Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Veeranoot Nissapatorn, Walailak University, Thailand

REVIEWED BY Praveen Kumar, Banaras Hindu University, India Ming Li, Soochow University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Myunghoo Kim Mhkim18@pusan.ac.kr

RECEIVED 29 December 2023 ACCEPTED 24 June 2024 PUBLISHED 15 July 2024

CITATION

Jung H-s, Park YJ, Gu B-H, Han G, Ji W, Hwang Sm and Kim M (2024) Coumarin derivatives ameliorate the intestinal inflammation and pathogenic gut microbiome changes in the model of infectious colitis through antibacterial activity. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 14:1362773. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2024.1362773

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Jung, Park, Gu, Han, Ji, Hwang and Kim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Coumarin derivatives ameliorate the intestinal inflammation and pathogenic gut microbiome changes in the model of infectious colitis through antibacterial activity

Hui-su Jung¹, Yei Ju Park², Bon-Hee Gu³, Goeun Han^{1,4}, Woonhak Ji¹, Su mi Hwang⁵ and Myunghoo Kim^{1,4}*

¹Laboratory of Animal Immunology, Department of Animal Science, College of Natural Resource & Life Science, Pusan National University, Miryang, Republic of Korea, ²R & D Center, EyeGene, Goyang, Republic of Korea, ³Life and Industry Convergence Research Institute, Pusan National University, Miryang, Republic of Korea, ⁴Future Earth Research Institute, PNU JYS Science Academy, Pusan National University, Busan, Republic of Korea, ⁵Department of Biomedical Laboratory Science, College of Health and Medical Science, Sangji University, Wonju, Republic of Korea

Coumarin, a phenolic compound, is a secondary metabolite produced by plants such as Tanga and Lime. Coumarin derivatives were prepared via Pechmann condensation. In this study, we performed in vitro and in vivo experiments to determine the antimicrobial and gut immune-regulatory functions of coumarin derivatives. For the in vitro antimicrobial activity assay, coumarin derivatives C1 and C2 were selected based on their pathogen-killing activity against various pathogenic microbes. We further demonstrated that the selected coumarin derivatives disrupted bacterial cell membranes. Next, we examined the regulatory function of the coumarin derivatives in gut inflammation using an infectious colitis model. In an in vivo infectious colitis model, administration of selected C1 coumarin derivatives reduced pathogen loads, the number of inflammatory immune cells (Th1 cells and Th17 cells), and inflammatory cytokine levels (IL-6 and IL-1b) in the intestinal tissue after pathogen infection. In addition, we found that the administration of C1 coumarin derivatives minimized abnormal gut microbiome shift-driven pathogen infection. Potential pathogenic gut microbes, such as Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcaceae, were increased by pathogen infection. However, this pathogenic microbial expansion was minimized and beneficial bacteria, such as Ligilactobacillus and Limosilactobacillus, increased with C1 coumarin derivative treatment. Functional gene enrichment assessment revealed that the relative abundance of genes associated with lipid and nucleotide metabolism was reduced by pathogen infection; however, this phenomenon was not observed in C1 coumarin derivative-treated animals. Collectively, our data suggest that C1 coumarin derivative is effective antibacterial agents that minimize pathogeninduced gut inflammation and abnormal gut microbiome modulation through their antibacterial activity.

KEYWORDS

coumarin derivative, antibacterial activity, anti-inflammation, gut immunity, gut microbiome

1 Introduction

Mucosal tissue, including the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, respiratory tract, and reproductive organs, is the largest component of the immune system in the body (Mowat, 2003). Up to 70-80% of immune cells exist in the mucosal tissue, suggesting the importance of mucosal immunity (Pabst et al., 2008). Because mucosal tissue has a large surface area and is exposed to environmental materials such as pathogens, it is difficult to maintain immune homeostasis in the tissue (Bischoff, 2009). The GI tract is a part of the mucosal tissue and is composed of a large number of microbes and dietary antigens. The GI tract is the major entry site for various pathogens such as Salmonella and Escherichia coli (Muniz et al., 2012). Colonization of invasive pathogenic microbes damages gut tissue and causes dysbiosis of the gut microbiota, resulting in impaired intestinal tissue homeostasis (Coburn et al., 2007). Pathogen infection activates the innate and adaptive immune systems (Cao et al., 2021), leading to intestinal inflammation (Klag et al., 2013). In addition, some pathogens can invade the intestine and cause systemic inflammation (Hapfelmeier and Hardt, 2005). Therefore, to maintain gut and systemic health, it is essential that the intestinal immune system responds appropriately to pathogens.

Microbial infections are becoming a pressing issue for global health and the economy (Abraham et al., 2016). In recent years, the treatment of microbial infections has become a significant challenge with conventional antibiotic therapy (Suresh et al., 2017). Standard therapies, including immunosuppressive and biological treatments, are widely used, but these therapeutic agents have limitations. In particular, the effectiveness of existing antibacterial drugs has decreased or even become ineffective owing to microbial resistance (Baym et al., 2016). Recently, various studies have been conducted to overcome these problems, and innovative treatment methods using non-antibiotic drugs with potential antibacterial properties have attracted attention (Vandevelde et al., 2016). Therefore, efforts have been made to develop medicinal compounds derived from natural sources, such as fungi, plants, and animals (Rasheed et al., 2022). Several studies have suggested that these compounds can be used to treat intestinal inflammatory diseases (Maurya and Mishra, 2022).

Coumarin, a phenolic compound, is a secondary metabolite produced by plants such as Tanga (Hoult and Payá, 1996), cinnamon (Thada et al., 2013), and many types of medicinal plants including all parts of plants-fruits, roots, stems, and leaves (Lončar et al., 2020). The benzopyrone structure of coumarin enables its derivatives to readily interact with diverse enzymes and receptors in organisms (Ibrar et al., 2018). Coumarin derivatives have potential bioactivities because various substituents can be attached to various positions on the benzene ring (Flores-Morales et al., 2023). Coumarin and its derivatives exhibit a wide range of biological activities, including antiviral (Mishra et al., 2020), antifungal (Song et al., 2017), antiinflammatory (Luchini et al., 2008), antitumor (Liu et al., 2014), antioxidant (Kadhum et al., 2011), and antibacterial properties (Flašík et al., 2009). Intestinal inflammation is regulated by host immunity and gut microbiota (Zheng et al., 2020). The crosstalk between the gut microbiome and immunity plays a critical role in maintaining gut tissue homeostasis (Campbell et al., 2023). Although the diverse functions of coumarins are known, there are only a few in vivo studies on the effects of coumarin derivatives on intestinal inflammation (Witaicenis et al., 2014). In this study, we examined the effects of coumarin derivatives on the regulation of intestinal inflammation and gut microbiome. We hypothesized that coumarin derivatives regulate intestinal inflammation and modulate the gut microbiome through antibacterial activity. In this study, the disc diffusion method was used to select effective coumarin derivatives from the various types of coumarin derivatives. Some coumarin derivatives exhibited pathogen-killing activity by disrupting bacterial cell membranes. Using the Citrobacter rodentium (C. rodentium) infectious colitis model, we further demonstrated that the selected coumarin derivatives (C1 and C2) have anti-inflammatory functions and can minimize pathogenic gut microbiome shifts through antibacterial activity. Our data suggest that coumarin derivatives may be effective therapeutic agents for suppressing intestinal inflammation and changes in the gut microbiome caused by pathogens via their antibacterial activity.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Preparation of coumarin derivates

Representative methods for synthesizing coumarin derivatives include the Perkin reaction (He et al., 2014), Knoevenagel condensation reaction (Abraham et al., 2016), and Pechmann condensation reaction (Jadhav et al., 2019). In this study, natural coumarin was isolated from lime (Citrus aurantifolia) peel, separated, and purified. Afterwards, the material was identified through structural analysis, and various coumarin derivatives were synthesized via the Pechmann condensation reaction. A mixture containing ethyl acetoacetate, trifluoromethyl acetoacetate, and phenol derivatives was prepared, and sulfuric acid was added while maintaining the temperature at 10 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18-26 hours, and then the temperature was maintained below 10 °C. The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed four times, and dried. Unrefined solids were purified by column chromatography using dichloromethane: n-hexane (5:1, v/v) as the eluent, and the structural analysis and yield were confirmed.

2.2 Microbial strains

To investigate the antimicrobial activity of coumarin derivatives through *in vitro* screening, 11 types of microbes were cultured according to the culture conditions for each strain. *Candida albicans* (11282, KCCM, Seoul, Republic of Korea) was cultured at 25 °C in YPD (yeast 1% + dextrose 2% + bacto Peptone 2%) media. *Bacillus cereus* (11204, KCCM) and *Micrococcus luteus* (1056, IAM, Tokyo, Japan) were cultured at 30 °C in ENB (yeast extract 0.25%+brain heart infusion broth 1.25% + nutrient broth 0.55%) media. Enterococcus faecium (12118, KCCM), Listeria monocytogenes (40307, KCCM), and Streptococcus mutans (3056, KCTC, Seoul, Republic of Korea) were cultured at 37 °C in brain heart infusion broth. Salmonella enteritids (12021, KCCM) and Shigella boydii (41649, KCCM) were cultured at 37 °C in nutrient broth media. Escherichia coli (11835, KCCM), Staphylococcus aureus subsp. (40050, KCCM), and Citrobacter rodentium (DBS 100, ATCC, Virginia, USA) were cultured at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth media.

2.3 Disc diffusion assay

The antimicrobial activity of different coumarin derivatives against several strains was tested using a previously reported disc diffusion method (Wang et al., 2020). Briefly, 30 ml LB medium (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) was added to each petri dish. After solidification, 200 μ l of each microbial suspension with a concentration of 6.4×10^6 CFU/ml was uniformly coated on petri plates. Subsequently, a well of 8 mm size was made on the plate, and the concentration of each coumarin derivative was made up to 20 mg/ ml and loaded into the well. After incubation at 37 °C for 18 hours, the diameter of the inhibition zone (DIZ) was measured to determine the antimicrobial activity of coumarin derivatives, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as a negative control. The results were as follows according to the length of the DIZ: -, no activity; +, inhibition zone>10–20 mm; ++, inhibition zone>20 mm.

2.4 Confocal laser scanning microscopy analysis

Damage to the *C. rodentium* membrane was determined as described previously (Harhala et al., 2021), with some modifications. The bacterial pellets of *C. rodentium* were prepared at a concentration of 5×10^8 CFU, based on 2.2. The test strains were treated with coumarin derivatives dissolved in DMSO at 10 mg/ml, except for the control group. DMSO was used as a negative control. After a 6 hours incubation period at 37 °C, the samples were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cell pellet was resuspended in 5 μ M dye buffer using SYTOXTM Green Nucleic Acid Stain kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and incubated at 37 °C in the dark for 15 minutes. Finally, 20 μ l of the cell suspension was placed on a glass slide, and fluorescent images were acquired using a fluorescence microscope.

2.5 SEM analysis of bacteria

The morphological properties of the *C. rodentium* were assessed via scanning electron microscope (SEM). The coumarin derivatives-treated *C. rodentium* were prepared identically to 2.4. After washing the sample with PBS, the bacteria were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde, post-fixed using 1% OsO_4 for 1 hour, dehydrated in 50%, 70%, 95%, and 100% ethanol solutions, and then dried. The samples were coated with

a sputter coater MC 1000 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) and the examinations were carried out on S-4800 SEM (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

2.6 *C. rodentium* infection and measurement of bacterial load in colon and cecum

Female C57BL/6N mice (eight-week-old) were orally inoculated with *C. rodentium* (strain DBS100) to induce colitis. Briefly, bacteria were grown overnight in LB and resuspended in PBS before infecting the mice (100 μ l/mouse; 1×10^9 CFU of *C. rodentium*). To evaluate the colonization of *C. rodentium*, fecal pellets from mice were collected daily at intervals after infection and cecal pellets were collected after sacrificing the mice. They were then weighed, homogenized, serially diluted to equal concentrations, and plated on MacConkey agar plates. After incubation at 37°C for 18 hours, the bacterial colonies were counted.

2.7 Coumarin derivatives treatment

Following the initial acclimation period, the mice were randomly divided into four groups: (1) non-infected group (Control group, n=5) (2) *C. rodentium*-infected group (Citro group, n=5), (3) C1 treated and *C. rodentium*-infected group (Citro+C1 group, n=5), and (4) C2 treated and *C. rodentium*-infected group (Citro+C2 group, n=5). For the C1 group, all mice were administered C1 coumarin derivates dissolved in aqueous NaOH solution at 20 mg/ml (2 mg/mouse, 100 µl/mouse) via oral gavage once a day for 7 days. For the C2 group, all mice were administered C2 coumarin derivates dissolved in aqueous NaOH solution at 20 mg/ml (2 mg/mouse, 100µl/mouse) via oral gavage once a day for 7 days. After two days of intervention, all mice except the control group were infected with *C. rodentium* (100 µl/mouse; 1×10⁹ CFU).

To study the efficacy of coumarin derivatives in gut microbiome modulation, another experiment was conducted using 8-week-old female C57BL/6N mice. After the initial acclimation period, the mice were randomly divided into three groups, with five mice per group. The treatment groups were as follows: (1) non-infected (Control group, n=5), (2) *C. rodentium*-infected (Citro group, n=5), and (3) C1 treated and *C. rodentium*-infected groups (Citro+C1 group, n=5). For the C1 group, all mice were administered C1 coumarin derivates dissolved in aqueous NaOH solution at 20 mg/ml (2 mg/mouse, 100 μ l/mouse) via oral gavage once a day for 7 days. After two days of intervention, groups (2) and (3) were infected with *C. rodentium* (100 μ l/mouse; 1×10⁹ CFU). Body weights (BW) were measured on days -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, and 12 after *C. rodentium* infection, and all mice were sacrificed 12 days post infection.

2.8 Quantitative detection of colonic cytokine expression

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed to quantify the expression analysis of IL-6, IL-1b, and IL-10. Total

RNAs were isolated from mouse colon tissue. It homogenized at 6 m/s for 30 s and the homogenate was centrifuged. The supernatant was mixed with chloroform (Sigma Aldrich, Chem, USA) and the mixture was incubated for 3 minutes at room temperature. The supernatant was obtained through centrifugation and mixed with isopropanol (Biosesang, Seoul, Republic of Korea). The sample was centrifuged to remove the supernatant and 75% DEPC-EtOH made from DEPC-treated water and EtOH (Bioseang, Seoul, Republic of Korea) was added. Isolated RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using AccuPower[®] RT PreMix (Bioneer, Daejeon, South Korea) following the manufacturer's instructions. To confirm mRNA expression levels, qRT-PCR was performed using a QuantStudio 1 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, CA USA) with the following reaction conditions: 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 15 min, 95 °C for 20 s, 60°C for 40 s, and 72 °C for 20 s (40 cycles), followed by melting curve analysis. GAPDH was used as the housekeeping gene, and relative quantification was calculated using $\triangle \triangle$ Ct method. The primers used for gene amplification were as follows: GAPDH (forward primer 5'- ATCCTGCACCAC CAACTGCT-3' and reverse primer 5'- GGGCCATCCACAGTCT TCTG-3'), IL-6 (forward primer 5'- CCAGAGATACAAAGAAA TGATGG-3' and reverse primer 5'- ACTCCAGAAGACCAGAGG AAAT-3'), IL-10 (forward primer 5'- CATCATGTATGCTTCTAT GCAG-3' and reverse primer 5'- CCAGCTGGACAACATACTG CT-3'), and IL-1b (forward primer 5'- CCTGGGCTGTCCTGAT GAGAG-3' and reverse primer 5'- TCCACGGGAAAGACACAGG TA-3').

2.9 Cell isolation of lamina propria and mesenteric lymph node

Colonic LP cells were isolated as previously described (Diehl et al., 2013). Briefly, the obtained intestinal tissue was placed in PBS, open, and the luminal contents were removed. Intestines were cut into 1 cm sections and then treated with 1 mM DL-dithiothreitol (DTT; Sigma-Aldrich, Irvine, UK), 30 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and 10 mM 4-[2-hydroxyethyl]-1-piperazineerhanesulfonic acid (HEPES; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes to remove mucus. The incubated tissue was treated with 30 mM EDTA and 10 mM HEPES in the same manner as in the previous process to remove epithelial cells. Tissue was then digested in 0.5 mg/ml collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich, Chem, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA) and 150 µg/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich, Chem, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA) in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS while shaking at 37°C for 1 h, followed by separation on a 40%/80% Percoll (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA, USA) gradient. LP cells were obtained by isolating cells between the two layers.

MLN cells were also used to confirm the changes in the distribution of immune cells. Briefly, MLN tissue was placed in PBS, ground, and passed through a strainer to remove debris. Among the cells from which debris was removed, ammonium chloride potassium was used to remove red blood cells. And MLN cells were acquired after washing with PBS.

2.10 Flow cytometry analysis

Isolated LP and MLN cells were measured using a FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) and analyzed using FlowJo software v10.7.1 (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA). The LIVE/DEAD fixable aqua dead cell stain kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was diluted and stained with an antibody to analyze only living cells. The antibodies used for staining targeted cells in this study are as follows: CX3CR1 (SA011F11, BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA), CD11b (M1/70, BioLegend), MHC II (M5/114.15.2, BioLegend), Ly6C (1A8, BioLegend), CD3 (145-2C11, BioLegend), CD4 (RM4-5, BioLegend), CD8 (53-6.7, BioLegend), T-bet (4B10, Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA), RORgt (B2D, Invitrogen), and Foxp3 (FJK-16s, Invitrogen).

2.11 Gut microbiome analysis

The total microbial genomic DNA of each cecal content sample was extracted using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and the extracted DNA was quantified using Quant-IT PicoGreen (P7589, Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA). Sequencing libraries were prepared according to the Illumina 16S metagenomic sequencing library protocol to amplify the V3 and V4 regions. Briefly, 2 ng of DNA was amplified using 5X reaction buffer, 1 mM dNTP mix, 500 mM of each general F/R RCP primer, and Herculase II fusion DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). The general primer set utilizing the Illumina adapter overhang sequence used for PCR was as follows: V3-F: 5'-TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAG ATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3', V4-R: 5'-GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGA CAGGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'. The PCR products were purified using AMPure beads (Agencourt Bioscience, Beverly, MA, USA) and quantified by qPCR according to the manufacturer's protocol (KAPA library quantification kits for Illumina Sequencing platforms). Paired-end (2×300 bp) sequencing was performed using the MiSeq platform (Macrogen, San Diego, CA, USA).

After sequencing, the raw data for each sample were aligned using an index sequence to determine the microbial characteristics. A paired-end FASTQ file was created for each sample, and sequencing errors were corrected by cutting the F/R primers and chimeric sequences using DADA2 (v.18.0). 300 bp and 250 bp of the forward and reverse sequences, respectively, were removed, and the amplicon sequence variant ASV was generated using the consensus method of DADA2. Additionally, subsampling and normalization were performed using the QIIME2 program for comparative analysis of microbial clusters. Each ASV sequence was assigned taxonomic information to the microorganism with the highest similarity, using reference databases. Alpha diversity analysis (Observed features, Chao1, Shannon, and Simpson), an indicator of the diversity and uniformity of microorganisms in cecal samples, were performed using QIIME with ASV abundance and

taxonomic information. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was used to visualize the relationships between samples and reveal beta diversity. LEfSe analysis was conducted to identify significant differences in the microbiome between the two groups. Functional gene assessment of the microbes was classified based on the Greengenes (v.13.5) and performed using PICRUSt 2 (Douglas et al., 2018), and metagenomic information was classified based on the Kyoto Gene and Genome Encyclopedia (KEGG) database. The threshold of the Kruskal-Wallis test was 0.05, and algebraic linear discriminant analysis score (LDA) over 2.0 were selected. Functional shifts inferred from microbiome- and genus-level taxonomic contributions were obtained using Python-based Functional Shifts' Taxonomic Contributors (FishTaco) (Manor and Borenstein, 2017). FishTaco was used to infer the taxonomic and functional richness profiles from the PICRUSt analysis. Metagenomic- and taxonomic-based functional shifts were calculated using comparative functional analysis between samples, and the functional shifts were decomposed into genuslevel contributions. The output was visualized using the FishTaco Plot package (https://borenstein-lab.github.io/ fishtaco/visualization.html).

2.12 Statistical analysis

All experimental data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical differences between the two groups were determined using a two-tailed Student's t-test. Datasets involving the three or four groups were assessed using one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 8 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA). Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

TABLE 1 Chemical properties of synthesized coumarin derivatives.

3 Results

3.1 Synthesis of coumarin derivatives with various structures

The chemical compositions of the five coumarin derivatives synthesized for use in this study are listed in Table 1. The structures of all coumarin derivatives are based on C₉H₆O₂ and represent different classes of compounds depending on their functional groups. 7-hydroxy-4-2H-chromen-2-one was prepared using the C₁₀H₅F₃O₃ formula with a molecular weight of 230.14 and having a CF₃ group. In addition, 4-methylesculetin with the C₉H₆O₃ formula and a molecular mass of 192.17 was synthesized to have the characteristic of having a CH3 group. Umbelliferone, dicumarol, and 4-hydroxycoumarin were synthesized using the chemical formulas C₉H₁₂O₆, C₁₉H₁₂O₆, and C₉H₆O₃, with molecular weights of 162.14, 336.3, and 162.14, respectively. The color of all coumarin derivatives, except 7-hydroxy-4-2H-chromen-2-one (yellow), was white. And the coumarin type of all coumarin derivatives, except dicumarol, which is biscoumarin, was confirmed to have a single coumarin structure.

3.2 Identification of antimicrobial activities of coumarin derivatives

The antimicrobial efficacies of coumarin derivatives against 11 microbial strains were evaluated using the disc diffusion method by determining the surrounding zones of inhibition (Table 2). Antimicrobial activities were examined after adjusting the concentrations of the five types of samples to 20 mg/ml. The C1 coumarin derivatives produced an inhibition zone in all types of

Compound	Structure	Chemical formula	Name	Molecular weight	Color	
C1	$HO \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ CF_3 \\ CF_3 \\ Aa \\ Ba \\ CF_3 \\ Aa \\ CF_3 \\ Aa \\ CF_3 \\ CF_3 \\ Aa \\ CF_3 $	$C_{10}H_5F_3O_3$	7-hydroxy-4- (trifluoromethyl)- 2 <i>H</i> -chromen-2-one	230.1401	yellow	
C2	HO CH ₃	$C_{10}H_8O_4$	4-methylesculetin	192.1681	white	
C3	HOLOOO	$C_9H_6O_3$	Umbelliferone	162.1421	white	
C4		$C_{19}H_{12}O_6$	Dicumarol	336.2949	white	
C5	OH OH OH	C ₉ H ₆ O ₃	4-hydroxycoumarin	162.1421	white	

Test organisms	DMSO	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
C. albicans KCCM 11282	-	+	++	+	+	+
B. cereus KEEM 11204	-	++ ++	-	++ ++	++ ++	++
M. luteus IAM 1056	-	+++	++	-	+++	-
L. monocytogenes KEEM 40307	-	++	+	-	++	++
E. faecium KCCM 12118	-	++	++	-	++	+
S. mutans KCTC 3065	-	+++	-	-	+++	+
S. enteritidis KCCM 12021	-	++	++	-	-	-
S. boydii KCCM 41649	-	++	++	-	-	-
E. coli KCCM 11835	-	+	++	-	-	+
S. aureus subsp. aureus KCCM 40050	-	+++	-	-	++ ++	-
Citrobacter rodentium DBS 100	-	++	++	-	-	++

TABLE 2 Antimicrobial activities of coumarin derivatives against 11 pathogens.

(-, no activity; +, inhibition zone > 5-10 mm; ++, inhibition zone > 11-15 mm; +++, inhibition zone > 16-20 mm; ++++, inhibition zone > 20mm; DMSO, Negative control).

Measurement of inhibition zone was performed using disc diffusion assay. Each well was treated with a negative control and 20mg/ml concentrations of coumarin derivatives. C. albicans, Candida albicans; B. cereus, Bacillus cereus; M. luteus, Micrococcus luteus; L. monocytogenes, Listeria monocytogenes; E. faecium, Enterococcus faecium; S. mutans, Streptococcus mutans; S. enteritidis, Salmonella enteritidis; S. boydii, Shigella boydii; E. coli, Escherichia coli; S. aures subsp. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus subsp. aureus.

microbes; in particular, the inhibition zone in Bacillus cereus KEEM 11204 showed the highest activity with a size of 20 mm or more. The C2 coumarin derivative exhibited antimicrobial activity against eight types of microbes. The C3 derivative exhibited antimicrobial activity only against C. albicans KCCM 11282 and B. cereus KEEM 11204. The C4 coumarin derivative showed the highest activity against B. cereus KEEM 11204 and S. aureus subsp. aureus KCCM 40050. In addition, the C5 coumarin derivative showed good antibacterial activity against B. cereus KEEM 11204 and L. monocytogenes KEEM 40307. The growth inhibition zones of C1, C2, and C5 derivatives against C. rodentium DBS 100 showed good activity in the 11-15 mm range. In addition, it was confirmed that the solvent had no effect on the inhibitory activity, as no growth inhibition zone was formed around the well injected with DMSO as a negative control. Collectively, these results indicated that C1, C2, and C5 coumarin derivatives have effective functions.

3.3 Selected coumarin derivatives induced cell membrane damage in bacteria

To explore the potential mechanisms of action of the coumarin derivatives with antibacterial activity, a SYTOX Green assay was performed. We evaluated the membrane permeability of *C. rodentium* following treatment with different coumarin derivatives. SYTOX Green cannot enter cells with intact cell membranes; however, if the cell membrane is damaged, it can pass through and bind to nucleic acids, increasing fluorescence (Lebaron et al., 1998). As shown in Figure 1A, fluorescence significantly increased in the C1 and C2 coumarin derivative-treated groups compared with that of DMSO group. However, C5 coumarin derivatives did not produce as many signals as C1 and C2 coumarin derivatives. Moreover, morphology of the *C. rodentium* obtained after exposure to the coumarin derivatives revealed significant modifications. It was confirmed that treatment with the C1 coumarin derivative had the greatest effect on the membrane of bacterial cells (Figure 1B). These results indicate that C1 and C2 coumarin derivatives killed the pathogen by causing obvious damage to the bacterial membrane, which could be a potential mechanism of action of the selected coumarin derivatives.

3.4 Protective effect of coumarin derivatives administration on the intestine after pathogen infection

To determine the further functions of coumarin derivatives C1 and C2, we examined body weight, colon length and pathogen numbers in the C. rodentium infectious-colitis model. Coumarin derivatives were administered to the treatment group for seven days, and all mice except the control group were subjected to C. rodentium infection (Figure 2A). Compared to the control group, the Citro group showed a relatively low increase in BW and the coumarin derivatives treated group showed a relatively large increase in BW, but there was no significant difference (Figure 2B). However, we confirmed that the colon length which had been reduced by infection, was restored by C1 coumarin derivatives treatment (Figure 2C). And coumarin derivatives reduced the pathogen (C. rodentium) load in the gut after infection. The number of pathogens in the feces of the Citro group gradually increased during the experimental period, whereas the coumarin-treated groups had a similar number of pathogens in the gut. At 12 days post-infection (DPI), C1 and C2 coumarin derivative-treated animals had significantly lower fecal pathogen numbers than the Citro group animals. The C1 coumarin derivative also reduced cecal pathogen numbers compared with the Citro group at 12 DPI (Figure 2D). These findings demonstrated that the administration of coumarin derivatives limited the expansion of C. rodentium in the gut.

3.5 Changes in the intestinal immune response in coumarin derivative-fed mice after pathogen infection

The composition of immune cells was evaluated using flow cytometry to investigate the intestinal immune response after pathogen infection in the four groups. We examined CX3CR1 +CD11b+ mononuclear phagocytes (MNPs) and CD4+ T cell populations in the LP of the intestine and MLN. First, we analyzed the proportion of CX3CR1+CD11b+ MNPs in the colonic LP (Figure 3A). The proportion of CX3CR1+CD11b+ cells in the LP was significantly increased in C2 coumarin derivative-fed animals



FIGURE 1

Effect of coumarin derivatives on membrane permeability of *C. rodentium*. (A) Effect of coumarin derivates on the integrity of the cell membrane of *C. rodentium* was determined via a Sytox Green assay. Scale bar = 30um. (B) Morphological characteristics of the membrane of *C. rodentium* was confirmed by SEM.

compared with that in control animals. C1 treated animals did not differ in CX3CR1+CD11b+ cells than other groups (Figure 3B). We examined a subset of CX3CR1+CD11b+ cells expressing MHC II and Ly6C. The number of MHCll-Ly6C- cells was significantly increased in the Citro+C1 group. On the other side, the number of MHCll-Ly6C+ cells, which are potentially inflammatory monocytes, increased during infection compared to the control group and then significantly reduced in the Citro+C1 and Citro+C2 group. But, coumarin derivatives did not significantly alter the changes in MHCll+Ly6C+ and MHCll+Ly6C- cells (Figures 3C, D). Next, we checked whether the distribution of T cell subsets had changed. CD4 + cells were reduced by infection regardless of coumarin derivatives treatment and CD8+ T cells showed no significant differences among the four groups (Figures 4A, B). Significant differences in CD4+ T cell subsets were observed. The population of Th1 (CD4+T-bet+) cells, which increased due to infection, was significantly decreased by C1 and C2 administration. Also, the population of Th17 (CD4+RORgt+) cells, which increased by infection, showed a decreasing due to C1 treatment. However, the population of Tregs (CD4+Foxp3+) was not affected by the presence or absence of coumarin derivative treatment (Figures 4C, D). To assess the effect of coumarin derivatives on inflammation in intestinal tissue, the levels of inflammatory cytokines in colonic tissues were measured. The mRNA expression of IL-6 in colon tissue was significantly increased due to infection and the mRNA of IL-1b also tended to increase. And these inflammatory cytokine expressions were significantly decreased by C1 and C2 coumarin derivative treatment. However, coumarin derivatives did not affect IL-10 mRNA expression (Figure 4E). The same immune



FIGURE 2

Protective effects of selected coumarin derivatives on *C. rodentium*-infected mice. C57BL/6 mice were randomly divided into four groups to examine the intestinal effects of selected coumarin derivatives with excellent antibacterial activity. (A) Coumarin derivatives corresponding to each group were administered to mice in the coumarin derivatives-treated group once a day for 7 days beginning 2 days before *C. rodentium* infection. And mice in the control group and Citro group were treated with the same volume of distilled water. (B) Body weight changes throughout the entire duration of the study were measured, and (C) the colonic length was measured. (D) The fecal and cecal bacterial pathogen output was counted. Significance was determined by one-way ANOVA test (Tukey's multiple comparison test). The data shown are the mean \pm the SD (n = 4-5 mice/ group/exp). At least 3 independent experiments were performed. Data from 2-3 independent experiments were combined. *, p<0.05, **, p<0.01, ****, p<0.001. Citro, *Citrobacter rodentium*.

cells were examined in MLN. Unlike the colon results, there were no changes in the distribution of CX3CR1+CD11b+ MNPs and T cell subsets (Supplementary Figures S1, S2). Overall, the data indicated that compared to C2 coumarin derivatives, C1 coumarin derivatives effectively suppressed intestinal inflammation characterized by the suppression of monocyte expansion, inflammatory T cells, and inflammatory cytokine expression.

3.6 Administration of coumarin derivatives affects the gut microbiome shift by pathogen infection

We investigated the effect of C1 coumarin derivatives, which showed the best regulatory effect on gut inflammation, on the cecal microbiota composition of *C. rodentium*-infected mice via 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Regarding alpha diversity, Observed features, Chao1, Shannon, and Simpson index of ASV levels were significantly reduced following pathogen infection. Although not significant, treatment with C1 coumarin derivative minimized the decline of the gut microbial diversity (Figure 5A). PCoA showed a separation in the gut microbiota structure among the three groups. Pathogen infection dramatically changed the microbiota compared with that in normal animals. And Coumarin-treated animals had a gut microbiome closer to that of control animals than *C. rodentium*infected animals (Figure 5B).

Differentially abundant cecal bacterial taxa caused by pathogen infection and coumarin derivative treatment were identified using

LEfSe analysis. We found that the abundance of pathogenic microbes such as Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcaceae was increased by C. rodentium infection (Figure 6A; Supplementary Figure S3D). Similarly, at the genus level, C. rodentium-infected mice displayed a significant increase in Jeotgalicoccus and Mammaliicoccus (Figure 6A; Supplementary Figure S3E). However, treatment with coumarin derivatives reduced the expansion of pathogenic microbes and promoted the proliferation of beneficial microbes. At the family level, Verrucomicrobioaceae were reduced by pathogen infection (Figure 6A) and recovered after treatment with coumarin derivatives (Figure 6B; Supplementary Figure S3D). At the genus level, three bacterial genera, Limosilactobacillus, Ligilactobacillus, and Lactobacillus, which belong to Lactobacillaceae, increased in the coumarin derivative-treated group (Figure 6B; Supplementary Figure S3E). These values were especially higher than those before pathogen infection (Supplementary Figure S3E). These results indicate that the C1 coumarin derivative attenuated C. rodentiuminduced intestinal dysbiosis.

3.7 Effect of coumarin derivative supplementation on functional genes of the gut microbiome during pathogen infection

We further analyzed changes in functional gene enrichment in the gut microbiome using PICRUSt 2 analysis. KEGG pathway analysis of the differentially expressed functions showed an abundance of signaling



pathways (Figure 7). We first attempted to identify the altered functional genes following pathogen infection. Nineteen functional pathways that were altered by pathogen infection were identified. Lipid metabolism, including sphingolipid metabolism and steroid biosynthesis, was reduced by pathogen infection (Figure 7A). However, when infected mice were treated with coumarin derivatives, these metabolic pathways were restored, including sphingolipid, secondary bile acid, and primary bile acid biosynthesis (Figure 7B). There was no difference in the genes associated with nucleotide metabolism pathways after pathogen infection (Figure 7A), but metabolic pathways, such as pyrimidine and purine metabolism, increased after treatment with coumarin derivatives (Figure 7B). Pathogen infection downregulated the pathways associated with replication and repair, such as non-homologous end-joining (Figure 7A). However, functional pathways related to replication and repair, including mismatch repair and nucleotide excision repair, were upregulated following treatment with C1 derivative (Figure 7B).

To investigate the bacterial species responsible for the enrichment of functional genes triggered by dietary coumarin derivatives or pathogen infection-induced colitis, we used the FishTaco framework. This recently developed analytical method separates the predicted feature changes into taxon-level contributions with

statistical significance (Douglas et al., 2020). An integrative analysis of taxon-level contributions to functional differences predicted an increase in the nucleotide excision repair pathway in the control group relative to the Citro group, and in the Citro+C1 group relative to the Citro group. Limosilactobacillus and Lacrimispora were the major drivers of nucleotide excision repair pathway enrichment under normal conditions. Unc. Verrucomicrobia, Lactobacillus, and Ligilactobacillus affected nucleotide excision repair pathway enrichment under Citro+C1 conditions (Supplementary Figure S4B). These results suggested that the functional shift in nucleotide excision repair due to changes in the intestinal environment is related to changes in the composition of the gut microbiome, such as Unc. Verrucomicrobia, Lactobacillus, and Ligilactobacillus. Overall, these results suggest that the mucosal microbiota of actively inflamed tissues may use different metabolic pathways than those of non-inflamed tissues.

4 Discussion

Environmental factors such as pathogen infection are closely related to intestinal health (Danese et al., 2004). Inflammation is the



cell subsets was confirmed using the markers for each. (D) Statistical analysis of CD4+ T cells expressing T-bet, FoxP3, and RORrt among CD3-gated T cells was analyzed in the intestinal tract of each group of mice. (E) Colonic IL-6, IL-10 expression was determined by qRT-PCR. Significance was determined by one-way ANOVA test (Tukey's multiple comparison test). The data shown are the mean \pm SD (n=4-5 mice/group/exp). *, p<0.01, ***, p<0.01, ***, p<0.001, ****, p<0.001. At least 3 independent experiments were performed. Data from 2-3 independent experiments were combined.

immediate biological response of the immune system to infection and irritation (Debnath et al., 2013). Pathogenic infections induce intestinal inflammation and disrupt intestinal tissue homeostasis (Meizlish et al., 2021). Hence, well-controlled luminal pathogens via gut immunity are essential for maintaining intestinal health. As the number of patients with pathogenic infections increases, the demand for treatment also increases (Baker et al., 2022). Especially because of the adverse side effects of first-line antibiotics, natural products have received attention as potential alternatives to these drugs (Atanasov et al., 2021). Compared with many studies on the anti-inflammatory properties of plant-derived extracts and derivatives (Guo et al., 2019), there is a shortage of studies supporting the efficacy of natural products in controlling gut inflammation, especially *in vivo* studies. Coumarin derivatives exhibit various biological properties. Research in the field of pharmacology has indicated that synthetic coumarin has an antiinflammatory effect by inhibiting the production of proinflammatory cytokines in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated cells



(Huang et al., 2012), antimicrobial effect by inhibiting bacterial metabolism and mechanisms of reproduction (Melliou et al., 2005), and antioxidant activity by inhibiting tissue damage (Kim et al., 2008). Here, we identified coumarin derivatives with antimicrobial activities and demonstrated their functional activities in suppressing pathogen-induced gut inflammation and pathogenic microbiome shifts by limiting pathogen expansion using an infectious colitis model.

multiple comparison test). The data shown are the mean ± SD (n=5 mice/group). *, p<0.05, **, p<0.001.

We synthesized coumarin derivatives with various structures (Table 1) and found that the selected coumarin derivatives exhibited antimicrobial activity against various types of microbes. In the disc diffusion assay, the C1 coumarin derivatives showed the highest antimicrobial activity among all strains, followed by the C2 coumarin derivatives (Table 2). The C1 coumarin derivative possesses the structural feature of a trifluoromethyl group that contributes to its numerous biologically important molecular properties. Previous studies have shown that a coumarin derivative with a fluorine-containing CF₃ group has very high antibacterial activity (Kalkhambkar et al., 2008). Synthesis of coumarin derivatives containing fluorine and a CF₃ group is important for the development of natural antibacterial agents. As bacterial cell membranes separate and protect cells from the extracellular environment (Muheim et al., 2017), disruption of bacterial cell membrane integrity may affect the average growth and metabolism of bacteria (Wu et al., 2022), triggering bacterial death (Miki and Hardt, 2013). Therefore, various antibiotics have focused on bacterial membrane permeability (Ghai and Ghai, 2018). Several studies have shown that phenolic compounds (Farhadi et al., 2019) and coumarin derivatives (Huang et al., 2023) can target the bacterial cell membrane and combine with

its phospholipid components. In this study, we used the SYTOX Green assay to determine whether coumarin derivatives exhibit pathogen-killing activity by destroying bacterial membranes. Additionally, SEM analysis was performed to confirm morphological images showing the destruction of the *C. rodentium* membrane. We demonstrated that C1 and C2 coumarin derivatives induced damage to the cell membrane in *C. rodentium* by assessing membrane integrity (Figure 1). Collectively, the cytomorphological observations showed that C1 and C2 coumarin derivatives have excellent antimicrobial activity via the disruption of bacterial cell membranes based on their pathogen killing activity.

To determine whether C1 and C2 coumarin derivatives can play a protective role against pathogen entry through their functional activities, an acute infectious colitis model was adopted. There was no significant difference in body weights (Figure 2B), but the colon length decreased by pathogen infection was recovered by C1 coumarin derivatives treatment (Figure 2C). There were no differences in the number of C. rodentium between the Citro group and coumarin derivativetreated groups until day 4. However, the number of C. rodentium cells in the feces of coumarin derivative-treated mice was lower after 8 days (DPI 8) and showed the greatest difference at DPI 12 in the feces from the colon and cecum (Figure 2D). This suggests that coumarin derivatives suppress the expansion of pathogens in the intestine after infection. Collectively, we demonstrated that coumarin derivatives exhibit antibacterial activity both in vitro and in vivo.

Pathogen expansion induces intestinal inflammation that damages the tissues (Perez-Lopez et al., 2016). Therefore, intestinal inflammation must be tightly controlled to prevent



infoction with

infection with C1 coumarin derivative treatment (Citro+C1) group. Data were obtained from the control group (n=5), Citro group (n=5) and Citro+C1 group (n=5). (LDA > 2, p < 0.05).

unnecessary tissue damage. Several studies have reported that plant extracts confer colonization resistance to intestinal pathogens through their anti-inflammatory effects (Nostro et al., 2006) (Naz et al., 2007). Intestinal immunity was examined to confirm the antiinflammatory effects of the selected coumarin derivatives on the intestine during pathogenic bacterial infections. We used flow cytometry to identify the population of immune cells isolated from the LP layer, where most gut immune cells exist. In a steady intestinal state, Ly6C^{hi} monocytes are continuously recruited from the bloodstream to the intestine in a CCR2-dependent manner. Upon arrival at the mucosal membrane, they undergo various developmental processes. They exist as monocytes (MHCII-Ly6C +) and acquire MHCII to become mature monocytes (MHCII +Ly6C+). Subsequently, they lose Ly6C and become macrophages



derivative treatment (Citro+C1) group. Data were obtained from the control group (n=5), Citro group (n=5) and Citro+C1 group (n=5).

(MHCII+Ly6C-), as they undergo final differentiation into the majority of the population. Mucosal inflammation caused by pathogenic infections affects this process. There is an increased recruitment of MHCII-Ly6C+ monocytes to the infection site and an increase in inflammatory mediators such as TNF- α , IL-12, IL-23, IL-1b and IL-6, as well as activation of other inflammatory cells, including Th1 or Th17 cells (Varol et al., 2010). We examined this type of immune cell changes in our model. In examining the population of CX3CR1+CD11b+ MNPs (Figure 3), MHCII-Ly6C + cells increased in Citro group compared to the control group but

were significantly reduced in the C1 coumarin derivative-treated group (Figure 3D). Inflammatory monocytes express high levels of Ly6C, and a high monocyte level is also a sign of inflammation, infection, acute stress, blood disorders, and other health issues (Yang et al., 2014). Especially, it is known that the proportion of Ly6C+ monocytes increases in the intestine where colitis occurs (Jones et al., 2018). Therefore, it can be concluded that fewer inflammatory responses of innate immunity occurred in C1supplemented animals. Changes in innate immunity, such as monocyte response, can affect T cell immunity during pathogen

10.3389/fcimb.2024.1362773

infection (Iwasaki and Medzhitov, 2015). It is well documented that Th1 and Th17 cells are induced in mice infected with pathogens such as C. rodentium (Jain et al., 2016). We further found that the distribution of Th1 cells (CD4+T-bet+) was significantly reduced in the Citro+C1 and Citro+C2 group compared with that in the Citro group. In addition, a decrease in Th17 cells (CD4+RORyt+), which mediate host defense mechanisms against various infections (Van De Veerdonk et al., 2009), was observed in the Citro+C1 group compared to the Citro group (Figure 4D). Inflammatory cytokines play a role in initiating the inflammatory response, regulating host defense against pathogens, and mediating innate and adaptive immune responses (Kany et al., 2019). In this study, coumarin derivative supplementation in C. rodentium-infected mice downregulated the cytokine genes for IL-6 and IL-1b, which were increased by infection in colonic tissues (Figure 4E). This is consistent with the results of a previous study showing that coumarin derivatives reduced intestinal inflammatory clinical indicators, accompanied by downregulation of colonic IL-6 (Di Stasi, 2021). IL-6 is produced in response to infections and tissue injuries, and contributes to host defense by stimulating acute phase responses (Tanaka et al., 2014). In addition, IL-6 is a key factor in the development of Th17 cells (Li et al., 2014), which play important roles against bacterial infection by recruiting acute inflammatory cells into the sites of infection (Curtis and Way, 2009). Also, IL-1b, which is increased by bacterial infection, exerts its protective action by recruiting the neutrophils to the site of inflammation, induction of chemokines and the stimulation of the Th17 response (Sahoo et al., 2011). Overall, the administration of C1 coumarin derivative attenuated intestinal inflammation by reducing pathogens that invaded the intestine, especially by focusing on suppressing the recruitment of inflammatory monocytes, differentiation of Th1 and Th17 cells, and mRNA expression of IL-6 and IL-1b cytokines.

Intestinal microbiota is essential for maintaining immunological homeostasis in the GI tract (Round and Mazmanian, 2009). Various extrinsic factors such as pathogen infection and diet influence the gut microbiome (Bajinka et al., 2020). This study also demonstrated that pathogen infection significantly affects the gut microbiome. C. rodentium infection significantly reduced community richness, and PCoA analyses revealed that the gut microbiota structure was significantly affected by pathogen infection. Notably, treatment with C1 coumarin derivative minimized these changes. Coumarin derivative treatment restored the richness and diversity of the gut microbiome (Figure 5). As diverse microbial ecosystems play a crucial role in preventing and resolving infectious diseases (Ubeda et al., 2017), increased gut microbiome diversity in C1 coumarin derivative-fed mice may benefit from gut inflammation. Using Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) analysis, we identified differentially abundant taxa among the three groups at the genus level. Exposure to C. rodentium infection increased the populations of potential pathogenic microbes, such as Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcaceae at the family level, and Jeotalicoccus and Mammaliicoccus that belong to Staphylococcaceae at the genus level, compared with the control group (Figure 6A). The abundance of Enterobacteriaceae family is a typical signature of gut microbiota dysbiosis (Shin et al., 2015) and can neutralize the effects of existing antibacterial agents (Spera et al., 2019). And it is reported that Staphylococcaceae has a significant positive correlation with the expression of intestinal pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1b in a colitis model (Ma et al., 2021). However, we confirmed that pathogenic microbes such as Staphylococcaceae and Mammaliicoccus were reduced by treatment with C1 coumarin derivative (Figure 6B). Our results are consistent with a study on polyphenolic compounds that ameliorated gut inflammation by preventing inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-1b and restraining the growth of Staphylococcaceae (Yang et al., 2022b). Previous studies demonstrated that coumarin derivatives can modulate the antibiotic resistance against Staphylococcaceae and Escherichia coli (Martin et al., 2023). In particular, coumarin derivatives are known to control antibiotic resistance as an efflux pump inhibitor in the membrane of Staphylococcaceae (de Araújo et al., 2016; Martin et al., 2023). It has also been reported that antibiotic resistance can rapidly occur through changes in the expression of an efflux pump (Gaurav et al., 2023), so coumarin derivatives can be considered as potential therapeutic agents to restore the activity of antibiotics that have already lost their activity against bacteria. While treatment with C1 coumarin derivative reduced pathogenic microbial expansion, it increased the relative abundance of Verrucomicrobiaceae family, which is positively correlated with gut health and negatively correlated with gut inflammation (Ye et al., 2018). The abundance of the genera Ligilactobacillus and Limosilactobacillus, belonging to Lactobacillaceae, which are beneficial bacteria, also increased (Figure 6B). Multiple in vitro and in vivo studies have shown that these microbes exert antiinflammatory activities (Yao et al., 2021) by regulating immune cells, reducing the production of Th1 cell and Th17 cell-associated cytokines (Liu et al., 2022), and enhancing the abundance of gut microbiota (Yao et al., 2021). In addition, considering that Ligilactobacillus increased when treated with C1 coumarin derivative after pathogen infection compared with that in the non-infected group (Supplementary Figure S4A), Ligilactobacillus is expected to increase even when treated with C1 coumarin derivative alone, regardless of inflammation, and play an important role in recovery from infectious inflammation. Furthermore, Ligilactobacillus is expected to be closely related to the anti-inflammatory response of C1 coumarin derivatives; however, further investigation is required to verify this mechanism in detail. Overall, it can be summarized that C1 coumarin derivative minimizes the pathological gut microbiome shift during pathogen infection.

Changes in the gut microbial diversity and composition lead to changes in microbial-derived functional gene enrichment. The regulation of colitis by plant extracts is closely related to the metabolic pathways involving gut microbiome genes (Ji et al., 2020). Moreover, synthetic coumarin derivatives exhibiting antibacterial properties affect the metabolic mechanisms of bacteria (Melliou et al., 2005). Lipid metabolism, including sphingolipid metabolism and steroid biosynthesis, was reduced by the pathogen infection (Figure 7A). However, treatment with C1 coumarin derivative not only restored these pathways but also increased primary and secondary bile acid biosynthesis belonging

to lipid metabolism (Figure 7B). Consumption of polyphenol compounds is strongly associated with lipid metabolism in the gut microbiota (Ma et al., 2020) of mice (Li et al., 2022) and humans (Park, 2015). Previous studies have shown that sphingolipid metabolism regulates inflammatory signaling pathways (Maceyka and Spiegel, 2014). Sphingolipids can also affect inflammatory diseases by altering intestinal microbes (Norris et al., 2016) and acting as anti- or pro-inflammatory mediators (Chiricozzi et al., 2018). However, disruption of sphingolipid metabolism by pathogens neutralizes the host defense system (Wang et al., 2021). Previous studies also have shown that supplementation with Ligilactobacillus significantly increases the relative abundance of bacteria related to primary and secondary bile acid biosynthesis, thereby reducing gut microbiota dysbiosis (Liang et al., 2021). And the metabolic pathway of primary bile acids was improved by treatment with the phenolic compounds used in clinical practice (Yang et al., 2022a). There were also differences in nucleotide metabolism. Coumarin derivative-treated animals showed increased gene abundance for microbial functions related to nucleotide metabolism, including pyrimidine and purine metabolism (Figure 7B). It is known that nucleotide metabolism tends to increase in healthy people compared with patients with intestinal disease (Davenport et al., 2014). There were also differences in the replication and repair pathways between groups. The C1 coumarin derivative increased nucleotide excision repair and mismatch repair (Figure 7B), which are associated with repairs of damaged DNA pathways (Zhang et al., 2009). Infections caused by pathogenic microbes result in varying levels of inflammation and subsequent DNA damage (Sahan et al., 2018). This has the potential to cause mutations and inflammation in the surrounding cells, with the accumulation of DNA damage, ultimately leading to the risk of developing cancer (Kay et al., 2019). Therefore, pathways associated with DNA repair are essential for reducing inflammation. In line with these findings, our data showed that various gut microbial pathways serve as a mechanism for the positive effects of coumarin derivatives. This emphasizes the need for additional mechanistic studies to provide insights into the mechanisms by which metabolic changes resulting from coumarin derivatives with antiinflammatory properties may contribute to infectious diseases. Functional prediction of the microbial community using 16S rRNA sequencing data and FishTaco analysis linked the C1 coumarin derivative-related bacterial taxa to the potential functional capabilities of microorganisms. According to FishTaco results comparing normal and pathogen infection conditions, the nucleotide excision repair pathway was altered in the cecal microbiome, and various microorganisms have been implicated (Supplementary Figure S5A). According to the conditions of coumarin derivative treatment after pathogen infection, FishTaco predicted that an increase in the relative abundance of Unc. Verrucomicrobiaceae, Lactobacillus, Unc. Lachnospcraceae, and Ligilactobacillus contributed to the increased relative abundance of the nucleotide excision repair pathway (Supplementary Figure S5B). Therefore, the nucleotide excision repair pathway decreased because of the increase in Unc. Verrucomicrobiaceae and Ligilactobacillus following treatment with the C1 coumarin derivative, which led to an intestinal anti-inflammatory effect. In

summary, coumarin derivatives modulate gut microbiome composition during pathogenic infections. And overall, C1 coumarin derivatives have anti-inflammatory function and diminish pathogenic gut microbiome shift through antibacterial activity depending on pathogen-killing.

5 Conclusion

This *in vitro* study demonstrated a structure-dependent effect of coumarin derivatives on antimicrobial activity. Some of them showed antibacterial effects in the intestine with a pathogen infection-induced colitis model. In addition, selected coumarin derivatives suppressed the expansion of inflammatory immune cells, inflammatory cytokines, and pathogenic microbes induced by pathogen infection. Hence, coumarin derivatives have antiinflammatory effects on the gut and improvement effects in the composition of gut microbiome during pathogen infection through antibacterial activity, suggesting a potential treatment for infectious diseases.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, PRJNA1127804.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Pusan National University (PNU-IACUC; approval no. PNU-2023-0388). The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

HJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization. YP: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. B-HG: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GH: Software, Writing – review & editing. WJ: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SH: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft. MK: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by Learning & Academic research institution for Master's PhD students and Postdocs (LAMP) Program of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Ministry of Education (No. RS-2023-00301938), and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2022R1C1C1009333).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Abraham, G., David, M., Aparicio, S., Hidemi, A., Abraham, G., and Luis, F. (2016). Synthesis of 3-carboxylated coumarins by knoevenagel condensation and exploratory anti-inflammatory activity evaluation by *in vivo* model. *Am. J. Org Chem.* 6, 17–28. doi: 10.1002/hlca.200390264

Atanasov, A. G., Zotchev, S. B., Dirsch, V. M., and Supuran, C. T. (2021). Natural products in drug discovery: advances and opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* 20, 200–216. doi: 10.1038/s41573-020-00114-z

Bajinka, O., Tan, Y., Abdelhalim, K. A., Özdemir, G., and Qiu, X. (2020). Extrinsic factors influencing gut microbes, the immediate consequences and restoring eubiosis. *Amb Express* 10, 1–11. doi: 10.1186/s13568-020-01066-8

Baker, R. E., Mahmud, A. S., Miller, I. F., Rajeev, M., Rasambainarivo, F., Rice, B. L., et al. (2022). Infectious disease in an era of global change. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 20, 193– 205. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00639-z

Baym, M., Stone, L. K., and Kishony, R. (2016). Multidrug evolutionary strategies to reverse antibiotic resistance. *Science* 351, Aad3292. doi: 10.1126/science.aad3292

Bischoff, S. C. (2009). Physiological and pathophysiological functions of intestinal mast cells. Semin. In Immunopathol. 31, 185-205. doi: 10.1007/s00281-009-0165-4

Campbell, C., Kandalgaonkar, M. R., Golonka, R. M., Yeoh, B. S., Vijay-Kumar, M., and Saha, P. (2023). Crosstalk between gut microbiota and host immunity: impact on inflammation and immunotherapy. *Biomedicines* 11, 294. doi: 10.3390/ biomedicines11020294

Cao, X.-T., Pan, X.-Y., Sun, M., Liu, Y., and Lan, J.-F. (2021). Hepatopancreas-specific lectin participates in the antibacterial immune response by regulating the expression of antibacterial proteins. *Front. In Immunol.* 12, 679767. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.679767

Chiricozzi, E., Loberto, N., Schiumarini, D., Samarani, M., Mancini, G., Tamanini, A., et al. (2018). Sphingolipids role in the regulation of inflammatory response: from leukocyte biology to bacterial infection. *J. Of Leukocyte Biol.* 103, 445–456. doi: 10.1002/JLB.3MR0717-269R

Coburn, B., Grassl, G. A., and Finlay, B. (2007). Salmonella, the host and disease: A brief review. Immunol. And Cell Biol. 85, 112-118. doi: 10.1038/sj.icb.7100007

Curtis, M. M., and Way, S. S. (2009). Interleukin-17 in host defence against bacterial, mycobacterial and fungal pathogens. *Immunology* 126, 177–185. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.03017.x

Danese, S., Sans, M., and Fiocchi, C. (2004). Inflammatory bowel disease: the role of environmental factors. Autoimmun. Rev. 3, 394-400. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2004.03.002

Davenport, M., Poles, J., Leung, J. M., Wolff, M. J., Abidi, W. M., Ullman, T., et al. (2014). Metabolic alterations to the mucosal microbiota in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflammatory Bowel Dis.* 20, 723–731. doi: 10.1097/MIB.00000000000011

de Araújo, R. S. A., Barbosa-Filho, J. M., Scotti, M. T., Scotti, L., Cruz, R. M. D. D., Falcåo-Silva, V. D. S., et al. (2016). Modulation of drug resistance in staphylococcus aureus with coumarin derivatives. *Scientifica* 2016, 6894758. doi: 10.1155/2016/ 6894758

Debnath, T., Kim, D. H., and Lim, B. O. (2013). Natural products as A source of antiinflammatory agents associated with inflammatory bowel disease. *Molecules* 18, 7253–7270. doi: 10.3390/molecules18067253

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1362773/ full#supplementary-material

Diehl, G. E., Longman, R. S., Zhang, J.-X., Breart, B., Galan, C., Cuesta, A., et al. (2013). Microbiota restricts trafficking of bacteria to mesenteric lymph nodes by cx3cr1hi cells. *Nature* 494, 116–120. doi: 10.1038/nature11809

Di Stasi, L. C. (2021). Coumarin derivatives in inflammatory bowel disease. *Molecules* 26, 422. doi: 10.3390/molecules26020422

Douglas, G. M., Beiko, R. G., and Langille, M. G. (2018). Predicting the functional potential of the microbiome from marker genes using picrust. *Microbiome Analysis: Methods And Protoc.* 1849, 169–177. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-8728-3_11

Douglas, G. M., Maffei, V. J., Zaneveld, J. R., Yurgel, S. N., Brown, J. R., Taylor, C. M., et al. (2020). Picrust2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38, 685–688. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0548-6

Farhadi, F., Khameneh, B., Iranshahi, M., and Iranshahy, M. (2019). Antibacterial activity of flavonoids and their structure-activity relationship: an update review. *Phytother. Res.* 33, 13–40. doi: 10.1002/ptr.6208

Flašík, R., Stankovičovā, H., Gāplovskÿ, A., and Donovalovā, J. (2009). Synthesis and study of novel coumarin derivatives potentially utilizable as memory media. *Molecules* 14, 4838–4848. doi: 10.3390/molecules14124838

Flores-Morales, V., Villasana-Ruïz, A. P., Garza-Veloz, I., Gonzālez-Delgado, S., and Martinez-Fierro, M. L. (2023). Therapeutic effects of coumarins with different substitution patterns. *Molecules* 28, 2413. doi: 10.3390/molecules28052413

Gaurav, A., Bakht, P., Saini, M., Pandey, S., and Pathania, R. (2023). Role of bacterial efflux pumps in antibiotic resistance, virulence, and strategies to discover novel efflux pump inhibitors. *Microbiology* 169, 001333. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.001333

Ghai, I., and Ghai, S. (2018). Understanding antibiotic resistance via outer membrane permeability. *Infection And Drug Resistance* 11, 523–530. doi: 10.2147/IDR

Guo, K., Ren, J., Gu, G., Wang, G., Gong, W., Wu, X., et al. (2019). Hesperidin protects against intestinal inflammation by restoring intestinal barrier function and up-regulating treg cells. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 63, 1800975. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201800975

Hapfelmeier, S., and Hardt, W.-D. (2005). A mouse model for S. Typhimuriuminduced enterocolitis. *Trends In Microbiol.* 13, 497–503. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2005.08.008

Harhala, M., Gembara, K., Miernikiewicz, P., Owczarek, B., Kaźmierczak, Z., Majewska, J., et al. (2021). Dna dye sytox green in detection of bacteriolytic activity: high speed, precision and sensitivity demonstrated with endolysins. *Front. In Microbiol.* 12, 752282. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.752282

He, X., Yan, Z., Hu, X., Zuo, Y., Jiang, C., Jin, L., et al. (2014). Fecl3-catalyzed cascade reaction: an efficient approach to functionalized coumarin derivatives. *Synthetic Commun.* 44, 1507–1514. doi: 10.1080/00397911.2013.862833

Hoult, J., and Payá, M. (1996). Pharmacological and biochemical actions of simple coumarins: natural products with therapeutic potential. *Gen. Pharmacol.: Vasc. System* 27, 713–722. doi: 10.1016/0306-3623(95)02112-4

Huang, G.-J., Deng, J.-S., Liao, J.-C., Hou, W.-C., Wang, S.-Y., Sung, P.-J., et al. (2012). Inducible nitric oxide synthase and cyclooxygenase-2 participate in antiinflammatory activity of imperatorin from *glehnia littoralis*. J. Of Agric. And Food Chem. 60, 1673–1681. doi: 10.1021/jf204297e Huang, H.-Y., Wang, P., Deng, W., Dou, L.-X., Liao, X.-W., Wang, J.-T., et al. (2023). Coumarin-modified ruthenium complexes by disrupting bacterial membrane to combat gram-positive bacterial infection. *Dalton Trans.* 52, 9757–9771. doi: 10.1039/D3DT01287E

Ibrar, A., Shehzadi, S. A., Saeed, F., and Khan, I. (2018). Developing hybrid molecule therapeutics for diverse enzyme inhibitory action: active role of coumarin-based structural leads in drug discovery. *Bioorganic Medicinal Chem.* 26, 3731–3762. doi: 10.1016/j.bmc.2018.05.042

Iwasaki, A., and Medzhitov, R. (2015). Control of adaptive immunity by the innate immune system. *Nat. Immunol.* 16, 343–353. doi: 10.1038/ni.3123

Jadhav, N. H., Sakate, S. S., Rasal, N. K., Shinde, D. R., and Pawar, R. A. (2019). Heterogeneously catalyzed pechmann condensation employing the tailored zn0. 925ti0. 0750 nps: synthesis of coumarin. *ACS Omega* 4, 8522–8527. doi: 10.1021/ acsomega.9b00257

Jain, R., Chen, Y., Kanno, Y., Joyce-Shaikh, B., Vahedi, G., Hirahara, K., et al. (2016). Interleukin-23-induced transcription factor blimp-1 promotes pathogenicity of T helper 17 cells. *Immunity* 44, 131–142. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.11.009

Ji, X., Hou, C., Gao, Y., Xue, Y., Yan, Y., and Guo, X. (2020). Metagenomic analysis of gut microbiota modulatory effects of jujube (*Ziziphus jujuba mill.*) polysaccharides in A colorectal cancer mouse model. *Food Funct.* 11, 163–173. doi: 10.1039/C9FO02171J

Jones, G.-R., Bain, C. C., Fenton, T. M., Kelly, A., Brown, S. L., Ivens, A. C., et al. (2018). Dynamics of colon monocyte and macrophage activation during colitis. *Front. In Immunol.* 9, 2764. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02764

Kadhum, A. A. H., Al-Amiery, A. A., Musa, A. Y., and Mohamad, A. B. (2011). The antioxidant activity of new coumarin derivatives. *Int. J. Of Mol. Sci.* 12, 5747–5761. doi: 10.3390/ijms12095747

Kalkhambkar, R. G., Kulkarni, G. M., Kamanavalli, C. M., Premkumar, N., Asdaq, S., and Sun, C. M. (2008). Synthesis and biological activities of some new fluorinated coumarins and 1-aza coumarins. *Eur. J. Of Medicinal Chem.* 43, 2178–2188. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2007.08.007

Kany, S., Vollrath, J. T., and Relja, B. (2019). Cytokines in inflammatory disease. *Int. J. Of Mol. Sci.* 20, 6008. doi: 10.3390/ijms20236008

Kay, J., Thadhani, E., Samson, L., and Engelward, B. (2019). Inflammation-induced dna damage, mutations and cancer. *DNA Repair* 83, 102673. doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2019.102673

Kim, S.-H., Kang, K.-A., Zhang, R., Piao, M.-J., Ko, D.-O., Wang, Z.-H., et al. (2008). Protective effect of esculetin against oxidative stress-induced cell damage via scavenging reactive oxygen species. *Acta Pharmacologica Sin.* 29, 1319–1326. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-7254.2008.00878.x

Klag, T., Stange, E. F., and Wehkamp, J. (2013). Defective antibacterial barrier in inflammatory bowel disease. *Digestive Dis.* 31, 310–316. doi: 10.1159/000354858

Lebaron, P., Catala, P., and Parthuisot, N. (1998). Effectiveness of sytox green stain for bacterial viability assessment. *Appl. And Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 2697–2700. doi: 10.1128/AEM.64.7.2697-2700.1998

Li, Y., Qin, C., Dong, L., Zhang, X., Wu, Z., Liu, L., et al. (2022). Whole grain benefit: synergistic effect of oat phenolic compounds and β -glucan on hyperlipidemia via gut microbiota in high-fat-diet mice. *Food Funct.* 13, 12686–12696. doi: 10.1039/ D2FO01746F

Li, L., Shi, Q. G., Lin, F., Liang, Y. G., Sun, L. J., Mu, J. S., et al. (2014). Cytokine il–6 is required in citrobacter rodentium infection–Induced intestinal th17 responses and promotes il–22 expression in inflammatory bowel disease. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 9, 831–836. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2014.1898

Liang, C., Zhou, X. H., Jiao, Y. H., Guo, M. J., Meng, L., Gong, P. M., et al. (2021). *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* lck11 prevents obesity by promoting pyy secretion to inhibit appetite and regulating gut microbiota in C57bl/6j mice. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 65, 2100136. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.202100136

Liu, M.-M., Chen, X.-Y., Huang, Y.-Q., Feng, P., Guo, Y.-L., Yang, G., et al. (2014). Hybrids of phenylsulfonylfuroxan and coumarin as potent antitumor agents. J. Of Medicinal Chem. 57, 9343–9356. doi: 10.1021/jm500613m

Liu, Y., Tian, X., Daniel, R. C., Okeugo, B., Armbrister, S. A., Luo, M., et al. (2022). Impact of probiotic *limosilactobacillus reuteri* dsm 17938 on amino acid metabolism in the healthy newborn mouse. *Amino Acids* 54, 1383–1401. doi: 10.1007/s00726-022-03165-1

Lončar, M., Jakovljević, M., Šubarić, D., Pavlić, M., Buzjak Služek, V., Cindrić, I., et al. (2020). Coumarins in food and methods of their determination. *Foods* 9, 645. doi: 10.3390/foods9050645

Luchini, A. C., Rodrigues-Orsi, P., Cestari, S. H., Seito, L. N., Witaicenis, A., Pellizzon, C. H., et al. (2008). Intestinal anti-inflammatory activity of coumarin and 4-hydroxycoumarin in the trinitrobenzenesulphonic acid model of rat colitis. *Biol. And Pharm. Bull.* 31, 1343–1350. doi: 10.1248/bpb.31.1343

Ma, F., Song, Y., Sun, M., Wang, A., Jiang, S., Mu, G., et al. (2021). Exopolysaccharide produced by *lactiplantibacillus plantarum*-12 alleviates intestinal inflammation and colon cancer symptoms by modulating the gut microbiome and metabolites of C57bl/6 mice treated by azoxymethane/dextran sulfate sodium salt. *Foods* 10, 3060. doi: 10.3390/foods10123060

Ma, J., Zheng, Y., Tang, W., Yan, W., Nie, H., Fang, J., et al. (2020). Dietary polyphenols in lipid metabolism: A role of gut microbiome. *Anim. Nutr.* 6, 404–409. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2020.08.002

Maceyka, M., and Spiegel, S. (2014). Sphingolipid metabolites in inflammatory disease. *Nature* 510, 58-67. doi: 10.1038/nature13475

Manor, O., and Borenstein, E. (2017). Systematic characterization and analysis of the taxonomic drivers of functional shifts in the human microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* 21, 254–267. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.12.014

Martin, A. L. A., De Menezes, I. R., Sousa, A. K., Farias, P. A., Dos Santos, F. A., Freitas, T. S., et al. (2023). *In vitro* and *in silico* antibacterial evaluation of coumarin derivatives against mdr strains of *staphylococcus aureus* and *escherichia coli*. *Microbial*. *Pathogenesis* 177, 106058. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2023.106058

Maurya, A. K., and Mishra, N. (2022). Coumarin-based combined computational study to design novel drugs against *candida albicans. J. Of Microbiol.* 60, 1201–1207. doi: 10.1007/s12275-022-2279-5

Meizlish, M. L., Franklin, R. A., Zhou, X., and Medzhitov, R. (2021). Tissue homeostasis and inflammation. *Annu. Rev. Of Immunol.* 39, 557–581. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-061020-053734

Melliou, E., Magiatis, P., Mitaku, S., Skaltsounis, A.-L., Chinou, E., and Chinou, I. (2005). Natural and synthetic 2, 2-dimethylpyranocoumarins with antibacterial activity. *J. Of Natural Products* 68, 78–82. doi: 10.1021/np0497447

Miki, T., and Hardt, W.-D. (2013). Outer membrane permeabilization is an essential step in the killing of gram-negative bacteria by the lectin regiiiß. *PloS One* 8, e69901. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0069901

Mishra, S., Pandey, A., and Manvati, S. (2020). Coumarin: an emerging antiviral agent. *Heliyon* 6, e03217. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03217

Mowat, A. M. (2003). Anatomical basis of tolerance and immunity to intestinal antigens. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 3, 331–341. doi: 10.1038/nri1057

Muheim, C., Gøtzke, H., Eriksson, A. U., Lindberg, S., Lauritsen, I., Núrholm, M. H., et al. (2017). Increasing the permeability of *escherichia coli* using mac13243. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 17629. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-17772-6

Muniz, L. R., Knosp, C., and Yeretssian, G. (2012). Intestinal antimicrobial peptides during homeostasis, infection, and disease. *Front. In Immunol.* 3, 310. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012.00310

Naz, S., Siddiqi, R., Ahmad, S., Rasool, S., and Sayeed, S. (2007). Antibacterial activity directed isolation of compounds from *punica granatum*. J. Of Food Sci. 72, M341–M345. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2007.00533.x

Norris, G. H., Jiang, C., Ryan, J., Porter, C. M., and Blesso, C. N. (2016). Milk sphingomyelin improves lipid metabolism and alters gut microbiota in high fat diet-fed mice. J. Of Nutr. Biochem. 30, 93–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2015.12.003

Nostro, A., Cellini, L., Bartolomeo, S. D., Cannatelli, M., Campli, E. D., Procopio, F., et al. (2006). Effects of combining extracts (From propolis or *zingiber officinale*) with clarithromycin on *helicobacter pylori*. *Phytother. Research: Int. J. Devoted To Pharmacol. And Toxicological Eval. Of Natural Product Derivatives* 20, 187–190. doi: 10.1002/ptr.1830

Pabst, R., Russell, M. W., and Brandtzaeg, P. (2008). Tissue distribution of lymphocytes and plasma cells and the role of the gut. *Trends In Immunol.* 29, 206–208. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2008.02.006

Park, K. S. (2015). Raspberry ketone, a naturally occurring phenolic compound, inhibits adipogenic and lipogenic gene expression in 3t3-L1 adipocytes. *Pharm. Biol.* 53, 870–875. doi: 10.3109/13880209.2014.946059

Perez-Lopez, A., Behnsen, J., Nuccio, S.-P., and Raffatellu, M. (2016). Mucosal immunity to pathogenic intestinal bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 16, 135–148. doi: 10.1038/nri.2015.17

Rasheed, H. M., Bashir, K., Gurgul, A., Wahid, F., Che, C.-T., Shahzadi, I., et al. (2022). Uhplc-ms/ms-gnps based phytochemical investigation of *dryopteris ramosa* (Hope) C. Chr. and evaluation of cytotoxicity against liver and prostate cancer cell lines. *Heliyon* 8, e11286. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11286

Round, J. L., and Mazmanian, S. K. (2009). The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 9, 313–323. doi: 10.1038/nri2515

Sahan, A. Z., Hazra, T. K., and Das, S. (2018). The pivotal role of DNA repair in infection mediated-inflammation and cancer. *Front. In Microbiol.* 9, 663. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00663

Sahoo, M., Ceballos-Olvera, I., Del Barrio, L., and Re, F. (2011). Role of the inflammasome, il-1, and il-18 in bacterial infections. *Sci. World J.* 11, 2037–2050. doi: 10.1100/2011/212680

Shin, N.-R., Whon, T. W., and Bae, J.-W. (2015). Proteobacteria: microbial signature of dysbiosis in gut microbiota. *Trends In Biotechnol.* 33, 496–503. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2015.06.011

Song, P. P., Zhao, J., Liu, Z. L., Duan, Y. B., Hou, Y. P., Zhao, C. Q., et al. (2017). Evaluation of antifungal activities and structure-activity relationships of coumarin derivatives. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 73, 94–101. doi: 10.1002/ps.2017.73.issue-1

Spera, A. M., Esposito, S., and Pagliano, P. (2019). Emerging antibiotic resistance: carbapenemase-producing enterobacteria. Bad new bugs, still no new drugs. *Le Infezioni In Medicina* 27, 357–364.

Suresh, L., Kumar, P. S. V., Poornachandra, Y., Kumar, C. G., and Chandramouli, G. (2017). Design, synthesis and evaluation of novel pyrazolo-pyrimido [4, 5-D] pyrimidine derivatives as potent antibacterial and biofilm inhibitors. *Bioorganic Medicinal Chem. Lett.* 27, 1451–1457. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2017.01.087

Tanaka, T., Narazaki, M., and Kishimoto, T. (2014). Il-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. In Biol.* 6, A016295. doi: 10.1101/ cshperspect.a016295

Thada, R., Chockalingam, S., Dhandapani, R. K., and Panchamoorthy, R. (2013). Extraction and quantitation of coumarin from cinnamon and its effect on enzymatic browning in fresh apple juice: A bioinformatics approach to illuminate its antibrowning activity. J. Of Agric. And Food Chem. 61, 5385–5390. doi: 10.1021/jf4009789

Ubeda, C., Djukovic, A., and Isaac, S. (2017). Roles of the intestinal microbiota in pathogen protection. *Clin. Trans. Immunol.* 6, E128. doi: 10.1038/cti.2017.2

Van De Veerdonk, F. L., Gresnigt, M. S., Kullberg, B. J., van der Meer, J. W., Joosten, L. A., and Netea, M. G. (2009). Th17 responses and host defense against microorganisms: an overview. *Bmb Rep.* 42, 776–787. doi: 10.5483/BMBRep.2009.42.12.776

Vandevelde, N. M., Tulkens, P. M., and Van Bambeke, F. (2016). Modulating antibiotic activity towards respiratory bacterial pathogens by co-medications: A multi-target approach. *Drug Discovery Today* 21, 1114–1129. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2016.04.001

Varol, C., Zigmond, E., and Jung, S. (2010). Securing the immune tightrope: mononuclear phagocytes in the intestinal lamina propria. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 10, 415–426. doi: 10.1038/nri2778

Wang, J., Chen, Y.-L., Li, Y.-K., Chen, D.-K., He, J.-F., and Yao, N. (2021). Functions of sphingolipids in pathogenesis during host–pathogen interactions. *Front. In Microbiol.* 12, 701041. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.701041

Wang, X., Shen, Y., Thakur, K., Han, J., Zhang, J.-G., Hu, F., et al. (2020). Antibacterial activity and mechanism of ginger essential oil against *escherichia coli* and *staphylococcus aureus*. *Molecules* 25, 3955. doi: 10.3390/molecules25173955

Witaicenis, A., Seito, L. N., Da Silveira Chagas, A., De Almeida Junior, L. D., Luchini, A. C., Rodrigues-Orsi, P., et al. (2014). Antioxidant and intestinal anti-inflammatory effects of plantderived coumarin derivatives. *Phytomedicine* 21, 240–246. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2013.09.001 Wu, M., Tian, L., Fu, J., Liao, S., Li, H., Gai, Z., et al. (2022). Antibacterial mechanism of protocatechuic acid against *yersinia enterocolitica* and its application in pork. *Food Control* 133, 108573. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108573

Yang, R., Shan, S., An, N., Liu, F., Cui, K., Shi, J., et al. (2022b). Polyphenols from foxtail millet bran ameliorate dss-induced colitis by remodeling gut microbiome. *Front. In Nutr.* 9, 1030744. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1030744

Yang, L., Wan, Y., Li, W., Liu, C., Li, H.-F., Dong, Z., et al. (2022a). Targeting intestinal flora and its metabolism to explore the laxative effects of rhubarb. *Appl. Microbiol. And Biotechnol.* 106, 1615–1631. doi: 10.1007/s00253-022-11813-5

Yang, J., Zhang, L., Yu, C., Yang, X.-F., and Wang, H. (2014). Monocyte and macrophage differentiation: circulation inflammatory monocyte as biomarker for inflammatory diseases. *biomark. Res.* 2, 1–9. doi: 10.1186/2050-7771-2-1

Yao, M., Lu, Y., Zhang, T., Xie, J., Han, S., Zhang, S., et al. (2021). Improved functionality of *ligilactobacillus salivarius* li01 in alleviating colonic inflammation by layer-by-layer microencapsulation. *NPJ Biofilms And Microbiomes* 7, 58. doi: 10.1038/ s41522-021-00228-1

Ye, J.-Z., Li, Y.-T., Wu, W.-R., Shi, D., Fang, D.-Q., Yang, L.-Y., et al. (2018). Dynamic alterations in the gut microbiota and metabolome during the development of methionine-choline-deficient diet-induced nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *World J. Of Gastroenterol.* 24, 2468. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i23.2468

Zhang, Y., Rohde, L. H., and Wu, H. (2009). Involvement of nucleotide excision and mismatch repair mechanisms in double strand break repair. *Curr. Genomics* 10, 250–258. doi: 10.2174/138920209788488544

Zheng, D., Liwinski, T., and Elinav, E. (2020). Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and disease. *Cell Res.* 30, 492–506. doi: 10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7