Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Steffen Rupp, Fraunhofer Institute for Interfacial Engineering and Biotechnology, Germany

REVIEWED BY

Shreya Singh, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Institute of Medical Sciences, India Laura Alcazar-Fuoli, Carlos III Health Institute (ISCIII), Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Paul E. Verweij Paul.verweij@radboudumc.nl Sadegh Khodavaisy Sadegh_7392008@yahoo.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Fungal Pathogenesis, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

RECEIVED 09 November 2022 ACCEPTED 16 January 2023 PUBLISHED 30 January 2023

CITATION

Soleimani M, Izadi A, Khodavaisy S, Santos CO, Tehupeiory-Kooreman MC, Ghazvini RD, Hashemi SJ, Mousavi SAA, Aala F, Abdorahimi M, Aminizadeh M, Abedinifar Z, Mahmoudi S, Mohamadi A, Rezaie S and Verweij PE (2023) Fungal keratitis in

Iran: Risk factors, clinical features, and mycological profile.

Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 13:1094182. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1094182

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Soleimani, Izadi, Khodavaisy, Santos, Tehupeiory-Kooreman, Ghazvini, Hashemi, Mousavi, Aala, Abdorahimi, Aminizadeh, Abedinifar, Mahmoudi, Mohamadi, Rezaie and Verweij. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Fungal keratitis in Iran: Risk factors, clinical features, and mycological profile

Mohammad Soleimani^{1†}, Alireza Izadi^{2,3†}, Sadegh Khodavaisy^{4*}, Claudy Oliveira dos Santos^{5,6}, Marlou C. Tehupeiory-Kooreman⁵, Roshanak Daie Ghazvini⁴, Seyed Jamal Hashemi⁴, Seyed Amin Ayatollahi Mousavi^{2,3}, Farzad Aala⁷, Mahsa Abdorahimi¹⁰, Mehdi Aminizadeh¹, Zohre Abedinifar¹, Shahram Mahmoudi⁸, Afsaneh Mohamadi⁴, Sara Rezaie⁹ and Paul E. Verweij^{5*}

¹Department of Ocular Trauma and Emergency, Farabi Eye Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ²Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran, ³Medical Mycology and Bacteriology Research Center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran, ⁴Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ⁵Centre for Expertise in Mycology, Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, Netherlands, ⁶Laboratory of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Isala Hospital, Zwolle, Netherlands, ⁷Department of Parasitology and Mycology, Faculty of Medicale, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran, ⁸Department of Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Iran University, Toronto, Canada, ¹⁰Department of Microbiology, Shahr-e-Qods Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Introduction: This study was intended to investigate the clinical features and predisposing factors of fungal keratitis (FK), as well as molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility of causative agents in Tehran, Iran.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was carried out from April 2019 to May 2021. All fungi isolates were identified using conventional methods and were confirmed by DNA-PCR-based molecular assays. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) was used to identify yeast species. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of eight antifungal agents were assessed according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) microbroth dilution reference method.

Results: Fungal etiology was confirmed in 86 (7.23%) of 1189 corneal ulcers. A significant predisposing factor for FK was ocular trauma caused by plant materials. Therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) was required in 60.4% of cases. The predominant fungal species isolated was *Fusarium* spp. (39.5%) followed by *Aspergillus* spp. (32.5%) and *Candida* spp. (16.2%).

Discussion: The MIC results indicate that amphotericin B may be appropriate for treating FK caused by *Fusarium* species. FK caused by *Candida* spp. can be treated with flucytosine, voriconazole, posaconazole, miconazole, and caspofungin. In developing countries such as Iran, corneal infection due to filamentous fungi is a common cause of corneal damage. In this region, fungal keratitis is observed primarily within the context of agricultural activity and subsequent ocular trauma. Fungal keratitis can be managed better with understanding the "local" etiologies and antifungal susceptibility patterns.

KEYWORDS

fungal keratitis, Fusarium, Aspergillus, antifungal susceptibility, risk factors, Iran

Introduction

Fungal keratitis (FK) is a serious eye condition caused by a variety of fungi (Ghosh et al., 2016). It can be caused by a variety of molds and yeasts, and the etiology and epidemiology of the condition may depend on geography and climatic conditions (Kredics et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2016). There are approximately 70 genera of fungi implicated in FK, but Fusarium and Aspergillus species account for 70% of the cases (Bharathi et al., 2003; Ahmadikia et al., 2021). While FK is relatively uncommon among developed countries, it is a major problem for ophthalmologist in developing countries that have tropical or subtropical climates (Kredics et al., 2015). The incidence of FK varies around the world. For instance, in Paraguay, fungi cause 50.06% of all microbial keratitis, while in Ireland, they cause 1.1% (Ahmadikia et al., 2021). FK usually results from trauma during cultivation or harvesting, so soil and plant-related workers are at a great risk (Kredics et al., 2015; Shah et al., 2017). Additionally, widespread use of broad-spectrum antibiotics and steroids, corneal surface diseases, frequent contact lens use or prior corneal surgery may all contribute to FK (Ng et al., 2013). The treatment of FK depends on the etiological agents, the extent of involvement, risk factors, and response to treatment of the causative agents (Puig et al., 2020). Delayed diagnosis, the limited number of available antifungal drugs, and drug resistance of the causative agents are contributing factors to treatment failure and blindness in some infected individuals (Henry et al., 2012; Al-Hatmi et al., 2014). An effective strategy for corneal ulcer management requires a thorough understanding of the local etiology of a given region. A few studies have reported the epidemiology of corneal ulceration, causative micro-organisms, and effective treatment in Iran (Mahmoudi et al., 2018; Ahmadikia et al., 2021). To provide comprehensive and valid background information and to facilitate future treatment of this infection, we conducted the present study to determine the proportion of FK among infective keratitis, risk factors, clinical outcomes, causative organisms and their drug sensitivity in the main referral center for eye disease in Tehran, Iran.

Materials and methods

Patients

A cross-sectional study was carried out at Farabi eye hospital, a tertiary care referral centre in Tehran, Iran from April 2019 to May 2021. We evaluated 1189 patients who had clinical suspicion of corneal ulcerations. A corneal ulcer was defined as loss of corneal epithelium with a stromal infiltrate and suppuration that are associated with signs of inflammation, with or without hypopyon. In addition to viral infections and healing ulcers, Mooren's ulcers, interstitial keratitis, sterile neurotropic ulcers, and ulcers associated with autoimmune conditions were excluded from the study (Bharathi et al., 2003). A standardized form was filled out for each patient, documenting the patient's sociodemographic information, the duration of symptoms, predisposing factors, any history of corneal trauma and trauma-causing agents, any associated ocular conditions, other systemic diseases, treatments received prior to presentation, and the patient's visual acuity at the time of visit. To participate in the study, all patients provided a signed written informed consent. This study was approved by ethics committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.MEDICINE.REC.1400.304).

Clinical examinations

The patients received a slit lamp biomicroscopic examination by experienced ophthalmologists. Clinical features such as the size of the epithelial defect (measured in millimetre), the size and depth of the stromal infiltrate, the presence or absence of a hypopyon (measured in millimetre), pre-existing viral keratitis and chronic corneal disease were noted. Also, we noted the use of contact lenses and topical corticosteroids and antibiotics, as well as other systemic combinations. After a detailed ocular examination, corneal scraping was performed by an ophthalmologist under aseptic conditions from each ulcer using a sterile blade (no. 15), following the instillation of local anesthetic (4% lignocaine (lidocaine)). Under magnification of a slit lamp, the procedure was performed. The material obtained by scraping spread thinly onto two labeled glass slides for 10% KOH wet mount and Gram's staining. Furthermore, the corneal material was inoculated directly onto 5% sheep's blood agar (BA) (Merck, Germany) and Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) (Merck, Germany). BA plates incubated at 37°C and SDA plates were incubated at 27°C aerobically. The cultures examined daily, and discarded at 3 weeks if no growth was seen.

Laboratory investigation

The diagnosis of FK was made if fungi were seen in direct microscopic examination and grew in one of the culture media. The isolated fungi were identified at the Mycology Reference Laboratory of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and Center of Expertise in Mycology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, Netherlands. In addition to the macroscopic and microscopic appearances, a molecular method was used to identify filamentous and yeast fungi. Standard protocols were followed for all laboratory procedures. We stored all isolates in tryptic soy broth (TSB; Liofilchem, Italy) supplemented with glycerol at -20°C for further evaluation.

Isolates identification

The genomic DNA of all strains was extracted from fresh colonies using a DNA isolation kit (Gene All DNA extraction kit; Gene All, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The extraction products were electrophoresed on 1% agarose gel and stored at -20°C. TEF-1 α , β -tubulin and ITS1-5.8SrDNA-ITS2 partial genes were amplified for the identification of *Fusarium* spp., *Aspergillus* spp. and other molds, respectively. The PCR products were sequenced and analyzed using the non-redundant nucleic databases BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nim.nih.gov/BLAST/). The identification of yeast fungi was accomplished using Bruker MALDI-TOF MS apparatus and software at the Center of Expertise in Mycology Radboud University Medical Center/CWZ, Nijmegen, Netherlands.

In vitro antifungal susceptibilities testing

For assessing antifungal susceptibility, broth microdilutions performed in sterile, flat-bottomed 96-well microplates. The test medium was RPMI-1640 (Gibco). Susceptibility of fungal isolates to fluconazole (range 64-0.06 µg/ml) (Pfizer, Groton, CT, USA), 5-flucytosine (range 64-0.06 µg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), voriconazole (range 16-0.03 µg/ml) (Pfizer, Groton, CT, USA), posaconazole (range 16-0.03 µg/ml) (Pfizer, Groton, CT, USA), miconazole (range 16-0.03 µg/ml) (Pfizer, Groton, CT, USA), natamycin (range 16-0.03 µg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), amphotericin B (range 16-0.03 µg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), and caspofungin (range 8-0.008 µg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was evaluated. The MICs were determined using EUCAST microdilution reference method (EUCAST -EDef 9.3 for filamentous fungi and EUCAST -EDef 7.3 for yeast) (Arendrup et al., 2015b; Arendrup et al., 2015a). The fungi suspensions were obtained from fresh cultures. Cell density was adjusted to $1 \ge 10^5$ cfu/mL to 2.5 x 10^5 cfu/mL for filamentous fungi and 0.5 x 10^5 to 2.5 x 10^5 CFU/mL for yeast isolates, by spectrophotometer. Candida krusei (ATCC 6258), Candida parapsilosis (ATCC 22019), Aspergillus fumigatus (ATCC 204305) and Aspergillus flavus (ATCC 204304) was used as a quality control strain. A 100µl aliquot of each antifungal was dispensed into wells, and then 100 μl of fungal suspension was added to each well. The microplates were incubated for 24 and 48 hours at 37°C, and MICs were determined after that time. All tests were performed in duplicate. In contrast to the control, the lowest inhibitory concentration that exhibited a >90% reduction in turbidity for the polyenes was defined as the MIC. A turbidity reduction of >50% was considered the end point for azoles. Caspofungin cutoffs were determined based on the minimum effective concentration (MEC), or the lowest concentration that induces aberrant growth (Arendrup et al., 2015b; Arendrup et al., 2015a).

Statistical analyses

The statistical analysis was performed by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) V. 22.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀/GM and frequency of studied isolates were determined. Data analysis was performed using the T-test. *P values* \leq 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Of the 1189 patients with infective corneal ulcers, 86 (7.23%) were diagnosed as FK. Of the 86 patients, 57 (66.2%) were male, and 29 (33.8%) were female (P < 0.05). The male-to-female ratio was1.9:1 and the age of patients ranged from 22 to 103 years (mean; 52.9). The most frequent age group was 25-50 (41; 47.6%). The majority of patients (32/86; 37.2%) were farm workers. Trauma was noted as the predominant predisposing factor in 42 (48.8%) patients. Plant debris

and stalks were the most common traumatic agents (21/86; 24.4%). Seasonal variation showed that autumn had a higher proportion of cases compared to other seasons. (34/86; 39.5%) (P<0.05). Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics, predisposing factors, and seasonal distribution.

Clinical features

All the 86 cases of FK were unilateral and 47 (55%) of them were in the right eye. The diameter of corneal ulcers ranged from 1 to 11 mm (mean; 4.05 mm). The corneal ulcer in 75 (87.2%) patients was 2-6 mm. Visual acuity at first consultation in a majority of cases (35/ 86; 40.6%) was light conception to hand motion. Slit lamp evaluation revealed hypopyon in 56 (65.11%), feathery pattern in 59 (68.6%) and satellite lesion in 17 (19.7%) patients. Blurred vision, redness, watering, pain and photophobia noted for all patients (Table 2).

Spectrum of pathogenic fungi

Considering the conventional and molecular approaches *Fusarium* spp. were the most frequent isolates (34/86; 39.5%), followed by *Aspergillus* spp. (28/86; 32.5%) and *Candida* spp. (14/ 86; 16.2%). The identified *Fusarium* species belonged to four species complexes (SC); the most common SC was *F. solani* (FSSC; n=27), followed by *F. fujikuroi* (FFSC; n=4), *F. dimerum* (FDSC; n=2) and *F.sambucinum* (FSAMSC; n=1). *Aspergillus* isolates analyzed by β -tubulin sequencing belong to 4 sections; section Flavi (n=21), section Nigri (n=5), section Terrei (*A. terreus*; n=1) and section Circumdati (*A. ochraceus*; n=1). The most common *Candida* spp. were *C. albicans* (10/86; 11.6%) followed by *C. parapsilosis* (4/86; 4.6%) (Table 3).

Treatment and outcome

All 86 patients were hospitalized and received antifungal treatment. Voriconazole 1% was applied topically to 35 (38.5%) patients. It was the most commonly used antifungal, either alone or in combination with other antifungals. Surgical treatment were performed in 65 patients (75.6%) (Table 4). Of the 86 patients treated with antifungal and surgery, 11 (3.5%) developed recurrent fungal infections. *Fusarium* species (n=6), including *F. solani* (n=5) and *F. brachygibbosum* (n=1), were the most common pathogens detected here, followed by *A. flavus* (n=3), *C. spicifera* (n=1) and *C. parapsilosis* (n=1). In two patients, *F. solani* was isolated three times.

Antifungal susceptibility pattern

All *Fusarium* isolates were inhibited by $\leq 4 \mu g/ml$ amphotericin B, while fewer isolates were inhibited by similar levels of voriconazole (20.5%), posaconazole (17.6%), caspofungin (11.7%), and natamycin (44.1%). The highest MIC in *Fusarium* isolates recorded was 64 µg/ml for fluconazole and 5- flucytosine. At $\leq 4 \mu g/ml$ concentrations, *Aspergillus* isolates were inhibited by Amphotericin B (96.4%), 5-flucytosine (32.1%), voriconazole (100%), posaconazole (100%),

miconazole (82.1%), caspofungin (100%) and natamycin (35.7%). All *Aspergillus* isolates showed a MIC of 64 µg/ml to fluconazole. All *Candida* species were inhibited by amphotericin B, 5- flucytosine, voriconazole, posaconazole, miconazole and caspofungin when applied at a concentration of ≤ 1 µg/ml, while a lesser number of isolates were inhibited by fluconazole at similar concentrations (85.7%). Based on the break point suggested by EUCAST, one *C. albicans* and one *C. parapsilosis* were resistance to fluconazole (MIC, 8 µg/ml). Moreover, one *C. albicans* and one *C. parapsilosis* were dose dependent to voriconazole (MIC, 0.25 µg/ml). Approximately all of the *Scedosporium apiospermum* and dematiaceous fungi were inhibited at ≤ 4 µg/ml concentrations of amphotericin B, voriconazole, posaconazole, miconazole, caspofungin and

natamycin, while 5-flucytosine and fluconazole inhibited these fungi at 64 μ g/ml. Currently, only a limited number of species-specific break-points (BP) and epidemiological cutoff values (ECV) have been established by the EUCAST for testing the susceptibilities of fungi and antifungal agents. As a result of insufficient data, ECVs and BPs were not considered for all species evaluated, but their pooled MIC distributions were included in Table 5.

Discussion

Keratitis is the second leading cause of blindness worldwide and the most common cause of vision disorders and complications

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics, predisposing factors and traumatic agents in patients with fungal keratitis.

Particulars		Frequency	Percentage
	Male	57	66.2%
Gender	Female	29	33.8%
	<25	7	8.1%
Age groups	25-50	41	47.6%
(Year)	51-75	32	37.2%
	>75	6	6.9%
	Farm worker	32	37.2%
	Laborer	18	20.9%
Occupation	Household	23	26.7%
	Unemployed	4	4.6%
	Other	9	10.4%
	Trauma	42	48.8%
	Ocular surgery	10	11.6%
	Diabetes mellitus	6	6.9%
	Use of contact lens	5	5.8%
	History of infective keratitis	5	5.8
Predisposing factor	Local corneal disease	4	4.6%
	Keratoplasty	4	4.6%
	Use of topical steroids	3	3.5%
	Cancer	1	1.1%
	Unknown	6	6.9%
	Plant debris	21	24.4%
	Soil/Sand/Stone	10	11.6%
Traumatic agents	Metal particle	6	6.9%
	Unknown	5	5.8%
	Autumn	34	39.5%
	Summer	27	31.3%
Seasonal distribution	Spring	13	15.1%
	Winter	12	13.9%

(Bharathi et al., 2003; Gupta et al., 2014). FK is a serious ophthalmological condition in all parts of the world. In the case of delayed diagnoses and improper treatment, FK can cause severe corneal damage and even blindness. Based on various published reports, FK accounts for 6 to 50% of ulcerative keratitis cases (Thomas, 2003; Srinivasan, 2004; Shokouhi et al., 2006). Although the disease is found worldwide, it is most prevalent in tropical and subtropical regions, especially among farmers (Shukla et al., 2008). The results of this study indicated that 86 (7.23%) of 1189 patients with suspected infectious keratitis were diagnosed with FK. According to the studies conducted in India, Egypt and Saudi Arabia FK accounted for 34.4%, 43.3% and 3.8% of microbial keratitis, respectively (Saha and Das, 2006; Alkatan et al., 2012; Khater et al., 2014). The incidence of FK can vary depending on the region of a country; for example, it was 32%, 38.9%, 32%, and 39.8% in North, East, West, and South India, respectively (Chowdhary and Singh, 2005). Studies conducted in Iran have found that the incidence of FK varies from region to region. In Sari (northern Iran), Shokouhi et al. (2006) reported an incidence of FK of 31.8% (Shokouhi et al., 2006), whereas another study from Tehran (2012) reported an incidence of 5.5% (Ebadollahi-Natanzi et al., 2016). Similarly, in Rasht in north of Iran (2017), the incidence of FK was reported to be 16% (Tighnavard et al., 2017). Houang et al. (2001) explored the relationship between FK and climate, they concluded that although higher levels of fungal keratitis could be expected in regions with more rainfall and temperatures, this was not always the case and depended on other factors (Houang et al., 2001). There are few cases of FK without associated predisposing factors (Mahmoudi et al., 2018). Some of the predisposing factors for FK include trauma, immunodeficiency, ocular surface disorders, ocular surgery, treatment with topical steroids, and long-term use of soft contact lenses (Cheikhrouhou et al., 2014). According to different studies, corneal trauma (primarily vegetative matter) is the predominant cause of FK in 40-60% of cases (Mahmoudi et al., 2018). The results of our study revealed that corneal trauma was the most important factor predisposing patients to FK (42/86, 48.8%) followed by eye surgery (10/86, 11.6%). Additionally, we found that plant organs were the most important traumatic factor among our patients. There have been similar findings reported in Melbourne, the southern United States, Singapore, India, and Bangladesh, as well as in previous studies in Iran (Shokouhi et al., 2006; Cheikhrouhou et al., 2014; Ebadollahi-Natanzi et al., 2016; Tighnavard et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2018; Mahmoudi et al., 2018). In contrast, eye trauma was reported as the second risk factor in the northern United States (Keay et al., 2011). Additionally, a study in Philadelphia noted that chronic eye disease, the habit of wearing contact lenses, and the use of topical corticosteroids are three common underlying factors (Tanure et al., 2000). A significant increase in FK occurs in men from rural areas following corneal damage (Bharathi et al., 2003). In this study, the male to female ratio was 1.9: 1 (male: 57/86, 66.2%). Furthermore, most FK patients (41/86, 47.6%) were aged 25 to 50 and were farmers. Different studies report higher incidences of FK in the 35-59 age group and among farmers, as well as a higher ratio of males to females (Saha and Das, 2006; Chander et al., 2008; Satpathy et al., 2019). Since this age group is more active outdoors and is in contact with soil and nature, they are more exposed to fungal agents (Cheikhrouhou et al., 2014). Similar findings have been observed in other studies conducted in Iran (Shokouhi et al., 2006; Ebadollahi-Natanzi et al., 2016; Tabatabaei et al., 2018). There is a higher prevalence of FK in the autumn and during the year when more agricultural activities occur (Bharathi et al., 2003). The highest proportion of cases in each season was noted in autumn (34/86, 39.5%) in our study. Several studies have demonstrated a significant increase in the number of reported cases of FK during harvest season and the monsoon winds (Gopinathan et al., 2002; Bharathi et al., 2003; Mahmoudi et al., 2018). Despite its widespread incidence, FK has no consistent clinical picture (Fong et al., 2004; Dahlgren et al., 2007). Clinical signs that can assist with diagnosing FK include severe hypopyon, lesions with feathery

Clinical feature	Number	Percentage	
	Right eye	47	55
Site of FK	Left eye	39	45
	<2mm	3	3.4
Diameter of corneal ulcers	2-6mm	75	87.2
	>6mm	8	9.3
	LC-HM	35	40.6
Visual acuity	FC-20/200	26	30.2
	20/200-20/20	19	22.1
Нуроруоп	56	65.1	
Feathery pattern	59	68.6	
Satellite lesion		17	19.7

TABLE 2 Clinical feature of patients with fungal keratitis.

FK, Fungal keratitis; LC, Light conception; HM, Hand motion; FC, Finger count.

margins, corneal perforation, and satellite lesions (Mahmoudi et al., 2018; Satpathy et al., 2019). We found hypopyon, feathery margins, and satellite lesions on the slit-lamp examination in 56 (65.11%), 59 (68.6%) and 17 (19.7%) of our patients, respectively. Furthermore, the corneal ulcer diameter ranged from 1 to 11 mm (mean: 4.05 mm). As reported by Bharati et al., feathery margins were found in 786 (71.78%), satellite lesions in 110 (10.05%), and hypopyon in 609 (55.62%) cases of FK (Bharathi et al., 2003). Rosa et al. observed feathery margins in 62% of their patients as well as a rough texture in 47%, and satellite lesions in 41% (Rosa et al., 1994). In contrast, Shokohi et al. observed only one feathery pattern and did not observe hypopyon and satellite lesions among their FK patients (Shokouhi et al., 2006). As described above, these

findings are not pathognomonic, and can lead to misdiagnosis and treatment with antiviral, antibacterial or corticosteroid drugs (Satpathy et al., 2019).

In most cases, FK is treated by topical administration of antifungal medications, but there are no gold standards (Iselin et al., 2017). Because of the limited availability of antifungal drugs and the emergence of resistant species, the treatment of FK has become a major challenge. Without proper treatment, it can lead to vision loss in cases of deep lesions (Sharma et al., 2011). Natamycin was previously an effective drug for treating FK, however, poor penetration of this drug into the corneal stroma has led to reports of treatment failure for this drug. As an alternative, topical amphotericin B 0.3% to 0.5% and voriconazole 1% are

TABLE 3 Fungal pathogens isolated from 86 cases of fungal keratitis.

Species	No.	Percentage
Fusarium species complex	34	39.5%
FSSC		
F. solani	26	30.2%
F. falciforme	1	1.1%
FFSC		
F. proliferatum	2	2.3%
F. acutatum	1	1.1%
F. thapsinum	1	1.1%
FDSC		
F. delphinoides	2	2.3%
FSAMSC		
F. brachygibbosum	1	1.1%
Aspergillus species	28	32.5%
Section flavi (A. flavus)	21	24.4%
Section nigri (A. niger)	5	5.8%
Section terrei (A. terreus)	1	1.1%
Section circumdati (A. ochraceus)	1	1.1%
Candida species	14	16.2%
C. albicans	10	11.6%
C. parapsilosis	4	4.6%
Scedosporium apiospermum	4	4.6%
Curvularia spicifera	1	1.1%
Bipolaris panici-miliacei	1	1.1%
Alternaria species	1	1.1%
Alternaria alternata	1	1.1%
Geotrichum capitatum	1	1.1%
Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	1	1.1%
Total	86	100%

FSSC, F. solani species complex; FFSC, F. fujikuroi species complex; FDSC, F. dimerum species complex; FSAMSC, F.sambucinum species complex.

recommended (Sharma et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2016). In the present study, 62.8% of patients received a combination of voriconazole, itraconazole, and amphotericin B. In 32 out of 86 patients, drug treatment did not improve the condition, so penetrating keratoplasty was employed. Among the patients who received antifungal and surgical treatment, 11 (3%) developed recurrent FK. A combination of systemic voriconazole and topical natamycin was found to be one of the most commonly recommended antifungal treatment regimens by Iselin et al. (Iselin et al., 2017). A report by Ghosh et al. noted that 95% of patients with FK were treated with either topical preparations of natamycin alone or in combination with other topical antifungals, including itraconazole, fluconazole, and voriconazole (Ghosh et al., 2016). Tanure et al. used penetrating keratoplasty on six patients with acute fungal keratitis while they were being treated with combination of natamycin and amphotericin B (Tanure et al., 2000). According to previous studies, keratoplasty is required in 26 to 35% of cases of acute FK (Sanders, 1970). An accurate diagnosis of the causative agents for fungal infections is crucial for proper treatment. Improvements in diagnostic methods are essential for this (Fong et al., 2004). FK can be caused by yeasts or molds (Bharathi et al., 2003). FK due to molds is more common in rural areas among agricultural workers and in urban areas among construction workers. Yeast keratitis, on the other hand, usually affects patients with chronic systemic diseases, viral eye infections, and contact lens wearers (Satpathy et al., 2019). We found 72 filamentous fungi (83.7%) and 14 yeast cases (16.3%) in our study. As reported in most studies, Fusarium spp., Aspergillus spp., and Candida spp. are the most common pathogens associated with FK (Ahmadikia et al., 2021). In our study, Fusarium spp. were the most frequent isolates (34/86; 39.5%), followed by Aspergillus spp. (28/86; 32.5%) and Candida spp. (14/86; 16.2%). The most common cause of FK in India, Nepal, Sri Lanka, and Bangladesh has consistently been identified as Aspergillus spp. (Mahmoudi et al., 2018). Fusarium spp. were the most common causative agents in Africa and China (Xie et al., 2006; Thomas and Kaliamurthy, 2013). In European countries, FK is rare and Candida spp. are the most

TABLE 4 Treatment of fungal keratitis in studied patients.

Treatment	Frequency
Antifungal treatment	
Topical VCZ 1% + Topical AMB 0.1%	61(70.9%)
Oral ICZ (200mg/day)+ Topical VCZ 1% + Topical AMB 0.1%	55(63.9%)
Oral ICZ (200mg/day)+ Topical VCZ 1%	47(54.6%)
Topical VCZ 1%	35(40.7%)
Topical AMB 0.1% + topical FLZ	8(9.3%)
Surgical treatment	65(75.6%)
Therapeutic PK	51(59.3%)
Corneal glue	10(11.6%)
Patch graft	2(2.3%)
AMT	2(2.3%)

VCZ, Voriconazole; ICZ, Itraconazole; AMB, Amphotricin B; FLZ, Fluconazole; PK, penetrating keratoplasty; AMT, amniotic membrane transplantation.

common isolates (Ahmadikia et al., 2021). There are other Iranian studies that report Aspergillus spp. and Fusarium spp. being the most common fungal pathogens in FK (Shokouhi et al., 2006; Ebadollahi-Natanzi et al., 2016; Tighnavard et al., 2017). There is a lack of information about the abundance of fungal species known to cause fungal keratitis in Iran due to the limited number of molecular identifications carried out in previous studies. In this study, PCR-based sequencing revealed that F. solani (26/86; 30.2%) and A. flavus (21/86; 24.4%) were the most frequently identified species. Additionally, MALDI-TOF results showed that the most frequent Candida species was C. albicans (10/86; 13%). Although in vitro antifungal susceptibility testing cannot predict clinical FK response, MIC data against different fungal isolates can help ophthalmologists determine the most suitable treatment (Kredics et al., 2015). Due to the wide spectrum of fungi causing FK, varying susceptibility patterns are not surprising (Mahmoudi et al., 2018). The MIC results in this study showed that amphotericin B had the lowest MIC₉₀ against Fusarium species (n=34), followed by voriconazole, posaconazole and natamycin. There were no significant differences in antifungal sensitivity between Fusarium species. In our study, we found posaconazole had the lowest MIC₉₀ against Aspergillus species (n=28), followed by caspofungin, voriconazole, and amphotericin B. Posaconazole had the lowest MIC₉₀ when tested on Candida species (n=14), subsequently followed by miconazole, voriconazole, flucytosine, fluconazole, amphotericin B and caspofungin. Compared to Fusarium species, Aspergillus and Candida species were inhibited at low MIC values by azoles. As expected, these results support a prior study on in vitro susceptibility of fungal isolates (Lalitha et al., 2007). Against S. apiospermum (n=4), voriconazole and miconazole had the lowest MIC₉₀ followed by posaconazole, caspofungin, natamycin, and amphotericin B. Natamycin had the lowest MIC₉₀ among the drugs tested on dematiaceous fungi, followed by posaconazole, caspofungin, voriconazole, amphotericin B, and miconazole. Results of our study are similar to those found by Xie et al. (Xie et al., 2008) and Al-Hatmi et al. (Al-Hatmi et al., 2016) regarding in vitro susceptibilities of isolates from keratitis. Contrary to our findings, O'day et al. (O'day et al., 1986) stated that amphotericin B was ineffective against Fusarium species (139). According to Hassan et al. (Hassan et al., 2015) amphotericin B, voriconazole, fluconazole, and natamycin proved to be potential antifungal agents for treating human Fusarium keratitis. Though susceptibility testing is more popular for bacterial than fungal disease, fungal susceptibility testing is becoming more credible in the literature. According to several studies, infections caused by susceptible isolates respond to therapy better than infections caused by resistant isolates (Lalitha et al., 2007). Therefore, drug susceptibility tests in vitro can provide valuable information on the proper treatment of fungal infections, particularly for specific antifungal drugs that interact significantly with fungi.

Conclusion

It is well-known that corneal damage is a major risk factor in FK. The most at risk group is young and middle-aged farmers due to the increased exposure to corneal damage caused by contaminated

TABLE 5 Antifungal Susceptibility Pattern of assayed isolates.

	Antifungal	MIC					MIC range									
Isolates (No.)	agent	range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	GM	<0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5		2	4	8	16	32	64
	AMB	025-4	1	4	1.35			1	3	17	8	5				
	5-FC	65	64	64	64											34
	FLZ	64	64	64	64											34
Fusarium Spp.	VRZ	1-8	8	8	6.34					1	2	4	27			
(34)	PSZ	1-8	8	8	6.96					3	1	2	28			
	MCZ	16	16	16	16									34		
	CSP	4-16	16	16	14.25							4	3	27		
	NTM	2-8	8	8	5.65						3	12	19			
	AMB	0.125-16	2	2	1.15		1	3	1	6	10	6		1		
	5-FC	2-64	64	64	29.23						4	5	1	2		16
	FLZ	64	64	64	64											28
	VRZ	0.125-1	0.5	1	0.59		1		19	8						
Aspergillus Spp. (28)	PSZ	0.016- 0.125	0.063	0.125	0.07	15	8	5								
	MCZ	1-8	4	8	4.24					1	2	20	5			
	CSP	0.125-0.5	0.25	0.25	0.22		5	21	2							
	NTM	2-16	16	16	10.62						3	7		18		
	AMB	0.125-1	0.5	0.5	0.43		1	2	10	1						
	5-FC	0.063- 0.25	0.125	0.22	0.112	4	7	3								
	FLZ	0.125-8	0.125	0.75	0.304		8	2	2				2			
<i>Candida</i> Spp.	VRZ	0.008-1	0.008	0.17	0.015	12		2								
(n=14)	PSZ	0.008-2	0.008	0.04	0.013	13		1								
	MCZ	0.016- 0.125	0.016	0.125	0.033	10	4									
	CSP	0.125-1	0.25	1	0.371		1	8	1	4						
	NTM	2-8	4	4	4						1	12	1			
	AMB	4	4	4	4							4				
	5-FC	64	64	64	64											4
	FLZ	64	64	64	64											4
Scedosporium	VRZ	0.25-0.5	0.5	0.5	0.42			1	3							
apiospermum (n=4)	PSZ	0.5-1	1	1	0.84				1	3						
	MCZ	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5				4							
	CSP	0.25-2	0.5	2	0.594			2	2							
	NTM	2-4	2	4	2.378						3	1				
	AMB	0.125-4	0.125	4	0.396		3					1				
	5-FC	64	64	64	64											4
Dematiaceous (n=4)	FLZ	64	64	64	64											4
× -/	VRZ	2	2	2	2						4					
	PSZ		0.125	0.225	0.157		3	1								

(Continued)

TABLE 5 Continued

lsolates (No.)	Antifungal	MIC	MIC	MIC	C 14				MI	C rar	nge					
	agent	range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	GIM	<0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5		2	4	8	16	32	64
		0.125- 0.25														
	MCZ	4-8	4	8	5.039							3	1			
	CSP	0.125-0.5	0.25	0.45	0.25		1	2	1							
	NTM	0.008- 0.016	0.008	0.014	0.01	4										
Colletotrichum	AMB	0.5	-	-	-				1							
	5-FC	32	-	-	-										1	
	FLZ	64	-	-	-											1
	VRZ	1	-	-	-					1						
gloeosporioides (n=1)	PSZ	1	-	-	-					1						
	MCZ	2	-	-	-						1					
	CSP	1	-	-	-					1						
	NTM	2	-	-	-						1					
Geotrichum capitatum	AMB	1	-	-	-					1						
(n=1)	5-FC	0.25	-	-	-			1								
	FLZ	16	-	-	-									1		
	VRZ	1	-	-	-					1						
	PSZ	2	-	-	-						1					
	MCZ	4	-	-	-							1				
	CSP	16	-	-	-									1		
	NTM	8	-	-	-								1			

AMB, Amphotericin B; 5-FC, 5- Flucytosine; FLZ, Fluconazole; VRZ, Voriconazole; PSZ, Posaconazole; MCZ, Miconazole; CSP, Caspofungin; NTM, Natamycin; Numbers in bold are modal value.

crops. Using a diagnostic method that is highly sensitive and specific can enable early initiation of antifungal therapy to allow for a complete recovery within a short period of time. The MIC results indicate that amphotericin B may be appropriate for treating FK caused by *Fusarium* species. Amphotericin B, voriconazole, posaconazole, and caspofungin may be good options in cases of *Aspergillus* keratitis. FK caused by *Candida* spp. can be treated with flucytosine, voriconazole, posaconazole, miconazole, and caspofungin and *S. apiospermum* can be treated with voriconazole and caspofungin. Keratitis caused by the dematiaceous fungi may require the use of antifungals such as amphotericin B, posaconazole, and caspofungin. Research that investigates the relationship between *in vitro* susceptibilities and *in vivo* clinical outcomes will be important for the treatment of fungal keratitis.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.MEDICINE.REC.1400.304). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MS, Az, SK, FA, ZA, AM, MA, and SR collected the data and drafted the manuscript. S.K, MS reviewed the manuscript from the clinical point of view. SK supervised the work and reviewed the manuscript from the microbiological point of view. CO performed susceptibility testing. MT-K performed the molecular identification of fungal strain. RD, SH, FA, and SA reviewed the manuscript from the microbiological point of view. MA and ZA helped with collecting fungal strains and the data. SM and SK reviewed the manuscript from the microbiological point of view and helped with editing the manuscript. SR and MA helped with collecting the data. PV and SK reviewed the manuscript from the microbiological point of view and helped with editing the manuscript. SR and MA helped with collecting the data. PV and SK reviewed the manuscript from the microbiological point of view and helped with editing the manuscript. SR and MA helped with collecting the data. PV and SK reviewed the manuscript from the microbiological point of view and helped point of view and helped with editing the manuscript from the microbiological point of view and helped with collecting the data. PV and SK reviewed the manuscript from the microbiological point of view and helped point of view and helped with collecting the data.

helped with editing the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study has been funded and supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS); Grant no. 1400-3-99-56245.

Acknowledgments

We owe a great dept. to Farabi eye Hospital and their staff for their kind helps. This study has been funded and supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS); Grant no. 1400-1-99-53049.

References

Ahmadikia, K., Gharehbolagh, S. A., Fallah, B., Naeimi, M., Malekifar, P., Rahsepar, S., et al. (2021). Distribution, prevalence, and causative agents of fungal keratitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis, (1990 to 2020). *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* 11, 6989780 doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.698780

Al-Hatmi, A. M., Meis, J. F., and De Hoog, G. S. (2016). Fusarium: molecular diversity and intrinsic drug resistance. *PLos Pathog.* 12, e1005464. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005464

Al-Hatmi, A. M., Van Diepeningen, A. D., Curfs-Breuker, I., De Hoog, G. S., and Meis, J. F. (2014). Specific antifungal susceptibility profiles of opportunists in the fusarium fujikuroi complex. J. Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 70, 1068–1071. doi: 10.1093/jac/dku505

Alkatan, H., Athmanathan, S., and Canites, C. C. (2012). Incidence and microbiological profile of mycotic keratitis in a tertiary care eye hospital: A retrospective analysis. *Saudi J. Ophthalmol.* 26, 217–221. doi: 10.1016/j.sjopt.2011.11.005

Arendrup, M., Guinea, J., Cuenca-Estrella, M., Meletiadis, J., Mouton, J., Lagrou, K., et al. (2015a). "EUCAST definitive document e. DEF 7.3," in Method for the determination of broth dilution minimum inhibitory concentrations of antifungal agents for yeasts. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 18(7), E246-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03880.x

Arendrup, M., Guinea, J., Cuenca-Estrella, M., Meletiadis, J., Mouton, J., Lagrou, K., et al. (2015b). "EUCAST definitive document e. def 9.3," in Method for the determination of broth dilution minimum inhibitory concentrations of antifungal agents for conidia forming moulds. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 14 (10), 982–984. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.02086.x

Bharathi, M. J., Ramakrishnan, R., Vasu, S., Meenakshi, R., and Palaniappan, R. (2003). Epidemiological characteristics and laboratory diagnosis of fungal keratitis. A three-year study. *Indian J. Ophthalmol.* 51, 315–321. doi: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_999_21

Chander, J., Singla, N., Agnihotri, N., Arya, S. K., and Deep, A. (2008). Keratomycosis in and around chandigarh: A five-year study from a north Indian tertiary care hospital. *Indian J. Pathol. Microbiol.* 51, 304. doi: 10.4103/0377-4929.41700

Cheikhrouhou, F., Makni, F., Neji, S., Trigui, A., Sellami, H., Trabelsi, H., et al. (2014). Epidemiological profile of fungal keratitis in sfax (Tunisia). *J. mycologie médicale* 24, 308–312. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2014.06.047

Chowdhary, A., and Singh, K. (2005). Spectrum of fungal keratitis in north India. Cornea 24, 8-15. doi: 10.1097/01.ico.0000126435.25751.20

Dahlgren, M. A., Lingappan, A., and Wilhelmus, K. R. (2007). The clinical diagnosis of microbial keratitis. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 143, 940-944.e941. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2007.02.030

Ebadollahi-Natanzi, A., Arab-Rahmatipour, G., and Tabatabaei, S. A. (2016). Prevalence of fungal keratitis (FK) in patients with corneal ulcers in farabi eye hospital, Tehran 2011-2013. *Asia Pac. J. Med. Toxicol.* 5, 94–97. doi: 10.22038/apjmt.2016.7675

Fong, C.-F., Tseng, C.-H., Hu, F.-R., Wang, I.-J., Chen, W.-L., and Hou, Y.-C. (2004). Clinical characteristics of microbial keratitis in a university hospital in Taiwan. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 137, 329–336. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2003.09.001

Ghosh, A. K., Gupta, A., Rudramurthy, S. M., Paul, S., Hallur, V. K., and Chakrabarti, A. (2016). Fungal keratitis in north India: Spectrum of agents, risk factors and treatment. *Mycopathologia* 181, 843–850. doi: 10.1007/s11046-016-0042-3

Gopinathan, U., Garg, P., Fernandes, M., Sharma, S., Athmanathan, S., and Rao, G. N. (2002). The epidemiological features and laboratory results of fungal keratitis: A 10-year review at a referral eye care center in south India. *Cornea* 21, 555–559. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200208000-00004

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Gupta, A., Capoor, M., Gupta, S., Kochhar, S., Tomer, A., and Gupta, V. (2014). Clinico-demographical profile of keratomycosis in Delhi, north India. *Indian J. Med. Microbiol.* 32, 310–314. doi: 10.4103/0255-0857.136582

Hassan, A. S., Al-Hatmi, A. M., Shobana, C. S., Van Diepeningen, A. D., Kredics, L., Vágvölgyi, C., et al. (2015). Antifungal susceptibility and phylogeny of opportunistic members of the genus fusarium causing human keratomycosis in south India. *Sabouraudia* 54, 287–294. doi: 10.1093/mmy/myv105

Henry, C. R., Flynn, H. W.Jr., Miller, D., Forster, R. K., and Alfonso, E. C. (2012). Infectious keratitis progressing to endophthalmitis: A 15-year study of microbiology, associated factors, and clinical outcomes. *Ophthalmology* 119, 2443–2449. doi: 10.1016/ j.ophtha.2012.06.030

Ho, J. W., Fernandez, M. M., Rebong, R. A., Carlson, A. N., Kim, T., and Afshari, N. A. (2016). Microbiological profiles of fungal keratitis: A 10-year study at a tertiary referral center. *J. ophthalmic Inflammation infect.* 6, 5. doi: 10.1186/s12348-016-0071-6

Houang, E., Lam, D., Fan, D., and Seal, D. (2001). Microbial keratitis in Hong Kong: relationship to climate, environment and contact-lens disinfection. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hygiene* 95, 361–367. doi: 10.1016/S0035-9203(01)90180-4

Iselin, K., Baenninger, P., Schmittinger-Zirm, A., Thiel, M., and Kaufmann, C. (2017). Fungal keratitis: A six-year review at a tertiary referral centre. *Klinische Monatsblätter für Augenheilkunde* 234, 419–425. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-123233

Keay, L. J., Gower, E. W., Iovieno, A., Oechsler, R. A., Alfonso, E. C., Matoba, A., et al. (2011). Clinical and microbiological characteristics of fungal keratitis in the united states 2001–2007: A multicenter study. *Ophthalmology* 118, 920–926. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.09.011

Khater, M. M., Shehab, N. S., and El-Badry, A. S. (2014). Comparison of mycotic keratitis with nonmycotic keratitis: An epidemiological study. *J. Ophthalmol.* 2014, 7. doi: 10.1155/2014/254302

Kredics, L., Narendran, V., Shobana, C. S., Vágvölgyi, C., Manikandan, P.Group, I.H.F.K.W (2015). Filamentous fungal infections of the cornea: A global overview of epidemiology and drug sensitivity. *Mycoses* 58, 243–260. doi: 10.1111/myc.12306

Lalitha, P., Shapiro, B. L., Srinivasan, M., Prajna, N. V., Acharya, N. R., Fothergill, A. W., et al. (2007). Antimicrobial susceptibility of fusarium, aspergillus, and other filamentous fungi isolated from keratitis. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 125, 789–793. doi: 10.1001/archopht.125.6.789

Lee, M. H., Abell, R. G., Mitra, B., Ferdinands, M., and Vajpayee, R. B. (2018). Risk factors, demographics and clinical profile of acanthamoeba keratitis in Melbourne: an 18-year retrospective study. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 102, 687–691. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2017-310428

Mahmoudi, S., Masoomi, A., Ahmadikia, K., Tabatabaei, S. A., Soleimani, M., Rezaie, S., et al. (2018). Fungal keratitis: An overview of clinical and laboratory aspects. *Mycoses* 61, 916–930. doi: 10.1111/myc.12822

Ng, J. K., Fraunfelder, F. W., and Winthrop, K. L. (2013). Review and update on the epidemiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment of fungal keratitis. *Curr. Fungal Infect. Rep.* 7, 293–300. doi: 10.1007/s12281-013-0163-9

O'day, D. M., Head, W. S., Robinson, R. D., and Clanton, J. A. (1986). Corneal penetration of topical amphotericin b and natamycin. *Curr. eye Res.* 5, 877–882. doi: 10.3109/02713688609029240

Puig, M., Weiss, M., Salinas, R., Johnson, D. A., and Kheirkhah, A. (2020). Etiology and risk factors for infectious keratitis in south Texas. *J. Ophthalmic Vision Res.* 15, 128. doi: 10.18502/jovr.v15i2.6729

Rosa, J. R. H., Miller, D., and Alfonso, E. C. (1994). The changing spectrum of fungal keratitis in south Florida. *Ophthalmology* 101, 1005–1013. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(94)31225-5

Saha, R., and Das, S. (2006). Mycological profile of infectious keratitis from Delhi. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 123, 159.

Sanders, N. (1970). Penetrating keratoplasty in treatment of fungus keratitis. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 70, 24–30. doi: 10.1016/0002-9394(70)90663-X

Satpathy, G., Ahmed, N. H., Nayak, N., Tandon, R., Sharma, N., Agarwal, T., et al. (2019). Spectrum of mycotic keratitis in north India: Sixteen years study from a tertiary care ophthalmic centre. *J. infect. Public Health* 12, 367–371. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2018.12.005

Shah, S. I. A., Shah, S. A., Rai, P., Katpar, N. A., Abbasi, S. A., and Soomro, A. A. (2017). Visual outcome in patients of keratomycosis, at a tertiary care centre in larkana, Pakistan. J. OF THE Pakistan Med. Assoc. 67, 1035–1038.

Sharma, N., Agarwal, P., Sinha, R., Titiyal, J. S., Velpandian, T., and Vajpayee, R. B. (2011). Evaluation of intrastromal voriconazole injection in recalcitrant deep fungal keratitis: case series. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 95, 1735–1737. doi: 10.1136/bjo.2010.192815

Sharma, N., Sankaran, P., Agarwal, T., Arora, T., Chawla, B., Titiyal, J. S., et al. (2016). Evaluation of intracameral amphotericin b in the management of fungal keratitis: randomized controlled trial. *Ocular. Immunol. Inflammation* 24, 493–497. doi: 10.3109/ 09273948.2015.1057597

Shokouhi, T., Nowroozpoor, D. K., and Moaddel, H. T. (2006). Fungal keratitis in patients with corneal ulcer in sari, northern Iran. Arch Iran Med. 9(3):222-7

Shukla, P., Kumar, M., and Keshava, G. (2008). Mycotic keratitis: an overview of diagnosis and therapy. *Mycoses* 51, 183–199. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0507.2007.01480.x

Srinivasan, M. (2004). Fungal keratitis. Curr. Opin. Ophthalmol. 15, 321-327. doi: 10.1097/00055735-200408000-00008

Tabatabaei, S. A., Tabatabaei, M., Soleimani, M., and Tafti, Z. F. (2018). Fungal keratitis caused by rare organisms. J. Curr. Ophthalmol. 30, 91–96. doi: 10.1016/j.joco.2017.08.004

Tanure, M., Cohen, E. J., Sudesh, S., Rapuano, C. J., and Laibson, P. R. (2000). Spectrum of fungal keratitis at wills eye hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. *Cornea* 19, 307–312. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200005000-00010

Thomas, P. A. (2003). Current perspectives on ophthalmic mycoses. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 16, 730–797. doi: 10.1128/CMR.16.4.730-797.2003

Thomas, P., and Kaliamurthy, J. (2013). Mycotic keratitis: epidemiology, diagnosis and management. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 19, 210–220. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12126

Tighnavard, B. R., Daie, G. R., Mahmoudi, S., Soltani, M. R., Safara, M., Bakhshi, H., et al. (2017). Diagnosis of fungal keratitis in patients with corneal lesions at amiralmomenin hospital in rasht, Iran. *Tehran Univ Med J* 75(5):374–380

Xie, L., Zhai, H., Zhao, J., Sun, S., Shi, W., and Dong, X. (2008). Antifungal susceptibility for common pathogens of fungal keratitis in Shandong province, China. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 146, 260–265. e261. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2008.04.019

Xie, L., Zhong, W., Shi, W., and Sun, S. (2006). Spectrum of fungal keratitis in north China. *Ophthalmology* 113, 1943–1948. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.05.035

frontiersin.org