Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Catherine A. Reardon, The University of Chicago, United States

REVIEWED BY Hongya Han, Capital Medical University, China Meng Jia, University of Pennsylvania, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Yue Deng ⊠ dyue7138@sina.com Liping Chang ⊠ ZSFL_TCM@163.com

RECEIVED 20 May 2024 ACCEPTED 04 July 2024 PUBLISHED 17 July 2024

CITATION

Dong H, Liu Z, Chen H, Ba J, Shi R, Jin Q, Shao X, Tian T, Yin J, Chang L and Deng Y (2024) Association between glycemia and multi-vessel lesion in participants undergoing coronary angiography: a cross-sectional study. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1435246. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1435246

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Dong, Liu, Chen, Ba, Shi, Jin, Shao, Tian, Yin, Chang and Deng. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between glycemia and multi-vessel lesion in participants undergoing coronary angiography: a cross-sectional study

Hezeng Dong¹, Zhaozheng Liu², Hao Chen², Jin Ba¹, Rui Shi², Qu Jin², Xiao Shao², Tenghui Tian², Jinzhu Yin², Liping Chang^{2*} and Yue Deng^{2*}

¹College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changchun University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changchun, Jilin, China, ²Cardiology Center, Affiliated Hospital of Changchun University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changchun, Jilin, China

Background: This study aims to elucidate the association between glycemia and the occurrence of multi-vessel lesions in participants undergoing coronary angiography.

Methods: We analyzed 2,533 patients with coronary artery disease who underwent coronary angiography. Of these, 1,973 patients, identified by the endpoint of multi-vessel lesions, were examined using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses to determine the relationship between glycemia levels and multi-vessel lesion occurrence.

Results: The analysis included 1,973 participants, among whom 474 patients were identified with coronary multi-vessel lesions. Univariate logistic regression analysis demonstrated a positive correlation between glycemia and the occurrence of coronary multi-vessel lesions (OR 1.04; 95% CI 1.01–1.08; p = 0.02). The adjusted model indicated that for each unit increase in glycemia, the risk of developing coronary multi-vessel lesions increased by 4%, showing a significant correlation (p < 0.05). Subgroup analyses revealed that the impact of glycemia on multi-vessel lesions in patients with PCI varied according to gender, age, and smoking status, with the effect being more pronounced in men, older patients, and smokers.

Conclusion: Our findings establish a significant association between glycemia and the incidence of multi-vessel lesions, particularly pronounced in male patients, individuals over 45, and smokers.

KEYWORDS

glycemia, multi-vessel lesion, coronary angiography, diabetes, Asian

Background

Advances in intravascular imaging and functional techniques, as well as coronary interventions (1), have led to a gradual increase in the detection rate of multi-vessel lesions in today's clinics. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) has reported that more than 50% of patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) have concomitant multibranch vasculopathy (2). Multi-vessel lesions often predict more serious adverse cardiovascular events (3). The risk of recurrent cardiovascular events is high even after interventional or pharmacological treatment (4). However, there are

relatively few clinical studies on multi-vessel lesions, and there is a lack of effective predictive indicators for multi-vessel lesions (5), except for performing coronary angiography or intravascular ultrasound. We believe that it is crucial to identify and address the key factors in clinical practice. Timely intervention at an early stage is essential to prevent multi-vessel lesions and reduce the occurrence of acute coronary syndromes, lowering the risk of cardiovascular death. Individualized prevention and treatment protocols must be developed.

It is well established that diabetes mellitus and its complications represent a significant risk factor for coronary artery disease (6). Djupsjo, Kuhl, et al. demonstrated that patients with hyperglycemia exhibited a twofold increased risk of long-term cardiovascular death and a rate of cardiovascular events that were more than one times higher than that observed in patients with pre-diabetes (7). Jie Yang et al.'s study also found that glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and fasting blood glucose (FBG) are better at assessing the severity of coronary heart disease (CHD) in patients undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (8). Furthermore, Tütün U et al. demonstrated that uncontrolled glycemia levels not only increase perioperative complications but also the incidence of distal and middle coronary artery lesions. It is imperative to diagnose and aggressively control hyperglycemia before performing CABG (9). These studies confirm that glycemia aggravates the process of coronary atherosclerosis. However, direct clinical evidence of glycemia and multi-vessel lesions, a serious lesion in cardiovascular disease, is currently lacking, especially in Asia. This study is vital given the unique lifestyle and genetic characteristics of Asian populations. Our study will fill this gap by exploring the association between glycemia and multivessel disease in patients undergoing coronary angiography. The aim is to provide clinicians with more precise treatment options and to provide a scientific basis for cardiovascular risk management in diabetic patients.

Method

The participants in our study were all derived from patients who underwent coronary angiography between July 2009 and August 2011 at the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University. Based on strict inclusion criteria, 1973 patients were included in this analysis after excluding incomplete and unclear data (Figure 1).

The primary endpoint of this study was a multi-vessel lesion, defined as the presence of \geq 50% stenosis in at least two of the three major epicardial vessels. All participants underwent coronary angiography and quantitative analyses to characterize lesions according to standard methods. Furthermore, we collected comprehensive demographic and clinical data, which we then analyzed. All data was derived from a database containing demographic, clinical, angiographic, and procedural information. We also obtained data through patient visits, telephone interviews, and chart reviews, or by conducting clinical follow-ups. We then entered the data independently, and an

independent committee adjudicated clinical events. The definitions of diabetes mellitus and hypertension as important risk factors for cardiovascular disease were based solely on clinical guidelines. Patients were defined as diabetic if they had a fasting blood glucose concentration of more than 6.1 mmol/L, a glycated hemoglobin level of more than 6.5%, or were receiving insulin or oral hypoglycaemic agents. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure of 140 mmHg or more and a diastolic blood pressure of 90 mmHg or more, or the current use of antihypertensive medications. A history of smoking was considered to be the presence of smoking within the previous ten years. Glycemia values were obtained from fasting blood samples at the time of admission, along with other laboratory tests including (Cr, UA, BIL, TC, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C). All laboratory tests were collected and analyzed in compliance with the criteria (10).

The data that support the findings of this study are from Longterm follow-up results in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with drug-eluting stents: results from a single high-volume PCI center [Dataset]. Dryad. https:// doi.org/10.5061/dryad.13d31.

Statistical analysis

In our study, we averaged participants' glycemia levels into four quartiles: quartile 1 (n = 482), quartile 2 (n = 500), quartile 3 (n = 496) and quartile 4 (n = 495). We expressed categorical variables as numbers (n) and percentages (%) and assessed them using the chi-square test. Continuous variables are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation of normally distributed data. In addition, multiple imputation with multivariate imputation by chained equation was used for handling the missing values. We used univariate and multivariate regression analyses to examine the association between glycemia and multi-vessel lesions. In univariate analyses, we selected variables with a *p*-value <0.05, including age, gender, smoking, hypertension, DBP, HR, UA, and TG. We then adjusted for a variety of influences in multivariate analyses to validate the robustness of the results. Subgroup analyses were conducted using logistic models to determine the relationship between glycemia and multi-vessel lesions among subgroups, including gender, age, smoking status, and presence of diabetes. All analyses were performed using Free Statistics Approximation software version 1.9. A two-sided P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Result

Study population and baseline characteristics

Our study involved 2,533 patients with coronary artery disease who underwent coronary angiography. After rigorous data screening, 1,973 participants were included in the final analysis. The cohort included 1,341 men and 632 women. The mean age



was 59 years, and 474 participants were defined as having multivessel lesions. Glycemia was categorized into four quartiles, and a description of baseline characteristics revealed significant associations between glycemia and several key factors, including gender, age, BMI,hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and prevalence of multi-vessel lesions (Table 1).

Univariate and multifactorial analysis

In univariate analysis, age, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, glycemia level, uric acid level, and triglycerides were significantly associated with coronary multi-vessel lesion (Table 2).

To further elucidate the relationship between participants' glycemia and multi-vessel lesions, we performed a multifactorial logistic analysis. In the unadjusted model, there was a significant correlation between glycemia and coronary multivessel disease, with a 4% increase in the risk of multi-vessel lesions for each unit increase in glycemia (OR: 1.04, P = 0.02). This relationship remained significant after adjusting for sex, age, smoking, hypertension, diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, uric acid, and triglycerides. (Adj. OR: 1.04, P = 0.039) (Table 3).

These results are clear: glycemia is an important risk factor for the development of coronary multi-vessel lesion. After adjusting for various covariates, we observed a linear relationship between glycemia and multi-vessel lesions, with the risk of developing multi-vessel lesions progressively increasing with increasing glycemia levels (Figure 2).

Subgroup analysis

To clarify the relationship between glycemia and multi-vessel lesion in different age, gender and smoking status, we conducted further subgroup analyses. These showed that glycemia and multi-vessel lesion had a more significant association in males (p = 0.031) compared to females. The analyses showed a significant association between glycemia and multi-vessel lesion in those aged ≥ 45 years (p = 0.008). Furthermore, smokers showed a stronger correlation (p = 0.038) compared to non-smokers (p = 0.085). Due to the lack of information on medications taken by patients prior to admission, diabetic patients who were regularly taking hypoglycaemic medications prior to admission would have resulted in relatively low fasting glycemia values on admission, which would have had an impact on our findings. Consequently, we grouped the patients by previous diabetes or not, and found that compared to diabetic patients, blood glucose and multi-vessel lesion were yet more

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the study participants.

participants (n = 1,973)glycemia1 (n = 482)glycemia2 (n = 500)glycemia3 (n = 496)glycemia4 (n = 495) \cdot Sex, n (%)0.00413.241Female632 (32.0)135 (28)144 (28.8)168 (33.9)185 (37.4)-Male1.341 (68.0)347 (72)336 (71.2)328 (66.1)310 (62.6)-Age (years)59.9 ± 11.158.7 ± 12.159.7 ± 11.060.6 ± 10.960.8 ± 10.20.0133.592Mean ± SD0.00116.082No975 (49.4)264 (54.8)261 (52.2)238 (48)212 (42.8)-Yes998 (50.6)218 (45.2)239 (47.8)258 (52)228 (57.2)CDM, n (%)No1,553 (78.7)448 (92.9)466 (93.2)422 (85.1)217 (43.8)-Yes420 (21.3)34 (7.1)34 (6.8)74 (14.9)278 (56.2)CYes228 (11.6)55 (11.4)55 (11)54 (10.9)64 (13)-Yes228 (11.6)67 (13.9)56 (11.2)52 (10.5)53 (10.7)CNo1,745 (88.4)415 (86.1)444 (88.8)444 (89.5)442 (89.3)-No1,745 (88.4)415 (86.1)444 (88.8)444 (89.5)442 (89.3)-No1,745 (88.4)415 (86.1)444 (88.8)444 (89.5)442 (89.3)-No1,745 (88.4)415 (86.1)444 (
(n = 1,973) $(n = 482)$ $(n = 500)$ $(n = 496)$ $(n = 495)$ $(n = 495)$ Sex, n (%)0.00413.241Female632 (32.0)135 (28)144 (28.8)168 (33.9)185 (37.4)Male1,341 (68.0)347 (72)356 (71.2)328 (66.1)310 (62.6)Age (years)59.9 ± 11.158.7 ± 12.159.7 ± 11.060.6 ± 10.960.8 ± 10.20.013.592Mean ± SD </td
Sex, n (%)ImageImage0.00413.241Female632 (32.0)135 (28)144 (28.8)168 (33.9)185 (37.4)ImageMale1,341 (68.0)347 (72)356 (71.2)328 (66.1)310 (62.6)ImageAge (years)59.9 ± 11.158.7 ± 12.159.7 ± 11.060.6 ± 10.960.8 ± 10.20.0133.592Mean ± SDImageImage1mage1mage1mage1mage1mage1mageHypertension n (%)ImageImage264 (54.8)261 (52.2)238 (48)212 (42.8)Image1mageNo998 (50.6)218 (45.2)239 (47.8)258 (52)283 (57.2)Image1mage492.01No1,553 (78.7)448 (92.9)466 (93.2)422 (85.1)217 (43.8)Image1mageNo1,553 (78.7)448 (92.9)466 (93.2)422 (85.1)217 (43.8)Image1mageNo1,553 (78.7)448 (92.9)446 (89.2)422 (85.1)217 (43.8)ImageImageYes420 (21.3)34 (7.1)34 (6.8)74 (14.9)278 (56.2)ImageImageNo1,744 (88.4)427 (88.6)445 (89)442 (89.1)430 (87)ImageImageYes228 (11.6)55 (11.4)55 (11.2)52 (10.5)53 (10.7)ImageImageNo1,745 (88.4)415 (86.1)444 (88.8)444 (89.5)442 (89.3)ImageImageNo1,745 (88.4)415 (86.1)444 (88.8
Female $632 (32.0)$ $135 (28)$ $144 (28.8)$ $168 (33.9)$ $185 (37.4)$ \ldots Male $1,341 (68.0)$ $347 (72)$ $356 (71.2)$ $328 (66.1)$ $310 (62.6)$ \ldots Age (years) 59.9 ± 11.1 58.7 ± 12.1 59.7 ± 11.0 60.6 ± 10.9 60.8 ± 10.2 0013 3.592 Mean $\pm SD$ \ldots \ldots 0.001 16.082 0.001 16.082 No975 (49.4) $264 (54.8)$ $261 (52.2)$ $238 (48)$ $212 (42.8)$ \ldots Yes998 (50.6) $218 (45.2)$ $239 (47.8)$ $258 (52)$ $283 (57.2)$ \ldots DM, $n (\%)$ \ldots \ldots $- < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <$
Male1,341 (68.0)347 (72)356 (71.2)328 (66.1)310 (62.6) \sim Age (years)59.9 ± 11.158.7 ± 12.159.7 ± 11.060.6 ± 10.960.8 ± 10.20.0133.592Mean ± SD0.0116.082Hypertension n (%)0.0116.082No975 (49.4)264 (54.8)261 (52.2)238 (48)212 (42.8)Yes998 (50.6)218 (45.2)239 (47.8)258 (52)283 (57.2)DM, n (%) </td
Age (years) 59.9 ± 11.1 58.7 ± 12.1 59.7 ± 11.0 60.6 ± 10.9 60.8 ± 10.2 0.013 3.592 Mean \pm SD </td
Mean \pm SDImage: solution of (%)Image: solution of (%)Image: solution of (%)Image: solution of (%)Hypertension n (%)975 (49.4)264 (54.8)261 (52.2)238 (48)212 (42.8)Image: solution of (%)Yes998 (50.6)218 (45.2)239 (47.8)258 (52)283 (57.2)Image: solution of (%)DM, n (%)Image: solution of (%)1.553 (78.7)448 (92.9)466 (93.2)422 (85.1)217 (43.8)Image: solution of (%)Yes420 (21.3)34 (7.1)34 (6.8)74 (14.9)278 (56.2)Image: solution of (%)Heart.failure, n (%)Image: solution of (%)Image: solution of (%)Image: solution of (%)Image: solution of (%)No1.744 (88.4)427 (88.6)445 (89)442 (89.1)430 (87)Image: solution of (%)No1.745 (88.4)415 (86.1)444 (88.8)444 (89.5)442 (89.3)Image: solution of (%)No1.745 (88.4)415 (86.1) <t< td=""></t<>
Hypertension n (%)
No975 (49.4)264 (54.8)261 (52.2)238 (48)212 (42.8)Image: constraint of the system of
Yes998 (50.6)218 (45.2)239 (47.8)258 (52)283 (57.2) \sim DM, n (%) \sim
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
No 1,553 (78.7) 448 (92.9) 466 (93.2) 422 (85.1) 217 (43.8) Image: Constraint of the state of the sta
Yes 420 (21.3) 34 (7.1) 34 (6.8) 74 (14.9) 278 (56.2) Image: constraint of the state of
Heart.failure, n (%) 0.723 1.324 No 1,744 (88.4) 427 (88.6) 445 (89) 442 (89.1) 430 (87) 1.324 No 1,744 (88.4) 427 (88.6) 445 (89) 442 (89.1) 430 (87) 1.324 Yes 228 (11.6) 55 (11.4) 55 (11) 54 (10.9) 64 (13) 1.44 Angina, n (%) 0.313 3.561 0.313 3.561 No 1,745 (88.4) 415 (86.1) 444 (88.8) 444 (89.5) 442 (89.3) 1.44 Yes 228 (11.6) 67 (13.9) 56 (11.2) 52 (10.5) 53 (10.7) 1.441 AMI, n (%) 0.307 3.604 0.307 3.604 No 1,880 (95.3) 465 (96.5) 476 (95.2) 474 (95.6) 465 (93.9) 1.441
No 1,744 (88.4) 427 (88.6) 445 (89) 442 (89.1) 430 (87) Yes 228 (11.6) 55 (11.4) 55 (11) 54 (10.9) 64 (13) Angina, n (%) 0.313 3.561 No 1,745 (88.4) 415 (86.1) 444 (88.8) 444 (89.5) 442 (89.3) Yes 228 (11.6) 67 (13.9) 56 (11.2) 52 (10.5) 53 (10.7) AMI, n (%) 0.307 3.604 No 1,880 (95.3) 465 (96.5) 476 (95.2) 474 (95.6) 465 (93.9)
Yes 228 (11.6) 55 (11.4) 55 (11) 54 (10.9) 64 (13) Image: Constraint of the state of the
Angina, n (%) 0.313 3.561 No 1,745 (88.4) 415 (86.1) 444 (88.8) 444 (89.5) 442 (89.3) 6 Yes 228 (11.6) 67 (13.9) 56 (11.2) 52 (10.5) 53 (10.7) 6 AMI, n (%) 0.307 3.604 No 1,880 (95.3) 465 (96.5) 476 (95.2) 474 (95.6) 465 (93.9) 6
No 1,745 (88.4) 415 (86.1) 444 (88.8) 444 (89.5) 442 (89.3) Yes 228 (11.6) 67 (13.9) 56 (11.2) 52 (10.5) 53 (10.7) AMI, n (%) 0.307 3.604 No 1,880 (95.3) 465 (96.5) 476 (95.2) 474 (95.6) 465 (93.9)
Yes 228 (11.6) 67 (13.9) 56 (11.2) 52 (10.5) 53 (10.7) AMI, n (%) 0.307 3.604 No 1,880 (95.3) 465 (96.5) 476 (95.2) 474 (95.6) 465 (93.9) Yes 93 (47) 17 (3.5) 24 (49) 22 (4.4) 30 (6.1)
AMI, n (%) 0.307 3.604 No 1,880 (95.3) 465 (96.5) 476 (95.2) 474 (95.6) 465 (93.9) 0.307 3.604 Ver 93 (47) 17 (45) 24 (49) 22 (44) 20 (61)
No 1,880 (95.3) 465 (96.5) 476 (95.2) 474 (95.6) 465 (93.9) Var 93 (47) 17 (45) 24 (49) 22 (44) 20 (61)
Vac 93 (47) 17 (35) 24 (49) 22 (44) 20 (61)
153 $73(4./)$ $1/(3.3)$ $24(4.8)$ $22(4.4)$ $30(0.1)$
Smoking, <i>n</i> (%) 0.06 7.398
No 1.322 (67.0) 312 (64.7) 319 (63.8) 340 (68.5) 351 (70.9)
Yes 651 (33.0) 170 (35.3) 181 (36.2) 156 (31.5) 144 (29.1)
SBP (mmHg) 104 5 + 28 5 108 8 + 28 1 102 5 + 28 2 106 6 + 29 0 100 1 + 28 0 <0 001 9 372
Mean ± SD Mean ± SD <t< td=""></t<>
DBP (mmHg) 77.3 ± 11.9 78.0 ± 11.6 76.0 ± 11.6 77.4 ± 12.1 78.0 ± 12.2 0.031 2.964
Mean ± SD
EF, Mean ± SD 61.0 ± 7.8 61.8 ± 7.4 60.8 ± 8.1 61.0 ± 7.3 60.4 ± 8.2 0.024 3.166
BMI (kg/m ²), Mean ± SD 24.1 ± 3.6 24.0 ± 3.3 23.8 ± 3.6 24.4 ± 3.6 24.4 ± 3.8 0.027 3.068
Heart.rate, 72.1 ± 11.5 69.8 ± 10.8 71.1 ± 10.1 73.0 ± 11.6 74.4 ± 12.9 <0.001 15.778
Mean ± SD
Cr (µmol/L) 72.0 ± 30.2 73.3 ± 25.5 72.3 ± 20.5 73.0 ± 40.1 69.2 ± 31.1 0.133 1.867
Mean ± SD
UA (µmol/L) 304.2 ± 92.5 306.4 ± 87.0 308.0 ± 84.3 310.4 ± 100.5 291.9 ± 96.3 0.007 4.034
Mean ± SD
BIL (mg/dl) 9.8 ± 7.6 9.4 ± 4.6 9.5 ± 5.2 10.4 ± 12.3 10.0 ± 5.7 0.160 1.723
Mean ± SD
TC (Mmol/L) 4.3 ± 1.1 4.1 ± 1.0 4.2 ± 1.0 4.3 ± 1.1 4.4 ± 1.1 <0.001 9.736
Mean ± SD
TG (Mmol/L) 1.9 ± 1.4 1.6 ± 0.8 1.8 ± 1.2 2.1 ± 1.9 2.2 ± 1.4 <0.001 14.313
Mean + SD
HDL,C (Mmol/L) 1.1 ± 0.3 1.1 ± 0.3 1.1 ± 0.3 1.1 ± 0.3 1.1 ± 0.3 0.215 1.493
Mean ± SD
LDL.C (Mmol/L) 2.7 ± 0.9 2.5 ± 0.9 2.7 ± 0.9 2.7 ± 0.9 2.7 ± 0.9 2.8 ± 1.0 <0.001 6.921
Mean ± SD
Multi-vessel lesion n (%) 0.003 13.998
No 1,499 (76.0) 392 (81.3) 379 (75.8) 376 (75.8) 352 (71.1)
Yes 474 (24.0) 90 (18.7) 121 (24.2) 120 (24.2) 143 (28.9)

Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median (IQR) for continuous variables and proportions (%) for categorical variables. Sex, Age, Hypertension, DM, Heart failure, Angina, Acute myocardial infarction, Smoking, SBP, DBP, EF,BMI, Heart rate, Cr, UA, BIL, TC, HDL, C, LDL.C, Multi-vessel lesion *P*-values in bold are <0.05.

significantly associated among non-diabetic patients. This shows that even non-diabetics should be aware of glycemia changes. The association between glycemia and multi-vessel lesion was stronger in non-diabetics among the participants who underwent coronary angiography. Therefore, close monitoring of glycemia is essential to prevent adverse cardiovascular events, regardless of previous diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. In conclusion, the findings demonstrate the complexity of

TABLE 2 Univariate analysis for overall population.

Variable	OR_95CI	P_value	
Sex = female, n (%)	0.94 (0.75~1.17)	0.56	
Age (years)	1.03 (1.02~1.04)	<0.001	
Hypertension, n (%)	1.31 (1.06~1.61)	0.011	
DM, n (%)	1.85 (1.46~2.34)	<0.001	
Smoking, n (%)	0.97 (0.78~1.21)	0.788	
SBP (mmHg)	1 (1~1.01)	0.317	
DBP (mmHg)	1.01 (1~1.02)	0.012	
Heart.rate (Bpm)	1.01 (1~1.02)	0.13	
Glycemia (Mmol/L)	1.04 (1.01~1.08)	0.02	
Cr (µmol/L)	1 (1~1)	0.358	
UA (µmol/L)	1 (1~1)	0.023	
BIL (mg/dl)	1.01 (0.99~1.02)	0.394	
TC (Mmol/L)	1.02 (0.93~1.12)	0.693	
TG (Mmol/L)	1.09 (1.01~1.17)	0.018	
HDL.C (Mmol/L)	1.06 (0.76~1.46)	0.746	
LDL.C (Mmol/L)	1.02 (0.91~1.14)	0.713	

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation. Abbreviations as in Table 1. *P* values in bold are <0.05.

TABLE 3 Multivariate analysis for overall population.

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
n total	1,973	1,973	1,973	1,973
n event_%	474 (24)	474 (24)	474 (24)	474 (24)
crude OR (95%CI)	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
	(1.01~1.08)	(1.01~1.08)	(1.01~1.08)	(1.01~1.08)
crude P_value	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
adj. OR (95%CI)		1.04 (1~1.07)	1.04 (1~1.07)	1.04 (1~1.07)
adj. P_value		0.024	0.025	0.039

Model 1: no adjusted.

Model 2: Adj: Model 1 + Sex + age.

Model 3: Adj: Model 2 + smoking + hypertension.

Model 4: Adj: Model 3 + DBP + HR + UA + TG.

P values in bold are <0.05.

cardiovascular risk factors and their differential impact in different patient subgroups. This stratified analysis will help to develop a more personalised management strategy for patients (Figure 3 and Table 4).

Epidemiology and significance of multivessel lesions

The incidence of multi-vessel lesions is increasing in clinical practice and is a matter of considerable concern in current clinical cardiovascular disease research. There is a clear association between multi-vessel lesions and a wide range of adverse cardiovascular outcomes (11). Dziewierz, Siudak et al. reported that multi-vessel lesions were present in approximately 40%–65% of patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or complete coronary occlusion, as well as other coronary artery disease (12). A prospective randomised, multicentre, open-label and controlled clinical trial enrolled 396

patients and found that 52% had multivessel disease (13). Furthermore, Tindale A et al. demonstrated that patients with multi-vessel lesion treated with CR who developed STEMI with cardiogenic shock (defined as lactic acid $\geq 2 \text{ mmol/L}$) had a higher mortality rate (14). This finding is in line with Sorajja, Bernard J. et al., who observed that three-vessel disease significantly predicted cardiovascular mortality and risk of reinfarction (15). These findings demonstrate that multi-vessel lesion is a serious and widespread cardiovascular disease process, that the number of patients who develop multi-vessel lesions is enormous, and that understanding and managing multi-vessel lesions to avoid adverse cardiovascular events is of the utmost importance.

Glycaemia is clearly associated with several cardiovascular diseases (16). Our study definitively confirms the link between elevated glycaemia and cardiovascular disease. This observation is in line with the findings of Xiang Wang et al. who concluded that the TyG index can be a valuable predictor of CAD severity, especially for patients with prediabetes (17). Furthermore, a study by Iijima R, et al. demonstrated that Patients with diabetes often accelerate atherosclerotic thrombosis, resulting in early, widespread, and rapidly progressing coronary artery disease (18). Tong Zhao et al. concluded that hyperglycaemia was an independent predictor of severe coronary artery disease in non-diabetic patients (19). Our study definitively confirms that the association of glycemia with multi-vessel lesions is more significant in non-diabetic patients. Clinicians must be aware of this and provide appropriate early intervention to prevent adverse cardiovascular events.

Unique considerations for Asian populations

It is crucial to note that our study differs from previous studies in two key ways. Firstly, we have a larger sample size. Secondly, we only include Asian populations. This is because Asia is an important region for the development of cardiovascular disease and diabetes worldwide. This may be due to unique lifestyle and genetic influences, among other factors. Expert discussions at the WHO have made it clear that, at a BMI below the existing WHO overweight threshold (\geq 25 kg/m²), Asians are at a much higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (20). It is therefore of great importance to conduct a study of diabetes and cardiovascular disease in Asia. By identifying the link between glycemia and multivessel disease, physicians will be able to more accurately assess a patient's risk of developing multivessel disease.

Limitations and outlook

Our study is comprehensive, but it has limitations. Our study was a cross-sectional investigation, so even after rigorous



data screening, potential confounders could not be completely eliminated. This may limit the generalisability of the findings, but we included a relatively large number of participants, and the results are still instructive for future studies to provide a basis for a deeper understanding of the relationship between diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease. Longitudinal studies are needed to understand the long-term effects of glycemia on cardiovascular occurrence and prognosis in patients with multivessel disease. It is also crucial to include participants from more regions and ethnicities to raise awareness of glycaemic control in all regions. Clinicians must be vigilant about the glycaemic status of their patients, as this is a key factor in the assessment and management of cardiovascular risk.

Conclusion

Our study definitively demonstrated a linear relationship between glycemia and multivessel disease in patients undergoing coronary angiography. Even after adjusting for study-related confounders, the results remained significant. This indicates that the risk of multi-vessel lesion increases progressively with increasing glycemia levels. Our study provides unquestionable evidence that glycemia control is crucial for the prevention and treatment of multi-vessel lesions. It also offers invaluable insights for improving risk assessment and management of cardiovascular disease. These findings have significant implications for public health policy development and optimisation of clinical care, particularly in areas with a high prevalence of diabetes and cardiovascular disease.



TABLE 4	Subgroup	analysis for	association	between	glycemia	and	multi-vessel	lesion.
---------	----------	--------------	-------------	---------	----------	-----	--------------	---------

Subgroup	n total	n event_%	crude OR_95CI	crude P_value	P for interaction_1	P for interaction_2
Sex						
Female	632.0	157 (24.8)	1.02 (0.96~1.09)	0.477	0.581	0.585
Male	1,341.0	317 (23.6)	1.05 (1~1.09)	0.031		
Age (years)						
Age < 45	199.0	33 (16.6)	0.98 (0.87~1.1)	0.688	0.105	0.208
Age ≥ 45	1,774.0	441 (24.9)	1.06 (1.02~1.1)	0.008		
Smoking						
No	1,322.0	320 (24.2)	1.03 (1~1.07)	0.085	0.258	0.253
Yes	651.0	154 (23.7)	1.08 (1~1.17)	0.038		
DM						
No	1,553.0	333 (21.4)	1.04 (1~1.09)	0.074	0.031	0.038
Yes	420.0	141 (33.6)	0.96 (0.9~1.02)	0.191		

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation; Other abbreviations as in Table 1.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.13d31.

The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the ethics committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University.

Author contributions

HD: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. ZL: Data curation, Writing – original draft. HC: Formal Analysis, Writing –

original draft. JB: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. RS: Formal Analysis, Writing – review & editing. QJ: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. XS: Writing – review & editing. TT: Writing – review & editing. JY: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. LC: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. YD: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, (82174350).

Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank Haimu Yao (Department of Cardiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University) for providing the datasets. We are also grateful

References

1. Serruys PW, Ono M, Garg S, Hara H, Kawashima H, Pompilio G, et al. Percutaneous coronary revascularization: JACC historical breakthroughs in perspective. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2021) 78(4):384–407. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.05.024

2. Widimsky P, Holmes DR Jr. How to treat patients with ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction and multi-vessel disease? *Eur Heart J.* (2011) 32(4):396–403. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehq410

 Sheth T, Pinilla-Echeverri N, Moreno R, Wang J, Wood DA, Storey RF, et al. Nonculprit lesion severity and outcome of revascularization in patients with STEMI and multivessel coronary disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2020) 76(11):1277–86. doi: 10. 1016/j.jacc.2020.07.034

4. Hemetsberger R, Abdelghani M, Toelg R, Garcia-Garcia HM, Farhan S, Mankerious N, et al. Complex vs. Non-complex percutaneous coronary intervention with newer-generation drug-eluting stents: an analysis from the randomized BIOFLOW trials. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2022) 111(7):795–805. doi: 10.1007/s00392-022-01994-4

5. Baumann AAW, Mishra A, Worthley MI, Nelson AJ, Psaltis PJ. Management of multivessel coronary artery disease in patients with non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a complex path to precision medicine. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis.* (2020) 11:2040622320938527. doi: 10.1177/2040622320938527

6. Hong KN, Fuster V, Rosenson RS, Rosendorff C, Bhatt DL. How low to go with glucose, cholesterol, and blood pressure in primary prevention of CVD. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2017) 70(17):2171–85. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.09.001

7. Djupsjö C, Kuhl J, Andersson T, Lundbäck M, Holzmann MJ, Nyström T. Admission glucose as a prognostic marker for all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21(1):258. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01699-y. Erratum in: Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2022 December 29;21(1):292. PMID: 36435766; PMCID: PMC9701417.

8. Yang J, Zhou Y, Zhang T, Lin X, Ma X, Wang Z, et al. Fasting blood glucose and HbA1c correlate with severity of coronary artery disease in elective PCI patients with HbA1c 5.7% to 6.4. *Angiology*. (2020) 71(2):167–74. doi: 10.1177/0003319719887655

9. Tütün U, Ciçekçioğlu F, Budak B, Temürtürkan M, Parlar AI, Seren M, et al. Coronary atherosclerosis distribution and the effect of blood glucose level on operative mortality/morbidity in diabetic patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery: a single center experience. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg.* (2007) 7 (2):158–63.

10. Cheng Y, Fang Z, Zhang X, Wen Y, Lu J, He S, et al. Association between triglyceride glucose-body mass index and cardiovascular outcomes in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a retrospective study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22(1):75. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-01794-8

to Dr. Jie Liu (Department of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, Chinese PLA General Hospital) and Dr. Wentao Ni (Department of Respiration, Peking University People's Hospital) for their contribution to the statistical support, and study design consultations.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

11. Toma A, Stähli BE, Gick M, Gebhard C, Nührenberg T, Mashayekhi K, et al. Impact of multi-vessel versus single-vessel disease on outcomes after percutaneous coronary interventions for chronic total occlusions. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2017) 106 (6):428–35. doi: 10.1007/s00392-016-1072-z

12. Dziewierz A, Siudak Z, Rakowski T, Zasada W, Dubiel JS, Dudek D. Impact of multivessel coronary artery disease and noninfarct-related artery revascularization on outcome of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction transferred for primary percutaneous coronary intervention (from the EUROTRANSFER registry). *Am J Cardiol.* (2010) 106(3):342–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.03.029

13. Werner GS, Martin-Yuste V, Hildick-Smith D, Boudou N, Sianos G, Gelev V, et al. A randomized multicentre trial to compare revascularization with optimal medical therapy for the treatment of chronic total coronary occlusions. *Eur Heart J.* (2018) 39(26):2484–93. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy220

14. Tindale A, Cretu I, Meng H, Panoulas V. Complete revascularization is associated with higher mortality in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction, multi-vessel disease and shock defined by hyperlactataemia: results from the harefield shock registry incorporating explainable machine learning. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* (2023) 12(9):615–23. doi: 10.1093/ehjacc/zuad062

15. Sorajja P, Gersh BJ, Cox DA, McLaughlin MG, Zimetbaum P, Costantini C, et al. Impact of multivessel disease on reperfusion success and clinical outcomes in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J.* (2007) 28(14):1709–16. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehm184

16. Chen Y, Xu L, Cheng Z, Zhang D, Yang J, Yin C, et al. Progression from different blood glucose states to cardiovascular diseases: a prospective study based on multistate model. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* (2023) 30(14):1482–91. doi: 10.1093/eurjpc/zwad196

17. Wang X, Xu W, Song Q, Zhao Z, Meng X, Xia C, et al. Association between the triglyceride-glucose index and severity of coronary artery disease. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21(1):168. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01606-5

18. Iijima R, Ndrepepa G, Kujath V, Harada Y, Kufner S, Schunkert H, et al. A pancoronary artery angiographic study of the association between diabetes mellitus and progression or regression of coronary atherosclerosis. *Heart Vessels*. (2017) 32 (4):376–84. doi: 10.1007/s00380-016-0889-8

19. Zhao T, Gong HP, Dong ZQ, Du YM, Lu QH, Chen HQ. Predictive value of fasting blood glucose for serious coronary atherosclerosis in non-diabetic patients. *J Int Med Res.* (2019) 47(1):152–8. doi: 10.1177/0300060518798252

20. Expert Consultation WHO. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet.* (2004) 363 (9403):157-63. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15268-3 Erratum in: Lancet. 2004 March 13;363(9412):902. PMID: 14726171.

08