Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Angeliki Chroni, National Centre of Scientific Research Demokritos, Greece

REVIEWED BY Chiara Pavanello, University of Milan, Italy Nicholas J. Hand, University of Pennsylvania, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Antti Jokiniitty ⊠ antti.jokiniitty@pirha.fi

RECEIVED 15 May 2024 ACCEPTED 10 July 2024 PUBLISHED 26 July 2024

CITATION

Jokiniitty A, Eskola M, Metso S, Bogsrud M, Huhtala H and Saarela T (2024) Genetic testing for familial hypercholesterolemia in a Finnish cohort of patients with premature coronary artery disease and elevated LDL-C levels. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1433042. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1433042

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Jokiniitty, Eskola, Metso, Bogsrud, Huhtala and Saarela. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genetic testing for familial hypercholesterolemia in a Finnish cohort of patients with premature coronary artery disease and elevated LDL-C levels

Antti Jokiniitty^{1,2*}, Markku Eskola^{1,3}, Saara Metso^{1,2}, Martin Bogsrud⁴, Heini Huhtala⁵ and Tanja Saarela^{1,6}

¹Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland, ²Department of Internal Medicine, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland, ³Heart Hospital, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland, ⁴Unit for Cardiac and Cardiovascular Genetics, Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ⁵Faculty of Social Sciences, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland, ⁶Department of Clinical Genetics, Kuopio University Hospital, Wellbeing Services County of North Savo, Kuopio, Finland

Background: Based on Finnish *LDLR*-founder variations, the prevalence of familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) in Finland is estimated to be at least 1:600. Patients with FH have increased risk of premature coronary artery disease (CAD) and thus the prevalence of FH is expected to be higher in this subgroup. **Objective:** To assess the prevalence of monogenic FH in a Finnish cohort of patients with premature CAD and elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels.

Methods: Among 28,295 patients undergoing angiography at Heart Hospital at Tampere University Hospital between 2007 and 2017, we identified 162 patients diagnosed with premature CAD (men aged <55 years and women aged <60 years) and history of high LDL-C (≥5 mmol/L) levels without secondary causes of hypercholesterolemia. Clinical probability of FH was estimated, and genetic testing of FH was carried out in 80 patients with informed consent.

Results: Of the 80 patients with premature CAD and history of high LDL-C levels, 70% were men; the age at diagnosis of CAD for male and female patients was 48 and 53 years, respectively. In total, 58 (73%) patients had probable (n = 54) or definite (n = 4) FH based on Dutch Lipid Clinic Network criteria. A pathogenic variant of FH was found in five (6%) patients. Prevalence of the genetically verified FH was 1:16. The FH variant was found in 75% of patients with definite FH. **Conclusions:** The prevalence of genetically verified FH was 1:16 among patients with premature CAD and elevated LDL-C level, which is 38 times higher than the estimated prevalence of 1:600 in the general Finnish population.

KEYWORDS

familial hypercholesterolemia, genetic testing, coronary artery disease, screening, hyperlipidemia

Introduction

Monogenic familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is predominantly caused by autosomal dominant variants of *LDLR*, *APOB*, or *PCSK9* genes that disrupt the normal LDL uptake (1). FH is a common genetic disease, and based on large meta-analyses, the prevalence of heterozygous FH (HeFH) is 1:311–1:313 in the general population (2, 3). Untreated FH

leads to accelerated cardiovascular disease (CVD) and premature coronary artery disease (CAD) (4).

FH can be diagnosed with clinical criteria or by molecular genetic testing. Clinical criteria are based on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels, presence of premature CVD, family history of CVD and/or hypercholesterolemia, and clinical findings of lipid accumulation (5, 6). Sensitivity of the clinical criteria can decrease if information regarding family history of CVD and pre-treatment cholesterol levels of affected relatives is missing or suboptimal. In addition, there is marked overlap in phenotypes of polygenic FH with complex molecular etiology and HeFH (7). However, patients with monogenic FH have a two- to threefold increased risk for CAD compared with those with similar LDL-C levels without a monogenic cause (8). A genetic diagnosis of FH enhances cascade testing in the family (7), improves initiation and adherence of lipid-lowering therapies (9), and provides more accurate CVD risk stratification without markedly increased anxiety or mental burden (10). In some areas, a definite FH diagnosis can also affect medical reimbursements. Thus, identifying the pathogenic variant of FH via genetic testing is regarded as the gold standard for diagnosing FH.

Current European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines recommend that a diagnosis of FH should be made using clinical criteria and confirmed with DNA analysis when possible (4). Journal of the American College of Cardiology (JACC) consensus statement recommends FH genetic testing to be standard of care for patients with definite or probable FH and their relatives. The genetic testing should include *LDLR*, *APOB*, and *PCSK9* genes (7)

The Finns present a genetically isolated population. It has previously been reported that five LDLR gene founder variants account for up to 78% of HeFH cases and seven founder variants up to 90% of HeFH cases (11, 12). Based on Finnish *LDLR*founder variants, the prevalence of FH in Finland is estimated to be at least 1:600 (13). In national current care guidelines, genetic testing for the four most common Finnish founder variants is recommended if FH is definite or probable based on Dutch Lipid Clinic Network (DLCN) criteria. Additional genetic testing is recommended in patients with a strong suspicion of FH and negative founder variant test.

The positive predictive value of DLCN criteria for FH-causing variants is high. In patients with DLCN criteria, the variant detection rates are 54%, 39%, and 28% for definite FH (>8 points), probable FH (6–8 points), and possible FH (3–5 points), respectively (14).

The aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of clinical and genetically verified FH in a Finnish cohort of patients with premature CAD and elevated LDL-C levels.

Methods

We recently developed an automated FH-screening tool implemented at Tampere Heart Hospital (15). We retrospectively identified patients treated between 2007 and 2017 with premature CAD and history of elevated LDL-C levels (\geq 5 mmol/L) for further evaluation regarding FH (15). In brief, among 28,295 patients undergoing coronary angiography, 211 had premature CAD (men aged < 55 years and women aged < 60 years) and their highest measured LDL-C was \geq 5 mmol/L. After extensive analysis of electronic health records (EHR) to exclude patients with secondary hypercholesterolemia, i.e., nephrotic syndrome or end-stage renal disease (ESRD), uncontrolled diabetes mellitus as defined by HbA1c above 70 mmol/L, hypothyroidism (TSH > 10 mU/L), medications (i.e., aripiprazole, anabolic steroids), or cholestasis, 162 patients were candidates for further evaluation regarding FH.

We used the DLCN criteria (16) to evaluate clinical FH in the cohort selected for further evaluation. As done in previous studies, patients with >8 points were considered to have definite FH, those with 6–8 points probable FH, and those with 5 points possible FH. Due to the selection criteria for the screening program, the lowest possible result for participants was 5 points and referral to genetic testing did not depend on the DLCN score in the selected cohort (17).

Genomic DNA was extracted from venous blood samples using standard procedures. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, genetic testing was conducted in two different phases: either during standard treatment, as instructed in national Current Care Guidelines when referral to genetic testing is based on treating clinicians' awareness or after recruitment in screened patients who were initially not thoroughly evaluated regarding FH.

The assessment of the Finnish FH founder variants (*LDLR*) was conducted in 21 patients and a FH Gene Panel was conducted in three patients as a follow-up during standard clinical surveillance and treatment (15). In the second phase, to enhance genetic testing of FH, we recruited participants who were not studied with a FH Gene Panel (n = 159) for further DNA analysis. Patients were studied for Finnish FH founder variants, if not done previously. In addition, FH variants were tested in consenting patients at the Unit for Cardiac and Cardiovascular Genetics, Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital.

A genetic analysis for four Finnish founder mutations of the *LDLR* gene (FH-Helsinki, FH-North Karelia, FH-Pori, FH-Turku) was conducted by FimLab. Samples were extracted from peripheral blood (minimum 1 ml) in an ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tube. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction with TaqMan[®] SNP Genotyping assay, Applied Biosystems[®] with specific primers, and probes for each founder mutation was conducted with LightCycler 480 II (Roche[®]) (Supplementary Table S3A)

A FH Gene Panel during standard treatments was conducted by a Blueprint Genetics[®] Hyperlipidemia panel using nextgeneration sequencing (NGS, including sequencing and deletion/ duplication analysis). Blueprint Genetics Laboratory located in Finland is a CLIA-certified laboratory and accredited by the College of American Pathologists and by FINAS Finnish Accreditation Service. Samples were extracted from peripheral blood (minimum 1 ml) in an EDTA tube. The target region for each gene includes coding exons and ± 20 bp from the



FIGURE 1

Flow chart of the study. *Four Finnish founder mutations, LDLR (g.39215_47749del8535), LDLR [c.925_931delCCCATCA, p.(Pro309Lysfs)], LDLR [c.1202T>A, p.(Leu401His)], and LDLR [c.2531G>A, p.(Gly844Asp)] in 21 patients were studied during standard treatment and 59 patients were recruited after further evaluation regarding FH. **FH gene panel, NGS (including sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis) including LDLR, APOB, PCSK9, and LDLRRAP1. All three patients were studied during standard treatment. ***Sanger sequencing of the translated exons of the LDLR gene (NM_000527.4) with at least 20 bp of flanking intron sequences, and a 101 bp fragment spanning nucleotides c.10537-10637 in exon 26 of the APOB gene (NM_000384.3) to detect mutations affecting codon 3527, which is the only codon where mutations definitely may cause familial defective apolipoprotein B-100 (FDB). Sanger sequencing of the translated exons with flanking intron sequences of the PCSK9 gene (NM_174936.4), as well as MLPA analysis of the LDLR gene, is also performed. All 59 patients were recruited to genetic testing after further evaluation regarding FH. FH, familial hypercholesterolaemia; CAD, coronary artery disease.

exon-intron boundary. Panel content is described in Supplementary Tables S3B and S3C.

Oslo University Hospital conducted Sanger sequencing of the translated exons of the LDLR gene (NM_000527.4) with at least 20 bp of flanking intron sequences, and a 101 bp fragment spanning nucleotides c.10537-10637 in exon 26 of the APOB gene (NM_000384.3) to detect mutations affecting codon 3527, which is the only codon where mutations definitely may cause FDB. Sanger sequencing of the translated exons with flanking intron sequences of the PCSK9 gene (NM_174936.4), as well as multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) analysis of the LDLR gene, was also performed (18).

In the second phase, a letter explaining the details of the study was mailed to the patients between October 2019 and May 2020 if the patient was still alive and lived in Pirkanmaa Hospital district. The participants agreeing to participate were asked to return their written consent forms to the study and to the genetic testing via mail free of charge.

In total, 104 consent letters were sent and 66 (53%) patients agreed to participate in the study and signed the informed consent for genetic testing. Seven patients did not provide blood samples despite their initial consent. Blood samples from 59 patients were collected for genetic testing in the second phase. A flow chart of the study is presented in Figure 1.

To assess the cardiovascular risk profile, diabetes was defined if a patient had a previous diagnosis of diabetes, had received treatment for diabetes, or had an Hba1c level above 48 mmol/mol. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (BP) >140 mmHg, diastolic BP >90 mmHg, or a diagnosis of hypertension or antihypertensive medication. Smoking was categorized as non-smoker, ex-smoker, or current smoker. Obesity was defined as a body mass index (BMI) >25 kg/m².

The statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Absolute numbers and percentages were used to describe the categorical data. Categorical variables were analyzed using a chi-square test. Quantitative data on age and BMI were given as means and standard deviations (SD) and total cholesterol (TC) and LDL-C levels as medians and quartiles. A Mann-Whitney U-test was used to assess the difference in continuous variables between the two patient groups. A two-sided *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and the study protocol was previously approved by the ethics committee of Tampere University Hospital.

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of patients tested for genetic variants of FH (n = 80).

Gender, <i>n</i> (%)						
Male	56 (70.0)					
Female	24 (30.0)					
Age at diagnosis of CAD, mean (SD)						
Male	48.1 (4.7)					
Female	53.2 (5.5)					
TC (mmol/L), median (Q1-Q3)	7.3 (6.9–7.8)					
LDL-C (mmol/L), median (Q1-Q3)	5.4 (5.2-5.8)					
Family history of early CAD, n (%)	56 (70.0)					
Hypertension, n (%)	61 (76.3)					
Diabetes, n (%)	13 (16.3)					
Current/ex-smoker at diagnosis of CAD, n (%)	52 (65)					
BMI, mean (SD)	29.5 (5.8)					
DLCNC, <i>n</i> (%)						
Possible FH	22 (27.5)					
Probable FH	54 (67.5)					
Definite FH	4 (5)					

DLCNC, Dutch Lipid Clinic Network Criteria

Results

Clinical data and the results from genetic testing were available for 80 patients (Figure 1). Of the 80 patients with premature CAD and history of high LDL-C levels, 70% were male. The mean age at the time of CAD was 48.1 years (SD 4.7) in men and 53.2 years (SD 5.5) in women (Table 1). The highest median recorded total cholesterol was 7.3 mmol/L (Q1–Q3: 6.9– 7.8 mmol/L) and the highest median LDL-C was 5.4 mmol/L (Q1–Q3: 5.2–5.8 mmol/L). Hypertension was present in 76% of patients, diabetes in 16%, and a family history of early CAD in 70% of patients. Current smoking was reported by 30% of patients and ex-smoking by 35% of patients at the time of CAD. Lipid-lowering therapy was prescribed to 46% of patients before the CAD diagnosis and 70% of these were currently using the prescribed medication (Table 1).

Four patients (5%) were classified with definite FH based on DLCN criteria, 54 (67.5%) patients with probable FH, and 22 (27.5%) patients with possible FH (Table 1 and Supplementary Material).

A heterozygous FH variant was found in five (6%) patients, of which four variants were Finnish founder variants [three FH-North

TABLE 3 Baseline values of patients tested for genetic variants of familial hypercholesterolemia.

	No genetic variant of FH n = 75	Genetic variant of FH identified n = 5	<i>p</i> - value
Age at baseline CAD, mean (SD)	49.8 (5.3)	47.8 (7.9)	0.001
TC (mmol/L), median (Q1–Q3)	7.2 (6.9–7.7)	9.9 (8.2–10.6)	0.010
LDL-C (mmol/L), median (Q1–Q3)	5.3 (5.1-5.7)	7.5 (6.4–8.6)	0.001

Karelia *LDLR* c.925_931delCCCATCA, p.(Pro309Lysfs) and one FH-Pori *LDLR* c.1202T>A, p.(Leu401His)] and one was LDLR c.(2,140 + 1_2141-1)_(2,548+?)del/Exons 16–18 variant (Table 2 and Supplementary Material). Thus, the prevalence of the genetically verified FH was 1:16 in the study cohort. Among the five patients with genetically confirmed FH, three (60%) fulfilled the DLCN criteria for definite FH and two (40%) for possible FH.

The FH variant was found in 75% of those four patients with definite FH compared to only 4% in those 54 patients with possible FH. The five patients with genetically confirmed FH had higher LDL-cholesterol (7.5 vs. 5.5 mmol/L, p = 0.001) and were younger (47.8 vs. 49.8 years, p = 0.001) than those with a negative genetic test (Table 3). The four founder variants and one additional FH variant in gene panel had been discovered during standard clinical genetic testing as a part of standard treatment.

Discussion

In our study the prevalence of FH among young CAD patients who underwent genetic analysis of FH was 6% (1:16), which is 38 times higher than the estimated prevalence of 1:600 in the general Finnish population. This is in line with other reports and is expected due to the high risk of CAD in FH. The prevalence of genetic variants of FH in patients with possible FH based on DLCN criteria was only 4% and based on previous studies is lower than expected.

Based on a large meta-analysis, approximately 1 in 300 individuals is affected by HeFH (2, 3). It appears though that there is a large variability in the prevalence of FH in different

TABLE 2 Genetic variants of familial hypercholesterolemia in the study population.

		51	51			
Gender	Age at diagnosis of CAD	Highest LDL-C (mmol/L)	Family history of early CVD	DLCNC	Gene	Pathogenic (P)/likely pathogenic (LP)
Male	38	8.5	Yes	11	c.925_931delCCCATCA p.(Pro309Lysfs) (FH—North Karelia)	Р
Female	42	9	No	10	c.925_931delCCCATCA p.(Pro309Lysfs) (FH-North Karelia)	Р
Female	51	7.5	Yes	9	c.(2140+1_2141-1)_(2,548+?)del/Exons 16-18	LP
Female	50	7.4	Yes	8	c.1202T>A p.(Leu401His) (FH-Pori)	Р
Female	58	5.4	Yes	6	c.925_931delCCCATCA p.(Pro309Lysfs) (FH—North Karelia)	Р

DLCNC, Dutch Lipid Clinic Network Criteria.

regions of the world. In certain founder populations, a prevalence as high as 1:80 has been reported in contrast to the prevalence of 1:836 reported in a recent study from Iceland (19, 20). In the large study by Björnsson et al., clinical FH (based on modified DLCN criteria) was present in 2.2% of the whole study population, of whom monogenic FH was found in 5.2% (20). A previous Finnish study showed a prevalence of 9% of genetically confirmed HeFH in young (aged \leq 45 years) coronary heart disease (CHD) patients (21). In the present study, the prevalence of clinical definite or probable FH was 73% (1:1.4) but genetically verified monogenic FH was found in 6% (1:16) among premature CAD patients.

An optimal screening strategy for FH is yet to be established but the selective screening of pathogenic gene variants of FH in patients with premature CAD appears to be an effective strategy in identifying index patients with monogenic FH and provide a possibility for cascade screening, which has been shown to be cost-effective (22).

FH is a complex clinical genetic disease (i.e., over 2,000 reported LDLR variants; 32 in APOB, 23 in PCSK9; 1 in APOE, and 4 in STAP1) with wide genetic heterogeneity and large phenotypic variations (23). For example, some so-called null variants in the LDLR gene cause a decrease in LDL-receptor activity <2% of normal and in defective variants LDL-receptor activity remains in the range of 2%-70% (24). A considerable number of rare FH variants are of uncertain significance. Still, in many patients with the FH phenotype, no causative variants can be detected (25). These challenges must be considered when allocating resources to wider genetic screening. A genetic diagnosis of FH has been shown to provide additional value to treatment, cascade screening, and risk stratification of patients, and it is recommended, if available, for confirmation of clinical diagnosis. Better availability of NGS with increasingly lower costs should further assist clinicians in this task (26).

Patients who did not have a FH mutation in our study may still have polygenic forms of hypercholesterolemia caused by multiple genetic factors resulting in elevated LDL-C levels and risk of early development of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVDs). Polygenic hypercholesterolemia was not evaluated in our study as an international consensus on alleles and loci that should be included in genetic risk scores is lacking.

As shown in the study by Björnsson et al. (20) and in our study, hypercholesterolemia in both monogenic FH and complex hypercholesterolemia is markedly undertreated. In addition to hypercholesterolemia, other known cardiovascular risk factors were recorded in the vast majority of the patients in our study. Better screening, identification, and treatment of patients with other wellknown risk factors, such as positive family history for CVD, hypertension, smoking, diabetes, high triglycerides, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and high lipoprotein(a), are warranted.

A major limitation of the current study is that there is a possibility of selection bias since 50% of the clinically probable, definite, or possible FH patients in the screened population did not undergo genetic testing for FH variants because of the lack of consent to the study or premature death, other severe disease, or moving out of Pirkanmaa Hospital district. It is possible that some gene variants would have been discovered in these patients. In addition, the prevalence of FH in patients with premature CAD in our study needs to be interpreted with caution as it is solely based on patients tested for genetic mutations. An automated screening tool for FH used in this study identifies patients based on the presence of premature CAD and elevated LDL-C levels. In its current state, the screening tool is unable to calculate pretreatment levels of LDL-C thus creating a possibility that patients with pre-treatment LDL-C values above 5.0 mmol/L might be excluded by the screening tool if studied in a different laboratory.

Only three patients were tested for the rare genetic causes of FH, i.e., autosomal recessive pathogenic variants of *LDLRAP1* (27), autosomal dominant *APOB* variants (28), and *APOE* (29) variants. Thus, it is possible that they could have been missed in this study.

In conclusion, the prevalence of clinically probable or definite FH was 1:1.4 while the genetically verified FH was 1:16 among patients with premature CAD and elevated LDL-C levels who underwent genetic analysis. Since the prevalence of the genetically verified FH was 38 times higher than the estimated prevalence of 1:600 in the general Finnish population, our results suggest that the screening of high-risk patients for FH with genetic testing is rational.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the regional ethics committee of the wellbeing services area of Pirkanmaa. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

AJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ME: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MB: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HH: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. TS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The authors declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This study was financially supported by the Competitive State Research Financing of the Expert Responsibility Area of Tampere University Hospital and Tampere University Hospital Support Foundation, Tampere University Hospital.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Leren TP, Bogsrud MP. The importance of cascade genetic screening for diagnosing autosomal dominant hypercholesterolemia: results from twenty years of a national screening program in Norway. *J Clin Lipidol.* (2021) 15(5):674–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jacl.2021.08.007

2. Beheshti SO, Madsen CM, Varbo A, Nordestgaard BG. Worldwide prevalence of familial hypercholesterolemia: meta-analyses of 11 million subjects. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2020) 75(20):2553–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.03.057

3. Hu P, Dharmayat KI, Stevens CAT, Sharabiani MTA, Jones RS, Watts GF, et al. Prevalence of familial hypercholesterolemia among the general population and patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Circulation*. (2020) 141(22):1742–59. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA. 119.044795

4. Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, Koskinas KC, Casula M, Badimon L, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41(1):111–88. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ ehz455. Erratum in: *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41(44):4255. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz826

5. Defesche JC, Lansberg PJ, Umans-Eckenhausen MAW, Kastelein JJP. Advanced method for the identification of patients with inherited hypercholesterolemia. *Semin Vasc Med.* (2004) 4(1):59–65. doi: 10.1055/s-2004-822987

6. Risk of fatal coronary heart disease in familial hypercholesterolaemia. Scientific steering committee on behalf of the Simon Broome Register Group. *Br Med J.* (1991) 303(6807):893–6. doi: 10.1136/bmj.303.6807.893

7. Sturm AC, Knowles JW, Gidding SS, Ahmad ZS, Ahmed CD, Ballantyne CM, et al. Clinical genetic testing for familial hypercholesterolemia: JACC scientific expert panel. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2018) 72(6):662–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.05.044

8. Khera AV, Won HH, Peloso GM, Lawson KS, Bartz TM, Deng X, et al. Diagnostic yield and clinical utility of sequencing familial hypercholesterolemia genes in patients with severe hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2016) 67(22):2578–89. doi: 10. 1016/j.jacc.2016.03.520

9. Leren TP. Cascade genetic screening for familial hypercholesterolemia. Clin Genet. (2004) 66(6):483-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0004.2004.00320.x

10. Jenkins N, Lawton J, Douglas M, Walker S, Finnie R, Porteous M, et al. How do index patients participating in genetic screening programmes for familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) interpret their DNA results? A UK-based qualitative interview study. *Patient Educ Couns.* (2013) 90(3):372–7. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2011.09.002

11. Koivisto UM, Viikari JS, Kontula K. Molecular characterization of minor gene rearrangements in Finnish patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: identification of two common missense mutations (Gly823–>asp and Leu380–>his) and eight rare mutations of the LDL receptor gene. *Am J Hum Genet.* (1995) 57 (4):789–97.

12. Vuorio AF, Aalto-Setälä K, Koivisto UM, Turtola H, Nissen H, Kovanen PT, et al. Familial hypercholesterolaemia in Finland: common, rare and mild mutations of the LDL receptor and their clinical consequences. Finnish FH-group. *Ann Med.* (2001) 33(6):410–21. doi: 10.3109/07853890108995954

13. Lahtinen AM, Havulinna AS, Jula A, Salomaa V, Kontula K. Prevalence and clinical correlates of familial hypercholesterolemia founder mutations in the general population. *Atherosclerosis.* (2015) 238(1):64–9. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.11.015

14. Futema M, Whittall RA, Kiley A, Steel LK, Cooper JA, Badmus E, et al. Analysis of the frequency and spectrum of mutations recognised to cause familial hypercholesterolaemia in routine clinical practice in a UK specialist hospital lipid clinic. Atherosclerosis. (2013) 229(1):161–8. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.04.011

15. Jokiniitty A, Eskola M, Saarela T, Huhtala H, Metso S. Role of an automated screening tool for familial hypercholesterolemia in patients with premature

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2024. 1433042/full#supplementary-material

coronary artery disease. Atheroscler Plus. (2022) 48:1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.athplu.2022. 01.001

16. Nordestgaard BG, Chapman MJ, Humphries SE, Ginsberg HN, Masana L, Descamps OS, et al. Familial hypercholesterolaemia is underdiagnosed and undertreated in the general population: guidance for clinicians to prevent coronary heart disease: consensus statement of the European Atherosclerosis Society. *Eur Heart J.* (2013) 34(45):3478–90. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eht273. Erratum in: *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41(47):4517. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa166

17. Singh A, Gupta A, Collins BL, Qamar A, Monda KL, Biery D, et al. Familial hypercholesterolemia among young adults with myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2019) 73(19):2439–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.02.059

18. Leren TP, Bogsrud MP. Molecular genetic testing for autosomal dominant hypercholesterolemia in 29,449 Norwegian index patients and 14,230 relatives during the years 1993–2020. *Atherosclerosis.* (2021) 322:61–6. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2021.02.022

19. Paquette M, Genest J, Baass A. Familial hypercholesterolemia: experience from the French-Canadian population. *Curr Opin Lipidol*. (2018) 29(2):59–64. doi: 10.1097/MOL.00000000000487

20. Björnsson E, Thorgeirsson G, Helgadóttir A, Thorleifsson G, Sveinbjörnsson G, Kristmundsdóttir S, et al. Large-scale screening for monogenic and clinically defined familial hypercholesterolemia in Iceland. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2021) 41 (10):2616–28. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.120.315904

 Koivisto UM, Hämäläinen L, Taskinen MR, Kettunen K, Kontula K. Prevalence of familial hypercholesterolemia among young North Karelian patients with coronary heart disease: a study based on diagnosis by polymerase chain reaction. J Lipid Res. (1993) 34(2):269–77. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2275(20)40754-0

22. Louter L, Defesche J, Roeters van Lennep J. Cascade screening for familial hypercholesterolemia: practical consequences. *Atheroscler Suppl.* (2017) 30:77–85. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosissup.2017.05.019

23. Defesche JC, Gidding SS, Harada-Shiba M, Hegele RA, Santos RD, Wierzbicki AS. Familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Nat Rev Dis Primer*. (2017) 3(1):17093. doi: 10. 1038/nrdp.2017.93

24. Tokgozoglu L, Kayikcioglu M. Familial hypercholesterolemia: global burden and approaches. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* (2021) 23(10):151. doi: 10.1007/s11886-021-01565-5

25. Di Taranto MD, Giacobbe C, Fortunato G. Familial hypercholesterolemia: a complex genetic disease with variable phenotypes. *Eur J Med Genet.* (2020) 63 (4):103831. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2019.103831

26. Jahn B, Santamaria J, Dieplinger H, Binder CJ, Ebenbichler C, Scholl-Bürgi S, et al. Familial hypercholesterolemia: a systematic review of modeling studies on screening interventions. *Atherosclerosis.* (2022) 355:15–29. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2022.06.1011

D'Erasmo L, Minicocci I, Nicolucci A, Pintus P, Roeters Van Lennep JE, Masana L, et al. Autosomal recessive hypercholesterolemia: long-term cardiovascular outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2018) 71(3):279–88. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.028. Erratum in: J Am Coll Cardiol. (2018) 71(9):1058. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.01.034

28. Huijgen R, Kindt I, Fouchier SW, Defesche JC, Hutten BA, Kastelein JJ, et al. Functionality of sequence variants in the genes coding for the low-density lipoprotein receptor and apolipoprotein B in individuals with inherited hypercholesterolemia. *Hum Mutat.* (2010) 31(6):752–60. doi: 10.1002/humu.21258

29. Awan Z, Choi HY, Stitziel N, Ruel I, Bamimore MA, Husa R, et al. APOE p.Leu167del mutation in familial hypercholesterolemia. *Atherosclerosis.* (2013) 231 (2):218–22. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.09.007