



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Mate Vamos,
University of Szeged, Hungary

*CORRESPONDENCE

Dong Chang
✉ cdheart@126.com
Qiang Li
✉ liqiang@xmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 21 March 2024

ACCEPTED 09 April 2024

PUBLISHED 26 April 2024

CITATION

Zhu K, Li L, Liu J, Chang D and Li Q (2024)
Corrigendum: Criteria for differentiating left
bundle branch pacing and left ventricular
septal pacing: a systematic review.
Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1404850.
doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1404850

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhu, Li, Liu, Chang and Li. This is an
open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Corrigendum: Criteria for differentiating left bundle branch pacing and left ventricular septal pacing: a systematic review

Kailun Zhu^{1,2}, Linlin Li¹, Jianghai Liu¹, Dong Chang^{1*} and Qiang Li^{1*}

¹Department of Cardiology, Xiamen Cardiovascular Hospital of Xiamen University, School of Medicine, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China, ²School of Medicine, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

KEYWORDS

left bundle branch pacing, left ventricular septal pacing, QRS complex, electrocardiogram, electrophysiology

A Corrigendum on

Criteria for differentiating left bundle branch pacing and left ventricular septal pacing: a systematic review

By Zhu K, Li L, Liu J, Chang D and Li Q (2022). Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 9:1006966. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.1006966

In the published article, there was an error. In the transition from NSLBPP to SLBBP and the transition from NSLBPP to LVSP, the description of RWPTV1 and Stim-LVAT was incorrect.

A correction has been made to **The value of criteria for differentiating LBBP and LVSP**, paragraph 1. This sentence previously stated:

“The transition from NSLBPP to SLBBP prolonged the interval of stimulus artifact to late R-wave in lead V1 (RWPTV1; 120.7 ± 16.7 vs. 138.5 ± 21.5 ms, $P < 0.001$) and Stim-LVAT (119.3 ± 14.5 vs. 125.6 ± 13.8 ms, $P < 0.001$). The transition from NSLBPP to LVSP resulted in an increase in Stim-LVAT by ≥ 15 ms (78.4 ± 10.8 vs. 98.4 ± 13.9 ms) but only minimally influenced RWPTV1 (77.2 ± 13.6 vs. 76.6 ± 14.1 ms, $P = 0.36$)”.

The corrected sentence appears below:

“The transition from NSLBPP to SLBBP prolonged the interval of stimulus artifact to late R-wave in lead V1 (RWPTV1; 120.7 ± 16.7 vs. 138.5 ± 21.5 ms, $P < 0.001$), but had no significant effect on Stim-LVAT (77.2 ± 13.6 ms vs. 76.6 ± 14.1 ms, $P = 0.36$). The transition from NSLBPP to LVSP resulted in an increase in Stim-LVAT by ≥ 15 ms (78.4 ± 10.8 vs. 98.4 ± 13.9 ms), but only an increase of 6.2 ± 6.3 ms in RWPTV1 (119.3 ± 14.5 ms vs. 125.6 ± 13.8 ms, $P < 0.001$)”.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.