



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY

Hendrik Tevaearai Stahel,
University Hospital of Bern, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Frontiers Editorial Office
✉ research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 24 April 2024

ACCEPTED 24 April 2024

PUBLISHED 01 May 2024

CITATION

Frontiers Editorial Office (2024) Retraction: Comparative evaluation of the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications after minimally invasive valve surgery vs. full sternotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and propensity score-matched studies. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 11:1422760. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1422760

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Retraction: Comparative evaluation of the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications after minimally invasive valve surgery vs. full sternotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and propensity score-matched studies

Frontiers Editorial Office*

A Retraction of the Systematic Review Article

Comparative evaluation of the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications after minimally invasive valve surgery vs. full sternotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and propensity score-matched studies

By Mohamed MA, Ding S, Ali Shah SZ, Li R, Dirie NI, Cheng C and Wei X (2021). *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 8:724178. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.724178

Following publication, concerns were raised regarding the scientific validity of the article. An investigation was conducted in accordance with Frontiers' policies. The authors failed to provide a satisfactory explanation and as a result, the conclusions of the article have been deemed unreliable and the article is retracted.

This retraction was approved by the Chief Editors of Cardiovascular Medicine and the Chief Executive Editor of Frontiers. The authors agree to this retraction.