Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Josip A. Borovac, University Hospital Split, Croatia

REVIEWED BY

Vjekoslav Tomulic, Clinical Hospital Centre Rijeka, Croatia Ivica Bosnjak, Osijek Clinical Hospital Center, Croatia Konstantin Schwarz, University Hospital Sankt Pölten, Austria

*CORRESPONDENCE Lijian Gao I gljxra0104@126.com Haibo Liu I iuhaibono1@hotmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 12 August 2024 ACCEPTED 12 November 2024 PUBLISHED 06 January 2025

CITATION

Wang H, Cui C, Liu D, Liu H, Tian T, Liu M, Zhang B, Zou T, Gao Z, Gao L and Liu H (2025) Safety analysis of brachial artery sheath removal after heparin reversal with a half dose of protamine after percutaneous coronary intervention: a single-center experience. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1479506. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1479506

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Cui, Liu, Liu, Tian, Liu, Zhang, Zou, Gao, Gao and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Safety analysis of brachial artery sheath removal after heparin reversal with a half dose of protamine after percutaneous coronary intervention: a singlecenter experience

Huanhuan Wang^{1†}, Cheng Cui^{1†}, Dan Liu², Hongmei Liu², Tao Tian¹, Minghao Liu¹, Bo Zhang³, Tongqiang Zou¹, Zhan Gao¹, Lijian Gao^{1*} and Haibo Liu^{1*}

¹Department of Cardiology, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Fuwai Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ²Department of Cardiology, Shihezi People's Hospital, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Shihezi University School of Medicine, Xinjiang, China, ³Department of Cardiology, Yunnan Fuwai Cardiovascular Hospital, Kunming, Yunnan, China

Aim: To evaluate the safety of brachial artery (BA) sheath removal after heparin neutralization with a half dose of protamine immediately after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Methods: The clinical data of 209 consecutive patients who underwent PCI through the BA at Fu Wai Hospital between September 2019 and June 2024 were retrospectively collected. In group I, the brachial sheath was removed 4 h after the PCI procedure. In group II, circulating heparin was neutralized with a half dose of protamine sulfate, and the brachial sheath was removed immediately after the procedure.

Results: There were no cases of acute stent thrombosis, nonfatal myocardial infarction or in-hospital mortality in either group. In group II, there were two cases of pseudoaneurysm, one of which was transfer to surgery and the other was manually compressed. No severe puncture site-related bleeding occurred. The levels of hemoglobin were similar between the two groups before and after the PCI procedure (p > 0.05).

Conclusions: The BA sheath can be safely removed immediately after PCI by neutralizing heparin with a half dose of protamine. But we still need to be vigilant about the occurrence of pseudoaneurysms.

KEYWORDS

brachial artery, heparin, protamine, percutaneous coronary intervention, safety

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide (1). Patients who undergo coronary catheterization (CC) via transradial access (TRA) are less likely to experience complications related to the access site and discomfort while walking in the early post-procedure period (2). According to the latest

Abbreviations

CAD, coronary artery disease; CC, coronary catheterization; TRA, transradial access; RAO, radial artery occlusion; FA, femoral artery; ACT, activated clotting time; PCI, percutanous coronary interventin; ST, stent thrombosis; MI, myocardial infarction; VCD, vascular closure device; MC, manual compression.

ESC guidelines, transradial access is recommended as the standard method for CC (3). However, TRA is also associated with several complications, such as hematoma, arteriovenous fistula, pseudoaneurysm, osteofascial compartment syndrome, and radial artery occlusion (RAO) (4). For patients with contraindications to puncture of the radial artery, routine puncture of the femoral artery (FA) is recommended. However, FA access may have more serious complications, such as retroperitoneal hematoma and pseudoaneurysm, as well as the need for bed rest after the procedure. FA puncture increases hospitalization time and is also uncomfortable for patients.

On occasion, neither the RA nor the FA can be safely accessed, such as in patients with severe peripheral vascular disease, an impalpable RA or unsuitable FA. Thus, a percutaneous brachial approach is often used in these patients.

In clinical practice, puncture of the BA often delays extubation to allow an activated clotting time (ACT) within 4 h after the percutanous coronary interventin (PCI) procedure. According to the literature, patients can be immediately and safely extubated after the administration of protamine (5). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to retrospectively analyze the safety of immediate BA sheath removal after heparin reversal with a half dose of protamine after PCI.

Materials and methods

Study population

A retrospective observational study of 215 continuously enrolled patients who underwent PCI through the BA at Fu Wai Hospital between September 2019 and June 2024 was performed. Six patients who received bivalirudin for anticoagulation therapy during the procedure were excluded. The remaining patients were divided into two groups: group I, which underwent brachial sheath removal 4 h after the PCI procedure without ACT, and group II, which underwent brachial sheath removal immediately after circulating heparin was neutralized with a half dose of protamine sulfate.

Procedural details

Before the procedure, all patients received sufficient oral doses of dual antiplatelet drugs (aspirin + clopidogrel or aspirin + ticagrelor). The patient was positioned flat on the bed with their palms facing up, and the puncture point was located at the strongest pulsation point on the inner lower one-third of the upper arm, 2 cm above the skin folds on the elbow. Local anesthesia with 2% lidocaine was applied to the puncture site, and the modified Seldinger method was used for nontransmural vascular puncture and extubation (Figure 1). During the procedure, unfractionated heparin (100 U/kg) was administered to all patients, and the use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors was based on the operator's judgment. The PCI strategy and stent type were selected by the treating physician. In group I, the BA sheath was removed in the ward by the cardiology resident four hours after the PCI procedure without assessing the ACT. In group II, according to the dosage of heparin, a half dose of protamine sulfate was administered immediately after the procedure. The sheath was subsequently removed by the operator who performed the PCI. After 15 min of local compression with elastic bandages (Figure 2), the patient could ambulate immediately.

Endpoints and definitions

The primary endpoints were in-hospital death, acute stent thrombosis (ST) and major bleeding complications. Myocardial infarction (MI) was defined according to the third universal definition of MI (6). ST was defined on the basis of the Academic Research Consortium definitions, and the level of certainty was regarded as definite or probable (7). Major bleeding was defined in accordance with the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium definitions and categorized into grades 3–5 (8). All endpoints were adjudicated centrally by two independent cardiologists, and any disagreements were resolved by consensus.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 23.0 statistical software. The measurement data are presented as means \pm standard deviations ($x \pm s$), and the Categorical variables are expressed as a percentages (%). A *P*-value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline patient characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. A total of 209 patients were included, and 104 patients were included in group I and 105 patients in group II. In Group II, there is a higher proportion of female patients(26% vs. 41%, p = 0.022). There was no significant difference in age, coexisting conditions, clinical presentation, or concomitant medication use between the two groups of patients.

Procedural characteristics

There was no significant difference in the type of intervention treatment between the two groups (emergency or elective PCI). There was no significant difference between the two groups of patients in terms of lesion type, target vessel intervention, number of drug boluses used, number of stents used, or proportion of f patients who underwent intravascular ultrasound (p > 0.05) (Table 2).

Patients in Group I received an average of 71.7 ± 13.0 mg of heparin. Patients in Group II received an average of 70.8 ± 12.7 mg





Wrap with elastic bandage at the puncture site of the brachial artery to stop bleeding.

of heparin and an average of 34.9 ± 8.8 mg of protamine for heparin neutralization immediately after the PCI procedure.

Only one patients had hematoma in group II, neither group of patients experienced severe bleeding, and there was no significant difference in hemoglobin or hematocrit between the two groups before and after the procedure. Two patients had pseudoaneurysm in group II, one patinet transfer to surgery and the other one had manual compression. Neither group of patients experienced acute stent thrombosis nor in-hospital mortality (Table 2).

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics.

	Group I	Group II	<i>P</i> -value		
	(<i>n</i> = 104)	(<i>n</i> = 105)			
Demographic characteristics					
Age; years	63 ± 6	60 ± 6	0.598		
Female gender (%)	27 (26.0)	43 (41.0)	0.022		
Co-existing conditions (%)					
Hypertension	57 (54.8)	69 (65.7)	0.107		
T2DM	34 (32.7)	36 (34.3)	0.807		
Dyslipidemia	64 (61.5)	69 (65.7)	0.530		
Family history	5 (4.8)	9 (8.6)	0.276		
Previous MI	29 (27.9)	21 (20.0)	0.182		
Previous PCI	47 (45.2)	42 (40.0)	0.448		
Previous CABG	7 (6.7)	6 (5.7)	0.761		
CVD	11 (10.6)	10 (9.5)	0.800		
PAD	6 (5.8)	4 (3.8)	0.507		
Clinical presentation (%)					
ACS	62 (59.6)	61 (58.1)	0.823		
Stable angina	34 (32.7)	35 (33.3)	0.922		
Silent ischemia	6 (5.8)	7 (6.7)	0.788		
Medication at discharge (%)					
Aspirin	102 (98.1)	102 (97.1)	0.659		
Clopidogrel	80 (76.9)	88 (83.8)	0.210		
Ticagrelor	23 (22.1)	12 (11.4)	0.039		
Beta blocker	88 (84.6)	87 (82.9)	0.731		
ACEI/ARB	59 (56.7)	59 (56.2)	0.937		
Statin	104 (100.0)	102 (97.1)	0.083		
Ezetimibe	60 (57.7)	65 (61.9)	0.535		
PPI	59(56.7)	61(58.1)	0.842		

T2DM, type 2diabetes mellitus; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CVD, cerebral vascular disease; PAD, peripheral vascular disease; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockade; PPI, proton pump inhibitor. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation; or counts (percentage).

Discussion

This paper presents the results of heparin neutralization with a half dose of protamine after PCI and immediate removal of the BA sheath in a large cohort of consecutive, nonselected CAD patients. We found that this strategy was indeed safe and was associated with a very low risk of complications. But we still need to be vigilant about the occurrence of pseudoaneurysms.

Although the radial and femoral arteries are conventional accesses for intervention in most cases, they are also not suitable access sites in for some patients. Owing to extensive intervention through the RA, the incidence of RAO is reportedly between 1% and 10% (9). In addition, the rates of second puncture and intubation failure using the same RA were 3.5% (male) and 7.9% (female), respectively (10). FA puncture is associated with serious complications, such as retroperitoneal hematoma and pseudoaneurysm, and requires bed rest after the procedure, which increases the hospitalization time and increases patient discomfort. Therefore, for patients with contraindications to puncture of the radial and femoral arteries, the BA may be a good choice.

In the early stages of coronary angiography in the 1970s, PCI was performed through the BA. However, owing to the puncture

TABLE 2 Procedural characteristics and in-hospital complications.

	Group	Group IL	P-value		
	(<i>n</i> = 104)	(<i>n</i> = 105)			
Procedural characteristics					
Emergency PCI (%)	4 (3.8)	3 (2.9)	0.691		
Elective PCI (%)	100 (96.2)	102 (97.1)	0.691		
Target vessel (%)					
LM	10 (9.6)	5 (4.8)	0.174		
LAD	49 (47.1)	44 (41.9)	0.449		
LCX	36 (34.6)	27 (25.7)	0.161		
RCA	30 (28.8)	32 (30.5)	0.796		
SVG	2 (1.9)	2 (1.9)	0.992		
Type B2/C lesion (%)					
А	9 (8.7)	11 (10.5)	0.654		
B1	13 (12.5)	13 (12.4)	0.979		
B2	31 (29.8)	26 (24.8)	0.413		
С	57 (55.9)	56 (53.8)	0.769		
СТО (%)	15 (14.4)	17 (16.2)	0.723		
IVUS application (%)	13 (12.5)	9 (8.6)	0.355		
DCB (%)	41 (39.4)	42 (40.0)	0.932		
Number of stents	1.2 ± 1.0	0.8 ± 1.0	0.862		
Average diameter (mm)	2.27 ± 0.39	2.66 ± 0.38	0.135		
Average length (mm)	21.8 ± 7.4	22.1 ± 8.4	0.139		
Duration of PCI procedure (min)	44.0 ± 23.0	38.9 ± 20.3	0.553		
Dosage of contrast (ml)	175.2 ± 32.6	177.0 ± 46.2	0.177		
Heparin and protamine dosage					
Average weight (kg)	73.0 ± 13.0	71.0 ± 12.7	0.846		
Heparin dosage (mg)	71.7 ± 13.0	70.8 ± 12.7	0.901		
Protamine dosage (mg)	-	34.9 ± 8.8	-		
In-hospital complications					
Puncture site hematoma (%)	0 (0)	1 (1.0)	0.318		
Severe bleeding (%)					
HB before PCI (g/L)	144.3 ± 17.1	142.9 ± 15.7	0.422		
Hematocrit before PCI (%)	43.0 ± 5.0	43.2 ± 5.6	0.547		
HB after PCI (g/L)	131.2 ± 15.5	129.2 ± 16.0	0.751		
Hematocrit after PCI (%)	39.2 ± 4.6	38.9 ± 6.0	0.483		
Pseudoaneurysm (%)	0 (0)	2 (1.9)	0.157		
		1 transfer to surgery 1 manual compression			
Acute stent thrombosis (%)	0	0	-		
In-hospital mortality (%)	0	0	-		

PCI, percutaneous coronary intervetion; LM, left main; LAD, left anterior descending artery; IVUS, intravascular ultrasound; LCX, left circumflex artery; RCA, right coronary artery; CTO, chronic total occlusion; DCB, drug coated balloon.

strategies and operating instruments used at that time, once bleeding occurred, the patient would likely develop compartment syndrome of the bone fascia and experience compression of the median nerve, which often led to ischemia in the entire upper limb and subsequent hand disability (11). Earlier studies have shown that the incidence of complications, mainly bleeding complications and pseudoaneurysms, at the BA puncture site ranges from 7%–11% (12), whereas others have shown that the incidence of complications can reach as high as 36% (13). Therefore, its clinical application is greatly limited.

Concerns about using the BA are limited to compression hemostasis. A meta-analysis including fifteen articles published after 2008 revealed the rates of complications rates associated with percutaneous BA interventions. Seventy-five of 1,424 (5.27%) patients experienced major complications at the access site. Thirteen of 309 (4.21%) patients who underwent hemostasis with a vascular closure device (VCD) experienced major complications, and 65 of 1,122 (5.79%) patients who underwent hemostasis with manual compression (MC) experienced major complications. The major access site complication rate associated with TBA was 5.27% (14). In recent years, owing to the extensive use of the BA in peripheral vascular intervention, the BA has once again become a focus of attention for interventional cardiologists. Owing to the development of technologically advanced surgical instruments, the incidence of complications associated with the BA has significantly decreased compared with that reported previously. Recent studies have shown that the BA can be a safe and effective alternative to the FA for access, with complication rates reportedly ranging between 1.3% and 3.4% (15, 16).

Unlike the radial route, there are no VCDs suitable for external arterial compression in China. Some studies have indicated that the BA sheath should not be removed until normal coagulability has been restored (ACT < 180 s) (17), so the BA sheath is usually removed 4 h after the procedure to allow heparin to metabolize, reducing the risk of bleeding, and then MC is used for hemostasis. This method is not very convenient for interventional cardiologists and also increases the workload of ward nurses. Therefore, we aimed to explore the safety of neutralizing heparin with protamine for immediate extubation.

Protamine was used to neutralize circulating heparin was in earlier studies. In 1997, Pan et al. randomly divided 228 consecutive patients whose stent implantation was successful into 2 groups, one of which received 2 mg/kg of protamine and underwent in-laboratory sheath removal and reported that heparin could be safely reversed with protamine immediately after stent implantation (18). Lin et al. consecutively enrolled 105 femoral PCI patients; 78 underwent stent implantation (1.3 ± 1.1) stents), and heparin was reversed with 0.5 mg/100 U of protamine. The ACT was checked before and after protamine administration, with the aim of removing the sheath when the ACT was less than 170 s. The average heparin dose was $5,076 \pm$ 1,746 units, the peak ACT was 269 ± 68 s, and the postprotamine ACT was 165 ± 31 s. The average protamine dose administered was 24 ± 6 mg. No significant adverse events occurred except for a single hematoma that did not require surgical intervention (19). Ducas John et al. consecutively enrolled 429 eligible patients who underwent PCI. After the procedure, if the ACT was at or above 160 s, intravenous protamine was administered for 5 min according to the ACT. If the ACT was between 160 and 200, 15 mg of protamine was administered. If the ACT was between 200 and 250, 20 mg of protamine was administered. If the ACT was between 250 and 300 mg, 25 mg of protamine was administered. Repeated doses of protamine were administered if necessary. If the ACT was less than 160 s, the sheath was removed immediately in the catheterization laboratory, and hemostasis was achieved by manual compression or clamp compression. Minor groin bleeding occurred in six patients. One patient required femoral pseudoaneurysm repair. There were no deaths during the 30-day follow-up period. The results showed that immediate reversal of anticoagulation therapy is safe and feasible for immediate sheath removal after PCI (20). The above studies show that administering different doses of protamine to neutralize heparin after PCI is safe and effective.

This was a retrospective study, and all patients included had contraindications to radial and femoral artery puncture or were unwilling to undergo FA puncture. In this study, the BA sheath was quickly removed after the administration of protamine to neutralize heparin without assessing the ACT; owing to heparin metabolism, a half dose of protamine was given on the basis of experience and previous studies on the FA approach.

In the group II, 2 patients developed pseudoaneurysms, with a probability of 1.9%, which is lower than the reported probability in other literature. Reversal with protamine for early sheath removal in this single-center study appears to be efficacious and safe. The BA is located superficially, easily palpable, and has a thicker diameter, providing a thicker sheath. It is a simple and effective alternative to femoral closure devices and the RA approach to early ambulation after PCI. But we still need to be vigilant about the occurrence of pseudoaneurysms.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to explore the safety and effectiveness of immediate sheath removal after neutralization with protamine after BA puncture. This may be another good strategy for patients with contraindications to puncture of the radial and femoral arteries in clinical practice.

Limitations

This study was limited by its single-center retrospective nature, small sample size, lack of ultrasound examination, and lack of information regarding whether there were local vascular complications. Patient comfort, length of hospital stay, radiation exposure, and fluoroscopy use were not assessed. The procedures were performed by four cardiologists with varying levels of experience, and there was significant operator variability in both the selection criteria and experience in establishing BA access. A larger multicenter study investigating the safety and efficacy of this strategy is suggested, with the goal of reducing costs and expediting care.

Conclusion

A half-dose of protamine to reverse heparin for early BA sheath removal in this single-center study appears to be efficacious and safe, with no early adverse cardiac events. But we still need to be vigilant about the occurrence of pseudoaneurysms.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available due to privacy and ethical restrictions. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to Lijian Gao, gljxra0104@126.com.

Ethics statement

This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of Fuwai Hospital (Beijing, China). The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

HW: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DL: Data curation, Writing – original draft. HL: Data curation, Writing – original draft. TT: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ML: Data curation, Software, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. BZ: Data curation, Writing – original draft. TZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. ZG: Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. LG: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. HL: Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for

References

1. Rydén L, Grant PJ, Anker SD, Berne C, Cosentino F, Danchin N, et al. ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovasdular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD—summary. *Diab Vasc Dis Res.* (2014) 11(3):133–73. doi: 10.1177/1479164114525548

2. Neumann F-J, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, Alfonso F, Banning AP, Benedetto U, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J*. (2019) 40(2):87–165. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy394

3. Coomes EA, Haghbayan H, Cheema AN. Distal transradial access for cardiac catheterization: a systematic scoping review. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* (2020) 96 (7):1381–9. doi: 10.1002/ccd.28623

4. Sheikh AR, Abdelaal E, Sastry S, Karim S, Zeb M. Novel distal left radial artery access in anatomical snufbox for recanalization of proximal radial artery total occlusion and percutaneous coronary intervention through left internal mammary artery. *Circulation*. (2018) 11(7):e006579. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.118.006579

5. De Luca G, Parodi G, Antoniucci D. Safety and benefits of protamine administration to revert anticoagulation soon after coronary angioplasty. A metaanalysis. J Thromb Thrombolysis. (2010) 30(4):452-8. doi: 10.1007/s11239-010-0482-4

6. Jaffe AS. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Clin Biochem*. (2013) 46(1-2):1–4. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2012.10.036

7. Cutlip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, Boam A, Cohen DJ, Van Es GA, et al. Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. *Circulation*. (2007) 115:2344–51. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.685313

8. Mehran R, Rao SV, Bhatt DL, Gibson CM, Caixeta A, Eikelboom J, et al. Standardized bleeding definitions for cardiovascular clinical trials: a consensus report from the bleeding academic research consortium. *Circulation*. (2011) 123:2736–47. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.009449 the research, authorship, and publication of this article. This research was supported by the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS): 2022-I2M-C&T-B-048 and the Capital's Funds for Health Improvement and Research (CFH 2024-2-4035). However, there was no conflict of interest between the study center and sponsor concerning the conduct of the study or study outcomes.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the staff of the Yunnan Province Fu Wai Cardiovascular Hospital and Department of Cardiology and Catheterization Laboratory, Fu Wai Hospital, for their support in patient registration and data collection.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Sciahbasi A, Rigattieri S, Sarandrea A, Cera M, Di Russo C, Fedele S, et al. Radial artery occlusion and hand strength after percutaneous coronary procedures: results of the HANGAR study. *Catheter Cardiavac Interv.* (2016) 87:864–74. doi: 10.1002/ccd. 26142

10. Sakai H, Ikeda S, Harada T, Yonashiro S, Ozumi K, Ohe H, et al. Limitations of successive transradial approach in the same arm: the Japanese experience. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. (2001) 54(2):204–8. doi: 10.1002/ccd.1268

11. Stajic Z, Romanovic R, Tavciovski D. Forearm approach for percutaneous coronaty procedures. *Acta Inform Med.* (2013) 21:283–7. doi: 10.5455/aim.2013.21. 283-287

12. Watkinson AF, Hartnell GG. Complications of direct brachial artery puncture for arteriography: a comparison of techniques. *Clin Radiol.* (1991) 44(3):189–91. doi: 10.1016/S0009-9260(05)80868-2

13. Armstrong PJ, Han DC, Elmore JR, Baxter JA, Franklin DP. Complication rates of percutaneous brachial artery access in peripheral vascular angiography. *Ann Vasc Surg.* (2003) 17(1):107–10. doi: 10.1007/s10016-001-0339-6

14. Mantripragada K, Abadi K, Echeverry N, Shah S, Snelling B. Transbrachial access site complications in endovascular interventions: a systematic review of the literature. *Cureus*. (2022) 14(6):e25894. doi: 10.7759/cureus.25894

15. Franz RW, Tanga CF, Herrmann JW. Treatment of peripheral arterial disease via percutaneous brachial artery access. *J Vasc Surg.* (2017) 66(2):461–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs. 2017.01.050

16. Parviz Y, Rowe R, Vijayan S, Iqbal J, Morton AC, Grech ED, et al. Percutaneous brachial artery access for coronary artery procedures: feasible and safe in the current era. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* (2015) 16(8):447–9. doi: 10.1016/j.carrev.2015.08.004

17. Criado FJ, Wilson EP, Abul-Khoudoud O, Barker C, Carpenter J, Fairman R. Brachial artery catheterization to facilitate endovascular grafting of abdominal aortic aneurysm: safety and rationale. *J Vasc Surg.* (2000) 32(6):1137–41. doi: 10.1067/mva.2000.109335

18. Pan M, Suárez de Lezo J, Medina A, Romero M, Torres A. In-laboratory removal of femoral sheath following protamine administration in patients having intracoronary stent implantation. *Am J Cardiol.* (1997) 80:1336–8. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9149(97) 00676-0

19. Lin J, Gaidhu N, Awan R, Kong W, Amlani S, Raco D, et al. Protamine reversal post heparin administration for immediate femoral sheath removal in coronary catheterization/intervention: vascular and cardiac safety and efficacy. *Can J Cardiol.* (2018) 34(10):S205. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2018.07.442

20. Ducas J, Chan MCY, Miller A, Kashour T. Immediate protamine administration and sheath removal following percutaneous coronary intervention: a prospective study of 429 patients. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* (2002) 56(2):196–9. doi: 10.1002/ccd.10195