Check for updates

**OPEN ACCESS** 

EDITED BY Aifeng Zhang, Boston Medical Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Haixia Xu, Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, China Yunfei Gu, Luoyang Central Hospital Affiliated to

Zhengzhou University, China Wen-Hui Wu, Tongji University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yongxin Li Iyongxin103@xjtu.edu.cn Yang Yan yangyan3@xjtu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 10 January 2024 ACCEPTED 28 March 2024 PUBLISHED 22 April 2024

#### CITATION

Liu Q, Zhao C, Dang P, Li Y and Yan Y (2024) Prognostic value of pulmonary hypertension with a nomogram in acute myocardial infarction patients with reduced left ventricular function.

Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1368139. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1368139

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Liu, Zhao, Dang, Li and Yan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Prognostic value of pulmonary hypertension with a nomogram in acute myocardial infarction patients with reduced left ventricular function

Qian Liu<sup>1†</sup>, Changying Zhao<sup>1†</sup>, Peizhu Dang<sup>2</sup>, Yongxin Li<sup>1\*</sup> and Yang Yan<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

**Background:** Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a common prognostic factor for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and its impact may increase when combined with reduced left ventricular function.

**Methods:** This retrospective cohort study enrolled AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function at the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University from January 2018 to January 2022. Basing on the systolic pulmonary artery pressure assessed by echocardiogram, patients were assigned to the PH group and control group. Propensity score matching (PSM) in sex, age and Killip classification was used to match patients between two groups. The primary outcome was defined as 1-year mortality rate, which were obtained from medical records and phone calls.

**Results:** After the PSM, a total of 504 patients were enrolled, with 252 in both groups. No significant difference of the adjusted factors was observed between the two groups. The 1-year mortality rate was significantly higher in the PH group compared with the control group (15.5% vs. 5.3%, P < 0.001). In the cox regression analysis, PH (HR: 2.068, 95% CI: 1.028–4.161, P = 0.042) was identified as an independent risk factor, alongside left ventricular ejection fraction (HR: 0.948; 95% CI: 0.919–0.979; P < 0.001), creatine kinase-MB isoenzymes (HR: 1.002; 95% CI: 1.000–1.003; P = 0.010) and pro-brain natriuretic peptide (HR: 1.000; 95% CI: 1.000–1.000; P = 0.018) for the 1-year mortality in AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function. A nomogram was established using the above factors to predict the 1-year mortality risks in these patients.

**Conclusion:** AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function showed higher 1-year mortality rate when concomitant with PH. Four independent risk factors, including PH, were identified and used to establish a nomogram to predict the 1-year mortality risks in these patients.

#### Clinical Trials.gov ID: NCT06186713.

#### KEYWORDS

acute myocardial infarction, pulmonary hypertension, reduced left ventricular function, prognosis, nomogram

#### 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1368139

# 1 Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is a cardiac emergency caused triggered by acute blockage of the coronary arteries and necrosis of the myocardium (1). It is a main cause of sudden cardiac death, marked by acute onset, high incidence and poor prognosis (2). One of its most prevalent complications is the reduced left ventricular function (3-6). Generally, the larger the infarct size, the greater the likelihood of reduced left ventricular function (7). Previous studies indicated that the left ventricular dysfunction significantly affects the prognosis of AMI, even in the thrombolytic era (8, 9). The incidence of sudden cardiac death in AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function after discharge was 10.0% at 2.1 years and 13.2% at 3.1 years (10). However, the majority of existing temporal trends data in real-world experiences are restricted to patients diagnosed with heart failure (HF), without specific emphasis on those exhibiting reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) (5).

Pulmonary hypertension (PH), defined as mean PAP  $\geq$  20 mmHg at rest, is a pathological condition characterized by a sustained increase in pulmonary artery pressure, which results from common left heart diseases or lung diseases (11). Elevated pulmonary artery pressure puts strain on the right ventricle and may lead to right heart failure and even death in the advanced stages (12). It has been reported that PH can also exacerbate myocardial hypertrophy and myocardial infarction in AMI patients, leading to a poor prognosis (13). PH is also a frequent complication of left ventricular dysfunction, and is associated

with a high mortality and incidence of heart failure when it complicates left ventricular dysfunction (14).

Overall, PH has a negative effect on AMI patients and appears to be closely associated with reduced left ventricular function. However, its impact on AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function remains unclear. This retrospective study included AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function to investigate the prognostic value of PH in this specific type of patient. Meanwhile, a nomogram would be established basing on the identified independent risk factors, hoping to provide a novel risk stratification for them.

# 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Study design and participants

This retrospective cohort study included patients who were hospitalized for AMI with reduced left ventricular function at The First Affiliated Hospital of Jiaotong University from January 2018 to January 2022. The diagnosis of AMI was based on the universal definition criteria of the American College of Cardiology (15). Reduced left ventricular function was defined as LVEF less than 62% in men and 64% in women, as measured by echocardiography (16). The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) AMI complicated by myocardial rupture; (2) Significant preexisting heart failure; (3) Other comorbidities such as malignancy that may significantly affect prognosis; (4) Incomplete clinical data. The study flowchart was shown in Figure 1.



This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University (No. XJTU1AF2023LSK-406) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

## 2.2 Grouping

The gold standard for the diagnosis of PH is the right heart catheterization examination. Nonetheless, the invasive nature of this examination makes it difficult to use as a routine test for AMI patients. As one of the optimal approaches for assessing potential PH, echocardiography was employed in this study (12).

Patients were categorized into the PH and control groups based on pulmonary artery pressure measured by echocardiography upon admission, which could reflect their baseline situation before treatments. The PH group comprised of patients with systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP)  $\geq$ 35 mmHg while the control group included those with sPAP <35 mmHg (17).

### 2.3 Study outcome and data collection

The primary outcome of this study was the 1-year mortality rate. Anonymized clinical data were collected from the Biobank of The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University. The demographics characteristics, biochemical indicators, imaging results and clinical information of all patients were collected for subsequent analysis.

The follow-up period extended from discharge to either the occurrence of the primary outcome or one year following discharge. The survival status of patients was obtained via electronic medical records or communication with patients and their relatives through telephone conversations.

#### 2.4 Propensity score matching

A 1:1 ratio of propensity score matching (PSM) was employed with a caliper value of 0.002 to minimize the effect of potential confounding factors, including gender, age, and Killip classification, and to establish an even distribution of selected variables across the two groups.

#### 2.5 Statistical analysis

Continuous variables that conformed to a normal distribution were presented using the mean and standard deviation, and were compared using the Student's *t*-test. For continuous variables that did not conform to a normal distribution, the median with interquartile range (25th and 75th percentile) was presented and were compared using a Mann-Whitney *U*-test. Categorical variables were described as counts with percentages (n, %), and comparison was made using chi-square test or Fisher's exact test.

One-year mortality were compared using the Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank tests. Univariate and multivariate COX regression analyses were conducted to identify the independent risk factors. Variables with a *P*-value <0.10 in the univariate Cox regression would be included in the multivariate Cox regression analyses. The hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to determine the intensity of risk factors. The independent risk factors identified in the multivariate Cox regression were used to build a nomogram which could predict the 1-year mortality risks. The accuracy of the nomogram was evaluated by area under the curve (AUC), the consistency was assessed by the calibration curve, and the clinical values were tested by the decision curve analysis.

The SPSS 27.0 (SPSS Inc., USA) and R 3.1.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria) were used for statistical analysis. The main R software packages used for analysis were "survival" and "survminer". A two-sided *P*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# **3** Results

A total of 4,784 AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function were included in this study. Among them, 259 (5.7%) patients were assigned to the PH group, and the rest were assigned to the control group. After PSM, there were 252 patients in both the PH and control groups.

## 3.1 PSM results

The sex, age and Killip classification for two groups were shown in Table 1. Before PSM, the PH group exhibited a lower percentage of male patients (70.3% vs. 81.8, P < 0.001) and a higher number of patients with Killip classification  $\geq 3$  (27.0% vs.

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of PH in AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function before and after PSM.

Characteristics	Before PSM ( <i>N</i> = 4,508)			After PSM ( <i>N</i> = 504)			
	PH group	Control group	P-value	PH group	Control group	P-value	
	(N = 259)	(N = 4,249)		( <i>N</i> = 252)	(N = 252)		
Male (n, %)	182 (70.3)	3,477 (81.8)	< 0.001	180 (71.4)	181 (71.8)	0.921	
Age (years)	68.00 (60.00, 73.00)	60.00 (52.00, 68.00)	< 0.001	68.50 (61.25, 74.00)	68.50 (61.25, 74.00)	0.317	
Killip $\geq 3$ (n, %)	70 (27.0)	290 (6.8)	< 0.001	63 (25.0)	63 (25.0)	1.000	

PH, pulmonary hypertension; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; PSM, propensity score matching.

6.8%, P < 0.001). Additionally, the PH group had older ages [68.00 (60.00, 73.00) vs. 60.00 (52.00, 68.00), P < 0.001] compared to the control group. After the PSM, there was no significant difference in the aforementioned three variables between the two groups.

#### 3.2 Baseline characteristics

The demographic characteristics, biochemical examination and imaging results between two groups were presented in Table 2.

TABLE 2 Differences in demographic and clinical characteristics of AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function with and without PH.

Characteristics	Total	PH group	Control group	<i>P</i> -value				
	(N = 504)	(N = 252)	(N = 252)					
(1-252) $(1-252)$								
Current smoking	58 (11 5)	22 (87)	36 (143)	0.051				
Previous smoking	25 (5.0)	11 (4.4)	14 (5.6)	0.538				
Drinkor (n. %)	25 (5.0)	11 (4.4)	17 (6 7)	0.558				
	33 (0.9)	18 (7.1)	17 (0.7)	0.801				
Diagnosis		· ·	· ·					
Non-STEMI	179 (35.5)	82 (32.5)	97 (38.5)	0.163				
Anterior wall	118 (23.4)	60 (23.8)	58 (23.0)	0.833				
Extensive anterior wall	62 (12.3)	33 (13.1)	29 (11.5)	0.588				
Inferior wall	129 (25.6)	70 (27.8)	59 (23.4)	0.262				
Posterior wall	52 (10.3)	26 (10.3)	26 (10.3)	>0.999				
Others	16 (3.2)	10 (4.0)	6 (2.4)	0.310				
Blood biochemistry								
D-dimer (mg/L)	0.75 (0.40, 1.47)	0.83 (0.50, 1.55)	0.64 (0.35, 1.42)	0.056				
NEUT# (10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	7.32 (5.29, 9.75)	7.17 (5.23, 9.84)	7.36 (5.29, 9.69)	0.861				
LYMPH# (10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	1.20 (0.88, 1.66)	1.19 (0.87, 1.68)	1.22 (0.91, 1.66)	0.430				
WBC (10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	9.25 (7.23, 11.82)	9.26 (7.25, 11.79)	9.25 (7.18, 11.83)	0.952				
TG (mmol/L)	1.11 (0.77, 1.48)	1.02 (0.76, 1.33)	1.19 (0.83, 1.65)	< 0.001				
TC (mmol/L)	3.83 (3.17, 4.58)	3.69 (3.05, 4.36)	4.13 (3.30, 4.90)	< 0.001				
LDH (U/L)	331.00 (253.00, 581.75)	335.50 (254.25, 641.50)	328.00 (249.75, 523.00)	0.163				
CK (U/L)	1,095.75 (335.00, 3,019.00)	277.50 (110.75, 887.00)	430.00 (131.00, 1,271.25)	0.066				
CK-MB (U/L)	36.70 (16.00, 132.75)	29.50 (15.00, 97.98)	48.00 (18.00, 160.18)	0.008				
Pro-BNP (pg/ml)	1,962.50 (651.35, 4,961.50)	3,154.00 (1,310.75, 6,608.00)	1,325.00 (447.28, 2,673.00)	< 0.001				
BUN (mmol/L)	6.16 (4.94, 7.90)	6.30 (5.02, 8.45)	6.07 (4.89, 7.47)	0.011				
Cr (µmol/L)	66.00 (54.00, 86.00)	68.00 (56.00, 86.00)	65.00 (51.25, 86.75)	0.127				
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	86.65 (69.03, 96.66)	88.90 (73.96, 96.81)	84.53 (64.81, 96.11)	0.096				
Lactic acid (mmol/L)	1.9 (1.4, 2.9)	1.9 (1.3, 3.0)	2.0 (1.5, 2.9)	0.307				
PaO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	79.2 (66.5, 94.7)	77.9 (63.4, 91.1)	80.8 (68.1, 96.5)	0.116				
Comorbidities (n, %)								
Hypertension	279 (55.4)	133 (52.8)	146 (57.9)	0.244				
Diabetes	159 (31.5)	85 (33.7)	74 (29.4)	0.292				
COPD	7 (1.4)	6 (2.4)	1 (0.4)	0.128				
СКД	14 (2.8)	10 (4.0)	4 (1.6)	0.104				
PVD	23 (4.6)	13 (5.2)	10 (4.0)	0.522				
Stroke	68 (13.5)	36 (14.3)	32 (12.7)	0.602				
Anemia	80 (15.9)	51 (20.2)	29 (11.5)	0.007				
Modications (n. %)								
ACEL	13 (2.6)	6 (2.4)	7 (2.8)	0 779				
ß-blocker	5 (10)	4 (16)	1 (0.4)	0.369				
ARB	11 (2.2)	6 (2.4)	5 (2.0)	0.760				
ССВ	233 (46.2)	10 (4 0)	23 (91)	0.019				
Diuretic	6 (1.2)	3 (1.2)	3 (1.2)	1.000				
Echocardiography								
	45 (38 52)	12 (37 18)	47 (41 55)	<0.001				
Mitral regurgitation (%)	370 (72 4)	184 (72.0)	196 (72.0)	0.001				
RV longitudinal diameter (mm)	17 (15 10)	16 (15, 20)	17 (15 19)	0.630				
DV transverse diameter (mm)	28 (25-20)	28 (26 20)	27 (25 20)	0.53				
In-hospital stay (days)	4.94 (2.96, 7.59)	5.85 (3.59, 8.82)	4,25 (2,63, 6,63)	<0.001				

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; PH, pulmonary hypertension; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; NEUT#, neutrophil count; LYMPH#, lymphocyte count; WBC, white blood cell; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; CK, creatine kinase; CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB isoenzymes; Pro-BNP, pro-brain natriuretic peptide; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Cr, creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; PVD, peripheral vascular disease; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; CCB, calcium channel blockers; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; RV, right ventricular.

10.3389/fcvm.2024.1368139

Compared with the control group, PH group demonstrated lower levels of triglycerides [1.02 (0.76, 1.33) vs. 1.19 (0.83, 1.65), P < 0.001], total cholesterol [3.69 (3.05, 4.36) vs. 4.13 (3.30, 4.90), P < 0.001], creatine kinase-MB isoenzymes (CK-MB) [29.50 (15.00, 97.98) vs. 48.00 (18.00, 160.18), P = 0.008] and LVEF [4.13 (3.30, 4.90) vs. 47 (41, 55), P < 0.001]. Additionally, more patients in PH group were treated by calcium channel blockers (4.0% vs. 9.1%, P = 0.019). By contrast, the blood urea nitrogen [6.30 (5.02, 8.45) vs. 6.07 (4.89, 7.47), P = 0.011] and pro-brain natriuretic peptides [3,154.00 (1,310.75, 6,608.00) vs. 1,325.00 (447.28, 2,673.00), P < 0.001] were higher in the PH group, with longer in-hospital stay (5.85 vs. 4.25, P < 0.001) and more anemia patients (20.2% vs. 11.5%, P = 0.007).

## 3.3 Risk factors for 1-year mortality

A total of 46 (9.1%) patients were not available for follow-up, with 19 belonging to the PH group. Among others, 48 (10.48%) patients died during the follow-up time, of which 36 were in the PH group. The 1-year mortality rate was significantly higher in PH group than that in control group (15.5% vs. 5.3%, P < 0.001, Figure 2). The results of multivariate COX regression indicated that PH was an independent risk factor for 1-year mortality in AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function (HR: 2.068, 95% CI: 1.028–4.161, P = 0.042). Furthermore, LVEF (HR: 0.948; 95% CI: 0.919–0.979; P < 0.001), CK-MB (HR: 1.002; 95% CI: 1.000–1.003; P = 0.010) and Pro-BNP (HR: 1.000; 95% CI:

1.000–1.000; P = 0.018) were also found to be independent risk factors for poor prognosis in these patients (Table 3).

# 3.4 Nomogram to predict the 1-year mortality risk

A nomogram was built using the four independent risk factors, PH, LVEF, CK-MB and Pro-BNP, in AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function (Figure 3). Patients could take a single point for each independent risk factor at admission. The four single point could be summed for a total point, which was related to a probability of 1-year mortality. The AUC value of this nomogram was 0.754. Meanwhile, at the total mortality rate (10.48%), the calibration curve was above the both "All" and "None" line. The decision curve also indicated good accuracy of the predictive model (Figure 4).

# 4 Discussion

In this retrospective cohort study, a total of 504 AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function were enrolled after PSM. At follow-up, the 1-year mortality rate was significantly higher in the PH group than that in the control group (15.5% vs. 5.3%, P < 0.001). PH could be considered as an independent risk factor, together with LVEF and CK-MB on admission, for 1-year mortality in AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function.



TABLE 3	Factors affecting	outcomes in	AMI	patients with	reduced	left	ventricular	function.
---------	-------------------	-------------	-----	---------------	---------	------	-------------	-----------

Characteristics	Univariate model		Multivariate model			
	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	HR (95% CI)	P-value		
PH (%)	3.005 (1.563, 5.775)	<0.001	2.068 (1.028, 4.161)	0.042		
Current smoking (%)	1.284 (0.576, 2.862)	0.541				
Previous smoking (%)	1.454 (0.452, 4.677)	0.531				
Drink (%)	0.919 (0.286, 2.957)	0.887				
TG (mmol/L)	0.848 (0.643, 1.117)	0.241				
TC (mmol/L)	0.539 (0.289, 1.004)	0.051	0.970 (0.717, 1.313)	0.844		
CK-MB (U/L)	1.001 (1.000, 1.003)	0.070	1.002 (1.000, 1.003)	0.010		
Hypertension (%)	0.619 (0.350, 1.095)	0.099	0.589 (0.330, 1.052)	0.074		
COPD (%)	5.809 (1.802, 18.723)	0.003	2.901 (0.856, 9.834)	0.087		
CKD (%)	2.506 (0.779,8.067)	0.123				
Anemia (%)	1.436 (0.716, 2.883)	0.308				
ССВ (%)	0.045 (0.000, 8.473)	0.246				
Pro-BNP (pg/ml)	1.000 (1.000, 1.000)	<0.001	1.000 (1.000, 1.000)	0.018		
LVEF (%)	0.933 (0.905, 0.961)	<0.001	0.948 (0.919, 0.979)	0.001		

Abbreviations as in Tables 1, 2.



Despite the recent decrease in morbidity and mortality rates, AMI still poses a significant global health burden. Thorsten et al. demonstrated the impacts of infarct size and transmural extension of necrosis on the progressive remodeling of the left ventricle. These two factors could cause alterations in the structure and mechanical properties of the left ventricle myocardium, resulting in decreased diastolic and systolic function of the left ventricle (18). Meanwhile, pressure and volume overload after AMI could also lead to increased ventricular wall stress and impaired left ventricular function through a variety of mechanisms, including inflammation, energy metabolism and neuro-hormonal activation (19). Eventually, AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function always exhibit greater amounts of scarred or non-viable myocardium, leading to negative outcomes (7).

Current studies suggest that the global prevalence of PH is  $\geq\!1\%$  and all age groups can be affected. PH is associated with poor



prognosis in many diseases. The relationship between left heart disease and PH has been extensively reported in the literature, which accounts for 65%-80% of all cases (11). Furthermore, although right heart disease has received relatively little attention, a previous study suggested that PH may be a strong risk factor for both mortality and heart failure in AMI patients with impaired right ventricular function (20). It may be the reason that PH can trigger the progression of pulmonary vascular remodeling and the gradual increase in pulmonary arterial pressure, both of which can alter geometry and function of the right ventricle (21). Meanwhile, the increase in afterload triggers a series of mechanical and biochemical changes that may ultimately contribute to right heart failure. This PH-induced right heart failure will be more devastating for patients who already have reduced left ventricular function.

There have been studies that explored the role of prognostic role of PH in AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function. Barywani et al. enrolled AMI patients aged over 80 years with sPAP  $\geq$ 40 mmHg, and found that elevated sPAP was an independent risk factor for one- and five-year all-cause mortality post-AMI in very elderly patients, which appeared to be a better prognostic predictor than LVEF (22). This conclusion is essentially consistent with this study, which applies to AMI patients across a broader age range. Meanwhile, reduced LV function is a common complication post AMI, and the focus of this study was on this specific type of patient. This study also identified LVEF, CK-BM and Pro-BNP at admission as independent risk factors for 1-year mortality. Basing on these four factors, a nomogram was built to predict the 1-year mortality risks for AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function, in which PH played an important role. Currently, there is no single independent factor that can adequately assess the prognosis of patients with AMI. This nomogram, combining these four factors, could be used as a quantitative risk stratification in clinical practice and alert physicians to pay special attention to patients with higher death risk.

In conclusion, PH is a progressive disease that often leads to a negative outcome. Although the cardiac catheterization provides the formal diagnosis, transthoracic echocardiography also plays a vital role in identifying high-risk patients. It is important to note sPAP measured by echocardiography and more attention should be paid to AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function who also have suspected PH on admission.

There are some limitations in this study. Firstly, it is a singlecenter retrospective study, limiting the credibility of this study. Secondly, while echocardiography has utility in assessing PH, it is insufficient to provide as precise an evaluation as the right heart catheterization. Finally, due to the limited patients, we did not conduct internal and external verification of the nomogram, which may introduce bias.

# 5 Conclusion

This retrospective cohort study found that PH, LVEF, CK-MB and Pro-BNP at admission may be the independent risk factors for 1-year mortality in AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function. A nomogram basing on these four independent risk factors could be used to predict the 1-year mortality risks for stratification. Particular attention should be given to AMI patients with reduced left ventricular function who were also suspect with possible PH by echocardiography.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because this is a retrospective cohort study.

# Author contributions

QL: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CZ: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PD: Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YL: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YY: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This study was supported by the Shaanxi Province Key Industry Innovation Chain (2022ZDLSF04-08), the Natural

#### References

1. Anderson JL, Morrow DA. Acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. (2017) 376:2053-64. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1606915

2. Zhang YM, Zhang ZY, Wang RX. Protective mechanisms of quercetin against myocardial ischemia reperfusion injury. *Front Physiol.* (2020) 11:956. doi: 10.3389/ fphys.2020.00956

3. Luvsansuren B, Chimed S. Significance of left ventricular global longitudinal strain assessment in patients with preserved ejection fraction after acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41:1212. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/ehaa946.1212

4. Batmyagmar KH, Chimed S, Baldandorj A, Zundui L, Davaakhuu N. P6502Significance of left ventricular global longitudinal strain assessment in patients with preserved ejection fraction after acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J.* (2018) 39:1382. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy566.P6502

5. Roberto M, Hoepli A, Cattaneo M, Radovanovic D, Rickli H, Erne P, et al. Patients with AMI and severely reduced LVEF, a well-defined, still extremely vulnerable population (insights from AMIS plus registry). *Am J Cardiol.* (2023) 200:190–201. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2023.05.027

6. Hall M, Dondo TB, Yan AT, Mamas MA, Timmis AD, Deanfield JE, et al. Multimorbidity and survival for patients with acute myocardial infarction in England and Wales: latent class analysis of a nationwide population-based cohort. *PLoS Med.* (2018) 15:e1002501. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002501

7. Del Buono MG, Moroni F, Montone RA, Azzalini L, Sanna T, Abbate A. Ischemic cardiomyopathy and heart failure after acute myocardial infarction. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* (2022) 24:1505–15. doi: 10.1007/s11886-022-01766-6

8. Senior R, Basu S, Kinsey C, Schaeffer S, Lahiri A. Carvedilol prevents remodeling in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J.* (1999) 137:646–52. doi: 10.1016/s0002-8703(99)70217-6

9. Stone GW, Selker HP, Thiele H, Patel MR, Udelson JE, Ohman EM, Maehara A, et al. Relationship between infarct size and outcomes following primary PCI: patient-level analysis from 10 randomized trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. (2016) 67:1674–83. doi: 10. 1016/j.jacc.2016.01.069

Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (2021JM-038), and the Innovation Capacity Support Plan Project of Shaanxi Province (2022KJXX-49).

# Acknowledgments

We thank all participants of this study, and are grateful to the Biobank of The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University for providing clinical data.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10. Hanada K, Sasaki S, Seno M, Kimura Y, Ichikawa H, Nishizaki F, et al. Reduced left ventricular ejection fraction is a risk for sudden cardiac death in the early period after hospital discharge in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circ J.* (2022) 86:1490–8. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-21-0999

11. Humbert M, Kovacs G, Hoeper MM, Badagliacca R, Berger RMF, Brida M, et al. 2022 ESC/ERS guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Heart J.* (2022) 43:3618–731. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac237

12. Poch D, Mandel J. Pulmonary hypertension. Ann Intern Med. (2021) 174: Itc49-itc64. doi: 10.7326/aitc202104200

13. Smolders VF, Zodda E, Quax PHA, Carini M, Barberà JA, Thomson TM, et al. Metabolic alterations in cardiopulmonary vascular dysfunction. *Front Mol Biosci.* (2018) 5:120. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2018.00120

14. Enriquez-Sarano M, Rossi A, Seward JB, Bailey KR, Tajik AJ. Determinants of pulmonary hypertension in left ventricular dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (1997) 29:153–9. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(96)00436-6

15. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, Brindis RG, Fihn SD, Fleisher LA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA guideline focused update on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association task force on clinical practice guidelines: an update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Circulation.* (2016) 134:e123–55. doi: 10.1161/cir.0000000000000404

16. Baumhove L, Tromp J, Figarska S, van Essen BJ, Anker SD, Dickstein K, et al. Heart failure with normal LVEF in BIOSTAT-CHF. *Int J Cardiol.* (2022) 364:85–90. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2022.05.054

17. Mutlak D, Lessick J, Carasso S, Kapeliovich M, Dragu R, Hammerman H, et al. Utility of pulmonary hypertension for the prediction of heart failure following acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* (2012) 109:1254–9. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard. 2011.12.035

18. Reffelmann T, Hale SL, Li G, Kloner RA. Relationship between no reflow and infarct size as influenced by the duration of ischemia and reperfusion. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2002) 282:H766-72. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00767. 2001

19. Frantz S, Hundertmark MJ, Schulz-Menger J, Bengel FM, Bauersachs J. Left ventricular remodelling post-myocardial infarction: pathophysiology, imaging, and novel therapies. *Eur Heart J.* (2022) 43:2549–61. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac223

20. Shahar K, Darawsha W, Yalonetsky S, Lessick J, Kapeliovich M, Dragu R, et al. Time dependence of the effect of right ventricular dysfunction on clinical outcomes after myocardial infarction: role of pulmonary hypertension. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2016) 5:e003606. doi: 10.1161/jaha.116.003606

21. Savale L, Weatherald J, Jaïs X, Vuillard C, Boucly A, Jevnikar M, et al. Acute decompensated pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir Rev.* (2017) 26:170092. doi: 10. 1183/16000617.0092-2017

22. Barywani SB, Johansson MC, Kontogeorgos S, Mandalenakis Z, Hansson PO. Impact of elevated systolic arterial pulmonary pressure on the total mortality rate after acute myocardial infarction in the elderly. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:12626. doi: 10. 1038/s41598-022-16210-6