(Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Shyamala Maheswaran, Harvard Medical School, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Xiang Zhou, xiangzhou@fudan.edu.cn Ji Hoon Jung, johnsperfume@gmail.com Hua Lu, hlu2@tulane.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cancer Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

RECEIVED 25 June 2022 ACCEPTED 04 October 2022 PUBLISHED 14 October 2022

CITATION

Zhou X, Jung JH and Lu H (2022), Editorial: The expanding network of p53 signaling: Reaching to the unknown of cancer. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 10:978056. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.978056

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhou, Jung and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: The expanding network of p53 signaling: Reaching to the unknown of cancer

Xiang Zhou^{1,2}*, Ji Hoon Jung³* and Hua Lu⁴*

¹Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center and Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ²Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ³College of Korean Medicine, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, South Korea, ⁴Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology and Tulane Cancer Center, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, United States

KEYWORDS

p53, metabolism, tumor microenvionment, cancer vaccine, cancer therapy

Editorial on the Research Topic

The expanding network of p53 signaling: Reaching to the unknown of cancer

Four decades of p53 research since the discovery of this tumor suppressor have demonstrated that p53 prevents tumorigenesis by maintaining genomic stability and eliminates cancer cells by inducing cell growth arrest, necrosis, apoptosis, and ferroptosis (Levine and Oren, 2009; Levine, 2020). p53 activity is tightly controlled by various mechanisms. One master controller is the E3 ubiquitin ligase MDM2 that is encoded by a p53 target gene and inhibits the anti-tumor functions of p53 by alleviating its protein translation, blocking its transcriptional activity, and promoting its ubiquitination and proteolytic degradation (Lu, 2017; Zhou et al., 2017). Overcoming this negative feedback inhibition is crucial for p53 activation in response to different stress signals, including oncogenic stress, DNA damage stress, nucleolar stress, and nutrient restrictions (Zhou et al., 2015; Hafner et al., 2019). Also, p53 can be inhibited by other negative modulators, and thus, inactivating these modulators can lead to p53 activation as well (Zhou et al., 2017; Hafner et al., 2019). However, there are still numerous remaining questions in the p53 field. Is the canonical MDM2-p53 feedback circuit a really druggable target for the development of new anti-cancer therapies? What more could we learn about "gain of functions" (GOFs) of p53 missense mutants? Are there more molecular insights into the role of p53 in the maintenance of metabolic homeostasis and the prevention of cancerinduced metabolic remodeling? How do wild-type (wt) and mutant (mt) p53s regulate the immune response, and would generating mt p53 vaccine an effective and feasible approach for developing anti-cancer therapy? These outstanding questions are partly addressed in several nicely written review articles and novel research studies that are collected in this specific issue as briefly introduced below.

Blocking the MDM2-p53 feedback loop has been considered as a promising strategy to treat cancers harboring wt p53 for decades, although it has been quite challenging as

01

there is not an applicable drug targeting this loop as an anticancer therapy in clinic use. In the issue, a review article by Kung et al. gracefully described a canonical mechanism by which oncogenic stress induces p53 activation with some new information and thoughts. Specifically, the oncogenic c-MYC or RAS signaling induces the expression of ARF, an alternate open reading frame encoded by CDKN2A, which in turn activates p53 by interacting with and inhibiting MDM2 activity. Importantly, they also summarized several potential therapeutic strategies targeting the ARF-MDM2p53 cascade, including small molecules, peptides, and the proteolysis targeting chimera (PROTAC) tactic. In a research study, Han et al. reported that the PARP inhibitor olaparib, a targeted therapy for cancers with BRCA1/2 mutations or homologous recombination deficiencies, can induce p53 activation via RPL5/RPL11-mediated inhibition of MDM2 by triggering nucleolar stress, demonstrating an additional action mode of PARP inhibitors by targeting the nucleoli and activating the p53 pathway.

The p53-encoding gene, TP53, is the most frequently mutated gene in human cancers. The cancer-derived mutations of p53 include missense, frameshift, truncation, and deletion. Most of the p53 mutants are missense mutations that often occur in the DNA-binding domain of the p53 protein. These mutants not only lose their tumor inhibitory activity, but also exert a "dominant-negative" effect on the functions of wt p53. Remarkably, several hotspot mutants, such as mt p53-R175H, G245S, R248W/Q, R249S, R273H/C, and R282W, acquire GOFs to further promote tumor growth via diverse mechanisms (Freed-Pastor and Prives, 2012). Although these mutants usually lack the DNA-binding ability, they can indirectly regulate gene transcription by either binding to other transcription factors or modulating epigenetic modifications. Also, they can regulate other cellular processes through protein-protein interactions (Sabapathy and Lane, 2018; Zhou et al., 2019). As described in a review by Madrigal et al. in this issue, mt p53 regulation of microRNA expression involves both transcription-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Mt p53 was recently found to associate with replicating chromatin and PARP1 to facilitate aberrant DNA repair (Xiao et al., 2020). An interesting study by Annor et al. in this issue demonstrated that oligomerization of mt p53-R273H is not required for its chromatin association, though oligomerization of wt p53 is indispensable for its tumor suppressive activity. In a prospective essay by von Grabowiecki et al., the authors proposed a provocative idea that mt p53 might promote endosomal trafficking of a plethora of proteins involved in tumorigenesis and cancer progression by regulating Rab11-FIP1, which is supported by some recent studies as cited in this article and will await further validation.

Over the past years, growing evidence has revealed the crucial role of p53 in the maintenance of metabolic homeostasis and the prevention of cancer-associated metabolic remodeling (Labuschagne et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), an evolutionarily conserved serine/ threonine protein kinase, serves as a central regulator by linking cellular nutrient status to cell growth. In this issue, Cui et al. offered a comprehensive review on the progresses of recent studies on the coordinated regulation of p53 and mTOR pathways in response to the physiological and genotoxic conditions. This is further consolidated by another review by Nagpal and Yuan, who elegantly collected numerous previous and new findings on the role of basally expressed p53 in restraining anabolic metabolism to prevent fast cell proliferation under non-stress conditions. In accordance, the tumor suppressive function of p53 has been also attributed to its activity to regulate glucose metabolism, lipid metabolism, amino acid metabolism, and iron metabolism in cancer cells, which are nicely illustrated in a review by Yu et al. Moreover, p53 has been shown to be involved in the regulation of recycling and clearance of metabolites, nutrients, and cellular debris. This line of information on wt and mt p53's new functions is systematically reviewed by Rahman et al. They offered another comprehensive and updated view on the roles of wt and mtp53s in the regulation of autophagy signaling and provided new insights into the therapeutic potential by modulating p53mediated autophagy.

The roles of wt and mt p53s in the regulation of inflammatory and immune responses have been a hot topic recently. To update this area of research, this issue has also collected several review and research articles. For instance, the review article by Nagpal and Yuan described that basally expressed p53 is required for the maintenance of immune homeostasis. In addition, Shi and Jiang offered a detailed review on various mechanisms underlying wt and mt p53 regulation of inflammation and immunity. For example, p53 prevents inflammation-associated cancer development by suppressing NF-κB and STAT3 signaling pathways (Gudkov and Komarova, 2016; Wormann et al., 2016), while mtp53 antagonizes the STING/TBK1/IRF3 pathway, resulting in tumor evasion of immune surveillance (Ghosh et al., 2021). Moreover, a research article by Zhang et al. showed that p53 mutation is associated the increased production of chemokines, leading to infiltration of different immunocytes in breast cancer. This suggests a complex tumor microenvironment in mt p53-harboring cancers. The development of vaccines targeting p53 has been an old, yet unsolved, topic, as both wt and mtp53 epitopes can be presented on the cell surface for T cell recognition (Houbiers et al., 1993). To update this interesting research area, Zhou et al. offered a thoughtful review on the recent progresses of vaccination of p53 or its peptides and discussed the possibility and application of p53-targeting vaccines to cancer treatment. Collectively, these review and research articles as published in this issue not only show recent progresses in various regulations and roles of wt and mt p53 in cancer development, progression,

and immunology, but also provide more new insights into the p53 anti-cancer functions and mt p53's oncogenic activities. Importantly, these articles also offer new thoughts and suggestions for targeting mt p53 as anti-cancer therapies, such as new potential approaches for developing p53 vaccines.

Although the canonical functions of p53 as a key regulator of cell cycle, DNA repair, and apoptosis have been well documented, increasing studies have been continuingly unveiling novel roles of p53 in metabolic remodeling, immune surveillance, and cancer therapy, making this magic molecule as the most attractive research target as well as a promising therapeutic target for developing anticancer therapies in the future. We are deeply grateful to these authors who have made great efforts to our better understanding of new functions of p53 and new insights into this still mysterious molecule by contributing their comprehensive review and elegantly-designed research articles to this special issue.

Author contributions

XZ drafted the manuscript. XZ, JHJ and HL revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

Freed-Pastor, W. A., and Prives, C. (2012). Mutant p53: One name, many proteins. *Genes Dev.* 26, 1268–1286. doi:10.1101/gad.190678.112

Ghosh, M., Saha, S., Bettke, J., Nagar, R., Parrales, A., Iwakuma, T., et al. (2021). Mutant p53 suppresses innate immune signaling to promote tumorigenesis. *Cancer Cell* 39, 494–508.e5. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2021.01.003

Gudkov, A. V., and Komarova, E. A. (2016). p53 and the carcinogenicity of chronic inflammation. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 6, a026161. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a026161

Hafner, A., Bulyk, M. L., Jambhekar, A., and Lahav, G. (2019). The multiple mechanisms that regulate p53 activity and cell fate. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 20, 199–210. doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0110-x

Houbiers, J. G., Nijman, H. W., van der Burg, S. H., Drijfhout, J. W., Kenemans, P., van de Velde, C. J., et al. (1993). *In vitro* induction of human cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses against peptides of mutant and wild-type p53. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 23, 2072–2077. doi:10.1002/eji.1830230905

Labuschagne, C. F., Zani, F., and Vousden, K. H. (2018). Control of metabolism by p53 - cancer and beyond. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. Rev. Cancer* 1870, 32–42. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2018.06.001

Levine, A. J. (2020). p53: 800 million years of evolution and 40 years of discovery. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 20, 471–480. doi:10.1038/s41568-020-0262-1

Levine, A. J., and Oren, M. (2009). The first 30 years of p53: Growing ever more complex. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 9, 749–758. doi:10.1038/nrc2723

Funding

XZ is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81874053 and 82072879), JHJ is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea Government (MSIT) (No. 2020R1C1C1009348), and HL is in part supported by the Reynolds and Ryan Families Chair fund in Transitional Cancer at Tulane.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Liu, J., Zhang, C., Hu, W., and Feng, Z. (2019). Tumor suppressor p53 and metabolism. J. Mol. Cell Biol. 11, 284–292. doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjy070

Lu, H. (2017). p53 and MDM2: their Yin-Yang intimacy. J. Mol. Cell Biol. 9, 1–2. doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjx003

Sabapathy, K., and Lane, D. P. (2018). Therapeutic targeting of p53: All mutants are equal, but some mutants are more equal than others. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 15, 13–30. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.151

Wormann, S. M., Song, L., Ai, J., Diakopoulos, K. N., Kurkowski, M. U., Gorgulu, K., et al. (2016). Loss of P53 function activates JAK2-STAT3 signaling to promote pancreatic tumor growth, stroma modification, and gemcitabine resistance in mice and is associated with patient survival. *Gastroenterology* 151, 180–193. e112. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.03.010

Xiao, G., Lundine, D., Annor, G. K., Canar, J., Ellison, V., Polotskaia, A., et al. (2020). Gain-of-Function mutant p53 R273H interacts with replicating DNA and PARP1 in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 80, 394–405. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1036

Zhou, X., Cao, B., and Lu, H. (2017). Negative auto-regulators trap p53 in their web. J. Mol. Cell Biol. 9, 62–68. doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjx001

Zhou, X., Hao, Q., and Lu, H. (2019). Mutant p53 in cancer therapy-the barrier or the path. J. Mol. Cell Biol. 11, 293–305. doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjy072

Zhou, X., Liao, W. J., Liao, J. M., Liao, P., and Lu, H. (2015). Ribosomal proteins: Functions beyond the ribosome. *J. Mol. Cell Biol.* 7, 92–104. doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjv014