Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gen-Min Lin, Hualien Armed Forces General Hospital, Taiwan

REVIEWED BY Hadith Rastad, Alburz University of Medical Sciences, Iran Hojat Dehghanbanadaki, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Hossein Farhadnejad Image: hosein.farhadnejad@gmail.com Parvin Mirmiran Image: mirmiran@endocrine.ac.ir

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 14 April 2023 ACCEPTED 23 June 2023 PUBLISHED 07 July 2023

CITATION

Ahmadirad H, Teymoori F, Mokhtari E, Jahromi MK, Norouzzadeh M, Tavakkoli S, Shahrokhtabar T, Farhadnejad H and Mirmiran P (2023) Serum C-peptide level and the risk of cardiovascular diseases mortality and all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis and systematic review.

Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1205481. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1205481

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ahmadirad, Teymoori, Mokhtari, Jahromi, Norouzzadeh, Tavakkoli, Shahrokhtabar, Farhadnejad and Mirmiran. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Serum C-peptide level and the risk of cardiovascular diseases mortality and all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis and systematic review

Hamid Ahmadirad^{1†}, Farshad Teymoori^{1,2†}, Ebrahim Mokhtari^{1,3}, Mitra Kazemi Jahromi⁴, Mostafa Norouzzadeh², Saeed Tavakkoli², Tahere Shahrokhtabar¹, Hossein Farhadnejad^{1*}

and Parvin Mirmiran^{1*}

¹Nutrition and Endocrine Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ²Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ³Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, ⁴Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, BandarAbbas, Iran

Aims and background: Recently, the serum of C-peptide has been the focus of researchers as a possible predictor of mortality. However, the possible association of serum C-peptide with cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) mortality and all-cause mortality has not been clearly identified. This meta-analysis aimed to assess the relationship between serum C-peptide and the risk of CVDs mortality and all-cause mortality.

Methods: A comprehensive and systematic search was performed in various important databases, including the PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus to find relevant studies up to November 2022. The reported hazard ratio (HR) [95% confidence interval (CI)] for all studies was converted into log HR, and their SD was calculated. Then to compute the pooled HR, the random-effects model with inverse variance weighting method was performed.

Results: Twenty-three studies were included in the meta-analysis. Fourteen studies reported HR for all-cause mortality, and nine studies for CVDs-related mortality. The pooled results indicate a significant association between serum C-peptide and the risk of all-cause mortality (HR: 1.22; 95% CI: 1.12–1.32, $l^2 = 76.8\%$; *P*-value < 0.001). Also, higher serum C-peptide was related to the increased risk of CVDs mortality (HR: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.08–1.77, $l^2 = 81.8\%$; *P*-value = 0.012).

Conclusions: Our investigation suggested that an increased level of serum C-peptide is associated with a higher risk of both CVDs and all-cause mortality. Further, large-scale studies and sufficient samples are recommended to present a convincing link between C-peptide and the risk of CVDs and all-cause mortality. **Systematic Review Registration:** identifier, CRD42022364842.

KEYWORDS

cardiovascular diseases mortality, all-cause mortality, mortality, C-peptide, meta-analysis

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), as a major health problem, are defined as any disease of the heart and its associated blood vessels such as angina, myocardial infarction, heart failure, and stroke (1). CVDs are considered the major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide; it is estimated to be responsible for 31.8% of all deaths (2). During the recent decade, the number of deaths from CVDs has increased by 12.5% globally (2, 3). In addition to the genetic background, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, obesity, smoking, unhealthy diet, and sedentary lifestyle are considered as the main risk factors for the occurrence of CVDs events and CVDs-related mortality (4). Despite the advances in the prevention and treatment, the burden of CVDs remains high, and there is a need for better risk assessment tools to identify the individuals at high risk of CVDs.

Insulin resistance (IR) and hyperinsulinemia (HI) are two hallmarks and risk factors for CVDs events (5-8), by being involved in the pathogenesis of obesity and diabetes (9, 10). Studies have shown that serum insulin can predict the occurrence of CVDs (11, 12). C-peptide, a 31-amino acid peptide and one of the components of proinsulin, is secreted from the beta cells of the pancreas at an amount equimolar with insulin, and it is used to measure secreted insulin (13). Although C-peptide has been known to be biologically inactive, it is now considered as a marker of IR and a useful indicator of B-cell function. Also, recent studies have shown that high levels of C-peptide are associated with cardiometabolic disorders in individuals with IR and diabetes, such as diabetic vasculopathy and atherogenesis (14, 15). Many studies have investigated the relationship between C-peptide with all-cause (16-29) and CVDs-related mortality (17-19, 21, 23, 27, 29, 30), which indicated interesting results. Most of the conducted studies revealed that serum C-peptide levels have a significant direct relationship with all-cause (16-23) and CVDs-related mortality (17-19, 21, 23, 29); however, a study indicated an inverse association between C-peptide and CVDs mortality (30). Furthermore, some other studies showed no significant association between serum C-peptide levels with all-cause (24-29) and CVDs mortality (23, 27). Considering that the evidence on this association is inconsistent, a systematic review and metaanalysis are needed to provide a comprehensive assessment of the available evidence.

Due to the lack of a comprehensive meta-analysis summarizing all available findings in this area, as well as the existence of conflicting results in relevant studies, we conducted the present meta-analysis to summarize the available evidence on the association between serum C-peptide levels with all-cause and CVDs-related mortality. The findings of this meta-analysis will provide important insights into the potential role of serum C-peptide levels as a biomarker for predicting the risk of CVDs mortality and all-cause mortality. This information can be useful for developing risk assessment tools and for identifying the individuals who may benefit from targeted interventions to reduce their risk of CVDs mortality and all-cause mortality.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Search strategy

This systematic review and meta-analysis used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses to report the study findings (Supplementary Figure S3) (31). To find the published literature up to November 2022, we explored multiple electronic databases online including the PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science without any language or study design limitation. We conducted a structured search using the following related MeSH terms and keywords: "C-Peptide" or "proinsulin" combined with "cardiovascular" or "heart disease" or "mortality" or "death" or "survival" or "overall mortality" or "coronary artery" (Supplementary Table S2). The reference lists of all obtained studies were hand-searched to avoid missing any publications. This meta-analysis is registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) under the registration number CRD42022364842.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Initially, we scanned the title and abstract of all studies; then those studies that have examined the association between serum C-peptide levels with all-cause and CVDs-related mortality underwent full-text screening. Studies were included in the current meta-analysis if they were: (1) the original article, (2) cohort design, and (3) reported hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Randomized clinical trials, reviews, meta-analyses, cross-sectional studies, unpublished data, and gray literature including congress abstracts, patents, and dissertations were excluded. PICO (patients, intervention/exposure, comparison, outcome) criteria are presented in **Supplementary Table S1**.

2.3. Data extraction

To collect the required information from each study and increase the accuracy, two reviewers conducted the data extraction. The following information was extracted from all included studies: name of the author, the publication date of the study, location, target population, sample size, number of cases, age range and sex of the participants, the method used for C-peptide assessment, outcome, compared categories, HR with 95% CI for C-peptide, adjusted covariates, and the followup duration.

2.4. Validity and quality assessment of studies

A methodological quality assessment of the included studies was assessed using the Newcastle–Ottawa scales (NOS) designed for the cohort design by two reviewers, independently (32). The NOS has 9 points and is categorized into 3 groups: low (less than 4 scores), moderate (between 4 and 6 scores), and high quality (higher than 6 scores) (**Supplementary Table S3**).

2.5. Statistical analyses

We used HR with 95% CI to analyze dichotomous data (allcause mortality and CVDs-related mortality). The present metaanalysis includes 15 eligible papers that consist of 23 cohorts. A total of 14 of these cohorts investigated the association between C-peptide levels with the risk of all-cause mortality; however, other studies examined the association with CVDs-related mortality. All included studies reported the measure of association as HR (95% CI). Seven of the 23 studies reported the HR of CVDs and all-cause mortality between the highest and lowest categories of C-peptide level; however, others compared the risk of both outcomes for one unit or one standard deviation (SD) increasing the C-peptide levels. The reported HR (95% CI) for all studies was converted into log HR, and their SD was calculated. Then to compute the pooled HR, the random-effects model with inverse variance weighting method was performed.

The I^2 quantity (which values greater than 50% represented a significant heterogeneity) (33) and Cochrane's Q statistics (P-value < 0.10 considered as significant) (34) were used for examining the heterogeneity between studies and the statistical significance levels of heterogeneity, respectively. In our metaanalyses, the test for heterogeneity was statistically significant. Thus, to find the potential sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were conducted based on region, cancer, diabetes status, and type of exposure analysis for all-cause mortality, and region, diabetes, and type of exposure analysis for CVDs mortality using the random-effects method. Due to the number of included studies being more than 10, we carried out random-effects univariate and multivariate meta-regression to examine the role of potential sources of heterogeneity related to the participants (age, year, region, follow-up period, sample size, cancer, and diabetes status) for both outcomes. The publication bias was examined by the visual inception of the funnel plot, Egger's regression test, and Begg's adjusted rank correlation test. Also, to simulate the required studies, the trim-and-fill method was used. A sensitivity analysis was performed by removing each study and recalculating the pooled effect size (i.e., one-study-removed analysis). All statistical analyses were carried out using the Stata version 11.2 software, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical tests were two-sided.

3. Results

3.1. Literature search

As presented in Figure 1, a total of 6,173 publications were initially identified. After excluding duplicate and irrelevant articles, 32 full-text papers of potentially relevant studies were identified. After full-text review, papers were excluded due to the following reasons: not relevant outcome (n = 11), irrelevant (n = 3), reported mean \pm SD (n = 2), and repetition (n = 1). Finally, 15 papers including 23 studies were included in the meta-analysis. Fourteen studies reported HR for all-cause mortality and nine studies for CVDs-related mortality.

3.2. Characteristics of included studies

The characteristics of the 23 included studies are reported in **Table 1**. The studies were published between 2003 and 2020 and were conducted in the United States (n = 12), Italy (n = 5), Germany (n = 2), Sweden (n = 2), New Zealand (n = 1), and China (n = 1). The number of participants in the studies investigated the association between serum C-peptide, with the all-cause and CVDs-related mortality ranging from 84 to 5,902 and with an age range of 18–91 years. During the follow-up period that ranged from 1.46 to 17 years, a total of 9,530 deaths occurred (all-cause deaths: n = 6,983; CVDs-related deaths: n = 2,547).

The studies included females (n = 2) and both genders (n = 21). As previously mentioned, all cohort studies reported the measure of association as HR and 95% CI.

Most studies controlled for some conventional risk factors, including age (n = 20), sex (n = 18), underlying disease (n = 17), smoking (n = 15), BMI (n = 15), blood pressure (n = 10), glomerular filtration rate (n = 9), triglycerides (n = 7), and alcohol consumption (n = 5). All studies had high quality based on the NOS criteria (Supplementary Table S3).

3.3. Meta-analysis

3.3.1. C-peptide and all-cause mortality

Figure 2 shows the results of the individual studies and the overall summary estimate of HR (95% CI) for the relationship between serum C-peptide and all-cause mortality. The range of HR across the studies was 1.02–2.20. Eight studies reported an increased all-cause mortality in individuals with higher serum C-peptides, but six studies did not observe significant findings. The pooled (overall) HR (95% CI) using the random-effects model was 1.22 (1.12, 1.32). There was a significant heterogeneity among studies ($I^2 = 76.8\%$; *P*-heterogeneity < 0.001).

A subgroup analysis was performed based on the region (studies conducted in the United States: n = 8, Europe: n = 4, Oceania: n = 1, and Asia: n = 1), diabetes status (studies conducted on diabetic: n = 4, and non-diabetic patients: n = 10), cancer status (cancer: n = 5 vs. non-cancer patients: n = 9), and type of exposure analysis (per 1 unit: n = 9, highest vs. lowest category; n = 5) to find the source of heterogeneity in the studies that assessed the association between serum C-peptide and the risk of all-cause mortality (**Table 2**). We observed a significant heterogeneity between subgroups of the region of study; therefore, the study region is known as the source of heterogeneity. The pooled HR (95% CI) for serum C-peptide with all-cause mortality was 1.25 (1.11–1.42) and 1.33 (1.04–1.71) in the studies conducted in the United States and Europe,



respectively. However, there was no significant association between serum C-peptide with all-cause mortality in the studies conducted in Asia and Oceania.

3.3.2. C-peptide and CVDs mortality

Figure 2 indicates the results of the individual studies and the overall summary estimate of HR (95% CI) for the relationship between serum C-peptide and CVDs-related mortality. Of the nine included studies, six studies reported a significant elevation in CVDs-related mortality with an elevation of serum C-peptide. However, two studies showed non-significant results, and just one study reported a risk reduction with an elevation of serum C-peptide. The range of individual HRs between studies was 0.25–3.20, and the summary HR (95% CI) for all studies combined was 1.38 (1.08, 1.77). The heterogeneity among studies was statistically significant ($I^2 = 81.8\%$; *P*-heterogeneity < 0.001).

A subgroup analysis was performed based on the region (studies conducted in the United States: n = 4, and Europe: n = 5), diabetes

status (studies on diabetic: n = 5, and non-diabetic patients: n = 4), and type of exposure analysis (per 1 unit: n = 7, highest vs. lowest category; n = 2) to find the source of heterogeneity of studies that assessed the association between serum C-peptide and risk of CVDs-related mortality. According to the results given in **Table 2**, a significant heterogeneity was found between subgroups of the diabetic status of the study population; therefore, the diabetic status is known as the source of heterogeneity. The pooled HR (95% CI) for serum C-peptide with CVDs-related mortality was 1.11 (0.82–1.50) and 1.77 (1.26–2.50) for diabetic and non-diabetic patients, respectively. However, there was no significant association between serum C-peptide with CVDs-related mortality in the studies that worked on diabetic patients.

3.3.3. Meta-regression for all-cause mortality

We fit a meta-regression model including the age of participants and other covariates of interest. The model showed that a great percentage of this heterogeneity can be explained by

	NOS scores	6/6	6/6	8/9	8/9	8/9	8/9	8/9	8/9	8/9 Suffinited
	Follow- up (years)	7.6 years	7.6 years	17 years	17 years	16 years	16 years	16 years	14.4 years	14.4 years
	Adjustment for covariate	Age, sex, BMI, hypertension, smoking, GFR, triglycerides, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, glycated hemoglobin, newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes per American Diabetes Association 2,010 guidelines, proinsulin, free fatty acids, free glycerol, and CRP.	Age, sex, BMI, hypertension, smoking, GFR, trigycerides, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, glycated hemoglobin, newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes per American Diabetes Association 2,010 guidelines, proinsulin, free fatty acids, free glycerol, and CRP.	Age, sex, smoking, SBP, HbAIc, antihypertensive treatment, BMI, CRP, eGFR, cholesterol, and previous myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke.	Age, sex, smoking, SBP, HbAIc, antihypertensive treatment, BMI, CRP, eGFR, cholesterol, and previous myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke.	Age, sex, BMI, diabetes duration, SBP, history of cardiovascular disease, presence of diabetic retinopathy, cigarette smoking, time since last meal, exogenous insulin use, and glycosylated hemoglobin.	Age, sex, BMI, diabetes duration, SBP, history of cardiovascular disease, presence of diabetic retinopathy, cigarette smoking, time since last meal, exogenous insulin use, and glycosylated hemoglobin.	Age, sex, BMI, diabetes duration, SBP, history of cardiovascular disease, presence of diabetic retinopathy, cigarette smoking, time since last meal, exogenous insulin use, and glycosylated hemoglobin.	Age, sex, race, waist-to-hip ratio, BMI, blood pressure (normal, prehypertension, hypertension), total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, presence or absence of past history of stroke, heart attack, peripheral arterial disease, presence or absence of family history of diabetes and heart attack, history of chest pain (suggestive of angina, not suggestive of angina and no chest pain), level of education, smoking status, level of physical activity, alcohol use, CRP level, urinary albumin/creatinine ratio, GFR, and glycated hemoglobin levels.	Age, sex, race, waist-to-hip ratio, BMI, blood pressure (normal, prehypertension, hypertension), total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, presence or absence of
	HR (95% CI)	HR = 1.46 (1.10-1.93)	HR = 1.55 (1.07–2.24)	HR = 2.20 (1.49–3.25)	HR = 2.69 (1.49–4.85)	HR = 1.15 (1.04–1.29)	HR = 1.19 (1.02-1.39)	HR = 1.09 (0.85-1.40)	HR = 1.72 (1.34-2.21)	HR = 1.60 (1.07-2.39)
	Comparison	C-peptide (T3 vs. T1)	C-peptide (T3 vs. T1)	C-peptide per 1 nmol/L	C-peptide per 1 nmol/L	C-peptide per 1 nmol/L	C-peptide per 1 nmol/L	C-peptide per 1 nmol/L	C-peptide per 1 nmol/L	C-peptide per 1 nmol/L
	Outcome	All-cause mortality	CVD mortality	All-cause mortality	CVD mortality	All-cause mortality	Ischemic heart mortality	Stroke mortality	All-cause mortality	CVD mortality
alysis.	Exposure assessment	Enzyme immunoassay	Enzyme immunoassay	Laboratory analyses	Laboratory analyses	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
the meta-and	Gender, age range	M, F 61.5 ± 11.2 years	M, F 61.5 ± 11.2 years	M, F 52.4 ± 8.7 years	M, F 52.4 ± 8.7 years	M, F 68.6 ± 11.0 years	M, F 68.6±11.0 years	M, F 68.6±11.0 years	M, F 40-74 years	M, F 40-74 years
ort studies in	Sample size/ cases	2,306/440	2,306/252	398/104	398/35	1,007/824	1,007/358	1,007/137	5,152/932	5,140/370
of included coh	Target population	Undergoing angiography	Undergoing angiography	Diabetic	Diabetic	Diabetic	Diabetic	Diabetic	General	General
aracteristics	Country	Germany	Germany	Sweden	Sweden	USA	USA	USA	USA	USA
TABLE 1 Chi	Studies	Marx et al. (21)	Marx et al. (21)	Pikkemaat et al. (17)	Pikkemaat et al. (17)	Hirai et al. (23)	Hirai et al. (23)	Hirai et al. (23)	Patel et al. (18)	Patel et al. (18)

05

FIGHTIERS III Cardiovascular Medicine	Frontiers	in	Cardiovascular	Medicine
---------------------------------------	-----------	----	----------------	----------

Follow- up (years)		1	1	4.6 years	4.6 years	10 years	5.9 years	9 years	7.7 years
Adjustment for covariate	past history of stroke, heart attack, peripheral arterial disease, presence or absence of family history of diabetes and heart attack, history of chest pain, level of education, smoking status, level of physical activity, alcohol use, CRP level, urinary albumin/creatinine ratio, GFR, and glycated hemoglobin levels.	Age, sex, ethnic background, education, smoking status, alcohol consumption status, day on which the last meal or snack was consumed, history of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, heart attack and BMI, CRP, total cholesterol, trighyceride, serum insulin, gycated hemoglobin and fasting serum glucose levels.	Age, sex, ethnic background, education, smoking status, alcohol consumption status, day on which the last meal or snack was consumed, history of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, heart attack and BMI, CRP, total cholesterol, trighyceride, serum insulin, gycated hemoglobin and fasting serum glucose levels.	Age, sex, diabetes treatment, GFR and known diabetes status.	Age, sex, diabetes treatment, GFR and known diabetes status.		Age at diagnosis, cohort (sex), stage of disease, histologic differentiation, tumor location, time period of diagnosis, time between last meal and plasma collection, receipt of chemotherapy, and patient characteristics from the most recent questionnaire before blood draw, including smoking status, aspirin use, alcohol consumption (g/d), total vitamin D intake (U/d), and postmenopausal hormone use.		Age, sex, race, ethnicity, level of education, clinical center, BMI, waist circumference, smoking status, SBP, ACEi/ARB use, HDL, LDL, triglycerides, high sensitivity CRP, fat-free mass, eGFR, hemoglobin, physical activity, use of statins, use of other lipid- lowering medications, history of CVD, 24-h urine protein, FGF-23, uric acid, serum albumin.
HR (95% CI)		HR = 1.80 (1.33–2.43)	HR = 3.20 (2.07-4.93)	HR (97/5% CI) = 1.09 (0.90-1.32)	HR (97/5% CI) = 1.38 (1.05-1.80)	HR = 1.02 (0.96-1.07)	HR = 2.11 (1.06-4.21)	HR = 0.25 (0.08-0.74)	HR = 1.09 (0.94–1.27)
Comparison		C-peptide per 1 unit	C-peptide per 1 unit	C-peptide per SD	C-peptide per SD	C-peptide	C-peptide (Q4 vs. Q1)	C-peptide	C-peptide per 1 SD
Outcome		All-cause mortality	CVD mortality	All-cause mortality	CVD mortality	Survival (mortality)	Overall mortality	Macrovascular mortality	All-cause mortality
Exposure assessment		Radioim mun oassay	Radioim mun oassay	Immunochemiluminescence assay	Immunochemiluminescence assay	Immunoassay	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay	Specific immunoassay	NA
Gender, age range		M, F 40- 70 years	M, F 40- 70 years	M, F 31–91 years	M, F 31–91 years	M, F 31–91 years	M, F 30-75 years	M, F 66.9 ± 9.9 years	M, F 56.5 years
Sample size/ cases		5,902/2,020	5,902/895	268/44	268/20	344/91	350/98	113/22	1,883/379
Target population		General	General	Atherosclerotic	Atherosclerotic	Cancer	Cancer	Diabetic	General
Country		USA	USA	Italy	Italy	New Zealand	USA	Italy	USA
Studies		Min et al. (19)	Min et al. (19)	Cardellini et al. (29)	Cardellini et al. (29)	Volkova et al. (28)	Wolpin et al. (22)	Rovere et al. (30)	Schrauben et al. (25)

8/9

8/9

8/9

8/9

6/6

6/6

6/6

8/9

NOS scores (Continued)

NOS scores	8/9	6/6	6/6	8/9	8/9	8/9	tio; HbA1c,
Follow- up (years)	6.2 years	14 years	14 years	3.1 years	6 years	1.46 years	HR, hazard ra
Adjustment for covariate	Age, sex, performance status, planned chemotherapy, prior adjuvant chemotherapy, assigned treatment arm, KRAS status, tumor sidedness, plasma albumin, diabetes, BMI, and fasting status.	Age, sex, BMI, smoking, time since diagnosis, insulin treatment, values of HbA1c, SBP, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, presence of retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy and CVDs.	Age, sex, BMI, smoking, time since diagnosis, insulin treatment, values of HbA1c, SBP, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, presence of retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy and CVDs.	Age, BMI, menopausal status, tumor size, lymph node status, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, endocrine therapy, and targeted therapy.	Age, race/site, and initial treatment; BMI, disease stage, and estrogen receptor status, and women with type 2 diabetes.	Peak steroid dose, myeloablative conditioning.	glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein;
HR (95% CI)	HR = 1.13 (0.91- 1.40)	HR = 1.10 (0.93-1.30)	HR = 0.92 (0.73-1.17)	HR = 1.14 (0.63–2.08)	HR = 1.31 (1.06–1.63)	HR = 1.05 (1.01-1.09)	emale; GFR, o
Comparison	C-peptide (Q5 vs. Q1)	C-peptide (T3 vs. T1)	C-peptide (T3 vs. T1)	C-peptide high vs. low	C-peptide (ng/ml)	C-peptide (ng/ml)	vth factor 23; F, f
Outcome	Overall survival	All-cause mortality	CVD mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	Overall survival	-23, fibroblast grov
Exposure assessment	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays	Enzyme immunoassay	Enzyme immunoassay	Electrochemiluminescence immunoassay	Radioimmunoassay method	1	Js, cardiovascular diseases; FGF
Gender, age range	M, F 59 yeras	M, F 66 years	M, F 66 years	F, 55.3 ± 12.4 years	F, >18 years	M-F > 18 years	ve protein; CVD
Sample size/ cases	1,086/932	2,112/973	2,113/458	2,682/55	604/64	84/27	CRP, C-reacti
Target population	Cancer	Diabetic	Diabetic	Cancer	Cancer	Diabetic	onfidence interval;
Country	USA	Italy	Italy	China	USA	USA	s index; CI, c
Studies	Guercio et al. (26)	Bo et al. (27)	Bo et al. (27)	Zhu et al. (24)	Irwin et al. (20)	Griffith et al. (26)	3MI, body mas.

Continued	
-	
TABLE	

+ + + +	2.11 (1.06, 4.21) 1.15 (1.04, 1.29) 1.05 (1.01, 1.09) 1.31 (1.06, 1.63) 1.02 (0.96, 1.07) 1.72 (1.34, 2.21)	1.30 11.07 13.24 7.10 12.87 6.07
	2.11 (1.06, 4.21) 1.15 (1.04, 1.29) 1.05 (1.01, 1.09) 1.31 (1.06, 1.63) 1.02 (0.96, 1.07) 1.72 (1.34, 2.21)	1.30 11.07 13.24 7.10 12.87 6.07
	1.15 (1.04, 1.29) 1.05 (1.01, 1.09) 1.31 (1.06, 1.63) 1.02 (0.96, 1.07) 1.72 (1.34, 2.21)	11.07 13.24 7.10 12.87 6.07
	1.05 (1.01, 1.09) 1.31 (1.06, 1.63) 1.02 (0.96, 1.07) 1.72 (1.34, 2.21)	13.24 7.10 12.87 6.07
	1.31 (1.06, 1.63) 1.02 (0.96, 1.07) 1.72 (1.34, 2.21)	7.10 12.87 6.07
↓ ↓ ↓	1.02 (0.96, 1.07) 1.72 (1.34, 2.21)	12.87 6.07
	1.72 (1.34, 2.21)	6.07
↓	1 10 (0 02 1 20)	
	1.10 (0.93, 1.30)	8.75
	1.80 (1.33, 2.43)	4.86
→	1.46 (1.10, 1.93)	5.30
- 	1.09 (0.90, 1.32)	7.88
│	2.20 (1.49, 3.25)	3.39
↓←	1.09 (0.94, 1.27)	9.40
	1.14 (0.63, 2.08)	1.68
-++	1.13 (0.91, 1.40)	7.09
♦	1.22 (1.12, 1.32)	100.00
-	0.25 (0.08, 0.74)	3.81
→	1.19 (1.02, 1.39)	14.60
-	1.09 (0.85, 1.40)	13.40
 →→	1.60 (1.07, 2.39)	11.05
→	0.92 (0.73, 1.17)	13.59
	3.20 (2.07, 4.93)	10.55
 →→	1.55 (1.07, 2.24)	11.56
 →	1.38 (1.05, 1.80)	13.11
	2.69 (1.49, 4.85)	8.31
\diamond	1.38 (1.08, 1.77)	100.00
1	12.5	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.46 (1.10, 1.93) 1.09 (0.90, 1.32) 2.20 (1.49, 3.25) 1.09 (0.94, 1.27) 1.14 (0.63, 2.08) 1.13 (0.91, 1.40) 1.22 (1.12, 1.32) 0.25 (0.08, 0.74) 1.19 (1.02, 1.39) 1.09 (0.85, 1.40) 1.60 (1.07, 2.39) 0.92 (0.73, 1.17) 3.20 (2.07, 4.93) 1.55 (1.07, 2.24) 1.38 (1.05, 1.80) 2.69 (1.49, 4.85) 1.38 (1.08, 1.77) 1 12.5 se and CVDs mortality in cohort studies.

the sample size of the study (**Table 3**). Likewise, a significant positive association was observed for the sample size of the study in the unadjusted model (coefficient: 1.00; 95% CI: 1.00, 1.00; P = 0.014). This finding suggests that for each participant increase in the sample size, the pooled HR increases by 1.00008-fold. Even by adjusting the age, year, cancer status, and region, the significant reduction effect of the sample size on the τ^2 remained. Although after adding more than one covariate to the adjusted model, the sample size effect was attenuated and become non-significant, it may be influenced by the low number of included studies as it needed to add some covariates for adjustment.

3.3.4. Meta-regression for CVD-related mortality

We fit a meta-regression model including the age of participants and other covariates of interest. The model showed that a great percentage of this heterogeneity can be explained by the year of publication (Table 4) (Supplementary

Figure S2). In this context, a significant positive association was observed for the year of publication in the unadjusted model (coefficient: 1.10; 95% CI: 1.00, 1.20; P = 0.04). This finding suggests that for each unit increase in the year of publication, the pooled HR increases by 1.10-fold. However, adjusting the possible confounding variables lead to a disappearance of the significant association observed for the year of publication. In all adjusted models for different variables, the τ^2 was significantly decreased.

3.4. Publication bias

A visual inspection of the funnel plot, Begg's test, and Egger's test indicated a publication bias in the association between serum C-peptide and the all-cause mortality (Supplementary Figure S1); however, there was no publication bias for the relation between serum C-peptide with CVDs-related mortality

Subgroups	Study numbers	Summary HR (95% CI) Between		tween studies Betwe		een subgroups	
			l ²	P _{heterogeneity}	Q	P heterogeneity	
All-cause mortality							
Region					8.54	0.036 ^a	
United States	8	1.25 (1.11–1.42)	79.7	0.000			
Europe	4	1.33 (1.04-1.71)	77.2	0.004			
Oceania	1	1.02 (0.96–1.07)	0.0	0.0			
Asia	1	1.14 (0.62–2.07)	0.0	0.0			
Diabetes status					0.49	0.485 ^b	
Diabetic	4	1.17 (1.01–1.35)	81.1	0.001			
Non-diabetic	10	1.27 (1.11–1.46)	77.4	0.000			
Cancer status					1.89	0.170 ^c	
Cancer	5	1.16 (0.98–1.36)	58.2	0.048			
Non-cancer	9	1.27 (1.12–1.43)	82.1	0.000			
Type of exposure analysis					2.84	0.092	
Per 1 unit	9	1.22 (1.10-1.34)	83.2	0.000			
Highest vs. lowest category	5	1.22 (1.04-1.42)	30.7	0.217			
CVDs mortality							
Region					0.52	0.469 ^d	
United States	4	1.53 (1.06-2.22)	85.5	0.000			
Europe	5	1.22 (0.80-1.86)	82.3	0.000			
Diabetes status					14.33	0.000 ^b	
Diabetic	5	1.11 (0.82-1.50)	78.7	0.001			
Non-diabetic	4	1.77 (1.26-2.50)	72.1	0.013			
Type of exposure analysis					3.25	0.072	
Per 1 unit	7	1.46 (1.07–1.98)	82.9	0.000			
Highest vs. lowest category	2	1.17 (0.70–1.95)	81.6	0.020			

TABLE 2 Summary hazard ratio (HR) estimates [95% confidence intervals (CIs)] for sub-group analysis of the association between the serum C-peptide levels with the all-cause and CVDs mortality.

All statistical tests were two-sided.

^aTest for heterogeneity between studies conducted on the different regions including the United States, Europe, Oceania, and Asia

^bTest for heterogeneity between studies conducted on diabetes status (diabetic or non-diabetic).

^cTest for heterogeneity between studies conducted on cancer status (cancer or non-cancer).

^dTest for heterogeneity between studies conducted on the different regions including the United States and Europe.

(*P*-value = 0.460) (Supplementary Figure S1). This should be interpreted with caution because our limitations about the number of studies were included in the analyses. Since there was a significant publication bias for the relation between serum C-peptide with all-cause mortality (*P*-value = 0.001), the trim-and-fill method was performed to calibrate publication bias. Two missing studies were identified by the trim-and-fill method for all-cause mortality. However, a minimal difference (0.04) was observed between the pooled estimate and random-effects model trimming estimation HR and 95% CI of model trimming estimation, which was 1.18 (1.08–1.29). Since this minimal difference does not affect the observed relationship, therefore, this amount of publication bias does not cause a problem.

3.5. Sensitivity analysis

According to the sensitivity analysis using a random-effects model, excluding none of the studies had a considerable change on the pooled effect size of the association between serum Cpeptide and the all-cause mortality (range: 1.17–1.27) (**Supplementary Table S4**), and between serum C-peptide and CVDs-related mortality (range = 1.25–1.47) (**Supplementary Table S5**).

4. Discussion

4.1. Important findings

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first metaanalysis that compiled and reviewed the available literature from relevant observational studies that have assessed whether higher serum C-peptide level is associated with an increased risk of both CVDs and all-cause mortality. The present meta-analysis showed that higher serum C-peptide level was associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality and CVDs mortality by 22% and 38%, respectively. Out of the 14 studies that have investigated all-cause mortality, eight studies have reported a positive relationship between serum C-peptide levels and all-cause mortality (16–23). Out of the nine studies that have investigated CVDs mortality, six studies have reported a positive relationship between serum C-peptide levels and CVDs mortality (17, 19, 21, 23, 29).

Variable	Unadjusted	meta-regression	model	Adjusted meta-regression model		τ^2	
	Coefficient	95% CI	Р	Coefficient	95% CI	Р	
Without any covariate							0.034
Univariate model							
Age	0.99	(0.97-1.00)	0.34				0.364
Year	0.99	(0.96-1.03)	0.98				0.040
Region							
United States	1.04	(0.77-1.40)	0.75				0.039
Europe	1.06	(0.77-1.47)	0.65				0.038
Follow-up	1.00	(0.97-1.03)	0.58				0.038
Sample size	1.00	(1.00-1.00)	0.014				0.004
Diabetes status	0.95	(0.69-1.29)	0.72				0.039
Cancer status	0.92	(0.67–1.25)	0.57				0.037
Model 1							0.012
Sample size				1.00	(1.00-1.00)	0.04	
Age				0.99	(0.98-1.00)	0.56	
Model 2							
Sample size				1.00	(0.99-1.00)	0.07	
Age				0.99	(0.97-1.01)	0.56	
Year				0.99	(0.96-1.03)	0.92	
Model 3							0.025
Sample size				1.00	(0.99-1.00)	0.10	
Age				0.99	(0.97-1.01)	0.49	
Year				0.99	(0.95-1.03)	0.83	
United States				0.93	(0.66-1.32)	0.69	
Model 4							0.023
Sample size				1.00	(0.99-1.00)	0.09	
Age				0.99	(0.97–1.01)	0.40	
Year				0.99	(0.95-1.03)	0.73	
Europe				1.13	(0.82-1.57)	0.38	
Model 5							0.035
Sample size				1.00	(0.99-1.00)	0.20	
Age				1.00	(0.96-1.01)	0.51	
Year				0.99	(0.94–1.04)	0.78	
United States				0.93	(0.63-1.37)	0.69	
Diabetes status				0.97	(0.65-1.45)	0.88	
Model 6							0.029
Sample size				1.00	(0.99-1.00)	0.20	
Age				0.99	(0.96-1.01)	0.35	
Year				0.98	(0.94–1.03)	0.57	
Europe				1.18	(0.80-1.75)	0.33	
Diabetes status				0.91	(0.60-1.36)	0.61	
Model 7					(0.000 - 0.007)		0.034
Sample size				1.00	(0.99-1.00)	0.16	
Age				0.99	(0.96-1.01)	0.50	
Year				0.99	(0.95-1.04)	0.80	
United States				0.93	(0.63-1.37)	0,69	
Cancer status				1.02	(0.70-1.47)	0.89	
Model 8					(0.028
Sample size				1.00	(0.99–1.00)	0.09	
Age				0.98	(0.96-1.01)	0.30	
Year				0.98	(0.94–1.03)	0.58	
Europe				1.24	(0.81-1.88)	0.26	
Cancer status				1.14	(0.76–1.71)	0.46	

TABLE 3 Meta-regression model with the hazard ratio (95% CI) of the all-cause mortality as a dependent variable^a.

^aThe meta-regression model is based on the ReML estimator method. Initial heterogeneity without any variable assigned in the model was $r^2 = 0.034$. "Age" indicates the mean age; "Duration" indicates the duration of follow-up in years; "Sample size" indicates the total sample size; "Year" indicates the year of study publication; "Coefficient" indicates the regression coefficient; and " r^{2*} indicates the between-study variance in a random-effects meta-analysis.

Variable	Unadjusted	meta-regression r	nodel	Adjusted meta-regression model			τ^2
	Coefficient	95% CI	Р	Coefficient	95% CI	Р	
Without any covariate							0.213
Univariate model							
Age	0.95	(0.90-1.00)	0.05				0.069
Year	1.10	(1.00-1.20)	0.04				0.131
Region							
United States vs. other	1.31	(0.48-3.55)	0.54				0.265
Europe vs. other	0.76	(0.28-2.07)	0.54				0.265
Follow-up	0.97	(0.89-1.06)	0.48				0.208
Sample size	1.00	(0.99-1.00)	0.18				0.157
Diabetes status	0.60	(0.25-1.44)	0.21				0.166
Model 1							0.063
Year				1.06	(0.97-1.16)	0.12	
Age				0.96	(0.91-1.00)	0.09	
Model 2							0.072
Year				1.06	(0.95-1.17)	0.20	
Age				0.96	(0.91-1.01)	0.12	
Follow-up				0.98	(0.92-1.04)	0.59	
Model 3							0.107
Year				1.09	(0.95-1.25)	0.14	
Age				0.98	(0.89-1.08)	0.71	
Follow-up				1.00	(0.92-1.08)	0.96	
Sample size				1.00	(0.99-1.00)	0.44	
Model 4							0.156
Year				1.11	(0.91–1.34)	0.17	
Age				0.99	(0.87-1.12)	0.84	
Follow-up				0.99	(0.87-1.13)	0.91	
Sample size				1.00	(0.99–1.00)	0.41	
Diabetes status				1.12	(0.19–7.75)	0.74	
Model 5							0.013
Year				1.10	(0.88–1.37)	0.20	
Age				0.96	(0.85-1.37)	0.37	
Follow-up				0.96	(0.84–1.10)	0.42	
Sample size				0.99	(0.99–1.00)	0.33	
Diabetes status				1.19	(0.25-5.69)	0.67	
United States vs. other				1.80	(0.61–5.34)	0.14	
Model 6							0.013
Year				1.10	(0.88–1.37)	0.20	
Age				0.96	(0.85-1.09)	0.50	
Follow-up				0.96	(0.84-1.10)	0.42	
Sample size				0.99	(0.99–1.00)	0.84	
Diabetes status				1.19	(0.25–5.69)	0.67	
Europe vs. other				0.55	(0.18–1.63)	0.14	

TABLE 4 Meta-regression model with the hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) of cardiovascular diseases mortality as a dependent variable^a.

^aThe meta-regression model is based on the ReML estimator method. Initial heterogeneity without any variable assigned in the model was $r^2 = 0.213$. "Age" indicates the mean age; "Duration" indicates the duration of follow-up in years; "Sample size" indicates the total sample size; "Year" indicates the year of the study publication; "Coefficient" indicates the regression coefficient; and " r^{2*} indicates the between-study variance in a random-effects meta-analysis.

4.2. Literature review

Accumulating evidence from observational studies suggested that higher C-peptide serum level might increase all-cause mortality rates by increasing the risk of important chronic diseases, such as several types of cancer (35–38), CVDs, and major metabolic disorders (39, 40) that are the leading causes of death worldwide. In agreement with the findings of our metaanalysis, a recent systematic review suggested that higher serum levels of C-peptide were associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (35). Also, a study conducted on young adult in the framework of the Southern Brazilian cohort revealed that higher serum C-peptide level may be related to an increased risk of cardiometabolic disorders, including central adiposity, general obesity, hyperglycemia, and dyslipidemia (41). Furthermore, it is previously indicated that an unhealthy lifestyle, characterized by elevated level of body mass index, low physical activity, and poor dietary pattern, was associated with higher serum C-peptide levels, which can increase the risk of various diseases, such as several types of cancer (36–38), type 2 diabetes (39), and other

metabolic disorders (39, 40); therefore, an unhealthy lifestyle may increase the risk of premature death and mortality rate by the mediation and interference in the possible relationship of C-peptide with the risk of chronic diseases in the long run. Moreover, another study showed that a dietary pattern associated with higher serum C-peptide levels was associated with poorer survival in patients with colorectal cancer (42). These figures from the previous studies support our finding that demonstrated increased level of serum C-peptide is linked to higher overall risk of death. It is worth mentioning that in the current metaanalysis, all the significant findings have demonstrated that higher serum C-peptide levels increase the risk of all-cause mortality, and the rest of the studies that reported a risk reduction were not significant. Also, regarding CVD mortality, except for one study (30), the rest have reported significant results of an increased risk. However, given the high heterogeneity, these findings should be declared with caution.

In the current study, the heterogeneity for all-cause mortality was 76% and for CVD mortality was 80%, and these results are significant. To further identify the source of heterogeneity, we performed subgroup analysis and meta-regression analysis. Based on the meta-regression analysis, the sample size in all-cause mortality and publication year in CVD mortality can be a positive source of heterogeneity. It is probable that in higher sample volumes and also with the updating of the measurement tool, the measurement of C-peptide level has been performed better, which helps people to be better classified, that is, people with different C-peptide levels can be better distinguished from each other, which makes the risk better detectable.

In the subgroup analysis for all-cause, we divided the studies into three subgroups, according to the location of residence, diabetes status, and cancer status. In CVD mortality, the studies were divided into two subgroups, according to the place of residence and diabetes status. Accordingly, in all-cause mortality, the location of residence is a source of heterogeneity, which is the reason for a large number of studies conducted in the United States and Europe compared with Asia and the Pacific. In CVDs mortality, the diabetes status is a source of heterogeneity. The reason for this could be that it is difficult to differentiate between people with different levels of C-peptide since the basic levels of C-peptide are high in all diabetics. However, in non-diabetic people, the difference between serum C-peptide levels is much clearer than that in diabetic people, and it is easier and better to separate people based on this. In such people, high levels of serum C-peptide indicate the presence of a metabolic disorder that can significantly increase the risk of mortality.

4.3. Biological plausibility

Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the relationship between higher serum C-peptide levels and increased mortality. Insulin and C-peptide are secreted in equal proportion from pancreatic beta cells. C-peptide is a biomarker of insulin synthesis and was used as a surrogate biomarker of insulin in epidemiologic studies because it has a longer half-life than insulin and so is more stable (43). The current evidence shows the proatherogenic effects of C-peptide, which suggests that C-peptide is an independent risk factor for CVDs and its mortality (19). This effect is applied by increasing the vascular permeability to monocytes, their differentiation to macrophages, enhancing the phagocytosis of oxidized low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and converting to foam cells, which are pivotal factors in the formation of atherosclerotic lesions (44). Subsequently, C-peptide promotes the proliferation of smooth muscle cells and induces the release of pro-atherogenic factors such as cytokines, metalloproteinases, oxidants, and clotting agents such as the tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) (45, 46). In addition, the findings of past studies have shown that a higher serum level of C-peptide is associated with an increased risk of several types of malignancy, such as colorectal, pancreatic, and breast cancer (13, 47, 48).

4.4. Strengths and limitations

The present meta-analysis had some strengths. First, we have entered the number of significant studies that provided a relatively high sample size. Also, the countries where these studies were conducted were of good diversity. The assembly of these factors allowed us to obtain valid results from the composition of the data and to effectively discover the sources of heterogeneity between studies. Second, in CVD mortality, publication bias was not observed, but it was significant in all-cause mortality. In this context, two studies that were sources of bias were discovered, and after adjusting their effect, there was no significant change in the thousand ratios (4%). There are also some limitations in our meta-analysis. Some of the included studies have relatively small sample sizes. An unmeasured and residual confounding may lead to the inability to draw definitive conclusions. The included studies were adjusted for different covariates, and this metaanalysis pooled the adjusted HR that were adjusted for different variables. The analysis was also limited in terms of the quality of the single studies, and many other factors affecting CVD and allcause mortality incidence could not be covered properly. Also, the included studies in our meta-analysis reported C-peptide as the quantitative and categorical variable that seems the combined obtained result is challengeable. For this reason, we conducted a subgroup analysis based on the type of exposure analysis (per 1 unit and highest vs. lowest category).

In conclusion, our study revealed that an increased level of serum C-peptide is positively associated with the risk of both CVD and all-cause mortality. Regarding the mentioned limitations, further large-scale studies and sufficient samples are needed to present a convincing link between C-peptide and the risk of CVD and all-cause mortality.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

HA and FT contributed to the concept and design of the study. HA, FT, HF, and PM developed the overall research plan and study oversight. ST and TS conducted the research. ST, TS, and MN independently screened all records based on their titles and abstracts. HA and FT performed the data extraction, data analyses, and data interpretation. HA, FT, HF, EM, MN, and PM drafted the manuscript. PM supervised the study. All authors provided intellectual comments and performed the critical revision of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the Research Institute of Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Acknowledgments

We express our appreciation to the Nutrition and Endocrine Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences,

References

1. Olvera Lopez E, Ballard BD, Jan A. *Cardiovascular disease*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing (2022).

2. Saglietto A, Manfredi R, Elia E, D'Ascenzo F GMDEF, Biondi-Zoccai G, Munzel T. Cardiovascular disease burden: Italian and global perspectives. *Minerva Cardiol Angiol.* (2021) 69(3):231–40. doi: 10.23736/s2724-5683.21.05538-9

3. Rehman S, Rehman E, Ikram M, Jianglin Z. Cardiovascular disease (CVD): assessment, prediction and policy implications. *BMC Public Health.* (2021) 21 (1):1299. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11334-2

4. Daneshpour MS, Hedayati M, Sedaghati-Khayat B, Guity K, Zarkesh M, Akbarzadeh M, et al. Genetic identification for non-communicable disease: findings from 20 years of the Tehran lipid and glucose study. *Int J Endocrinol Metab.* (2018) 16(4 Suppl):e84744. doi: 10.5812/ijem.84744

5. Burchfiel CM, Sharp DS, Curb JD, Rodriguez BL, Abbott RD, Arakaki R, et al. Hyperinsulinemia and cardiovascular disease in elderly men: the Honolulu heart program. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (1998) 18(3):450–7. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV. 18.3.450

6. DeFronzo R. Insulin resistance, lipotoxicity, type 2 diabetes and atherosclerosis: the missing links. The Claude Bernard lecture 2009. *Diabetologia*. (2010) 53 (7):1270-87. doi: 10.1007/s00125-010-1684-1

7. Ruige J, Assendelft W, Dekker J, Kostense P, Heine R, Bouter L. Insulin and risk of cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *Circulation*. (1998) 97(10):996–1001. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.97.10.996

8. Teymoori F, Mokhtari E, Farhadnejad H, Mirmiran P, Rad HA, Azizi F. The dietary and lifestyle indices of insulin resistance are associated with increased risk of cardiovascular diseases: a prospective study among an Iranian adult population. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2022) 32(9):2216–26. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2022.05.022

9. Abbasi F, Brown BW, Lamendola C, McLaughlin T, Reaven GM. Relationship between obesity, insulin resistance, and coronary heart disease risk. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2002) 40(5):937–43. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(02)02051-X

10. Reaven GM. Insulin resistance: the link between obesity and cardiovascular disease. Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am. (2008) 37(3):581–601. doi: 10.1016/j.ecl.2008.06.005

11. Bakshi AA, Bavikar JS, Asegaonkar SB, Bardapurkar JS, Domple V, Rai PS, et al. Evaluation of usefulness of serum insulin as sensitive predictor of cardiovascular Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran for their valuable cooperation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2023. 1205481/full#supplementary-material

dysfunction in obese individuals with normal lipid profile. J Clin Diagn Res. (2014) 8(10):Cc10-2. doi: 10.7860/jcdr/2014/9759.4980

12. Rönnemaa T, Knip M, Lautala P, Viikari J, Uhari M, Leino A, et al. Serum insulin and other cardiovascular risk indicators in children, adolescents and young adults. *Ann Med.* (1991) 23(1):67–72. doi: 10.3109/07853899109147933

13. Ma J, Giovannucci E, Pollak M, Leavitt A, Tao Y, Gaziano JM, et al. A prospective study of plasma C-peptide and colorectal cancer risk in men. J Natl Cancer Inst. (2004) 96(7):546–53. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djh082

14. Marx N, Walcher D. C-peptide and atherogenesis: C-peptide as a mediator of lesion development in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus? *Exp Diabetes Res.* (2008) 2008:385108. doi: 10.1155/2008/385108

15. Walcher D, Marx N. Advanced glycation end products and C-peptidemodulators in diabetic vasculopathy and atherogenesis. *Semin Immunopathol.* (2009) 31(1):103–11. doi: 10.1007/s00281-009-0144-9

16. Griffith ML, Jagasia MH, Misfeldt AA, Chen H, Engelhardt BG, Kassim A, et al. Pretransplantation C-peptide level predicts early posttransplantation diabetes mellitus and has an impact on survival after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* (2011) 17(1):86–92. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2010.06.010

17. Pikkemaat M, Andersson T, Melander O, Chalmers J, Rådholm K, Bengtsson Boström K. C-peptide predicts all-cause and cardiovascular death in a cohort of individuals with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. The Skaraborg diabetes register. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2019) 150:174–83. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2019.03.014

18. Patel N, Taveira TH, Choudhary G, Whitlatch H, Wu WC. Fasting serum C-peptide levels predict cardiovascular and overall death in nondiabetic adults. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2012) 1(6):e003152. doi: 10.1161/jaha.112.003152

19. Min JY, Min KB. Serum C-peptide levels and risk of death among adults without diabetes mellitus. *Cmaj.* (2013) 185(9):E402-8. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.121950

20. Irwin ML, Duggan C, Wang CY, Smith AW, McTiernan A, Baumgartner RN, et al. Fasting C-peptide levels and death resulting from all causes and breast cancer: the health, eating, activity, and lifestyle study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2011) 29(1):47–53. doi: 10.1200/jco.2010.28.4752

21. Marx N, Silbernagel G, Brandenburg V, Burgmaier M, Kleber ME, Grammer TB, et al. C-peptide levels are associated with mortality and cardiovascular mortality in

patients undergoing angiography: the LURIC study. Diabetes Care. (2013) 36 (3):708-14. doi: 10.2337/dc12-1211

22. Wolpin BM, Meyerhardt JA, Chan AT, Ng K, Chan JA, Wu K, et al. Insulin, the insulin-like growth factor axis, and mortality in patients with nonmetastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* (2009) 27(2):176–85. doi: 10.1200/jco.2008.17.9945

23. Hirai FE, Moss SE, Klein BE, Klein R. Relationship of glycemic control, exogenous insulin, and C-peptide levels to ischemic heart disease mortality over a 16-year period in people with older-onset diabetes: the Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy (WESDR). *Diabetes Care*. (2008) 31(3):493–7. doi: 10. 2337/dc07-1161

24. Zhu Y, Wang T, Wu J, Huang O, Zhu L, He J, et al. Associations between circulating insulin-like growth factor 1 and mortality in women with invasive breast cancer. *Front Oncol.* (2020) 10:1384. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.01384

25. Schrauben SJ, Jepson C, Hsu JY, Wilson FP, Zhang X, Lash JP, et al. Insulin resistance and chronic kidney disease progression, cardiovascular events, and death: findings from the chronic renal insufficiency cohort study. *BMC Nephrol.* (2019) 20 (1):60. doi: 10.1186/s12882-019-1220-6

26. Guercio BJ, Zhang S, Ou FS, Venook AP, Niedzwiecki D, Lenz HJ, et al. IGFbinding proteins, adiponectin, and survival in metastatic colorectal cancer: results from CALGB (alliance)/SWOG 80405. *JNCI Cancer Spectr.* (2021) 5(1):pkaa074. doi: 10.1093/jncics/pkaa074

27. Bo S, Gentile L, Castiglione A, Prandi V, Canil S, Ghigo E, et al. C-peptide and the risk for incident complications and mortality in type 2 diabetic patients: a retrospective cohort study after a 14-year follow-up. *Eur J Endocrinol.* (2012) 167 (2):173–80. doi: 10.1530/eje-12-0085

28. Volkova E, Willis JA, Wells JE, Robinson BA, Dachs GU, Currie MJ. Association of angiopoietin-2, C-reactive protein and markers of obesity and insulin resistance with survival outcome in colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer*. (2011) 104(1):51–9. doi: 10. 1038/sj.bjc.6606005

29. Cardellini M, Farcomeni A, Ballanti M, Morelli M, Davato F, Cardolini I, et al. C-peptide: a predictor of cardiovascular mortality in subjects with established atherosclerotic disease. *Diab Vasc Dis Res.* (2017) 14(5):395–9. doi: 10.1177/1479164117710446

30. Roselli della Rovere G, Lapolla A, Sartore G, Rossetti C, Zambon S, Minicuci N, et al. Plasma lipoproteins, apoproteins and cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetic patients. A nine-year follow-up study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2003) 13 (1):46–51. doi: 10.1016/s0939-4753(03)80167-9

31. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev.* (2015) 4(1):1. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-4-1

32. Wells GA, Wells G, Shea B, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, et al. *The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses.* Oxford (2000).

33. Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons (2019).

34. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med.* (2002) 21(11):1539–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186

35. Li M, Song L, Yuan J, Zhang D, Zhang C, Liu Y, et al. Association between serum insulin and C-peptide levels and breast cancer: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Oncol.* (2020) 10:553332. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.553332

36. Lee DH, Fung TT, Tabung FK, Colditz GA, Ghobrial IM, Rosner BA, et al. Dietary pattern and risk of multiple myeloma in two large prospective US cohort studies. *JNCI Cancer Spectrum.* (2019) 3(2):pkz025. doi: 10.1093/jncics/pkz025

37. Tabung FK, Wang W, Fung TT, Smith-Warner SA, Keum N, Wu K, et al. Association of dietary insulinemic potential and colorectal cancer risk in men and women. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2018) 108(2):363–70. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqy093

38. Fung TT, Hu FB, Schulze M, Pollak M, Wu T, Fuchs CS, et al. A dietary pattern that is associated with C-peptide and risk of colorectal cancer in women. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2012) 23(6):959–65. doi: 10.1007/s10552-012-9969-y

39. Farhadnejad H, Mokhtari E, Teymoori F, Sohouli MH, Moslehi N, Mirmiran P, et al. Association of the insulinemic potential of diet and lifestyle with risk of diabetes incident in Tehranian adults: a population based cohort study. *Nutr J.* (2021) 20 (1):1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12937-021-00697-2

40. Teymoori F, Mokhtari E, Kazemi Jahromi M, Farhadnejad H, Mirmiran P, Vafa M, et al. Dietary and lifestyle indices for hyperinsulinemia with the risk of obesity phenotypes: a prospective cohort study among Iranian adult population. *BMC Public Health.* (2022) 22(1):1–11. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-13401-8

41. Andrade RLM, Gigante DP, de Oliveira IO, Horta BL. C-peptide and cardiovascular risk factors among young adults in a southern Brazilian cohort. *BMC Endocr Disord.* (2018) 18(1):80. doi: 10.1186/s12902-018-0308-5

42. Tabung FK, Noonan A, Lee DH, Song M, Clinton SK, Spakowicz D, et al. Postdiagnosis dietary insulinemic potential and survival outcomes among colorectal cancer patients. *BMC Cancer*. (2020) 20(1):817–817. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-07288-0

43. Vidal AC, Lund PK, Hoyo C, Galanko J, Burcal L, Holston R, et al. Elevated C-peptide and insulin predict increased risk of colorectal adenomas in normal mucosa. *BMC Cancer.* (2012) 12(1):389. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-389

44. Wahren J, Shafqat J, Johansson J, Chibalin A, Ekberg K, Jörnvall H. Molecular and cellular effects of C-peptide—new perspectives on an old peptide. *Exp Diabesity Res.* (2004) 5(1):15–23. doi: 10.1080/15438600490424479

45. Marx N, Walcher D, Raichle C, Aleksic M, Bach H, Grüb M, et al. C-peptide colocalizes with macrophages in early arteriosclerotic lesions of diabetic subjects and induces monocyte chemotaxis in vitro. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2004) 24(3):540–5. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.0000116027.81513.68

46. Walcher D, Babiak C, Poletek P, Rosenkranz S, Bach H, Betz S, et al. C-peptide induces vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation: involvement of SRC-kinase, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2. *Circ Res.* (2006) 99(11):1181–7. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000251231.16993.88

47. Li M, Song L, Yuan J, Zhang D, Zhang C, Liu Y, et al. Association between serum insulin and C-peptide levels and breast cancer: an updated systematic review and metaanalysis [systematic review]. *Front Oncol.* (2020) 10:553332. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020. 553332

48. Michaud DS, Wolpin B, Giovannucci E, Liu S, Cochrane B, Manson JE, et al. Prediagnostic plasma C-peptide and pancreatic cancer risk in men and women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* (2007) 16(10):2101–9. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965. Epi-07-0182