Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wei Ye, Air Force Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY

Xianghong Xue, Institute of Special Animal and Plant Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China Jussi Hepojoki, University of Helsinki, Finland Zekun Wang, Henan University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Shinji Makino Shmakino@utmb.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Virus and Host, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

RECEIVED 27 December 2022 ACCEPTED 06 February 2023 PUBLISHED 16 February 2023

CITATION

Tercero B, Terasaki K, Narayanan K and Makino S (2023) Mechanistic insight into the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into Rift Valley fever virus particles. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1132757. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1132757

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Tercero, Terasaki, Narayanan and Makino. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Mechanistic insight into the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into Rift Valley fever virus particles

Breanna Tercero¹, Kaori Terasaki^{1,2}, Krishna Narayanan¹ and Shinji Makino^{1,2,3,4,5}*

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, United States, ²Institute for Human Infections and Immunity, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, United States, ³Center for Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, United States, ⁴UTMB Center for Tropical Diseases, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, United States, ⁵The Sealy Institute for Vaccine Sciences, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, United States

Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), a bunyavirus, has a single-stranded, negative-sense tri-segmented RNA genome, consisting of L, M and S RNAs. An infectious virion carries two envelope glycoproteins, Gn and Gc, along with ribonucleoprotein complexes composed of encapsidated viral RNA segments. The antigenomic S RNA, which serves as the template of the mRNA encoding a nonstructural protein, NSs, an interferon antagonist, is also efficiently packaged into RVFV particles. An interaction between Gn and viral ribonucleoprotein complexes, including the direct binding of Gn to viral RNAs, drives viral RNA packaging into RVFV particles. To understand the mechanism of efficient antigenomic S RNA packaging in RVFV, we identified the regions in viral RNAs that directly interact with Gn by performing UV-crosslinking and immunoprecipitation of RVFVinfected cell lysates with anti-Gn antibody followed by high-throughput sequencing analysis (CLIP-seq analysis). Our data suggested the presence of multiple Gn-binding sites in RVFV RNAs, including a prominent Gn-binding site within the 3' noncoding region of the antigenomic S RNA. We found that the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA was abrogated in a RVFV mutant lacking a part of this prominent Gn-binding site within the 3' noncoding region. Also, the mutant RVFV, but not the parental RVFV, triggered the early induction of interferon- β mRNA expression after infection. These data suggest that the direct binding of Gn to the RNA element within the 3' noncoding region of the antigenomic S RNA promoted the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into virions. Furthermore, the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into RVFV particles, driven by the RNA element, facilitated the synthesis of viral mRNA encoding NSs immediately after infection, resulting in the suppression of interferon- β mRNA expression.

KEYWORDS

Rift Valley fever virus, viral RNA packaging, CLIP-Seq analysis, bunyavirus, viral RNAprotein interaction, suppression of innate immune response

Introduction

Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) is transmitted by mosquitoes and is endemic in sub-Saharan Africa and several countries in the Middle East Asia. Human infection occurs from the bite of infected mosquitos or from direct transmission of the virus from infected animal tissues or blood. Human disease manifestations include transient incapacitating febrile illness (Francis and Magill, 1935; Sabin and Blumberg, 1947), encephalitis (Laughlin et al., 1978; Alrajhi et al., 2004), vision loss (Siam and Meegan, 1980; Siam et al., 1980; Deutman and Klomp, 1981; Al-Hazmi et al., 2005) and hemorrhagic fever (Abdel-Wahab et al., 1978; Yassin, 1978; Peters and Meegan, 1989; Peters and LeDuc, 1999; Balkhy and Memish, 2003). RVFV has the potential to spread to any area of the world (Peters and Meegan, 1989; Peters and LeDuc, 1999; Balkhy and Memish, 2003; Andriamandimby et al., 2010; Nguku et al., 2010; Hassan et al., 2011; Aradaib et al., 2013; Himeidan et al., 2014; Baba et al., 2016), including North America, by naturally occurring mosquito populations (Gargan et al., 1988). RVFV has also been considered a potentially exploitable agent for bioterrorism (Peters and Meegan, 1989; Peters and LeDuc, 1999).

RVFV belongs to genus *Phlebovirus*, family *Phenuiviridae*, order *Bunyavirales*, and is an enveloped, negative-sense RNA virus that has a tri-segmented RNA genome, consisting of L, M and S RNAs (Figure 1). The infectious virion consists of two envelope glycoproteins, Gn and Gc, and the three viral RNA segments (L, M, S) packaged in the form of ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNPs). The RNPs have a string-like appearance (Raymond et al., 2010), and are composed of L, M and S RNAs encapsidated by the nucleocapsid (N) protein and the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L) protein. L RNA encodes L protein, and M RNA encodes Gn and Gc proteins

along with two accessory proteins, NSm and the 78-kDa protein (Terasaki et al., 2013; Terasaki et al., 2021). The S RNA uses an ambisense coding strategy for expression of N protein and nonstructural protein, NSs. N mRNA is transcribed from the genomic S RNA and translated to produce N protein, while NSs mRNA is transcribed from the antigenomic S RNA (complement sequence of genomic S RNA) and translated to produce NSs protein (Schmaljohn and Nichol, 2007). Both N and L proteins are essential for viral RNA synthesis (Dunn et al., 1995; Lopez et al., 1995; Blakqori et al., 2003; Ikegami et al., 2005a), which occurs in the cytoplasm. Virus assembly and budding occurs at the Golgi apparatus (Schmaljohn and Nichol, 2007).

The RVFV antigenomic RNAs carry the noncoding regions (NCRs) at their termini and the genomic and antigenomic S RNA carry an intergenic region between the N and NSs genes (Figure 1). The length of the 5'-end NCRs of the three antigenomic RNAs is short, ranging from 18 to 38 nucleotides (nt), whereas the length of the 3'-end NCRs of antigenomic RNAs are 110 nt, 271 nt and 34 nt for L RNA, M RNA and S RNA, respectively. The 3'-end NCRs of the antigenomic L and M RNAs include transcription termination sites, while the intergenic sites of the genomic S RNA and antigenomic S RNA carry the transcription termination sites of N mRNA and NSs mRNA, respectively (Ikegami et al., 2007) (Figure 1). Experiments using RVFV minigenomes showed that the presence of the 5' NCR and the 3' terminal 15 nt region of NCR of each antigenomic RNA segment is sufficient for minigenome RNA replication and their cognate genomic RNA packaging into virus-like particles (Murakami et al., 2012). Hereafter, we will refer to the 5' NCR and the 3' terminal 15 nt region of NCR of each antigenomic RNA and the corresponding regions of each genomic RNA as the minimal NCRs.



FIGURE 1

RVFV genome organization. RVFV RNA genome consisting of L, M, and S RNA segments. Genomic segments are negative sense and serve as templates for the generation of complementary antigenomic RNAs. The sizes of RVFV RNA segments are: L (6404 nt), M (3885 nt), S (1690 nt). The genomic strands of L and M segments are used to transcribe the L and M mRNAs, respectively. L mRNA encodes L protein and the M mRNA encodes the Gn/Gc glycoproteins, NSm, and 78kDa protein. The S segment utilizes an ambi-sense coding strategy wherein the N mRNA is transcribed from the antigenomic RNA. N mRNA encodes for N protein and NSs mRNA encodes for the nonstructural protein, NSs. IGR, intergenic region.

10.3389/fcimb.2023.1132757

One of the fundamental and essential steps in a viral life cycle is the packaging of viral genome into virus particles for dissemination to new cells, organs and hosts. Many enveloped RNA viruses encode a matrix protein, which serves as a bridge between viral RNPs and viral envelope protein. However, all viruses in the Bunyavirales order and some members in the Arenaviridae family do not encode a matrix protein. It is hypothesized that the cytoplasmic tail of Gn functions as a matrix protein surrogate through its interaction with the viral RNPs and that this interaction drives the packaging of viral RNAs into those bunyaviruses lacking a matrix protein (Sherman et al., 2009; Piper et al., 2011; Strandin et al., 2013; Carnec et al., 2014; Spiegel et al., 2016; Guardado-Calvo and Rey, 2017). Studies using Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever orthonairovirus and hantavirus have shown the presence of a direct interaction between the Gn cytoplasmic tail and viral RNAs using an in-vitro assay (Estrada and De Guzman, 2011; Strandin et al., 2011). Also, for RVFV, it has been suggested that Gn protein directly interacts with viral RNAs (Piper et al., 2011; Carnec et al., 2014). Consistent with these studies and the hypothesis, our experiments using RVFV showed that: Gn binds to viral RNPs in infected cells (Tercero et al., 2021); Gn binds to all the components of viral RNPs, including L protein, N protein and viral RNA in infected cells (Tercero et al., 2021); and a positive correlation exists between a direct interaction of Gn with viral RNAs and the efficiency of RNA packaging into virus particles (Tercero et al., 2021). These studies established the importance of Gn-viral RNP interaction for RVFV RNA packaging, but the mechanism by which this interaction is initiated and established is unknown.

Infectious RVFV particles carry genomic L, M and S RNAs, and their antigenomic RNA counterparts are also packaged into RVFV particles (Ikegami et al., 2005b). Our past studies demonstrated that Gn binds to antigenomic S RNA substantially more efficiently than to antigenomic L and M RNAs, and antigenomic S RNA is efficiently packaged into viral particles (Tercero et al., 2021). The mechanism of the efficient interaction of Gn with antigenomic S RNA, among the antigenomic RNAs, is unknown. As the incoming RVFV RNPs trigger retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)mediated innate immune signaling (Weber et al., 2013), the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA, which facilitates the expression of NSs, an interferon (IFN) antagonist (Le May et al., 2004; Le May et al., 2008; Habjan et al., 2009; Ikegami et al., 2009; Kalveram et al., 2011; Kainulainen et al., 2014; Wuerth and Weber, 2016), immediately after infection (Ikegami et al., 2005b), would provide a functional advantage for establishing robust RVFV infection in IFN-competent mammalian hosts.

The present study investigated the mechanism of viral RNA packaging in RVFV by identifying the regions in viral RNAs that directly interact with Gn. Our data revealed the presence of multiple Gn-binding sites in the genomic and antigenomic viral RNAs. However, the minimal NCRs of the genomic RNAs lacked prominent Gn-binding sites. In contrast, the 3' NCR of the antigenomic S RNA carried a prominent Gn-binding site. Analysis of a mutant RVFV lacking a part of this prominent Gn-binding site demonstrated the importance of this Gn-binding site for the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA. Also, this mutant virus, but not the parental RVFV, induced the early expression of IFN- β mRNA after infection. These data suggested that the direct binding of Gn to an RNA element in the 3' NCR of the antigenomic S RNA promoted the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into virions, which facilitated the synthesis of NSs mRNA immediately after infection, resulting in the suppression of IFN- β induction.

Materials and methods

Cells and viruses

Vero E6 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium, supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Huh7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% kanamycin (Nakabayashi et al., 1982). BSRT7/5 cells, which stably express T7 RNA polymerase, were cultured in Glasgow minimum essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, 10% tryptose phosphate broth (TPB), 1X MEM amino acid solution, and 1 mg/mL geneticin (Buchholz et al., 1999). MRC-5 cells were maintained in Eagle's MEM containing 10% FBS, MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids Solution, and 1% sodium pyruvate.

All viruses used in the study were derived from MP-12 stain of RVFV and generated by an established reverse genetics system (Ikegami et al., 2006). RVFV-rLuc carries the *Renilla* luciferase (rLuc) open reading frame (ORF) in place of the NSs ORF in the S segment. The rescued viruses were amplified once in Vero E6 cells, titrated by plaque assay, and used for virus infections. For virus infection, cells were inoculated with virus for 1 h at 37°C and then washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) before fresh medium was added.

Rescue and amplification of RVFV Δ 19

A recombinant PCR-based method was employed to generate the pProT7-S Δ 19 construct, which expresses S Δ 19 RNA carrying a 19nt deletion between nucleotide positions 1656 and 1675 in the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA, by using the T7-driven plasmid pProT7-S encoding the antigenomic S RNA as a template. Sequencing of the S Δ 19 plasmid construct confirmed the absence of unwanted mutations. The reverse genetics system to rescue RVFV S Δ 19 was described as previously (Ikegami et al., 2006). Briefly, BSRT7/5 cells were co-transfected with T7-driven plasmids encoding L and N proteins, a pCAGGS-G plasmid encoding Gn/Gc proteins, and T7-driven viral RNA expression plasmids encoding L RNA, M RNA, and S A19 RNA. Culture supernatants from the transfected cells were collected at 5 days post-transfection (P0 samples) and inoculated into Vero E6 cells for amplification (P1 samples) and collected at 3 days post-inoculation (p.i.). RVFV $\Delta 19$ P1 samples were used for these studies and the 19 nt deletion was confirmed by sequencing of viral genomes obtained from P1 samples.

Plaque assay

Plaque morphology of RVFV and RVFV $\Delta 19$ were determined in VeroE6 cells by plaque assay analysis used previously (Ikegami et al., 2006). Briefly, VeroE6 cells were inoculated with virus for 1 h at 37°C. After virus absorption, inoculum was removed and cells were overlaid with 1X modified eagle medium containing 0.6% Noble agar, 5% FBS, and 5% TPB. After 3 days of incubation, cells were stained with neutral red for 16 h at room temperature and plaques were visualized.

Analysis of viral growth

Huh7 cells were infected with RVFV or RVFV $\Delta 19$ at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1.0 or 0.01 at 37°C for 1 h. After virus absorption, cells were washed three times with PBS and growth medium was added. For the growth curve at an MOI of 1.0, culture supernatants were harvested at 0 h, 8 h, 12 h, 16 h, and 20 h p.i. For the growth curve at an MOI of 0.01, culture supernatants were harvested every day up to 4 days p.i. Virus titers were measured by plaque assay. The points in the growth curves are shown as mean +/- standard deviation from three independent experiments.

Statistical analysis

Student's t-test was used for growth curve analysis of RVFV and RVFV Δ 19. Significant difference was defined as p < 0.05 (GraphPad Prism 9).

Purification of virus particles

Culture supernatants harvested from virus-infected cells were purified as described previously (Terasaki et al., 2011). Briefly, supernatants were clarified by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 15 min. The clarified supernatant was layered on top of a discontinuous sucrose gradient and centrifuged for 3 h at 26,000 rpm at 4°C. The interface between 30 and 50% sucrose was collected and subjected to a second discontinuous sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation for 18 h at 26,000 rpm at 4°C. Virus was pelleted through a 20% sucrose cushion at 38,000 rpm for 2 h at 4°C. The pellets were suspended in Trizol reagent for RNA analysis.

RNAse digestion

Huh7 cells were inoculated with RVFV at an MOI 3. After 8 h p.i., cells were mock-irradiated or irradiated with 254-nmwavelength UV light at 250 mJ/cm², followed by lysis of the UVcrosslinked cells using a high stringency SDS lysis buffer (0.5% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]) and heating of the lysate to 65°C for 5 min. Radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) correction buffer (1.25% NP-40, 0.625% sodium deoxycholate, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 2.25 mM EDTA, 187.5 mM NaCl) was then added to the lysates. Samples were passed through a QIAshredder spin column (Qiagen) twice to reduce viscosity. Lysates were then subjected to RNAse digestion using 30 µg RNAse A and 325 units of RNAse T1 for 3 h at 37°C. Total RNA was extracted with Trizol following the manufacturer's instructions.

CLIP-seq analysis

The protocol used by Sei and Conrad (2014) for the CLIP procedure was followed, with some modifications described previously (Tercero et al., 2021). RVFV-infected cells were irradiated with 254-nm-wavelength UV light at 250 mJ/cm², followed by lysis of the UV-crosslinked cells using a highstringency SDS lysis buffer (0.5% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) and heating of the lysate to 65°C for 5 min. The high stringency lysis buffer condition disrupts the interaction of Gn with N and L proteins, thereby eliminating the possibility of indirect co-immunoprecipitation of viral RNAs with Gn protein through its interaction with N protein and/or L protein (Tercero et al., 2021). Subsequently, RIPA correction buffer was added to the lysates. To reduce viscosity, samples were passed through a QIAshredder spin column twice. Lysates were then subjected to RNAse digestion for 30 min at room temperature using an RNAse A/T1 mixture containing 30 µg RNAse A and 325 units of RNAse T1.

For immunoprecipitation, lysates were precleared using Dynabeads protein G, conjugated with unrelated mouse anti-H2K antibody (Tercero et al., 2021), for 15 min at 4°C with rotation. Subsequently, the precleared lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation analysis using Dynabeads protein G, conjugated with mouse monoclonal anti-Gn antibody (R1-4D4) (Tercero et al., 2021) or anti-H2K antibody for 16 h at 4°C. The immunoprecipitated samples were washed 5 times with RIPA buffer containing a higher concentration of NaCl (high-salt RIPA buffer; 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 500 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 2 mM EDTA). Subsequently, the samples were resuspended in a high-salt RIPA buffer. For RNA extraction from intracellular and immunoprecipitated lysates, samples were resuspended in proteinase K solution (0.5 mg/ml of proteinase K, 0.5% SDS, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 5 mM EDTA) and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. After proteinase K digestion, 3 M sodium acetate (NaOAc, pH 5.2) was added to the samples and RNA was extracted from the samples by phenol-chloroform/ ethanol precipitation. The resulting RNA pellets were resuspended in sterile DNAse/RNAse-free H2O.

For preparation of RNA for CLIP-seq cDNA library construction, total RNA and immunoprecipitated RNA were subjected to dephosphorylation using 2 units of shrimp alkaline phosphatase for 30 min at 37°C and then 10 min at 65°C. After dephosphorylation, RNAs were phosphorylated using 10 units of T4 polynucleotide kinase for 30 min at 37°C and then 20 min at 65°

C. After phosphorylation, RNAs were purified using miRNeasy Kit with RNeasy MiniElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen) to obtain fragmented RNAs smaller than 200 nt. RNA was transferred to the Next Generation Sequencing Core facility at The University of Texas Medical Branch for adapter ligation and cDNA library construction. Library was sequenced using the NextSeq 550 Illumina system and bioinformatics analysis was performed by the Next Generation Sequencing Core facility. Reads were mapped to RVFV MP-12 genome (GenBank: DQ375404.1, DQ380208.1, and DQ380154.1) and visualized using Integrated Genome Viewer. Enriched regions (peaks) in the immunoprecipitated samples were normalized to enriched reads mapped to RVFV-infected total RNA.

Strand-specific RT-qPCR

Reverse transcription (RT) of viral RNAs was performed using a strand-specific assay as described previously (Tercero et al., 2019). Briefly, cDNA synthesis of viral RNAs was performed by using the SuperScript III first-strand synthesis system (Invitrogen). Viral RNA was mixed with a 2 µM concentration of a strand-specific RT primer. To detect the antigenomic S RNA of RVFV Δ 19, we used a strand-specific tagged RT primer that binds upstream of the deletion region. The mixture was incubated at 65°C for 5 min and then cooled to 4°C. After addition of the reaction buffer and enzyme mixture, cDNA synthesis was carried out by incubating the sample at 50°C for 55 min, with termination by heating at 85°C for 5 min, cooling to 4°C, and then treatment with RNAse H at 37°C for 20 min. The cDNAs were purified using an on-column PCR purification kit (Qiagen). The strand-specific real-time qPCR assays were conducted using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and followed the established protocol as described previously (Tercero et al., 2019). Purified cDNA was added to the PCR master mix containing the nonviral tagged sequence as a forward primer and a viral strand-specific reverse primer. Thermocycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 30 sec, 35 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 20 s, followed by meltingcurve analysis. The assays were performed by using the CFX96 Touch real-time PCR detection system and analyzed using the provided software (Bio-Rad CFX Maestro).

Northern blot analysis

Total RNAs were extracted from virus-infected cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The same amounts of total RNAs were subjected to Northern blot analysis using digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled RNA probes that specifically bind to the genomic L, M, S segments, and NSs mRNA (Ikegami et al., 2005b). A DIG-labeled IFN- β riboprobe was used for IFN- β mRNA detection (Narayanan et al., 2008). The RNAs were visualized using the DIG luminescent detection kit (Roche Applied Science), and images were analyzed using AlphaEaseFC software.

Western blot analysis

Intracellular lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis using a 4 to 20% gel and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Bio-Rad). For the detection of Gn, N and NSs proteins, mouse monoclonal anti-Gn antibody (R1-4D4), at a 1:3,000 dilution, rabbit polyclonal GST-N peptide antibody (R1-P1-GST-N) (Won et al., 2006), at a 1:3,000 dilution, and rabbit polyclonal NSs peptide antibody, at a 1:1,000 dilution (Ikegami et al., 2005b), were used as primary antibodies. A goat anti-mouse IgG HRP-linked antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), at a 1:10,000 dilution and goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), at a 1:10,000 dilution, were used as secondary antibodies, respectively. Chemiluminescence signals were detected with an ECL2 Western blotting kit (Thermo Scientific Pierce). Images were scanned, cropped, and assembled using AlphaEaseFC software.

Results

Identification of Gn-binding sites in the viral RNAs in RVFV-infected cells

Previously, we reported the presence of a direct interaction between the envelope glycoprotein, Gn, and RVFV RNAs (Tercero et al., 2021). Furthermore, we observed a positive correlation between the ability of Gn to bind viral RNAs and the packaging ability of RVFV RNA segments into virus particles (Tercero et al., 2021). To gain an insight into the mechanism of RNA packaging in RVFV, we sought to further characterize this interaction by identifying regions in viral RNAs that directly interact with Gn using CLIP-seq analysis. This analysis requires the fragmentation of viral RNAs in RVFV-infected cells by partial RNAse digestion to generate fragments with sizes ideal for subsequent high-throughput sequencing. However, a past study suggested that RVFV RNPs are resistant to RNAse treatment (Raymond et al., 2010), which would impact the feasibility of conducting CLIP-seq analysis. To determine the susceptibility of RVFV RNAs in RNP complexes to RNAse-digestion, we treated the lysate of RVFV-infected cells with a mixture of RNAse A/T1, extracted RNAs after the RNAse treatment, and analyzed the integrity of the genomic RNAs by Northern blot analysis. We detected a smear of small-sized viral RNA signals, but not full-length genomic RNAs, in the RNAsetreated samples (Figure 2), demonstrating the susceptibility of viral RNAs, including those in the viral RNPs, to RNAse treatment.

We performed CLIP-seq analysis using two independent samples. Briefly, the UV-irradiated and RNAse-treated extracts from RVFV-infected cells were subjected to coimmunoprecipitation analysis using an unrelated anti-H2K antibody (Tercero et al., 2021) (control group) or anti-RVFV Gn antibody (experimental group). Fragmented total RNAs and coimmunoprecipitated RNAs were collected and prepared for cDNA library construction. The cDNAs were subjected to next generation sequencing followed by bioinformatics analysis. Subsequently, read



coverage plots of the co-immunoprecipitated RNAs were constructed. The control group showed a low number of reads at negligible levels, whereas the experimental group showed a significant number of reads that mapped to viral RNAs. The read coverage plots of the genomic and antigenomic viral RNAs, which were normalized by subtracting the reads of intracellular viral RNAs (input control) from the reads of the experimental group, were visualized by Integrative Genomics Viewer and are shown in Figure 3A. The pronounced peaks represent the regions with high read density. The read coverage plots of two independent experiments were similar for most of the viral RNAs, demonstrating good reproducibility. The differences in the read coverage plots of antigenomic L RNA between the two independent experiments were larger than that for other viral RNAs, potentially due to low read coverage for this RNA. The proportion of reads that mapped to genomic L and M RNAs were substantially higher than that to their antigenomic counterparts. In addition, the number of reads that mapped to genomic and antigenomic S RNAs were similar, with a slightly higher number of reads mapping to antigenomic S RNA. These data correlated with our previous studies that demonstrated the efficient binding of Gn to the genomic L, M and S RNAs and antigenomic S RNA (Tercero et al., 2021). The presence of similar read coverage plots for a given viral RNA segment in two independent experiments strongly

suggested that the prominent regions of sequencing reads in the plots represent sites in viral RNAs that preferentially interact with Gn. Hence, our CLIP-seq analysis indicated direct binding of Gn to many different regions of the genomic and antigenomic RVFV RNAs.

No prominent sequence coverage that aligns with the minimal NCRs of most of the viral RNAs were detected, except for the 3' NCR of the antigenomic S RNA, which had a prominent number of sequencing reads (highlighted by a blue box in Figure 3A). Figure 3B shows the sequence and read coverage plots of the 3' terminal region of the antigenomic S RNA. Within the 34 nt-long 3' NCR, the terminal 15 nt is essential for RNA replication and packaging of the genomic RNA of the S RNA-derived minigenome RNA (Murakami et al., 2012). The region corresponding to 5-25 nt from the 3' NCR of the antigenomic S RNA showed a high read density in two independent experiments.

Rescue of a RVFV mutant carrying a deletion at the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA

To know the biological significance of the prominent Gnbinding site within the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA, we



rescued a RVFV mutant (RVFV Δ 19) that has a 19 nt deletion in the 3'-NCR of the antigenomic S RNA using a reverse genetics system (Ikegami et al., 2006) (Figures 3B, 4A). RVFV Δ 19 retained the 3' terminal 15 nt of NCR and lacked about 50% of the region that showed prominent number of reads within the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA in CLIP-seq analysis. RVFV Δ 19 formed smaller plaques than RVFV in Vero E6 cells (Figure 4B). RVFV Δ 19 replicated to comparable titers to RVFV in Huh7 cells at a high MOI (Figure 4C, left panel), but replicated less efficiently than RVFV at a low MOI (Figure 4C, right panel).

As the 19 nt deletion is located upstream of the NSs mRNA start codon (Figure 3B), we examined whether NSs expression occurs in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells. We used RVFV and RVFV-rLuc, the latter of which encodes rLuc gene in place of NSs gene (Figure 4A) (Ikegami et al., 2006), as a positive control and a negative control, respectively. Like RVFV-infected cells, accumulation of the genomic L, M and S RNAs and NSs mRNA occurred in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells (Figure 4D). The absence of the genomic S RNA and NSs mRNA in RVFV-rLuc-infected cells was due to the use of the probe that binds to the NSs gene. Accumulation of Gn, N and NSs proteins also occurred in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells (Figure 4E). As expected, accumulation of NSs did not occur in RVFV-rLuc-infected cells (Figure 4E). These data demonstrated that RVFV Δ 19

did not replicate as efficiently as RVFV after low MOI infection in Huh7 cells, although NSs mRNA synthesis still occurred from the antigenomic S RNA of RVFV Δ 19.

Inefficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into RVFV Δ 19, lacking the Gn-binding site in the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA

To determine if the 19 nt region in the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA played a role in its efficient packaging into virus particles, we examined the proportion of the genomic and antigenomic viral RNAs in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells and in purified RVFV Δ 19 particles and compared the data to that obtained from RVFV. As reported previously (Tercero et al., 2021), the proportion of the antigenomic S RNA in RVFV virions was markedly higher relative to its abundance in infected cells and the relative proportion of antigenomic S RNA in virus particles was prominently higher than that of antigenomic L and M RNAs (Figure 5). In contrast, the proportion of the antigenomic S RNA in infected cells was markedly lower than that in RVFV-infected cells, demonstrating that antigenomic S RNA is inefficiently packaged into RVFV Δ 19. These data showed that the



FIGURE 4

Rescue and characterization of RVFV ∆19. (A) Schematic diagram of S RNA and S RNA mutants in the antigenomic sense, S-rLuc RNA contains the rLuc ORF in place of the NSs ORF. S A19 RNA contains a deletion of 19 nt in the 3'-terminal NCR of the antigenomic S RNA. (B) Plague morphologies of RVFV and RVFV $\Delta 19$ in Vero E6 cells. (C) Growth curves of RVFV and RVFV ∆19 in Huh7 cells. Huh7 cells were infected with RVFV or RVFV Δ 19 at an MOI of 1.0 (left panel) or an MOI of 0.01 (right panel). Culture supernatants were collected at the indicated time points and the virus titers were determined in Vero E6 cells by plaque assay analysis. The data were obtained from three independent experiments. The values are mean titers and error bars indicate standard deviation. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0010.0001. (D) Accumulation of viral RNAs in infected cells. Huh7 cells were infected with RVFV, RVFV-rLuc, or RVFV ∆19 at an MOI of 3. Total intracellular RNAs were extracted at 8 h p.i and equal amounts of intracellular RNAs were analyzed by Northern blot (NB) using digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes that specifically bind to the respective genomic RNA segments. The RNA probe to detect genomic S binds within the NSs ORF resulting in detection of fulllength S RNA as well as NSs mRNA. (E) Accumulation of viral proteins in infected cells. Huh7 cells were infected with RVFV, RVFV-. rLuc or RVFV Δ 19 at an MOI of 3. Cell lysates were collected at 8 h p.i. using the same amount of sample buffer and subjected to Western blot (WB) analysis using anti-Gn antibody (top panel), anti-NSs antibody (middle panel) and anti-N antibody (bottom panel).

prominent Gn-binding site in the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA plays a critical role in the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into virus particles.

Inefficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into RVFV particles results in the early induction of IFN- β mRNA after infection

Our past study indicated that the incoming antigenomic S RNA in RVFV particles serves as the template for NSs mRNA synthesis,

leading to the synthesis of NSs protein immediately after infection (Ikegami et al., 2005b). Early expression of NSs after infection would be important for robust RVFV replication, as the incoming viral RNPs can trigger type I IFN induction (Weber et al., 2013) and NSs suppresses host innate immune responses, including type I IFN induction by inhibiting IFN- β mRNA transcription (Le May et al., 2008). To further establish the importance of the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA for the suppression of the early induction of type I IFN after infection, we infected type I IFN-competent MRC-5 cells (Terasaki et al., 2011) with RVFV, RVFV Δ19 or RVFV-rLuc and examined the induction of IFN- β mRNA from 3 to 5 h p.i. (Figure 6). RVFV replication did not induce IFN-β mRNA, whereas RVFV-rLuc replication induced high levels of IFN-B mRNA, as reported previously (Terasaki et al., 2011). Notably, we detected IFN-β mRNA, the amount of which was highest at 3 h p.i., in RVFV Δ 19infected cells (Figure 6). Northern blot analysis using an RNA probe that binds to the NSs gene showed the accumulation of NSs mRNA in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells and in RVFV-infected cells during the early timepoints after infection (Figure 6). Specifically, at 3 h p.i. the amount of NSs mRNA was lower in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells compared to that in RVFV-infected cells, while the amount of genomic S RNA was comparable between these cells (Figure 6). It is unlikely that the lower accumulation of NSs mRNA in RVFV Δ19-infected cells at 3 h p.i. is due to the reduced transcriptional activity from the antigenomic S RNA of RVFV Δ 19, as the relative ratio of NSs mRNA to the genomic S RNA in RVFV A19-infected cells and in RVFV-infected cells were similar at 5 h p.i. (Figure 6). Rather, these data suggest that the presence of lower amounts of incoming antigenomic S RNA, which serves as the template of NSs mRNA, resulted in the less efficient accumulation of NSs mRNA immediately after RVFV Δ19 infection. Taken together, these data indicate that the inefficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into RVFV A19 particles led to a decreased accumulation of NSs mRNA during the early timepoints after infection with RVFV Δ 19. In RVFV-rLuc-infected cells, IFN- β mRNA expression increased between 3 and 5 h p.i. compared to RVFV-infected cells (Figure 6), whereas the IFN- β mRNA expression decreased at 4 and 5 h p.i. in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells. These findings suggest that the NSs expression increased by 5 h p.i. in RVFV Δ 19infected cells (Figure 6), due to the subsequent accumulation of NSs mRNA following the replication of S RNA, leading to the suppression of IFN- β mRNA synthesis later in infection.

Discussion

The present study represents a continuation of our research clarifying the mechanism of viral RNA packaging in RVFV (Ikegami et al., 2005b; Terasaki et al., 2011; Murakami et al., 2012; Tercero et al., 2021). To test the feasibility of the CLIP-seq analysis, we examined the susceptibility of intracellular viral RNAs, including those in the viral RNPs, to RNAse treatment and revealed the susceptibility of viral RNAs to RNAse treatment (Figure 2). Our data were consistent with a recent study showing the susceptibility of intracellular RVFV RNAs to RNAses (Hayashi et al., 2021). For the interpretation of the CLIP-seq data, it is important to note that the antigenomic L RNA and antigenomic M RNA share the same



FIGURE 5

Profile of viral RNA segments packaged into purified RVFV and RVFV Δ 19 particles. Proportions of genomic and antigenomic RVFV RNA segments in (A) RVFV and (B) RVFV Δ 19-infected cells and purified virus particles. Huh7 cells were infected with RVFV or RVFV Δ 19 at an MOI of 2. Intracellular lysates and culture supernatants were collected at 8 h p.i. Virus particles released in the culture supernatant were purified by sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation. The copy numbers of genomic (G) and antigenomic (AG) L, M, S RNAs in intracellular lysate and in purified virions were determined by strand-specific RT-qPCR. The proportions of genomic and antigenomic RNAs in virus-infected cells and in purified virions are represented as a percentage of total viral RNA for each segment. Data represent the means of biological triplicates, and error bars indicate the standard deviations.

sequences with L mRNA and M mRNA, respectively, except that these mRNAs lack a portion of the 3'-end region of their corresponding antigenomic RNAs (Ikegami et al., 2007) (see Figure 1). Similarly, for the S segment, the NSs mRNA and N mRNA share the same sequence with a portion of the genomic S RNA and antigenomic S RNA, respectively (Ikegami et al., 2007) (see Figure 1). We cannot exclude the possibility that the CLIP-seq reads, which mapped to these overlapping regions among RVFV RNA species, could also include those that are generated from viral mRNAs. However, we speculate that as viral mRNAs are most probably not packaged into RVFV particles, Gn does not bind to viral mRNAs, and that the CLIP-seq read coverage plot predominantly represent binding sites for Gn on viral genomic and antigenomic RNAs. To our knowledge, our CLIP-seq analysis data, which showed the direct binding of Gn to many different regions of the genomic as well as antigenomic RVFV RNAs, represents the first study that demonstrates the direct binding of a viral envelope glycoprotein to distinct viral RNA regions in an enveloped RNA virus, including those in the order Bunyavirales.

Because the presence of the minimal NCRs is sufficient for minigenome RNA replication and packaging of the genomic sense of minigenome RNAs into RVFV particles (Murakami et al., 2012), our expectation was to detect the presence of prominent Gn-binding sites within the minimal NCRs, which serve as packaging signals to initiate Gn-viral RNA interaction, resulting in the incorporation of viral RNAs into RVFV particles. In contrast to our expectation, CLIPseq analysis showed that most of the minimal NCRs lacked prominent Gn-binding sites, except for a site within the 3' NCR of the antigenomic S RNA. These data suggest that the efficient packaging of genomic RNAs occurs in the absence of a direct



FIGURE 6

Accumulation of the genomic S RNA, NSs mRNA and IFN- β mRNA in MRC-5 cells infected with RVFV, RVFV Δ 19, or RVFV-rLuc. MRC-5 cells were infected with RVFV, RVFV Δ 19, or RVFV-rLuc at an MOI of 3. Total RNAs were collected at various time p.i. as indicated and equal amounts of intracellular RNAs were applied to Northern blot analyses. IFN- β mRNA was detected by using the IFN- β mRNA-specific RNA probe (top panel). Genomic S RNA and NSs mRNA were detected by using a specific RNA probe that binds within the NSs ORF (middle panel). The 28S and 18S ribosomal RNAs (internal loading control) were stained with ethidium bromide, following the separation of the same RNA samples by agarose gel electrophoresis (bottom panel).

interaction between Gn and minimal NCRs of genomic RNAs. Although the region encompassing the NSs ORF of the antigenomic S RNA included several Gn-binding sites (Figure 3), they are probably not essential for the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA, because the antigenomic S RNA of RVFVrLuc, lacking the NSs ORF, is efficiently incorporated into virus particles (Tercero et al., 2021). Furthermore, antigenomic S RNA was not efficiently packaged into RVFV A19 that had an intact NSs ORF (Figure 5). These data imply that most of the Gn-binding sites in the viral RNAs, except for the one within the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA, do not play a role in the initiation of the interaction between Gn and viral RNPs. It is conceivable to speculate that the interaction between Gn and viral RNPs is triggered by the binding to Gn to L or N protein in the genomic viral RNPs (Piper et al., 2011; Murakami et al., 2012; Hayashi et al., 2021; Tercero et al., 2021). Subsequently, this interaction is stabilized by the binding of Gn to various regions of viral RNAs (Figure 3), ensuring the efficient packaging of viral RNPs into virus particles.

Analysis of RVFV Δ 19 suggested that the interaction between Gn and the prominent Gn-binding site within the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA facilitated the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA. This prominent Gn-binding site could serve as a packaging signal for antigenomic S RNA, which is recognized by Gn to initiate its interaction with antigenomic S RNP. The binding of Gn to L or N protein in the antigenomic S RNP could also be the trigger to establish its interaction with antigenomic S RNP. These two potential mechanisms of recognition and initiation of the interaction of Gn with antigenomic S RNPs may not be mutually exclusive and could work in concert to form a stable packaging-competent complex, resulting in the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into virus particles. Testing this hypothesis would be meaningful to gain further insight into the mechanism of viral RNA packaging in RVFV. We also wonder whether this putative packaging signal is a transferable packaging element that has biological activity when introduced into any other RVFV RNA segment. If so, a RVFV mutant carrying this packaging signal in another viral RNA segment(s) would exhibit an altered profile of viral RNA packaging, be less virulent and potentially, could be developed as a safer, live-attenuated RVFV vaccine.

RVFV A19, lacking a part of this prominent Gn-binding site in antigenomic S RNA, was competent for S RNA replication and transcription, as S RNA replication and NSs mRNA transcription occurred in RVFV A19-infected cells (Figures 4D, 6). Robust accumulation of N protein (Figure 4E) also suggested the efficient synthesis of N mRNA in RVFV A19-infected cells. However, we observed a slightly reduced accumulation of S RNA and NSs mRNA in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells (Figures 4D, 6), which suggested that the 19 nt region in S RNA was important for optimal S RNA replication and transcription. Although it is possible that this could broadly affect the overall packaging efficiency of RVFV RNAs, the predominant negative impact on RNA packaging efficiency was observed only for antigenomic S RNA (Figure 5). Hence, our data suggests that the primary biological function of the 19 nt region in the 3' NCR of antigenomic S RNA was to drive the efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA into virus particles. Also, RVFV Δ19 replicated less efficiently than RVFV in Huh7 cells after low MOI infection (Figure 4C), demonstrating that the presence of the 19 nt in the 3' NCR of the antigenomic S RNA is required for optimal RVFV replication.

We showed the early accumulation of IFN- β mRNA in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells, but not in RVFV-infected cells (Figure 6). The accumulation of NSs mRNA was slightly higher in RVFV-infected cells than in RVFV Δ 19-infected cells at 3 h p.i., although the difference was small (Figure 6). These data imply the presence of a mechanism that ensures the optimal packaging of antigenomic S RNA into RVFV, which is sufficient to suppress the early induction of IFN- β mRNA expression after infection. The data shown in this study and our previous study (Ikegami et al., 2005b) have firmly established the importance of efficient packaging of antigenomic S RNA for the inhibition of the early induction of IFN- β mRNA after RVFV infection.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA925541/.

Author contributions

BT and SM conceptualized the study. BT, KT and KN performed experiments. BT, KT, KN and SM analyzed the data. BT and SM wrote the manuscript with contributions from KT and KN. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by Public Health Service grant AI148763 from the National Institutes of Health and Pilot grants from the Institute for Human Infections and Immunity at The University of Texas Medical Branch. BT was supported by a fellowship, T32-AI007526, from the National Institutes of Health and a McLaughlin Post-doctoral Fellowship.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Steven G. Widen at Next Generation Sequencing Core Facility at The University of Texas Medical Branch for technical assistance, next generation sequencing, and computational analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Abdel-Wahab, K. S., El Baz, L. M., El-Tayeb, E. M., Omar, H., Ossman, M. A., and Yasin, W. (1978). Rift valley fever virus infections in Egypt: Pathological and virological findings in man. *Trans. R Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 72 (4), 392–396. doi: 10.1016/0035-9203 (78)90134-7

Al-Hazmi, A., Al-Rajhi, A. A., Abboud, E. B., Ayoola, E. A., Al-Hazmi, M., Saadi, R., et al. (2005). Ocular complications of rift valley fever outbreak in Saudi Arabia. *Ophthalmology* 112 (2), 313–318. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2004.09.018

Alrajhi, A. A., Al-Semari, A., and Al-Watban, J. (2004). Rift valley fever encephalitis. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 10 (3), 554–555. doi: 10.3201/eid1003.020817

Andriamandimby, S. F., Randrianarivo-Solofoniaina, A. E., Jeanmaire, E. M., Ravololomanana, L., Razafimanantsoa, L. T., Rakotojoelinandrasana, T., et al. (2010). Rift valley fever during rainy seasons, Madagascar, 2008 and 2009. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 16 (6), 963–970. doi: 10.3201/eid1606.091266

Aradaib, I. E., Erickson, B. R., Elageb, R. M., Khristova, M. L., Carroll, S. A., Elkhidir, I. M., et al. (2013). Rift valley fever, Sudan, 2007 and 2010. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 19 (2), 246–253. doi: 10.3201/eid1902.120834

Baba, M., Masiga, D. K., Sang, R., and Villinger, J. (2016). Has rift valley fever virus evolved with increasing severity in human populations in East Africa? *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 5, e58. doi: 10.1038/emi.2016.57

Balkhy, H. H., and Memish, Z. A. (2003). Rift valley fever: An uninvited zoonosis in the Arabian peninsula. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents.* 21 (2), 153–157. doi: 10.1016/S0924-8579(02)00295-9

Blakqori, G., Kochs, G., Haller, O., and Weber, F. (2003). Functional l polymerase of la crosse virus allows *in vivo* reconstitution of recombinant nucleocapsids. *J. Gen. Virol.* 84 (Pt 5), 1207–1214. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.18876-0

Buchholz, U. J., Finke, S., and Conzelmann, K. K. (1999). Generation of bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV) from cDNA: BRSV NS2 is not essential for virus replication in tissue culture, and the human RSV leader region acts as a functional BRSV genome promoter. *J. Virol.* 73 (1), 251–259. doi: 10.1128/JVI.73.1.251-259.1999

Carnec, X., Ermonval, M., Kreher, F., Flamand, M., and Bouloy, M. (2014). Role of the cytosolic tails of rift valley fever virus envelope glycoproteins in viral morphogenesis. *Virology* 448, 1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2013.09.023

Deutman, A. F., and Klomp, H. J. (1981). Rift valley fever retinitis. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 92 (1), 38-42. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9394(14)75905-7

Dunn, E. F., Pritlove, D. C., Jin, H., and Elliott, R. M. (1995). Transcription of a recombinant bunyavirus RNA template by transiently expressed bunyavirus proteins. *Virology* 211 (1), 133–143. doi: 10.1006/viro.1995.1386

Estrada, D. F., and De Guzman, R. N. (2011). Structural characterization of the Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus gn tail provides insight into virus assembly. J. Biol. Chem. 286 (24), 21678–21686. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.216515

Francis, T., and Magill, T. P. (1935). Rift valley fever: A report of three cases of laboratory infection and the experimental transmission of the disease to ferrets. *J. Exp. Med.* 62 (3), 433–448. doi: 10.1084/jem.62.3.433

Gargan, T. P.2nd, Clark, G. G., Dohm, D. J., Turell, M. J., and Bailey, C. L. (1988). Vector potential of selected north American mosquito species for rift valley fever virus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 38 (2), 440–446. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.1988.38.440

Guardado-Calvo, P., and Rey, F. A. (2017). The envelope proteins of the bunyavirales. Adv. Virus Res. 98, 83-118. doi: 10.1016/bs.aivir.2017.02.002

Habjan, M., Pichlmair, A., Elliott, R. M., Overby, A. K., Glatter, T., Gstaiger, M., et al. (2009). NSs protein of rift valley fever virus induces the specific degradation of the double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase. *J. Virol.* 83 (9), 4365–4375. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02148-08

Hassan, O. A., Ahlm, C., Sang, R., and Evander, M. (2011). The 2007 rift valley fever outbreak in Sudan. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 5 (9), e1229. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001229

Hayashi, M., Schultz, E. P., Lanchy, J. M., and Lodmell, J. S. (2021). Time-resolved analysis of n-RNA interactions during RVFV infection shows qualitative and quantitative shifts in RNA encapsidation and packaging. *Viruses* 13 (12), 2417. doi: 10.3390/v13122417

Himeidan, Y. E., Kweka, E. J., Mahgoub, M. M., El Rayah el, A., and Ouma, J. O. (2014). Recent outbreaks of rift valley fever in East Africa and the middle East. *Front. Public Health* 2, 169. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2014.00169

Ikegami, T., Narayanan, K., Won, S., Kamitani, W., Peters, C. J., and Makino, S. (2009). Rift valley fever virus NSs protein promotes post-transcriptional

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

downregulation of protein kinase PKR and inhibits eIF2alpha phosphorylation. *PloS Pathog.* 5 (2), e1000287. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000287

Ikegami, T., Peters, C. J., and Makino, S. (2005a). Rift valley fever virus nonstructural protein NSs promotes viral RNA replication and transcription in a minigenome system. *J. Virol.* 79 (9), 5606–5615. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.9.5606-5615.2005

Ikegami, T., Won, S., Peters, C. J., and Makino, S. (2005b). Rift valley fever virus NSs mRNA is transcribed from an incoming anti-viral-sense s RNA segment. *J. Virol.* 79 (18), 12106–12111. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.18.12106-12111.2005

Ikegami, T., Won, S., Peters, C. J., and Makino, S. (2006). Rescue of infectious rift valley fever virus entirely from cDNA, analysis of virus lacking NSsgene, and expression of a foreign gene. *J. Virol.* 80, 2933–2940. doi: 10.1128/JVI.80.6.2933-2940.2006

Ikegami, T., Won, S., Peters, C. J., and Makino, S. (2007). Characterization of rift valley fever virus transcriptional terminations. *J. Virol.* 81 (16), 8421–8438. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02641-06

Kainulainen, M., Habjan, M., Hubel, P., Busch, L., Lau, S., Colinge, J., et al. (2014). Virulence factor NSs of rift valley fever virus recruits the f-box protein FBXO3 to degrade subunit p62 of general transcription factor TFIIH. *J. Virol.* 88 (6), 3464–3473. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02914-13

Kalveram, B., Lihoradova, O., and Ikegami, T. (2011). NSs protein of rift valley fever virus promotes posttranslational downregulation of the TFIIH subunit p62. *J. Virol.* 85 (13), 6234–6243. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02255-10

Laughlin, L. W., Girgis, N. I., Meegan, J. M., Strausbaugh, L. J., Yassin, M. W., and Watten, R. H. (1978). Clinical studies on rift valley fever. part 2: Ophthalmologic and central nervous system complications. *J. Egypt Public Health Assoc.* 53 (3-4), 183–184.

Le May, N., Dubaele, S., De Santis, L. P., Billecocq, A., Bouloy, M., and Egly, J. M. (2004). TFIIH transcription factor, a target for the rift valley hemorrhagic fever virus. *Cell.* 116 (4), 541–550. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(04)00132-1

Le May, N., Mansuroglu, Z., Leger, P., Josse, T., Blot, G., Billecocq, A., et al. (2008). A SAP30 complex inhibits IFN-beta expression in rift valley fever virus infected cells. *PloS Pathog.* 4 (1), e13. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.00440013

Lopez, N., Muller, R., Prehaud, C., and Bouloy, M. (1995). The l protein of rift valley fever virus can rescue viral ribonucleoproteins and transcribe synthetic genome-like RNA molecules. J. Virol. 69 (7), 3972–3979. doi: 10.1128/jvi.69.7.3972-3979.1995

Murakami, S., Terasaki, K., Narayanan, K., and Makino, S. (2012). Roles of the coding and noncoding regions of rift valley fever virus RNA genome segments in viral RNA packaging. *J. Virol.* 86 (7), 4034–4039. doi: 10.1128/JVI.06700-11

Nakabayashi, H., Taketa, K., Miyano, K., Yamane, T., and Sato, J. (1982). Growth of human hepatoma cells lines with differentiated functions in chemically defined medium. *Cancer Res.* 42 (9), 3858–3863.

Narayanan, K., Huang, C., Lokugamage, K., Kamitani, W., Ikegami, T., Tseng, C. T., et al. (2008). Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nsp1 suppresses host gene expression, including that of type I interferon, in infected cells. *J. Virol.* 82 (9), 4471–4479. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02472-07

Nguku, P. M., Sharif, S. K., Mutonga, D., Amwayi, S., Omolo, J., Mohammed, O., et al. (2010). An investigation of a major outbreak of rift valley fever in Kenya: 2006-2007. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 83 (2 Suppl), 5–13. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0288

Peters, C. J., and LeDuc, J. W. (1999). "Bunyaviridae: Bunyaviruses, phleboviruses, and related viruses," in *Textbook of human virology, 2nd ed.* Ed. R. B. Belshe (St. Louis, Missouri: Mosby Year Book), 571–614.

Peters, C. J., and Meegan, J. M. (1989). Rift valley fever. Ed. G. Beran (Boca Raton, Fla: CRC Press).

Piper, M. E., Sorenson, D. R., and Gerrard, S. R. (2011). Efficient cellular release of rift valley fever virus requires genomic RNA. *PloS One* 6 (3), e18070. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018070

Raymond, D. D., Piper, M. E., Gerrard, S. R., and Smith, J. L. (2010). Structure of the rift valley fever virus nucleocapsid protein reveals another architecture for RNA encapsidation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107 (26), 11769–11774. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1001760107

Sabin, A. B., and Blumberg, R. W. (1947). Human infection with rift valley fever virus and immunity twelve years after single attack. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* 64 (4), 385–389. doi: 10.3181/00379727-64-15803

Schmaljohn, C., and Nichol, S. T. (2007). "Bunyaviruses," in *Fields virology, 5th ed*, vol. 2. Eds. D. M. Knipe, P. M. Howley, D. E. Griffin, R. A. Lamb, A. Martin, B. Roizman, and S. E. Strauss (Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins), 1741–1789.

Sei, E., and Conrad, N. K. (2014). UV Cross-linking of interacting RNA and protein in cultured cells. *Methods Enzymol.* 539, 53–66. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-420120-0.00004-9

Sherman, M. B., Freiberg, A. N., Holbrook, M. R., and Watowich, S. J. (2009). Singleparticle cryo-electron microscopy of rift valley fever virus. *Virology*. 387 (1), 11–15. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2009.02.038

Siam, A. L., and Meegan, J. M. (1980). Ocular disease resulting from infection with rift valley fever virus. *Trans. R Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 74 (4), 539–541. doi: 10.1016/0035-9203(80)90074-7

Siam, A. L., Meegan, J. M., and Gharbawi, K. F. (1980). Rift valley fever ocular manifestations: observations during the 1977 epidemic in Egypt. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 64 (5), 366–374. doi: 10.1136/bjo.64.5.366

Spiegel, M., Plegge, T., and Pohlmann, S. (2016). The role of phlebovirus glycoproteins in viral entry, assembly and release. *Viruses* 8 (7), 202. doi: 10.3390/ v8070202

Strandin, T., Hepojoki, J., and Vaheri, A. (2013). Cytoplasmic tails of bunyavirus gn glycoproteins-could they act as matrix protein surrogates? *Virology* 437 (2), 73–80. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2013.01.001

Strandin, T., Hepojoki, J., Wang, H., Vaheri, A., and Lankinen, H. (2011). The cytoplasmic tail of hantavirus gn glycoprotein interacts with RNA. *Virology* 418 (1), 12–20. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2011.06.030

Terasaki, K., Kalveram, B., Johnson, K. N., Juelich, T., Smith, J. K., Zhang, L., et al. (2021). Rift valley fever virus 78kDa envelope protein attenuates virus replication in macrophage-derived cell lines and viral virulence in mice. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 15 (9), e0009785. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0009785 Terasaki, K., Murakami, S., Lokugamage, K., and Makino, S. (2011). Mechanism of tripartite RNA genome packaging in rift valley fever virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108 (2), 804–809. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1013155108

Terasaki, K., Won, S., and Makino, S. (2013). The c-terminal region of rift valley fever virus NSm protein targets the protein to the mitochondrial outer membrane and exerts antiapoptotic function. *J. Virol.* 87 (1), 676–682. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02192-12

Tercero, B., Narayanan, K., Terasaki, K., and Makino, S. (2021). Characterization of the molecular interactions that govern the packaging of viral RNA segments into rift valley fever phlebovirus particles. *J. Virol.* 95 (14), e00429–e00421. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00429-21

Tercero, B., Terasaki, K., Nakagawa, K., Narayanan, K., and Makino, S. (2019). A strand-specific real-time quantitative RT-PCR assay for distinguishing the genomic and antigenomic RNAs of rift valley fever phlebovirus. *J. Virol. Methods* 272, 113701. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2019.113701

Weber, M., Gawanbacht, A., Habjan, M., Rang, A., Borner, C., Schmidt, A. M., et al. (2013). Incoming RNA virus nucleocapsids containing a 5'-triphosphorylated genome activate RIG-1 and antiviral signaling. *Cell Host Microbe* 13 (3), 336–346. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.01.012

Won, S., Ikegami, T., Peters, C. J., and Makino, S. (2006). NSm and 78-kilodalton proteins of rift valley fever virus are nonessential for viral replication in cell culture. *J. Virol.* 80 (16), 8274–8278. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00476-06

Wuerth, J. D., and Weber, F. (2016). Phleboviruses and the type I interferon response. *Viruses* 8 (6), 174. doi: 10.3390/v8060174

Yassin, W. (1978). Clinico-pathological picture in five human cases died with rift valley fever. J. Egypt Public Health Assoc. 53 (3-4), 191-193.