sterile cotton swab was used to swab the oral cavity, starting from the tongue, then buccal areas, gingiva, palate, and ending with the gingiva on the lower incisors.

To differentiate between E. faecalis and E. faecium from mice oral samples, the 16S rRNA gene was amplified by PCR using genomic DNA and species-specific 16S rRNA primers ([Ryu et al., 2013\)](#page-13-0). The PCR primers for E. faecalis (forward 5-CATAACAGTTTATGCCGC ATGGCATAAGAG-3, reverse 5-GGGGACGTTCAGTTACTAA CG-3, accession number DQ239694) and E. faecium 16S rRNA (forward 5-TATAACAATCGAAACCGCATGGTTTTGATT-3, reverse 5- AGGGATGAACAGTTACTCTCA-3, accession number AY675247.1) genes were employed, and the amplified PCR products were sequenced commercially by the Sanger DNA sequencing method. The DNA sequences were analyzed using the NCBI nucleotide BLAST program under default mode. Sequences with the highest similarities (>70%) were considered matches. The 16S rRNA DNA sequence obtained in this study was deposited in the GenBank with accession number MZ868593.

2.6 Metagenomic sequence of 16S and ITS amplicons

To determine the changes in the gut bacterial and fungal communities of the immunosuppressed C. albicans infected and uninfected mice, we took the targeted sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene and ITS2 amplicons for bacteria and fungi, respectively. Fecal samples from normal non-immunosuppressed and uninfected mice were also included as additional control. Fresh fecal samples collected from these three mice groups were stored at -80 ° C immediately after collection. Genomic DNAs were extracted using QIAmp PowerFecal isolation kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA), purified using Qiagen DNA purification kit, analyzed for quantity and quality by Qubit/Nanodrop and kept at -20°C until sequencing. The bacterial 16S rRNA gene V4 region was amplified during library preparation via Illumina's Nextera XT Index Kit v2 (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) (primers: 515F, 5-GTGCCAGCM GCCGCGGTAA-3 and 806R, 5-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCT AAT-3) [\(Caporaso et al., 2011\)](#page-13-0). Similarly, the internal transcribed spacer region ITS2 was amplified using the primers fITS7/ITS4

combination [\(White et al., 1990](#page-14-0); [Ihrmark et al., 2012\)](#page-13-0) (primers: 5- GTGARTCATCGAATCTTTG-3 & 5-TCCTCCGCTTATTGA TATGC-3) [\(Sarkar et al., 2022](#page-14-0)). The quality of the libraries was confirmed by a bioanalyzer. Library preparation and subsequent sequencing also included a no-template negative control. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq, which generated paired-end 250 bp reads at the Kansas State University's Integrated Genomics Facility. DNA sequences were analyzed as described ([Sarkar et al., 2022](#page-14-0)), and the taxonomic assignments of the microbes were visualized using QIIME 2 ([Bolyen et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). The mice gut amplicon DNA sequence obtained in this study was deposited in the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) repository with accession number PRJNA1142050.

2.7 Calcofluor white staining for fungal cells

Fresh tissues samples were homogenized and smeared over the slide followed by methanol fixation for 5 min. The slide was rinsed with distilled water and stained with calcofluor white solution (0.1%) in PBS for 5 min. After two washes with distilled water, dehydration was done with 95% ethanol, absolute ethanol, and then xylene. Coverslips were placed and slides were imaged using a fluorescence microscope (Leica DM-6B).

2.8 Histopathology

Portions of tongue, liver, kidney, and cecum were collected and immediately placed in 10% buffered formalin for fixation. Mouse intestines were collected and processed with a gut bundling technique as published elsewhere [\(Williams et al., 2016\)](#page-14-0). Fixed tissues were processed on a Sakura Tissue-TEK VIP 6 processor prior to paraffin embedding. Four micrometer thick serial sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H & E), Grocott's methenamine silver (GMS), or periodic acid Schiff's (PAS) stains on a Leica Autostainer XL ST5010. An Olympus U-TV0.5XC-3 scope mounted camera using CellSens software acquired the slide images.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed for statistical differences using the Graph-Pad Prism 9.0 software. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired two-tailed t-test, assuming equal variances, or the Mann Whitney test when data were not normally distributed. Statistical significance for all tests was set at $P < 0.05$. P-values for each analysis are indicated in the representative graphs, where "ns" denotes non-significant. Each symbol represents one mouse or group.

3 Results

3.1 Oropharyngeal candidiasis infection model

To examine Candida infection under the immunosuppressed condition we used a previously established OPC model with some modifications. To mimic the immunosuppressed condition and to form a visible biofilm, mice were treated subcutaneously with cortisone acetate every two days starting 1 day before infection [\(Figure 1A\)](#page-4-0). We treated the mice sublingually with C. albicans and supplied the fungus in drinking water for 3 days after infection to form a strong biofilm over the mouse tongue ([Figures 1A](#page-4-0), [2A\)](#page-5-0). An oral swab was taken on day -1 (before giving cortisone acetate injection) and day 0 (before infection) to determine the bacterial load. As expected, there was a heavy bacterial load after cortisone acetate injection [\(Figure 1B\)](#page-4-0) when compared to before immunosuppression (on day -1). The overgrowth of bacteria in the oral cavity of immunosuppressed mice indicates the dysbiosis of oral environment and can lead to the appearance of opportunistic pathogens. Our OPC infection model showed a significant reduction in body weight of mice 3 days after C. albicans infection in comparison to the uninfected cortisone acetate group ([Figure 1C\)](#page-4-0). This reduction in body weight correlated with the oral biofilm that was formed over the tongue and its dissemination to the other organs of the mouse.

3.2 C. albicans forms a robust biofilm on the tongue of immunosuppressed mice

Immunosuppressed C57BL/6 mice with C. albicans infection developed robust biofilms over the tongue that were visible as white plaques in contrast to the cortisone acetate alone control group mice where clear tongue surface was seen ([Figure 2A\)](#page-5-0). The tongue pathology score was as follows: Tongues with no lesions = 0, unmeasurable lesions = 1, measurable lesions covering $<$ 25% surface area = 2, between $25-75\%$ area = 3, and > 75% surface area = 4. Oral thrush lesions (biofilms) were scored based on the surface area affected with an average of ~68% of tongue surface affected and the pathology score was found to be between 3 to 4 in the infected mice group [\(Figure 2B\)](#page-5-0). CFU analysis of the Candida from infected mice tongue shows high fungal burden ([Figure 2C\)](#page-5-0) in agreement with the tongue pictures showing biofilm and pathological scoring ([Figures 2A,](#page-5-0) B). In addition to assessing the fungal burden, tongue tissue harvested from mice was processed for histopathology to visualize the fungal hyphae and epithelial integrity. Microscopic analysis of GMS-stained tissue sections revealed extensive fungal adherence and hyphal penetration of the epithelial tissue [\(Figure 2D](#page-5-0)). The H & E staining showed the epithelial hyperplasia, hyperkeratosis, and infiltration of inflammatory cells compared to the cortisone acetate only (control) group. In addition, epithelial invasion by C. albicans with epithelial damage was seen ([Figure 2E\)](#page-5-0).

3.3 Candida oral biofilm disseminates to the gastrointestinal tract and damages its integrity

To determine the dissemination of C. albicans after immunosuppression, we performed the histopathological analysis of GI tissues (small intestine and cecum) and CFU of stool samples. The H & E staining shows severe changes to the intestinal mucosa, with the marked attenuation of the intestinal villi in the Candida infected group [\(Figure 3A](#page-6-0)). To further evaluate if C. albicans is directly associated with intestinal villi damage, small intestine tissue sections of control and Candida infected groups were stained with periodic acid Schiff's (PAS) reagent. With the present method, no fungal organisms were observed at the damaged villi ([Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-12-0), suggesting that C. albicans may cause mucosal attenuation indirectly or via other unknown mechanisms, including dysbiosis in the gut microbiome. In the infected cecum sample, there were increased numbers of inflammatory cells in the mucosa [\(Figure 3B](#page-6-0)). Further, we observed a significant amount of C. albicans CFU in the fecal sample of the infected group ($p < 0.0027$) [\(Figure 3C](#page-6-0)).

3.4 Fungal dissemination from GI tract

To assess whether the loss of GI tract integrity leads to dissemination of C. albicans, we performed CFU and histology analysis of the liver and kidney. We found a detectable fungal burden in the liver by determining CFU [\(Figure 4A\)](#page-7-0) but not in the kidney except in one mouse ([Figure 5A](#page-8-0)). Even though some mice did not show a fungal burden in the liver, most showed the presence of C. albicans with significantly higher values than controls ([Figure 4A\)](#page-7-0). These data suggest that immunosuppression and additional fungal load in the drinking water could create a favorable environment for bacterial dysbiosis and dissemination of C. albicans. Fungal dissemination in the liver was further assessed by H & E staining of the tissue sections and calcofluor staining of the liver homogenate. While there was no major change in histology sections of the infected liver except increased lipid vacuolation, fungal hyphae and yeasts were observed by calcofluor staining ([Figures 4B,](#page-7-0) C). In agreement with the CFU results, histology staining showed that C. albicans did not disseminate to the kidney ([Figure 5B](#page-8-0)).

3.5 Enterococcus detection following immunosuppression of mice

Enterococcus sp. is one of the members of the Enterococcaceae family found in the oral cavity and GI tracts of animals and humans, and it is known to produce a plethora of virulence factors and cause disease under immunosuppressed conditions [\(Jett et al., 1994\)](#page-13-0). Since we found an increased load of bacterial colonies from the oral swabs of the immunosuppressed mice (Figure 1B), we performed CFU analysis to study the dynamics of oral and intestinal enterococcal populations in response to C. albicans infection in immunosuppressed mice. We used BHI, BHI + NaCl, & BE agar plates. We used BE & salt agar media for confirmation ([Supplementary Figure S2\)](#page-12-0). We calculated the % CFU between BHI vs BHI + NaCl agar plates to determine Enterococcus sp. precisely. After C. albicans infection, bacterial CFU counts of BHI vs BHI + NaCl agar plates found about 25% of Enterococcus sp. in the tongues of C. albicans infected and 35% in the uninfected groups. CFU counts of the stool samples found 46% Enterococcus sp. in Candida

FIGURE 2

C. albicans forms biofilms in immunosuppressed mice. (A) Tongues of mice were excised after five days of infection and the dorsal aspect was digitally photographed. Representative pictures are shown from two mice in each group. (B) Oral pathology score based on percent tongue surface area covered by thrush (biofilm). Image J was used to calculate the area covered by the white plaque as well as the total dorsal surface area of each tongue in order to calculate the percentage of surface area covered. Each dot represents an individual mouse with 6 mice per group from 2 independent experiments. (C) Tongues were weighed, homogenized, serially diluted, and plated for Candida burdens in YPD agar. Log CFU counts/g of tissue are shown from $n = 9$ mice; bars represent mean \pm SEM. P values of <0.05 were considered significant. Data were analyzed for statistical significance using the Graph-Pad Prism 9.0 software. Mann-Whitney test was done for statistical significance. (D) GMS staining highlights the abundant Candida hyphae and yeast (black staining; arrows) in the keratin layer of the tongue. Scale bar on $10x = 50 \mu m$, bar on $20x = 20 \mu m$. (E) The H & E staining shows the hyperplasia of the epithelium compared to the control (double-headed arrow) and epithelial invasion by C. albicans and with epithelial damage and infiltration by inflammatory cells (black arrows). Scale bar on $4x = 100 \mu m$, bar on $10x = 50 \mu m$.

infected and 41% in uninfected mice stools. Interestingly, Enterococcus sp. was absent in the kidney tissues of the fungusinfected group, while it was present in the cortisone acetate alone control group [\(Figure 6A](#page-9-0)). The absence of Enterococcus sp. and C.

albicans (except one mouse) in the infection group agrees with the normal kidney histology observed [\(Figure 5B](#page-8-0)), which may suggest that synergistic fungus-bacterial interaction or high fungal burden is required for kidney tissue damage. However, further evidence is

Arrowheads show normal long villi in the control small intestine. Arrows indicate mucosal damage and inflammatory cell infiltration. Bar on 4x = 100 $µm$, bar on 10x = 50 $µm$. (C) Stool samples were weighed, homogenized, serially diluted, and plated for C. albicans burdens on YPD agar. Log CFU counts/g of feces are shown from $n = 11$ or 3 mice; bars represent mean $+$ SEM. P values of <0.05 were considered significant. Data were analyzed for statistical significance using the Graph-Pad Prism 9.0 software. Mann-Whitney test was done for statistical significance.

needed to support this statement. To determine the identification of Enterococcus species, we performed species-specific 16S rRNA PCR for Enterococcus species from the tongue, liver, kidney (one mouse), and stool samples [\(Supplementary Figure S3](#page-12-0)). The PCR and sequencing results from the tongue sample identified E. faecalis, not E. faecium ([Figure 6B](#page-9-0), [Supplementary Figure S4\)](#page-12-0).

3.6 Immunosuppression perturbs microbial diversity and causes dysbiosis

The GI tract harbors diverse microbes with a wide array of functions for hosts, such as protecting from pathogen invasion, maintaining a healthy gut epithelial barrier, and aiding in nutrient availability [\(Barko et al., 2018](#page-13-0)). The observed damages to the gut epithelial barrier and the spread of Enterococcus sp. and C. albicans to various organs of the mice in this study led us to investigate the alterations in the gut microbial communities. We used fecal samples

from three groups of mice, at least three samples for each group, for metagenomic analysis. The bacterial 16S rRNA and fungal ITS2 genes were amplified from the fecal microbial DNA and sequenced on an Illumina platform. The results shown in [Figure 7](#page-10-0) reveal major changes in bacterial communities. Lactobacillus is found to be high in all the groups with variable percentages. In non-immunosuppressed and uninfected control (OC group) mice, a high number of bacterial members are found to be Lactobacillus and Muribaculaceae, followed by Dubosiella, Turicibacter, Alistipes, Lachnospirales, Bifidobacterium, Enterorhabdus etc. Similar to the OC group, the immunosuppressed and uninfected control (O group) also shows abundant presence of Lactobacillus and Muribaculaceae followed by Candidatus arthromitus, Alistipes, Bacteroides, Lachnospirales, Clostridia and Enterobacteriaceae (Escherichia_Shigella, etc.). Remarkably, the taxonomic order of bacterial members was changed in immunosuppressed and C. albicans infected (OT group) and as follows: Lactobacillus, Odoribacter, Enterobacteriaceae, Candidatus arthromitus, Alistipes, Tannerellaceae, Lachnospirales, and Clostridia, etc. Overall, the

members of the Muribaculaceae, Erysipelotrichaceae (Dubosiella), and Bifidobacteriaceae, and Turicibacter are considered beneficial [\(Liu et al.,](#page-13-0) [2023;](#page-13-0) [Lynch et al., 2023](#page-13-0)), and they are high numbers in nonimmunosuppressed and uninfected control (OC group) mice [\(Figure 7\)](#page-10-0). These bacterial members are absent in the OT or less in the O (immunosuppressed) groups, and instead, the pathogenic bacteria, including bacteria from Enterobacteriaceae, Clostridiaceae, Odoribacter, Tannerellaceae, and Enterococcaceae (Enterococcus) dominate ([Figure 7](#page-10-0)).

The role of fungal communities in gut-microbial activity is poorly known. To determine the fungal taxa in the GI tracts of the above three groups of mice, we used ITS2-targeted amplicon sequencing, and the results are shown in [Figure 8](#page-11-0). While the non-immunosuppressed control mice (OC) group shows saprophytic fungi (Rhizopus, Mucor, Penicillium) abundantly, the immunosuppressed mice (O) group shows a mixture of fungi, including opportunistic pathogens (Cladosporium, Alternaria, Epicoccum, etc.). A small amount of Saccharomycetales yeasts, which are not C. albicans but likely non-albicans sp., such as C.

tropicalis [\(Iliev et al., 2012](#page-13-0)), are present in these mice. Observing the OT group, we see an overwhelming presence of Candida, making it difficult to detect other fungal species ([Figure 8\)](#page-11-0). Although C. albicans is not a natural commensal or pathogen of mice ([Savage](#page-14-0) [and Dubos, 1967\)](#page-14-0), the fungus can colonize and cause candidiasis in antibiotic-treated, neonatal, or immunosuppressed mice [\(Koh,](#page-13-0) [2013](#page-13-0)), suggesting bacterial communities play an important role in C. albicans disease.

4 Discussion

Opportunistic infections caused by C. albicans are an increasing cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Health conditions that include arthritis, asthma, allergic reactions, and autoimmune diseases such as lupus, sarcoidosis, or inflammatory bowel disease treated with medications like corticosteroids may weaken the host immune system and put people at risk for opportunistic infections ([Ali et al., 2013](#page-13-0)). Additionally, C. albicans can cause severe

infections in immunocompromised patients, including those with thymic aplasia, Job's syndrome, chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis syndromes, Sjogren's syndrome, graft-versus-host disease, HIV, leukemia, COVID-19, and others ([Vila et al., 2020\)](#page-14-0).

There are few OPC animal models for studying disease severity [\(Solis and Filler, 2012](#page-14-0); [Kong et al., 2015](#page-13-0); [Kobayashi-Sakamoto et al.,](#page-13-0) [2018](#page-13-0)). Similar to Solis and Filler's model, our animal model includes cortisone acetate every other day to maintain the immunosuppression condition. In addition, we added an extra criterion of administering C. albicans in drinking water for three days to mimic the high oral Candida burden reported in certain groups of patients with underlying health conditions (e.g., cancer and during radio- or chemotherapy [\(Kauffman, 2011](#page-13-0); [Jain et al., 2016\)](#page-13-0)) [\(Figure 1A](#page-4-0)). These patients will likely swallow their saliva, passing through the GI tract, and C. albicans can cause disease under immunosuppressive conditions in conjunction with the resident microbiome.

High levels of fungal biofilm over the surface of the tongue increases virulence and shows high resistance to various antimicrobials and host immune mechanisms [\(Vila et al., 2020\)](#page-14-0). Our OPC model was found to work efficiently as evident by thick biofilm over most of the surface of the tongue ([Figure 2A-C](#page-5-0)) and reduction in body weight ([Figure 1C](#page-4-0)). The importance of morphological conversion from yeast to hyphae in mucosal invasive infections is well studied ([Phan et al., 2000](#page-13-0); [Kong et al.,](#page-13-0) [2015;](#page-13-0) [Swidergall et al., 2021](#page-14-0)). GMS and histological staining of tongue sections clearly showed hyphae where the fungus invaded and damaged the epithelial layer [\(Figure 2D,](#page-5-0) E). Following tissue

damage, immune cells are the first line of defense in the fungal killing and tissue repair process ([Gazendam et al., 2014\)](#page-13-0) and we observed infiltration of inflammatory cells (mostly neutrophils based on their morphology) in infected tongue tissues [\(Figure 2E\)](#page-5-0).

As in the oral cavity, C. albicans is a normal microbial member of the GI tract in healthy humans [\(MacCallum, 2012](#page-13-0); [Mason et al.,](#page-13-0) [2012\)](#page-13-0). During immunosuppression, dysbiosis of the microbiome can augment the proliferation of pathogenic microbes [\(Figures 7](#page-10-0), [8](#page-11-0)) and can cause life-threatening illnesses. In our OPC model, as we infected the mice with C. albicans through the oral route, we analyzed the histology of the GI tract. The morphology of the small and large intestine was found to be compromised, and more inflammatory cell infiltration was observed ([Figure 3A,](#page-6-0) B). C. albicans has evolved to effectively colonize certain mucosal surfaces in human hosts. This colonization is typically commensal with the normal host microbiome, but when the microbiome is altered due to immunosuppression and overgrowth of C. albicans, there can be direct or indirect damage to the mucosal tissues [\(Vila et al., 2020;](#page-14-0) d'[Enfert et al., 2021\)](#page-13-0). Based on our results of PAS staining of the small intestine, C. albicans may be involved in causing mucosal damage indirectly ([Supplementary Figure S4](#page-12-0)), probably through its cytolytic peptide toxin (candidalysin) produced from the fungal hyphal growth ([Moyes et al., 2016](#page-13-0)) or via a combination of bacterial virulence factors. After the loss of mucosal barrier integrity in the GI tract, the fungal and bacterial cells can disseminate and colonize non-GI organs. It is interesting to determine if non-toxic small molecules inhibiting C. albicans hyphal growth ([Vediyappan et al., 2013;](#page-14-0)

for PCR showed positive results for E. faecalis and none for E. faecium. PCR results from two representative colonies are shown. Amplification of a 310 bp product, between 250-500 bp of the 1 kb DNA ladder, for E. faecalis is shown. The [Supplementary Material](#page-12-0) includes an image of the original DNA gel as an uncropped gel image. The BLAST (NCBI) analysis of the DNA sequence of a PCR product is shown in [Supplementary Figure S4](#page-12-0).

[Veerapandian and Vediyappan, 2019](#page-14-0)) could prevent the bacterial dysbiosis and or candidalysin-mediated host cell damage. CFU analysis of the liver and kidney showed that C. albicans and Enterococcus were present in the liver but not (C. albicans) in the kidney [\(Figures 4](#page-7-0)–6). This was further supported by calcofluor staining ([Figure 4C\)](#page-7-0). Interestingly, C. albicans did not colonize the kidney in the present study (except one mouse, [Figure 5A](#page-8-0)). In support of our data, a previous study has reported the absence of fungal colonization in kidney samples. However, during coinfection with S. aureus, Candida was seen ([Kong et al., 2015\)](#page-13-0).

In addition to immunosuppression and fungal infection, the other major factor responsible for the severity of infection is dysbiosis of the host microbiome. It is now well accepted that the bacterial component plays a major role in the severity of local and systemic candidiasis [\(Harriott and Noverr, 2011](#page-13-0); [Sultan et al., 2018](#page-14-0); [Bertolini et al., 2019](#page-13-0)). In clinical settings, the use of antibiotics is the major reason behind dysbiosis in the oral cavity and GI tract. This alters the polymicrobial interactions, which may impact C. albicans' growth positively or negatively depending on the surrounding environment. In our model [\(Figure 1A](#page-4-0)), we excluded the use of antibiotics because we wanted to characterize the role of immunosuppressants in causing dysbiosis. Interestingly, cortisone acetate immunosuppression alone causes bacterial dysbiosis where 35% and about 41% of the bacterial population is found to be Enterococcus spp. in the tongue and stool

samples, respectively, as confirmed by its differential growth on bile esculin selective agar and on salt agar medium (BHI agar + 6.5% NaCl) ([Figures 1B,](#page-4-0) [Supplementary Figure S2\)](#page-12-0). The identification of E. faecalis was confirmed by PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene and its DNA sequence analysis (Figures 6B, [Supplementary Figures S3,](#page-12-0) S4). Further, metagenomic analysis of the fecal microbiome confirmed the alteration of the bacterial and fungal diversity ([Figures 7,](#page-10-0) [8\)](#page-11-0). Particularly, the beneficial bacteria (Bifidobacterium, Dubosiella, Turicibacter, etc.) are completely absent in the immunosuppressed and C. albicans infected mice group (OT) and immunosuppressed and uninfected control (O group) when compared to the normal control (OC) mice group. Instead, pathogenic bacteria, such as Clostridia, E. coli, and Enterococcus were represented. Enterococcus is a member of the ESKAPE group that contains Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter species, known for their high virulence and antimicrobial resistance.

Bifidobacteria has proven to be a major antagonist against C. albicans ([Mirhakkak et al., 2021](#page-13-0); [Ricci et al., 2022\)](#page-13-0). The Turicibacter genus is widespread in the gut microbiota of mice, humans, cows, pigs, and chickens ([Lynch et al., 2023\)](#page-13-0), and recently it has been shown to protect mice from severe Citrobacter rodentium infection ([Hoek et al., 2023\)](#page-13-0). Interestingly, the absence of Turicibacteriales in the intestinal microbiota is closely linked to an increased

members (e.g., Muribaculaceae-purple, and Erysipelotrichaceae (Dubosiella)-blue & magenta, etc.), of the OC groups with members of pathogenic bacteria (e.g., Enterobacteriaceae-light yellow, and Clostridiaceae (Candidatus_arthromitus)-rusty color, etc.), in the OT and moderately in the O groups. The key boxes read are from the top on the right panel. Enterococcus of Enterococcaceae (order Lactobacillales) increased ~100-fold and ~10-fold in the OT and O groups, respectively, compared to the OC group [third magenta box in the right panel & the amplicon read counts (not included)].

susceptibility to C. rodentium. Similarly, infection with C. albicans in immunosuppressed mice inhibits saprophytic fungi like Rhizopus, Mucor, Penicillium, etc. Typically, C. albicans can alter the gut microbiome through direct mechanisms, such as competing for niches and nutrients or secreting metabolites that inhibit the growth of host microbes. Zhai et al. demonstrated by sequencing and comparative analysis of the mycobiota of fecal and blood samples from hematopoietic cell transplant (HCT) patients that the involvement of a complex dynamic translocation of Candida species in the bloodstream and their intestinal expansion was associated with a substantial loss of bacterial burden and diversity (anaerobes) [\(Zhai et al., 2020\)](#page-14-0). Studies on gut fungal diversity after C. albicans infection are limited, and our studies pave the way for more understanding of gut fungal microbiome in different groups of mice like non-immunosuppressed (OC) or immunosuppressed (O) or immunosuppressed and C. albicans infected (OT). The difference between O and OT groups clearly shows that the overgrowth of C. albicans in the gut inhibits other fungi present in the mouse gut microbiome ([Wang et al., 2024\)](#page-14-0). Further, oral Candida infection under immunosuppressed conditions leads to dysbiosis of bacteria and fungi, particularly the beneficial bacteria were replaced

with pathogenic bacterial groups, and depletion of several saprophytic fungi.

Enterococcus sp. is widely reported to coexist as an antagonist or synergist with C. albicans in human infected samples [\(Hermann et al.,](#page-13-0) [1999](#page-13-0); [Mason et al., 2012;](#page-13-0) [Garsin and Lorenz, 2013;](#page-13-0) [Kovac et al., 2013;](#page-13-0) [Graham et al., 2017\)](#page-13-0). Using an organotypic oral epithelial model, a recent study has shown the synergistic interaction between C. albicans and E. faecalis [\(Krishnamoorthy et al., 2020](#page-13-0)). E. faecalis is reported to degrade the epithelial junction protein E-cadherin and help C. albicans to invade in an in vivo OPC infection model ([Bertolini et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). A recent study has shown that the cell wall components (peptidoglycan subunits) of bacteria promoted filamentation and invasive infection of C. albicans in the GI tract [\(Tan et al., 2021](#page-14-0)). The enhanced growth of E. faecalis observed in our study following immunosuppression may account for the oral and GI-mucosal barrier damages and dissemination of C. albicans. A schematic in [Figure 9](#page-11-0) shows how the alteration of microflora due to immunosuppression in this mouse model of oral candidiasis affects the mucosal barrier and promotes the colonization and dissemination of C. albicans. Based on our results and several recent studies [\(Mason et al., 2012;](#page-13-0) [Koh, 2013;](#page-13-0) [Bertolini et al.,](#page-13-0) [2019](#page-13-0); [Kapitan et al., 2024\)](#page-13-0), physical interaction between C. albicans and

FIGURE 8

Changes in the fungal communities in the mice gut due to immunosuppression and C. albicans oral biofilm. Metagenomic analysis of ITS2 gene amplicons of fungal communities from immunosuppressed and C. albicans infected (sample keys, OT1, OT3, & OT7), immunosuppressed only control (O1, O2, & O6), and normal control (OC1-3, non-immunosuppressed & uninfected) mice fecal samples and visualized by Qiime2 software. Color coded boxes on the right panel indicates the fungal taxonomic groups.

FIGURE 9

A schematic showing microbial dysbiosis in an immunosuppressed mouse model of oral candidiasis. Under immunosuppressed conditions, orally infected C. albicans and altered oral microflora, including Enterococcus faecalis, overgrow in the oral cavity and cause infections. These ingested oral and altered gut microbes can colonize, and compromise the mucosal epithelial barrier and translocate to the internal organs. Physical interaction between C. albicans and E. faecalis promotes their accumulation on host cells, and augments host cell damage through cytolysin from certain strains of E. faecalis ([Bertolini et al., 2019](#page-13-0); [Kapitan et al., 2024](#page-13-0)) (see text for details). This figure was created using Biorender.

bacteria (e.g., E. faecalis) promotes their accumulation on host mucosal cells, and causes host cell damage through bacterial or fungal or both of their hydrolytic enzymes or toxins. Since BE and BHI + NaCl agar media are selective and differential growth media for isolating and identifying members of Enterococcus, our study is biased toward this group of bacteria. Our results also show the role of pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae members as they replaced the beneficial members, such as Muribaculaceae and Erysipelotrichaceae (Dubosiella) of the OC (normal control) groups, with Klebsiella and other enteric Gram-negative members [\(Figure 7\)](#page-10-0). Niemiec et al. have shown that Proteus mirabilis, an Enterobacteriaceae member, interacted with C. albicans and non-albicans species and caused increased enterocyte damage [\(Niemiec et al., 2022](#page-13-0)). Thus, the contribution of other bacteria to the mucosal tissue damage and dissemination of C. albicans can't be ruled out, and future studies will explore this potential contribution.

5 Conclusion

Analysis of the mouse OPC model described in this report highlights how an oral infection of C. albicans can lead to its dissemination under the immunosuppressed condition in the absence of antibiotics or chemotherapeutic agents. We also found alteration of the gut microbiome under the above conditions that promote the growth of Enterococcus sp., C. albicans, and likely other pathogenic bacteria in immunosuppressed mice, which may have contributed to the severity of mucosal damage and dissemination. The coexistence of these types of endogenous opportunistic pathogens in humans is a high risk for immunocompromised patients.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Kansas State University, IACUC Protocol #4248.1. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

RV: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AP: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation,

Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. SS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. SL: Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. GV: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported, in part, by American Heart Association Grant#14SDG18910036 and Kansas Idea Network of Biomedical Research Excellence (K-INBRE) for CORE Facility award to GV, and K-INBRE postdoctoral award to RV under grant number P20 GM103418.

Acknowledgments

We thank KSVDL, College of Veterinary Medicine, KSU, for histology, Integrated Genomics Facility and the CEZID' MCB-NGS Core facilities, KSU for assistance in DNA sequencing, and the Johnson Cancer Research Center, KSU, for the summer stipend to AP. We also thank the members of the IACUC committee for their timely support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1467896/full#supplementary-material)

References

Abusleme, L., Hong, B. Y., Hoare, A., Konkel, J. E., Diaz, P. I., and Moutsopoulos, N. M. (2017). Oral microbiome characterization in murine models. Bio Protoc. 7, e2655. doi: [10.21769/BioProtoc.2655](https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.2655)

Ali, T., Kaitha, S., Mahmood, S., Ftesi, A., Stone, J., and Bronze, M. S. (2013). Clinical use of anti-TNF therapy and increased risk of infections. Drug Healthc. Patient Saf. 5, 79–99. doi: [10.2147/dhps.S28801](https://doi.org/10.2147/dhps.S28801)

Anderson, D. I., Keskey, R., Ackerman, M. T., Zaborina, O., Hyman, N., Alverdy, J. C., et al. (2021). Enterococcus faecalis is associated with anastomotic leak in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Surg. Infect. (Larchmt). 22, 1047–1051. doi: [10.1089/sur.2021.147](https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2021.147)

Barko, P. C., McMichael, M. A., Swanson, K. S., and Williams, D. A. (2018). The gastrointestinal microbiome: A review. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 32, 9–25. doi: [10.1111/jvim.14875](https://doi.org/10.1111/jvim.14875)

Bertolini, M., Ranjan, A., Thompson, A., Diaz, P. I., Sobue, T., Maas, K., et al. (2019).
Candida albicans induces mucosal bacterial dysbiosis that promotes invasive infection. PloS Pathog. 15, e1007717. doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1007717](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007717)

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., et al. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat. Biotechnol. 37, 852–857. doi: [10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9)

Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C. A., Turnbaugh, P. J., et al. (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108 Suppl 1, 4516–4522. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1000080107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107)

Chen, N., Zhou, M., Dong, X., Qu, J., Gong, F., Han, Y., et al. (2020). Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 395, 507–513. doi: [10.1016/s0140-6736\(20\)30211-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30211-7)

d'Enfert, C., Kaune, A. K., Alaban, L. R., Chakraborty, S., Cole, N., Delavy, M., et al. (2021). The impact of the Fungus-Host-Microbiota interplay upon *Candida albicans*
infections: current knowledge and new perspectives. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 45, fuaa060. doi: [10.1093/femsre/fuaa060](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuaa060)

Dwivedi, P., Thompson, A., Xie, Z., Kashleva, H., Ganguly, S., Mitchell, A. P., et al. (2011). Role of Bcr1-activated genes Hwp1 and Hyr1 in *Candida albicans* oral mucosal
biofilms and neutrophil evasion. *PloS One* 6, e16218. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0016218](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016218)

Fiore, E., Van Tyne, D., and Gilmore, M. S. (2019). Pathogenicity of enterococci. Microbiol. Spectr. 7, 10.1128/microbiolspec.gpp3-0053-2018. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0053-2018) [microbiolspec.GPP3-0053-2018](https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0053-2018)

Forche, A., Cromie, G., Gerstein, A. C., Solis, N. V., Pisithkul, T., Srifa, W., et al. (2018). Rapid phenotypic and genotypic diversification after exposure to the oral host niche in candida albicans. Genetics 209, 725–741. doi: [10.1534/genetics.118.301019](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301019)

Garsin, D. A., and Lorenz, M. C. (2013). Candida albicans and Enterococcus faecalis in the gut: synergy in commensalism? Gut Microbes 4, 409–415. doi: [10.4161/](https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.26040) [gmic.26040](https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.26040)

Gazendam, R. P., van Hamme, J. L., Tool, A. T., van Houdt, M., Verkuijlen, P. J., Herbst, M., et al. (2014). Two independent killing mechanisms of Candida albicans by human neutrophils: evidence from innate immunity defects. Blood 124, 590–597. doi: [10.1182/blood-2014-01-551473](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-01-551473)

Graham, C. E., Cruz, M. R., Garsin, D. A., and Lorenz, M. C. (2017). Enterococcus faecalis bacteriocin EntV inhibits hyphal morphogenesis, biofilm formation, and virulence of Candida albicans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 4507–4512. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1620432114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1620432114)

Harriott, M. M., and Noverr, M. C. (2011). Importance of Candida-bacterial polymicrobial biofilms in disease. Trends Microbiol. 19, 557–563. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2011.07.004) [j.tim.2011.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2011.07.004)

Hermann, C., Hermann, J., Munzel, U., and Rüchel, R. (1999). Bacterial flora
accompanying Candida yeasts in clinical specimens. Mycoses 42, 619-627. doi: [10.1046/j.1439-0507.1999.00519.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0507.1999.00519.x)

Hoek, K. L., McClanahan, K. G., Latour, Y. L., Shealy, N., Piazuelo, M. B., Vallance, B. A., et al. (2023). Turicibacterales protect mice from severe Citrobacter rodentium infection. Infect. Immun. 91, e0032223. doi: [10.1128/iai.00322-23](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00322-23)

Ihrmark, K., Bodeker, I. T., Cruz-Martinez, K., Friberg, H., Kubartova, A., Schenck, J., et al. (2012). New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region–evaluation by 454 sequencing of artificial and natural communities. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 82, 666–677. doi: [10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01437.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01437.x)

Iliev, I. D., Funari, V. A., Taylor, K. D., Nguyen, Q., Reyes, C. N., Strom, S. P., et al. (2012). Interactions between commensal fungi and the C-type lectin receptor Dectin-1 influence colitis. Science 336, 1314–1317. doi: [10.1126/science.1221789](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1221789)

Jain, M., Shah, R., Chandolia, B., Mathur, A., Chauhan, Y., Chawda, J., et al. (2016). The oral carriage of candida in oral cancer patients of Indian origin undergoing radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy. J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 10, ZC17–ZC20. doi: [10.7860/](https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2016/15702.7180) [JCDR/2016/15702.7180](https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2016/15702.7180)

Jakubovics, N. S. (2015). Intermicrobial interactions as a driver for community composition and stratification of oral biofilms. J. Mol. Biol. 427, 3662–3675. doi: [10.1016/j.jmb.2015.09.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.09.022)

Jett, B. D., Huycke, M. M., and Gilmore, M. S. (1994). Virulence of enterococci. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 7, 462–478. doi: [10.1128/CMR.7.4.462](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.7.4.462)

Kamai, Y., Kubota, M., Kamai, Y., Hosokawa, T., Fukuoka, T., and Filler, S. G. (2001). New model of oropharyngeal candidiasis in mice. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45, 3195–3197. doi: [10.1128/aac.45.11.3195-3197.2001](https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.45.11.3195-3197.2001)

Kapitan, M., Niemiec, M. J., Millet, N., Brandt, P., Chowdhury, M. E. K., Czapka, A., et al. (2024). Synergistic cross-kingdom host cell damage between Candida albicans and Enterococcus faecalis. bioRxiv. 14:2024.09.11.612452. doi: [10.1101/2024.09.11.612452](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.11.612452)

Kauffman, C. (2011). Essentials of Clinical Mycology. (New York, NY: Springer).

Kobayashi-Sakamoto, M., Tamai, R., Isogai, E., and Kiyoura, Y. (2018). Gastrointestinal colonisation and systemic spread of Candida albicans in mice treated with antibiotics and prednisolone. Microb. Pathog. 117, 191–199. doi: [10.1016/j.micpath.2018.02.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.02.043)

Koh, A. Y. (2013). Murine models of Candida gastrointestinal colonization and dissemination. Eukaryot Cell 12, 1416–1422. doi: [10.1128/EC.00196-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00196-13)

Kong, E. F., Kucharíková, S., Van Dijck, P., Peters, B. M., Shirtliff, M. E., and Jabra-Rizk, M. A. (2015). Clinical implications of oral candidiasis: host tissue damage and disseminated bacterial disease. Infect. Immun. 83, 604–613. doi: [10.1128/iai.02843-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.02843-14)

Kovac, J., Kovac, D., Slobodnikova, L., and Kotulova, D. (2013). Enterococcus faecalis and Candida albicans in the dental root canal and periapical infections. Bratisl Lek Listy 114, 716–720.

Krishnamoorthy, A. L., Lemus, A. A., Solomon, A. P., Valm, A. M., and Neelakantan, P. (2020). Interactions between *Candida albicans* and *Enterococcus faecalis* in an
Organotypic Oral Epithelial Model. *Microorganisms* 8, 1771. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8111771) [microorganisms8111771](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8111771)

Liu, T. H., Wang, J., Zhang, C. Y., Zhao, L., Sheng, Y. Y., Tao, G. S., et al. (2023). Gut microbial characteristical comparison reveals potential anti-aging function of Dubosiella newyorkensis in mice. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne) 14. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1133167) [fendo.2023.1133167](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1133167)

Lynch, J. B., Gonzalez, E. L., Choy, K., Faull, K. F., Jewell, T., Arellano, A., et al. (2023). Gut microbiota Turicibacter strains differentially modify bile acids and host lipids. Nat. Commun. 14, 3669. doi: [10.1038/s41467-023-39403-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39403-7)

MacCallum, D. M. (2012). Hosting infection: experimental models to assay Candida virulence. Int. J. Microbiol. 2012, 363764. doi: [10.1155/2012/363764](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/363764)

Mason, K. L., Erb Downward, J. R., Mason, K. D., Falkowski, N. R., Eaton, K. A., Kao, J. Y., et al. (2012). Candida albicans and bacterial microbiota interactions in the cecum during recolonization following broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy. Infect. Immun. 80, 3371–3380. doi: [10.1128/iai.00449-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00449-12)

Mirhakkak, M. H., Schauble, S., Klassert, T. E., Brunke, S., Brandt, P., Loos, D., et al. (2021). Metabolic modeling predicts specific gut bacteria as key determinants for Candida albicans colonization levels. ISME J. 15, 1257–1270. doi: [10.1038/s41396-020-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00848-z) [00848-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00848-z)

Moyes, D. L., Wilson, D., Richardson, J. P., Mogavero, S., Tang, S. X., Wernecke, J., et al. (2016). Candidalysin is a fungal peptide toxin critical for mucosal infection. Nature 532, 64–68. doi: [10.1038/nature17625](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17625)

Niemiec, M. J., Kapitan, M., Himmel, M., Doll, K., Kruger, T., Kollner, T. G., et al. (2022). Augmented Enterocyte Damage During Candida albicans and Proteus mirabilis Coinfection. Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol. 12. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2022.866416](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.866416)

Oever, J. T., and Netea, M. G. (2014). The bacteriome-mycobiome interaction and antifungal host defense. Eur. J. Immunol. 44, 3182–3191. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201344405) [eji.201344405](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201344405)

Phan, Q. T., Belanger, P. H., and Filler, S. G. (2000). Role of hyphal formation in interactions of Candida albicans with endothelial cells. Infect. Immun. 68, 3485–3490. doi: [10.1128/iai.68.6.3485-3490.2000](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.68.6.3485-3490.2000)

Redding, S. W., Zellars, R. C., Kirkpatrick, W. R., McAtee, R. K., Caceres, M. A., Fothergill, A. W., et al. (1999). Epidemiology of oropharyngeal Candida colonization and infection in patients receiving radiation for head and neck cancer. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37, 3896–3900. doi: [10.1128/jcm.37.12.3896-3900.1999](https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.37.12.3896-3900.1999)

Rhodus, N. L., Bloomquist, C., Liljemark, W., and Bereuter, J. (1997). Prevalence, density, and manifestations of oral Candida albicans in patients with Sjögren's syndrome. J. Otolaryngol. 26, 300–305.

Riad, A., Gomaa, E., Hockova, B., and Klugar, M. (2021). Oral candidiasis of COVID-19 patients: Case report and review of evidence. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 20, 1580–1584. doi: [10.1111/jocd.14066](https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.14066)

Ricci, L., Mackie, J., Donachie, G. E., Chapuis, A., Mezerova, K., Lenardon, M. D., et al. (2022). Human gut bifidobacteria inhibit the growth of the opportunistic fungal
pathogen Candida albicans. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 98, fiac095. doi: [10.1093/femsec/](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiac095) fi[ac095](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiac095)

Ryu, H., Henson, M., Elk, M., Toledo-Hernandez, C., Griffith, J., Blackwood, D., et al. (2013). Development of quantitative PCR assays targeting the 16S rRNA genes of Enterococcus spp. and their application to the identification of Enterococcus species in environmental samples. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79, 196–204. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02802-12) [AEM.02802-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02802-12)

Sangeorzan, J. A., Bradley, S. F., He, X., Zarins, L. T., Ridenour, G. L., Tiballi, R. N., et al. (1994). Epidemiology of oral candidiasis in HIV-infected patients: colonization, infection, treatment, and emergence of fluconazole resistance. Am. J. Med. 97, 339–346. doi: [10.1016/0002-9343\(94\)90300-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(94)90300-x)

Sarkar, S., Kamke, A., Ward, K., Rudick, A. K., Baer, S. G., Ran, Q., et al. (2022). Bacterial but Not Fungal Rhizosphere Community Composition Differ among Perennial Grass Ecotypes under Abiotic Environmental Stress. Microbiol. Spectr. 10, e0239121. doi: [10.1128/spectrum.02391-21](https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02391-21)

Savage, D. C., and Dubos, R. J. (1967). Localization of indigenous yeast in the murine stomach. J. Bacteriol. 94, 1811–1816. doi: [10.1128/jb.94.6.1811-1816.1967](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.94.6.1811-1816.1967)

Shogan, B. D., Belogortseva, N., Luong, P. M., Zaborin, A., Lax, S., Bethel, C., et al. (2015). Collagen degradation and MMP9 activation by Enterococcus faecalis contribute to intestinal anastomotic leak. Sci. Transl. Med. 7, 286ra268. doi: [10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3010658) [scitranslmed.3010658](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3010658)

Solis, N. V., and Filler, S. G. (2012). Mouse model of oropharyngeal candidiasis. Nat. Protoc. 7, 637–642. doi: [10.1038/nprot.2012.011](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.011)

Sultan, A. S., Kong, E. F., Rizk, A. M., and Jabra-Rizk, M. A. (2018). The oral microbiome: A Lesson in coexistence. PloS Pathog. 14, e1006719. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006719) [journal.ppat.1006719](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006719)

Swidergall, M., Solis, N. V., Millet, N., Huang, M. Y., Lin, J., Phan, Q. T., et al. (2021). Activation of EphA2-EGFR signaling in oral epithelial cells by Candida albicans virulence factors. PloS Pathog. 17, e1009221. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009221) [journal.ppat.1009221](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009221)

Tan, C. T., Xu, X., Qiao, Y., and Wang, Y. (2021). A peptidoglycan storm caused by beta-lactam antibiotic's action on host microbiota drives Candida albicans infection. Nat. Commun. 12, 2560. doi: [10.1038/s41467-021-22845-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22845-2)

Vediyappan, G., Dumontet, V., Pelissier, F., and d'Enfert, C. (2013). Gymnemic acids inhibit hyphal growth and virulence in Candida albicans. PloS One 8, e74189. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0074189](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074189)

Veerapandian, R., and Vediyappan, G. (2019). Gymnemic Acids Inhibit Adhesive Nanofibrillar Mediated Streptococcus gordonii-Candida albicans Mono-Species and Dual-Species Biofilms. Front. Microbiol. 10. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2019.02328](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02328)

Vila, T., Sultan, A. S., Montelongo-Jauregui, D., and Jabra-Rizk, M. A. (2020). Oral candidiasis: A disease of opportunity. J. Fungi (Basel) 6, 15. doi: [10.3390/jof6010015](https://doi.org/10.3390/jof6010015)

Wang, X., Wu, S., Li, L., and Yan, Z. (2024). Candida albicans overgrowth disrupts the gut microbiota in mice bearing oral cancer. Mycology 15, 57–69. doi: [10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/21501203.2023.2256761) [21501203.2023.2256761](https://doi.org/10.1080/21501203.2023.2256761)

Weiner, L. M., Webb, A. K., Limbago, B., Dudeck, M. A., Patel, J., Kallen, A. J., et al. (2016). Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data reported to the national healthcare safety network at the centers for disease control and prevention 2011-2014. Infect. Control Hosp Epidemiol. 37, 1288–1301. doi: [10.1017/ice.2016.174](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2016.174)

White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., and Taylor, J. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods Appl. 18, 315–322. doi: [10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1)

Williams, J. M., Duckworth, C. A., Vowell, K., Burkitt, M. D., and Pritchard, D. M. (2016). Intestinal preparation techniques for histological analysis in the mouse. Curr. Protoc. Mouse Biol. 6, 148-168. doi: [10.1002/cpmo.2](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpmo.2)

Willis, A. M., Coulter, W. A., Fulton, C. R., Hayes, J. R., Bell, P. M., and Lamey, P. J. (1999). Oral candidal carriage and infection in insulin-treated diabetic patients. Diabetes Med. 16, 675–679. doi: [10.1046/j.1464-5491.1999.00134.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-5491.1999.00134.x)

Xu, H., Sobue, T., Thompson, A., Xie, Z., Poon, K., Ricker, A., et al. (2014). Streptococcal co-infection augments Candida pathogenicity by amplifying the mucosal inflammatory response. Cell Microbiol. 16, 214–231. doi: [10.1111/cmi.12216](https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12216)

Zhai, B., Ola, M., Rolling, T., Tosini, N. L., Joshowitz, S., Littmann, E. R., et al. (2020). High-resolution mycobiota analysis reveals dynamic intestinal translocation preceding invasive candidiasis. Nat. Med. 26, 59–64. doi: [10.1038/s41591-019-0709-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0709-7)