Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sascha Treskatsch, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany

REVIEWED BY Gianluca Paternoster, San Carlo Hospital , Italy Amit Frenkel, Soroka Medical Center, Israel

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaocong Zeng ⊠ aban829@163.com

RECEIVED 15 January 2024 ACCEPTED 13 May 2024 PUBLISHED 31 May 2024

CITATION

He M, Ren S, Lin Y and Zeng X (2024) Correlation between serum phosphate and all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with coronary heart disease accompanied by chronic kidney disease: a retrospective study using the MIMIC-IV database. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1371000. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1371000

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 He, Ren, Lin and Zeng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Correlation between serum phosphate and all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with coronary heart disease accompanied by chronic kidney disease: a retrospective study using the MIMIC-IV database

Min He¹, Siyu Ren¹, Yongqi Lin¹ and Xiaocong Zeng^{12,3*}

¹Department of Cardiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, China, ²Guangxi Key Laboratory Base of Precision Medicine in Cardiocerebrovascular Diseases Control and Prevention & Guangxi Clinical Research Center for Cardio-Cerebrovascular Diseases, Nanning, Guangxi, China, ³School of Basic Medical Sciences, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, China

Background: The adverse clinical endpoints of cardiovascular and kidney diseases are correlated with increased serum phosphate levels. However, in critically ill patients with coronary heart disease (CHD) accompanied by chronic kidney disease (CKD), the prognostic value of serum phosphate remains unclear.

Methods: Patients' medical records from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV database who had concomitant CKD and CHD were classified into four distinct groups in this large retrospective observational cohort study based on the quartiles of serum phosphate levels. Vital status and the duration of hospital and ICU stays within the short-term follow-up periods of 30 and 90 days constituted the primary outcomes. All-cause mortality in the intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital constituted the secondary outcomes. Further, the Cox proportional hazard and restricted cubic spline (RCS) regression models were employed to ascertain how serum phosphate levels correlated with the primary outcomes. In addition, the occurrence rate of the secondary outcomes across the four quartiles was determined utilizing the Kaplan–Meier method.

Results: Among the total 3,557 patients (67.6% male) included, the hospital and ICU all-cause mortality rates were 14.6% and 10%, separately. Higher quartiles of serum phosphate concentrations were associated with shorter short-term survival rates, as shown by the Kaplan–Meier curves. Additionally, the Cox proportional hazards analysis illustrated that serum phosphate was independently linked to a higher death risk in the hospital [HR, 1.10 (95% CI: 1.03-1.18), *P* = 0.007] and ICU [HR, 1.14 (95% CI: 1.07-1.22), *P* < 0.001]. Lastly, the RCS regression models suggested a robust non-linear correlation between serum phosphate concentrations and death risk in the ICU and hospital (both *P* for non-linearity <0.001).

Conclusions: The prognostic value of serum phosphate is significant in critically ill patients with CHD accompanied by CKD. Furthermore, serum phosphate is potentially valuable for identifying patients with this concomitant condition.

KEYWORDS

serum phosphate, coronary heart disease, chronic kidney disease, all-cause mortality, MIMIC-IV database

1 Introduction

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is a major contributor to mortality globally (1). Although medical technology advancements have substantially improved the prognosis of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) such as CHD in developed regions, CVD persists as the primary reason for admissions to the intensive care unit (ICU) and even death. Furthermore, there have been reports indicating that critically ill patients with CHD in the ICU are more prone to develop comorbidities and organ dysfunction (2). A recent clinical study highlighted the prevalence of CHD combined with chronic kidney disease (CKD) with a growing annual incidence rate (3). CKD is an irreversible condition characterized by progressive renal dysfunction and exhibits a strong correlation with cardiovascular risks in terms of pathology (4). Patients having CHD combined with CKD often experience relatively more severe cardiovascular symptoms and complications, such as heart failure (HF), acute myocardial infarction (AMI), and arrhythmias. Thus, the combined occurrence of these two diseases poses remarkable challenges to patient prognosis. Moreover, the intricate interplay and complexity of these two severe conditions may impact the efficiency and security of CHD treatments in the presence of CKD, necessitating cautious approaches in the clinical treatment of patients with both these diseases. Therefore, a critical need exists for studies exploring more convenient and effective predictors to identify patients at a higher risk for CHD with CKD at an early stage. These studies should primarily focus on enhancing patient prognosis and providing a sound scientific basis for making individualized treatment decisions.

Mineral metabolism is crucial in the physiological functioning of the renal and cardiovascular systems. In patients having CKD combined with CVD, abnormal mineral metabolism has been demonstrated to contribute to a higher death risk (5-7). Of these minerals, phosphate is an essential component in the normal physiological metabolism of humanity, serving a crucial function in the generation of energy, synthesis of DNA and RNA, and intracellular signal transduction. The precise regulation of serum phosphate entails complex interactions between various organs and tissues, among which the kidneys are critically involved (8). Higher serum phosphorus levels are linearly associated with an increased risk of developing renal failure (9). Numerous studies have identified abnormal phosphate homeostasis as a risk factor for CVD (10). Additionally, a retrospective investigation examining the link between CHD death risk and serum phosphate concentration revealed that increased baseline levels of serum phosphate were positively correlated with a higher death risk in populations with end-stage renal disease and impaired kidney function (11).

However, in critically ill patients with CHD accompanied by CKD, the prognostic value of serum phosphate levels is unclear. Therefore, the investigation objective was to retrospectively analyze the potential associations between serum phosphate and all-cause mortality in this specific patient population.

2 Manuscript formatting

2.1 Method

2.1.1 Data sources

In this retrospective observational research, all included data were sourced from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care-IV (MIMIC-IV; version 2.2) database, which is a large, publicly open dataset administered and managed by the Laboratory for Computational Physiology of Massachusetts Institute of Technology. MIMIC-IV database contains the medical health records at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center in the United States (12). After completing the online course and passing the exam, the author acquired access to the database (record ID: 57369428).

2.1.2 Study population and data extraction

The cohort population included 3,557 patients from the MIMIC-IV with both CHD and CKD diagnoses. All patients were diagnosed per the International Classification of Diseases, 9th (ICD-9) and 10th (ICD-10) revisions. The criteria below were used to exclude ineligible patients: (1) several ICU admissions (with collected medical records pertaining only to the first admission), (2) ICU stay <24 h, (3) age <18 years at first admission, (4) no serum baseline levels of creatinine and phosphate on the first day of ICU admission, or (5) missing data exceeding 30%. Furthermore, Upon first admission to the ICU, the quartiles of serum phosphate levels were used to classify all patients into four distinct groups. Figure 1 presents the complete details concerning the inclusion of the study patients.

The MIMIC-IV database was searched to obtain patient information, such as demographics, laboratory test results, comorbidities, vital signs, and severity of illness scores, collected during the initial ICU admission using Structured Query Language (SQL) in PostgreSQL (version 16.0) and Navicat Premium (version 16.1) software. The extracted data were as follows: (1) demographics: gender, age, weight, height, and body mass index; (2) vital signs: diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mean blood pressure, systolic blood pressure (SBP),



and heart rate; (3) laboratory tests: hemoglobin, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), platelet, hematocrit, estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR; calculated using the formula for Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (13)], white blood cell (WBC), bicarbonate, serum phosphate, red blood cell (RBC), serum chloride, serum sodium, serum potassium, anion gap, prothrombin time (PT), serum calcium, international normalized ratio (INR), serum creatinine, partial thromboplastin time (PTT), and urine output; (4) comorbidities: acute kidney injury (AKI), peripheral vascular disease, atrial fibrillation (AF), diabetes mellitus (DM), rheumatic disease, congestive heart failure (CHF), dyslipidemia, liver disease, hypertension, AMI, chronic pulmonary disease, respiratory failure, and mechanical ventilation, all of which were diagnosed utilizing the combination of the ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes; and (5) severity of illness scores: the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score, the acute physiology score III (APS III), the simplified acute physiology score II (SAPS II), the Oxford acute severity of illness score (OASIS), and the logistic organ dysfunction system (LODS) score. The missing data was filled up using multiple imputations.

2.1.3 Clinical outcomes

The primary outcomes comprised the length of ICU and hospital stays as well as vital status during the short-term followup times of 30 and 90 days. ICU and hospital all-cause mortality were the secondary outcomes.

2.1.4 Statistical analysis

The collected data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, with categorical variables presented as measures of quantity and frequency (%), and continuous variables presented as median with interquartile range or mean with standard deviation. Notably, the Shapiro–Wilk test was applied to establish the normality of continuous data. Subsequently, for non-normally distributed continuous data, we used the Wilcoxon rank-sum or Kruskal–Wallis tests. For those with normal distribution, we used *t*-tests or ANOVA. Fisher's exact tests or Pearson χ^2 tests were utilized to compare categorical data. The Cox proportional hazards models were employed in the calculation of 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and hazard ratios (HRs) for serum phosphate and the secondary outcomes, along with adjustments for certain variables. Confounding factors with a variance inflation factor of ≥ 5 were excluded to eliminate model

overfitting caused by multicollinearity among the variables. The multivariate model approach considered only clinically relevant factors linked with prognosis, yielding three models: an unadjusted model; a partially adjusted model including gender and age; and a fully adjusted model incorporating gender, age, SBP, cerebrovascular disease, DM, mechanical ventilation, respiratory failure, BUN, urine output, glucose, PTT, LODS score, and OASIS. The quartile levels were used to evaluate trends of P-values. The occurrence rate of the primary outcomes among the groups categorized based on the distinct serum phosphate levels was determined via Kaplan-Meier (KM) survival analysis. Betweengroup variance was evaluated via log-rank tests. The associations of serum phosphate levels with HRs were depicted using restricted cubic spline (RCS) regression models with a setting of five knots. The specificity and sensitivity of serum phosphate levels were examined using receiver operating characteristic curves and area under the curves (AUCs). Additional subgroup analysis was performed to determine the predictive value of serum phosphate for the secondary endpoints. The subgroups that were assessed were as follows: gender, age (<65 and >65 years), AKI, AF, CHF, DM, hypertension, and AMI. Statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 4.3.1). A double-sided P-value of <0.05 has been accepted as statistically significant.

2.2 Results

2.2.1 Baseline characteristics

Table 1 presents the basic features of the patients categorized based on the quartiles of serum phosphate levels. A total of 3,557 patients [average age, 77.10 (69.0–84.2) years] were included, of which 1,152 (32.4%) were female. The mean serum phosphate level of the enrolled patients was 3.9 (3.3–4.7) mg/dl. Additionally, the hospital and ICU all-cause mortality rates were 14.6% and 10.0%, separately. Specifically, patients were divided based on the following quartiles: quartile 1 (Q1): <3.3 mg/dl, quartile 2 (Q2): 3.3–3.9 mg/dl, quartile 3 (Q3): 3.9-4.7 mg/dl, and quartile 4 (Q4): >4.7 mg/dl. The mean serum phosphate levels of these four groups were 2.9 (2.6–3.1), 3.6 (3.5–3.8), 4.2 (4.1–4.4), and 5.6 (5.1–6.5) mg/dl, respectively.

Compared with the groups with lower serum phosphate levels, those with increased concentrations were associated with younger age; higher prevalence rate of DM, CHF, liver disease, respiratory failure, mechanical ventilation, AKI, and AMI; higher severity of illness scores; higher levels of WBC, platelets, anion gap, BUN, serum calcium, glucose, serum potassium, INR, PT, PTT, and serum creatinine; and lower values of SBP, RBC, hematocrit, hemoglobin, eGFR, bicarbonate, urine output, serum chloride, and serum sodium. Moreover, the increasing serum phosphate levels from Q1 to Q4 were correlated with extended duration of stay in the ICU (2.4 days vs. 2.4 days vs. 2.6 days vs. 3.2 days) and hospital (8.1 days vs. 8.8 days vs. 9.0 days vs. 9.6 days) as well as higher all-cause mortality in the ICU (6.9% vs. 6.1% vs. 8.8% vs. 18.6%) and hospital (11.9% vs. 9.3% vs. 12.3% vs. 25.3%), with P < 0.001 for all.

The baseline characteristics of the patients who survived for 30 days (30-day survivor group) compared with those who did not survive for 30 days (30-day non-survivor group) are displayed in Table 2. The 30-day non-survivor group exhibited older age and elevated heart rate levels in comparison to the 30-day survivor group, along with a higher prevalence of AKI, AF, cerebrovascular disease, CHF, liver disease, mechanical ventilation, AMI, and respiratory failure (all P < 0.05). In the case of the laboratory test results, the 30-day non-survivor group exhibited higher levels of WBC, BUN, anion gap, glucose, serum potassium, PTT, PT, INR, and serum creatinine, and lower values of eGFR, serum bicarbonate, urine output, and serum chloride in comparison to the 30-day survivors (all P < 0.05). Nevertheless, gender, DBP, dyslipidemia, rheumatic disease, platelets, hematocrit, hemoglobin, serum calcium, serum sodium, and serum potassium weren't different significantly (all P > 0.05). Regarding the severity of illness scores, the 30-day non-survivors recorded higher APS III, LODS score, SOFA score, OASIS, and SAPS II than those of the 30-day survivors. Furthermore, 30-day non-survivors had remarkably higher serum phosphate levels in comparison to 30-day survivors [4.3 (3.5-5.3) mg/dl vs. 3.8 (3.3-4.5) mg/dl, P < 0.001]. In the 90-day survivor and non-survivor groups, similar differences were noticed (Supplementary Table S1).

2.2.2 Primary outcomes

Figure 2 depicts the KM survival curve analysis for the occurrence of primary outcomes among the quartile groups. Patient mortality risk was higher in those with elevated serum phosphate levels during the follow-up periods of 30 and 90 days (all log-rank P < 0.001).

Serum phosphate was shown to be substantially linked to death risk from all causes in the hospital, as determined by the Cox proportional hazards analysis. This correlation was observed in all three models when it was regarded as a continuous variable, i.e., the unadjusted [HR, 1.30 (95% CI: 1.24-1.36)], partially adjusted [HR, 1.36 (95% CI: 1.30-1.44)], and fully adjusted [HR, 1.10 (95% CI: 1.03-1.18)] models (all P < 0.05). Moreover, patients in the higher quartiles had an increasing tendency in serum phosphate levels when compared to those in the lowest quartile and were related to an elevated hospital mortality risk in the unadjusted [Q1 vs. Q4: HR, 2.37 (95% CI: 1.88-2.98)] and partially adjusted [Q1 vs. Q4: HR, 2.65 (95% CI: 2.11-3.34)] models (both P < 0.001; both P for trend <0.001), with serum phosphate levels as a nominal variable (Table 3 and Figure 3A). The analysis of the ICU death risk revealed similar results in the unadjusted [Q1 vs. Q4: HR, 2.96 (95% CI: 2.22-3.97)], partially adjusted [Q1 vs. Q4: HR, 3.22 (95% CI: 2.41-4.32)], and fully adjusted [Q1 vs. Q4: HR, 1.39 (95% CI: 1.03-1.89)] models (all P < 0.05; all P for trend <0.05) (Table 3 and Figure 3B).

As demonstrated in Figure 4, the HRs exhibited an upward trend when the serum phosphate levels surpassed the values of 4.37 and 4.45 mg/dl in the ICU and hospital, respectively. Conversely, the HRs of the serum phosphate values lower than these specified thresholds remained relatively stable. Furthermore, the RCS regression analysis demonstrated that the ICU and hospital death risk was strongly nonlinearly

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of study populations based on the serum phosphate quartiles.

N 3.557 916 938 80 80 80 80 Gender -	Categories	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Р
N 3,557 916 936 964 964 974 Fendat 1,152 (12,48) 507 (58,49) 596 (627) 548 (13) 558 (67) 74 Mak 2,000 (62,74) 654 (11,10) 599 (63,47) 754 (63,62,42) 630 630,61,62,730 758 (76,94,23) 640 (640,-1780) 640 (640,-1780) 640 (640,-1780) 640 (640,-1780) 631 (640,-1780) 631 (640,-1780) 631 (640,-1780) 631 (640,-1780) 170 (160,-1780) 171 (100,-1280) 112 (101,-112,-3) 0.00 671 (141,-12,-3) 0.00 671 (141,-12,-3) 0.00 671 (141,-12,-3) 0.00 671 (141,-12,-3) 0.00 671 (141,-12,-3) 0.00 671 (141,-12,-3) 0.00 171 (141,-12,-3) 171 (141,-12,-3) 171 (141,-12,-3) 171 (141,-12,-3) 171 (141,-14,-12,-3) 171 (141,-14,-12,-13) 171 (141,-14,-12,-13) 171 (141,-14,-12,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13) 171 (141,-14,-13) 171 (141,-14,-			(<3.3 mg/dl)	(3.3–3.9 mg/dl)	(3.9–4.7 mg/dl)	(>4.7 mg/dl)	
GenderIbranic1.52 (2.4)*28 (3.9)*39 (3.9)*39 (3.9)*28 (3.9)*39 (3.9)*Make2.400 (07.9)*1.70 (049-52)1.81 (04-93.9)1.80 (100-17.8)1.	N	3,557	916	903	864	874	
Image 1,12 2,12 <t< td=""><td>Gender</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Gender						
Male2.465 (67.06)Gen (Gen Var)7.57 (199-85.2)7.81 (01-86.37)7.81 (01-87.30)7.85 (02-87.30)7.85	Female	1,152 (32.4%)	265 (28.9%)	304 (33.7%)	295 (34.1%)	288 (33%)	0.071
Age, yaran77.1 (090-8.12)77.7 (09.8-8.20)78.5 (02-8.28)7.01 (050-7.80)6.00Weight, kg80.0 (061-780)15.00 (060-780)15.00 (060-780)15.00 (070-780)15.00Weight, kg80.0 (051-820)15.00 (070-120)15.0 (070-120)15.0 (070-120)12.0 (041-125)0.021SBP, murig115.7 (0165-1270)17.1 (0192-128)11.6 (070-1203)11.6 (070-1203)12.0 (041-125)0.023BBP, murig7.2 (046-800)7.5 (160-841)2.8 (051-760)7.9 (03-830)7.9 (70-840)0.023BBP, murig7.2 (046-800)7.5 (160-841)2.8 (017-120)7.9 (03-830)7.9 (70-840)0.023BBP, murig7.2 (046-800)7.5 (160-941)2.2 (057)7.9 (70-840)0.01Comorbidiles, n(W)9.7 (058)2.05 (017)2.06 (127)2.00 (114)2.20 (127)0.01AF1.03 (157)12.03 (147)12.03 (147)2.06 (127)2.00 (114)0.01 (122)0.01Corborouldines5.6 (1578)4.22 (15.78)10.14 (158)2.01 (141)0.01 (122)0.01Drightoman1.90 (15.79)4.52 (15.98)12.14 (148)2.00 (149)2.01 (122)0.01Drightoman1.90 (15.79)4.52 (15.98)12.14 (148)2.01 (14.98)0.01 (12.98)0.01Drightoman1.90 (15.79)1.52 (15.98)13.14 (15.98)0.01 (14.98)0.01 (14.98)0.01Drightoman1.90 (15.98)5.90 (15.98)13.14 (15.98)0.01 (14.98)0.01 (14.98)0.01 (14.98)	Male	2,405 (67.6%)	651 (71.1%)	599 (66.3%)	569 (65.9%)	586 (67%)	
Height, cm1700 (1060–780)1800 (800–780)1800 (800–780)0.8180 (800–780)0.8180 (800–780)0.8180 (800–780)0.8180 (800–780)0.8180 (800–780)0.8180 (800–780)0.800 (800–800	Age, years	77.1 (69.0-84.2)	78.7 (69.9–85.2)	78.1 (70.4-85.0)	76.5 (68.2-83.7)	74.5 (66.7-82.8)	<.001
Weight, By BOIL (Bar)800 (683-941)813 (960-96.8)800 (673-96.0)0.20BDL, Byn' BOLL, Byn'C352-13.20279 (24-31.6)126 (1071-126.3)166 (1071-126.3)10.12 (1041-125.3)0.00BDR, mufig157 (105-47.27)117.1 (1082-129.5)155 (1016-04.7)757 (51.4-64.7)73.1 (075-80.0)0.01BNR, mufig77.4 (68.4-80.0)75.1 (69.0-81.4)73.8 (68.7-79.0)75.7 (51.4-64.7)73.1 (67.5-80.0)0.02BNR, mufig77.4 (68.4-80.0)75.1 (69.0-81.4)73.8 (68.7-79.0)75.7 (51.4-64.7)73.1 (67.5-80.0)0.02Comotivititient79.2 (0-4.80.1)73.8 (68.7-79.0)75.8 (51.4-64.7)73.1 (67.5-80.0)0.02Comotivitient16.3 (45.99)14.0 (69.0)24.2 (51.7)79.0 (0-4.80.1)24.0 (21.8)22.1 (51.8)24.0 (21.8)24.0	Height, cm	170.0 (160.0-178.0)	170.0 (160.0-178.0)	168.0 (160.0-178.0)	169.0 (160.0-178.0)	168.0 (160.0-178.0)	0.516
bitl. gym²BX (25.1-B2.)BX (25.1	Weight, kg	80.6 (68.5-95.2)	80.0 (68.0-94.5)	80.5 (69.5-94.1)	81.9 (69.0-96.3)	80.0 (67.0-96.0)	0.274
SPP, mnikj1157 (106.1-77)117. (108.2-129.)116.5 (107.4-126.)16.7 (107.4-126.)17.2 (104.1-120.)0.00MBP, mniky72.1 (68.5-60.)556.1 (50.4-67.)75.1 (16.4-70.)	BMI, kg/m ²	28.3 (25.1-32.3)	27.9 (24.9–31.8)	28.4 (25.1-32.2)	28.7 (25.5–33.0)	28.1 (24.8-32.2)	0.029
DBP, mnihg57.8 (s1.6-s6.0)S51.8 (s2.3-s5.3)S7.0 (s1.0-s4.1)S7.5 (s1.6-s5.4)S7.8 (s0.8-s0.4)S7.8 (s0.	SBP, mmHg	115.7 (106.5-127.5)	117.1 (108.2-129.5)	115.6 (107.0-126.3)	116.6 (107.1-129.9)	112.9 (104.1-125.3)	<.001
MBP, mnihg74.2 (68.5.90)74.3 (68.3.7.90)74.3 (68.3.9.00)73.3 (67.3.9.00)0.020Reart ruts, pmo79.2 (70.4.8.88.)79.1 (70.8.8.86.)79.8 (70.3.8.7.80)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.8.00)79.0 (70.3.9.0.00)79.0 (70.3.0.00)79.0 (70.3.0.00)79.0 (70.	DBP, mmHg	57.8 (51.6-65.0)	58.5 (52.3-65.5)	57.0 (51.0-64.7)	57.5 (51.4-64.7)	57.8 (51.6-65.0)	0.019
Itent net, herm79.2 (70.4.880)79.0 (70.3.980)79.0 (70.5.980)79.7 (70.1-900)99.7 <t< td=""><td>MBP, mmHg</td><td>74.2 (68.6-80.6)</td><td>75.1 (69.0-81.4)</td><td>73.8 (68.7–79.9)</td><td>74.3 (68.3-80.4)</td><td>73.3 (67.5–80.5)</td><td>0.023</td></t<>	MBP, mmHg	74.2 (68.6-80.6)	75.1 (69.0-81.4)	73.8 (68.7–79.9)	74.3 (68.3-80.4)	73.3 (67.5–80.5)	0.023
Commonital Unit	Heart rate, bpm	79.2 (70.4-88.8)	79.1 (70.8-88.6)	78.9 (70.3–87.6)	79.0 (70.5–89.0)	79.7 (70.1–90.0)	0.497
AR1947 (2009)116 (20.39)200 (21.59)220 (20.59)230 (30.00)400 (30.00)AF1.121 (31.59)1.25 (10.59)226 (31.50)226 (31.50)226 (31.50)20.00 (31.50)Cerebroxalar dasase555 (15.90)1.55 (16.90)1.52 (16.80)1.55 (16.80)1.55 (16.80)1.55 (16.80)1.55 (16.80)1.55 (16.80)1.55 (16.80)1.55 (16.80)1.55 (15.80) <td>Comorbidities, n (%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Comorbidities, n (%)						
AF Chronic pulmonary disease1433 (45.9%)408 (44.5%)422 (71.9%)308 (44.9%)420 (20.8%)1421 (20.8%)1421 (20.8%)1421 (20.8%)1421 (20.8%)1411 (15.7%) <t< td=""><td>AKI</td><td>947 (26.6%)</td><td>186 (20.3%)</td><td>200 (22.1%)</td><td>222 (25.7%)</td><td>339 (38.8%)</td><td><.001</td></t<>	AKI	947 (26.6%)	186 (20.3%)	200 (22.1%)	222 (25.7%)	339 (38.8%)	<.001
Chronic pulmonary diseaseI,12 (15.%)229 (30.%)228 (31.%)726 (31.9%)200 (32.%)141 (13%)0.071Creferoxacular disease556 (15.%)155 (16.9%)152 (16.8%)154 (16.7%)114 (13%)0.071DM1.981 (55.7%)4422 (49.3%)1483 (53.5%)550 (61.3%)516 (59%)4.01Dylapidemia1.064 (29.9%)55 (6.1%)727 (20.1%)228 (22.6%)257 (29.4%)0.01Hypertension3.278 (22.8%)55 (6.1%)57 (6.3%)60 (8%)131 (29.8%)0.02Mechanical ventilotion1.368 (55.9%)343 (29.5%)331 (35.7%)238 (22.6%)481 (55.7%)0.02Mechanical ventilotion1.368 (55.4%)440 (48%)446 (51.5%)448 (54.2%)481 (55.7%)0.02Mechanical ventilotion1.010 (24.8%)210 (22.1%)210 (21.5%)212 (24.3%)0.02Repiratory fulture860 (24.3%)214 (24.5%)210 (22.5%)33 (2.95%)0.02Repiratory fulture1.010 (24.8%)200 (22.1%)2.52 (29.8%)34 (29.5%)0.02MBC, Kul1.03 (28.4%)10.6 (8.0-14.0%)1.02 (24.3%)0.020.02VBC, Kul1.03 (8.2-14.6%)10.6 (8.0-12.9%)1.02 (4.2-24.1%)1.02 (4.3-5%)0.02MBC, Kul1.03 (8.2-14.8%)1.03 (2.0-3.4%)0.03 (2.7-3.4%)0.02 (2.7-3.4%)0.02 (2.7-3.4%)0.02 (2.7-3.4%)MBC, Kul1.03 (2.8-1.5%)1.04 (2.9-1.5%)1.03 (2.8-1.5%)1.03 (2.8-1.5%)0.02 (2.7-3.4%)0.02 (2.7-3.4%)0.	AF	1,633 (45.9%)	408 (44.5%)	425 (47.1%)	380 (44%)	420 (48.1%)	0.252
Cerebroakaular disease565 (15.9%)155 (16.9%)152 (16.8%)144 (16.7%)114 (13%)0.71CHF2,303 (47%)492 (3.3%)591 (6.4%)530 (6.13%)631 (2.2%)601Dyshidemia1,064 (29%)423 (3.7%)428 (3.5.3%)530 (6.13%)527 (2.4.%)101Liver diseae295 (8.3%)56 (6.1%)57 (6.3%)791 (0.16%)803 (1.9.%)6.01Hypertension1.2.88 (3.5.%)302 (33%)431 (3.1.%)791 (0.16%)832 (40.3%)0.02Mchanal ventlaton1.0.85 (5.2.%)202 (2.1.%)212 (2.4.%)121 (2.5.%)212 (2.4.%)121Peripheral vascular disea866 (24%)214 (24%)221 (24%)212 (2.5.%)354 (40.5.%)0.02Brematic disea1.0.10 (2.4.%)202 (2.2.%)200 (2.1.%)253 (2.9%)354 (40.5.%)0.01Brematic disea1.4.10 (0.8.4.%)202 (2.2.%)200 (2.1.%)253 (2.9%)354 (40.5.%)0.01Brematic disea1.0.10 (2.4.%)200 (2.2.%)200 (2.1.%)253 (2.9%)354 (40.5.%)0.01Brematic disea1.4.10 (2.4.%)200 (2.1.%)200 (2.1.%)253 (2.9%)354 (40.5.%)0.01Brematic disea1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.10 (2.4.%)1.0.	Chronic pulmonary disease	1,121 (31.5%)	279 (30.5%)	286 (31.7%)	276 (31.9%)	280 (32%)	0.881
CHF2,030 (647%)492 (33.7%)591 (65.4%)589 (68.2%)611 (72.2%)5.00DM1,961 (55.7%)452 (93.5%)483 (55.5%)506 (1.3%)516 (59%)70.0Dysplacienti1.046 (29.9%)452 (72.70.1%)92.82 (2.6%)501 (1.3%)70.0Hypertension2.25 (8.3%)55 (6.1%)57 (6.3%)69 (98%)113 (12.9%)803 (1.9%)0.00Mcchanical vertification1.268 (5.5%)303 (16.7%)484 (51.5%)484 (5	Cerebrovascular disease	565 (15.9%)	155 (16.9%)	152 (16.8%)	144 (16.7%)	114 (13%)	0.071
DM1,981 (55.7%)4422 (49.3%)4483 (53.5%)550 (61.3%)516 (59%)6.10DyalpidemiaL064 (29.9%)253 (27.6%)727 (20.1%)282 (32.6%)257 (29.4%)0.40Hyer dessea295 (8.3%)56 (51%)57 (5.3%)690 (30.1%)130 (29.9%)0.402Hypertension3.378 (92.2%)843 (92%)841 (93.1%)771 (91.6%)803 (91.9%)0.021Mcchanica ventiation1.268 (52.9%)300 (33%)331 (82.7%)428 (32.8%)352 (04.3%)0.021Peripheral vascular disease866 (24.3%)201 (21.4%)212 (12.5%)212 (24.3%)0.121Reparatory failure1.010 (82.4%)200 (22.1%)202 (22.1%)252 (32.9%)354 (45.0%)0.021Reparatory failure1.04 (98.4%)200 (22.1%)21.04 (3.4%)20.8 (4.3%)0.0210.021 (3.5%)36.4 (3.0%)0.021Reparatory failure1.010 (82.4%)20.4 (24.5%)10.8 (0.0-14.4%)30.4 (3.0%)0.0210.0210.021 (3.5%)0.021	CHF	2,303 (64.7%)	492 (53.7%)	591 (65.4%)	589 (68.2%)	631 (72.2%)	<.001
Dylpidemia 1,044 (29.9%) 233 (27.6%) 272 (30.1%) 282 (32.6%) 257 (29.4%) 0.41 Liver disease 295 (8.3%) 56 (6.1%) 57 (6.3%) 69 (8%) 113 (12.9%) 6.002 Mechanical ventilation 1,268 (35.6%) 302 (33%) 331 (36.7%) 283 (32.8%) 352 (40.3%) 0.002 AMI 1,848 (52.1%) 440 (48%) 465 (51.5%) 486 (54.2%) 481 (53.7%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 212 (24.3%) 205 (22.9%) 38 (4.3%) 205 (22.9%) 38 (4.3%) 205 (22.9%) 38 (4.3%) 205 (25.9%) 30 (27.9%)	DM	1,981 (55.7%)	452 (49.3%)	483 (53.5%)	530 (61.3%)	516 (59%)	<.001
Iver disease295 (8.3%)56 (6.1%)57 (6.3%)69 (8%)113 (12.9%)<001Hypertension3.278 (92.9%)843 (92%)841 (93.1%)791 (91.6%)803 (91.9%)0.027Mechanical ventilation1.288 (55.5%)302 (33%)301 (36.7%)283 (32.8%)352 (40.3%)0.01Peripheral vascular disease866 (24.3%)214 (23.4%)221 (24.5%)219 (25.3%)212 (24.3%)0.81Respiratory failure1.010 (28.4%)203 (22.2%)200 (22.1%)253 (29.3%)33 (40.5%)0.021Respiratory failure1.04 (30.3.8)3.4 (30-3.9)3.4 (30-3.9)3.3 (29-3.8)3.2 (29-3.7)<010	Dyslipidemia	1,064 (29.9%)	253 (27.6%)	272 (30.1%)	282 (32.6%)	257 (29.4%)	0.14
Hypertension 3.278 9.43 923 841 923 791 919 803 91.98 0.627 Mechanical ventilation 1.268 (35.68) 302 333 (35.78) 283 (32.88) 352 (0.002) AMI 1.854 (52.18) 440 (488) 465 (51.58) 4281 (52.98) 3212 (24.38) 0.012 Peripheral vascular disease 866 24.39 203 (22.29) 220 (21.58) 219 (23.58) 334 (40.58) (34.39) 0.021 Reburator disease 10.10 (28.49) 203 (22.99) (28.67) (34.39) 33.2 (2937) (30.12) Rbc, r/al 3.4 3.4 (3039) 3.4 (3039) 3.3 (2938) 32.2 (2937) <0.011 Placeles, Kyl 10.8 10.8 $(31.0-2198)$ 170.0 (135.2302) $104.20-241.8$ 190.2 (35.62) <0.011 Placeles, Kyl 30.1 $(27.0-35.48)$ 30.6 (2735.48) 30.0 $(26.2-35.40)$ <0.011 Hemoglobin, g/dl 9.8 $(87.11.2)$ 10.1 $(9.4757.58)$ $(36.41.64)$ 9.8 $(8.6-11.69)$ 9.8 $(8.6-1.69)$ $(36.62-35.40)$ <0.011 Hemoglobin, g/dl 9.8 $(8.7-1.2)$ 10.1 $(1.0-2.11)$ 12.2 $(1.4-3.21.8)$ $(36.62-35.8)$ $(36.61-80)$ $(36.62-35.8)$ $(36.61-80)$ $(36.61-80)$ $(36.61-80)$ <td>Liver disease</td> <td>295 (8.3%)</td> <td>56 (6.1%)</td> <td>57 (6.3%)</td> <td>69 (8%)</td> <td>113 (12.9%)</td> <td><.001</td>	Liver disease	295 (8.3%)	56 (6.1%)	57 (6.3%)	69 (8%)	113 (12.9%)	<.001
Nechanical ventilation1,268 (35.6%)302 (3%)331 (36.7%)283 (32.8%)352 (40.3%)0.002AMI1,854 (52.1%)440 (48%)445 (51.5%)468 (54.2%)481 (55%)0.014Peripheral vacular disease866 (24.3%)214 (23.4%)221 (24.5%)219 (25.3%)212 (24.3%)0.81Repiratory fulture1,010 (28.4%)203 (22.2%)200 (22.1%)253 (29.3%)354 (40.5%)<.001	Hypertension	3,278 (92.2%)	843 (92%)	841 (93.1%)	791 (91.6%)	803 (91.9%)	0.627
AMI1,854 (52.1%)440 (48%)465 (51.5%)468 (54.2%)481 (55%)0.01Peripheral vacular disease866 (24.3%)214 (23.4%)221 (24.5%)129 (25.3%)212 (24.3%)0.81Repiratory failare1,010 (28.4%)203 (22.2%)200 (22.1%)253 (29.3%)354 (40.5%)0.026Rebeurati disease144 (4%)04 (4.4%)41 (4.5%)252 (2.9%)38 (43.0%)0.026Laboratory tests 3.4 (3.0~3.9)3.4 (3.0~3.9)3.3 (2.9~3.8)3.2 (2.9~3.7)<010	Mechanical ventilation	1,268 (35.6%)	302 (33%)	331 (36.7%)	283 (32.8%)	352 (40.3%)	0.002
Peripheral vascular disease 866 (24.3%) 214 (23.4%) 221 (24.5%) 219 (25.3%) 212 (24.3%) 0.81 Respiratory fulure 1.010 (28.4%) 203 (22.9%) 200 (22.1%) 253 (29.3%) 354 (40.5%) <0.01	AMI	1,854 (52.1%)	440 (48%)	465 (51.5%)	468 (54.2%)	481 (55%)	0.014
Respiratory failure1.010 (28.4%)203 (22.2%)200 (22.1%)253 (29.3%)354 (40.5%)<001Rheumatic disease144 (4%)40 (4.4%)41 (4.5%)25 (2.9%)38 (4.3%)0.265Laboratory tests3.4 (3.0-3.8)3.4 (3.0-3.9)3.3 (2.9-3.8)3.2 (2.9-3.7)<001	Peripheral vascular disease	866 (24.3%)	214 (23.4%)	221 (24.5%)	219 (25.3%)	212 (24.3%)	0.81
Rheumatic disease 144 (4%) 40 (4.4%) 41 (4.5%) 25 (2.9%) 38 (4.3%) 0.265 Laboratory tests S	Respiratory failure	1,010 (28.4%)	203 (22.2%)	200 (22.1%)	253 (29.3%)	354 (40.5%)	<.001
Laboratory tests Number Name	Rheumatic disease	144 (4%)	40 (4.4%)	41 (4.5%)	25 (2.9%)	38 (4.3%)	0.265
BRC, Will 3.4 (3.0-3.8) 3.4 (3.0-3.9) 3.4 (3.0-3.9) 3.3 (2.9-3.8) 3.2 (2.9-3.7) (0.01) WBC, K/ul 10.8 (8.2-14.6) 10.7 (8.0-13.9) 10.8 (8.0-14.4) 10.7 (8.4-14.1) 11.2 (8.4-15.8) 0.01 Platelets, K/ul 1785 (135.5-237.5) 166.8 (131.0-219.8) 17.70 (133.5-230.2) 184.5 (142.0-241.8) 190.2 (136.5-256.0) <0.01	Laboratory tests				1		
Intervention Dist (30 - 30) WBC, Kul 108 (32-14.6) 10.7 (80-13.9) 10.8 (8.0-14.4) 10.7 (8.4-14.1) 11.2 (4.8-15.8) 0.001 Platelets, Kul 178.5 (135.5-237.5) 166.8 (131.0-219.8) 177.0 (133.5-230.2) 184.5 (142.0-241.8) 190.2 (136.5-256.0) <0.01	RBC #/ul	34 (30-38)	34 (30-39)	34 (30-39)	33(29-38)	32 (29-37)	< 001
When Platelets, K/ulHab (602 + 147)Hab (602 + 147)Hab (603 + 147)Hab (713 + 140)Hab (WBC K/ul	10.8 (8.2–14.6)	10.7 (8.0-13.9)	10.8 (8.0-14.4)	10.7 (8.4 - 14.1)	11.2 (8.4-15.8)	0.001
Hematocs, NullHose (1455-150)(1055-1500)(1055-1502)(1051-1502)(10	Platelets K/ul	178 5 (135 5-237 5)	166.8 (131.0-219.8)	10.0 (0.0 - 14.4) 177 0 (133 5 - 230 2)	184.5 (142.0-241.8)	190.2 (136.5-256.0)	< 001
Hemoglobin, g/dlGM (21.7-93.9)GM (21.7-93.9)GM (21.7-93.9)GM (21.7-94.9)GM (Hematocrit %	30.1 (27.0-34.4)	30.6 (27.7-35.0)	30.4 (27.3-34.8)	30.0 (26.7-34.0)	29.6 (26.2-33.4)	< 001
Intergroum eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m²Max (bs. (bs. 1), mode (b	Hemoglobin g/dl	98 (87-112)	10.1 (9.0-11.6)	10.0 (8.8-11.4)	98 (86-110)	9.5 (8.4-10.8)	< 001
Control Control <t< td=""><td>$eGFR ml/min/1.73 m^2$</td><td>48.1 (30.8-65.5)</td><td>58.9 (47.0-75.7)</td><td>54.0 (39.5-68.6)</td><td>44.4 (30.3-58.9)</td><td>28.3 (16.7-46.8)</td><td>< 001</td></t<>	$eGFR ml/min/1.73 m^2$	48.1 (30.8-65.5)	58.9 (47.0-75.7)	54.0 (39.5-68.6)	44.4 (30.3-58.9)	28.3 (16.7-46.8)	< 001
Ortan fragmineInternationInterna	Serum creatinine mg/dl	14 (11-20)	11 (10-14)	13(10-16)	15 (12-21)	22 (14-35)	< 001
Altion gap, minorAlton (12.5-16.5)Alton (12.5-16.5)Al	Anion gan mmol/I	15.5 (13.0-18.0)	14.0 (12.5-16.5)	14.5 (12.5-16.5)	15.5 (13.5-18.0)	19.0 (16.0-22.0)	< 001
Serum bidarbonate, integraZEDS (2005 ZEDS)ZEDS (2005 ZEDS) <td>Serum bicarbonate_mEa/I</td> <td>22.5 (20.0-25.0)</td> <td>23.0 (20.5-25.0)</td> <td>23.0 (21.0-25.0)</td> <td>22.5 (20.2–25.0)</td> <td>21.0 (18.0-24.0)</td> <td>< 001</td>	Serum bicarbonate_mEa/I	22.5 (20.0-25.0)	23.0 (20.5-25.0)	23.0 (21.0-25.0)	22.5 (20.2–25.0)	21.0 (18.0-24.0)	< 001
Urine Output, ml/24 h1,320.0 (272.70-2,115.0)1,445.0 (940.0-2,250.0)1,420.0 (999.0-2,100.0)1,406.5 (838.5-2,252.5)881.0 (275.0-1,669.0)<.001Serum calcium, mg/dl8.4 (8.1-8.9)8.4 (8.0-8.8)8.5 (8.1-8.9)8.6 (8.1-9.0)8.4 (7.9-8.9)<.001	BUN, mg/dl	37.0 (25.5–56.0)	28.0 (20.5-38.5)	31.5 (23.0-43.5)	39.5 (28.0-57.2)	59.5 (41.5-82.0)	< 001
Serum calcium, mg/dl8.4 (8.1–8.9)8.4 (8.0–8.8)8.5 (8.1–8.9)8.6 (8.1–9.0)8.4 (7.9–8.9)<.001Serum chloride, mEq/L103.5 (99.0–107.0)105.5 (101.5–108.2)104.5 (100.2–107.5)103.0 (99.0–107.0)100.5 (95.5–105.0)<.001	Urine Output, ml/24 h	1.320.0 (727.0-2.115.0)	1.445.0(940.0-2.250.0)	1.420.0 (909.0-2.100.0)	1.406 5 (838 5-2.252 5)	881.0 (275.0-1.669.0)	< 001
Serum chloride, mEq/L103.5 (99.0-107.0)105.5 (101.5-108.2)104.5 (100.2-107.5)103.0 (99.0-107.0)100.5 (95.5-105.0)<001Glucose, mg/dl136.5 (111.5-178.0)130.5 (110.0-169.0)135.0 (112.2-172.0)138.0 (112.0-181.5)145.5 (112.5-189.5)<001	Serum calcium mg/dl	84 (81-89)	84 (80-88)	85 (81-89)	86 (81-90)	84 (79-89)	< 001
Glum Hubrads, mag.hHoss (950–1050)Hoss (1015–1050)Hoss (1002–1013)Hoss (950–1050)Hoss (950–1050)KostGlucose, mg/dl136.5 (111.5–178.0)130.5 (110.0–169.0)135.0 (112.2–172.0)138.0 (112.0–181.5)145.5 (112.5–189.5)<.001	Serum chloride mEa/I	103 5 (99 0-107 0)	105 5 (101 5-108 2)	104.5 (100.2-107.5)	103.0 (99.0-107.0)	100.5 (95.5-105.0)	< 001
Status (n) and Serum sodium, mEq/L138.5 (113.5 - 141.0)139.0 (136.5 - 141.0)138.5 (136.0 - 140.5)138.5 (136.0 - 140.5)138.5 (135.8 - 141.0)137.5 (134.5 - 140.0)<.001Serum potassium, mEq/L4.4 (4.0 - 4.9)4.2 (3.9 - 4.6)4.3 (4.0 - 4.7)4.5 (4.1 - 4.9)4.8 (4.2 - 5.2)<.001	Glucose mg/dl	136.5 (111.5-178.0)	130.5 (110.0-169.0)	135.0 (112.2-172.0)	138.0 (112.0-181.5)	145 5 (112 5-189 5)	< 001
Serum rotating integral Hous (150.5 (150.5 111.6)) Hous (150.5 111.6)	Serum sodium mFa/I	138.5 (135.5–141.0)	139.0 (136.5-141.0)	138.5 (136.0-140.5)	138.5 (135.8-141.0)	143.5(112.5-140.0)	< 001
Schum potassian, mappe FA (4:0 4:5) FA (5:0 4:5) FA (5:0 4:5) FA (5:0 4:5) FA	Serum potassium mEa/I	4.4 (4.0-4.9)	42 (39-46)	4 3 (4 0-4 7)	45 (41-49)	4.8 (4.2-5.2)	< 001
International Instructional Instruct	INR	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	1.2 (1.1-1.4)	1.2 (1.1-1.5)	1.3 (1.1–1.7)	< 001
APTT 33.5 (28.6-47.0) 32.0 (28.1-42.1) 33.1 (28.4-45.3) 33.4 (28.2-47.2) 37.1 (29.8-54.5) <.001 APTT 33.5 (28.6-47.0) 32.0 (28.1-42.1) 33.1 (28.4-45.3) 33.4 (28.2-47.2) 37.1 (29.8-54.5) <.001	PT	14.2 (12.6–16.6)	14.2(12.7-16.4)	13.8 (12.6–16.0)	14.1 (12.6–16.1)	14.6 (12.8-18.6)	< 001
Serum phosphate, mg/dl 3.9 (3.3-4.7) 2.9 (2.6-3.1) 3.6 (3.5-3.8) 4.2 (4.1-4.4) 5.6 (5.1-6.5) <.001 APS III 49.0 (40.0-61.0) 44.0 (36.0-55.0) 45.0 (38.0-56.0) 50.0 (41.0-60.0) 58.0 (49.0-70.0) <.001	APTT	33 5 (28 6_47 0)	32.0 (28.1_42.1)	33 1 (28 4_45 3)	33.4 (28.2_47.2)	37 1 (29 8-54 5)	< 001
APS III 49.0 (40.0-61.0) 44.0 (36.0-55.0) 45.0 (3.0-7.0) 5.0 (4.0-60.0) 58.0 (49.0-70.0) <.001 SOFA 6.0 (4.0-8.0) 5.0 (3.0-7.0) 5.0 (3.0-7.0) 5.0 (3.0-7.0) 7.0 (5.0-10.0) <.001	Serum phosphate mg/dl	39 (33-47)	2.9 (2.6-3.1)	36 (35-38)	4 2 (4 1-4 4)	56 (51-65)	< 001
No. 5 M FLO (400-010) FLO (500-500) FLO (500-500) FLO (500-500) FLO (400-010) COUL SOFA 6.0 (4.0-8.0) 5.0 (3.0-7.0) 5.0 (3.0-7.0) 5.0 (3.0-7.0) 7.0 (5.0-10.0) <.001	APS III	49.0 (40.0-61.0)	44.0 (36.0-55.0)	45.0 (38.0-56.0)	50.0 (41.0-60.0)	58.0 (49.0-70.0)	< 001
CONT COUPLOS C	SOFA	6.0 (4.0-8.0)	5.0 (3.0-7.0)	5.0 (3.0-7.0)	5.0 (3.0-7.0)	7.0 (5.0-10.0)	< 001
COLD S.0 (4.0-7.0) S.0 (4.0-7.0) S.0 (4.0-7.0) 7.0 (3.0-9.0) <.001 OASIS 32.0 (26.0-38.0) 31.0 (26.0-37.0) 31.0 (26.0-37.0) 31.0 (25.0-37.0) 34.0 (28.0-41.0) <.001	LODS	5.0 (4.0 7.0)	5.0 (3.0 - 7.0)	5.0 (3.0-7.0)	5.0 (4.0. 7.0)	7.0 (5.0 9.0)	< 001
CADS II 41.0 (25.0 50.0) 30.0 (20.0 - 57.0) 51.0 (20.0 - 57.0) </td <td>OASIS</td> <td>32.0 (26.0-38.0)</td> <td>31.0 (26.0-37.0)</td> <td>31.0 (26.0-37.0)</td> <td>31.0 (25.0-37.0)</td> <td>34.0 (28.0-2.0)</td> <td>< 001</td>	OASIS	32.0 (26.0-38.0)	31.0 (26.0-37.0)	31.0 (26.0-37.0)	31.0 (25.0-37.0)	34.0 (28.0-2.0)	< 001
-4101300 - 4101300 - 3000 - 3701370 - 4001340 - 4701330 - 4800 - 2000 - 4800 - 4701390 - 5800 - 20	SAPS II	41.0 (35.0-50.0)	39.0 (32.0-46.0)	40.0 (34.0-47.5)	41.0 (35.0-48.0)	47.0 (39.0-56.0)	< 001

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Categories	Total	Q1 (<3.3 mg/dl)	Q2 (3.3–3.9 mg/dl)	Q3 (3.9–4.7 mg/dl)	Q4 (>4.7 mg/dl)	Р
Events						
Hospital expire flag, n (%)	520 (14.6%)	109 (11.9%)	84 (9.3%)	106 (12.3%)	221 (25.3%)	<.001
LOS hospital, days	8.7 (5.6–13.9)	8.1 (5.5-12.8)	8.8 (5.5–13.6)	9.0 (5.7-14.0)	9.6 (5.8-15.0)	0.001
ICU expire flag, n (%)	357 (10%)	63 (6.9%)	55 (6.1%)	76 (8.8%)	163 (18.6%)	<.001
LOS ICU, days	2.7 (1.7-4.6)	2.4 (1.5-4.3)	2.4 (1.6-4.1)	2.6 (1.6-4.2)	3.2 (1.9-5.9)	<.001

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; AKI, acute kidney injury; AF, atrial fibrillation; CHF, congestive heart failure; DM, diabetes mellitus; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; RBC, red blood cell; WBC, white blood cell; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; INR, international normalized ratio; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; APS III, acute physiology score III; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; LODS; OASIS, oxford acute severity of illness score; SAPS II, simplified acute physiological score II; LOS hospital, length of stay hospital; LOS ICU, length of stay intensive care unit.



correlated with serum phosphate levels (both *P* for non-linearity <0.001). Lastly, the AUCs of the serum phosphate levels for predicting death risk in the ICU and hospital and during the follow-ups at 30 and 90 days were 0.637 (95% CI: 0.604–0.670), 0.611 (0.583–0.640), 0.611 (0.586–0.636), and 0.598 (0.576–0.620), respectively (Figure 5).

2.2.3 Subgroup analysis

We further evaluated the risk stratification significance of the serum phosphate levels for mortality in the hospital and ICU according to the various subgroups of gender, age, AKI, AF, CHF, DM, hypertension, and AMI (Figure 6). In the case of hospital mortality, mortality differences were detected in only the gender subgroup [males: HR, 1.36 (95% CI: 1.28–1.45) vs. females: HR, 1.19 (95% CI: 1.09–1.31), *P* for interaction = 0.020] (Figure 6A). Further, in the case of ICU mortality showed differences in mortality risk within two subgroups, i.e., the gender subgroup [males: HR, 1.42 (95% CI: 1.32–1.52) vs. females: HR, 1.22 (95% CI: 1.10–1.36), *P* for interaction = 0.019], and AKI subgroup [patients with AKI: HR, 1.21 (95% CI:

1.12–1.31) vs. patients without AKI: HR, 1.38 (95% CI: 1.26–1.50), *P* for interaction = 0.033] (Figure 6B).

2.3 Discussion

For critically ill patients with CHD accompanied by CKD, this is the first research to utilize a large ICU database to elucidate the prognostic value of serum phosphate levels in predicting outcomes. Accordingly, the primary finding of our study was that elevated serum phosphate levels on ICU admission were linked to death risk in the ICU and hospital as well as during short-term followup in this patient population. Moreover, this trend persisted for ICU all-cause mortality even after adjusting for confounding risk variables. Lastly, our study results demonstrated that serum phosphate level exhibited a robust non-linear relationship with the ICU and hospital death risk among patients with CHD accompanied by CKD.

Morbidity and death rates in patients with CKD are notably influenced by CVD (3). Conversely, CKD is linked to numerous severe cardiovascular complications, including silent ischemia, TABLE 2 Baseline characteristics of the patients grouped into 30-day non-survivor and survivor groups.

Categories	Total	Non-survivors	Survivors	Р
Ν	3,557	665	2,892	
Gender				
Female	1,152 (32.4%)	217 (32.6%)	935 (32.3%)	.917
Male	2,405 (67.6%)	448 (67.4%)	1,957 (67.7%)	
Age, years	77.1 (69.0-84.2)	81.7 (73.4–87.6)	76.1 (68.1–83.3)	<.001
Height, cm	170.0 (160.0–178.0)	168.0 (158.0–178.0)	170.0 (160.0–178.0)	.028
Weight, kg	80.6 (68.5–95.2)	76.2 (65.5–91.0)	81.6 (69.2–96.2)	<.001
BMI, kg/m ²	28.3 (25.1–32.3)	27.2 (24.0-31.2)	28.6 (25.4–32.6)	<.001
SBP, mmHg	115.7 (106.5–127.5)	110.5 (102.8–121.9)	116.9 (107.5–129.1)	<.001
DBP, mmHg	57.8 (51.6-65.0)	58.5 (51.4-64.9)	57.6 (51.7-65.0)	.702
MBP, mmHg	74.2 (68.6–80.6)	73.0 (67.3–79.5)	74.5 (68.8–80.8)	<.001
Heart rate, bpm	79.2 (70.4–88.8)	83.3 (70.9–93.9)	78.7 (70.3–87.7)	<.001
Comorbidities, n (%)				
AKI	947 (26.6%)	264 (39.7%)	683 (23.6%)	<.001
AF	1,633 (45.9%)	345 (51.9%)	1,288 (44.5%)	<.001
Chronic pulmonary disease	1,121 (31.5%)	222 (33.4%)	899 (31.1%)	.270
Cerebrovascular disease	565 (15.9%)	131 (19.7%)	434 (15%)	.003
CHF	2,303 (64.7%)	477 (71.7%)	1,826 (63.1%)	<.001
DM	1,981 (55.7%)	334 (50.2%)	1,647 (57%)	.002
Dyslipidemia	1,064 (29.9%)	188 (28.3%)	876 (30.3%)	.328
Liver disease	295 (8.3%)	91 (13.7%)	204 (7.1%)	<.001
Hypertension	3,278 (92.2%)	600 (90.2%)	2,678 (92.6%)	.048
Mechanical ventilation	1.268 (35.6%)	284 (42.7%)	984 (34%)	<.001
AMI	1,854 (52.1%)	393 (59.1%)	1,461 (50.5%)	<.001
Peripheral vascular disease	866 (24,3%)	174 (26.2%)	692 (23.9%)	.245
Respiratory failure	1,010 (28.4%)	348 (52.3%)	662 (22.9%)	<.001
Rheumatic disease	144 (4%)	31 (4.7%)	113 (3.9%)	.435
Laboratory tests				
RBC, #/ul	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	3.3 (2.9–3.8)	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	.149
WBC, K/ul	10.8 (8.2–14.6)	12.0 (8.8–16.6)	10.7 (8.1-14.2)	<.001
Platelets, K/ul	178.5 (135.5–237.5)	183.0 (128.5–253.5)	178.0 (136.0-234.5)	.421
Hematocrit, %	30.1 (27.0-34.4)	30.7 (27.2–35.0)	30.0 (27.0-34.3)	.026
Hemoglobin, g/dl	9.8 (8.7–11.2)	9.8 (8.6–11.2)	9.8 (8.8–11.2)	.706
eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m ²	48.1 (30.8-65.5)	40.3 (24.8-57.8)	50.0 (32.9-67.4)	<.001
Serum creatinine, mg/dl	1.4 (1.1–2.0)	1.6 (1.2–2.4)	1.3 (1.0–1.9)	<.001
Anion gap, mmol/L	15.5 (13.0-18.0)	17.5 (15.5–21.0)	15.0 (13.0–17.5)	<.001
Serum bicarbonate, mEq/L	22.5 (20.0-25.0)	21.0 (18.0-24.5)	22.5 (20.5-25.0)	<.001
BUN, mg/dl	37.0 (25.5–56.0)	49.0 (33.5-71.0)	34.5 (24.0-52.2)	<.001
Urine output, ml/24 h	1,320.0 (727.0-2,115.0)	880.0 (342.0-1,492.0)	1,415.0 (850.0-2,240.0)	<.001
Serum calcium, mg/dl	8.4 (8.1-8.9)	8.4 (8.0-8.9)	8.5 (8.1-8.9)	.068
Serum chloride, mEq/L	103.5 (99.0-107.0)	102.0 (97.5–106.0)	104.0 (99.5–107.5)	<.001
Glucose, mg/dl	136.5 (111.5–178.0)	153.5 (120.5–198.5)	133.0 (110.5–172.0)	<.001
Serum sodium, mEq/L	138.5 (135.5–141.0)	138.0 (135.0-141.0)	138.5 (136.0–140.5)	.540
Serum potassium, mEq/L	4.4 (4.0-4.9)	4.4 (4.1-5.0)	4.4 (4.0-4.8)	.006
INR	1.3 (1.1–1.5)	1.4 (1.2–1.9)	1.2 (1.1–1.4)	<.001
PT	14.2 (12.6–16.6)	15.3 (13.2–20.6)	13.9 (12.6–16.0)	<.001
PTT	33.5 (28.6-47.0)	37.1 (29.6–58.0)	33.0 (28.4-45.3)	<.001
Serum phosphate, mg/dl	3.9 (3.3-4.7)	4.3 (3.5-5.3)	3.8 (3.3-4.5)	<.001
APS III	49.0 (40.0-61.0)	61.0 (50.0-77.0)	47.0 (39.0-58.0)	<.001
SOFA	6.0 (4.0-8.0)	7.0 (5.0–10.0)	5.0 (3.0-7.0)	<.001
LODS	5.0 (4.0-7.0)	7.0 (5.0–9.0)	5.0 (4.0-7.0)	<.001
OASIS	32.0 (26.0-38.0)	37.0 (31.0-44.0)	31.0 (26.0–36.0)	<.001
SAPS II	41.0 (35.0-50.0)	49.0 (41.0-59.0)	40.0 (33.0-47.0)	<.001

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; AKI, acute kidney injury; AF, atrial fibrillation; CHF, congestive heart failure; DM, diabetes mellitus; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; RBC, red blood cell; WBC, white blood cell; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; INR, international normalized ratio; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; APS III, acute physiology score III; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; LODS; OASIS, oxford acute severity of illness score; SAPS II, simplified acute physiological score II.

07

Categories	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	HR	P-value	P for trend	HR	<i>P</i> -value	P for trend	HR	P-value	P for trend
Hospital									
Continuous variable	1.30 (1.24-1.36)	P < .001		1.36 (1.30-1.44)	P < .001		1.10 (1.03-1.18)	P = .007	
Quartile			< 0.001			< 0.001			0.114
Q1 (<3.3)	Reference			Reference			Reference		
Q2 (3.3–3.9)	0.77 (0.58-1.03)	P = .077		0.77 (0.58-1.03)	P = .078		0.69 (0.52-0.93)	P = .013	
Q3 (3.9-4.7)	1.05 (0.80-1.37)	P = .729		1.10 (0.84–1.44)	P = .488		0.85 (0.64-1.11)	<i>P</i> = .230	
Q4 (>4.7)	2.37 (1.88-2.98)	P < .001		2.65 (2.11-3.34)	P < .001		1.13 (0.87-1.47)	P = .350	
ICU									
Continuous variable	1.35 (1.27-1.42)	<i>P</i> < .001		1.40 (1.32-1.48)	P < .001		1.14 (1.07-1.22)	<i>P</i> < .001	
Quartile			< 0.001			< 0.001			0.015
Q1 (<3.3)	Reference			Reference			Reference		
Q2 (3.3-3.9)	0.88 (0.61-1.26)	P = .483		0.88 (0.61-1.26)	<i>P</i> = .486		0.78 (0.54-1.12)	P = .170	
Q3 (3.9-4.7)	1.30 (0.93-1.81)	P = .126		1.35 (0.96-1.88)	<i>P</i> = .083		1.05 (0.75-1.47)	P = .793	
Q4 (>4.7)	2.96 (2.22-3.97)	<i>P</i> < .001		3.22 (2.41-4.32)	P < .001		1.39 (1.03-1.89)	P = .033	

TABLE 3 Cox proportional hazard ratios (HRs) for death risk.

Model 1: unadjusted.

Model 2: adjusted for gender, age

Model 3: adjusted for gender, age, SBP, cerebrovascular disease, DM, mechanical ventilation, respiratory failure, BUN, urine output, glucose, APTT, LODS score, OASIS.



serious cardiac arrhythmia, HF, and AMI, contributing to an elevated risk of all-cause mortality and CHD-related mortality (14, 15). Therefore, CHD and CKD can exacerbate each other. Moreover, timely prognostic evaluation is of crucial importance for critically ill patients having CHD concurrent with CKD. However, the widely used prognostic clinical markers have been suggested to be insufficient. Although serum phosphate is a readily accessible indicator, it is not frequently employed for evaluating this patient group. Additionally, most patients with CKD exhibit elevated serum phosphate levels, thereby indicating that they have a higher risk for CHD-related mortality (16, 17). Consequently, strategically managing serum phosphate concentrations within an optimal range may serve as a pivotal

therapeutic approach for mitigating mortality risk in patients with such conditions.

Serum phosphate is used as an indicator of mineral metabolism and electrolyte disturbances and is widely employed in the ICU to assess the disease severity and progression of critically ill patients, particularly those with sepsis (18, 19). A previous study highlighted that critically ill patients present with elevated serum phosphate levels, which in turn linked to increased death risk (20). In a large-scale cohort investigation of community-living older men, heightened serum phosphate concentrations were strongly linked to death from CVD and all causes (21). Two longitudinal investigations have also shown that an elevated risk of HF and death was correlated with elevated serum phosphate levels, in



patients who had experienced AMI (22, 23). In support of the previous findings, a multicenter, population-based prospective cohort study involving 14,709 participants discovered that serum phosphate was substantially linked to HF risk (24). High serum phosphate concentrations in patients with CKD have been linked by other researchers to vascular calcification (25, 26), arterial stiffness (27), incident cardiovascular events (CVEs) (22, 28, 29), and cardiovascular mortality (30). Another recent study indicated that increased serum phosphate levels and cardiovascular

complications in population with CKD were significant risk factors for the early prediction of CVEs (31). A retrospective cohort study suggested that AMI risk was independently correlated with elevated serum phosphate levels among veterans with CKD (32). All these studies imply that serum phosphate is a promising prognostic indicator to predict clinical outcomes in patients with CHD accompanied by CKD. However, limited research has been conducted on the link between serum phosphate and hospital and ICU mortality from all causes in patients with CHD, CKD, or CHD combined with CKD during short-term follow-up.

Scarce data are currently available on the relationships between mortality and serum phosphate in critically ill populations. Zheng et al. recently found that serum phosphate serves as a disease severity indicator as well as an clinical marker for all-cause mortality in the ICU (20). Consistent with this prior result, we reported that serum phosphate was an effective clinical prognostic indicator for ICU all-cause mortality in patients with CHD combined with CKD. However, Zheng et al. indicated no significant increase in the duration of hospitalization for patients who had elevated serum phosphate levels (20), whereas our research showed that the higher quartiles of serum phosphate levels were correlated with extended stays in the ICU and hospital. This observation highlights the significance of optimizing clinical management to reduce adverse event incidences. Additionally, our study revealed a high prevalence of CVD and vascular health risk markers among the patients with elevated serum phosphate levels, with approximately 90% presenting with hypertension, nearly 50% with AF, practically 60% with DM, 30% with dyslipidemia, and >70% with CHF. Moreover, hypertension, DM, and dyslipidemia have been shown to not only contribute to the development of atherosclerotic cardiovascular complications but also act as crucial factors in the progression of CKD. An earlier large-scale study has also indicated that increased AF morbidity may be related to elevated

<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	0.842	Gender(male) Age<65 Age>65 AKI-	I I	1.42(1.32–1.52) 1.34(1.16–1.55) 1.37(1.29–1.46)	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	0.776
<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	0.842	Age<65 Age>65 AKI-	I	1.34(1.16–1.55) 1.37(1.29–1.46)	<0.001 <0.001	0.776
<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	0.403	Age>65 AKI-	-	1.37(1.29–1.46)	< 0.001	
<0.001 <0.001 <0.001	0.403	AKI-	-	. ,		
<0.001				1.38(1.26-1.50)	< 0.001	0.033
<0.001		AKI+	-	1.21(1.12-1.31)	< 0.001	
-0.001	0.194	AF-		1.31(1.21-1.42)	< 0.001	0.373
< 0.001		AF+		1.38(1.28-1.50)	< 0.001	
< 0.001	0.710	CHF-		1.30(1.17-1.45)	< 0.001	0.605
< 0.001		CHF+		1.36(1.27-1.45)	< 0.001	
< 0.001	0.787	DM-		1.36(1.24-1.48)	< 0.001	0.880
< 0.001		DM+		1.35(1.25-1.45)	< 0.001	
< 0.001	0.266	Hypertension-	·	1.57(1.30-1.90)	< 0.001	0.094
< 0.001		Hypertension+	-	1.33(1.25-1.41)	< 0.001	
< 0.001	0.140	MI-		1.40(1.27-1.55)	< 0.001	0.301
< 0.001		MI+		1.31(1.22-1.40)	< 0.001	
			1	7		
	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	 <0.001 0.710 <0.001 <0.001 0.787 <0.001 	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	

serum phosphate levels (33). However, our subgroup analysis illustrated that the predictive ability of serum phosphate for mortality risk remained stable, regardless of the presence or absence of AF, CHF, DM, hypertension, and AMI. Additionally, our subgroup analysis revealed that patients without AKI had a higher mortality risk in the ICU than those with AKI (P for interaction = 0.033). AKI was found to be highly prevalent in critically ill patients in a previous study. Interestingly, the study also suggested that AKI is generally responsive to early intervention with acute renal replacement therapy (RRT), often leading to decreased serum phosphate levels in patients with AKI (34). Therefore, the current study patients who had an earlier AKI diagnosis might have received RRT, thereby contributing to the observed differences in our study. Consistent with a prior research finding (11), our subgroup analysis demonstrated that males had a higher all-cause mortality risk than females (P for interaction = 0.019). However, we also observed a notable contradictory result, wherein serum phosphate levels exhibited a significant positive correlation with ICU all-cause mortality in female patients. This contrasting result may be attributed to the unique cohort employed in our study, which was distinct from that in the previous research (11). In this study, we explicitly focused on the critically ill patient population with CHD accompanied by CKD, allowing for a detailed exploration of the link between serum phosphate and the all-cause mortality risk in this concomitant disease condition and highlighting potential differences. Nevertheless, gender-related further studies conducting comprehensive research on how serum phosphate levels correlate with the ICU and hospital death risk are warranted.

The relationship between phosphate, CHD, and CKD has garnered research attention, with a potential key mechanism being linked to atherosclerosis and medial arterial calcification. A prior study showed that a greater incidence and severity of coronary artery calcification (CAC) was associated with patients having CKD than with those not having CKD (35). Moreover, researchers have suggested that medial arterial calcification, phosphate retention, and atherosclerosis may develop concurrently with the progression of CKD (8, 36). Medial arterial calcification involves calcium phosphate deposition and osteoblastic transformation of vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) (37). Initially, extracellular phosphate binds with calcium ions and fetuin-A, forming calciprotein monomers. These monomers then aggregate into primary calciprotein particles (CPPs), which develop into secondary CPPs (38, 39). The secondary CPPs further induce VSMC transformation and promote calcification (40), eventually contributing to atherosclerotic plaque instability and rupture (39-41). The inflammation-promoting function and oxidative conditions associated with CPP may accelerate atherosclerotic development, thereby escalating the risk of CVE (39, 41-43). Therefore, the increasing progression of atherosclerosis and CAC with worsening CKD is significantly correlated with the subsequent AMI and HF risk, ultimately resulting in poor prognosis (44, 45).

This study has a few limitations that should be considered. The retrospective design of our study significantly limits the ability to draw definitive causal conclusions, introducing potential selection and information biases, requiring cautious interpretation of our findings. Such a design, by its nature, can only suggest associations rather than confirm direct causal relationships. Acknowledging the complexity of clinical outcomes, we classified all-cause mortality as a secondary endpoint to reflect the nuanced impact of serum phosphate levels on patient prognosis. Moreover, potential residual confounding factors may have influenced the prognosis, even after implementing measures such as multivariate adjustment and subgroup analyses. Another limitation was that the dynamic variations in the serum phosphate levels during the hospital and ICU stays were inaccessible owing to the database limitations. Therefore, our analysis was limited to the prognosis value of baseline serum phosphate levels. Given these considerations, caution is advised when generalizing our findings to broader clinical contexts. Future studies, potentially with prospective designs and multicenter approaches, are essential to validate and extend our results.

2.4 Conclusions

In this study, we expanded the application of serum phosphate as an indicator in critically ill patients with CHD accompanied by CKD and showed that serum phosphate could be potentially utilized as an indicator of all-cause mortality in the ICU and hospital and during the short-term follow-up of these patients. Additionally, monitoring serum phosphate levels could help improve clinical practice decisions and health management in this patient population. However, further research is required to determine whether effective serum phosphate management can enhance the clinical prognosis of patients having CHD combined with CKD.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

MH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. World Health Organization. *Global Status Report on Noncommunicable Diseases* 2014. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2014).

2. Raposeiras Roubín S, Abu Assi E, Cespón Fernandez M, Barreiro Pardal C, Lizancos Castro A, Parada JA, et al. Prevalence and prognostic significance of malnutrition in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2020) 76:828–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.06.058

3. Sarnak MJ, Amann K, Bangalore S, Cavalcante JL, Charytan DM, Craig JC, et al. Chronic kidney disease and coronary artery disease: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2019) 74:1823–38. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.08.1017

4. Ammirati AL. Chronic kidney disease. *Rev Assoc Medica Bras.* (2020) 66(Suppl 1): s3–9. doi: 10.1590/1806-9282.66.S1.3

5. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD-MBD Work Group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, prevention, and treatment of chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorder (CKD-MBD). *Kidney Int Suppl.* (2009) 76:S1–130. doi: 10.1038/ki.2009.188

6. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD-MBD Update Work Group. KDIGO 2017 clinical practice guideline update for the diagnosis, evaluation, prevention, and treatment of chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorder (CKD-MBD). *Kidney Int Suppl.* (2017) 7:1–59. doi: 10.1016/j.kisu.2017. 04.001 SR: Writing – review & editing. YL: Writing – review & editing. XZ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Project administration.

Funding

The authors declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

The grants for this study were supported stage-wise by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 82260069 and 81860071).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2024. 1371000/full#supplementary-material

7. Fukagawa M, Yokoyama K, Koiwa F, Taniguchi M, Shoji T, Kazama JJ, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the management of chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorder. *Ther Apher Dial Off Peer-Rev J Int Soc Apher Jpn Soc Apher Jpn Soc Dial Ther.* (2013) 17:247–88. doi: 10.1111/1744-9987.12058

8. Ogata H, Sugawara H, Yamamoto M, Ito H. Phosphate and coronary artery disease in patients with chronic kidney disease. J Atheroscler Thromb. (2024) 31:1-14. doi: 10.5551/jat.RV22012

9. Sim JJ, Bhandari SK, Smith N, Chung J, Liu ILA, Jacobsen SJ, et al. Phosphorus and risk of renal failure in subjects with normal renal function. *Am J Med.* (2013) 126:311–8. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2012.08.018

10. Giachelli CM. Vascular calcification mechanisms. J Am Soc Nephrol JASN. (2004) 15:2959-64. doi: 10.1097/01.ASN.0000145894.57533.C4

11. Chen Q, Zhang Y, Ding D, Li D, Yang Y, Li Q, et al. Associations between serum calcium, phosphorus and mortality among patients with coronary heart disease. *Eur J Nutr.* (2018) 57:2457–67. doi: 10.1007/s00394-017-1518-8

12. Johnson AEW, Bulgarelli L, Shen L, Gayles A, Shammout A, Horng S, et al. MIMIC-IV, a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. *Sci Data*. (2023) 10:1. doi: 10.1038/s41597-022-01899-x

13. Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D, et al. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new

prediction equation. Ann Intern Med. (1999) 130:461–70. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-130-6-199903160-00002

14. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu C. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. *N Engl J Med.* (2004) 351:1296–305. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041031

15. Fox CS, Muntner P, Chen AY, Alexander KP, Roe MT, Cannon CP, et al. Use of evidence-based therapies in short-term outcomes of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in patients with chronic kidney disease: a report from the national cardiovascular data acute coronary treatment and intervention outcomes network registry. *Circulation.* (2010) 121:357–65. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.865352

16. St. Peter WL, Wazny LD, Weinhandl E, Cardone KE, Hudson JQ. A review of phosphate binders in chronic kidney disease: incremental progress or just higher costs? *Drugs.* (2017) 77:1155–86. doi: 10.1007/s40265-017-0758-5

17. Block GA, Hulbert-Shearon TE, Levin NW, Port FK. Association of serum phosphorus and calcium x phosphate product with mortality risk in chronic hemodialysis patients: a national study. *Am J Kidney Dis Off J Natl Kidney Found*. (1998) 31:607–17. doi: 10.1053/ajkd.1998.v31.pm9531176

18. Li Z, Shen T, Han Y. Effect of serum phosphate on the prognosis of septic patients: a retrospective study based on MIMIC-IV database. *Front Med.* (2022) 9:728887. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.728887

19. Xu X, Zhang L, Liu W, Li S, Zhao Q, Hua R, et al. Analysis of the relationship between early serum phosphate levels and short-term mortality in septic patients: a retrospective study based on MIMIC-IV. *Shock Augusta Ga.* (2023) 59:838–45. doi: 10.1097/SHK.00000000002119

20. Zheng W-H, Yao Y, Zhou H, Xu Y, Huang H-B. Hyperphosphatemia and outcomes in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Med.* (2022) 9:870637. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.870637

21. Dominguez JR, Kestenbaum B, Chonchol M, Block G, Laughlin GA, Lewis CE, et al. Relationships between serum and urine phosphorus with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: the osteoporotic fractures in men (MrOS) study. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2013) 61:555–63. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2012.11.033

22. Tonelli M, Sacks F, Pfeffer M, Gao Z, Curhan G. Relation between serum phosphate level and cardiovascular event rate in people with coronary disease. *Circulation.* (2005) 112:2627–33. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.553198

23. Aronson D, Kapeliovich M, Hammerman H, Dragu R. The relation between serum phosphorus levels and clinical outcomes after acute myocardial infarction. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e58348. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058348

24. Lutsey PL, Alonso A, Michos ED, Loehr LR, Astor BC, Coresh J, et al. Serum magnesium, phosphorus, and calcium are associated with risk of incident heart failure: the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2014) 100:756–64. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.114.085167

25. Foley RN, Collins AJ, Herzog CA, Ishani A, Kalra PA. Serum phosphorus levels associate with coronary atherosclerosis in young adults. *J Am Soc Nephrol JASN*. (2009) 20:397. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2008020141

26. Raggi P, Boulay A, Chasan-Taber S, Amin N, Dillon M, Burke SK, et al. Cardiac calcification in adult hemodialysis patients. A link between end-stage renal disease and cardiovascular disease? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. (2002) 39:695–701. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097 (01)01781-8

27. IX JH, De Boer IH, Peralta CA, Adeney KL, Duprez DA, Jenny NS, et al. Serum phosphorus concentrations and arterial stiffness among individuals with normal kidney function to moderate kidney disease in MESA. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol CJASN*. (2009) 4:609–15. doi: 10.2215/CJN.04100808

28. Dhingra R, Sullivan LM, Fox CS, Wang TJ, D'Agostino RB, Gaziano JM, et al. Relations of serum phosphorus and calcium levels to the incidence of cardiovascular disease in the community. *Arch Intern Med.* (2007) 167:879–85. doi: 10.1001/archinte.167.9.879

29. Foley RN, Collins AJ, Ishani A, Kalra PA. Calcium-phosphate levels and cardiovascular disease in community-dwelling adults: the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study. *Am Heart J.* (2008) 156:556–63. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2008. 05.016

30. Block GA, Klassen PS, Lazarus JM, Ofsthun N, Lowrie EG, Chertow GM. Mineral metabolism, mortality, and morbidity in maintenance hemodialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol. (2004) 15:2208. doi: 10.1097/01.ASN.0000133041.27682.A2

31. Li Z, Li J-L, Wang Q, Fan X, Gao Y, Li X-Z. Correlation between GAL-3, klotho, calcium and phosphorus metabolism indexes and cardiovascular complications in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Pak J Med Sci.* (2023) 39:1095–100. doi: 10. 12669/pjms.39.4.6988

32. Kestenbaum B, Sampson JN, Rudser KD, Patterson DJ, Seliger SL, Young B, et al. Serum phosphate levels and mortality risk among people with chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol JASN. (2005) 16:520–8. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2004070602

33. Lopez FL, Agarwal SK, Grams ME, Loehr LR, Soliman EZ, Lutsey PL, et al. Relation of serum phosphorus levels to the incidence of atrial fibrillation [from the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study]. *Am J Cardiol.* (2013) 111:857–62. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2012.11.045

34. Lim C, Tan HK, Kaushik M. Hypophosphatemia in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury treated with hemodialysis is associated with adverse events. *Clin Kidney J.* (2017) 10:341-7. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfw120

35. Kestenbaum BR, Adeney KL, de Boer IH, Ix JH, Shlipak MG, Siscovick DS. Incidence and progression of coronary calcification in chronic kidney disease: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Kidney Int.* (2009) 76:991–8. doi: 10.1038/ki. 2009.298

36. Drücke TB, Massy ZA. Atherosclerosis in CKD: differences from the general population. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2010) 6:723–35. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2010.143

37. Kutikhin AG, Feenstra L, Kostyunin AE, Yuzhalin AE, Hillebrands J-L, Krenning G. Calciprotein particles: balancing mineral homeostasis and vascular pathology. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2021) 41:1607–24. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.120.315697

38. Kuro-o M. Klotho and calciprotein particles as therapeutic targets against accelerated ageing. *Clin Sci.* (2021) 135:1915-27. doi: 10.1042/CS20201453

39. Kuro-o M. Phosphate as a pathogen of arteriosclerosis and aging. J Atheroscler Thromb. (2021) 28:203–13. doi: 10.5551/jat.RV17045

40. Aghagolzadeh P, Bachtler M, Bijarnia R, Jackson C, Smith ER, Odermatt A, et al. Calcification of vascular smooth muscle cells is induced by secondary calciprotein particles and enhanced by tumor necrosis factor- α . Atherosclerosis. (2016) 251:404–14. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2016.05.044

41. Patel N, Yaqoob MM, Aksentijevic D. Cardiac metabolic remodelling in chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2022) 18:524–37. doi: 10.1038/s41581-022-00576-x

42. Valdivielso JM, Rodríguez-Puyol D, Pascual J, Barrios C, Bermúdez-López M, Sánchez-Niño MD, et al. Atherosclerosis in chronic kidney disease: more, less, or just different? *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2019) 39:1938–66. doi: 10.1161/ ATVBAHA.119.312705

43. Yamada S, Nakano T. Role of chronic kidney disease (CKD)-mineral and bone disorder (MBD) in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease in CKD. J Atheroscler Thromb. (2023) 30:835–50. doi: 10.5551/jat.RV22006

44. Chen J, Budoff MJ, Reilly MP, Yang W, Rosas SE, Rahman M, et al. Coronary artery calcification and risk of cardiovascular disease and death among patients with chronic kidney disease. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2017) 2:635–43. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2017.0363

45. Bundy JD, Chen J, Yang W, Budoff M, Go AS, Grunwald JE, et al. Risk factors for progression of coronary artery calcification in patients with chronic kidney disease: the CRIC study. *Atherosclerosis.* (2018) 271:53–60. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2018. 02.009