frontiers | Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

TYPE Correction
PUBLISHED 09 July 2024
DOI 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1452088

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Grigorios Korosoglou,
GRN Klinik Weinheim, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE
Yang Dai
✉ yutongwushe@163.com
Yongning Shang
✉ ynshang@aliyun.com
Ying Shen
✉ rjshenying@qq.com

†These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 20 June 2024
ACCEPTED 25 June 2024
PUBLISHED 09 July 2024

CITATION
Liu J, Wang Y, Zhang J, Li X, Tan L, Huang H, Dai Y, Shang Y and Shen Y (2024) Corrigendum: Dynamic evolution of left ventricular strain and microvascular perfusion assessed by speckle tracking echocardiography and myocardial contrast echocardiography in diabetic rats: effect of dapagliflozin.
Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1452088.
doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1452088

Corrigendum: Dynamic evolution of left ventricular strain and microvascular perfusion assessed by speckle tracking echocardiography and myocardial contrast echocardiography in diabetic rats: effect of dapagliflozin

Juan Liu[1†], Yixuan Wang[2†], Jun Zhang[1], Xin Li[1], Lin Tan[1], Haiyun Huang[1], Yang Dai[2*], Yongning Shang[1*] and Ying Shen[2*]

[1]Department of Ultrasound, Southwest Hospital, Army Medical University (Third Military Medical University), Chongqing, China, [2]Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Rui Jin Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

KEYWORDS

early diabetes mellitus, microvascular strain, microvascular perfusion, speckle tracking echocardiography, myocardial contrast echocardiography, dapagliflozin

A Corrigendum on
Dynamic evolution of left ventricular strain and microvascular perfusion assessed by speckle tracking echocardiography and myocardial contrast echocardiography in diabetic rats: effect of dapagliflozin

By Liu J, Wang Y, Zhang J, Li X, Tan L, Huang H, Dai Y, Shang Y, Shen Y (2023). Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1109946. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1109946

In the published article, there was an error in Figure 3C as published. Figure 3C is a sampling diagram of the left ventricular global longitudinal strain (GLS) analysis of the four groups [(1) normal control group; (2) DAPA-control group; (3) diabetic group; (4) DAPA-diabetic group] at 8 weeks. In the process of combining the original figure (1)–(4) into Figure 3C, parts (3) and (4) were accidentally replaced with part(2). The corrected Figure 3C and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.



FIGURE 3
Myocardial strain assessed by 2D-STE. (**A**) GLS, GRS and GCS scores of the four groups at different time points. (**B**) Representative strain time curves of the four groups. (**C**) Representative long-axis strain images of the four groups at 8 weeks. (**D**) Representative short-axis strain images of the four groups at 8 weeks. (1) Normal control group, (2) DAPA-control group, (3) diabetic group, (4) DAPA-diabetic group. GLS, global peak longitudinal strain; GRS, global peak radial strain; GCS, global peak circumferential strain. NC, normal control group; DAPA-C, DAPA-control group; DM, diabetic group; DAPA-DM, DAPA-diabetic group. $^{*}p < 0.05$ vs. normal control group; $^{\dagger}p < 0.05$ vs. DAPA-control group; $^{\#}p < 0.05$ vs. diabetic group at 2 weeks.

Publisher's note