Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sara Louise Cosby, Agri Food and Biosciences Institute, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY

Amit Ranjan Sahu, Government of Odisha, India Mohamed A. Abouelkhair, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Shailesh Sharma shailesh.sharma@niab.org.in

RECEIVED 11 January 2024 ACCEPTED 01 August 2024 PUBLISHED 03 September 2024

CITATION

Vanamamalai VK, Priyanka E, Kannaki TR and Sharma S (2024) Integrative study of chicken lung transcriptome to understand the host immune response during Newcastle disease virus challenge. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 14:1368887. [doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887)

COPYPICHT

© 2024 Vanamamalai, Priyanka, Kannaki and Sharma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Integrative study of chicken](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887/full) [lung transcriptome to](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887/full) [understand the host immune](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887/full) [response during Newcastle](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887/full) [disease virus challenge](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887/full)

Venkata Krishna Vanamamalai^{1,2}, E. Priyanka³, T. R. Kannaki³ and Shailesh Sharma^{1,2*}

1 Bioinformatics Laboratory, DBT-National Institute of Animal Biotechnology (NIAB), Hyderabad, Telangana, India, 2Graduate Studies, Regional Centre for Biotechnology (RCB), Faridabad, Haryana, India, 3Laboratory of Avian Health and Pathology, ICAR-Directorate of Poultry Research, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Introduction: Newcastle disease is one of the significant issues in the poultry industry, having catastrophic effects worldwide. The lung is one of the essential organs which harbours Bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue and plays a vital role in the immune response. Leghorn and Fayoumi breeds are known to have differences in resistance to Newcastle disease. Along with genes and long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are also known to regulate various biological pathways through gene regulation.

Methods: This study analysed the lung transcriptome data and identified the role of genes and long non-coding RNAs in differential immune resistance. The computational pipeline, FHSpipe, as used in our previous studies on analysis of harderian gland and trachea transcriptome was used to identify genes and lncRNAs. This was followed by differential expression analysis, functional annotation of genes and lncRNAs, identification of transcription factors, microRNAs and finally validation using qRT-PCR.

Results and discussion: A total of 8219 novel lncRNAs were identified. Of them, 1263 lncRNAs and 281 genes were differentially expressed. About 66 genes were annotated with either an immune-related GO term or pathway, and 12 were annotated with both. In challenge and breed-based analysis, most of these genes were upregulated in Fayoumi compared to Leghorn, and in timepoint-based analysis, Leghorn challenge chicken showed downregulation between time points. A similar trend was observed in the expression of lncRNAs. Coexpression analysis has revealed several lncRNAs co-expressing with immune genes with a positive correlation. Several genes annotated with non-immune pathways, including metabolism, signal transduction, transport of small molecules, extracellular matrix organization, developmental biology and cellular processes, were also impacted. With this, we can understand that Fayoumi chicken showed upregulated immune genes and positive cis-lncRNAs during both the non-challenged and NDV-challenge conditions, even without viral transcripts in the tissue. This finding shows that these immune-annotated genes and coexpressing cis-lncRNAs play a significant role in Fayoumi being comparatively resistant to NDV compared to Leghorn. Our study affirms and

expands upon the outcomes of previous studies and highlights the crucial role of lncRNAs during the immune response to NDV.

Conclusion: This analysis clearly shows the differences in the gene expression patterns and lncRNA co-expression with the genes between Leghorn and Fayoumi, indicating that the lncRNAs and co-expressing genes might potentially have a role in differentiating these breeds. We hypothesise that these genes and lncRNAs play a vital role in the higher resistance of Fayoumi to NDV than Leghorn. This study can pave the way for future studies to unravel the biological mechanism behind the regulation of immune-related genes.

KEYWORDS

Newcastle disease, lung, Leghorn and Fayoumi, long non-coding RNAs, differential resistance, coexpression network, qPCR validation

Introduction

Newcastle disease is one of the significant issues in the poultry industry, having catastrophic effects worldwide, especially in emerging nations. It is a highly contagious viral disease of Avians caused by the Newcastle disease virus (NDV), a ss-RNA virus belonging to the Paramyxoviridae family ([Ganar et al., 2014\)](#page-15-0). This is endemic in most of Asia, Africa and some parts of North and South America. The general approach to controlling the disease is vaccination, which would result in immunity against NDV infection and virus replication [\(Mebrate et al., 2019](#page-15-0)). Although vaccination is the most effective treatment available currently, the lack of suitable infrastructure in developing countries, the development of new variants of the virus, lack of antigenically matched vaccines, improper immunisation and the existence of antibodies against vaccine strain led to the decreased efficacy of the administered vaccine ([Dimitrov et al., 2017\)](#page-15-0).

Leghorn and Fayoumi are the two inbred lines of chicken (Gallus gallus). While the Leghorn and Fayoumi breeds are known for their disease resistance, the Fayoumi breed is more resistant to Newcastle disease than the Leghorn breed ([Vanamamalai et al., 2021](#page-16-0); [Vanamamalai et al., 2023](#page-16-0); [Venkata](#page-16-0) [Krishna et al., 2024](#page-16-0)). In 2015, Jinxiu Li et al. performed bisulphite sequencing of Leghorn and Fayoumi chicken and identified differential methylation patterns in the genomic regions annotated with immune GO terms [\(Li et al., 2015\)](#page-15-0). Melissa et al. have analysed the transcriptome response of Leghorn and Fayoumi chickens and showed genes playing essential roles in the differential resistance of these breeds ([Deist et al., 2017\)](#page-15-0). A study by MS Tarabany on immune response against Newcastle disease virus in Leghorn and Fayoumi breeds showed that in comparison to purebred Leghorn chicken, purebred Fayoumi and Fayoumi-Leghorn hybrid chicken had significantly higher antibody titers and lesser mortality rates during NDV infection [\(Mahmoud S,](#page-15-0) [2019\)](#page-15-0). Additionally, Schilling et al. have explored chicken embryos and reported breed-specific expression of immune-related genes ([Schilling et al., 2019\)](#page-16-0). Besides NDV, Leghorn and Fayoumi showed differential resistance patterns against the Avian Influenza virus ([Wang et al., 2014](#page-16-0)), Eimeria spp [\(Pinard-van der Laan et al., 2009\)](#page-15-0). and Salmonella [\(Cheeseman et al., 2007\)](#page-15-0). The genetic variations resulted in differences in the immune responses and susceptibility against various diseases, including NDV ([Hassan et al., 2004\)](#page-15-0).

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a type of RNA molecule that are longer than 200 nucleotides and do not code for proteins. They play essential roles in various biological processes, including gene regulation, chromatin remodelling, and cell differentiation. They can act as transcriptional regulators by interacting with DNA, RNA, and proteins to modulate gene expression. They can also act as scaffolds for protein complexes, combining multiple proteins to perform specific functions. Recent studies have suggested that lncRNAs are involved in various diseases, including cancer, neurological disorders, and cardiovascular disease. Because of their diverse functions and potential roles in various diseases, there is a potential for understanding the role of lncRNAs during Newcastle disease in chickens. In our previous studies, the role of lncRNAs was studied during Newcastle disease in the harderian gland [\(Vanamamalai et al., 2021](#page-16-0); [Venkata Krishna et al., 2024](#page-16-0)) and trachea [\(Vanamamalai et al., 2023\)](#page-16-0) transcriptome data. These studies have identified several cis-lncRNAs that co-express with immune-related genes. These were found to be upregulated in Fayoumi when compared to Leghorn. In addition, Leghorn chickens have shown downregulation of immune-related genes during the progress of ND (between time points), which was not identified in Fayoumi.

Lung tissue plays a vital role in the immune response, mainly in case of respiratory infection like NDV, as the lung hosts one of the critical immune tissues, Bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue (BALT), which produces a variety of immune cells, including B cells and T cells ([Hwang et al., 2016](#page-15-0)). Although Leghorn and Fayoumi are breeds of the same species, there are differences in Vanamamalai et al. [10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887)

their resistance and immune response against NDV. This study hypothesises that some immune-related genes might play a vital role in these differential resistance patterns. Different lncRNAs might play a significant role in regulating these immune-related genes, thus making Fayoumi comparatively resistant to NDV compared to Leghorn. With this, the current study aims to investigate lung tissue transcriptome data to identify the potential lncRNAs, understand the differences in the expression of these lncRNAs and genes between challenged and non-challenged chicken, between the two breeds and during the progress of infection, identify the co-expression between genes and lncRNAs and determine the roles of the lncRNAs using the functional annotation of the genes co-expressing with the lncRNAs, which helps in understanding the role of long non-coding RNAs in the lung during Newcastle disease challenge.

Methods

Data collection

The transcriptome sequencing dataset was downloaded from a publicly available resource – EBI ENA, from the project PRJEB21760 ([Deist et al., 2017\)](#page-15-0). This dataset consists of 48 samples of chicken lung tissue sequenced using Illumina Hiseq 2500 with single-end 100bp reads. Of these 48 samples, 24 belong to the Leghorn breed, and 24 belong to the Fayoumi breed. Regarding infectivity, 12 out of 24 samples of each breed were control nonchallenged samples, and the other 12 were NDV-challenged samples. This dataset includes three different time points concerning the viral challenge – 2 DPC (days post-challenge), 6 DPC and 10 DPC. The details of the downloaded data are mentioned in [Supplementary Table 1.](#page-15-0)

Identification of lncRNAs – FHSpipe

In this study, the pipeline described in our previous study ([Vanamamalai et al., 2023](#page-16-0)) was used to identify lncRNAs, perform differential expression and further downstream analysis. This pipeline includes different steps like quality check which was performed using Fastp tool [\(Chen et al., 2018\)](#page-15-0), followed by mapping of clean reads against the latest Chicken reference genome of version GRCg7b using the tool Hisat2 v2.2.1 [\(Kim et al., 2019\)](#page-15-0) followed by assembly of mapped reads into transcripts using Stringtie v2.1.4 ([Pertea et al., 2015\)](#page-15-0), annotation of the transcripts into different class codes using GFFCompare [\(Pertea and Pertea,](#page-15-0) [2020\)](#page-15-0), extraction of the sequences of transcripts annotated with class codes "I" (intronic), "U" (unknown/intergenic) and "X" (antisense) and filtering these sequences by eliminating the sequences with a length less than 200 nucleotides, ORF length greater than 100 amino acids (300 nucleotides), having hits against protein family (Pfam) database and sequences annotated with "coding" tag using Coding potential calculator 2 (CPC2) ([Kang et al., 2017](#page-15-0)). The filtered sequences were finally subjected to BLAST against various databases like NONCODE v6 ([Zhao et al., 2021](#page-16-0)) to identify known

and novel lncRNAs, tRNA database [\(Chan and Lowe, 2009](#page-15-0)), SILVA rRNA database ([Quast et al., 2013\)](#page-16-0) and miRbase ([Kozomara et al.,](#page-15-0) [2019](#page-15-0)) to eliminate other non-coding RNAs, if any, and the finally remaining sequences were considered as potential long noncoding RNAs.

Differential expression analysis

To perform differential expression analysis of genes and the identified lncRNAs, FHSpipe generates read counts for genes and lncRNAs, which can be used to perform differential expression analysis using edgeR v3.34.1 ([Robinson et al., 2010\)](#page-16-0) with the generalised linear model (GLM). Three factors were considered while writing the contrasts as used in our previous study ([Vanamamalai et al., 2023](#page-16-0)) – challenge (challenged and nonchallenged), breed (Leghorn and Fayoumi) and timepoint (2, 6, 10). Differential expression was performed in three cases, and heatmaps were plotted for both genes and lncRNAs using gplots R library ([Warnes et al., 2020\)](#page-16-0). The identified differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and lncRNAs (DElncRNAs) were plotted against chromosomes based on their position using Circos ([Krzywinski et al., 2009](#page-15-0)).

Gene ontology analysis and GSEA

As described earlier, OmicsBox v2.1.14 [\(BioBam Bioinformatics,](#page-15-0) [2019](#page-15-0)) was used to perform the GO functional annotation and the pipeline contains six steps – BLAST [\(Altschul et al., 1990](#page-15-0)) against nonredundant (nr) protein database, Interpro scan ([Philip et al., 2014\)](#page-15-0) against various protein databases, Gene ontology mapping [\(Gotz et al.,](#page-15-0) [2008](#page-15-0)) against GOA version 2022.03, GO annotation ([Gotz et al., 2008](#page-15-0)), mapping against EggNOG v5.0.2 database ([Huerta-Cepas et al., 2019\)](#page-15-0) and KEGG ([Kanehisa and Goto, 2000](#page-15-0)) and Reactome [\(Fabregat et al.,](#page-15-0) [2018](#page-15-0)) combined pathway analysis. The Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) module in OmicsBox [\(Subramanian et al., 2005\)](#page-16-0) was used to perform GO functional enrichment analysis to identify enriched gene ontologies ("Biological Process", "Molecular Function", and "Cellular Component") and enriched pathways ("Reactome" and "KEGG"). The parameters, including weighted enrichment statistic, the gene set permutation number and other filters, were set as mentioned earlier ([Vanamamalai et al., 2023\)](#page-16-0).

Functional annotation of lncRNAs

The functions of the Co-expressing genes were used to predict the functions of the lncRNAs by subjecting the co-expressing genelncRNA pairs to cis-trans analysis ([Guttman and Rinn, 2012;](#page-15-0) [Zhao](#page-16-0) [et al., 2020](#page-16-0)). The pairs with lncRNA and gene on the same chromosome were termed cis, and the pairs with lncRNA and gene on different chromosomes were termed trans. WGCNA (Weighted Gene Correlation Network Analysis) v1.70-3 ([Langfelder and](#page-15-0) [Horvath, 2008\)](#page-15-0) was used to analyse the co-expression of the differentially expressed genes and lncRNAs. As mentioned in the tutorials on the WGCNA webpage, the pipeline was followed to perform co-expression analysis. The expression values (FPKM) of the genes and lncRNAs were used as input. All the parameters, including outlier height cut-off and minimum module size, were selected based on the input data, and a scale-free topology fit index cut-off of 0.8 was used to select soft power. The eigengenes module was used to merge the closely related modules. The text files containing the data regarding the edges and nodes of the co-expression network were extracted as final output.

Gene-transcription factor interaction analysis

The transcription factors interacting with the genes at 5' UTRs were identified using the MEME toolkit version 5.4.1 [\(Bailey et al.,](#page-15-0) [2009](#page-15-0)). This pipeline includes two steps – identification of motifs and identification of transcription factors of the obtained motifs. First, motifs were identified using the MEME tool and then obtained motifs were compared against the JASPAR 2023 vertebrate database using the TomTom tool. The identified transcription factors were searched against the Animal TF database v4.0 [\(Shen et al., 2023](#page-16-0)) to obtain Chicken Transcription factors.

Gene -miRNA interaction analysis

The online server miRNet ([Chang et al., 2020](#page-15-0)) was used to obtain the microRNAs targeting the differentially expressed genes obtained in all three conditions. The official gene symbol of these genes was used to search the microRNAs targeting them. A list of microRNAs was downloaded in the form of a commaseparated file.

Network visualisation

The data, including co-expressing pairs of genes and long noncoding RNAs, transcription factors interacting with 5' UTR of the DEGs and microRNAs targeting these DEGS, was used to construct a network and plotted using Cytoscape v3.10 ([Shannon et al., 2003\)](#page-16-0). The differentially expressed genes annotated with immune-related level 2 Gene ontologies, i.e., response to biotic stimulus (GO:0009607) and immune system process (GO:0002376), and Reactome Immune system pathways were selected for visualisation. Accordingly, the data of long non-coding RNAs, Transcription factors and microRNAs was filtered.

QTL analysis

The quantitative trait loci information of the differentially expressed genes was obtained from the chicken QTL data available on the Animal QTL database (Hu et al., 2019). The data was downloaded in GFF format, and the QTL information was acquired using an in-house Python script.

Validation studies

Validation of 4 selected DElncRNAs with co-expressing genes for 3 lncRNAs was performed as described earlier ([Vanamamalai et al.,](#page-16-0) [2023\)](#page-16-0), including three pairs of co-expressing lncRNA-genes, namely TCONS_00098393 (Lnc 1) – IFIT5 (Gene 1), TCONS_00133885 (Lnc 2) – CD55 (Gene 2), TCONS_00381885 (Lnc 3) – LOC112533599 (Gene 3) and one lncRNA without co-expressing gene – TCONS_00383493 (Lnc 4). All the experiments were performed according to the guidelines approved by the Institute Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC/DPR/20/2). The detailed information on the Primers generated using NCBI Primer-BLAST [\(Ye et al., 2012](#page-16-0)) is mentioned in [Supplementary Table 2.](#page-15-0) Briefly, chickens (aged 21 days) were chosen for the study. LaSota strain of NDV of 200 μ L of EID 50 \geq 10⁶ per dose was used for inoculation, and phosphate-buffered saline was inoculated for the control group. The viral antibody levels were determined as described earlier [\(Vanamamalai et al., 2023\)](#page-16-0) using Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) and indirect ELISA. Lung tissue was collected at three time points – 2, 6 and 10. A viral RNA purification kit (Himedia Pvt. LTD) was used for total RNA isolation, Highcapacity cDNA Reverse transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) was used for cDNA reverse transcription and real-time PCR was performed using Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (2X) (MBI Fermentas, USA) on Insta Q96™ real-time PCR machine (Himedia, India) machine. The 2^{\wedge} - $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method was utilised to calculate relative expression between respective conditions (1 DEG and 2 DElncRNAs at 2 DPC and 2 DEGs and 2 DElncRNAs at 6 DPC). The results were visualised as bar plots with error bars (SEM) and significance values from multiple unpaired t-tests, plotted using GraphPad Prism¹.

Results

Data preprocessing

The quality assessment of all the samples using the Fastp tool showed that an average of 97.2% of the reads (94.5-97.8%) of all 48 samples passed the quality filter with a quality cut-off of 25. About 2.65% of reads were discarded due to low quality, 0.01% were discarded due to too many 'N' bases, and 0.14% were too short. The average GC content was 48.5%, and the average Q30 base content post-filtering was about 95.02%. The detailed result for each of the 48 samples was shown in [Supplementary Table 3.](#page-15-0)

Mapping and assembly

The cleaned high-quality reads were mapped against the latest version of the chicken reference genome, i.e., GRCg7b. The mapped reads were assembled into potential transcripts with the help of reference annotation. As mentioned in [Supplementary Table 3](#page-15-0), the average mapping percentage across all 48 samples was 94.6%, with

¹ GraphPad Software. Multiple unpaired t-tests were performed by using GraphPad Prism v9.4.1 for WIndows (San Diego, California, USA).

the sample mapping in the range of 92.78% to 95.84%. Post assembly, the average number of transcripts was found to be 43904, ranging from 42729 to 46501. After reassembly, about 25451 transcripts were obtained in each sample.

Identification of long non-coding RNAs

A total of 415627 transcripts were annotated with 11 different class codes, with the class code C (transcripts contained in reference) being annotated to the highest number of transcripts (42.3%). A total of 11216 transcripts were found to be annotated with Class codes U with the highest transcripts (4914, 44%) followed by I (3780, 34%) and X with the least transcripts (2522, 22%), as shown in Figure 1A. The 11216 transcripts representing class codes I, U, and X were subjected to various filtration steps, and 2997 transcripts were eliminated, with 8219 transcripts being extracted as final potential long non-coding RNAs. These details are mentioned in Table 1. Of these, the highest number of transcripts, i.e., 3788 (46%), were found to be intergenic (U), followed by intronic (I), i.e., 2911 (35%), and anti-sense (X) with the least transcripts, i.e., 1520 (19%), as shown in Figure 1B. Of the 8219 transcripts, 5532 showed no hits against the NONCODE v6 database, indicating novel transcripts and 2687 showed similar hits. TABLE 1 The statistics of the lncRNA identification pipeline.

Of these, 471 showed 100% similarity, and only 6 showed 100% similarity and 100% coverage. There were no hits with 100% similarity and 100% coverage against miRBase (Mature and Hairpin) and transfer RNA database. The chromosomal positions of these lncRNAs were plotted using the Phenogram tool and the plot was shown in Figure 1C. All the chromosomes showed lncRNAs except Chromosome 32, which had no lncRNAs mapped. Chromosome 1 showed the highest percentage of lncRNAs, and Chromosome 33 showed the lowest percentage.

The characteristics of the long non-coding RNAs identified in the lung transcriptome. (A) Class code classification of all the transcripts. (B) Pie chart composition of different types of lncRNAs. (C) Chromosomal localisation of the identified lncRNAs.

Differential expression analysis

Of the three-factor analyses used for estimation of differential expression, about 83 DEGs and 183 DElncRNAs were identified in the challenge-based analysis, i.e., comparison between challenged and non-challenged chicken, about 261 DEGs and 1165 DElncRNAs in breed-based analysis, i.e., comparison between Leghorn and Fayoumi, and about 47 DEGs and 55 DElncRNAs in timepoint-based analysis, i.e., comparison between different timepoints. The number of differentially expressed genes and lncRNAs identified in each of the three analysis conditions were mentioned in Table 2 – A: challenge-based analysis, B: breed-based analysis and C: timepoint-based analysis. Heatmaps showing the read count data were shown in [Supplementary Figure 1](#page-15-0) for genes and [Supplementary Figure 2](#page-15-0) for lncRNAs. The characteristics and expression values of the extracted lncRNAs are mentioned in [Supplementary Table 4.](#page-15-0) Figure 2 represents the chromosomal localisation of the DEGs and DElncRNAs identified in the three analysis factors - challenge (A, B), breed (C, D) and timepoint (E, F). The outermost grey circle represented the chromosomes of chickens, followed by the circle showing the genes (A, C, E) and

TABLE 2 The number of differentially expressed genes and long noncoding RNAs identified in (A) – Challenge-based analysis, (B) – Breedbased analysis and (C) – Timepoint-based analysis.

FIGURE 2

The synteny plot of the Chromosomal localisation of differentially expressed genes (A, C, E) and differentially expressed lncRNAs (B, D, F) identified in challenge-based analysis (A, B), breed-based analysis (C, D) and timepoint-based analysis (E, F).

lncRNAs (B, D, F) of the different conditions as mentioned in the legend. This figure shows that more differentially expressed genes and lncRNAs were obtained in breed-based analysis compared to the challenge-based and timepoint-based analysis. In comparison to genes, a more significant number of lncRNAs were observed. In challenge-based analysis, Leghorn 6 DPC showed a higher number of genes and Fayoumi 10 DPC showed a higher number of lncRNAs. In breed-based analysis, non-challenged 10 DPC showed a higher number of genes and lncRNAs. In timepointbased analysis, Leghorn 6 v/s 10 DPC showed a higher number of genes and Leghorn 2 v/s 6 DPC showed a higher number of lncRNAs.

Gene ontology analysis

Gene ontology (GO) and functional annotation results were obtained in various forms including biological process, molecular function, cellular component GOs, and KEGG and Reactome pathways. The GO annotation results were plotted as pie charts using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software) and were represented in [Figure 3](#page-7-0) with (A) Level 2 biological process GOs, (B) sequence distribution of molecular function GOs and (C) sequence distribution of cellular component GOs. A total of 14 different biological process level 2 GOs were obtained, of which cellular process (GO:0009987) was annotated to the highest number of genes, i.e., 149 (16.28%). In contrast, locomotion (GO:0040011) was annotated to the lowest number of genes, i.e., 17 (1.78%). Also, about 25 (2.61%) genes were annotated with the immune system process (GO:0002376). In addition, a total of 4 level 2 molecular function GOs were obtained, of which binding (GO:0005488) was annotated to the highest number of genes, i.e., 136 (51.32%) followed by catalytic activity (GO:0003824 – 25.94%), structural molecule activity (GO:0005198 – 11.65%) and molecular function regulator activity (GO:0098772) was annotated to lowest number of genes, i.e., 29 (10.94%). In the sequence distribution across ten molecular function GOs, the GO Metal ion binding (GO:0046872) was annotated to the highest percent of genes, i.e., 14.58%, as represented in [Figure 3B.](#page-7-0) In the case of the cellular component, only 2 level 2 GOs were obtained, i.e., cellular anatomical entity (GO:0110165) was annotated to 158 (71.17%) genes and Proteincontaining complex (GO:0032991) was annotated to 64 (28.83%) genes. In the sequence distribution of 10 cellular component GOs, the GO Plasma membrane (GO:0005886) was annotated to the highest percent of genes, i.e., 17.59% as represented in [Figure 3C.](#page-7-0) [Figure 4](#page-8-0) shows the distribution of the DEGs across different categories of KEGG and Reactome databases plotted using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad software). The KEGG database pathway analysis showed that most genes were annotated to pathways in the organismal systems category and very few pathways were annotated to the metabolism category. As per the Reactome database, most of the genes were annotated with pathways in the metabolism of proteins and only 1 pathway was found in the Protein localisation, Reproduction and DNA

replication categories. A total of 45 different genes were annotated with pathways in the immune system (Reactome) category, which were annotated with different biological process GOs, including biological regulation (GO:0065007), cellular process (GO:0009987), immune system process (GO:0002376), response to biotic stimulus (GO:0009607) and Signalling (GO:0023052). Apart from this, 25 genes were annotated with the biological process GO - immune system process (GO:0002376), and 18 genes were annotated with the biological process response to biotic stimulus (GO:0009607). About 66 genes were annotated with either immune biological process GOs or pathways. Of this, 12 genes were annotated with both immune GOs and pathways. The detailed information on the functional annotation, including gene ontologies, pathways and the fold change values of all the DEGs, is mentioned in [Supplementary Table 5.](#page-15-0)

Functional enrichment analysis (GSEA)

Unlike the trachea [\(Vanamamalai et al., 2023\)](#page-16-0), the lung showed enriched GOs and pathways under a few conditions. The number of total and enriched GOs and pathways are mentioned in [Table 3](#page-9-0). In challenge-based analysis, Only Leghorn 6 DPC showed enriched GOs. Under biological process, the highest number of enriched GOs were identified under level 2 GO developmental process (111), followed by biological regulation (61), cellular process (21), metabolic process (19), response to stimulus (15), signalling (6), localisation (2) reproductive process (2) and one each under multicellular organismal process and growth. In breed-based analysis, non-challenged 2 DPC showed no enriched GOs. Challenged 2 DPC showed the highest enriched GOs with biological process GOs under biological regulation (27), developmental process (11), cellular process (8), response to stimulus (8), metabolic process (4), localisation (3) and signalling (1). Challenge 6 DPC showed enriched GOs under biological regulation (7). Challenge 10 DPC showed enriched GOs under biological regulation (14) and developmental process (1). Nonchallenged 6 DPC showed enriched GOs under metabolic process (2). Non-challenged 10 DPC showed enriched GOs under biological regulation (14), metabolic process (6), developmental process (4), localisation (3) and cellular process (1). In timepoint-based analysis, enriched GOs were obtained only in Fayoumi 2 vs 10 DPC, but none were identified under biological process. No enriched pathways were identified in the challenge-based analysis. In breed-based analysis, 11 pathways under disease (Reactome) were identified to be enriched in all six conditions. In addition to these 11 pathways, challenge 6 DPC showed enriched pathways under metabolism (KEGG) (1), nonchallenge 2 DPC under Organelle biogenesis and maintenance (Reactome) (1), non-challenge 6 DPC under metabolism (KEGG) (2) and non-challenge 10 DPC under immune System (Reactome) (1) and metabolism of proteins (Reactome) (1). In timepoint-based analysis, only Fayoumi 2 vs 10 DPC showed enriched pathways under transport of small molecules (Reactome) (2). Detailed information on the enriched GOs and pathways is mentioned in [Supplementary Tables 6](#page-15-0), [7,](#page-15-0) respectively.

Functional annotation of lncRNA

The co-expression analysis of differentially expressed genes and lncRNAs identified in three different conditions resulted in several modules. The parameters, including outliers, soft power, minimum size and number of modules before and after merging, and sizes of the top and least sized modules, were mentioned in [Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Table 8.](#page-15-0) The scale-free topology model fit cut-off was selected as 0.8, and the soft power of the conditions failing to reach the cut-off index for reasonable powers was chosen as 9. There were no outliers

in the challenge-based analysis, and soft power was chosen as 9 for all conditions. There were no interactions at Leghorn 10DPC. In breed-based analysis, outliers were observed at 2DPC nonchallenged and 6 DPC challenged conditions, and soft power was chosen as 15 and 12 for challenged 2 DPC and 10 DPC, respectively, as they have scale-free topology fit index values above 0.8. In contrast, in the case of other conditions, soft power was chosen as 9. In the case of timepoint-based analysis, outliers were found in 4 conditions and soft power was chosen as 9 for all the conditions. There were no interactions at Fayoumi 2v6 DPC. In the cis-trans

analysis, 94.29% of the pairs were trans-acting, while 5.71% were cis-acting. Of these cis-acting pairs, 38.45% of pairs have lncRNA upstream of the gene, 59.36% have lncRNA downstream of the gene, 2.1% have lncRNA within a gene, 0.06% have lncRNA covering the 3' end of the gene, and 0.04% have lncRNA covering 5' end of the gene. The number of cis-trans pairs is mentioned in [Table 4.](#page-10-0) The cis gene-lncRNA pairs were analysed further to predict the functions of these lncRNAs using the genes. A total of 745 DElncRNAs were found to be in 4490 cis-interactions with 168 DEGs. Of these interactions, a higher number of positive interactions, i.e., both genes and lncRNAs either upregulated or downregulated, were identified than negative interactions, i.e., genes and lncRNAs with one being upregulated and the other being downregulated. Of the 66 DEGs annotated with either immune GO or pathway, 46 DEGs were found to be co-expressing with 489 cis-DElncRNAs in a total of 975 interactions – 514 positive and 461 negative interactions. These details are mentioned in [Supplementary Table 9.](#page-15-0)

Gene-transcription factor interaction analysis

A total of 10 different motifs were identified as per the cut-off mentioned in the methods section. Of this, 8 motifs showed hits with transcription factors. With a q-value cut-off $(0.05), a total of 3$ motifs (1, 4, 6) and 34 transcription factors were obtained. Motif 1

was found to be interacting with 1 transcription factor, Motif 4 with 12 transcription factors and Motif 6 with 32 transcription factors. These transcription factors belong to three families - E2F, zf-C2H2 and ZBTB. Most of them belong to the zf-C2H2 family. The list of motifs, their transcription factors, family and other details are mentioned in [Supplementary Table 10A](#page-15-0) and details of transcription factors associated with immune-related genes were mentioned in [Supplementary Table 10B.](#page-15-0)

Gene -miRNA interaction analysis

A total of 504 different microRNAs were identified, which target 169 different genes. About 14 miRNAs were found to target immune related genes. Similar to our previous report on the trachea transcriptome ([Vanamamalai et al., 2023\)](#page-16-0), the gene BAIAP2 was found to be targeted by 78 different miRNAs and the miRNA gga-mir-1587 was found to target 23 different DEGs. The details of microRNAs associated with DEGs were mentioned in [Supplementary Table 10C](#page-15-0).

Network visualisation

The networks of the selected immune-related DEGs, coexpressing DElncRNAs, transcription factors, microRNAs, and biological process level 2 GOs were constructed and visualised in

TABLE 3 The number of Total and Enriched Gene Ontologies, i.e., Biological Process (BP), Molecular Function (MF) and Cellular Component (CC), and Pathways, i.e., KEGG and Reactome identified in all the conditions in (A) Challenge-based, (B) Breed-based and (C) Timepoint-based analysis.

the form of networks and plotted using Cytoscape. There were no interactions involving immune-related genes in challenge-based and timepoint-based analysis. [Figure 5](#page-11-0) shows the network plots for breed-based analysis. The black circular nodes denote long noncoding RNAs, blue diamond nodes denote genes, green boxes denote Gene ontology (Biological process Level 2), pink arrows denote microRNAs targeting the genes, and red triangles denote Transcription factors binding to genes. [Figure 5A](#page-11-0) shows the

TABLE 4 The number of Cis-Trans gene-lncRNA pairs and the different classes of Cis interacting pairs identified during - (A) Challenge-based analysis, (B) Breed-based analysis and (C) Timepoint-based analysis.

network obtained with non-challenged 2 DPC data with three DEGs annotated with two BP GOs, 511 lncRNAs and 34 TFs. No miRNAs were identified. Of the three DEGs, the gene MSTRG.10718 showed interactions with the highest number of lncRNAs (483), while the gene IL6ST showed the least (268). A total of 124 lncRNAs were identified to be explicitly interacting with a single gene, i.e., MSTRG.10718 (96), MSTRG.4083 (15) and IL6ST (13). [Figure 5B](#page-11-0) shows the network obtained with non-challenged 6 DPC data with two DEGs annotated with one BP GO, 541 lncRNAs and 34 TFs. No miRNAs were identified. Of the two DEGs, the gene MSTRG.10718 showed the highest interactions (516), while the gene IL6ST showed the least (188). A total of 378 lncRNAs were identified to be explicitly interacting with a single gene, i.e., MSTRG.10718 (353) and IL6ST (25). [Figure 5C](#page-11-0) shows the network obtained with non-challenged 10 DPC data with nine DEGs annotated with two BP GOs, 718 lncRNAs and 34 TFs. No miRNAs were identified. Of the two DEGs, the gene IL6ST showed the highest interactions (557), while the gene MSTRG.9061 showed the least (15). A total of 52 lncRNAs were identified to be explicitly interacting with a single gene, i.e., MSTRG.4083 (23), IL6ST (15), MSTRG.8108 (7), NPC2 (6) and MSTRG.14170 (1). [Figure 5D](#page-11-0) shows the network obtained with challenged 2 DPC data with three DEGs annotated with two BP GOs, 235 lncRNAs and 34 TFs. No miRNAs were identified. Of the three DEGs, the gene

challenged 10 days, (D) – challenged 2 days, (E) – challenged 6 days, (F) – challenged 10 days.

MSTRG.10718 showed the highest interactions (231), while the gene IL6ST showed the least (112). A total of 90 lncRNAs were identified to be explicitly interacting with a single gene, i.e., MSTRG.10718 (86) and IL6ST (4). Figure 5E shows the network obtained with challenged 6 DPC data with five DEGs annotated with two BP GOs, 412 lncRNAs and 34 TFs. No miRNAs were identified. Of the five DEGs, the genes MSTRG.12549 and MSTRG.18444 showed the highest interactions (400), while the gene MSTRG.4083 showed the least (108). A total of 11 lncRNAs were identified to be explicitly interacting with a single gene, i.e., MSTRG.12549 (5), MSTRG.18444 (4), MSTRG.10718 (1) and MSTRG.4083 (1). Figure 5F shows the network obtained with challenged 10 DPC data with three DEGs annotated with two BP GOs, 311 lncRNAs and 34 TFs. No miRNAs were identified. Of the three DEGs, the gene IL6ST showed the highest interactions (266), while the gene MSTRG.4083 showed the least (193). A total of 86 lncRNAs were identified to be explicitly interacting with a single gene, i.e., IL6ST (49), MSTRG.10718 (19) and MSTRG.4083 (18).

QTL analysis

The quantitative trait loci of the DEGs were identified using the gene coordinates, and different types of QTLs, including exterior, physiological, production, and health, were identified. The number of genes under each category of QTLs is shown in [Table 5.](#page-12-0) Challenge-based analysis showed a higher number of QTLs, and the Production QTL category had the highest number of genes.

Validation studies

[Figure 6](#page-13-0) shows the relative expression values between challenged (green bars) and non-challenged (red bars) of the selected 4 DElncRNAs and 3 co-expressing DEGs. The relative expression values were similar to those obtained from in silico analysis. The expression levels of the lncRNAs and the coexpressing genes were also validated, indicating the validation of the co-expression of these lncRNAs and genes. The significance values calculated using the unpaired t-test represent $-\ast$: $p \le 0.05$, **: $p \le 0.01$, ****: $p \le 0.0001$. All the genes and lncRNAs were found to be significant.

Discussion

Newcastle disease is one of the challenging diseases of chickens, devastatingly impacting poultry industries, especially in underdeveloped countries. Along with the trachea, the lung is one of the essential tissues during respiratory infections due to the presence of Bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue (BALT). Previously, analysis of the harderian gland and trachea transcriptome has shown differences in the expression patterns of genes and co-expressing long non-coding RNAs, which were involved in a wide range of biological processes and pathways, including Immune-system related pathways. These studies have shown that although Leghorn showed upregulated immune genes in challenge-based analysis, Fayoumi was found to be showing higher upregulation in immune genes than Leghorn in breed-based analysis. In addition, Leghorn showed downregulation of immune genes in timepoint-based analysis, while Fayoumi showed no such response.

In the current study, investigating the lung tissue transcriptome has given essential insights into the immune response of Leghorn and Fayoumi chicken during Newcastle Disease. Several novel lncRNAs were identified, co-expressing with different genes involved in immune system pathways. A higher number of intergenic lncRNAs, also known as long intergenic lncRNAs

TABLE 5 The number of differentially expressed genes associated with different types of QTL obtained in (A) Challenge-based analysis, (B) Breed-based analysis and (C) Timepoint-based analysis.

(lincRNAs), were identified in comparison to intronic and antisense lncRNAs. Breed-based analysis showed more DEGs and DElncRNAs than challenge-based and timepoint-based analysis. Non-challenged datasets showed more DEGs and DElncRNAs than challenged datasets, which shows the differences in the expression of genes between Leghorn and Fayoumi even under normal nonchallenged conditions. With this result, we can deduce the differences between both breeds in lung transcriptome response, similar to that identified in our previous studies on the trachea and harderian gland. However, the number of DEGs and DElncRNAs was lower in the case of the lung transcriptome.

In functional annotation of genes, it was found that a total of 25 different genes were annotated with the biological process Immune system process (GO:0002376), and 18 different genes were annotated with the biological process response to biotic stimulus (GO:0009607). A total of 45 different genes were annotated with pathways in the Immune system (Reactome) category, which were annotated with different biological process GOs, including biological regulation (GO:0065007), cellular process (GO:0009987), immune system process (GO:0002376), response to biotic stimulus (GO:0009607) and Signalling (GO:0023052). Overall, 66 genes were annotated with either immune-related biological process GOs or pathways. Of this, 12 genes were annotated with both biological process GOs and Immune system category pathways. In challenge and timepoint-based analysis, only one gene, MSTRG.18444, was found to be differentially expressed. It was found to be downregulated in challenge-based analysis in Fayoumi at 6DPC and upregulated in timepoint-based analysis in Fayoumi 6 vs 10 DPC. In breed-based analysis, the genes IL6ST, MSTRG.10718, and MSTRG.4083 were upregulated in Fayoumi and the genes MSTRG.12549 and MSTRG.18444 were found to be downregulated in Fayoumi than in Leghorn in breed-based analysis during both challenged and non-challenged conditions. The other 7 genes, i.e., CTSS, MSTRG.14170, MSTRG.14920, MSTRG.5632, MSTRG.8108, MSTRG.9061 and NPC2 were upregulated in Fayoumi at 10 DPC in non-challenged samples. Apart from this, of the 66 genes annotated with either immune GO or immune pathway, several genes were upregulated in challenge-based analysis in Fayoumi but not in Leghorn. A few genes were also downregulated between time points in timepoint-based analysis in Leghorn but not in Fayoumi. This pattern shows the differences in the breeds even in the absence of any infection. In addition to this, several non-immune genes involved in pathways, including metabolism, signal transduction, transport of small molecules, extracellular matrix organisation, developmental biology and cellular processes, were impacted by NDV infection.

In GO functional enrichment analysis, several enriched GOs and pathways were identified. No enriched immune-related GOs were identified across all the datasets. In breed-based analysis, only one enriched immune pathway was identified in non-challenge 10 DPC data. In Leghorn challenge vs non-challenge data, enriched biological process GOs were identified only at Leghorn 6 DPC. The highest number of enriched GOs were found under the developmental process followed by biological regulation. All the enriched GOs were found to have positive NES, indicating upregulated GOs. In the Fayoumi challenge vs non-challenge data, enriched biological process GOs were identified at 2, 6 and 10 DPC. At 2 DPC, metabolic process and cellular process GOs were enriched with positive NES. At 6 DPC, enriched GOs were found under biological regulation and developmental process, with negative NES indicating downregulation. In comparison, at 10 DPC, biological regulation and cellular process GOs were enriched with positive NES, indicating upregulation. In breedbased analysis, non-challenge data showed enriched GOs at 6 DPC under metabolic process and at 10 DPC under biological regulation followed by metabolic and developmental process with positive NES. Challenge data showed enriched GOs at 2, 6 and 10 DPC. The most enriched GOs were found under biological regulation, followed by the developmental process with positive NES at all three timepoints. There were no enriched biological process GOs in timepoint-based analysis. In the case of pathway

enrichment analysis, no enriched pathways were identified in the challenge-based analysis. In breed-based analysis, all six conditions showed enriched pathways, most belonging to the Disease (Reactome) category with negative NES. A few metabolic and immune system pathways were identified in non-challenged samples with positive NES. In timepoint-based analysis, only Fayoumi 2 vs 10 DPC data showed two enriched pathways under the transport of small molecules category with negative NES. These results show the impact of NDV on various non-immune pathways, unlike previous analyses on the trachea and harderian gland, in which several enriched immune-related GOs and pathways were identified.

In co-expression analysis between the DEGs and DElncRNAs, it was observed that most of the co-expression pairs were trans than cis. As mentioned earlier, cis co-expression lncRNAs were considered to regulate the genes near them. In challenge-based analysis, only Leghorn 6 DPC and Fayoumi 10 DPC have shown cis co-expression pairs. Leghorn showed only 1 pair with the gene involved in sensory perception. In Fayoumi, cis-pairs had genes involved in various pathways under the immune system, metabolic, cellular process, signal transduction, DNA repair, response to stimulus and developmental biology. This result clearly shows the differences in the lncRNA transcriptome response between the Leghorn and Fayoumi breeds during the NDV challenge. In breed-based analysis, both challenged and non-challenged datasets have shown several gene-lncRNAs pairs with genes involved in various pathways under metabolism, immune system, disease, signal transduction, metabolic and cellular processes, transport of molecules and developmental pathways. In timepoint-based analysis, only Leghorn have shown cis coexpression pairs with genes involved in sensory perception and biological regulatory pathways. This analysis shows that the lncRNAs might have a potential role in differentiating these

breeds. A higher number of the pairs were shown to have a positive correlation, indicating that most of the DElncRNAs could positively regulate the co-expressing genes. However, several negatively regulating DElncRNAs were also identified, even with immune-related genes.

In addition, several transcription factors and microRNAs were identified to be interacting with the DEGs. A total of 10 immune annotated genes were identified to be interacting with transcription factors, of which six genes showed three all motifs (1, 4, 6) and 34 TFs, two genes showed two motifs (4, 6) and 33 TFs, and two genes showed one each motif – motif 4 and 12 TFs, and motif 6 and 32 TFs respectively). Similar to the previous reports on the trachea and harderian gland, TFs from three families – E2F, ZBTB (Zinc finger and BTB domain containing) and zf-C2H2 (zinc finger Cys2–His2) were identified. These were known to regulate apoptotic ([Xanthoulis and Tiniakos, 2013\)](#page-16-0), T-lymphocyte-related molecular mechanisms [\(Cheng et al., 2021](#page-15-0)) and disease developmental processes [\(Han et al., 2016](#page-15-0)), respectively. Only two immune genes were found to be targeted by 14 microRNAs. – gene CTSS (3) and NPC2 (12). Along with co-expressing cis-lncRNAs, this information on TFs and miRNAs can be used to regulate the expression of immune genes in further studies. QTL analysis has shown that most of the DEGs identified in different conditions were mapped to production and health QTLs. Breed-based QTL analysis showed the highest number of genes mapped to QTLs of the three methods. In challenge-based analysis, Fayoumi showed the highest number of genes with overall QTLs and the highest number of health QTLs compared to Leghorn. However, in timepoint-based analysis, Leghorn showed a higher number of Health QTLs than Fayoumi, which could mean that Leghorn shows more differential expression during the disease than Fayoumi, which is comparatively resistant and could have fewer differences in expression between different timepoints of the disease. The breed-based analysis has shown the health QTLs in both challenged and non-challenged datasets, indicating the difference between Leghorn and Fayoumi, even in the normal state.

Overall, in this analysis, most of the 12 genes annotated with immune-related GOs and pathways were upregulated in Fayoumi, compared to Leghorn during the NDV challenge. Such immune gene upregulation was even observed during non-challenged conditions. In addition, in challenge-based analysis, NDVchallenged Fayoumi showed a higher number of upregulated immune genes compared to non-challenged Fayoumi, while there was no such upregulation in Leghorn. Similarly, a higher number of cis-lncRNAs were identified in Fayoumi compared to Leghorn. Most of these cis-lncRNAs were positively correlated with the immune-related genes. Previous studies by Melissa et al. show that the presence of viral transcripts in the tissue significantly helps in eliciting immune response and upregulation of immunerelated genes, which was also observed in our earlier work on the trachea and harderian gland transcriptome analysis, where higher levels are viral transcripts were observed in those tissues. Unlike those tissues, lung tissue from both Leghorn and Fayoumi showed no viral transcripts. With this, we can understand that Fayoumi chicken showed upregulated immune genes and positive cislncRNAs during both the non-challenged and NDV-challenge conditions, even without viral transcripts in the tissue. This finding shows that these immune-annotated genes and coexpressing cis-lncRNAs play a significant role in Fayoumi being comparatively resistant to NDV compared to Leghorn. Our study affirms and expands upon the outcomes of previous studies and highlights the crucial role of lncRNAs during the immune response to NDV. Although this analysis is robust, certain limitations exist. This study is limited to in-silico analysis of transcriptome data obtained from lung tissue and experimental validation of a few lncRNAs and co-expressing genes. To better understand the overall response of the host and identify the role of immune genes and lncRNAs, the analysis of different tissues during NDV is required. Future studies can unravel the mechanisms of co-expression and interaction of DEGs and DElncRNAs. This information about these twelve immune-annotated genes and lncRNAs co-expressing with them can be used to improve resistance in susceptible breeds.

Conclusion

The lung is one of the essential organs in chickens and plays a vital role in the immune response against the Newcastle disease virus. In this analysis of the lung transcriptome, most of the immune-related genes were upregulated in Fayoumi in challenged and non-challenged conditions compared to Leghorn. In addition, several immune genes were upregulated in Fayoumi non-challenge vs challenge but not in Leghorn. Several gene ontologies under developmental process and biological regulation categories were enriched with positive NES (upregulated) in Fayoumi but not in Leghorn. In the case of pathways, few immune system and metabolic pathways were found to be enriched with positive NES (upregulated) between Leghorn and Fayoumi non-challenge data, indicating the difference between the breeds. A similar trend was observed in the case of lncRNAs. Several co-expressing pairs included lncRNAs positively correlated with both immune and non-immune genes. Fayoumi showed several positively correlated cis-lncRNAs co-expressing with immune-related genes, while no such lncRNAs were identified in Leghorn. This analysis clearly shows the differences in the gene expression patterns and lncRNA co-expression with the genes between Leghorn and Fayoumi, indicating that the lncRNAs and co-expressing genes might potentially have a role in differentiating these breeds. This study shows the transcriptomic response. An in-depth protein level analysis will help understand the exact mechanism in regulating genes and the role of the lncRNAs in regulating these genes.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analysed in this study. This data can be found here: EBI-ENA database with project ID PRJEB21760. The pipeline used in the study – FHSpipe can be found here: [https://](https://github.com/Venky2804/FHSpipe) github.com/Venky2804/FHSpipe.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Institute Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC/DPR/20/2), Directorate of poultry research, ICAR, Hyderabad, India. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

VKV: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. EP: Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft. TRK: Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. SS: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study is supported by institutional core funding of National Institute of Animal Biotechnology (NIAB) and ICAR-Directorate of Poultry Research (DPR).

Acknowledgments

We thank CSIR – Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India, for providing a JRF-net fellowship, National Institute of Animal Biotechnology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India and DBT – Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi, India, for providing necessary infrastructure and funds for the research, and Regional Centre for Biotechnology, Faridabad, Haryana, India for PhD registration. The authors are thankful to the Director, ICAR – Directorate of Poultry Research (DPR), for providing the necessary support for performing validation studies and the farm staff for aiding in performing the validation studies.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887/full#supplementary-material) [1368887/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1368887/full#supplementary-material)

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Figure showing the heatmap of the read counts of differentially expressed genes.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Figure showing the heatmap of the read counts of differentially expressed lncRNAs.

References

Altschul, S., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E., and Lipman, D. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410. doi: [10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)

Bailey, T. L., Boden, M., Buske, F. A., Frith, M., Grant, C. E., Clementi, L., et al. (2009). MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, W202–W208. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkp335](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp335)

BioBam Bioinformatics (2019). OmicsBox - Bioinformatics made easy.

Chan, P. P., and Lowe, T. M. (2009). GtRNAdb: A database of transfer RNA genes detected in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, D93–D97. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkn787](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn787)

Chang, L., Zhou, G., Soufan, O., and Xia, J. (2020). miRNet 2.0: network-based visual analytics for miRNA functional analysis and systems biology. Nucleic Acids Res. 48, (W1) W244–W251. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkaa467](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa467)

Cheeseman, J. H., Kaiser, M. G., Ciraci, C., Kaiser, P., and Lamont, S. J. (2007). Breed effect on early cytokine mRNA expression in spleen and cecum of chickens with and without Salmonella enteritidis infection. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 31, 52-60. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2006.04.001) [j.dci.2006.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2006.04.001)

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 34(17), i884-i890. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560) [bioinformatics/bty560](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560)

Cheng, Z. Y., He, T. T., Gao, X. M., Zhao, Y., and Wang, J. (2021). ZBTB transcription factors: key regulators of the development, differentiation and effector function of T cells. Front. Immunol. 12, 713294. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2021.713294](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.713294)

Deist, M. S., Gallardo, R. A., Bunn, D. A., Dekkers, J., Zhou, H., and Lamont, S. J. (2017). Resistant and susceptible chicken lines show distinctive responses to Newcastle disease virus infection in the lung transcriptome. BMC Genomics 18, 989. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-4380-4) [s12864-017-4380-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-4380-4)

Dimitrov, K. M., Afonso, C. L., Yu, Q., and Miller, P. J. (2017). Newcastle disease vaccines-A solved problem or a continuous challenge? Vet. Microbiol. 206, 126–136. doi: [10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.12.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.12.019)

Fabregat, A., Jupe, S., Matthews, L., Sidiropoulos, K., Gillespie, M., Garapati, P., et al. (2018). The reactome pathway knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 46, D649–D655.
doi: [10.1093/nar/gkx1132](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1132)

Ganar, K., Das, M., Sinha, S., and Kumar, S. (2014). Newcastle disease virus: current status and our understanding. Virus Res. 184, 71–81. doi: [10.1016/j.virusres.2014.02.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2014.02.016)

Gotz, S., Garcia-Gomez, J., Terol, J., Williams, T., Nagaraj, S., Nueda, M., et al. (2008). High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, 3420–3435. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkn176](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn176)

Guttman, M., and Rinn, J. (2012). Modular regulatory principles of large non-coding RNAs. Nature 482, 339–346. doi: [10.1038/nature10887](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10887)

Han, B. Y., Foo, C. S., Wu, S., and Cyster, J. G. (2016). The C2H2-ZF transcription factor Zfp335 recognizes two consensus motifs using separate zinc finger arrays. Genes Dev. 30, 1509–1514. doi: [10.1101/gad.279406.116](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.279406.116)

Hassan, M. K., Afify, M. A., and Aly, M. M. (2004). Genetic resistance of Egyptian chickens to infectious bursal disease and Newcastle disease. Trop. Anim. Health production 36, 1–9. doi: [10.1023/B:TROP.0000009524.47913.d4](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:TROP.0000009524.47913.d4)

Huerta-Cepas, J., Szklarczyk, D., Heller, D., Hernández-Plaza, A., Forslund, S. K., Cook, H., et al. (2019). eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, (D1) D309-D314. doi: [10.1093/nar/gky1085](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1085)

Hwang, J. Y., Randall, T. D., and Silva-Sanchez, A. (2016). Inducible bronchusassociated lymphoid tissue: taming inflammation in the lung. Front. Immunol. 7, 258. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2016.00258](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00258)

Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 27–30. doi: [10.1093/nar/28.1.27](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27)

Kang, Y. J., Yang, D. C., Kong, L., Hou, M., Meng, Y. Q., Wei, L., et al. (2017). CPC2: a fast and accurate coding potential calculator based on sequence intrinsic features. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, W12–W16. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkx428](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx428)

Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C., and Salzberg, S. L. (2019). Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat. Biotechnol. 37, 907–915. doi: [10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4)

Kozomara, A., Birgaoanu, M., and Griffiths-Jones, S. (2019). MiRBase: From microRNA sequences to function. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D155–D162. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1141) [nar/gky1141](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1141)

Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., et al. (2009). Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res. 19, 1639–1645. doi: [10.1101/gr.092759.109](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.092759.109)

Langfelder, P., and Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinf. 9, 559. doi: [10.1186/1471-2105-9-559](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559)

Li, J., Li, R., Wang, Y., Hu, X., Zhao, Y., Li, L., et al. (2015). Genome-wide DNA methylome variation in two genetically distinct chicken lines using MethylC-seq. BMC Genomics 16, 851. doi: [10.1186/s12864-015-2098-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-2098-8)

Mahmoud S, E.-T. (2019). Impact of crossing Fayoumi and Leghorn chicken breeds on immune response against Newcastle disease virus vaccines. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 51, 429–434. doi: [10.1007/s11250-018-1709-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-018-1709-1)

Mebrate, G., Tewodros, A., Dawit, A., Daniel, G., and Derbie, Z. (2019). Epidemiology, diagnosis & Prevention of newcastle disease in poultry. Am. J. Biomed. Sci. Res. 3, 50–59. doi: [10.34297/AJBSR](https://doi.org/10.34297/AJBSR)

Pertea, G., and Pertea, M. (2020). GFF utilities: gffRead and gffCompare. F1000Research 9, J–304. doi: [10.12688/f1000research](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research)

Pertea, M., Pertea, G. M., Antonescu, C. M., Chang, T. C., Mendell, J. T., and Salzberg, S. L. (2015). StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat. Biotechnol. 33, 290–295. doi: [10.1038/nbt.3122](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3122)

Philip, J., David, B., Hsin-Yu, C., Matthew, F., Weizhong, L., Craig, M., et al. (2014). InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30, 1236– 1240. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031)

Pinard-van der Laan, M. H., Bed'hom, B., Coville, J. L., Pitel, F., Feve, K., Leroux, S., et al. (2009). Microsatellite mapping of QTLs affecting resistance to coccidiosis (Eimeria tenella) in a Fayoumi x White Leghorn cross. BMC Genomics 10, 31. doi: [10.1186/1471-2164-10-31](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-10-31)

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D590–D596. doi: [10.1093/nar/gks1219](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219)

Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26, 139–140. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616)

Schilling, M. A., Memari, S., Cavanaugh, M., Katani, R., Deist, M. S., Radzio-Basu, J., et al. (2019). Conserved, breed-dependent, and subline-dependent innate immune responses of Fayoumi and Leghorn chicken embryos to Newcastle disease virus infection. Sci. Rep. 9, 7209. doi: [10.1038/s41598-019-43483-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43483-1)

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., et al. (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 13, 2498–2504. doi: [10.1101/gr.1239303](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303)

Shen, W. K., Chen, S. Y., Gan, Z. Q., Zhang, Y. Z., Yue, T., Chen, M. M., et al. (2023). AnimalTFDB 4.0: a comprehensive animal transcription factor database updated with variation and expression annotations. Nucleic Acids Res. 51, (D1) D39-D45. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkac907](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac907)

Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V. K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B. L., Gillette, M. A., et al. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 102, 15545–15550. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0506580102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102)

Vanamamalai, V. K., Garg, P., Kolluri, G., Gandham, R. K., Jali, I., and Sharma, S. (2021). Transcriptomic analysis to infer key molecular players involved during host response to NDV challenge in Gallus gallus (Leghorn & Fayoumi). Sci. Rep. 11(1), 8486. doi: [10.1038/s41598-021-88029-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88029-6)

Vanamamalai, V. K., Priyanka, E., Kannaki, T. R., and Sharma, S. (2023). Integrated analysis of genes and long non-coding RNAs in trachea transcriptome to decipher the host response during Newcastle disease challenge in different breeds of chicken. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 253, (Pt 5) 127183. doi: [10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.127183](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.127183)

Venkata Krishna, V., Priyanka, E., Kannaki, T. R., and Shailesh, S. (2024). Breed and timepoint-based analysis of chicken harderian gland transcriptome during Newcastle Disease Virus challenge. Front. Mol. Biosci. 11, 1365888. doi: [10.3389/fmolb.2024.1365888](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2024.1365888)

Wang, Y., Lupiani, B., Reddy, S. M., Lamont, S. J., and Zhou, H. (2014). RNA-seq analysis revealed novel genes and signaling pathway associated with disease resistance to avian influenza virus infection in chickens. Poultry Sci. 93, 485–493. doi: [10.3382/](https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2013-03557) [ps.2013-03557](https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2013-03557)

Warnes, G., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Huber, W., Liaw, A., et al. (2020). gplots: Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data.

Xanthoulis, A., and Tiniakos, D. G. (2013). E2F transcription factors and digestive system Malignancies: how much do we know? World J. Gastroenterol. 19, 3189–3198. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v19.i21.3189](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v19.i21.3189)

Ye, J., Coulouris, G., Zaretskaya, I., Cutcutache, I., Rozen, S., and Madden, T. L. (2012). Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. BMC Bioinf. 13, 134. doi: [10.1186/1471-2105-13-134](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-13-134)

Zhao, L., Wang, J., Li, Y., Song, T., Wu, Y., Fang, S., et al. (2021). NONCODEV6: an updated database dedicated to long non-coding RNA annotation in both animals and plants. Nucleic Acids Res. 49, D165–D171. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkaa1046](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1046)

Zhao, R., Li, J., Liu, N., Li, H., Liu, L., Yang, F., et al. (2020). Transcriptomic analysis reveals the involvement of lncRNA–miRNA–mRNA networks in hair follicle induction in Aohan fine wool sheep skin. Front. Genet. 11, 590. doi: [10.3389/fgene.2020.00590](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00590)