Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Zbigniew R. Struzik, The University of Tokyo, Japan

REVIEWED BY Herbert F. Jelinek, Khalifa University, United Arab Emirates Konstantinos Athanasios Gatzoulis, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

*CORRESPONDENCE Ping Fan 🛙 xjarrhyvip@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 15 March 2024 ACCEPTED 11 July 2024 PUBLISHED 23 July 2024

CITATION

Chen B, Men L, Wang H, Yang L, Li M, Hu J and Fan P (2024) The application value of 24 h Holter monitoring indices in predicting MACEs outside the hospital within three years after PCI in patients with STEMI. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1401343. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1401343

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Men, Wang, Yang, Li, Hu and Fan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The application value of 24 h Holter monitoring indices in predicting MACEs outside the hospital within three years after PCI in patients with STEMI

Bingxin Chen^{1†}, Li Men^{1†}, Hongli Wang^{2†}, Long Yang³, Mingxi Li⁴, Jingcheng Hu⁴ and Ping Fan^{1*}

¹Department of Heart Function, State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis, Prevention and Treatment of High Incidence Diseases in Central Asia, First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China, ²State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis, Prevention and Treatment of High Incidence Diseases in Central Asia, Clinical Medical Research Institute, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China, ³Department of Pediatric Cardiothoracic Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China, ⁴Department of Clinical Medicine, Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China

Background: Evaluating cardiovascular risk in patients experiencing acute STelevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is crucial for early intervention and improving long-term outcomes. 24 h Holter monitoring provides continuous cardiac electrophysiological data, enabling the detection of arrhythmias and autonomic dysfunction that are not captured during routine examinations. This study aimed to examine the relationship between Holter monitoring metrics and the occurrence of out-of-hospital major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) following PCI in patients with STEMI, offering insights into cardiovascular risk evaluation.

Methods: This prospective cohort study included STEMI patients undergoing PCI. 24 h Holter monitoring data were recorded, including heart rate, heart rate variability (HRV) metrics such as SDNN and SDANN index, heart rate deceleration capacity (DC) at different time scales (DC2, DC4, DC8), and the frequency of premature ventricular contractions (PVCs). Independent correlations between these indices and MACEs, as well as cardiovascular deaths, were investigated using multifactorial logistic regression. Predictive capacities were assessed through receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Results: A total of 172 participants were enrolled in this study. Over the 3-year follow-up period, MACEs were observed in 57 patients, including 20 cases of cardiac death. In logistic regression models adjusted for confounding variables, SDNN [OR: 0.980; 95% CI: (0.967, 0.994); p = 0.005] and SDANN index [OR: 0.982; 95% CI: (0.969, 0.996); p = 0.009] were negatively associated with the incidence of MACEs. Conversely, the slowest heart rate [OR: 1.075; 95% CI: (1.022, 1.131); p = 0.005] and frequent PVCs [OR: 2.685; 95% CI: (1.204, 5.987); p = 0.016] demonstrated a positive association with MACEs. Furthermore, SDNN [OR: 0.957; 95% CI: (0.933, 0.981); p = 0.001], DC [OR: 0. 702; 95% CI: (0.526, 0.938); p = 0.017]) and DC4 [OR: 0.020; 95% CI: (0.001, 0.664); p = 0.029] were negatively associated with cardiac death. The ROC analysis results indicated that SDNN was an effective predictor of both MACEs [AUC: 0.688 (95% CI: 0.601-0.776)] and cardiac death [AUC: 0.752 (95% CI: 0.625-0.879)].

Conclusion: HRV, DC metrics, and frequent PVCs obtained by 24 h Holter monitoring were associated with the risk of MACEs in STEMI patients. These metrics can help clinicians identify at-risk patients early so that timely interventions.

KEYWORDS

STEMI, MACEs, Holter, heart rate variability, deceleration capacity

Background

Cardiovascular diseases remain one of the leading causes of mortality worldwide, with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) being among the most severe manifestations of acute coronary syndromes (1, 2). The pathogenesis typically involves rupture or erosion of coronary artery atheromatous plaques, followed by thrombus formation, leading to a dramatic reduction or cessation of myocardial blood flow. In recent years, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has emerged as the gold standard treatment for STEMI patients, significantly improving both acute and long-term clinical outcomes (3). However, despite undergoing PCI treatment, some patients still face the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) after discharge, including angina, myocardial reinfarction, unplanned rehospitalization for revascularization, heart failure, and cardiovascular death (4, 5). Therefore, early identification and risk stratification of these high-risk patients to implement targeted interventions are crucial for improving long-term prognosis.

The 24 h ambulatory electrocardiogram (Holter monitoring) serves as a non-invasive cardiac monitoring technology, capable of continuously recording a patient's cardiac electrophysiological activity throughout the day (6). This monitoring technique is particularly valuable for revealing intermittent arrhythmias and the cardiac activity of patients with atypical chest pain, as these conditions may not be easily captured during routine electrocardiographic examinations (7, 8). Multiple studies have investigated the application value of Holter monitoring in various cardiac diseases. For example, heart rate variability (HRV) is associated with mortality risk in patients with cardiovascular diseases (9). Moreover, HRV can stratify the risk of arrhythmias in myocardial infarction patients (10, 11). The width of the QRS complex and ST-segment elevation are linked to short-term and long-term cardiovascular mortality post-PCI in STEMI patients (12). The number of pathological Q waves is associated with left ventricular systolic dysfunction in STEMI patients (13). Elevated T-wave alternans can predict non-sustained ventricular tachycardia post-PCI in STEMI patients (14). Therefore, for STEMI patients, Holter monitoring not only assesses arrhythmias after myocardial reperfusion but also evaluates long-term risks and guides adjustments in subsequent treatment plans (15, 16).

Despite these findings, comprehensive studies integrating Holter monitoring metrics for long-term prognosis in STEMI patients post-PCI remain scarce. Given this background, this study aimed to explore the predictive value of specific ECG metrics from 24 h Holter monitoring for out-of-hospital major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) in STEMI patients up to three years post-PCI. This research will not only provide clinicians with additional insights to refine management strategies for STEMI patients but also uncover novel pathways for early intervention in cardiovascular disease, holding substantial clinical importance for the prevention and treatment of such conditions.

Materials and methods

Study design

This study was designed as a prospective cohort study to assess the value of 24 h Holter monitoring metrics in predicting the occurrence of out-of-hospital MACEs in STEMI patients within three years after undergoing PCI. We recruited STEMI patients diagnosed and treated with PCI for acute chest pain admitted to the emergency department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University between January 2019 and December 2020. This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study protocol was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University (approval number: K202309-12). Written informed consent was obtained from the included participating homozygotes.

Study population

Patients were eligible for inclusion in this study if they satisfied the following criteria: (1) They arrived at the emergency department experiencing an acute episode of chest pain; (2) They were 18 years of age or older; (3) They fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for STEMI, which comprised: clinical signs indicative of acute myocardial ischemia, for instance, chest pain persisting for over 20 min; electrocardiograms (ECGs) indicating newly emerged ST-segment elevation with STsegment elevation amounting to ≥2.5 mm in two consecutive leads in males for the anterior wall, ≥ 1.5 mm in females, and \geq 1 mm in other leads, or a new left bundle branch block; and elevated blood biochemical markers (such as cardiac troponin) aligning with myocardial injury criteria. (4) Undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) within 12 h from the onset of symptoms; (5) Agreeing to partake in the study and signing an informed consent form.

Participants were excluded if they had any of the following conditions: (1) Psychiatric or psychological disorders; (2) Severe

hepatic or renal dysfunction; (3) Suffering from other serious cardiac diseases such as severe heart valve disease, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, congenital heart disease, etc.; (4) A history of myocardial infarction or myocardial revascularization (PCI or coronary artery bypass graft surgery-CABG); (5) Inability or failure to undergo Holter monitoring postoperatively; (6) Refusal to sign a written informed consent.

Clinical data collection

Age, gender, ethnicity, risk factors (e.g., high blood pressure, diabetes, smoking, alcohol use), and medication use were recorded, and measurements of height, weight, and blood pressure were taken. The collection of blood specimens for laboratory analysis was done exclusively by trained nursing staff. Upon admission, 8 ml of venous blood was drawn from each patient to assess triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and serum creatinine. Patients' left ventricular ejection fraction was measured using a bedside ultrasound machine. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. Regular smokers in the past 6 months were defined as current smokers, and those who had quit smoking for more than 6 months were defined as "exsmokers". Alcohol drinkers were defined as those who had consumed 100 grams of alcoholic beverages at least once a week in the past month, and those who had not consumed alcohol for more than one month were defined as "ever drinkers". Diabetes mellitus was defined as any of the following: (1) self-reported history of diabetes mellitus or taking hypoglycemic drugs or insulin; (2) fasting blood glucose level ≥126 mg/dl measured by fasting venous blood in the early morning of the second day of admission; and (3) glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) ≥6.5%. Hypertension was defined as any of the following: (1) selfreported history of hypertension or being on antihypertensive medication; (2) blood pressure exceeding 140/90 mmHg measured on 3 consecutive occasions after rest.

24 h Holter monitoring

Within 24–48 h after patients underwent PCI treatment, a Holter monitoring device (MedEx MECG-200, Beijing, China) was attached. Patients were equipped with a lightweight device fitted with multiple electrodes, which were connected to the device via wires and adhered to specific locations on the patient's chest. During the monitoring period, patients were requested to continue their daily activities to ensure that the data accurately reflected their cardiac status. After continuous monitoring for one day, the device was retrieved and the following metrics were recorded: maximum heart rate, minimum heart rate, average heart rate, and several parameters related to heart rate variability (HRV) including standard deviation of all NN intervals (SDNN), average of the standard deviations of NN intervals for each 5 min segment (SDNN index), standard deviation of the averages of NN intervals (SDANN index), number of pairs of adjacent NN intervals differing by more than 50 ms (NN50), root mean square of the successive differences between adjacent NN intervals (rMSSD), proportion of NN50 count to total NN intervals (pNN50), and the trigonometric index, which is the total number of NN intervals divided by the height of the NN interval histogram. Additionally, spectral analysis components such as total power (TP), ultra-low frequency (ULF), very low frequency (VLF), low frequency (LF), high frequency (HF), and low frequency to high frequency ratio (LF/HF ratio) were recorded. Additionally, the assessment of ventricular late potentials included metrics such as the width of the QRS complex, the duration of low amplitude signals below 40 microvolts following the end of the QRS complex, and the root mean square voltage within 40 milliseconds after the end of the QRS complex. Other significant ECG parameters such as the QT interval, mean RR interval, mean corrected QT interval (Mean QTc interval), QT interval dispersion (QTcD), deceleration capacity (DC), and deceleration capacity at different time scales (DC2, DC4, DC8) were also meticulously recorded. To further evaluate the arrhythmic risk, the frequency of premature ventricular contractions (PVCs) and the occurrence of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (NSVT) were also analyzed. Frequent PVCs were defined as more than 30 PVCs per hour, and NSVT was defined as three or more consecutive ventricular beats at a rate of over 100 beats per min, lasting less than 30 s.

Follow-up assessment

From the day of PCI treatment, patients were enrolled in a threeyear follow-up program. We provided patients with contact information and conducted follow-ups through telephone calls and outpatient visits. The primary focus of the follow-up was the documentation of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs), including non-fatal myocardial infarction, heart failure, unplanned coronary revascularization, cardiogenic shock, malignant arrhythmias, gastrointestinal bleeding, and cardiovascular death. The occurrence of any of these events was considered a MACE, which terminated the follow-up. Multiple MACEs could occur in the same patient during the follow-up period.

Statistical analysis

Initially, participants were categorized into two groups according to the occurrence of MACEs, and their baseline characteristics were compared. Normally distributed continuous variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), while non-normally distributed continuous variables were displayed as the median and interquartile range (25th and 75th percentiles). Comparisons between groups were conducted using the independent samples *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U* test. Categorical variables were depicted as frequencies (percentages),



with group comparisons performed using the chi-square test. Logistic regression analysis was utilized to investigate independent associations between 24 h Holter monitoring metrics and MACEs. The predictive capacity of various metrics for the occurrence of MACEs in STEMI patients was evaluated through receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. All the aforementioned analyses were conducted using R software (version 4.3.1), with a two-sided P value of less than 0.05 deemed statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of participants

In this study, a total of 314 patients with STEMI meeting the inclusion criteria were initially screened. After the preliminary screening, 111 patients who did not meet the research requirements were excluded, leaving 203 patients enrolled in the follow-up study. During the follow-up process, 31 patients

(accounting for 15.2%) were lost to follow-up, hence, the study was completed with 172 participants. During this period, 57 patients experienced MACEs, including 20 cases of cardiac death (Figure 1).

The study cohort included 26 females (15.1%) and 146 males (84.9%), with a median age of 59 years. Comparing the baseline characteristics of patients who did and did not experience MACEs, we found that those who experienced MACEs were older, had a higher prevalence of hypertension, and had lower levels of triglycerides and LVEF (P < 0.05). However, no significant statistical differences were observed between the two groups in terms of gender, ethnic background, smoking and drinking habits, diabetes prevalence, medication usage, BMI, blood pressure, HDL-C, LDL-C, and serum creatinine levels (P > 0.05). Further analysis of the 24 h Holter monitoring data revealed significant statistical differences between the two groups in parameters such as the lowest heart rate, SDNN, trigonometric index, SDANN index, LF, width of QRS, DC, DC2, DC4, DC8, and frequent PVCs (*P* < 0.05) (Table 1).

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of participants grouped by whether or not MACE occurred.

Characteristic	Total (<i>n</i> = 172)	Non-MACE (<i>n</i> = 115)	MACE (<i>n</i> = 57)	Statistic	<i>p</i> -value		
Demographic information							
Age, years	59.0 (51.0, 68.0)	57.0 (49.0, 64.0)	67.0 (57.0, 74.0)	-4.131	< 0.001		
Sex							
Male	26 (151)	16 (13.9)	10 (17 5)	0 392	0.531		
Female	146 (84.9)	99 (86.1)	47 (82.5)	0.072	0.001		
Ethnic							
	108 (62.8)	74(42)	24 (50 ()	1.055	0.592		
Пап Vanaleh	7 (4.1)	2 (26)	34 (39.6)	1.955	0.382		
Other	18 (10.5)	12 (10.4)	4 (7.0) 6 (10.5)				
Uighur	39 (22 7)	26 (22.6)	13 (22.8)				
Cruce Line a	55 (22.7)	20 (22.0)	13 (22.8)				
Smoking	(5 (25 0)	46 (40.0)	10 (22.2)	5 570	0.061		
Now	65 (37.8)	46 (40.0)	19 (33.3)	5.579	0.061		
Never	83 (48.3)	58 (50.4)	25 (43.9)				
Former	24 (14.0)	11 (9.6)	13 (22.8)				
Drinking							
Now	44 (25.6)	31 (27.0)	13 (22.8)	1.804	0.406		
Never	116 (67.4)	78 (67.8)	38 (66.7)				
Former	12 (7.0)	6 (5.2)	6 (10.5)				
Hypertension	92 (53.5)	53 (46.1)	39 (68.4)	7.641	0.006		
Diabetes	33 (19.2)	19 (16.5)	14 (24.6)	1.589	0.208		
Previous CHD	124 (72.1)	87 (75.7)	37 (64.9)	2.185	0.139		
Previous heart attack	69 (40.1)	46 (40.0)	23 (40.4)	0.002	0.965		
Drug utilization							
ACEI/ARB	75 (43.6)	55 (47.8)	20 (35.1)	2.515	0.113		
Diuretic	14 (8.1)	9 (7.8)	5 (8.8)	0.046	0.831		
Beta-blocker	91 (52.9)	65 (56.5)	26 (45.6)	1.82	0.177		
ССВ	29 (16.9)	19 (16.5)	10 (17.5)	0.028	0.866		
Statin	107 (62.2)	74 (64.3)	33 (57.9)	0.675	0.411		
Anti-hyperglycemic	13 (7.6)	6 (5.2)	7 (12.3)	2.721	0.099		
Insulin	10 (5.8)	4 (3.5)	6 (10.5)	3.457	0.063		
Clinical indicators							
BMI, kg/m ²	26.1 ± 3.5	26.5 ± 3.3	25.5 ± 3.6	1.805	0.073		
SBP, mmHg	122.0 (111.0, 131.0)	120.0 (108.0, 130.0)	127.0 (114.0, 137.0)	-1.654	0.098		
DBP, mmHg	75.0 (66.0, 81.0)	75.0 (67.0, 81.0)	75.0 (66.0, 83.0)	-0.14	0.89		
Triglyceride, mmol/L	1.35 (1.00, 2.09)	1.41 (1.00, 2.37)	1.21 (0.94, 1.72)	2.012	0.044		
HDL-C, mmol/l	0.90 (0.76, 1.08)	0.91 (0.77, 1.09)	0.89 (0.73,1.07)	1.272	0.204		
LDL-C, mmol/l	1.90 (1.57,2.37)	1.89 (1.57,2.35)	1.91 (1.54,2.47)	-0.465	0.643		
Creatinine, mmol/l	75.5 (66.0, 88.0)	75.0 (66.9, 85.4)	77.0 (61.0, 90.6)	-0.42	0.676		
LVEF, %	59.8 (53.4, 62.4)	60.0 (56.0, 63.0)	57.0 (50.5, 60.9)	3.084	0.002		
Ambulatory electrocardiogram information							
Fastest heart rate	105.0 (95.0, 116.0)	106.0 (95.0, 116.0)	104.0 (94.0, 116.0)	0.213	0.832		
Slowest heart rate	52.0 (47.0, 58.0)	51.0 (45.0, 56.0)	57.0 (50.0, 60.0)	-3.567	< 0.001		
Average heart rate	71.0 (64.0, 77.0)	70.0 (64.0, 76.0)	72.0 (66.0, 79.0)	-1.402	0.161		
Heart rate variability							
SDNN	100.8 (78.3, 119.4)	105.1 (86.2, 127.2)	79.1 (61.5, 107.9)	4.016	<0.001		
Trigonometric index	34.6 (22.6, 53.6)	41.4 (23.2, 62.1)	28.2 (21.4, 37.9)	3.479	< 0.001		
SDNN index	23.8 (16.8, 32.8)	23.8 (18.4, 33.6)	22.6 (15.7, 31.5)	0.67	0.504		
SDANN index	85.8 (63.0, 107.8)	90.8 (71.2, 112.2)	69.1 (49.6, 98.0)	3.573	<0.001		
NN50	4,058.0 (1,365.0, 8,113.0)	4,167.0 (1,479.0, 8,700.0)	3,548.0 (1,287.0, 6,699.0)	0.916	0.361		
rMSSD	27.8 (21.0, 37.9)	28.2 (22.1, 37.4)	27.7 (20.8, 38.2)	0.216	0.83		
pNN50	4.220 (1.580, 9.720)	5.090 (1.540, 11.370)	3.610 (1.580, 8.860)	0.992	0.322		
ТР	2,156.4 (1,114.5, 5,469.2)	2,287.5 (1,132.4, 6,117.3)	1,947.7 (844.3, 5,280.8)	0.803	0.423		
ULF	803.7 (153.4, 3,200.8)	902.1 (178.3, 3,371.6)	654.9 (91.8, 3,041.0)	0.849	0.397		
VLF	1,207.4 (422.7, 2,626.5)	1,290.6 (526.2, 2,694.3)	983.3 (306.1, 2,583.1)	1.104	0.27		
LF	166.9 (77.5, 328.9)	203.5 (88.6, 386.0)	108.5 (53.0, 227.2)	3.141	0.002		
HF	68.8 (35.5, 156.8)	71.4 (40.1, 175.3)	58.4 (33.4, 148.7)	1.235	0.218		

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristic	Total (<i>n</i> = 172)	Non-MACE (<i>n</i> = 115)	MACE (<i>n</i> = 57)	Statistic	<i>p</i> -value		
LF: HF	2.290 (1.270, 3.990)	2.540 (1.360, 4.210)	1.980 (1.020, 3.450)	1.846	0.065		
Ventricular late potential			1				
Width of QRS	89.0 (80.0, 103.0)	87.0 (77.0, 98.0)	98.0 (84.0, 117.0)	-2.765	0.006		
Intervals below 40 µv	34.0 (26.0, 44.0)	34.0 (25.0, 43.0)	37.0 (27.0, 46.0)	-1.257	0.209		
40 ms rms voltage after QRS	140.3 ± 58.7	136.8 ± 57.3	147.5 ± 60.9	-1.122	0.263		
QT							
Mean RR	759.0 (638.0, 895.0)	759.0 (618.0, 923.0)	731.0 (638.0, 882.0)	-0.176	0.862		
Mean QTc interval	388.0 (308.0, 422.0)	388.0 (325.0, 417.0)	383.0 (255.0, 427.0)	-0.018	0.987		
QTcD	892.0 (701.0, 1,012.0)	866.0 (610.0, 1,012.0)	906.0 (770.0, 1,014.0)	-1.015	0.311		
Deceleration capacity							
DC	5.516 ± 2.386	5.806 ± 2.481	4.930 ± 2.061	2.286	0.023		
DC2	7.298 ± 2.178	7.573 ± 2.240	6.742 ± 1.933	2.38	0.018		
DC4	0.350 (0.230, 0.540)	0.390 (0.260, 0.620)	0.260 (0.130, 0.410)	3.11	0.002		
DC8	0.010 (0.000, 0.030)	0.020 (0.000, 0.040)	0.010 (0.000, 0.020)	2.885	0.003		
Holter outcome assessment							
Late ventricular potentials	16(9.3)	10(8.7)	6(10.5)	0.151	0.697		
(positive)							
Frequent PVC	54(31.4)	25(21.7)	29(50.9)	15.023	<0.001		
NSVT	42(24.4)	30(26.1)	12(21.1)	0.523	0.469		

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; SDNN, standard deviation of NN intervals; SDNN index, average of the standard deviations of NN intervals for each 5 min segment; SDANN index, standard deviation of the averages of NN intervals; NN50, number of pairs of adjacent NN intervals differing by more than 50 ms; rMSSD, root mean square of the successive differences between adjacent NN intervals; pNN50, proportion of NN50 count to total NN intervals; TP, total power; ULF, ultra-low frequency; VLF, very low frequency; LF, low frequency; LF:HF, low frequency to high frequency ratio; QRS, QRS complex width; QTc, corrected QT interval; QTCD, QT interval dispersion; DC, deceleration capacity; DC2, DC4, DC8, deceleration capacity at different time scales; PVC, premature ventricular contractions; NSVT, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia.

Association of 24 h Holter monitoring indicators with STEMI occurrence of MACEs

In this study, we undertook logistic regression analyses on the 24 h Holter monitoring indicators that demonstrated statistical differences in the baseline data. The initial univariate logistic regression analysis revealed that indicators such as SDNN, Trigonometric index, SDANN index, DC, DC2, DC4, and DC8 exhibited a negative correlation with the incidence of MACEs, indicated by OR less than 1. Conversely, the Slowest Heart Rate, Width of QRS, and frequent premature ventricular beats showed a positive correlation with MACE occurrence, denoted by OR greater than 1. To delve deeper into the independent associations of these indicators with MACEs while considering potential confounders, we performed multivariate logistic regression analyses, adjusting for established cardiovascular risk factors. The findings from this analysis highlighted that SDNN [OR: 0.980; 95% CI: (0.967, 0.994); *p* = 0.005] and SDANN index [OR: 0.982; 95% CI: (0.969, 0.996); p = 0.009] maintained their negative association with the occurrence of MACEs. In contrast, the Slowest Heart Rate [OR: 1.075; 95% CI: (1.022, 1.131); *p* = 0.005] and frequent PVCs [OR: 2.685; 95% CI: (1.204, 5.987); *p* = 0.016] were positively associated with MACEs (Table 2). Furthermore, our investigation extended to analyzing the relationship between 24-hour Holter monitoring indicators and cardiac death. In the logistic regression model adjusted for covariates, the Slowest Heart Rate emerged as a positive predictor of cardiac death [OR: 1.084; 95% CI: (1.007, 1.166); p = 0.031]. Conversely, SDNN [OR: 0.957; 95% CI: (0.933, 0.981); p = 0.001], SDANN index [OR: 0.966; 95% CI: (0.944, 0.989); p = 0.004], DC [OR: 0.702; 95% CI: (0.526, 0.938); p = 0.017], and DC4 [OR: 0.020; 95% CI: (0.001, 0.664); p = 0.029] were inversely associated with cardiac death (Table 3).

Predictive value of 24 h Holter monitoring for MACEs in STEMI patients

The ROC analysis was conducted to evaluate the predictive value of selected 24-hour Holter monitoring indicators for MACEs in STEMI patients (Table 4). The analysis revealed that the Slowest Heart Rate demonstrated a moderate discriminative ability with an AUC of 0.667 (95% CI: 0.578-0.757), achieving a sensitivity of 72.2% and specificity of 59.6% at the optimal cutoff value of 55.5 beats per min. The SDNN indicator showed a slightly higher predictive performance, with an AUC of 0.688 (95% CI: 0.601-0.776), and was able to identify MACEs with a sensitivity of 81.7% and specificity of 50.9% at a cut-off value of 79.7 ms. In contrast, the SDANN index exhibited limited utility in predicting MACEs, with an AUC of 0.531 (95% CI: 0.433-0.630), a sensitivity of 73.9%, and a specificity of 45.6% at the cut-off value of 19.315 ms. These findings indicate that the Slowest Heart Rate and SDNN are relatively better predictors for MACE occurrence in this patient population, the SDANN index demonstrates marginal predictive value (Figure 2A). Notably, SDNN was a strong predictor of cardiac death with an AUC of

TABLE 2 Logistic regression of factors influencing the occurrence of MACE in STEMI patients.

Characteristic	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% Cl)-adjusted	P-value-adjusted
Slowest heart rate	1.086 [1.038, 1.136]	<0.001	1.075 [1.022, 1.131]	0.005
SDNN	0.978 [0.966, 0.990]	<0.001	0.980 [0.967, 0.994]	0.005
Trigonometric index	0.970 [0.953, 0.987]	0.001	1.001 [0.999, 1.002]	0.228
SDANN index	0.981 [0.970, 0.992]	0.001	0.982[0.969,0.996]	0.009
LF	0.998 [0.997, 1.000]	0.059	0.999 [0.998, 1.001]	0.270
Width of QRS	1.018 [1.004, 1.032]	0.013	1.012 [0.996, 1.028]	0.139
DC	0.856 [0.746, 0.981]	0.026	0.886 [0.745, 1.054]	0.172
DC2	0.141 [0.034, 0.584]	0.007	0.940 [0.783, 1.128]	0.506
DC4	0.837 [0.720, 0.973]	0.021	0.814 [0.153, 4.339]	0.810
DC8	0.546 [0.351, 0.801]	0.004	0.654 [0.413, 1.035]	0.070
Frequent PVC	3.729 [1.884, 7.379]	< 0.001	2.685 [1.204, 5.987]	0.016

"adjusted" indicates that each variable was adjusted for age, BMI, Hypertension, Previous CHD, SBP, Triglyceride, LVEF, ACEI/ARB, Beta-blocker, and Anti-hyperglycemic. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; SDNN, standard deviation of NN intervals; SDNN index, average of the standard deviations of NN intervals for each 5 min segment; SDANN index, standard deviation of the averages of NN intervals; LF, low frequency; QRS, QRS complex width; DC, deceleration capacity; DC2, DC4, DC8, deceleration capacity at different time scales; PVC, premature ventricular contractions.

TABLE 3 Logistic regression of factors influencing the occurrence of death in STEMI patients.

Characteristic	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)-adjusted	P-value-adjusted
Slowest heart rate	1.080 [1.017, 1.147]	0.013	1.084 [1.007, 1.166]	0.031
SDNN	0.964 [0.945, 0.983]	<0.001	0.957 [0.933, 0.981]	0.001
Trigonometric index	1.000 [0.998, 1.002]	0.849	0.999 [0.997, 1.002]	0.614
SDANN index	0.973 [0.955, 0.991]	0.003	0.966 [0.944, 0.989]	0.004
LF	0.999 [0.997, 1.001]	0.388	1.000 [0.998, 1.002]	0.776
Width of QRS	1.011 [0.994, 1.028]	0.220	1.003 [0.982, 1.025]	0.776
DC	0.770 [0.632, 0.938]	0.009	0.702 [0.526, 0.938]	0.017
DC2	0.835 [0.677, 1.031]	0.094	0.898 [0.688, 1.172]	0.427
DC4	0.009 [0.000, 0.175]	0.002	0.020 [0.001, 0.664]	0.029
DC8	0.367 [0.158, 0.849]	0.019	0.457 [0.184, 1.137]	0.092
Frequent PVC	3.929 [1.500, 10.287]	0.005	2.295 [0.744, 7.080]	0.148

"adjusted" indicates that each variable was adjusted for age, BMI, Hypertension, Previous CHD, SBP, Triglyceride, LVEF, ACEI/ARB, Beta-blocker, and Anti-hyperglycemic. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; SDNN, standard deviation of NN intervals; SDNN index, average of the standard deviations of NN intervals for each 5 min segment; SDANN index, standard deviation of the averages of NN intervals; LF, low frequency; QRS, QRS complex width; DC, deceleration capacity; DC2, DC4, DC8, deceleration capacity at different time scales; PVC, premature ventricular contractions.

TABLE 4 ROC analysis of ambulatory electrocardiographic indices to predict postoperative occurrence of MACE and death in patients with STEMI.

Characteristic	AUC	95% CI	Sensitivity	Specificity	Cut-off value		
MACE							
Slowest heart rate	0.667	0.578-0.757	0.722	0.596	55.500		
SDNN	0.688	0.601-0.776	0.817	0.509	79.700		
SDANN index	0.531	0.433-0.630	0.739	0.456	19.315		
Death							
Slowest heart rate	0.646	0.485-0.807	0.600	0.757	57.500		
SDNN	0.752	0.625-0.879	0.550	0.908	65.875		
SDANN index	0.705	0.555-0.855	0.600	0.829	60.900		
DC	0.695	0.577-0.814	0.650	0.704	4.605		
DC4	0.738	0.621-0.854	0.500	0.868	0.145		

AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; SDNN, standard deviation of NN intervals; SDNN index, average of the standard deviations of NN intervals for each 5 min segment; SDANN index, standard deviation of the averages of NN intervals; DC, deceleration capacity; DC4, deceleration capacity at 4 s time scale.

0.752 (95% CI: 0.625–0.879), a sensitivity of 55.0%, and a high specificity of 90.8% at the cut-off of 65.875 ms. Additionally, DC4 stood out with an AUC of 0.738 (95% CI: 0.621–0.854), a sensitivity of 50.0%, and a notably high specificity of 86.8% at a 0.145 cut-off (Figure 2B).

Discussion

In this study, we identified significant correlations between several key metrics from 24 h Holter monitoring and the occurrence of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) and



cardiac death in STEMI patients post-PCI. Specifically, reduced SDNN and SDANN index were inversely related to an elevated risk of MACEs, while the higher slowest heart rate and frequent PVCs were positively correlated with MACEs. For cardiac death, SDNN, SDANN index, DC, and DC4 were protective, whereas a higher slowest heart rate indicated increased risk.

STEMI arises from acute and sustained coronary artery hypoxia and ischemia, leading to corresponding myocardial necrosis (17). Typically, cardiovascular disease patients exhibit varying degrees of increased heart rate after onset, which serves as a crucial diagnostic marker post-PCI for STEMI patients, aiding in the assessment of patient recovery (18, 19). Our study's results indicated that an elevated lowest heart rate was associated with the occurrence of MACEs. This finding was consistent with previous studies, suggesting that a higher minimum heart rate may reflect reduced parasympathetic (vagal) tone and/or increased sympathetic activity (20-22). This autonomic dysregulation can lead to increased myocardial oxygen demand, reduced myocardial perfusion, and heightened electrical instability, ultimately resulting in MACEs. Clinically, STEMI and arrhythmias can exacerbate each other, compounding disease progression and increasing clinical mortality rates (23). This study also demonstrated that frequent premature ventricular contractions (PVCs) are positively correlated with the occurrence of MACEs in STEMI patients post-PCI. PVCs, which were early depolarizations originating from the ventricles, were a common form of arrhythmia and could disrupt the normal sequence of cardiac contraction, leading to reduced cardiac efficiency and increased myocardial oxygen demand (24). Frequent PVCs indicated underlying myocardial instability and electrical heterogeneity, both of which were markers of increased arrhythmic risk (10, 25, 26).

HRV reflects the variation in intervals between heartbeats, incorporating information about neurohumoral factors' regulation of the cardiovascular system. Hence, HRV may serve as a valuable indicator for predicting sudden cardiac death and arrhythmic events (27). Reduced HRV indicates impaired autonomic nervous function, a common condition among patients with certain structural heart diseases such as congestive heart failure and acute myocardial infarction (28). Our study showed that SDNN and the SDANN index were associated with MACEs and cardiovascular mortality, and SDNN demonstrated moderate predictive capability. SDNN reflects the overall influence of HRV, including autonomic regulation of cardiac rhythm and/or rate, and intuitively indicates the extent of HRV (29). SDANN index estimates the long-term components of heart rate variability (30). In addition to autonomic activity, diurnal rhythms such as body temperature and the renin-angiotensin system also contribute to this variability measure (31). A decline in SDNN suggests an imbalance in the autonomic nervous system, possibly due to excessive activation of the sympathetic nervous system and reduced parasympathetic activity, thereby increasing the risk of arrhythmias and other cardiovascular events (32, 33). Furthermore, a reduction in SDNN may reflect instability in the cardiac repolarization process, elevating the likelihood of adverse cardiovascular events (34). Persistent imbalance in the autonomic nervous system not only poses an immediate health threat but may also drive the progression of cardiovascular diseases, leading to ongoing structural and functional damage (35). Therefore, SDNN serves not only as an indicator of short-term risk for STEMI patients but may also signify potential long-term cardiovascular health risks. Previous studies have shown that time-domain parameters such as SDNN

and SDANN index, as well as frequency-domain parameters like LF and HF, are associated with the prognosis of STEMI patients (36, 37). However, our study did not observe significant differences in frequency-domain parameters between the MACEs and non-MACEs groups. The reason may be that frequency-domain metrics are more sensitive to short-term changes in autonomic balance and may not effectively capture long-term autonomic regulation as time-domain metrics do. Additionally, frequency-domain parameters are susceptible to various confounding factors such as respiration, physical activity, and measurement conditions, which might reduce their reliability in predicting long-term outcomes (38, 39).

In this study, DC had a moderate predictive value for cardiovascular death. A notable advantage of DC is its measurement impartiality to external factors and premature beats (40). Utilizing phase rectified signal averaging techniques to extract and detect variations in each cardiac cycle and its regulatory traces offers an objective reflection of the autonomic nervous system's direct regulatory effect on heart rate, including a quantitative analysis of vagal activity (41). The study revealed that the AUC for DC was 0.695, while for DR4, it was 0.738. DC primarily measures the capacity for heart rate reduction, reflecting the heart's response to parasympathetic nervous activation (42). Within the context of cardiovascular health, the parasympathetic nervous system plays a protective role by lowering heart rate and reducing cardiac metabolic demand, thus alleviating cardiac burden (43). A high DC value typically indicates robust parasympathetic regulatory capability, suggesting the heart's effective stress response and reduced risk of cardiovascular events (44). Conversely, a low DC value might indicate diminished parasympathetic function, associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular mortality. DC4, derived from the analysis of dynamic heart rate changes, is typically employed to assess the speed and extent of heart rate recovery (45). Rapid heart rate recovery signifies healthy autonomic nervous system function and good cardiovascular adaptability, whereas slow recovery may indicate an imbalance in the autonomic nervous system, especially due to excessive sympathetic activity and insufficient parasympathetic function (46, 47). Therefore, as an indicator of heart rate recovery capability, a decrease in DR4 is associated with increased cardiovascular mortality, reflecting a diminished cardiac stress response and overall reduced cardiovascular system adaptability.

Advantages and limitations

Currently, there is a lack of research on the significance of 24 h Holter monitoring indicators for the specific cohort of patients admitted with acute chest pain and subsequently diagnosed with STEMI undergoing PCI. This study, through a comprehensive analysis of 24 h Holter monitoring data, delves into the correlation between various dynamic ECG indicators and cardiovascular events, providing new insights into cardiovascular risk assessment. This method facilitates a detailed evaluation of patient autonomic nervous system

function, thereby enhancing the predictive value of the research. While this study offers valuable insights, the relatively small sample size may limit the generalizability of the results. Future research is needed in a larger patient population to validate these findings and strengthen the reliability and representativeness of the conclusions. As an observational study, potential confounding factors that could not be eliminated might affect the interpretation of the results. Despite efforts to adjust for these factors through multivariate analysis, it remains challenging to eliminate all potential biases. During follow-up, the inability to convert relative risk to absolute risk as well as the inability to differentiate between sudden and non-sudden cardiovascular deaths due to the inability of the majority of patients to recall the exact time of the event and the specifics of the event suggests the need for more detailed follow-up in future studies to assess the prognosis of STEMI with more precise risk values.

Conclusion

This finding highlighted the significant value of SDNN, SDANN index, slowest heart rate, DC, DC4, and frequent PVCs in predicting the occurrence of MACE and cardiac death in STEMI patients within three years after PCI. These metrics emphasize the importance of considering ECG ambulatory monitoring indices in the risk assessment and management of STEMI patients.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University (approval number: K202309-12). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

BC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HW: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – original draft. LY: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. ML: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. JH: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. PF: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This work was supported by the Regional Collaborative Innovation Project (Science and Technology Assistance Plan for Xinjiang) (2022E02111), State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis, Prevention and Treatment of High Incidence Diseases in Central Asia Fund (SKL-HIDCA-2023-9), Xinjiang Medical University Student Innovation Program Project (S202210760115), State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis, Prevention and Treatment of High Incidence Diseases in Central Asia fund (SKL-HIDCA-2022-39).

References

1. Christensen RH, Wedell-Neergaard AS, Lehrskov LL, Legaard GE, Dorph E, Larsen MK, et al. Effect of aerobic and resistance exercise on cardiac adipose tissues: secondary analyses from a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2019) 4 (8):778–87. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2019.2074

2. Hofbauer TM, Mangold A, Scherz T, Seidl V, Panzenböck A, Ondracek AS, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps and fibrocytes in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Basic Res Cardiol.* (2019) 114(5):33. doi: 10.1007/s00395-019-0740-3

3. Jolly SS, Cairns JA, Yusuf S, Rokoss MJ, Gao P, Meeks B, et al. Outcomes after thrombus aspiration for ST elevation myocardial infarction: 1-year follow-up of the prospective randomised TOTAL trial. *Lancet.* (2016) 387(10014):127–35. doi: 10. 1016/s0140-6736(15)00448-1

4. Villanueva DLE, Tiongson MD, Ramos JD, Llanes EJ. Monocyte to high-density lipoprotein ratio (MHR) as a predictor of mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) among ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: a meta-analysis. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2020) 19(1):55. doi: 10.1186/s12944-020-01242-6

5. Yu J, Liu Y, Peng W, Xu Z. Serum VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 measurement assists for MACE risk estimation in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients. *J Clin Lab Anal.* (2022) 36(10):e24685. doi: 10.1002/jcla.24685

6. Pyszno-Prokopowicz D, Madej M, Baranowski R, Piotrowicz R. Analysis of the QRS morphology in lead V1 during 24-hour Holter electrocardiogram monitoring to evaluate function of a cardiac resynchronisation therapy device in patients with sinus rhythm: a pilot study. *Kardiol Pol.* (2015) 73(6):404–10. doi: 10.5603/KP. a2014.0246

D'Elia JA, Weinrauch LA, Gleason RE, Hampton LA, Smith-Ossman S, Yoburn DC, et al. Application of the ambulatory 24-hour electrocardiogram in the prediction of cardiac death in dialysis patients. Arch Intern Med. (1988) 148(11):2381–5. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1988.00380110043009

8. Williams CB, Andrade JG, Hawkins NM, Cheung C, Krahn A, Laksman ZW, et al. Establishing reference ranges for ambulatory electrocardiography parameters: meta-analysis. *Heart.* (2020) 106(22):1732–9. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2020-316925

9. Fang S-C, Wu Y-L, Tsai P-S. Heart rate variability and risk of all-cause death and cardiovascular events in patients with cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Biol Res Nurs.* (2020) 22(1):45–56. doi: 10.1177/1099800419877442

 Gatzoulis KA, Tsiachris D, Arsenos P, Antoniou CK, Dilaveris P, Sideris S, et al. Arrhythmic risk stratification in post-myocardial infarction patients with preserved ejection fraction: the PRESERVE EF study. *Eur Heart J*. (2019) 40(35):2940–9. doi: 10.1093/eurhearti/ehz260

11. Trachanas K, Sideris S, Arsenos P, Tsiachris D, Antoniou CK, Dilaveris P, et al. Noninvasive risk factors for the prediction of inducibility on programmed ventricular stimulation in post-myocardial infarction patients with an ejection fraction \geq 40% at risk for sudden cardiac arrest: insights from the PRESERVE-EF study. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* (2022) 27(2):e12908. doi: 10.1111/ancc.12908

12. Tanriverdi Z, Dursun H, Simsek MA, Unal B, Kozan O, Kaya D. The predictive value of fragmented QRS and QRS distortion for high-risk patients with STEMI and for the reperfusion success. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* (2015) 20(6):578–85. doi: 10.1111/anec.12265

13. Fabiszak T, Kasprzak M, Koziński M, Kubica J. Assessment of selected baseline and post-PCI electrocardiographic parameters as predictors of left ventricular systolic dysfunction after a first ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *J Clin Med.* (2021) 10(22):5445. doi: 10.3390/jcm10225445

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Verrier RL, Nearing BD, Ghanem RN, Olson RE, Garberich RF, Katsiyiannis WT, et al. Elevated T-wave alternans predicts nonsustained ventricular tachycardia in association with percutaneous coronary intervention in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* (2013) 24 (6):658–63. doi: 10.1111/jce.12102

15. Lewek J, Wranicz JK, Guzik P, Chudzik M, Ruta J, Cygankiewicz I. Clinical and electrocardiographic covariates of deceleration capacity in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Cardiol J.* (2009) 16(6):528–34.

16. Bordalo-Sá AL, Ferreira D, Tuna JL, Longo A, Correia MJ, Diogo AN, et al. The variability of the heart rate in the first 24h after fibrinolytic therapy in acute myocardial infarct. *Rev Port Cardiol.* (1999) 18(3):261–5.

17. Carrick D, Haig C, Ahmed N, Carberry J, Yue May VT, McEntegart M, et al. Comparative prognostic utility of indexes of microvascular function alone or in combination in patients with an acute ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* (2016) 134(23):1833–47. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.116.022603

18. Reindl M, Reinstadler SJ, Feistritzer HJ, Tiller C, Mayr A, Klug G, et al. Heart rate and left ventricular adverse remodelling after ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol.* (2016) 219:339-44. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.06.046

19. Helleryd E, Rawshani A, Rawshani A, Hjärtstam N, Myredal A, Skoglund K. Association between exercise load, resting heart rate, and maximum heart rate and risk of future ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). *Open Heart.* (2023) 10(2):e002307. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2023-002307

20. Hori M, Okamoto H. Heart rate as a target of treatment of chronic heart failure. J Cardiol. (2012) 60(2):86-90. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2012.06.013

21. Hathaway WR, Peterson ED, Wagner GS, Granger CB, Zabel KM, Pieper KS, et al. Prognostic significance of the initial electrocardiogram in patients with acute myocardial infarction. GUSTO-I investigators. Global utilization of streptokinase and t-PA for occluded coronary arteries. *JAMA*. (1998) 279(5):387–91. doi: 10.1001/jama.279.5.387

22. Antoni ML, Boden H, Delgado V, Boersma E, Fox K, Schalij MJ, et al. Relationship between discharge heart rate and mortality in patients after acute myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J*. (2012) 33(1):96–102. doi: 10.1093/eurhearti/ehr293

23. Frampton J, Ortengren AR, Zeitler EP. Arrhythmias after acute myocardial infarction. Yale J Biol Med. (2023) 96(1):83–94. doi: 10.59249/lswk8578

24. Krumerman A, Di Biase L, Gerstenfeld E, Dickfeld T, Verma N, Liberman L, et al. Premature ventricular complexes: assessing burden density in a large national cohort to better define optimal ECG monitoring duration. *Heart Rhythm.* (2024): S1547-5271(24)02393-2. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2024.04.066

25. Waks JW, Buxton AE. Risk stratification for sudden cardiac death after myocardial infarction. *Annu Rev Med.* (2018) 69:147-64. doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-041316-090046

26. Gomez SE, Larson J, Hlatky MA, Rodriguez F, Wheeler M, Greenland P, et al. Prevalence of frequent premature ventricular contractions and nonsustained ventricular tachycardia in older women screened for atrial fibrillation in the women's health initiative. *Heart Rhythm.* (2024):S1547-5271(24)00212-1. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2024.02.040

27. Captur G, Karperien AL, Hughes AD, Francis DP, Moon JC. The fractal heart embracing mathematics in the cardiology clinic. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2017) 14(1):56–64. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2016.161

28. Agarwal SK, Norby FL, Whitsel EA, Soliman EZ, Chen LY, Loehr LR, et al. Cardiac autonomic dysfunction and incidence of atrial fibrillation: results from

20 years follow-up. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2017) 69(3):291-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016. 10.059

29. Flanigan M, Tollefson S, Himes ML, Jordan R, Roach K, Stoughton C, et al. Acute elevations in cortisol increase the *in vivo* binding of ((11)C)NOP-1A to nociceptin receptors: a novel imaging paradigm to study the interaction between stress- and antistress-regulating neuropeptides. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2020) 87(6):570–6. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.09.013

30. Shaffer F, Ginsberg JP. An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. *Front Public Health.* (2017) 5:258. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258

31. Balint EM, Angerer P, Guendel H, Marten-Mittag B, Jarczok MN. Stress management intervention for leaders increases nighttime SDANN: results from a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19(7):3841. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19073841

32. Reis MB, Rodrigues FL, Lautherbach N, Kanashiro A, Sorgi CA, Meirelles AFG, et al. Interleukin-1 receptor-induced PGE(2) production controls acetylcholinemediated cardiac dysfunction and mortality during scorpion envenomation. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11(1):5433. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19232-8

33. Benowitz NL, Fraiman JB. Cardiovascular effects of electronic cigarettes. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2017) 14(8):447–56. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2017.36

34. Walker ML, Rosenbaum DS. Repolarization alternans: implications for the mechanism and prevention of sudden cardiac death. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2003) 57 (3):599–614. doi: 10.1016/s0008-6363(02)00737-x

35. Amoni M, Ingelaere S, Moeyersons J, Wets D, Tanushi A, Van Huffel S, et al. Regional beat-to-beat variability of repolarization increases during ischemia and predicts imminent arrhythmias in a pig model of myocardial infarction. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2023) 325(1):H54–h65. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart. 00732.2022

36. Brinza C, Floria M, Covic A, Burlacu A. Measuring heart rate variability in patients admitted with ST-elevation myocardial infarction for the prediction of subsequent cardiovascular events: a systematic review. *Medicina (Kaunas).* (2021) 57(10):1021. doi: 10.3390/medicina57101021

37. Brinza C, Floria M, Covic A, Covic A, Scripcariu DV, Burlacu A. The usefulness of assessing heart rate variability in patients with acute myocardial infarction (HeaRt-V-AMI). *Sensors (Basel).* (2022) 22(9):3571. doi: 10.3390/s22093571

38. Fatisson J, Oswald V, Lalonde F. Influence diagram of physiological and environmental factors affecting heart rate variability: an extended literature overview. *Heart Int.* (2016) 11(1):e32–40. doi: 10.5301/heartint.5000232

39. Yoshida Y, Furukawa Y, Ogasawara H, Yuda E, Hayano J. Longer lying position causes lower LF/HF of heart rate variability during ambulatory monitoring. 2016 IEEE 5th Global Conference on Consumer Electronics. IEEE (2016).

40. Tsai FF, Liu CM, Wang HP, Yeh JR, Fan SZ. Deceleration capacity of heart rate variability as a predictor of sedation related hypotension. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11(1):10850. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-90342-z

41. Zuern CS, Barthel P, Bauer A. Heart rate turbulence as risk-predictor after myocardial infarction. *Front Physiol.* (2011) 2:99. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2011.00099

42. Stein PK, Barzilay JI, Chaves PH, Traber J, Domitrovich PP, Heckbert SR, et al. Higher levels of inflammation factors and greater insulin resistance are independently associated with higher heart rate and lower heart rate variability in normoglycemic older individuals: the cardiovascular health study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2008) 56 (2):315–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01564.x

43. Crick SJ, Wharton J, Sheppard MN, Royston D, Yacoub MH, Anderson RH, et al. Innervation of the human cardiac conduction system. A quantitative immunohistochemical and histochemical study. *Circulation.* (1994) 89(4):1697–708. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.89.4.1697

44. Olshansky B, Sabbah HN, Hauptman PJ, Colucci WS. Parasympathetic nervous system and heart failure: pathophysiology and potential implications for therapy. *Circulation*. (2008) 118(8):863–71. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.107.760405

45. Wang XD, Zhou L, Zhu CY, Chen B, Chen Z, Wei L. Autonomic function as indicated by heart rate deceleration capacity and deceleration runs in type 2 diabetes patients with or without essential hypertension. *Clin Interv Aging*. (2018) 13:1169–76. doi: 10.2147/cia.S149920

46. Kong ZB, Wang XD, Shen SR, Liu H, Zhou L, Chen B, et al. Risk prediction for arrhythmias by heart rate deceleration runs in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* (2020) 15:585–93. doi: 10. 2147/copd.S234470

47. Yuan W, Nie S, Wang H, Xu Q, Jia N. Anticholinergics aggravate the imbalance of the autonomic nervous system in stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2019) 19(1):88. doi: 10.1186/s12890-019-0848-0