Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jong-Ho Park, Sungkyunkwan University, Republic of Korea

REVIEWED BY Joost Rutten, Radboud University, Netherlands Osama Rifaie, Ain Shams University, Egypt

*CORRESPONDENCE Shengkui Tan 🗵 Q527150899@outlook.com

RECEIVED 22 October 2023 ACCEPTED 24 April 2024 PUBLISHED 13 May 2024

CITATION

Qin L, Wu X, Tan C, Zhang Z, Li Y, Zhu X, Qin S and Tan S (2024) Non-linear association and benchmark dose of blood pressure on carotid artery intima-media thickening in a general population of southern China. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1325947. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1325947

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Qin, Wu, Tan, Zhang, Li, Zhu, Qin and Tan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Non-linear association and benchmark dose of blood pressure on carotid artery intima-media thickening in a general population of southern China

Linyuan Qin^{1,2}, Xiaoyan Wu^{1,2}, Chao Tan^{1,2}, Zhengbao Zhang^{1,2}, You Li^{1,2}, Xiaonian Zhu^{1,2}, Shenghua Qin³ and Shengkui Tan^{1,2,4}*

¹Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Guilin Medical University, Guilin, Guangxi, China, ²Guangxi Key Laboratory of Environmental Exposomics and Entire Lifecycle Health, Guilin Medical University, Guilin, Guangxi, China, ³Physical Examination Center, Guilin People's Hospital, Guilin, Guangxi, China, ⁴Party Committee Office, Youjiang Medical University for Nationalities, Baise, Guangxi, China

Background and aims: This study aimed to evaluate whether there is a J-curve association between blood pressure (BP) and carotid artery intima-media thickening (CAIT) and estimate the effect of the turning point of BP on CAIT. **Methods and results:** Data from 111,494 regular physical examinations conducted on workers and retirees (aged 18 years or older) between January 2011 and December 2016, exported from the hospital information system, were analyzed. Restricted cubic splines (RCS) logistic regression was employed to access the association of BP with CAIT, and Bayesian benchmark dose methods were used to estimate the benchmark dose as the departure point of BP measurements. All the $p_{non-linear}$ values of BP measurements were less than 0.05 in the RCS logistic regression models. Both systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) had J-curve associations with the risk of CAIT at a turning point around 120/70 mmHg in the RCS. The benchmark dose for a 1% change in CAIT risk was estimated to be 120.64 mmHg for SBP and 72.46 mmHg for DBP.

Conclusion: The J-curve associations between SBP and DBP and the risk of CAIT were observed in the general population in southern China, and the turning point of blood pressure for significantly reducing the risk of CAIT was estimated to be 120.64/72.46 mmHg for SBP/DBP.

KEYWORDS

carotid artery intima-media thickening, blood pressure, restricted cubic splines, benchmark dose, non-linear association

1 Introduction

Since their report in 2005, cardiovascular disease and stroke have been the major causes of mortality in China (1). Blood pressure (BP), which is the leading modifiable risk factor, plays a very important role in the development of these cardiovascular diseases and is also associated with carotid artery intima-media thickening (CAIT) (2). Previous studies have provided evidence that interventions aimed at achieving persistent BP reduction in individuals with elevated BP could considerably benefit primary

prevention efforts against cardiovascular disease and stroke (3, 4). Early before the onset of cardiovascular disease and stroke, vessel damage caused by elevated BP could be screened through CAIT (5, 6), which could be detected by carotid ultrasound examination during routine physical examinations of the general population. Therefore, exploring the relationship between BP and CAIT can provide a basis for earlier prevention of cardiovascular diseases and stroke. Even though previous studies had shown that high BP is an independent risk factor for CAIT (7-12), most of them usually accessed the generalized linearity associations between the risk of CAIT and BP. However, in realworld data, non-linear associations warrant consideration because a unit (e.g., 20 mmHg) increases in BP at a higher BP level, and the change in the risk of CAIT is not the same as it is at a lower BP level (2). Furthermore, from a micro perspective, platelet microparticle values, which are positively associated with CAIT (13, 14), are elevated in the higher BP hypertension group but not in the mild BP hypertension and normotension groups (15). The J-curve association between the risk of cardiovascular disease or stroke and BP has been observed for decades (16-18). These research studies suggested potential non-linear associations between BP and CAIT, yet the details of the picture remain unclear.

At present, the antihypertensive targets recommended in hypertension guidelines are based on the principle of significantly reducing the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases (19). As one of the major arteries closest to the heart, the presence of CAIT indicates that atherosclerosis may also affect cardiovascular or cerebral vessels (20, 21). Therefore, evaluating a BP turning point that can significantly reduce the risk of CAIT as a BP target would be more beneficial for managing BP in the general population. This paper aimed to explore whether there are J-curve associations between BP measurements and CAIT and to estimate the turning points of systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) through longitudinal data analysis, thus improving hypertension management and preventing cardiovascular disease and stroke.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study population and data collection

Data from regular physical examinations conducted on workers and retirees (18 years of age or older) between January 2011 and December 2016 were exported from the hospital information system at the Affiliated Hospital of Guilin Medical University in Southern China. These data, which covered 111,494 examinations of 32,276 people who had participated at least twice in annual examinations and did not have CAIT at the first examination, included 41 variables that comprised routine blood tests (including complete blood count and chemistry panels for basic metabolic, liver function, and kidney function), BP readings, and ultrasound radiography results. Hypertension patients regularly taking antihypertensive medications (including beta-blockers, diuretics, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and angiotensin II receptor blockers) were excluded from the study.

CAIT was assessed by high-resolution B-mode ultrasonography of the right and left carotid arteries of a 1-1.5 cm section at the distal end of the proximal to the carotid bulb. A mean intimamedia thickness >1.0 mm was diagnosed as CAIT. Four deputy chief physicians in the department of ultrasound were responsible for the diagnosis of CAIT using an ultrasound imager (G4 xMATRIX iU22, Phillips). BP levels were measured with an automatic cuff-style bicep monitor (HEM-1000, Omron) after the participants rested for at least 5 min. The average of two readings on two occasions was used as the BP level. SBP and DBP were used to compute the following BP measurements: pulse pressure (PP: SBP-DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP: $2/3 \times DBP + 1/3 \times SBP$). To facilitate better BMD model fit and comparison with other studies, BP measurements were transformed into groups. SBP was categorized into groups of <100, ~100, ~120, ~140, ~160, and ≥180 mmHg, while DBP was categorized into groups of <60, ~60, ~70, ~80, ~90, ~100, and ≥110 mmHg as in the INVEST study (22). PP was grouped as <35, ~35, ~45, ~55, ~65, and \geq 75 mmHg, while MAP was grouped as <70, ~70, ~80, ~90, ~100, ~110, and ≥120 mmHg.

2.2 Covariate variables

The potential covariate variables in this study were identified based on subjective knowledge, literature reviews (23–28), and three elastic net models (see Supplementary Materials). Covariates included age, sex, BMI, fasting blood glucose, lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, serum uric acid, albumin, alkaline phosphatase, homocysteine, and fatty liver, which were used as adjustment variables.

2.3 Statistical analysis

The extreme outlier values (more extreme than $Q1 - 3 \times IQR$ or $Q3 + 3 \times IQR$) were treated as missing data. The missing continuous values for an individual were imputed using the mean values of their longitudinal data if they could be calculated; otherwise, the mean values of data from participants of the same age and sex were used as substitutes. The observations with missing CAIT data were excluded. Continuous variables were presented as mean with standard deviation (for normally distributed data) or median (P25-P75) (for skewed distributed data), while categorical variables were described as number (n) and percentage (%). Comparisons of continuous variables between groups were done using t-tests or Mann-Whitney U-tests according to their skewness. To avoid multicollinearity, we used SBP, DBP, PP, and MAP separately as the main explanatory variables, with the CAIT condition (yes vs. no) serving as the dependent variable to fit the models. To access the non-linear association between BP and the risk of CAIT, we added restricted cubic splines (RCS) terms (set to three knots) of BP measurements to logistic models, with all the covariate variables adjusted. The results of the RCS logistic models were presented as spline curves based on main effects with 95% confidence intervals

10.3389/fcvm.2024.1325947

(CIs). Benchmark dose (BMD) models without adjusting for the covariate variables were used to assess the turning point for the risk of BP measurements on CAIT. To balance the weights of each model, we employed the Bayesian benchmark dose model (BBMD¹) (29) to evaluate the BMD and the lower limit of BMD (BMDL) at benchmark response (BMR) of 1%, 5%, 10%, and 20% prevalence change of CAIT and the risk at different levels of BP measurements. The midpoint values of groups of BP measurements were used to estimate the turning point in BBMD. Values at the lower end of each BP measurement were set to the lowest value minus half of the group spacing, while values at the upper end were set to the highest value plus half of the group spacing.

Univariate analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2021; IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 28.0, Armonk, NY, USA). Binary logistic models with RCS terms were fitted using the "rms" package in R 4.0.2 [R Core Team (2023)]. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria].² The "Predict" function in "rms" was used to present spline curves of predicted odds ratios of CAIT from RCS logistic models, and the likelihood ratio test (ANOVA function in "rms") was used for overall ($p_{overall}$) and non-linearity ($p_{non-linear}$) testing. Statistical significance was assumed at a two-tailed p-value of less than 0.05.

2.4 Ethics statement

This study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Guilin Medical University. Because it was only a review of medical examination records from which the participants could not be identified, the IRB decided to waive the requirement to get informed consent.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics

A total of 214,092 routine physical examinations were exported from the hospital information system. After excluding individuals who did not meet the inclusion criteria, 111,494 examinations remained for the analysis (Supplementary Flow Chart). The characteristics of the participants according to the CAIT condition (yes or no) are shown in Supplementary Table S1. The median age of participants with CAIT was greater than that of those without it (p < 0.001). Participants with CAIT had higher BP measurements (SBP, DBP, PP, MAP) than their counterparts (all p-values < 0.001). Except for lymphocyte count, all other adjustment variables significantly differed between these two groups (Supplementary Table S1).

3.2 Non-linear associations between CAIT and BP measurements

When adding the RCS term of BP measurements in the multiple logistic regressions, all the $p_{non-linear}$ values of BP measurements were less than 0.05. SBP had a J-curve association with the risk of CAIT: when SBP was lower than 120 mmHg, the risk decreased rarely; when SBP ranged between 120 and 140 mmHg, the risk increased rapidly; and it lowered growth speed when BP was above 160 mmHg. We also found a J-curve association between DBP and the risk of CAIT, and the risk was the lowest when DBP was around 70 mm Hg. PP also had a J-curve association with the risk of CAIT, reaching the highest risk at 65 mmHg. The J-curve associations between MAP and CAIT were also observed, with their turning point at around 90 mmHg (Figure 1).

From the RCS logistic regression model, we found that there were J-curve associations between CAIT and BP measurements even after adjusting for all selected adjustment variables (Figures 1I–L, all $p_{overall}$ and $p_{non-linear}$ values <0.05). The curve of SBP varied in shape and 95% CI as the number of adjustment variables increased. The lowest risk of CAIT was at an SBP level of approximately 120 mmHg (Figure 1I). Similarly, the lowest risk of CAIT was at a DBP level of approximately 72 mmHg (Figure 1J).

3.3 BMD of BP measurements and predicted risk of CAIT

The incidence of CAIT in each group of BP measurements showed a J-curve association that was similar to the curve of the unadjusted RCS logistic model (Figure 2). The median (lower limit) risk BMR of 1% and 5% in SBP was 120.64 (118.58) and 138.88 (137.93) mmHg, respectively, while the median (lower limit) in DBP was 72.46 (70.08) mmHg and 87.58 (86.54) mmHg, respectively (Table 1). The predicted risk of CAIT at an SBP level of 100 mmHg was 2.52%, while the risk increased to 3.38% and 7.80% when SBP levels were 120 and 140 mmHg, respectively. Similarly, the predicted risk at DBP levels of 70, 80, and 90 mmHg was 3.58%, 5.48%, and 8.64%, respectively (Table 2).

4 Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated the non-linear association between BP measurements and the risk of CAIT onset and estimated the effect of the benchmark dose of BP on CAIT based on longitudinal data in a general population of southern China. First, the ordinary logistic model analysis (Supplementary Figure S1) showed that elevated SBP, DBP, and MAP were

¹Available online at: https://benchmarkdose.com.

²Available online at: https://www.r-project.org/.



associated with an increased risk of CAIT with or without adjusting for confounding factors selected from three elastic regressions (Supplementary Table S1). However, after adjusting for all confounding variables, PP was not associated with CAIT. Second, the RCS logistic showed the J-curve association between SBP and DBP with CAIT even after adjusting for all confounding factors. Third, the BMDs of BP measurements at 1%, 5%, 10%, and 20% BMR were estimated, and the predicted risks of CAIT at different levels of BP were calculated.

Previous studies on the non-linear relationship between blood pressure and the risk of vascular disease have mostly focused on the cardio-cerebrovascular system. Some studies showed that cardiovascular disease and SBP have a J-curve association (16-18), but some clinical and observational studies have not found this relationship (30, 31). Because most of the patients undergoing cardio-cerebral angiography have suffered from myocardial infarction or stroke, needing angiography to determine whether the blood vessels form plaques or develop atherosclerosis, the relationships between BP measurements and macroangiosclerosis derived from data from these patients may not fully represent the general population because angiography is an invasive examination with complex procedures and is not performed in regular physical examinations. Instead, carotid ultrasonography for screening artery intima-media thickness in regular physical examinations is widely used; thus, the CAIT data would be more representative of the general population for predicting the future risk of cardiovascular disease and stroke based on BP. Several studies have shown that CAIT is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular disease and stroke (32, 33), and the diagnosis of CAIT through B-mode ultrasound can effectively assess the risk of developing these diseases in advance (5). Therefore, exploring the relationship between CAIT and BP measurements may aid in controlling the adverse effects of blood pressure on blood vessels, especially in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. In this large population-based study, individuals with CAIT were included. We employed elastic net regression to select the appropriate adjustment variables (see Supplementary Materials) to fit RCS logistic regression and BBMD models to better explain the relationships between CAIT and BP measurements.

This study showed that the risk of CAIT increased with higher BP measurements in the ordinary logistic regression (see Supplementary Materials), which was consistent with previous observational reports (2, 34, 35). Although the J-curve splines of logistic models were observed in this study, the logistic model was essentially generalized linear. The J-curve splines of RCS logistic regressions in this study indicated that the turning points of BP should be estimated to prevent CAIT or other vessel damage. Elevated BP could increase both the pressure and tangential shear stress that distend the vessel wall, affecting smooth muscle cells and endothelial cells. Smooth muscle cells remodel and stiffen due to increased tone, resulting in thickening of the arterial wall (36); meanwhile, the endothelial cells sense



BMR	SBP (I	mmHg)	DBP (mmHg)	PP (r	nmHg)	MAP (mmHg)		
	BMD	BMDL	BMD	BMDL	BMD	BMDL	BMD	BMDL	
1%	120.64	118.58	72.46	70.08	49.27	47.75	87.30	84.90	
5%	138.88	137.93	87.58	86.54	60.33	59.66	102.36	101.45	
10%	153.96	152.66	102.87	101.24	68.68	67.84	116.84	115.25	
20%	184.43	182.27	128.62	125.26	83.59	82.38	135.86	133.99	

TABLE 1	Benchmark	dose c	of blood	pressure at	1%, 5%	6, 10%	, and 20%	prevalence	change c	of carotid artery	/ intima-media	thickening
---------	-----------	--------	----------	-------------	--------	---------------	-----------	------------	----------	-------------------	----------------	------------

shear stress and convert its stimulus into intracellular biochemical signals (37); these signals, along with cholesterol deposition in the arterial wall, promote chronic inflammation (38), finally resulting in plaque. This mechanism may partially explain the J-curve association between BP measurements and CAIT (39).

BMD was used as a departure point for deriving human health guidance values, such as reference doses in the chemical risk of the dose-response assessment. The Bayesian BMD method has the potential to incorporate prior information to make dose-response modeling more reliable and can provide distributional estimates for important quantities in dose-response assessment (29). In the present study, the median BMD (BMDL) of SBP at 1% BMR for added risk was 120.64 (118.58) mmHg, as analyzed by the BBMD models in the present study. This is very close to the normal SBP threshold recommended in the guidelines issued in 2017 (19) and is also close to the results of using the receiver operating characteristic curve of blood pressure to predict the CAIT boundary value (40), indicating that the rate of increase in CAIT occurrence risk changes at this departure point. According to the risk prediction of CAIT at different SBP levels, there was a 50% increase in the risk (3.38% vs. 2.25%) from 100 to 120 mmHg, but the risk increased by 131% (7.80% vs. 3.38%) from 120 to 140 mmHg. As the BMDL could be used to estimate a daily exposure level in the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that was likely to be associated with no appreciable risk of adverse effects over a lifetime (41), this result suggests that hypertensive individuals with more intensive SBP reduction to 120 mm Hg may experience a lower risk of CAIT and, furthermore, of macrovascular sclerosis. Previous studies have shown that there is a log-linear relationship between blood pressure and mortality from vascular disease, demonstrating that a 20 mmHg increase in usual SBP is associated with a twofold difference in risk (42). However, in this study, we found that the increment of risk

RP Value Risk Upper OR (mmHg) (%) Ref SBP 100 2.52 2.36 2.66 120 3.38 3.27 3.50 1.35 140 7.80 7.55 8.06 3.27 160 14.00 13.00 14.00 6.30 180 16.00 16.00 17.00 7.37 DBP 70 3.58 3.45 3.71 Ref 5.55 80 5.48 5.31 1.56 90 8.64 8.76 2.55 8.36 100 11.00 11.00 11.00 3.33 110 12.00 12.00 13.00 3.67 pр 40 3.45 3.31 3.59 Ref 50 4 58 1 30 4 4 3 4 2 9 60 811 7 81 841 2.47 70 15.00 14.00 13.00 4.56 19.00 80 18.00 17.00 6.14 MAP 70 2.45 2.23 2.65 Ref 85 3.12 3.00 3.20 1.28 6.50 100 6.31 6.71 2.77 115 12.00 11.00 12.00 5.43 130 14.00 14.00 15.00 6.48

TABLE 2 Bayesian benchmark dose model-predicted risks and odds ratios of carotid artery intima-media thickening at different blood pressure levels.

^aOdds ratio was calculated by predicted risk

above 140 mmHg is smaller than that below 140 mmHg. This difference may be caused by different outcome indicators.

The J-curve association between DBP and the risk of CAIT is consistent with the cardiovascular outcome (43). Although a previous study found no genetic evidence for a non-linear relationship between DBP and adverse cardiovascular disease (CVD) outcomes (44), another study pointed out that at different stages of atherosclerosis formation, the effect of too high or too low diastolic blood pressure was different (45), which indicated that the effect of DBP on CAIT was more complicated than that of SBP. This study revealed a DBP of 72.46 mmHg as the BMD for an added risk of 1% BMR, which is consistent with the result of a meta-analysis (42), suggesting the 70 mm Hg is the target of aggressive DBP decreasing level in hypertensive patients. However, as the coronary arteries are perfused predominantly during diastole, too low a DBP level could lead to hypoperfusion; therefore, 75-80 mmHg may be a better DBP target for hypertensive patients, even though at a DBP level of 80 mmHg, the predicted risk of CAIT was 5.48% (Table 2). Previous studies showed that intensive blood pressure control (<120/80 mmHg) could reduce the risk of cardiovascular events (46, 47). This is consistent with our study, but a randomized controlled trial showed that intensive blood pressure control should be avoided for acute ischemic stroke patients after endovascular thrombectomy (48). Our results suggest that this intensive target would reduce the risk of CAIT.

As age and sex may play a role in the non-linear association between BP and vessel damage (43), we further conducted an age- and sex-stratified analysis of the association between BP measurements and CAIT (Supplementary Figure S2) after observing the non-linear association in the age- and sex-adjusted model. The non-significant (e.g., in women aged 20–40 years) and non-linear (e.g., SBP in men aged 20–40 years) patterns in subgroups may suggest a varied association between BP measurements and the risk of CAIT, which needs further exploration in our future study.

Our research has some strengths. We employed RCS logistic regression to analyze longitudinal data, which not only strengthened the argument of cross-sectional data in previous studies but also addressed a limitation of ordinary logistic regression, which can only reflect the generalized linear relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variable. The departure points of BP measurements and CAIT estimated by the non-linear models of the BBMD weighted average are more reasonable than those from the single model in previous studies (40).

There were also some limitations in this study. First, as shortterm blood pressure variability, which may increase the risk of CAIT (49), was not adjusted for, this may lead to a confounding effect on the associations between BP measurements and CAIT. Second, the data in this study did not include lifestyle information (e.g., smoking or drinking status), which may also affect the association between BP measurements and CAIT. Third, bias may exist in BMD analysis as we did not adjust for the selected covariates due to the limitations of the online tool. Finally, we did not explore the interactions between BP measurements and other adjustment variables.

In conclusion, the J-curve associations of SBP and DBP with the risk of CAIT were observed in the general population in southern China, and the optimal blood pressure control target for significantly reducing the risk of CAIT was determined as to be 120/75–80 mmHg.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because they are for internal use only and are not suitable for sharing. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to Shengkui Tan, q527150899@outlook.com.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Guilin Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because this study was only a review of medical examination records from which the participants could not be identified, so the IRBs decided to waive the requirement to get informed consent.

Author contributions

LQ: Writing – original draft, Methodology, Data curation. XW: Writing – review & editing, Formal Analysis. CT: Writing – review &

editing, Investigation. ZZ: Writing – review & editing, Methodology. YL: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources. XZ: Writing – review & editing, Validation. SQ: Writing – review & editing, Resources. ST: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Resources, Project administration.

Funding

The authors declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This work was supported by the fund project "Construction and application of a chronic disease risk model based on a physical examination cohort in Guilin" from Guilin Innovation Platform and the Talent Plan in 2022, fund project number: 20220120-2.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all study participants, their relatives, and the project development and management teams at Guilin Medical University and the Affiliated Hospital of Guilin Medical University.

References

1. He J, Gu D, Wu X, Reynolds K, Duan X, Yao C, et al. Major causes of death among men and women in China. *N Engl J Med.* (2005) 353(11):1124–34. doi: 10. 1056/NEJMsa050467

2. Lakka TA, Salonen R, Kaplan GA, Salonen JT. Blood pressure and the progression of carotid atherosclerosis in middle-aged men. *Hypertension*. (1999) 34(1):51–6. doi: 10.1161/01.hyp.34.1.51

3. Malik R, Georgakis MK, Vujkovic M, Damrauer SM, Elliott P, Karhunen V, et al. Relationship between blood pressure and incident cardiovascular disease: linear and nonlinear Mendelian randomization analyses. *Hypertension*. (2021) 77(6):2004–13. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.120.16534

4. McCarthy J, Yang J, Clissold B, Young MJ, Fuller PJ, Phan T. Hypertension management in stroke prevention: time to consider primary aldosteronism. *Stroke*. (2021) 52(10):e626–34. doi: 10.1161/strokeaha.120.033990

5. Nezu T, Hosomi N. Usefulness of carotid ultrasonography for risk stratification of cerebral and cardiovascular disease. *J Atheroscler Thromb.* (2020) 27(10):1023–35. doi: 10.5551/jat.RV17044

6. Gudmundsson EF, Björnsdottir G, Sigurdsson S, Andersen K, Thorsson B, Aspelund T, et al. Carotid plaque is strongly associated with coronary artery calcium and predicts incident coronary heart disease in a population-based cohort. *Atherosclerosis.* (2022) 346:117–23. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2022.01.018

7. Wu D, Li C, Chen Y, Xiong H, Tian X, Wu W, et al. Influence of blood pressure variability on early carotid atherosclerosis in hypertension with and without diabetes. *Medicine*. (2016) 95(24):e3864. doi: 10.1097/md. 000000000003864

8. Chi X, Li M, Zhan X, Man H, Xu S, Zheng D, et al. Relationship between carotid artery sclerosis and blood pressure variability in essential hypertension patients. *Comput Biol Med.* (2018) 92:73–7. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2017.03.012

9. Vigen T, Ihle-Hansen H, Lyngbakken MN, Berge T, Thommessen B, Orstad EB, et al. Blood pressure at age 40 predicts carotid atherosclerosis two decades later: data from the Akershus Cardiac Examination 1950 study. *J Hypertens.* (2019) 37 (10):1982–90. doi: 10.1097/hjh.00000000002131

10. Ma W, Yang Y, Qi L, Zhang B, Meng L, Zhang Y, et al. Relationship between high normal blood pressure and carotid artery atherosclerosis in Beijing residents. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi.* (2014) 42(6):510–4. PMID: 25164227

11. Kotruchin P, Hoshide S, Kario K. Carotid atherosclerosis and the association between nocturnal blood pressure dipping and cardiovascular events. *J Clin Hypertens.* (2018) 20(3):450–5. doi: 10.1111/jch.13218

12. Kamarck TW, Li X, Wright AGC, Muldoon MF, Manuck SB. Ambulatory blood pressure reactivity as a moderator in the association between daily life psychosocial

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2024. 1325947/full#supplementary-material.

stress and carotid artery atherosclerosis. *Psychosom Med.* (2018) 80(8):774–82. doi: 10.1097/psy.0000000000627

13. Lukasik M, Rozalski M, Luzak B, Michalak M, Ambrosius W, Watala C, et al. Enhanced platelet-derived microparticle formation is associated with carotid atherosclerosis in convalescent stroke patients. *Platelets*. (2013) 24(1):63–70. doi: 10. 3109/09537104.2011.654292

14. Rosińska J, Ambrosius W, Maciejewska J, Narożny R, Kozubski W, Łukasik M. Association of platelet-derived microvesicles and their phenotypes with carotid atherosclerosis and recurrent vascular events in patients after ischemic stroke. *Thromb Res.* (2019) 176:18–26. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2019.01.014

15. Preston RA, Jy W, Jimenez JJ, Mauro LM, Horstman LL, Valle M, et al. Effects of severe hypertension on endothelial and platelet microparticles. *Hypertension*. (2003) 41(2):211–7. doi: 10.1161/01.hyp.0000049760.15764.2d

16. Rahman F, McEvoy JW. The J-shaped curve for blood pressure and cardiovascular disease risk: historical context and recent updates. *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* (2017) 19(8):34. doi: 10.1007/s11883-017-0670-1

17. Malyszko J, Muntner P, Rysz J, Banach M. Blood pressure levels and stroke: Jcurve phenomenon? *Curr Hypertens Rep.* (2013) 15(6):575–81. doi: 10.1007/s11906-013-0402-z

18. Fuchs FD, Whelton PK. High blood pressure and cardiovascular disease. *Hypertension.* (2020) 75(2):285–92. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.119.14240

19. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE Jr, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APHA/ASH/ASPC/ NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension.* (2018) 71(6):e13–115. doi: 10.1161/hyp.000000000000065

20. Bytyçi I, Shenouda R, Wester P, Henein MY. Carotid atherosclerosis in predicting coronary artery disease: a review and meta-analysis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2021) 41(4):e224–37. doi: 10.1161/atvbaha.120.315747

21. Vigen T, Ihle-Hansen H, Lyngbakken MN, Berge T, Thommessen B, Orstad EB, et al. Carotid atherosclerosis is associated with middle cerebral artery pulsatility index. *J Neuroimaging*. (2020) 30(2):233–9. doi: 10.1111/jon.12684

22. Messerli FH, Mancia G, Conti CR, Hewkin AC, Kupfer S, Champion A, et al. Dogma disputed: can aggressively lowering blood pressure in hypertensive patients with coronary artery disease be dangerous? *Ann Intern Med.* (2006) 144 (12):884–93. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-144-12-200606200-00005

23. Botvin Moshe C, Haratz S, Ravona-Springer R, Heymann A, Hung-Mo L, Schnaider Beeri M, et al. Long-term trajectories of BMI predict carotid stiffness and

plaque volume in type 2 diabetes older adults: a cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2020) 19(1):138. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01104-6

24. Liu J, Ye M, Wang Y. Association between triglyceride-glucose index and carotid plaque in Japanese population: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* (2023) 13(6): e069663. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-069663

25. Dong XK, Luo D, Chen WJ, Wang RR, Yang J, Niu MM. Association between serum uric acid and carotid atherosclerosis in elderly postmenopausal women: a hospital-based study. *J Clin Lab Anal.* (2022) 36(1):e24097. doi: 10.1002/jcla.24097

26. Ye YC, Liu HM, Zhou Y, Zeng Y. Association between serum alkaline phosphatase and carotid atherosclerosis in a Chinese population: a community-based cross-sectional study. *Biomed Environ Sci.* (2019) 32(6):446–53. doi: 10.3967/bes2019.059

27. Alsulaimani S, Gardener H, Elkind MS, Cheung K, Sacco RL, Rundek T. Elevated homocysteine and carotid plaque area and densitometry in the Northern Manhattan study. *Stroke*. (2013) 44(2):457–61. doi: 10.1161/strokeaha.112.676155

28. Cai J, Zhang S, Huang W. Association between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and carotid atherosclerosis: a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* (2015) 8(5):7673–8. PMID: 26221316; PMCID: PMC4509261

29. Shao K, Shapiro AJ. A web-based system for Bayesian benchmark dose estimation. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2018) 126(1):17002. doi: 10.1289/ehp1289

30. Arima H, Chalmers J, Woodward M, Anderson C, Rodgers A, Davis S, et al. Lower target blood pressures are safe and effective for the prevention of recurrent stroke: the progress trial. *J Hypertens.* (2006) 24(6):1201–8. doi: 10.1097/01.hjh. 0000226212.34055.86

31. Rapsomaniki E, Timmis A, George J, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Shah AD, Denaxas S, et al. Blood pressure and incidence of twelve cardiovascular diseases: lifetime risks, healthy life-years lost, and age-specific associations in 1-25 million people. *Lancet.* (2014) 383(9932):1899–911. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(14)60685-1

32. Naqvi TZ, Lee MS. Carotid intima-media thickness and plaque in cardiovascular risk assessment. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2014) 7(10):1025–38. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg. 2013.11.014

33. Bos D, Arshi B, van den Bouwhuijsen QJA, Ikram MK, Selwaness M, Vernooij MW, et al. Atherosclerotic carotid plaque composition and incident stroke and coronary events. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2021) 77(11):1426–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.01.038

34. Xie W, Liu J, Wang W, Wang M, Li Y, Sun J, et al. Five-year change in systolic blood pressure is independently associated with carotid atherosclerosis progression: a population-based cohort study. *Hypertens Res.* (2014) 37(10):960–5. doi: 10.1038/hr. 2014.93

35. Ishizaka N, Ishizaka Y, Toda E, Hashimoto H, Nagai R, Yamakado M. Hypertension is the most common component of metabolic syndrome and the greatest contributor to carotid arteriosclerosis in apparently healthy Japanese individuals. *Hypertens Res.* (2005) 28(1):27–34. doi: 10.1291/hypres.28.27

36. Lacolley P, Regnault V, Segers P, Laurent S. Vascular smooth muscle cells and arterial stiffening: relevance in development, aging, and disease. *Physiol Rev.* (2017) 97(4):1555–617. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00003.2017

37. Hahn C, Schwartz MA. Mechanotransduction in vascular physiology and atherogenesis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2009) 10(1):53–62. doi: 10.1038/nrm2596

38. Yurdagul A Jr, Finney AC, Woolard MD, Orr AW. The arterial microenvironment: the where and why of atherosclerosis. *Biochem J.* (2016) 473 (10):1281–95. doi: 10.1042/bj20150844

39. Topel ML, Sandesara PB, Stahl EP, Hayek SS, Tahhan AS, O'Neal WT, et al. Mechanisms underlying the J-curve for diastolic blood pressure: subclinical myocardial injury and immune activation. *Int J Cardiol.* (2019) 276:255–60. doi: 10. 1016/j.ijcard.2018.09.028

40. Yu L, Yan J, Yang C, Gao Y, Wang A, Huang H. Predictive performance and optimal cut-off points of blood pressure for identifying arteriosclerosis among adults in Eastern China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18(17):8927. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18178927

41. More SJ, Bampidis V, Benford D, Bragard C, Halldorsson TI, Hernández-Jerez AF, et al. Guidance on the use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment. *EFSA J.* (2022) 20(10):e07584. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2022.7584

42. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet.* (2002) 360(9349):1903–13. doi: 10. 1016/s0140-6736(02)11911-8

43. Flint AC, Conell C, Ren X, Banki NM, Chan SL, Rao VA, et al. Effect of systolic and diastolic blood pressure on cardiovascular outcomes. *N Engl J Med.* (2019) 381 (3):243–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1803180

44. Arvanitis M, Qi G, Bhatt DL, Post WS, Chatterjee N, Battle A, et al. Linear and nonlinear Mendelian randomization analyses of the association between diastolic blood pressure and cardiovascular events: the J-curve revisited. *Circulation*. (2021) 143(9):895–906. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.120.049819

45. Sun J, Canton G, Balu N, Hippe DS, Xu D, Liu J, et al. Blood pressure is a major modifiable risk factor implicated in pathogenesis of intraplaque hemorrhage: an in vivo magnetic resonance imaging study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2016) 36 (4):743–9. doi: 10.1161/atvbaha.115.307043

46. Williamson JD, Supiano MA, Applegate WB, Berlowitz DR, Campbell RC, Chertow GM, et al. Intensive vs standard blood pressure control and cardiovascular disease outcomes in adults aged \geq 75 years: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2016) 315(24):2673–82. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.7050

47. Zhang W, Zhang S, Deng Y, Wu S, Ren J, Sun G, et al. Trial of intensive bloodpressure control in older patients with hypertension. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 385 (14):1268–79. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2111437

48. Yang P, Song L, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Chen X, Li Y, et al. Intensive blood pressure control after endovascular thrombectomy for acute ischaemic stroke (ENCHANTED2/MT): a multicentre, open-label, blinded-endpoint, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2022) 400(10363):1585–96. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(22) 01882-7

49. Chen Y, Xiong H, Wu D, Pirbhulal S, Tian X, Zhang R, et al. Relationship of shortterm blood pressure variability with carotid intima-media thickness in hypertensive patients. *Biomed Eng Online*. (2015) 14:71. doi: 10.1186/s12938-015-0059-8