Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alessio Mattesini, Careggi University Hospital, Italy

REVIEWED BY Enrico Marchi, University of Florence, Italy Daniel Cesarini, Careggi University Hospital, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Bojan Maričić I bokimaricic@gmail.com Mihajlo Bojanović I drmihajloboj@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 31 July 2023 ACCEPTED 16 October 2023 PUBLISHED 09 November 2023

CITATION

Maričić B, Perišić Z, Kostić T, Božinović N, Petrović M, Čanković M, Mehmedbegović Z, Juričić S, Vasilev V, Dakić S, Perišić J, Milošević J, Bojanović M, Nikolić M, Maričić T and Apostolović S (2023) An analysis of published cases of cutting balloon use in spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1270530. doj: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1270530

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Maričić, Perišić, Kostić, Božinović, Petrović, Čanković, Mehmedbegović, Juričić, Vasilev, Dakić, Perišić, Milošević, Bojanović, Nikolić, Maričić and Apostolović. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

An analysis of published cases of cutting balloon use in spontaneous coronary artery dissection

Bojan Maričić^{1*†}, Zoran Perišić^{1,2†}, Tomislav Kostić^{1,2†}, Nenad Božinović^{1,2}, Milovan Petrović^{3,4}, Milenko Čanković^{3,4}, Zlatko Mehmedbegović^{5,6}, Stefan Juričić⁵, Vladimir Vasilev⁷, Sonja Dakić^{1,2}, Jelena Perišić¹, Jelena Milošević¹, Mihajlo Bojanović^{1*}, Miroslav Nikolić¹, Tijana Maričić⁸ and Svetlana Apostolović^{1,2}

¹Department of Cardiology, University Clinical Center Nis, Niš, Serbia, ²Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Nis, Niš, Serbia, ³Department of Cardiology, Institute for Cardiovascular Diseases of Vojvodina, Sremska Kamenica, Serbia, ⁴Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia, ⁵Department of Cardiology, University Clinical Center Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia, ⁶Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia, ⁷Department of Cardiology, VFV Clinic Skopje, Skopje, North Macedonia, ⁸Department of Anesthesiology, Resuscitation and Intensive Therapy, University Clinical Center Nis, Niš, Serbia

Introduction: SCAD involves a sudden tear or separation within the layers of the coronary artery wall, resulting in blood flow obstruction and subsequent myocardial ischemia.

Materials and methods: A comprehensive literature search was conducted to identify relevant published cases of cutting balloon use in patients diagnosed with spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Electronic databases including PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane Library and Google Scholar were systematically searched from inception until the present using terms "cutting balloon," "SCAD," "acute coronary syndrome," "intramural hematoma," and "angioplasty."

Results: A total of 32 published cases of cutting balloon use in spontaneous coronary artery dissection were analyzed in this study. The majority of the patients included in the analysis were female without prior history of cardiovascular disease. The median age of the SCAD population was approximately 46 years. The most frequently affected artery in SCAD cases was the Left Anterior Descending artery. Intravascular ultrasound was utilized more frequently than other modalities of adjunctive imaging techniques. The most frequent complication was the distal propagation of hematoma. Despite the successful dilation achieved with the cutting balloon, in some cases stenting was required to provide additional support.

Conclusion: The results of this analysis demonstrate that cutting balloon use in SCAD cases yields favorable outcomes.

KEYWORDS

cutting balloon, spontaneous coronary artery dissection, acute coronary syndrome, angioplasty, intramural hematoma

1. Introduction

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) is a rare but increasingly recognized cause of acute coronary syndrome, particularly in young women without traditional cardiovascular risk factors. SCAD involves a sudden tear or separation within the layers of the coronary artery wall, resulting in intramural hematoma formation, blood flow obstruction and subsequent myocardial ischemia (Supplementary Figure 1) (1).

SCAD can be classified based on angiographic findings as (2):

- Type 1 (an obvious stain on the wall of the artery with the presence of a double lumen)
- Type 2 (diffuse smooth stenosis of varying degrees, usually >20– 30 mm)
- Type 3 (focal or tubular stenosis mimicking atherosclerosis usually 11–20 mm)
- Type 4 (dissection leading to a sudden total occlusion, usually of the distal coronary segment)

Among the evolving treatment modalities, the utilization of cutting balloons has garnered significant attention as a potential intervention in SCAD cases. Cutting balloons, initially designed for angioplasty procedures, employ microsurgical blades mounted on the surface of a balloon to incise and dilate the affected arterial segment. This unique mechanism offers potential benefits in dissection management by creating controlled micro-incisions within the affected vessel, causing intimal fenestration and hematoma draining (**Supplementary Figure 2**) (3, 4).

While the use of cutting balloons in SCAD treatment has gained some clinical traction, the body of evidence supporting its efficacy and safety remains limited. Published cases reporting the application of cutting balloons in SCAD cases offer valuable insights into the procedural aspects, outcomes, and potential benefits or drawbacks associated with this approach (5, 6).

Therefore, this paper aims to perform a comprehensive analysis of published cases of cutting balloon use in SCAD. By synthesizing existing data, we intend to evaluate the clinical outcomes, technical considerations, and potential complications associated with the utilization of cutting balloons in this unique patient population.

The findings from this analysis have the potential to enhance our understanding of the role of cutting balloons in SCAD management and guide clinical decision-making in treating this challenging condition. As SCAD remains an underdiagnosed and understudied entity, this paper aims to contribute to the growing body of literature on novel therapeutic strategies, further advancing the field and ultimately improving patient outcomes.

Materials and methods

A comprehensive literature search was conducted to identify relevant published cases of cutting balloon use in patients diagnosed with SCAD. Electronic databases including PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library were systematically searched from inception until the present, with no language restrictions. The search strategy involved a combination of controlled vocabulary terms (MeSH terms) and keywords related to "cutting balloon," "SCAD," "acute coronary syndrome," "intramural hematoma," and "angioplasty." Additionally, reference lists of included studies and relevant review articles were manually screened for additional eligible cases.

The extracted data were tabulated and qualitatively analyzed to identify patterns, trends, and potential associations. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and percentages, were used to summarize categorical variables, while continuous variables were reported as means or medians with corresponding measures of variability.

3. Results

A total of 32 published cases of cutting balloon use in SCAD were analyzed in this study (7–32). The majority of the patients included in the analysis were female (n = 30, 93.75% female, n = 2, 6.25% male) and had no prior history of cardiovascular disease (CVD). The median age of the SCAD population was approximately 46 years (28–73 years). The most frequently affected artery in SCAD cases was the Left Anterior Descending (LAD) artery, observed in n = 24, 75% of the cases.

Overall, the procedural outcomes of cutting balloon use in SCAD were encouraging. The majority of cases resulted in a Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) 3 flow restoration (n = 30, 93.75% of cases) (Supplementary Table 1). This indicates successful reperfusion and optimal blood flow through the affected coronary artery.

In terms of adjunctive imaging techniques, intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) was utilized more frequently than other modalities. IVUS was employed in n = 53.12% of the cases, providing detailed information about the extent and characteristics of the dissection and helping guide the cutting balloon intervention.

In some cases, additional treatment was necessary after cutting balloon angioplasty. Stenting was performed as a follow-up intervention in n = 12, 37.5% of the cases (**Supplementary Table 1**). This suggests that despite the successful dilation achieved with the cutting balloon, stenting may be the final option to provide additional support and stabilize the dissected coronary artery.

It is worth noting that the specific outcomes related to procedural success, TIMI flow, and the need for additional interventions may vary depending on individual patient characteristics, severity of SCAD, and the expertise of the operators.

The diameter of the cutting balloons used in the analyzed cases was consistently smaller than the vessel diameter, with the most common size being 2.5 mm (n = 11, 35.49%) (Supplementary Table 1). This approach of using a smaller cutting balloon size compared to the vessel diameter aimed to minimize the risk of

vessel injury and optimize the efficacy of the procedure (Supplementary Figure 2).

Among the reported complications, the most frequently encountered was the distal propagation of the subintimal hematoma. This complication occurred in n = 6, 18.75% of the cases and highlights the importance of careful monitoring and management during and after CB angioplasty and stenting.

The deployment of the cutting balloon was primarily carried out at the level of the maximal lumen compression (Supplementary Figure 2). If there was no visual improvement, distal inflations were done. This approach allowed for precise positioning of the cutting balloon and focused dilatation within the affected segment. By targeting the lesion directly, the cutting balloon intervention aimed to effectively modify the dissected arterial segment while minimizing unnecessary trauma to the surrounding healthy tissue.

Furthermore, the majority of patients included in the analysis presented with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) n = 10, 31.25%. This suggests that SCAD, particularly when involving the LAD artery, can lead to severe ischemic events requiring urgent intervention. The utilization of cutting balloons in these STEMI patients aimed to promptly restore blood flow and salvage viable myocardium.

Overall, the results of this analysis demonstrate that cutting balloon use in SCAD cases, particularly among female patients with no prior history of CVD, yields favorable outcomes. However, further research is warranted to explore the long-term clinical implications, patient prognosis, and compare the effectiveness of cutting balloon angioplasty to other treatment approaches in SCAD management.

4. Discussion

The management of SCAD remains a challenging clinical scenario due to its unpredictable presentation and potential for catastrophic outcomes. In recent years, cutting balloons have emerged as a potential therapeutic option for SCAD, offering a unique approach to dissection management.

Our analysis revealed several key findings that contribute to the existing knowledge base on the role of cutting balloons in SCAD management. Firstly, we observed that stenting was employed as an adjunctive therapy rather than a standalone treatment modality (Supplementary Table 1) (8, 13, 15, 16, 18, 20, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32). In all of the cases where stenting was performed, it was utilized in combination with cutting balloon angioplasty. This suggests that cutting balloons may serve as a useful tool in the armamentarium of SCAD treatment, augmenting the effects of conventional therapies.

Although majority of cases did not have a previous history of cardiovascular diseases n = 7, 21,87%, some cases described SCAD during pregnancy or early postpartum, which can be consider as provoking state. [Macaya, Matsuura, Mailey, Somerville, Ejima, Low]. Hormonal and hemodynamic changes during pregnancy can provoke SCAD. Increase in sympathetic activity and activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system with increased cardiac output, blood volume and red cell mass are considered to cause

weakness of aortic wall, which can further propagate to coronary arteries (33, 34). High estrogene and progesterone level during pregnancy through decomposition exovascular structural support also may contribute to SCAD (33, 35).

Regarding clinical outcomes, our analysis showed that cutting balloon use in SCAD was associated with a high rate of technical success, as evidenced by satisfactory angiographic results and resolution of coronary flow abnormalities (Supplementary Table 1). The controlled micro-incisions created by cutting balloons seemed to decompress the true lumen and restore adequate blood flow. This may be particularly beneficial in cases of localized dissections or focal stenoses. Moreover, the reported TIMI 3 flow restoration observed in 87.5% of cases supports the potential functional benefits of cutting balloon angioplasty in SCAD patients.

While cutting balloon use demonstrated promising results, it is crucial to acknowledge the potential complications associated with this technique. Our analysis revealed a low incidence of major adverse events, such as coronary perforation, dissection extension, or acute vessel closure. However, it is important to note that in 84.37% of published cases reported a relatively short-term follow-up, limiting our understanding of the longterm outcomes and potential late complications associated with cutting balloon use. Therefore, the safety profile of cutting balloon angioplasty in SCAD warrants further investigation with larger prospective studies and longer-term follow-up.

Furthermore, it is worth highlighting that the existing evidence on cutting balloon use in SCAD is predominantly derived from case reports and small case series, resulting in inherent limitations. The lack of standardized reporting, heterogeneity in procedural techniques, and potential publication bias may limit the generalizability of our findings. Additionally, the absence of a comparative group receiving conventional treatment modalities, such as medical therapy or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), hinders our ability to draw definitive conclusions regarding the superiority or inferiority of cutting balloon use in SCAD management.

Despite these limitations, our analysis provides valuable insights into the use of cutting balloons in SCAD and highlights the need for further research in this area. Future studies should focus on larger-scale prospective investigations comparing cutting balloon angioplasty with standard treatment approaches to establish its role in the overall management algorithm for SCAD. Long-term follow-up and comprehensive evaluation of functional outcomes, including exercise capacity and quality of life measures, would help assess the durability of the benefits associated with cutting balloon use.

Conventional PCI for SCAD on the other hand highlights a high periprocedural failure rate and a significant increase in Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events (MACE). The results indicate that PCI was successful in only 34.7% of cases, partially successful in 37.3%, and outright unsuccessful in 28.0%. The propagation of SCAD occurred in 44.0% of cases, and residual dissection was observed in 58.6% of cases. This substantial rate of PCI failures suggests that the conventional approach may not be suitable for a considerable proportion of SCAD patients (36).

Antiplatelet therapy is a fundamental component of drug therapy in SCAD, with 92.3% of patients who underwent CB angioplasty receiving some form of such treatment. Because of the nature of CB employment and subsequent controlled coronary vessel wall damage, antiplatelet therapy is used to prevent platelet aggregation and thrombus formation within the dissected coronary artery. While the use of antiplatelet therapy is widespread in SCAD management, several important considerations deserve attention.

SCAD is a heterogeneous condition, and the choice of antiplatelet therapy should be tailored to each patient's specific presentation. Some SCAD patients may have underlying connective tissue disorders, making them more prone to bleeding complications, while others may require more aggressive platelet inhibition. The use of dual antiplatelet therapy, typically combining aspirin and clopidogrel, was used in 66.66% of patients that received antiplatelet therapy. This approach aims to provide more potent platelet inhibition. However, while it is mandatory for patients who received a stent, it raises concerns about bleeding risk and healing difficulty, particularly in patients who underwent CB angioplasty without stenting. The use of ASA alone was reported in only two cases (17, 30) while and additional two had a relatively short DAPT time of 3 months followed by ASA therapy alone (21). Determining the optimal duration of antiplatelet therapy in SCAD patients remains a challenge. While some patients may benefit from long-term therapy to prevent recurrence, others may face an increased risk of bleeding complications with extended treatment. Clinicians must balance the need for ongoing protection against the risk of adverse events. Regular monitoring of patients on antiplatelet therapy is essential. Platelet function tests, bleeding risk assessments, and coronary imaging may help guide treatment decisions. Close follow-up allows clinicians to adjust therapy based on the patient's response and evolving clinical circumstances.

In addition to the information provided earlier, it's crucial to acknowledge the limited data available regarding the specific type of drug therapy used in SCAD patients. Out of the published cases, only 40.62% reported details about the specific drugs employed in their treatment. This lack of comprehensive data highlights a need for more standardized reporting in SCAD research and a greater emphasis on documenting the types of drug therapies administered.

5. Conclusion

In the case of distal, non-occlusive lesions without ongoing ischemia, the consensus is that they should be treated conservatively with prolonged outpatient follow up.

There is still no consensus on optimal treatment when it comes to occlusive proximal lesions with ongoing ischemia, because conventional stenting usually does not provide adequate results (hematoma and dissection propagation.

In conclusion, our analysis of published cases of cutting balloon use in SCAD demonstrates the potential of this intervention in the management of this complex condition. Cutting balloon angioplasty appears to be a technically feasible and safe adjunctive therapy, offering favorable angiographic outcomes and symptomatic relief. However, the limitations inherent in the available evidence necessitate further research to establish the role of cutting balloons in SCAD and optimize patient outcomes.

Data availability statement

The datasets analysed for this study can be found in PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library [https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, https://www.embase.com/landing?status = grey, https://www. cochranelibrary.com].

Author contributions

BM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. TK: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing - original draft. ZP: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing original draft. NB: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. MP: Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. MC: Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. ZM: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. SJ: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. VV: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. SD: Data curation, Investigation, Writing review & editing. JP: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - review & editing. JM: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. MB: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. MN: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - review & editing. TM: Data curation, Investigation, Writing review & editing. SA: Formal Analysis, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Hayes SN, Tweet MS, Adlam D, Kim ES, Gulati R, Price JE, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2020) 76(8):961-84. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.05.084

2. Saw J. Coronary angiogram classification of spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. (2014) 84(7):1115–22. doi: 10.1002/ccd.25293

3. Yumoto K, Sasaki H, Aoki H, Kato K. Successful treatment of spontaneous coronary artery dissection with cutting balloon angioplasty as evaluated with optical coherence tomography. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2014) 7(7):817–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2013.10.027

4. Motreff P, Barber-Chamoux N, Combaret N, Souteyrand G. Coronary artery fenestration guided by optical coherence tomograhy before stenting: new interventional option in rescue management of compressive spontaneous intramural hematoma. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* (2015) 8(4):e002266. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.002266

5. Alfonso F, Paulo M, Lennie V, Dutary J, Bernardo E, Jiménez-Quevedo P, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: long-term follow-up of a large series of patients prospectively managed with a "conservative" therapeutic strategy. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2012) 5(10):1062–70. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2012.06.014

6. Hayes SN, Kim ES, Saw J, Adlam D, Arslanian-Engoren C, Economy KE, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: current state of the science: a scientific statement from the American heart association. *Circulation*. (2018) 137(19): e523–57. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000564

7. Main A, Lombardi WL, Saw J. Cutting balloon angioplasty for treatment of spontaneous coronary artery dissection: case report, literature review, and recommended technical approaches. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* (2019) 9(1):50. doi: 10. 21037/cdt.2018.10.11

8. McGrath BM, Vo MN. Novel use of cutting balloon to manage compressive subintimal hematoma during left main stenting in a patient with spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *Clin Case Rep.* (2018) 6(7):1291. doi: 10.1002/ccr3.1531

9. Zghouzi M, Pacha HM, Sattar Y, Alraies MC. Successful treatment of spontaneous coronary artery dissection with cutting balloon angioplasty. *Cureus*. (2021) 13(3):1–4. doi: 10.7759/cureus.13706.

10. Sharma H, Vetrugno V, Khan SQ. Successful treatment of a spontaneous right coronary artery dissection with a 4-mm diameter cutting balloon: a case report. *Eur Heart J Case Rep.* (2019) 3(4):1. doi: 10.1093/ehjcr/ytz212

11. Kaya E, Iwata H, Miyazaki S, Mattson PC, Takamura K, Nishiyama H, et al. Successful coronary flow restoration by stent-free strategy using the pull-back method of cutting balloon in spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *CJC Open.* (2019) 1(4):213–5. doi: 10.1016/j.cjco.2019.05.008

12. Matsuura S, Otowa K, Maruyama M, Usuda K. Successful revascularization with percutaneous coronary intervention using a combination of the subintimal transcatheter withdrawal technique and coronary artery fenestration for spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *Clin Case Rep.* (2021) 9(11):e05045. doi: 10.1002/ccr3.5045

13. Alkhouli M, Cole M, Ling FS. Coronary artery fenestration prior to stenting in spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* (2016) 88(1): E23–7. doi: 10.1002/ccd.26161

14. Ito T, Shintani Y, Ichihashi T, Fujita H, Ohte N. Non-atherosclerotic spontaneous coronary artery dissection revascularized by intravascular ultrasonography-guided fenestration with cutting balloon angioplasty. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther.* (2017) 32:241–3. doi: 10.1007/s12928-016-0397-x

15. Bresson D, Calcaianu M, Lawson B, Jacquemin L. Coronary artery fenestration as rescue management of intramural haematoma with luminal compression. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* (2019) 94(1):E17–9. doi: 10.1002/ccd.28186

16. Lee R, Ben-Dor I. Revascularization methods in spontaneous coronary artery dissection: a focused review. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* (2017) 18(7):544-8. doi: 10. 1016/j.carrev.2017.05.008

17. Uema A, Araki M, Sonoda S, Shimizu A, Kashiyama K, Muraoka Y, et al. Successful coronary intervention for spontaneous coronary dissection in a patient with fibromuscular dysplasia. *J Cardiol Cases.* (2013) 8(5):158–60. doi: 10.1016/j. jccase.2013.07.011

18. Noguchi M, Obunai K, Fukui Y, Okumura H, Watanabe H. Usefulness of cutting balloon angioplasty prior to stenting with intravascular ultrasound imaging guidance

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2023. 1270530/full#supplementary-material

for spontaneous multi-vessel coronary artery dissection including the left main coronary artery. *Intern Med.* (2018) 57(13):1867–71. doi: 10.2169/internalmedicine. 0177-17

19. Bastante T, Rivero F, Cuesta J, Del Val D, Roquero P, Alfonso F. Treatment of spontaneous coronary artery dissection with fenestration: clinical and angiographic follow-up. *Rev Esp Cardiol(English ed.).* (2022) 75(2):177–9. doi: 10.1016/j.rec.2021. 07.018

20. Macaya F, Yeoh J, Kanyal R, MacCarthy P, Byrne J. Haematoma decompression for a postpartum extensive left main spontaneous dissection. *Coron Artery Dis.* (2021) 32(4):352–3. doi: 10.1097/MCA.0000000000992

21. Fujita H, Yokoi M, Ito T, Nakayama T, Shintani Y, Sugiura T, et al. Unusual interventional treatment of spontaneous coronary artery dissection without stent implantation: a case series. *Eur Heart J Case Rep.* (2021) 5(8):ytab306. doi: 10.1093/ehjcr/ytab306

22. Kahata M, Otsuka M, Kataoka S, Yazaki K, Kumagai A, Inoue K, et al. Successful angioplasty with intravascular ultrasound and optical frequency domain imaging guidance for tandem intramural hematoma caused by coronary artery spasm. *J Cardiol Cases.* (2017) 16(6):199–201. doi: 10.1016/j.jccase.2017.08.006

23. Cerrato E, Meynet I, Quadri G, Giacobbe F, Rolfo C, Tomassini F, et al. Acute interventional management of spontaneous coronary artery dissection: case series and literature review. *Int Cardiovasc Forum J.* (2018) 15:20-1. doi: 10.17987/icfj.v15i0.544

24. Mailey JA, Thompson P, Johnston PW, Owens CG. A complex case of pregnancy-related left main stem spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *Interventional Cardiology: Reviews, Research, Resources.* (2022) 17:e09. doi: 10. 15420/icr.2021.33

25. Yamamoto T, Takaya T, Ishii T, Ishida A. ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction originating from the preceding spontaneous coronary artery dissection: a case report. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther.* (2023) 38(1):127–9. doi: 10.1007/s12928-022-00886-8

26. Choudhury T, Solomonica A, Bagur R, Lavi S. Intravascular imaging for cardiac arrest with "normal" coronary arteriography. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* (2018) 19 (8):53–5. doi: 10.1016/j.carrev.2018.04.016

27. Somerville A, Weinberg A. Combined coronary stenting and cutting balloon angioplasty in the management of a postpartum spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *Circulation*. (2022) 146(Suppl 1):A12977. doi: 10.1161/circ.146.suppl_1.12977

28. Ejima E, Murasato Y. TCTAP C-140 acute coronary syndrome caused by spontaneous coronary artery dissection in a 32-year-old pregnant woman. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2015) 65(17S):S320–1. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.03.418

29. Gilpin TR, Dana A. The rare occurrence of severe fibrofatty plaque coexistent with spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *Cardiovasc Interv.* (2022) 15(14): e165–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2022.04.041

30. Low TT, Houdmont M, Sim HW, Chan KH, Loh PH, Loh JP. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: clinical implications and diagnostic challenges. Overlooked and underappreciated in Asia? *Clin Cardiol.* (2020) 43(11):1240–7. doi: 10.1002/clc.23484

31. McConkey HZ, De Maria GL. Juxtaposition of urgent angioplasty results in spontaneous coronary artery dissection: a case report of fresh vs. organized intramural haematoma. *Eur Heart J Case Rep.* (2023) 7(6):ytad210. doi: 10.1093/ehjcr/ytad210

32. Alyamani M, Aziz A, Nagendran J, Welsh RC. Multi-vessel spontaneous coronary artery dissection in a patient with aortic dissection: a case report. *Eur Heart J Case Rep.* (2022) 6(7):ytac263. doi: 10.1093/ehjcr/ytac263

33. Tweet MS, Hayes SN, Codsi E, Gulati R, Rose CH, Best PJ. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection associated with pregnancy. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2017) 70 (4):426–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.05.055

34. Braverman AC, Mittauer E, Harris KM, Evangelista A, Pyeritz RE, Brinster D, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of pregnancy-related acute aortic dissection. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2021) 6(1):58–66. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.4876

35. Yip A, Saw J. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection-a review. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther. (2015) 5(1):37. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2223-3652.2015.01.08

36. Hassan S, Samuel R, Starovoytov A, Lee C, Aymong E, Saw J. Outcomes of percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with spontaneous coronary artery dissection. J Interv Cardiol. (2021) 2021:2–6. doi: 10.1155/2021/6686230