Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Victor H Bustamante, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

REVIEWED BY Zhemin Zhou, Soochow University, China Jacob P Bitoun, Tulane University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Hao Sun Sunhao@nankai.edu.cn Yu Pang pangyu@nankai.edu.cn

RECEIVED 08 July 2023 ACCEPTED 25 September 2023 PUBLISHED 10 October 2023

CITATION

Yao T, Huang Y, Huai Z, Liu X, Liu X, Liu Y, Sun H and Pang Y (2023) Response mechanisms to acid stress promote LF82 replication in macrophages. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1255083. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1255083

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Yao, Huang, Huai, Liu, Liu, Liu, Sun and Pang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Response mechanisms to acid stress promote LF82 replication in macrophages

Ting Yao^{1,2}, Yu Huang^{1,2}, Zimeng Huai^{1,2}, Xingmei Liu^{1,2}, Xiaowen Liu³, Yutao Liu^{1,2}, Hao Sun^{1,2*} and Yu Pang^{1,2*}

¹TEDA Institute of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, ²The Key Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology and Technology, TEDA Institute of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Nankai University, Ministry of Education, Tianjin, China, ³Academy of Psychology and Behavior, Faculty of Psychology, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China

Background: Adherent–invasive *E. coli* (AIEC) LF82 is capable of adhering to and invading intestinal epithelial cells, as well as replicating within macrophages without inducing host cell death.

Methods: We compared the transcriptomics of LF82 at pH=7.5 and pH=5.8 by RNA-sequencing, and qRT-PCR verified differentially expressed genes (DEGs). The deletion mutants of DEGs in the treatment group (pH=5.8) compared to the control group (pH=7.5) were constructed by λ recombinant. The replication differences between the mutants and WT infected Raw 264.7 at 24 h.p.i were analyzed by combining LB solid plate count and confocal observation. NH₄Cl and chloroquine diphosphate (CQ) were used for acid neutralization to study the effect of pH on the replication of LF82 in macrophages. Na₂NO₃ was added to RPMI 1640 to study the effect of nitrate on the replication of LF82 in macrophages. 0.3% solid LB was used for flagellar motility assay and Hela was used to study flagellar gene deletion mutants and WT adhesion and invasion ability.

Results: In this study, we found that infection with LF82 results in acidification of macrophages. Subsequent experiments demonstrated that an intracellular acidic environment is necessary for LF82 replication. Transcriptome and phenotypic analysis showed that high expression of acid shock genes and acid fitness genes promotes LF82 replication in macrophages. Further, we found that the replication of LF82 in macrophages was increased under nitrate treatment, and nitrogen metabolism genes of LF82 were upregulated in acid treatment. The replication in macrophages of *AnarK*, *AnarXL*, *AnarP*, and *Ahmp* were decreased. In addition, we found that the expression of flagellar genes was downregulated in acidic pH and after LF82 invading macrophages. Motility assay shows that the movement of LF82 on an acidic semisolid agar plate was limited. Further results showed that Δ fliC and Δ fliD decreased in motility, adhesion ability, and invasion of host cells, but no significant effect on replication in macrophages was observed.

Conclusion: In this study, we simulated the acidic environment in macrophages, combined with transcriptome technology, and explained from the genetic level that LF82 promotes replication by activating its acid shock and fitness system, enhancing nitrate utilization, and inhibiting flagellar function.

KEYWORDS

adherent-invasive *E. coli* (AIEC), macrophages, acid shock, acid fitness, nitrate utilization, flagellar

1 Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic disease with unknown etiology that results in uncontrolled inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract (Kaplan, 2015; Vindigni et al., 2016; Wark et al., 2021). Historically, IBD has been regarded as a common intestinal disorder in developed countries. However, with changing epidemiological trends in the 21st century, IBD has become a global health concern. Currently, it is estimated that the worldwide prevalence of IBD approaches 90 cases per 100,000 individuals (Kofla-Dłubacz et al., 2022). IBD can be further classified as Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) based on clinical manifestations and intestinal localization. CD is a transmural inflammatory disease that affects the entire small intestine and colon, while UC is characterized by mucosal inflammation limited to the colon (Feldman et al., 2020).

Previous research has indicated that individuals with CD exhibit abnormal changes in the composition of their intestinal microbiota including a significant reduction in Clostridium and Bacteroides populations and an increase in Enterobacteriaceae. Notably, a specific pathogenic group of Escherichia coli, named adherentinvasive E. coli (AIEC), has been extensively linked to CD (Darfeuille-Michaud et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2004; Baumgart et al., 2007; Frank et al., 2007; Knights et al., 2013; Rooks et al., 2014; Vich Vila et al., 2018). AIEC is capable of penetrating the epithelial barrier, surviving, and replicating in macrophages in CD patients. Further investigation has revealed that the classic AIEC LF82 can invade macrophages and establish a replication niche by assembling biofilm-like communities which protect it from phagolysosomal attack (Prudent et al., 2021). However, the precise mechanism by which LF82 replicates within macrophages remains insufficiently elucidated.

The pH in macrophages rapidly decreases when infected by bacteria. Studies have reported that an acid environment is necessary for LF82 to survive and replicate within macrophages (Bringer et al., 2006, 2012). In *E. coli*, multiple genes have been shown to be associated with acid tolerance. The acid shock protein Asr, encoded by the *asr* gene, strongly supports the growth of *E. coli* at moderate acidity conditions (Ramos-Morales, 2012), and the acid fitness island (AFI) plays a role in the acid response (Mates et al., 2007). Meanwhile, the periplasmic chaperones HdeA and HdeB are crucial for bacterial survival at low pH in *E. coli* and *Shigella* spp. (Kern et al., 2007; Carter et al., 2012). However, the mechanism of

LF82 survival and replication in macrophages in response to acid stress still unclear.

Nitrate (NO³⁻) and nitrite (NO²⁻) serve as crucial nitrogen sources for both host and pathogen. When macrophages are activated by bacterial lipopolysaccharide, nitrite and nitrate are synthesized (Stuehr and Marletta, 1985; Iyengar et al., 1987). Nitrogen metabolism within a restricted niche in macrophages is key to the survival and pathogenesis of intracellular pathogens (Borah et al., 2019). For instance, Salmonella Typhimurium utilizes nitrate as an electron acceptor to facilitate its growth during intestinal infection and enhance systemic virulence (Li et al., 2022). Several genes have been demonstrated to be crucial for nitrate metabolism including hmpA, which encodes the flavohemoglobin protein that catalyzes the conversion of NO and O₂ to nitrate (Stevanin et al., 2002); narK, the primary transporter for nitrate and nitrite (Clegg et al., 2002; Jia and Cole, 2005; Clegg et al., 2006); and narXL, a two-component system responsible for sensing nitrate (Noriega et al., 2010; Durand and Guillier, 2021). However, the effect of nitrogen metabolism of LF82 in macrophages remains unknown.

Flagella is an important motor macromolecular machine and virulence factor of bacteria (Sun et al., 2022). Flagella allow bacteria to actively move toward favorable environments and away from hazardous areas in order to reach and settle in new habitats (Colin et al., 2021; Thormann et al., 2022). The motility and biosynthesis of flagella are strictly regulated by three classes of genes (Chilcott and Hughes, 2000; Frye et al., 2006) and affected by diverse environmental signals such as mucin, temperature, and pH (Sun et al., 2022). The Class I gene flhDC encodes the master flagellar regulator, with FlhD and FlhC forming a heterotetramer (FlhD4C2) (Khan et al., 2020). Class II genes, including fliFGHIJK, fliLMNOPQR, fliE, flhBAE, flgBCDEFGHIJ, fliAZY, and flgAMN, encode structural and assembly proteins required for the biosynthesis of the hook-basal body and a pair of flagellar regulatory proteins, FliA and FlgM (Kutsukake et al., 1990; Kalir et al., 2001). Class III genes, including flgKL, fliDST, flgMN, fliC, tartap-cheRBYZ, and motAB-cheAW, encode cell-distal structural components of the flagellum and flagellar function (rotation and chemotaxis) (Osterman et al., 2015; Khan et al., 2020). The acid signal of the host can suppress flagellar biosynthesis and motility of Salmonella to avoid recognition by the host immune system (Wang et al., 2022). Meanwhile, Salmonella turns off flagellar biosynthesis to promote intracellular replication and cause systemic disease (Ma et al., 2021).

To elucidate the mechanism by which an acidic environment facilitates LF82 intracellular replication in macrophages, RNA-Seq analysis was performed to investigate the global impact of acidity on gene expression. Transcriptome analysis of LF82 under acidic conditions revealed that the expression of genes involved in acid tolerance and nitrate transport/metabolism was upregulated, while the expression of flagellar genes was downregulated. Acid regulatory genes play a pivotal role in LF82's intracellular replication within macrophages. The utilization of nitrate by LF82 provides energy for its survival and replication within macrophages. Additionally, the closure of flagellar function limits LF82's movement and conserves energy, which further facilitates its replication within macrophages. Our study reveals the mechanism by which LF82 utilizes an acidic environment to replicate within macrophages.

2 Results

2.1 An acidic environment is required for the intracellular replication of LF82

An acidic environment is essential for LF82 intracellular replication within macrophage phagolysosomes (Bringer et al., 2006). To monitor pH fluctuations in macrophages, we employed LysoSensor, which emits blue and yellow fluorescence (yellow fluorescence indicates pH<7, stronger yellow fluorescence reflects a lower pH level) to compare pH variations between uninfected and LF82-infected macrophages. The result showed that infected macrophages exhibited a yellow hue in comparison to uninfected cells (Figure 1A), indicating a rapid decline in pH levels upon LF82

infection. This finding is consistent with previous reports suggesting that intracellular acidification results from LF82 replication within macrophages(Bringer et al., 2012).

NH₄Cl and chloroquine diphosphate (CQ) have been demonstrated to effectively neutralize the acidic pH in macrophages (Bringer et al., 2006; Bringer et al., 2012). A replication assay demonstrated that neutralization of acidic environment in macrophages with 30 mM NH₄Cl or 10 µM CQ resulted in a reduction of LF82 replication by 3.3-fold and 4.2-fold, respectively (Figure 1B). Meanwhile, confocal scanning revealed a significant decrease in bacterial load within macrophages treated with NH₄Cl and CQ at 24 h post-infection (p.i.) compared to the untreated control group (Figure 1C). An in vitro growth curve demonstrated that 30 mM NH4Cl or 10 µM CQ had no impact on LF82 proliferation in LB or RPMI 1640 medium, indicating that the reduction of LF82 replication in macrophages treated with either NH₄Cl or CQ was not due to the growth defect of LF82 in LB and 1640 medium (Figures 1D, E). These results indicate that the acidic microenvironment within macrophages facilitates LF82 replication.

2.2 Transcriptome responses of LF82 under acid environment

To investigate the mechanism underlying LF82 replication in an acid environment, we simulated the pH of LF82 in acidic macrophages (Bringer et al., 2005; Bringer et al., 2007), and the transcriptome of LF82 cultured in a pH 5.8 acid environment for 30 min was analyzed. After filtering low-quality reads, a total of 24,815,427 and 18,614,200 reads were obtained from the acid-treated and control group, respectively. Approximately 97.22% of



FIGURE 1

Effect of acidic pH on the replication of LF82 in macrophages. (A) The pH variation between uninfected macrophages and LF82-infected macrophages was indicated by LysoSensorTM Yellow/Blue. (B) The intracellular replication of LF82 in macrophages and macrophages treated with 30 mM NH₄Cl or 10 μ M CQ. (C) Confocal observation of LF82 (red) intracellular replication in macrophages and macrophages treated with 30 mM NH₄Cl or 10 μ M CQ. (C) Confocal observation of LF82 (red) intracellular replication in macrophages and macrophages treated with 30 mM NH₄Cl or 10 μ M CQ at indicated time point. (D) Growth curve of LF82 treated with 30 mM NH₄Cl or 10 μ M CQ in LB medium. (E) Growth curve of LF82 treated with 30 mM NH₄Cl or 10 μ M CQ in 1640 medium. Data were obtained from three independent experiments and analyzed using Student's *t*-test. ****P* < 0.001.



the total reads for the acid treated group and 98.31% of those for the control group were uniquely mapped to the reference genome. Subsequently, gene expression profiles were compared. The results showed that a total of 1,997 genes were differentially expressed in the acid-treated group compared to those in the control group. Of these, 995 and 1002 genes were categorized as upregulated and downregulated, respectively (fold change > 2 and *P* value < 0.05), show as Table S1 and the volcano plot of DEGs reported in Figure 2A. To verify the transcriptome results, 10 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were randomly selected for qRT-PCR analysis. The results showed that the expression patterns of these DEGs were consistent with those of RNA-Seq data, indicating the robustness and validity of our RNA-Seq approach (Figure 2B).

Subsequently, DEGs in the acid treated and control group were classified using the NCBI COG functional categories annotation system. The COG categories significantly enriched in the group of upregulated genes were primarily associated with post-translational modification, protein turnover, chaperones, mobilome, translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis. The COG categories significantly enriched in the list of downregulated genes included carbohydrate transport and metabolism, amino acid transport and metabolism, energy production, and conversion (Figure 2C). These results indicate that the impact of acid conditions on LF82 gene expression is bidirectional, which provides a basis for us to study the replication mechanism of LF82 in acid macrophages from the transcriptional level.

2.3 Acid regulatory genes affect intracellular replication of LF82

Based on RNA-Seq results, the expression of *asr* (acid shock gene) was significantly upregulated, by 622-fold, in the acid-treated group compared to the control group (Figures S2A, 3A). RT-qPCR results further verified that *asr* was 10.63-fold upregulated in the acid-treated group compared to the control group (Figure 3B). Meanwhile, the expression of *asr* was significantly upregulated, by

128.69-fold, in intra-macrophage LF82 at 1 h p.i. and maintained a high level of expression at 6 h (14.85 fold) and 24 h (3.63 fold) p.i., respectively (Figure 3C). We further generated *asr* mutant (Δasr) to evaluate whether *asr* affects the intracellular replication of LF82. The results showed that Δasr led to a 3.85-fold decrease in LF82 replication ability within macrophages, these differences could be restored to wild-type levels when complementary plasmids pSWK129-*asr* was introduced into the Δasr mutant (Table S3; Figure 3H), indicating that *asr* promotes the replication of LF82 in macrophages. However, there was no difference in the replication abilities between WT and Δasr in macrophages which neutralized the acid condition with NH₄Cl or CQ (Table S3, Figures 3I, J). These results indicated that *asr* plays an important role in survival and replication of LF82 in macrophages under acidic conditions.

In addition, the volcano map and heat map results showed that the expression of several acid fitness genes was also upregulated in the LF82 acid-treated group compared to the control group (Figures S2A, 3A). Further qRT-PCR results confirmed the upregulation of gadA, hdeA, and hdeB (Figures 3D, F). To investigate the expression of gadA in LF82-infected macrophages at 1 h, 6 h, and 24 h p.i., qRT-PCR was performed. The results showed that gadA was upregulated by 4.77-fold, 6.03-fold, and 1.93-fold in LF82 infected macrophages at 1 h, 6 h, and 24 h p.i., respectively (Figure 3E). Additionally, the expression of hdeA in LF82-infected macrophages was upregulated by 7.02-fold, 3.96-fold, and 2.53-fold at 1 h, 6 h, and 24 h p.i., respectively (Figure 3G). Furthermore, hdeB exhibited a significant increase in expression levels, 9.20-fold at 1 h p.i., followed by increases of 2.66-fold and 2.05-fold at 6 h and 24 h p.i. (Figure 3G). These results suggest that gadA, hdeA, and hdeB play an important role after LF82 infects macrophages. To identify the effect of gadA, hdeA, and hdeB in LF82 intracellular replication, we generated $\Delta gadA$, $\Delta hdeA$, and $\Delta hdeB$. As shown in Table S3 and Figure 3H, the replication ability of $\Delta gadA$ in macrophages was reduced by 3.57-fold compared to that of WT, complementary strains of $\Delta gadA + pgadA$ could recover the replication ability of WT, indicating that gadA is required for the replication of LF82 in macrophages. When the acidic pH of macrophages was neutralized



FIGURE 3

E. coli acid shock and fitness genes affect the replication of LF82 in macrophages. (A) Heat map of acid fitness genes expression of LF82 under acid treatment. (B) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *asr* in LF82 under acid treatment. (C) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *asr* in LF82-infected macrophages at indicated time point. (D) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *gadA* in LF82 under acid treatment. (E) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *gadA* in LF82-infected macrophages at indicated time point. (D) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *gadA* in LF82 under acid treatment. (E) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *gadA* in LF82-infected macrophages at indicated time point. (F) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *hdeA* and *hdeB* in LF82 under acid treatment. (G) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *hdeA* and *hdeB* in LF82 under acid treatment. (G) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *hdeA* and *hdeB* in LF82 under acid treatment. (G) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *hdeA* and *hdeB* in LF82 under acid treatment. (C) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *hdeA* and *hdeB* in LF82 under acid treatment. (C) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *hdeA* and *hdeB* in LF82 under acid treatment. (G) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *hdeA* and *hdeB* in LF82 under acid treatment. (G) qRT-PCR detected the expression of *hdeA* and *hdeB* in LF82 under acid treatment. (I) Comparison of intracellular replication between *\Dasr, \DagadA*, *DgadA*, *DgadA*, *DdeA*, *DdeA*, *DdeA*, *DdeB*, *DdeB*

by NH₄Cl and CQ, the replication ability of WT in macrophages was significantly reduced, while there was no significant difference in the replication ability between WT and $\Delta gadA$ in untreated and acid-neutralized macrophages (Figures 3I, J). In addition, $\Delta hdeA$ and $\Delta hdeB$ shared silimiar trends with $\Delta gadA$ (Table S3; Figures 3H–J). These findings suggest that acid regulatory genes play crucial roles in the survival and replication of LF82 within macrophages.

In addition, the results of the growth curve showed that there was no difference between gene deletion mutants (Δasr , $\Delta gadA$, $\Delta hdeA$ and $\Delta hdeB$) and WT in control 1640 medium. But there was significant difference between gene deletion mutants and WT when growth in 1640 medium (pH=5.8) (Figures S3A, S3B). These results further indicated that *asr*, *gadA*, *hdeA* and *hdeB* plays an important

role in survival and replication of LF82 in macrophages under acidic conditions.

2.4 The acidic environment promotes nitrate metabolism and enhances intracellular replication

Transcriptome analysis showed that several nitratemetabolism-related genes were upregulated, including *narGHIJ* gene cluster, *narK*, nitrate sensing two-component system *narX/ narL*, and *hmp*, as shown in the volcano plot and heat map (Figures S2A, 4A). The upregulation of genes involved in nitrogen metabolism, namely, *narK*, *narL*, *narX*, *narP*, and *hmp*, was



confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis following acid treatment, with fold changes of 2.13-, 3.67-, 3.77-, 2.59- and 3.39-fold, respectively (Figure 4B). As replication within host macrophages of LF82 is essential for chronic inflammation, the impact of nitrate utilization on LF82 replication in macrophages was assessed. The addition of 0.3 mM Na₂NO₃ to the 1640 medium resulted in a significant increase (3.25-fold) in LF82 replication within macrophages (Figure 4C). Meanwhile, confocal scanning results demonstrated that Na₂NO₃ treatment significantly increases bacterial quantity in macrophages compared to the untreated group at 24 h p.i. (Figure 4D), indicating a potential role of nitrate metabolism in promoting LF82 replication within macrophages.

Furthermore, we generated mutants lacking *narK* ($\Delta narK$), *narXL* ($\Delta narXL$), *narP* ($\Delta narP$), and *hmp* (Δhmp), which are genes related to nitrate metabolism. $\Delta narK$, $\Delta narXL$, $\Delta narP$, and Δhmp resulted in a significant reduction in LF82 replication within macrophages (Table S4; Figures 4E). In addition, the gene deletion strains $\Delta narK$, $\Delta narXL$, $\Delta narP$ and Δhmp lost the ability to enhance nitrate metabolism and then enhance replication ability in macrophages (Table S4; Figures 4F). These differences could be restored to wild-type levels by $\Delta narK+pnarK$, $\Delta narXL+pnarXL$, $\Delta narP+pnarP$, and $\Delta hmp+phmp$ complementary strains (Table S4; Figures 4E, F), indicating that *narK*, *narXL*, *narP* and *hmp* affected the replication of LF82 in macrophages by influencing the metabolism of nitrate.

In addition, the results of the growth curve showed that there was no difference between gene deletion mutants ($\Delta narK$, $\Delta narXL$, $\Delta narP$ and Δhmp) and WT in control 1640 medium and 1640 medium (pH=5.8) (Figures S4A, S4B). These results further indicated that *narK*, *narXL*, *narP* and *hmp* did not affect the growth of LF82 in macrophages under acidic conditions.

2.5 Acidic conditions restrict the motility of LF82 to its intracellular replication.

Overexpression of flagellar genes have been found to attenuate the virulence of *Salmonella*, while suppressing flagellar expression in acidic environments facilitates intracellular replication within macrophages (Yang et al., 2012). The analysis of transcriptome data revealed a significant downregulation of flagellar genes in the acidtreated group (Figure 5A), which was further confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 5B). The motility of LF82 in semisolid media was significantly impaired at pH levels below 6, with a reduction in swimming ability observed particularly at pH values lower than 5.5, where the diameter of swimming decreased to approximately 0.4fold compared to that seen under neutral conditions (Figures 5C, D). These results suggest that acidic environments may limit flagellar formation and movement.

decreased expression of LF82 flagellar genes in macrophages is associated with the acidic environment within host cells.

We also compared the expression levels of flagellar genes of LF82 cultured in 1640 medium and infected macrophages using qRT-PCR. At 1 h p.i., *fliA*, *flhD*, and *fliD* were downregulated by approximately 10-fold, while *fliC* was downregulated by approximately 5-fold (Figure 5E). These results suggest that the

We obtained $\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$ mutants; these displayed a reduced swimming ability of 0.7-fold and 0.7-fold, respectively, compared to WT (Figures 5F, G). The adhesion abilities of $\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$ to epithelial cells were reduced to 39.1% and 18.6% compared to WT, respectively (Figures 5H). The invasion abilities of $\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$ to epithelial cells were reduced to 20.2% and 15.2% compared to



FIGURE 5

Flagella movement of LF82 was limited under acid treatment and in macrophages. (A) Heat map of flagellar genes expression in transcriptome of LF82 under acid treatment. (B) qRT-PCR verified the expression level of *fliA*, *fliC*, *flhD*, and *fliD* genes in transcriptome. (C) The motion of LF82 under different pH semisolid mediums. (D) The diameter of swimming circles of LF82 under different pH semisolid mediums. (E) Expression of LF82 flagellar genes in macrophages compared to culture in 1640 medium at 1 h p.i. (F) The motion of $\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$ compared to that of WT on semisolid medium. (G) The diameter of swimming circles of $\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$ compared to that of WT on semisolid medium. (H) Adhesion of $\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$ into epithelial cells compared to that of WT at 3 h p.i. (I) Internalization of $\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$ into epithelial cells compared to that of WT at 4 h p.i. (J) Replication ability of $\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$ in macrophages compared to that of WT. (K) Growth curve of *fliC*, *fliD* and WT in DMEM medium. Data were obtained from three independent experiments and analyzed using Student's *t*-test. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001; n.s., not significant.

WT, respectively (Figure 5I). However, there was no significant difference of intracellular replication abilities within macrophages between $\Delta fliC$, $\Delta fliD$, and WT (Figure 5J). These findings suggest that the regulation of flagellar expression by *fliC* and *fliD* may contribute to the motility, adhesion, and invasion ability of LF82 in epithelial cells. However, deletion of these genes did not significantly affect LF82 replication in macrophages.

Shown as Figures 5K, L, there was no difference between *fliC*, *fliD* and WT in LB medium and DMEM medium. These results indicated that *fliC* and *fliD* reduced swimming ability of LF82 and reduced the adhesion and invasion ability to Hela cells, not because the growth was affected by the deletion of fliC and fliD genes.

In addition, the results of the growth curve showed that there was no difference between gene deletion mutants ($\Delta fliC$ and $\Delta fliD$) and WT in control 1640 medium and 1640 medium (pH=5.8) (Figures S5A, S5B). These results further indicated that *narK*, *narXL*, *narP* and Δhmp did not affect the growth of LF82 in macrophages under acidic conditions.

3 Discussion

CD is a chronic relapsing IBD potentially affecting any portion of the gastrointestinal tract from the mouth to the anus, with increasing incidence worldwide (Laass et al., 2014). It is critical to diagnosis and manage CD because of the threat to human health and the economic damage caused by CD. Macrophages in CD patients are unable to effectively control the replication of CD-associated LF82 strain, which is an important factor that leads to persistent chronic inflammation (Lapaquette et al., 2012; Vazeille et al., 2015; Buisson et al., 2019). However, the specific mechanism of how LF82 survives and replicates in macrophages remains unclear.

According to the results of genomic phylogenetic tree analysis, LF82 is the closest relative to neonatal meningitis E. coli (NMEC). During NMEC invasion human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HBMECs) histone-like nucleoid structuring protein (H-NS) senses the acidic pH within endosomes to de-repress ybdO transcription, resulting in increased YbdO-dependent K1 capsule synthesis which enhances the survival of NMEC in HBMECs and facilitates the virulence of NMEC (Fan et al., 2022). The acidic pH in macrophages downregulates the expression of AsiR in Salmonella typhimurium, resulting in the downregulation of the expression of flagellar gene, promoting the intracellular replication and systemic infection of Salmonella typhimurium (Ma et al., 2021). Wanwu Li et al. reported the mechanism of cytoplasmic acidification through transport and use of nitrate in Salmonella typhimurium, which ultimately promotes the intracellular replication and systemic infection of Salmonella Typhimurium (Li et al., 2022). In this study, we found that LF82 infection resulted in macrophages acidifying rapidly. Further results verified that acid shock gene asr and acid fitness island genes were significantly upregulated under acidic conditions and in macrophages, and the acidic environment of macrophage is necessary for LF82's survival and replication. Combined with the reported studies of other intracellular pathogens, it suggested that intra-macrophage acidification is important for the survival and virulence of these intracellular pathogens.

Nitrogen metabolism is one of the important mechanisms for bacteria to adapt to an intracellular acid environment. Nitrate utilization, which is activated by both the global regulator Fnr and the nitrate-sensing two-component system NarX/NarL in *S*. Typhimurium, promotes *S*. Typhimurium intracellular replication and systemic pathogenicity (Li et al., 2022). In this study, we found that nitrogen-metabolism-related genes of LF82 were upregulated in acid conditions. Deletion of nitrate metabolism genes weakened the nitrate utilization and intracellular replication capacity of LF82. These results imply that the nitrogen metabolism of LF82 may contribute to its adaptation to the macrophages' environment and promote LF82 intra-macrophage replication.

Bacterial flagella constitute a macromolecular machine (Duan et al., 2013) that is vital for bacterial survival in different environments (Tan et al., 2021) and essential for its motility, niche colonization, and pathogenesis (Subramanian and Kearns, 2019). Flagellar biosynthesis is an energy-intensive process requiring 2% of the cell's biosynthetic resources, and flagellar rotation consumes 0.1% of the cell's energy (Fontaine et al., 2008). Bacteria remove their flagella under starvation in a programmed way to reduce the significant energy burden (Zhu and Gao, 2020). We found that the acid environment inhibited flagellar genes' expression, and that flagellar genes were downregulated after LF82 invaded macrophages. This suggests that LF82 shuts down flagellar biosynthesis to help it reduce unnecessary energy loss in order to guarantee bacterial intracellular survival.

Compared with the control group, a total of 1997 differentially expressed genes were identified in the acid treated group, including 995 upregulated and 1002 downregulated genes. This suggests that there are many more genes that change besides the acid, nitrogen metabolism, and flagellar genes we found above. For example, the operon for the sorbitol P-enolpyruvate phosphotransferase transport system (srlA, srlE, srlB, srlD, gutM, and srlR) of LF82 was downregulated significantly. This suggests that carbohydrate metabolism of LF82 may be affected by the acidic environment, thus affecting the survival and replication of LF82 in acid macrophages. Thus, the metabolic characteristics of LF82 in acidic pH macrophages require further study. Additionally, a bacterial two-component system can sense environmental signals, such as chemical signals, temperature, pressure, etc., from the outside of the bacteria, and regulate internal cell signals through kinase reactors, to adjust the bacteria to produce adaptive responses. The upregulated expression of soxS and soxR indicates that an acid signal activates the redox factor of LF82, which may help LF82 resist oxidative damage in macrophages. A two-component system, RstA/RstB, known to regulate biofilm formation, nitrogen metabolism, spore formation, and contribute to bacterial intracellular replication and virulence is upregulated, suggesting that the acidic environment of macrophages may help LF82 replicate in macrophages.

Overall, in this study we revealed that a macrophage's acid environment is necessary for LF82 intracellular replication. First, acid shock protein Asr and AFI help LF82 survival and replication in macrophages. Second, acid promotes the upregulation of nitrate metabolism genes, helping LF82 utilize nitrate in host cells for survival and replication. Third, acid downregulates the expression of flagellar genes, and LF82 shuts down flagellar biosynthesis after entering macrophages to save unnecessary energy loss to support intracellular survival and replication.

4 Materials and methods

4.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S2. *E. coli* LF82 O83:H7 was used as the WT strain. Mutant strains were generated using the λ Red recombinase system of pSim6 and primers carrying the 39~45 bp homologous regions flanking the start and stop codons of the gene to be deleted, as previously described (Liu et al., 2019). Plasmid pUC57 carrying red fluorescent protein (mCherry) was used for confocal microscope observation and analysis. Plasmid vectors pSWK129 were used for complementation.Bacteria were cultured overnight at 37°C in LB liquid or LB agar plate. When necessary, appropriate antibiotics were added: ampicillin, 50 µg/ml; kanamycin, 50 µg/ml; chloramphenicol, 25 µg/ml; gentamicin, 20 µg/mL or 100 µg/mL.

4.2 Transcriptome response of LF82 to Acid

The LF82 glycerin tube frozen in the refrigerator at -80°C was frozen on ice, inoculated in LB liquid medium at a ratio of 1:1000 for overnight culture (about 16 hours), then inoculated in LB liquid medium at a ratio of 1: 100 for logarithmic phase and centrifuged at 5,500 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded to collect the bacteria and washed with 1640 (containing 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum)) medium 3 times. The precipitate of the bacteria was suspended in 1640 (containing 10% FBS, pH=5.8, the pH was adjusted to 5.8 with HCl) medium as acid treated group and 1640 (containing 10% FBS, pH=7.5) as control group, cultured in a shaking table at 37°C for 180 rpm for 30 min, and centrifuged at 5500 r for 5 min to collect the precipitate.

4.2.1 RNA extraction and transcriptome sequencing

The cell wall of bacteria was broken by ultra-low-temperature grinding with liquid nitrogen, repeated 3~5 times until samples turned to powder; TRIzol was added (according to 10⁷ cell/mL TRIzol) and then gound to powder. Total RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions of TRIzol-chloroform extraction (Invitrogen, California, USA). The concentration and purity of the extracted RNA were detected by Nanodrop 2000, the integrity of RNA was detected by agarose gel electrophoresis, and RIN (RNA integrity number) were detected by Agilent2100. To ensure that the single database construction met the requirements of total RNA amount of 2 µg, concentration was ≥ 100 ng/µL and OD_{260/280} was between 1.8 and 2.2.

rRNA was removed from total RNA; the mRNA was randomly broken into about 200 bp small fragments by adding the fragmentation buffer and used for first-strand cDNA synthesis by using reverse transcriptase and random primers. dUTP was used instead of dTTP in the dNTP reagent to ensure the base in the second strand of cDNA containing A/U/C/G. The cDNA library was constructed using extracted mRNA by the TruseqTM RNA

sample prep kit (Illumina, California, USA). Before PCR amplification, the second strand of cDNA was digested by UNG enzyme, so that the library only contained the first strand of cDNA. Sequencing was carried out on Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform located in Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), and the original reading was generated.

4.2.2 Analysis of differentially expressed genes

The original data after quality control, namely, clean data (reads), were compared with the reference genome to obtain mapped data (reads) for subsequent analysis. Meanwhile, the quality of the comparison results of transcriptome sequencing was evaluated, mainly including sequencing saturation, gene coverage, distribution of reads in different regions of the reference genome and distribution analysis of reads in different chromosomes. After obtaining qualified gene readings, the differential expression analysis of genes among samples was carried out. The gene expression difference between the acid-treated sample and the control sample was compared, and the mRNA abundance was estimated by the unique mapping reading number per thousand bases per million reading (RPKM) method (Bullard et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2017). The error rate (FDR) controls the true number used to obtain DEG (Audic and Claverie, 1997). The functional classification of DEGs in transcriptome was analyzed by evolutionary genealogy of genes: Non-supervised Orthologous Groups (EggNOG), Gene Ontology (GO), and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) databases.

4.3 Growth curve

To determine the growth curve of each strain, overnight cultures were washed with PBS 3 times and diluted (1:1000) in LB without antibiotics. A 200 μ L aliquot was added to a 96-well flat-bottom microplate, and 200 μ L LB medium was used as negative control and incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 180 rpm for 24 h, as previously described (Liu et al., 2021). The absorbance at 600 nm was recorded. Experiments were independently performed three times.

4.4 Cell culture and macrophage replication

Raw 264.7, a murine macrophage cell line, 5×10^5 /well in 12-well cell culture plate was cultured with RPMI 1640 (containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (P/S) when necessary) medium at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Bacterial uptake, survival, and replication were measured by gentamicin protection test. Before infection, the bacteria were washed with PBS and resuspended in RPMI 1640 and incubated at 37°C with shaking at 180 rpm for 30 min, infected 5×10^7 /well with multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100:1, centrifuged at 1,000× g for 10 min, incubated with 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 10 min, and then extracellular bacteria were killed with 100 µg/ml gentamicin for 40 min (defined as T1). Ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl, Sigma) and chloroquine diphosphate (CQ, APExBIO) were used for acid neutralization to study the effect of pH on the replication of LF82 in macrophages.; Na₂NO₃ was added to RPMI 1640 to study the effect of nitrate on the replication of LF82 in macrophages.

determine the number of bacteria in cells, 1% triton X-100 was added to each well for 5 min to lyse eukaryotic cells. Triton X-100 at this concentration has no effect on bacterial viability for at least 30 min. Samples were diluted and spread on LB agar plates to determine the amount of CFU recovered from the cracked monolayer. T24/T1 is the replication multiple of gentamicin treatment for 24 hours compared with gentamicin treatment for 1 hour.

4.5 Quantitative RT-PCR

The complementary DNA (cDNA) was generated from 1 μ g of total RNA using the Primescript 1st strand cDNA synthesis kit (Takara, Shiga, Japan). The cDNA sample was diluted three-fold prior to performing downstream experiments. qRT-PCR was performed using the Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time PCR system and SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA). All the data were normalized to levels of housekeeping gene 16S (Tasara and Stephan, 2007). The relative expression level of each gene was calculated using the cycle threshold method (2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct}) (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). At least three biological replicates were carried out for each experiment. All the oligonucleotides used for qRT-PCR are listed in Table S3.

4.6 Adherence to epithelial cell experiment

Overnight bacteria were subcultured in DMEM (containing 10% FBS) medium at 37°C until $OD_{600} \approx 0.6$ -0.8. HeLa cells were washed with PBS three times before infection. The cell culture medium was replaced with fresh DMEM without antibiotics or FBS. Then, the cells were infected with bacteria cultured in DMEM with MOI of 100:1. After incubation with HeLa cells for 3 hours (Duan et al., 2013), unattached bacteria were removed by washing with PBS 6 times. Then, HeLa cells were lysed with 0.1% SDS (H₂O as solvent). The lysate was spread on an LB agar plate to count the number of surviving adherent bacteria. In order to determine the number of intracellular bacteria, fresh cell culture medium containing 100 g/mL gentamicin (Sigma) was added for 1 hour to kill extracellular bacteria. Cells were then lysed with 1% Triton X-100, and bacteria were quantified as described above.

4.7 Motility assays

Bacteria were cultured overnight in LB liquid medium at 37°C and 180 rpm, and 2 μ L of culture was inoculated on 0.3% LB agar plate. The plate was cultured at 37°C for 9 h, and the motility was quantitatively evaluated by examining the circular swimming movement of the growing active bacterial cells.

4.8 Confocal observation

After infection with bacteria containing mCherry plasmid, cells were washed with PBS to eliminate non-adsorbed bacteria or

extracellular bacteria, and fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. Subsequently, the cells were washed with PBS, washed with PBS, and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 20 min. After washing with PBS, the slides were incubated with PBS-0.2% gelatin twice for 10 min each time. Then, the monolayer was washed with PBS and distilled water, and the stationary solution was fixed on the glass slide. The coverslips were mounted on slides and cell images were acquired using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM800) and analyzed with ZEN 2.3 (blue edition) and format design by Adobe Illustrator CC 2018 softwares. Data is based on the clearest results preserved from five fields of three slides.

4.9 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prism software (v9.3.0; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The mean \pm SD from three independent experiments is shown in the figures. Differences between two mean values were evaluated using a two-tailed Student's *t*-test. Statistical significance was set at *P* < 0.05.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: NCBI, BioProject PRJNA982418.

Author contributions

TY: Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YH: Validation, Writing – review & editing. ZH: Validation, Writing – review & editing. XML: Validation, Writing – review & editing. XWL: Validation, Writing – review & editing. YL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HS: Software, Writing – review & editing. YP: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) Program (grant no. 32100144) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant no. 2020M670623).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Xi Guo from Nankai University for the materials of used in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Audic, S., and Claverie, J. M. (1997). The significance of digital gene expression profiles. *Genome Res.* 7, 986–995. doi: 10.1101/gr.7.10.986

Baumgart, M., Dogan, B., Rishniw, M., Weitzman, G., Bosworth, B., Yantiss, R., et al. (2007). Culture independent analysis of ileal mucosa reveals a selective increase in invasive *Escherichia coli* of novel phylogeny relative to depletion of *Clostridiales* in Crohn's disease involving the ileum. *ISME J.* 1, 403–418. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2007.52

Borah, K., Beyß, M., Theorell, A., Wu, H., Basu, P., Mendum, T. A., et al. (2019). Intracellular Mycobacterium tuberculosis Exploits Multiple Host Nitrogen Sources during Growth in Human Macrophages. *Cell Rep.* 29, 3580–3591.e4. doi: 10.1016/ j.celrep.2019.11.037

Bringer, M.-A., Barnich, N., Glasser, A.-L., Bardot, O., and Darfeuille-Michaud, A. (2005). HtrA stress protein is involved in intramacrophagic replication of adherent and invasive *Escherichia coli* strain LF82 isolated from a patient with Crohn's disease. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 712–721. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.2.712-721.2005

Bringer, M.-A., Billard, E., Glasser, A.-L., Colombel, J.-F., and Darfeuille-Michaud, A. (2012). Replication of Crohn's disease-associated AIEC within macrophages is dependent on TNF-α secretion. *Lab. Invest.* 92, 411–419. doi: 10.1038/labinvest.2011.156

Bringer, M.-A., Glasser, A.-L., Tung, C.-H., Meresse, S., and Darfeuille-Michaud, A. (2006). The Crohn's disease-associated adherent-invasive *Escherichia coli* strain LF82 replicates in mature phagolysosomes within J774 macrophages. *Cell Microbiol.* 8, 471–484. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00639.x

Bringer, M.-A., Rolhion, N., Glasser, A.-L., and Darfeuille-Michaud, A. (2007). The oxidoreductase DsbA plays a key role in the ability of the Crohn's disease-associated adherent-invasive Escherichia coli strain LF82 to resist macrophage killing. *J. Bacteriol.* 189 (13), 4860–4871. doi: 10.1128/JB.00233-07

Buisson, A., Douadi, C., Ouchchane, L., Goutte, M., Hugot, J.-P., Dubois, A., et al. (2019). Macrophages inability to mediate adherent-invasive *E. coli* replication is linked to autophagy in Crohn's disease patients. *Cells* 8 (11), 1394. doi: 10.3390/cells8111394

Bullard, J. H., Purdom, E., Hansen, K. D., and Dudoit, S. (2010). Evaluation of statistical methods for normalization and differential expression in mRNA-Seq experiments. *BMC Bioinf.* 11, 94. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-94

Carter, M. Q., Louie, J. W., Fagerquist, C. K., Sultan, O., Miller, W. G., and Mandrell, R. E. (2012). Evolutionary silence of the acid chaperone protein HdeB in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 1004–1014. doi: 10.1128/AEM.07033-11

Chilcott, G. S., and Hughes, K. T. (2000). Coupling of Flagellar Gene Expression to Flagellar Assembly in *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium and *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 64, 694–708. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.64.4.694-708.2000

Clegg, S. J., Jia, W., and Cole, J. A. (2006). Role of the *Escherichia coli* nitrate transport protein, NarU, in survival during severe nutrient starvation and slow growth. *Microbiology* 152, 2091–2100. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.28688-0

Clegg, S., Yu, F., Griffiths, L., and Cole, J. A. (2002). The roles of the polytopic membrane proteins NarK, NarU and NirC in *Escherichia coli* K-12: two nitrate and three nitrite transporters. *Mol. Microbiol.* 44, 143–155. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.02858.x

Colin, R., Ni, B., Laganenka, L., and Sourjik, V. (2021). Multiple functions of flagellar motility and chemotaxis in bacterial physiology. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 45, fuab038. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuab038

Darfeuille-Michaud, A., Boudeau, J., Bulois, P., Neut, C., Glasser, A.-L., Barnich, N., et al. (2004). High prevalence of adherent-invasive *Escherichia coli* associated with ileal mucosa in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 127, 412–421. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2004.04.061

Duan, Q., Zhou, M., Zhu, L., and Zhu, G. (2013). Flagella and bacterial pathogenicity. J. Basic Microbiol. 53, 1-8. doi: 10.1002/jobm.201100335 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1255083/ full#supplementary-material

Durand, S., and Guillier, M. (2021). Transcriptional and post-transcriptional control of the nitrate respiration in bacteria. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.667758

Fan, Y., Sun, H., Yang, W., Bai, J., Liu, P., Huang, M., et al. (2022). YbdO promotes the pathogenicity of *Escherichia coli* K1 by regulating capsule synthesis. *IJMS* 23, 5543. doi: 10.3390/ijms23105543

Feldman, M., Friedman, L. S., and Brandt, L. J. (2020). Sleisenger and fordtran's gastrointestinal and liver disease: pathophysiology, diagnosis, management. 11th ed (Philadelphia: Elsevier).

Fontaine, F., Stewart, E. J., Lindner, A. B., and Taddei, F. (2008). Mutations in two global regulators lower individual mortality in *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 67, 2–14. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05988.x

Frank, D. N., St Amand, A. L., Feldman, R. A., Boedeker, E. C., Harpaz, N., and Pace, N. R. (2007). Molecular-phylogenetic characterization of microbial community imbalances in human inflammatory bowel diseases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 13780–13785. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706625104

Frye, J., Karlinsey, J. E., Felise, H. R., Marzolf, B., Dowidar, N., McClelland, M., et al. (2006). Identification of new flagellar genes of *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 2233–2243. doi: 10.1128/JB.188.6.2233-2243.2006

Iyengar, R., Stuehr, D. J., and Marletta, ,. M. A. (1987). Macrophage synthesis of nitrite, nitrate, and N-nitrosamines: precursors and role of the respiratory burst. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 84, 6369–6373. doi: 10.1073/pnas.84.18.6369

Jia, W., and Cole, J. A. (2005). Nitrate and nitrite transport in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 33, 159–161. doi: 10.1042/bst0330159

Kalir, S., McClure, J., Pabbaraju, K., Southward, C., Ronen, M., Leibler, S., et al. (2001). Ordering genes in a flagella pathway by analysis of expression kinetics from living bacteria. *Science* 292, 2080–2083. doi: 10.1126/science.1058758

Kaplan, G. G. (2015). The global burden of IBD: from 2015 to 2025. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 12, 720–727. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2015.150

Kern, R., Malki, A., Abdallah, J., Tagourti, J., and Richarme, G. (2007). Escherichia coli hdeB is an acid stress chaperone. *J. Bacteriol.* 189, 603–610. doi: 10.1128/JB.01522-06

Khan, F., Tabassum, N., Pham, D. T. N., Oloketuyi, S. F., and Kim, Y.-M. (2020). Molecules involved in motility regulation in *Escherichia coli* cells: a review. *Biofouling* 36, 889–908. doi: 10.1080/08927014.2020.1826939

Knights, D., Lassen, K. G., and Xavier, R. J. (2013). Advances in inflammatory bowel disease pathogenesis: linking host genetics and the microbiome. *Gut* 62, 1505–1510. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303954

Kofla-Dłubacz, A., Pytrus, T., Akutko, K., Sputa-Grzegrzółka, P., Piotrowska, A., and Dzięgiel, P. (2022). Etiology of IBD—Is it still a mystery? *IJMS* 23, 12445. doi: 10.3390/ ijms232012445

Kutsukake, K., Ohya, Y., and Iino, T. (1990). Transcriptional analysis of the flagellar regulon of *Salmonella* typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* 172, 741–747. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.2.741-747.1990

Laass, M. W., Roggenbuck, D., and Conrad, K. (2014). Diagnosis and classification of Crohn's disease. Autoimmun. Rev. 13, 467–471. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2014.01.029

Lapaquette, P., Bringer, M.-A., and Darfeuille-Michaud, A. (2012). Defects in autophagy favour adherent-invasive *Escherichia coli* persistence within macrophages leading to increased pro-inflammatory response: Autophagy controls AIEC replication within macrophages. *Cell. Microbiol.* 14, 791–807. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2012.01768.x

Li, W., Li, L., Yan, X., Wu, P., Zhang, T., Fan, Y., et al. (2022). Nitrate utilization promotes systemic infection of *Salmonella* typhimurium in mice. *IJMS* 23, 7220. doi: 10.3390/ijms23137220

Liu, S., Guo, C., Lin, W., Wu, F., Lu, G., Lu, J., et al. (2017). Comparative transcriptomic evidence for Tween80-enhanced biodegradation of phenanthrene by Sphingomonas sp. GY2B. *Sci. Total Environ.* 609, 1161–1171. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.245

Liu, Y., Li, S., Li, W., Wang, P., Ding, P., Li, L., et al. (2019). RstA, a two-component response regulator, plays important roles in multiple virulence-associated processes in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Gut Pathog.* 11, 53. doi: 10.1186/s13099-019-0335-4

Liu, Y., Liu, B., Xu, T., Wang, Q., Li, W., Wu, J., et al. (2021). A fructose/H+ symporter controlled by a LacI-type regulator promotes survival of pandemic *Vibrio cholerae* in seawater. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4649. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-24971-3

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta CT$ method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Ma, S., Jiang, L., Wang, J., Liu, X., Li, W., Ma, S., et al. (2021). Downregulation of a novel flagellar synthesis regulator AsiR promotes intracellular replication and systemic pathogenicity of *Salmonella* typhimurium. *Virulence* 12, 298–311. doi: 10.1080/21505594.2020.1870331

Martin, H. M., Campbell, B. J., Hart, C. A., Mpofu, C., Nayar, M., Singh, R., et al. (2004). Enhanced *Escherichia coli* adherence and invasion in Crohn's disease and colon cancer. *Gastroenterology* 127, 80–93. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2004.03.054

Mates, A. K., Sayed, A. K., and Foster, J. W. (2007). Products of the *Escherichia coli* Acid Fitness Island Attenuate Metabolite Stress at Extremely Low pH and Mediate a Cell Density-Dependent Acid Resistance. *J. Bacteriol.* 189, 2759–2768. doi: 10.1128/JB.01490-06

Noriega, C. E., Lin, H.-Y., Chen, L.-L., Williams, S. B., and Stewart, V. (2010). Asymmetric cross-regulation between the nitrate-responsive NarX-NarL and NarQ-NarP two-component regulatory systems from *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Mol. Microbiol.* 75, 394–412. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06987.x

Osterman, I. A., Dikhtyar, Y. Y., Bogdanov, A. A., Dontsova, O. A., and Sergiev, P. V. (2015). Regulation of flagellar gene expression in Bacteria. *Biochem. Moscow* 80, 1447–1456. doi: 10.1134/S000629791511005X

Prudent, V., Demarre, G., Vazeille, E., Wery, M., Quenech'Du, N., Ravet, A., et al. (2021). The Crohn's disease-related bacterial strain LF82 assembles biofilm-like communities to protect itself from phagolysosomal attack. *Commun. Biol.* 4, 627. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02161-7

Ramos-Morales, F. (2012). Acidic pH: enemy or ally for enteric bacteria? *Virulence* 3, 103–106. doi: 10.4161/viru.19382

Rooks, M. G., Veiga, P., Wardwell-Scott, L. H., Tickle, T., Segata, N., Michaud, M., et al. (2014). Gut microbiome composition and function in experimental colitis during active disease and treatment-induced remission. *ISME J.* 8, 1403–1417. doi: 10.1038/ ismei.2014.3

Stevanin, T. M., Poole, R. K., Demoncheaux, E. A. G., and Read, R. C. (2002). Flavohemoglobin hmp protects *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium from nitric oxide-related killing by human macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* 70, 4399–4405. doi: 10.1128/IAI.70.8.4399-4405.2002 Stuehr, D. J., and Marletta, M. A. (1985). Mammalian nitrate biosynthesis: mouse macrophages produce nitrite and nitrate in response to *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 82, 7738–7742. doi: 10.1073/pnas.82.22.7738

Subramanian, S., and Kearns, D. B. (2019). Functional regulators of bacterial flagella. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 73, 225–246. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-020518-115725

Sun, H., Wang, M., Liu, Y., Wu, P., Yao, T., Yang, W., et al. (2022). Regulation of flagellar motility and biosynthesis in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Gut Microbes* 14, 2110822. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2022.2110822

Tan, J., Zhang, X., Wang, X., Xu, C., Chang, S., Wu, H., et al. (2021). Structural basis of assembly and torque transmission of the bacterial flagellar motor. *Cell* 184, 2665–2679.e19. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.057

Tasara, T., and Stephan, R. (2007). Evaluation of housekeeping genes in Listeria monocytogenes as potential internal control references for normalizing mRNA expression levels in stress adaptation models using real-time PCR: Evaluation of house keeping genes in Listeria monocytogenes. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 269, 265–272. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00633.x

Thormann, K. M., Beta, C., and Kühn, M. J. (2022). Wrapped up: the motility of polarly flagellated bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 76, 349–367. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-041122-101032

Vazeille, E., Buisson, A., Bringer, M.-A., Guotte, M., Ouchchane, L., Hugot, J.-P., et al. (2015). Monocyte-derived macrophages from Crohn's disease patients are impaired in the ability to control intracellular adherent-invasive *Escherichia coli* and exhibit disordered cytokine secretion profile. *J. Crohn's colitis* 9 (5), 410–420. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjv053

Vich Vila, A., Imhann, F., Collij, V., Jankipersadsing, S. A., Gurry, T., Mujagic, Z., et al. (2018). Gut microbiota composition and functional changes in inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 10, eaap8914. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aap8914

Vindigni, S. M., Zisman, T. L., Suskind, D. L., and Damman, C. J. (2016). The intestinal microbiome, barrier function, and immune system in inflammatory bowel disease: a tripartite pathophysiological circuit with implications for new therapeutic directions. *Therap. Adv. Gastroenterol.* 9, 606–625. doi: 10.1177/1756283X16644242

Wang, W., Yue, Y., Zhang, M., Song, N., Jia, H., Dai, Y., et al. (2022). Host acid signal controls *Salmonella* flagella biogenesis through CadC-YdiV axis. *Gut Microbes* 14, 2146979. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2022.2146979

Wark, G., Samocha-Bonet, D., Ghaly, S., and Danta, M. (2021). The role of diet in the pathogenesis and management of inflammatory bowel disease: A review. *Nutrients* 13, 135. doi: 10.3390/nu13010135

Yang, X., Thornburg, T., Suo, Z., Jun, S., Robison, A., Li, J., et al. (2012). Flagella overexpression attenuates *Salmonella* pathogenesis. *PloS One* 7, e46828. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046828

Zhu, S., and Gao, B. (2020). Bacterial flagella loss under starvation. *Trends Microbiol.* 28, 785–788. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2020.05.002